



**HAL**  
open science

## Multi-MGy total ionizing dose induced MOSFET variability effects on radiation hardened CMOS image sensor performances

Serena Rizzolo, Vincent Goiffon, Marius Sergent, Franck Corbière, Sébastien Rolando, Aziouz Chabane, Philippe Paillet, Claude Marcandella, Sylvain Girard, Pierre Magnan, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Serena Rizzolo, Vincent Goiffon, Marius Sergent, Franck Corbière, Sébastien Rolando, et al.. Multi-MGy total ionizing dose induced MOSFET variability effects on radiation hardened CMOS image sensor performances. Radiations Effects on Components and Systems (RADECS), Oct 2017, Geneva, Switzerland. pp.1-4. hal-02092843

**HAL Id: hal-02092843**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02092843>**

Submitted on 8 Apr 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## Open Archive Toulouse Archive Ouverte (OATAO)

OATAO is an open access repository that collects the work of some Toulouse researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible.

This is an author's version published in: <https://oatao.univ-toulouse.fr/22907>

**Official URL:**

**To cite this version :**

Rizzolo, Serena and Goiffon, Vincent and Sergent, Marius and Corbière, Franck and Rolando, Sébastien and Chabane, Aziouz and Paillet, Philippe and Marcandella, Claude and Girard, Sylvain and Magnan, Pierre and Van Uffelen, Marco and Mont Casellas, Laura and Scott, Robin and De Cock, Wouter Multi-MGy total ionizing dose induced MOSFET variability effects on radiation hardened CMOS image sensor performances. (2017) In: Radiations Effects on Components and Systems (RADECS), 2 October 2017 - 6 October 2017 (Geneva, Switzerland).

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository administrator:

[tech-oatao@listes-diff.inp-toulouse.fr](mailto:tech-oatao@listes-diff.inp-toulouse.fr)

# Multi-MGy Total Ionizing Dose Induced MOSFET Variability Effects on Radiation Hardened CMOS Image Sensor Performances

Serena Rizzolo, *Member, IEEE*, Vincent Goiffon, *Member, IEEE*, Marius Sergent, Franck Corbière, Sébastien Rolando, Aziouz Chabane, Philippe Paillet, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Claude Marcandella, Sylvain Girard, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Pierre Magnan, *Member, IEEE*, Marco Van Uffelen, Laura Mont Casellas, Robin Scott and Wouter De Cock

**Abstract** — MOSFETs variability in irradiated CIS up to 10 MGy(SiO<sub>2</sub>) is statistically investigated on about 65000 devices. Different variability sources are identified and the role played by the transistors composing the readout chain is clarified.

**Index Terms**— ITER, CMOS Image Sensors, CIS, Fixed Pattern Noise, FPN, MOSFET, Total Ionizing Dose, TID, Readout chain, Radiation Effects.

## I. INTRODUCTION

CMOS Image Sensors (CIS) are today the main solid-state image sensor technology and they are widely used for the development of various scientific applications, thanks to their high performances, high integration capabilities and low power consumption [1]. Their demand is increasing in all those applications which require a radiation hardness at Total Ionizing Doses (TID) in the MGy(SiO<sub>2</sub>) range, such as the development of critical remote handling systems in ITER fusion reactor, the monitoring and inspection operations in many nuclear facilities i.e. nuclear power plant, nuclear storage waste repository, particles physics and irradiation facilities (e.g. CERN).

Previous works have demonstrated not only that reaching a 10 MGy (1 Grad) radiation hardness with a CIS is feasible [2], [3], but also that a full camera composed of the FUSion for energy Radiation Hard Image sensor (FURHI) [4] and Imaging System (FURHIS) [5] demonstrators can be made radiation hard up to several MGy(SiO<sub>2</sub>).

**Disclaimer:** “The work leading to this publication has been funded partially by Fusion for Energy under specific contracts F4E-OMF-272-01-18 and F4E-OFC-358-2-01-01. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and Fusion for Energy cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.”

S. Rizzolo, V. Goiffon, M. Sergent, F. Corbière, S. Rolando, A. Chabane and P. Magnan are with ISAE-SUPAERO, Université de Toulouse, Toulouse, France (serena.rizzolo@isae.fr)

P. Paillet and C. Marcandella are with CEA, DAM, DIF, Arpajon, France

S. Girard is with Univ Lyon, Lab Hubert Curien, UMR CNRS 5516, Saint-Etienne, France

m. Van Uffelen and L. Mont Casellas are with Fusion for Energy (F4E), Barcelona, Spain

R. Scott is with Oxford Technologies Ltd. (OTL), Abingdon OX14 1RL, UK.

W. De Cock is with SCK-CEN, Mol, Belgium




Fig. 1 Schematic of the readout chain in a CIS for the pixel  $(i,j)$ .

Even significant efforts have been done in the identification of radiation induced degradation mechanisms in CIS there are some aspects that are still to investigate. It is indeed known that the readout chain of a CIS 3.3 V P channel transistors exhibit very high threshold voltage ( $V_{th}$ ) shift (about 500 mV) at TID beyond 100 kGy because of large gate oxide trapped charge induced  $V_{th}$  shifts, whereas 3.3 V N-channel transistors  $V_{th}$  is slightly influenced by interface state induced shift [2]. In order to mitigate the P-MOSFETs sensitivity, two different ways of improvement have been proposed: the first, explored in [3] consists in designing a full 1.8V CIS to limit the use of double gate oxides; the second one, which has been selected for the FURHI project, is to base the analog design only on 3.3 V N channel transistors [4]. The FURHI CIS characterization has revealed new imager performance degradation sources due the  $V_{th}$  MOSFETs variability. This aspect, addressed in [6] up to TID of 500 Mrad(SiO<sub>2</sub>) on few tens structures evidenced that variability in the on-current is enhanced due to the impact of random dopant fluctuations on TID effects. However, beyond this work, very little is known about the TID variability of MOSFETs characteristics in the MGy range.

This work focuses on the non-uniformity and variability on FURHI CIS readout chain MOSFETs to evaluate the TID effects up to 10 MGy(SiO<sub>2</sub>) with the aim to:

- Understand the Fixed Pattern Noise (FPN) sources enhanced by irradiation in order to improve the Radiation - Hardening - By - Design (RHBD) CIS techniques.

- Provide a statistical large-scale study (about 65000 devices per studied circuit) to better understand and anticipate the TID induce variability phenomena in MOSFET devices.

## II. STUDIED SENSOR ARCHITECTURE/EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The FURHI CIS was manufactured using a 180 nm CIS process with dedicated photodiode profiles and optimized in pixel devices using a Multi Project Wafer (MPW) access managed by imec-europractice. The MPW was chosen for this project as an indirect way to evaluate the sensitivity of the proposed RHBD techniques on the process variations.

The integrated image sensor itself is constituted of 256x256 pixels with three transistors per pixel. The whole circuit is radiation hardened by design by using Enclosed Layout Transistors (ELT) for all MOSFETs to mitigate Radiation Induced Narrow Channel Effect (RINCE) [7], sidewall leakages and junction leakages. Table I reports a summary of the tested structures and irradiation conditions. Two lots of the same design are studied and for some of the irradiation condition a comparison circuit-to-circuit was addressed in order to investigate the fabrication process variability and reproducibility.

TABLE I LIST OF TESTED STRUCTURES AND IRRADIATION CONDITIONS

READOUT CHAIN		IRRADIATION CONDITION	TID (MGy)
FURHI LOT1	#01 - #03	<sup>60</sup> Co $\gamma$ -ray, ON	0.4 - 1
	#02 - #04	<sup>60</sup> Co $\gamma$ -ray, OFF	0.4 - 1
	#09	10 keV X-ray, OFF	2 - 5 - 10
FURHI LOT2	#01 - #02	<sup>60</sup> Co $\gamma$ -ray, ON	0.1 - 0.5 - 1.1
	#03 - #04	<sup>60</sup> Co $\gamma$ -ray, OFF	0.1 - 0.5 - 1.1

$\gamma$ -ray irradiations were performed at SCK-CEN using a <sup>60</sup>Co source; 10 keV X-ray irradiation where done at CEA, DAM-DIF. The ON irradiations are performed maintaining the sensor biased with nominal sequencing signals and continuous frames acquisitions whereas in the OFF irradiation the CIS was fully grounded.

The schematic of the readout chain of the studied CIS is reported in Fig. 1 where the two different stages of the readout process are highlighted. The signal, integrated in the photodiode (PHD), is correctly read if the voltages of the two bias transistors,  $V_{biasN1}$  and  $V_{biasN2}$ , are well optimized at each TID step. To do so the I-V characteristic of the two transistors is measured and then the optimum bias voltages are chosen in order to perform the measurements at the same current level (i.e. 10  $\mu$ A for the  $V_{biasN1}$  and 200  $\mu$ A for the  $V_{biasN2}$ ).

The study on the readout chain degradation was performed thanks to the quasi-static electrical transfer function (ETF) measurements. The output voltage of the readout chain in each pixel as a function of the input voltage applied directly on its sense node (i.e.  $V_{DDRST}$  in Fig. 1) was measured by setting the RST and SHR transistors at 3.3 V whereas SHS transistor was grounded (with signal voltage,  $V_{SIG}$ , at 100 mV). In this way at the output of the readout chain the reference signal,  $V_{REF}$ , was measured.

We obtained:

$$V_{REF(i,j)} = V_{PIXEL(i,j)} - V_{GS|SF_{REF}(j)} \quad (1)$$

with

$$V_{PIXEL(i,j)} = V_{DD\_RST} - V_{GS|SF_{PIXEL}(i,j)} \quad (2)$$

making the hypothesis that the all switches (i.e. SHS, SHR,  $Y_i$ ,  $X_j$ ), RST and PHD contribution are negligible. From equations (1) and (2) it can be seen that the source followers, SF, transistors (see Fig. 1) play an important role in the  $V_{REF}$  measurement and that, at first approximation, their  $V_{th}$  mismatches can be the responsible of the non-uniformity which manifests itself as Fixed Patter Noise (FPN), i.e. the dark signal non-uniformity arising from electronic sources [8].

## III. EFFECTS OF TRANSISTOR-TO-TRANSISTOR MISMATCHES

Fig. 2 shows the raw image captured during the ETF measure at  $V_{DDRST} = 2.8$  V, i.e. hard reset conditions in the linear ETF region, in one of the 1 MGy( $SiO_2$ ) FURHI LOT1 irradiated sensor. It can be noted that after irradiation a severe degradation of the images is present, differently affecting the response of each pixel. It is possible also to distinguish two different effects: the first manifest itself as non-uniformity in the whole array affecting the edge of the sensors differently with respect to its center. This pattern, which is present in all circuits, is responsible for pixel non-uniformity, probably due to the metal density differences in the imaging area with respect to the edges of the array. A dark current enhancement in the center of the device in  $\gamma$ -irradiated CIS has been reported in [9], [10] and [11] for different technologies, resulting in a similar CIS non-uniformity than the one observed in the structures investigated here. The second effect that can be recognized from Fig. 2 is a column-to-column non-uniformity which is responsible of the column fixed pattern noise.

Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) show that the recorded output voltage depends on SF transistors and that it is possible to isolate the contribution of  $SF_{PIXEL}$  from the one of  $SF_{REF}$ . Indeed, looking at the signal along a column of the array allows obtaining the only contribution of  $SF_{PIXEL}$  since  $SF_{REF}$  is the same for each column. Fig. 3 shows the output signal along a column (black line); it can be seen that the response is parabolic. This shape gives the information of  $SF_{PIXEL} V_{th}$  non-uniformity which can be quantified by the amplitude of the signal variation along a column ( $\Delta_1 \sim 90$  mV in Fig. 3). The variability of these MOSFETs can be instead obtained taking the average of the standard deviations performed on short intervals (about 10 points) along the column ( $\sigma_1 \sim 5$  mV in Fig. 3).

On the other hand, the signal along a row of the array is affected by both  $SF_{PIXEL}$  and  $SF_{REF} V_{th}$  mismatches. Fig. 3 reports the output voltage along a row of the array (grey line). It can be observed again that the non-uniformity has a parabolic shape, but, in this case, both the amplitude ( $\Delta_2 \sim 250$  mV) and the variability ( $\sigma_2 \sim 22$  mV) are higher due to the fact that both  $SF_{PIXEL}$  and  $SF_{REF}$  MOSFETs contributions are present.

The same analysis was carried out in all the studied FURHI LOT1 samples at each dose (total  $3.3 \times 10^5$  studied MOSFETs); the results are shown in Fig. 4.




Fig. 2 ETF raw image (represented in logarithmic scale) captured at  $V_{DDRST}=2.8$  V (hard reset condition) after 1 MGy ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ) of irradiation in FURHI LOT1 #02 to show the arisen FPN with irradiation.




Fig. 3 Output Voltage along a column (black line) and a row (grey line) to show the  $V_{th}$   $SF_{PIXEL}$  non-uniformity due to the metal density difference effect. From these curves it was possible to extract the FPN non-uniformity,  $\Delta$ , and variability,  $\sigma$ .




Fig. 4 Evolution of the average FPN amplitude along the columns ( $\Delta_1$ ) and along the rows ( $\Delta_2$ ) in the FURHI LOT1 circuits. The error bars of each point correspond to the variability, i.e.  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ .

It can be noted that the both  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  evolve with the TID without significant circuit-to-circuit variation at each TID step. On the other hand, whereas  $\sigma_1$  remains almost unchanged in the whole set of samples (the only exception is the sample irradiated at 2 MGy where  $\sigma_1$  is two times higher than the other samples),  $\sigma_2$  increases with the TID. Indeed, whereas it

is comparable to  $\sigma_1$  in the non-irradiated circuits ( $\sim 5$  mV) it reaches  $\sim 30$  mV above 1 MGy of irradiation. From these results, and looking at the readout chain schematic in Fig. 1, it is possible to individuate  $SF_{REF}$  as the main cause for the observed non-uniformity.

#### IV. EFFECT OF LOT-TO-LOT VARIATIONS

##### A. On the average response

Fig. 6 shows the ETF at the different TID. It can be noticed that the response is similar in both lots. Indeed, the ETF is shifted towards higher input voltages (effect due to the  $V_{th}$  shift of  $V_{biasN1}$  and  $V_{biasN2}$  MOSFETs with irradiation [3] [7]) and the electrical gain decreases with irradiation. At 1 MGy the degradation are lower in FURHI LOT2 being the MOVs stable at about 1.4 V and the electrical gain almost unchanged after 0.1 MGy.




Fig. 5 Comparison of the mean ETF response as a function of the TID between FURHI LOT1 and FURHI LOT2.




Fig. 6  $V_{biasN1}$  and  $V_{biasN2}$  MOSFET voltages as a function of the TID in FURHI LOT1 and FURHI LOT2.

This effect is more evident from Fig. 7, where the output voltage of  $V_{biasN1}$  and  $V_{biasN2}$  MOSFETs reported as a function of the TID. It can be observed that the  $V_{th}$  of the two transistors are shifted towards higher voltages being the shift higher in FURHI LOT 1 than FURHI LOT 2. At 1 MGy for example the shift of  $V_{biasN1}$  is 400 mV in FURHI LOT1 and 130 mV in FURHI LOT2 whereas  $V_{biasN2}$  is shifted of 150 mV in FURHI LOT 1 and only 80 mV in FURHI LOT 2 at 1 MGy. The higher degradation of  $V_{biasN1}$  is probably due to the fact that its dimensions are lower than  $V_{biasN2}$ .

### B. On the mismatches induced degradations

The differences on the average performances in the two lots (which derive from the same design) is mostly attributed to a variation in the fabrication process due to the fact that the circuits are fabricated using an MWP. In order to better investigate on this effect a comparison of the non-uniformity and the variability presented in section III is here reported. The FPN comparison between the two lots is reported in Fig. 8 at a TID of about 1 MGy(SiO<sub>2</sub>).




Fig. 7 Comparison of the FPN amplitudes (a) and variability (b) between FURHI LOT1 and FURHI LOT2 irradiated at 1 MGy(SiO<sub>2</sub>) and 1.1 MGy(SiO<sub>2</sub>) respectively.

As for the average response, it can be observed that FURHI LOT2 is less degraded than FURHI LOT1. Moreover, it seems that in this lot the only contribution to the FPN is due to the pixel-to-pixel non-uniformity, being the amplitudes along the columns and the rows almost the same ( $\Delta_1 \sim 80$  mV and  $\Delta_2 \sim 100$  mV) whereas  $\Delta_2 \sim 3 \Delta_1$  in FURHI LOT1 (see Fig. 8 (a)). As regards the variability, reported in Fig. 8 (b), it can be seen that it remains of the order of about 5 mV in FURHI LOT2, thus allowing to conclude that SF MOSFET of the column readout chains have not an impact on FPN in this lot, but that the variability sources are more attributed to in-pixel MOSFETs due to the density metal difference of the fabricated design. This result allows concluding that only the column readout MOSFETs have been influenced by the lot-to-lot fabrication process variation. This can be explained taking in account that, in a CIS fabrication process, the doping profile on an in-pixel MOSFET generally differs from the out-pixel ones thus indicating the out-pixel variation manufacturing process as the main cause of the FPN observed in FURHI LOT1.

### V. CONCLUSION

In this work the FPN characterization in irradiated CIS up to 10 MGy(SiO<sub>2</sub>) has been reported. The statistical study, performed on about 65000 MOSFETs per circuits (i.e. a total

of about  $6 \times 10^5$  devices), has allowed investigating the different FPN sources, clarifying the different role played by the transistors composing the readout chain. It has been showed that in this design an in-pixel FPN is present and that it is most likely due to the density metal difference between the edge and the center of the array. Even if this contribution is present in both lots with the same effect, it has been showed that its influence does not affect the CIS performances thanks to the differential readout architecture. On the other hand, the degradations in the readout chain leads to severe FPN degradation on one of the studied lot due to the non-pixel transistors. The root cause of this effect has to be searched between  $SF_{REF}$ ,  $SF_{SIG}$  MOSFETs  $V_{th}$  mismatches. Moreover, the difference of performances of the two lots with regards to both average and statistical investigated parameters points to fabrication process variability as the cause of the enhanced FPN.

### VI. REFERENCES

- [1] E. R. Fossum and D. B. Hondongwa, "A review of the pinned photodiode for CCD and CMOS image sensors," *IEEE Journal of the Electron Devices Society*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 33-43, 2014.
- [2] V. Goiffon, *et al.*, "Multi-MGy Radiation Hard CMOS Image Sensor: Design, Characterization and X/Gamma Rays Total Ionizing Dose Tests," *IEEE Trans on Nucl. Sci.*, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 2956-2964, 2015.
- [3] V. Goiffon *et al.*, "Radiation Hardening of Digital Color CMOS Camera-on-a-Chip Building Blocks for Multi-MGy Total Ionizing Dose Environments," *IEEE Trans on Nucl. Sci.*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 45 - 53, 2017.
- [4] V. Goiffon *et al.*, "Total Ionizing Dose Effects on a Radiation Hardened CMOS Image Sensor Demonstrator for ITER Remote Handling," in *IEEE Nuclear and Space Radiation Effects Conference*, New Orleans (USA), 2017.
- [5] T. Allanche *et al.*, "Vulnerability and Hardening Studies of Optical and Illumination Systems at MGy dose levels," in *IEEE Nuclear and Space Radiation Effects Conference*, New Orleans (USA), 2017.
- [6] S. Gerardin *et al.*, "Enhancement of Transistor-to-Transistor Variability Due to Total Dose Effects in 65-nm MOSFETs," *IEEE Trans Nucl. Sci.*, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 2398-2403, 2015.
- [7] F. Faccio *et al.*, "Radiation induced short channel (RISCE) and narrow channel (RINCE) effects in 65 and 130 nm MOSFETs," *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.*, vol. 62, no. 6, p. 2933-2940, 2015.
- [8] G. R. Hopkinson, T. M. Goodman and S. R. Prince, A Guide to the Use and Calibration of Detector Array Equipment, Bellingham (USA): *SPIE Press*, 2004.
- [9] G. R. Hopkinson, A. Mohammadzadeh and R. Harboe-Sorensen, "Radiation Effects on a Radiation-Tolerant CMOS Active Pixel Sensor," *IEEE Tans. Nucl. Sci.*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 2753 - 2762, 2004.
- [10] B. Dryer *et al.*, "Gamma Radiation Damage Study of 0.18  $\mu$ m Process CMOS Image Sensors," *High Energy, Optical, and Infrared Detectors for Astronomy IV*, Proc. of SPIE, vol. 7742, 2010, doi: 10.1117/12.863948.
- [11] M. E. Cherniak *et al.*, "Investigation of nonuniform degradation of CMOS-sensor light-sensitive surface under gamma-irradiation," in *15th European Conference on Radiation and Its Effects on Components and Systems (RADECS)*, Moscow, Russia, 2015.