

A conserved fungal hub protein involved in adhesion and drug resistance in the human pathogen Candida albicans

Hélène Martin-Yken, Tina Bedekovic, Alexandra Brand, Mathias M. Richard, Sadri Znaidi, Christophe d'Enfert, Etienne Dague

▶ To cite this version:

Hélène Martin-Yken, Tina Bedekovic, Alexandra Brand, Mathias M. Richard, Sadri Znaidi, et al.. A conserved fungal hub protein involved in adhesion and drug resistance in the human pathogen Candida albicans. The Cell Surface, 2018, 4, pp.10-19. 10.1016/j.tcsw.2018.10.002. hal-02091662

HAL Id: hal-02091662

https://hal.science/hal-02091662

Submitted on 4 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A conserved fungal hub protein involved in adhesion and drug
resistance in the human pathogen <i>Candida albicans</i> .
Hélène Martin-Yken* ^{1,2} , Tina Bedekovič ³ , Alexandra Brand ³ , Mathias L. Richard ⁴ , Sadri
Znaidi ^{5,6} Christophe d'Enfert and Etienne Dague ² .
1 INSA, UPS, INP, ISAE, LAAS, Université de Toulouse, Toulouse, France;
UMR792 Ingénierie des Systèmes Biologiques et des Procédés, INRA, Toulouse,
France; UMR5504, CNRS, Toulouse, France.
2 LAAS CNRS UPR 8001, Université de Toulouse, Toulouse, France.
3 MRC Centre for Medical Mycology, School of Medicine, Medical Sciences &
Nutrition, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, United Kingdom.
4 IND A. LIMD 1210 Micelia AgroDaria Tech. Jourgan Joseph France
4 INRA, UMR1319 Micalis, AgroParisTech, Jouy-en-Josas, France.
5 Institut Pasteur de Tunis, Laboratoire de Microbiologie Moléculaire, Vaccinologie et
Développement Biotechnologique, 13 Place Pasteur, Tunis-Belvédère, Tunisia
Beveloppement Biotechnologique, 13 Tiuce Tusteur, Tums Belveuere, Tumsia
6 Institut Pasteur, INRA, Unité Biologie et Pathogénicité Fongiques, 25 rue du
Docteur Roux, Paris, France.
*For correspondance: helene.martin@insa-toulouse.fr
Declarations of interest: none.

51 ABSTRACT

52 53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

Drug resistance and cellular adhesion are two key elements of the worldwide dissemination and prevalence of the human fungal pathogen Candida albicans. Smi1 belongs to a family of hub proteins conserved among the fungal kingdom, whose functions in cellular signaling affect morphogenesis, cell wall synthesis and stress resistance. The data presented here indicate that C. albicans SMI1 is a functional homolog of Saccharomyces cerevisiae KNR4 and is involved in the regulation of the fungal cell wall synthesis. Expression of SMI1 in the S. cerevisiae $knr4\Delta$ null mutant rescued its sensitivity to Caspofungin and to heat stress. Deletion of SMI1 in C. albicans resulted in sensitivity to the cell-wall-perturbing compounds Calcofluor White and Caspofungin. Analysis of wild-type and mutant cells by Atomic Force Microscopy showed that the Young's Modulus (stiffness) of the cell wall was significantly reduced upon deletion of SMII, while cell surface adhesion measured by Single Force Spectroscopy showed that the surface expression of adhesive molecules was also reduced in the mutant. Over-expression of SMI1 gene, on the contrary, drastically increased the cell surface adhesion phenotype vs the control strain. Finally, Smi1 cellular localization as cytoplasmic patches and concentrated spots at the sites of new cell wall synthesis is consistent with its role in the control of cell wall synthesis and appears conserved with the ones of its counterparts in S. cerevisiae and Neurospora crassa respectively for yeast cells and hyphae of C. albicans.

INTRODUCTION

76 77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

Fungal infections are responsible of the death of about 1.5 million people per year worldwide. Hemiascomycetous yeasts of the genus Candida are the second most numerous agents of fungal infections worldwide, with a prominent contribution by Candida albicans, which causes over 400,000 cases of life-threatening systemic infections and 200,000 deaths per year (Brown et al., 2012). Only four classes of antifungal (AF) drugs are available for patient treatment and the emergence of resistance is becoming a serious concern. The fungistatic group of Azoles and the more recently-developed fungicidal group of Echinocandins constitute the two major classes of AF used to treat patients. Azoles block the biosynthesis of ergosterol - an essential sterol for fungal cell membranes - by targeting the cytochrome P450 14-α demethylase enzyme, Erg11, which catalyzes the conversion of lanosterol to ergosterol, thereby affecting membrane integrity and inhibiting fungal growth (Kathiravan et al., 2012; Vanden Bossche et al., 1995). On the other hand, Echinocandins, a class of compounds developed between 2001 and 2006, target the catalytic sub-unit of the β-1,3 glucan synthase protein complex, Fks1 (Odds et al., 2003). Studies of the molecular mechanisms of resistance to these two classes of AF compounds (recently reviewed in (Scorzoni et al., 2017)) have revealed that there are three major mechanisms leading to resistance: overexpression of multidrug efflux pump-encoding genes, notably CDR1, CDR2 and MDR1 (Sanglard and Odds, 2002; Sanglard et al., 1995), point mutations in the target proteins (ex: Erg11, Fks1) and alteration in the expression of proteins involved in sensitivity to the drug (ex: Erg3, Erg11). In addition, the formation of fungal biofilms can also be considered as a form of antifungal resistance due to the ability of the biofilm extracellular matrix (ECM) to bind and entrap antifungal compounds, particularly Azoles and Amphotericin B (Desai et al., 2014; Taff et al., 2013; Vediyappan et al., 2010; Zarnowski et al., 2014).

In this context, researchers have sought alternative antifungal targets and/or ways to improve the fungicidal effect of existing AFs. Such approaches notably involve targeting chaperones such as Hsp90 or components of stress signaling pathways, since these targets are more likely to simultaneously affect resistance to different classes of AF, morphogenesis mechanisms, cellular fitness and adaptation to changing environments. Key studies have been conducted in these areas by, for example, Brown and colleagues (Brown et al., 2010) and

Cowen and coworkers (Singh et al., 2009). Works of this latter group established the complex connections between Pkc1, Hsp90 and calcineurin suggesting interesting new strategies to treat fungal infections (LaFayette et al., 2010). However, these cellular targets suffer from a major drawback in that they are conserved in mammalian host cells, which make achieving fungal specificity a real challenge. Factors that regulate the pathogen's cell wall therefore remain a strong target for new, fungus-specific, therapeutic approaches.

Here we describe the role of Smi1, a *C. albicans* protein homologous to the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* hub protein Knr4 which interacts physically with both the Slt2 MAP kinase and calcineurin, thus connecting the two primary signaling pathways involved in cell wall maintenance during stress: the cell wall integrity pathway (CWI) and the calcineurin pathway (Dagkessamanskaia et al., 2010; Martin-Yken et al., 2016). Knr4 is required for resistance to cell wall stress induced by elevated temperature or the presence of antifungal compounds, including Caspofungin (Lesage et al., 2004; Markovich et al., 2004). Knr4 also plays a role in filamentous and pseudohyphal growth, mucin secretion and agar invasion (Birkaya et al., 2009). Similarly, GS1 protein, the homolog of Knr4 and Smi1 in the model filamentous fungi *Neurospora crassa*, is also involved in the control of morphogenesis, Caspofungin sensitivity and new cell wall synthesis notably β-glucans (Enderlin and Selitrennikoff, 1994; Resheat-Eini et al., 2008; Seiler and Plamann, 2003).

The *Candida albicans* genome encodes 2 homologs of *KNR4: SMI1 and SMI1B*. Previous studies have shown that deletion of *SMI1* affects cell wall β-glucan synthesis, biofilm formation and biofilm extracellular matrix production, as well as biofilm-associated resistance to Fluconazole (Nett et al., 2011). Global transcriptomic studies indicate that *SMI1* expression is induced in hyphal and planktonic cells by Cyr1 and is also biofilm-induced, while it is repressed by the Hap43 regulatory protein and Caspofungin (Liu et al., 2005). Much less is known about *SMI1B*, although it appears to be the closest homolog of *S. cerevisiae KNR4* according to phylogeny.

In this paper we further characterize the function of *SMI1* in *C. albicans*. We provide evidence that Smi1 is a functional homolog of *S. cerevisiae* protein Knr4, that its accurate expression is critical for the regulation of fungal cell wall integrity and biophysical properties, and that the cellular localization of Smi1-GFP in yeast and hyphal cells is consistent with the ones observed for its counterparts, *i.e.* Knr4 in *S. cerevisiae* yeasts and GS1 in the hyphae of *N. crassa*.

Material and Methods

Strains and growth media

143

141

142

- The *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisae* strains used in this study are listed in Table 1.
- Depending on experimental conditions, yeast strains were grown in YPD (1 % (W/V) yeast
- extract, 2 % (W/V) peptone, and 1 % (W/V) dextrose), YP (1 % (W/V) yeast extract, 2 %
- 147 (W/V) peptone) supplemented with 10 % Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), or SD (synthetic
- dextrose, 0.67 % (W/V) yeast nitrogen base (YNB; Difco) with 2 % glucose) supplemented as
- necessary with arginine, histidine or uridine (20 mg/l). Agar (2 %) was used for growth on
- solid medium. Escherichia coli strains TOP10 (Invitrogen) or DH5α were used for DNA
- cloning and maintenance of the plasmid constructs.

152153

Plasmid construction and generation of epitope-tagged or mutant strains:

- 154 C. albicans SM1 gene was PCR amplified with primers: Sense SMI1 New start and
- Antisense SMI1 (sequences in Supplementary Material Table S1). The PCR product was then
- cloned in the S. cerevisae expression vector YEplac195 PGK/CYC1 between PGK promotor
- 157 P_{PGK1} and CYC1 terminator sequences (personal gift of Dr J.L. Parrou, based on YEplac195
- 158 (Gietz and Sugino, 1988)), thus yealding pSM1 plasmid. Plasmid pKNR4 expressing the S.
- 159 cerevisae KNR4 gene with its own promotor and terminator on a multicopy vector has been
- described previously (Martin et al., 1999).
- 161 S. cerevisiae cells were transformed according to the lithium acetate method (Gietz and
- Woods, 2006). C. albicans cells were transformed using the lithium-acetate protocol of
- Walther and Wendland (Walther and Wendland, 2003), followed by selection of
- transformants for uridine, arginine or histidine prototrophy when using the URA3, ARG4 or
- the *HIS1* markers, respectively.
- 166 Construction of C. albicans smil Δ/Δ and smilB Δ/Δ knock-out mutants (Table 1) used PCR-
- generated ARG4 and HIS1 disruption cassettes flanked by 120 base pairs of target homology
- region (primer sequences are provided in supplementary material S1) as described by (Gola et
- al., 2003) and (Schaub et al., 2006). Independent transformants were produced and the gene
- 170 replacements were verified by PCR on whole yeast cells as described previously (Gola et al.,
- 171 2003; Schaub et al., 2006).
- The SMI1 (C1 07870C A) gene was amplified using primers: SMI1 Forward and SMI1
- 173 Reverse (sequences provided in supplementary material Table S1). The resulting 1.6Kb PCR
- product was purified and inserted in the GTW sequences of pEntry (Gateway systemTM,

- 175 Invitrogen). Construction of the Smi1 over-expressing strain was performed by recombination
- of pEntry-SMI1 plasmid and pCIp10-P_{TDH3}-GTW plasmid (Chauvel et al., 2012) also using
- 177 the Gateway systemTM (Invitrogen), followed by genome integration through targeted
- homologous recombination at the genomic *RPS10* locus in the host strain BWP17.

- 180 GFP tagging of Smi1
- Smi1 was C-terminally-tagged with GFP by amplifying GFP-NAT cassette from the pGFP-
- NAT1 plasmid (Milne et al., 2011) using primers gSmi1 _F^a and gSmi1_R^a containing 100 bp
- of flanking homology to the SMI1 terminator and C-terminal of the SMI1 ORF
- 184 (C1_07870C_A), respectively (Figure X –primer table). Transformants were selected on YPD
- agar containing 300 µg/ml nourseothricin (Sigma). Integration of the GFP-NAT cassette was
- 186 confirmed by PCR using primers sSmi1_F and sFP_R, which anneal to the chromosome
- outside the targeted region and within the cassette, respectively (primer sequences are
- provided in Supplementary material Table S1).

189

190

Microscopy of Smi1-GFP

- 191 Yeast were grown for 2 h at 30 °C in YNB medium containing amino acids and (NH₄)₂SO₄
- 192 (Sigma Aldrich). Morphogenesis was induced at 37 °C for 3 h in 20 % FCS, 2 % glucose.
- 193 Cells were imaged on μ-slides (Ibidi, Martinsried, Germany). Images of Smi1-GFP
- 194 localization were captured on an UltraVIEW® VoX spinning disk confocal microscope
- 195 (Nikon, Surrey, UK), using a 488 nm laser. Multiple Z-stack images were acquired and Z-
- stack projections at maximum intensity were created using Volocity 6.3 software (Perkin
- 197 Elmer).

198199

Phenotypic Sensitivity tests:

- 200 Drop tests to evaluate the sensitivity of different strains and mutants to cell wall affecting
- drugs were performed as previously described (Ram et al., 1998) with minor modifications
- 202 (Martin et al., 1999). Briefly, yeast cells were grown in liquid YPD to OD_{600} of 1+/- 0.1, then
- 203 concentrated by centrifugation and resuspended in sterile water to an OD_{600 of} 8. Serial
- dilutions of 1/1, 1/10, 1/100 and 1/1000 were then spotted on solid media containing either
- 205 calcofluor white or Caspofungin at the indicated concentrations. Growth was scored and
- 206 photographs taken after 48h of incubation at 30 °C, or at 37 °C for testing the sensitivity to
- elevated temperature.

Atomic Force Microscopy:

209210

211 Sample preparation for AFM experiments.

- Yeast cells were concentrated by centrifugation, washed two times in acetate buffer (18 mM
- 213 CH3COONa, 1mMCaCl2, 1mMMnCl2, pH5.2), resuspended in the same buffer, and
- immobilized on polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) stamps prepared as described by Dague et al.
- 215 (Dague et al., 2011; Formosa et al., 2014a). Briefly, freshly oxygen-activated microstructured
- 216 PDMS stamps were covered with a total of 100 µl of cell suspension and allowed to stand for
- 217 15 min at room temperature. Yeast cells were then deposited into the stamps microstructures
- 218 by convective (capillary) assembly.
- 219 Force curves were then recorded in acetate buffer in quantitative-imaging mode (JPK
- 220 Instruments, 2011, QITM mode-quantitative imaging with Nano-Wizard 3 AFM), (Chopinet et
- al., 2013; Formosa et al., 2014b; Smolyakov et al., 2016) with MLCT AUWH cantilevers
- 222 (nominal spring constants: 0.01, 0.1, and 0.5 N/m). For imaging, cantilevers with a spring
- 223 constant of 0.01 N/m were used. For force spectroscopy experiments, cantilevers with spring
- constants of 0.1 and 0.5 N/m were used. The applied force was kept at 1 nN for both imaging
- and force spectroscopy. For imaging and force spectroscopy, we used an AFM Nanowizard
- 226 III (JPK Instruments, Berlin, Germany). The spring constant of each cantilever was
- determined by the thermal-noise method (Hutter and Bechhoefer, 1993).
- For elasticity measurements, force maps of 32 by 32 or 64 by 64, hence either 1024 or 4096
- force curves were recorded on a 1 µm x 1 µm square area on top of the cells. The force-
- 230 distance curves recorded were transformed into force-indentation curves by subtracting the
- cantilever deflection on a solid surface. The indentation curves were then fitted to the Hertz
- 232 model (Hertz, H., 1881).

233234

Fluorescence Microscopy:

235236

- Yeast were grown for 2 h at 30 °C in YNB medium containing amino acids and (NH4)2SO4
- 238 (Sigma Aldrich). Morphogenesis was induced at 37 °C for 3 h in 20 % FCS, 2 % glucose.
- 239 Cells were imaged on μ-slides (Ibidi, Martinsried, Germany). Images of Smi1-GFP
- 240 localization were captured on an UltraVIEW® VoX spinning disk confocal microscope
- 241 (Nikon, Surrey, UK), using a 488 nm laser. Multiple Z-stack images were acquired and Z-
- stack projections at maximum intensity were created using Volocity 6.3 software (Perkin
- 243 Elmer).

Results:

246

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

245

247 1. Conservation of cellular function between Saccharomyces cerevisiae Knr4 and Candida248 albicans Smi1:

The genome of the human pathogen *Candida albicans* contains two distinct homologs of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae KNR4* gene, *SMI1* (C1_07870C_A) and *SMI1B* (C3_05350C_A). Gene deletion mutants for each gene were generated and initial phenotypic analysis showed a strong phenotype (sensitivity to CFW or SDS) for the $smi1\Delta/\Delta$ mutant but not for the smi1B Δ/Δ mutant (Figure S1). We therefore focused on the role of Smi1 in this study.

The SMI1 open reading frame was amplified and cloned into a S. cerevisiae expression vector, under the control of the strong and constitutive ADH1 promoter. The SM11 coding sequence is 1,863 bp and contains two GTC codons at positions 1717 and 1762, which have a 97 % chance of translation as a Serine in C. albicans through non-canonical codon usage in this fungus, compared to a Leucine in S. cerevisiae (White et al., 1995), although these usage frequencies appear to be sensitive to environmental stressors (Simões et al., 2016). These two codons are located within the C-terminal of Smi1, which is less conserved than the central domains among members of the fungal Knr4/Smi1 super-family of proteins. The complete sequence alignment of several fungal protein members of this super-family is shown in Figure S2. The C-terminal part of Knr4 is largely unstructured and not directly necessary for protein function in S. cerevisiae (Dagkessamanskaia et al., 2010; Martin-Yken et al., 2016). Hence, we tested the ability of the C. albicans SMII gene, retaining its two ambiguous codons, to complement the cell wall-related phenotypes of S. cerevisiae knr4 null mutants. Our phenotypic screen included sensitivity to Calcofluor White (CFW), SDS, caspofungin and elevated temperature in haploid and diploid S. cerevisiae genetic backgrounds. CFW is a compound that binds to nascent chitin fibrils and has been used since 1994 to identify fungal cell wall mutants (Ram et al., 1994). Expression of SMI1 gene in S. cerevisiae was able to complement these phenotypes (Figure 1A) and also complemented the $smi1\Delta$ growth defect at elevated temperature (Fig.1B), a specific defect in this organism that is linked to cell cycle progression through START (Fishel et al., 1993, Martin-Yken et al., 2003). Hence, the function of the Smi1/Knr4 proteins appears to be conserved between the two species despite their phylogenetic distance and the differences between the two protein sequences, which share only 34% identity and 49% similarity (Figure S1).

2. Deletion of SMI1 renders C. albicans sensitive to cell wall targeting drugs:

We further investigated the role of Smi1 in maintaining cell wall integrity using a medically relevant β -glucan synthase-targeting drug, the echinocandin Caspofungin. The influence of Smi1 on the sensitivity to CFW was also retested in parallel. Homozygous deletions of the two alleles of *SMI1* gene in the *C. albicans* BWP17 genetic background lead to a marked increase in the sensitivity towards both Caspofungin and CFW at 30 °C (Figure 2). These phenotypes are consistent with the ones observed for the *S. cerevisiae knr4* Δ mutant as well as with the role proposed for these proteins in stress signaling pathways (See discussion). Re-integration of *SMI1* open reading frame under the constitutive promoter P_{TDH3} in the $smi1\Delta/\Delta$ deletion mutant restored the wild-type phenotype, while integration of the empty *URA3*-bearing vector did not (Fig. 2).

3. Cell Wall Biophysics

3.1 Cell Wall Strength / Elasticity

Atomic Force Microscopy under liquid conditions can be used to investigate the nanomechnical properties of live wildtype and mutant cells (Dague et al., 2010; Ene et al., 2015; Formosa et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015). Here we first measured the cell surface resistance *vs* the elasticity of three *C. albicans* strains: the control strain, BWP17 AHU (which carries the functional *URA3* marker), the homozygous deletion mutant *smi1*Δ/Δ AHU and a strain over-expressing the *SMI1* gene from the strong constitutive promoter P_{TDH3} in the same BWP17 genetic background, SMI1-OE. Using the Atomic Force Microscope in the Force Volume mode, we collected between 1024 and 4160 force curves per cell on a minimum of 12 cells from 4 independent cultures for each strain. The elasticity of the cells was quantified from these curves by calculating the Young's Modulus (YM) as previously described (Dague et al., 2010), with YM=1 / Elasticity. The higher the Young's Modulus, the stiffer the cells are. As can be seen on Figure 3, the Young's Moduli measured for the control strain BWP17 AHU showed a mean value of 782 +/-158 kPa, significantly higher than the ones measured for the homozygous deletion mutant which had a mean value of 93 +/-34 kPa. The Young's

Moduli measured on the cells of the over-expression strain lay between the control strain and the deletion mutant, with a mean of 298 ± 62 kPa, suggesting either a gene dosage effect for *SMI1* expression or possibly an effect of uncoupling the expression of this gene from the cell cycle. These values are represented for each cell individually on Figure 3 since to allow visualization of the cell-to-cell variability. The mean values \pm SEM of the Young Moduli obtained for these three strains are also reported in Table 2. These measurements show that the deletion of both alleles of *SMI1* resulted in a reduction of the cell surface stiffness by eight to ten fold, indicating a very soft cell wall for this mutant.

320

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

3.2 Adhesion:

Another cell surface feature that can be easily and very precisely measured by AFM is the ability to adhere to surfaces, using Single Molecule Force Spectroscopy (Axner et al., 2010; Benoit et al., 2000; Formosa et al., 2014a; Hinterdorfer et al., 1996; Neuman and Nagy, 2008). Here, adhesion between the cell surface and the AFM bare tips, constituted of Si₃N₄ was measured by scanning square areas on the top of yeast cells. We recorded force curves whose retract parts were then used to generate adhesion maps where the intensity of each pixel corresponds to the force required to dissociate the AFM tip from the sample, i.e. the adhesion force, expressed in picoNewtons pN (see Figure 4). It can be seen immediately from these heat maps that the $smil\Delta/\Delta$ mutant cell surface is almost not adhesive compared to the control strain, while the SMII overexpressing cells on the other hand display a marked increase in their surface adhesion. In addition to the visual representation by these adhesion maps, the cellular adhesion was also evaluated by three quantitative parameters: the mean adhesion force and specific energy of each adhesion event observed and the overall proportion of these adhesive events among all the recorded force curves. In order to quantify these values we defined as an adhesive event any force curve showing an adhesion force above 50pN. With this threshold level we calculated the percentage of adhesive events for each cell type and measured the area situated below the retract curves, which represent the adhesion energy of the event (Table 2). These values indicated that adhesive events were encountered more frequently at the surface of the over-expressing strain SMI1-OE (63% of the recorded 21,500 force curves) than on the control strain (46% of over 13,300 force curves), and were rare on the deletion mutant surface (19% of over 12,300 force curves). The average adhesion force measured on the control strain was of 127 +/- 52 pN, calculated on 6256 adhesive force curves. This has to be compared with an average force of 70 +/-16 pN

(hence barely above the threshold) on 2300 force curves for the $smi1\Delta/\Delta$ mutant. For the SMII OE-strain on the other hand, not only were the adhesive events more frequent (63 %) but they were also much stronger, with forces measured up to 2176 pN and an average value of 712 +/- 260 pN calculated on 12,257 adhesive force curves. The specific energy of these adhesive events also differed; with adhesion energies measured for the over-expressing strain an order of magnitude stronger that for the control strain, while they were about seven times lower on the surface of the deletion mutant. Hence, the homozygous deletion of SMII gene abrogates almost entirely the ability of the mutant cell to adhere using the chemistry described here, while SMII over-expression (or constitutive expression) leads to a highly adhesive phenotype.

The homolog of Smi1 and Knr4 in the model filamentous fungus Neurospora crassa, GS-1

4. Cellular Localization:

localizes at the growing tip of hyphae as a sphere positioned at the "Spitzenkörper" (Verdin et al., 2009). The Spitzenkörper (or apical body) is a fungal structure specific to true hyphae, located at the hyphal tip. It is comprised of the secretory vesicles that are required for continuous polarized growth (Girbardt, 1957; Harris et al., 2005). GS-1-GFP and Knr4-GFP have been imaged respectively at the tip of N. crassa hyphae (Riquelme et al., 2011; Sánchez-León et al., 2011) and at the tip of elongated shmoos in S. cerevisiae ((Martin-Yken, 2012) and our own unpublished data). To test whether the C. albicans homolog would be similarly positioned, a GFP-tag was integrated at the C-terminus of the Smi1 protein at its chromosomal locus and its cellular localization was visualized by confocal fluorescent microscopy in yeast and hyphal cells. In yeast cells, Smi1-GFP appeared both as punctate patches in the cytoplasm and localized transiently to nascent buds. This localization is similar to that reported for Knr4 (Dagkessamanskaia et al., 2010; Martin et al., 1999). Punctate patches and a more diffuse cytoplasmic distribution were observed in C. albicans hyphae, but, unlike in yeast, Smi1-GFP was consistently retained at the growing hyphal tip throughout the cell cycle. This is reminiscent of N. crassa GS-1 localization (see above and discussion). Smi1-GFP was occasionally observed at hyphal septa as a dim signal, but this presence did not reflect specific stages of the cell cycle. Hence, Smi1 in C. albicans appears to associate with intracellular

organelles and localizes to sites of new cell wall growth, a pattern which partially reflects those observed for homologs of Smi1 in *S. cerevisae* in yeast cells and the hyphae of *N. crassa*.

383

380

381

382

384

385

DISCUSSION

386 387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

Our results establish a significant conservation of Knr4/Smi1 function between S. cerevisiae and C. albicans and demonstrate the role of Smi1 in resistance to Caspofungin, regulation of cell wall integrity and cell surface adhesion properties of this major human fungal pathogen. These features suggest that Smi1 might be relevant as a new drug target for combination therapies. Previous work by Nett and colleagues identified a role for Smi1 in the production of extracellular matrix upon biofilm formation and hence the associated resistance to Fluconazole (Nett et al., 2011). Their results indicated that these effects were linked to the cell wall integrity pathway but were in fact regulated by Smi1 independently of the CWI pathway, suggesting a control pathway for Smi1 distinct from that of the PKC pathway. Lafayette and colleagues dissected the mechanisms through which PKC regulates resistance to both azoles and echinocandins in the two yeast models C. albicans and S. cerevisiae (LaFayette et al., 2010). They showed that, in C. albicans, Pkc1 and calcineurin signaling pathways independently regulate antifungal resistance via a common unknown target, which they designed as "X" (see (LaFayette et al., 2010), Fig 9B thereof). Considering the knowledge accumulated on Knr4 in budding yeast together with the data obtained for C. albicans and presented here, we propose that Smi1 might constitute this previously unidentified "X", a common target of the Pkc1 and calcineurin signaling pathways. In addition, another result of this work is the very low stiffness of the $smil\Delta/\Delta$ mutant cell wall indicated by its Young Modulus (Fig. 3, Table 2). The severity of this phenotype compared to the milder one observed for S. cerevisiae $knr4\Delta$ mutant suggests a more important (central) role of Smi1 in the network of signaling pathways controlling cell wall integrity than that of Knr4 in the baker yeast, which is in agreement with these two proteins being the hypothetical "X" in the work of LaFayette and colleagues (LaFayette et al., 2010). The very soft cell wall phenotype observed for the deletion mutant $smil\Delta/\Delta$ is similar to, although more severe, than the one observed for the S. cerevisiae knr4\Delta mutant whose Young's Modulus in stationary phase was reduced three-fold compared to the control strain (Dague et al., 2010). This could

result from the reduced level of β -glucan in the cell wall of this mutant previously reported (Nett et al., 2011). The fact that the homozygous deletion mutant $smi1\Delta/\Delta$ is so strongly affected, despite the presence in this strain of both functional alleles of SMIB, argues for a major role for the SMII gene, at least in the conditions tested (30°C, liquid rich medium, yeast form of C. albicans cells).

Our results show that homozygous deletion of SMI1 gene leads to a marked increase in the sensitivity of C. albicans to both CFW and Caspofungin at 30 °C. Since Caspofungin tolerance has been reported in C. albicans mutants with elevated cell-wall chitin (Perlin, 2015; Plaine et al., 2008; Walker et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2017) while elevated chitin is known to induce hypersensitivity to CFW (Elorza et al., 1983; Roncero and Durán, 1985), this finding may appear puzzling at first glance. However, this is consistent with the phenotypes observed for the S. cerevisiae $knr4\Delta$ mutant (Lesage et al., 2004; Martin et al., 1999). Moreover, as our cell wall stiffness measurements, these phenotypes indicate a severely modified cell wall organization of the deletion mutant $smil\Delta/\Delta$ compared to the control strain, coherent with a possible upstream role of Smi1 in cellular signaling pathways allowing this protein to influence simultaneously the expression level of different cell wall synthesis genes. Consistent with this, early results obtained in the bakers' yeast established a role for Knr4 in the transcriptional control of all S. cerevisiae chitin synthase genes (Martin et al., 1999). The complex interplay of cellular signaling pathways controlling C. albicans susceptibility to echinocandins has been described by Munro and colleagues (Walker et al., 2010), and it now seems possible that Smi1 is one piece of this puzzle.

A previous study investigating the effects of Caspofungin on the yeast cell wall by Atomic Force Microscopy did reveal that treatment by this echinocandin increased C. albicans cell wall stiffness and at the same time enhanced cell surface adhesion (Formosa et al., 2013). With this in mind, together with the remarkable sensitivity of the $smi1\Delta/\Delta$ deletion mutant to this drug, it is tempting to postulate that SMI1 gene product is required for the establishment of the cell's response to Caspofungin. It has however not been possible to test the effect of Caspofungin treatment on the deletion mutant by AFM, precisely due to its extreme sensitivity and lethality in the conditions of the test.

The cellular localization observed for Smi1 in yeast cells and hyphae, as cytoplasmic patches and concentrated spots at the polarized growth sites, is coherent with the idea of cellular function conservation between Smi1 and *S. cerevisiae* Knr4. Indeed, similar cellular

localization has been reported for the MAP kinase Slt2 and for Calmodulin, the phosphatase which activates Calcineurin (Brockerhoff and Davis, 1992; van Drogen and Peter, 2002). In the model filamentous fungus, N. crassa, GS1, the homolog of Smi1, localizes at the Spitzenkörper within the hyphal tip (Verdin et al., 2009; Sánchez-León et al., 2011). This localization is conserved in another ascomycete filamentous fungus, A. nidulans (also called Emericella nidulans), (Schultzhaus et al., 2015). The results presented here indicate that Smi1 also localizes in or around the Spitzenkörper of C. albicans hyphae in a similar manner to that observed for GS-1 in N. crassa. Finally, given the cell wall related phenotypes reported for GS-1 mutants of N. crassa (Enderlin and Selitrennikoff, 1994; Resheat-Eini et al., 2008; Seiler and Plamann, 2003), it is tempting to speculate that the function of these proteins is not only conserved between C. albicans and S. cerevisiae, but also, to some extent at least, in filamentous fungi. The role of Smi1 in the control of cell wall synthesis, cellular adhesion and drug resistance is of great interest with regard to finding new antifungal targets. The decisive advantage of Smi1 as a drug target over Hsp90, calcineurin, Pkc1 or other MAP kinases is the fact that the Knr4/Smi1 superfamily of proteins is specific of the Fungal Kingdom and absent from host cells (Martin-Yken et al., 2016). In addition, since this protein family is conserved among fungi, including other fungal pathogens of mammals (C. glabrata and Aspergillus species notably) and also plants (ex: Magnaporthe grisea), developing drugs that target Smi1 might lead to broader antifungal applications in domains such as agriculture.

466

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

467468

Acknowledgements:

470

469

- 471 Pr. Christophe d'Enfert from Institut Pasteur (Paris) for strains and plasmids, Dr Jean-Luc
- 472 Parrou for vector YEplac195 *PGK/CYC1*.
- The FEBS society and the organizers of the Human Fungal Pathogen Schools 2015 and 2017
- 474 for a great introduction to C. albicans and other human fungal pathogens, as well as
- 475 Formation permamente of the Centre INRA Toulouse Occitanie for financing HMY
- attendance to these researcher schools.
- 477 HMY is forever grateful to Frans M. Klis for his kindness throughout the years and his great
- 478 advice to "Start working on C. albicans".

479

Strain name	Genotype	References
	Candida albicans strains :	l
BWP17	$ura3\Delta$:: $\lambda imm434/ura3\Delta$:: $\lambda imm434$, $arg4\Delta$:: $hisG/arg4\Delta$:: $hisG$,	(Wilson et al., 1999)
	$his1\Delta$:: $hisG/his1\Delta$:: $hisG$	
CEC161	Isogenic to BWP17 but arg4\Delta::hisG/ARG4, his1\Delta::hisG/HIS1	(Firon et al., 2007)
BWP17 AHU	Isogenic to CEC161 but RPS1/rps1::CIp10-URA3	(Chauvel et al., 2012)
smi1∆/∆	Isogenic to BWP17 but smi1\Delta::HIS1/smi1\Delta::ARG4	This study
$smi1\Delta/\Delta$ - AHU	Isogenic to smi1Δ/Δ but RPS1/rps1::CIp10-URA3	This study
$smi1\Delta/\Delta + P_{TDH3}$	Isogenic to smi1Δ/Δ but RPS1/rps1::CIp10-P _{TDH3} -SMI1	This study
SMI1		
smi1B\(\Delta/\Delta\)	Isogenic to BWP17 but smi1BΔ::HIS1/smi1BΔ::ARG4	This study
SMI1-OE	Isogenic to CEC2908 but RPS1/rps1::CIp10-P _{TDH3} -SMI1	This study
DAY185	ura3::imm434/ura3::imm434	Davis et al., 2000
	arg4::hisG::ARG4-URA3/arg4::hisG	
SMI1-GFP	DAY185 SMI1::SMII-GFP-NAT	This study
	Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains:	I
BY4741a	MAT \mathbf{a} ; his $3\Delta 1$ leu $2\Delta 0$; met $15\Delta 0$; ura $3\Delta 0$	(Brachmann et al.,
		1998)
knr4∆	BY4741 a YGR229c::KanMX4	YKO Collection
		(Open Biosystems)
W303-2N	MAT a /α ura3-1/ura3-1 leu2-3,112/leu2-3,112 trp1-	
	1/trp1-1 his3-11,15/his3-11,15 ade2-1/ade2-1 can1-	
	100/can1-100	
HM1315	W303-2N YGR229c::KanMX4/ YGR229c::KanMX4	This study.

484 <u>Table 1. Yeast strains used in this study.</u>

Cell Type	Young Modulus (kPa)	% of Adhesive events	Mean Adhesion Force (pN)	Adhesion Energy (=Area below the force curve) (J)
-----------	------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------	---

BWP17 AHU	782 (+/- 158)	46.1	127 (+/- 52)	1.77 x10 ⁻¹⁷
smi1∆/∆ AHU	93 (+/- 34)	19.4	70 (+/- 26)	0.26 x10 ⁻¹⁷
SMI1-OE	298 (+/- 62)	62.9	712 (+/- 445)	15.82 x10 ⁻¹⁷

490 491

Table 2: Summary of Atomic Force Microscopy measurements for BWP17 AHU, $smi1\Delta/\Delta$ AHU, and SMI1-OE strains.

493494

495

492

- Column 1: Mean values with standard deviation of Young's Moduli calculated from force curves obtained as described above (3.1).
- Column 2: Percentage of adhesive events measured by AFM, calculated from at least 12,000 force curves for each cell type, with a threshold level for the definition of an adhesive event as
- 498 50pN on the retraction curve.
- Column 3: Mean values of Adhesion forces for each cell type, calculated from adhesive force curves obtained as described above.
- Column 4: Mean values of the Adhesion Energy for each strain, calculated from the area below the force curves presenting an adhesion event.

503

506	LEGENDS TO FIGURES
507	
508	Figure 1. C. albicans SMII gene expression suppresses the cell wall associated
509	phenotypes of S. cerevisiae knr4 Δ mutants.
510	A) Transformed control strain BY4741a and mutant strain $knr4\Delta$ with either empty plasmid
511	YEplac195 PGK/CYC1 or pSM1 bearing C. albicans SM1 gene or pKNR4 bearing S.
512	cerevisiae KNR4 gene were grown in liquid SD medium lacking uracile at 30°C to an OD_{600}
513	of 1, and concentrated to OD600 8±0. Serial dilutions of yeast cultures were spotted on YPD
514	plates in the absence or presence of 150 ng caspofungin ml-1. Growth was scored after 2
515	days at 30°C.
516	B) Transformed control strain W3032N and mutant strain HM1315 $knr4\Delta/\Delta$ with either
517	empty YEplac195 PGK/CYC1 plasmid or pSM1 (Yeplac195 bearing C. albicans SM1 gene
518	under P _{PGK1}) or pKNR4 (Yeplac195 bearing S. cerevisiae KNR4 gene under P _{PGK1}) were
519	grown overnight in liquid SD medium lacking uracile at 30°C and concentrated to $OD_{600}~8\pm0$.
520	Serial dilutions of yeast cultures were spotted on YPD plates. Growth was scored after 2 days
521	at 30°C and 37°C.
522	
523	Figure 2. Calcofluor White and caspofungin sensitivity of the C. albicans $smi1\Delta/\Delta$
524	mutant.
525	Control strain BWP17 and mutant strain $smi1$ Δ/Δ were grown in liquid YPD medium at 30°C
526	to an OD600 of 1, and concentrated to OD600 8±0. Serial dilutions of yeast cultures were
527	spotted on YPD plates in the absence or presence of 40mg of CFW or 150 ng caspofungin
528	ml-1. Growth was scored after 2 days at 30°C.
529	
530	
531	Figure 3. Young Moduli of $smi1\Delta/\Delta$ mutant and SMI1-OE vs control strain.
532	Atomic Force Microscope was used to collect over 12,300 force curves for each strain on the
533	control strain BWP17 AHU, the $smi1\Delta/\Delta$ mutant AHU and the SMI1-OE strain over-
534	expressing the SMII. The Young's Moduli (YM =1 / Elasticity) were quantified from these
535	curves as described and are presented here as a dot on the mean YM value, with SEM for
536	each cell. The bar represents the mean of the YM values with each SEM. Statistical analysis
537	was done using the One-way ANOVA test, **** = p value < 0.0001 .
538	

539	
540	Figure 4. Adhesion Maps recorded on the cellular surfaces of $smi~\Delta/\Delta$ mutant and SMI1-
541	OE vs control strain.
542	Adhesion force measurements performed on single C. albicans cells by Atomic Force
543	Microscopy. The adhesion maps presented have been recorded on three independent and
544	representative C. albicans cells for each cell type. Each analyzed area covers $1\times1~\mu\text{m}2$.
545	Adhesion scales are shown and read as follows: bright yellow = maximum adhesion force at 2
546	nN; dark red = minimum at 0.0 nN.
547	
548	Figure 5. Smi1-GFP localizes to apical growth sites in yeast and hyphae. Cells were
549	grown on Ibidi μ -slides in YNB medium at 30°C for 2h (yeast) and 20 % FCS, 2 % glucose at
550	37°C for 3h (hyphae). Smi1-GFP localised transiently to emerging bud tips in yeast (arrows)
551	and to septa in hyphae (asterisks) but was maintained consistently at hyphal/branch tips
552	(arrows). Punctate fluorescence patches were also observed throughout yeast and hyphal cells.
553	Images are maximum projections of individual z-stacks.
554	
555	
556	
557	

References:

- Axner, O., Björnham, O., Castelain, M., Koutris, E., Schedin, S., Fällman, E., and Andersson,
- M. (2010). Unraveling the secrets of bacterial adhesion organelles using single molecule
- force spectroscopy. In DIVA, (Springer Verlag), pp. 337–362.
- Benoit, M., Gabriel, D., Gerisch, G., and Gaub, H.E. (2000). Discrete interactions in cell
- adhesion measured by single-molecule force spectroscopy. Nat. Cell Biol. 2, 313–317.
- Birkaya, B., Maddi, A., Joshi, J., Free, S.J., and Cullen, P.J. (2009). Role of the Cell Wall
- 566 Integrity and Filamentous Growth Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Pathways in Cell Wall
- 567 Remodeling during Filamentous Growth. Eukaryot. Cell 8, 1118–1133.
- Brachmann, C.B., Davies, A., Cost, G.J., Caputo, E., Li, J., Hieter, P., and Boeke, J.D. (1998).
- 569 Designer deletion strains derived from Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C: a useful set of
- 570 strains and plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other applications. Yeast
- 571 Chichester Engl. 14, 115–132.
- Brockerhoff, S.E., and Davis, T.N. (1992). Calmodulin concentrates at regions of cell growth in
- 573 Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Cell Biol *118*, 619–629.
- Brown, A.J.P., Leach, M.D., and Nicholls, S. (2010). The relevance of heat shock regulation in
- 575 fungal pathogens of humans. Virulence 1, 330–332.
- Brown, G.D., Denning, D.W., Gow, N.A.R., Levitz, S.M., Netea, M.G., and White, T.C. (2012).
- 577 Hidden Killers: Human Fungal Infections. Sci. Transl. Med. 4, 165rv13-165rv13.
- 578 Chauvel, M., Nesseir, A., Cabral, V., Znaidi, S., Goyard, S., Bachellier-Bassi, S., Firon, A.,
- 579 Legrand, M., Diogo, D., Naulleau, C., et al. (2012). A Versatile Overexpression Strategy in the
- 580 Pathogenic Yeast Candida albicans: Identification of Regulators of Morphogenesis and
- 581 Fitness. PLoS ONE 7, e45912.
- 582 Chopinet, L., Formosa, C., Rols, M.P., Duval, R.E., and Dague, E. (2013). Imaging living cells
- surface and quantifying its properties at high resolution using AFM in QITM mode. Micron
- 584 Oxf. Engl. 1993 48, 26–33.
- Dagkessamanskaia, A., El Azzouzi, K., Kikuchi, Y., Timmers, T., Ohya, Y., Francois, J.M., and
- 586 Martin-Yken, H. (2010). Knr4 N-terminal domain controls its localization and function during
- sexual differentiation and vegetative growth. Yeast *27*, 563–574.
- Dague, E., Bitar, R., Ranchon, H., Durand, F., Yken, H.M., and Francois, J.M. (2010). An atomic
- force microscopy analysis of yeast mutants defective in cell wall architecture. Yeast 27, 673–
- 590 684.
- Dague, E., Jauvert, E., Laplatine, L., Viallet, B., Thibault, C., and Ressier, L. (2011). Assembly of
- 592 live micro-organisms on microstructured PDMS stamps by convective/capillary deposition
- 593 for AFM bio-experiments. Nanotechnology 22, 395102.
- Desai, J.V., Mitchell, A.P., and Andes, D.R. (2014). Fungal Biofilms, Drug Resistance, and
- Recurrent Infection. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med. 4, a019729–a019729.

- van Drogen, F., and Peter, M. (2002). Spa2p functions as a scaffold-like protein to recruit the
- 597 Mpk1p MAP kinase module to sites of polarized growth. Curr Biol 12, 1698–1703.
- 598 Enderlin, C.S., and Selitrennikoff, C.P. (1994). Cloning and characterization of a Neurospora
- crassa gene required for (1,3) beta-glucan synthase activity and cell wall formation. Proc Natl
- 600 Acad Sci U A 91, 9500–9504.
- 601 Ene, I.V., Walker, L.A., Schiavone, M., Lee, K.K., Martin-Yken, H., Dague, E., Gow, N.A.R.,
- Munro, C.A., and Brown, A.J.P. (2015). Cell Wall Remodeling Enzymes Modulate Fungal Cell
- Wall Elasticity and Osmotic Stress Resistance. MBio 6, e00986-15.
- 604 Firon, A., Aubert, S., Iraqui, I., Guadagnini, S., Goyard, S., Prévost, M.-C., Janbon, G., and
- d'Enfert, C. (2007). The SUN41 and SUN42 genes are essential for cell separation in Candida
- 606 albicans. Mol. Microbiol. 66, 1256–1275.
- 607 Fishel, B.R., Sperry, A.O., and Garrard, W.T. (1993). Yeast calmodulin and a conserved
- 608 nuclear protein participate in the in vivo binding of a matrix association region. Proc Natl
- 609 Acad Sci U A 90, 5623-5627.
- 610 Formosa, C., Schiavone, M., Martin-Yken, H., François, J.M., Duval, R.E., and Dague, E.
- 611 (2013). Nanoscale effects of caspofungin against two yeast species, Saccharomyces
- cerevisiae and Candida albicans. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. *57*, 3498–3506.
- 613 Formosa, C., Pillet, F., Schiavone, M., Duval, R.E., Ressier, L., and Dague, E. (2014a).
- 614 Generation of living cell arrays for atomic force microscopy studies. Nat. Protoc. 10, 199–
- 615 204.
- 616 Formosa, C., Schiavone, M., Boisrame, A., Richard, M.L., Duval, R.E., and Dague, E. (2014b).
- 617 Multiparametric imaging of adhesive nanodomains at the surface of Candida albicans by
- atomic force microscopy. Nanomedicine Nanotechnol. Biol. Med.
- 619 Gietz, R.D., and Sugino, A. (1988). New yeast-Escherichia coli shuttle vectors constructed
- with in vitro mutagenized yeast genes lacking six-base pair restriction sites. Gene 74, 527–
- 621 534.
- 622 Gietz, R.D., and Woods, R.A. (2006). Yeast Transformation by the LiAc/SS Carrier DNA/PEG
- Method. In Yeast Protocol, (Humana Press, Totowa, NJ), pp. 107–120.
- 624 Girbardt, M. (1957). Der Spitzenkörper von Polystictus versicolor (L.). Planta 50, 47–59.
- Gola, S., Martin, R., Walther, A., Dünkler, A., and Wendland, J. (2003). New modules for PCR-
- based gene targeting in Candida albicans: rapid and efficient gene targeting using 100 bp of
- flanking homology region. Yeast 20, 1339–1347.
- Harris, S.D., Read, N.D., Roberson, R.W., Shaw, B., Seiler, S., Plamann, M., and Momany, M.
- 629 (2005). Polarisome Meets Spitzenkörper: Microscopy, Genetics, and Genomics Converge.
- 630 Eukaryot. Cell 4, 225–229.
- Hertz, H. (1881). Ueber die Berührung fester elastischer Körper. J Für Reine Angew Math
- 632 156-171.

- 633 Hinterdorfer, P., Baumgartner, W., Gruber, H.J., Schilcher, K., and Schindler, H. (1996).
- Detection and localization of individual antibody-antigen recognition events by atomic force
- 635 microscopy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 93, 3477–3481.
- Hutter, J.L., and Bechhoefer, J. (1993). Calibration of atomic-force microscope tips. Rev. Sci.
- 637 Instrum. 64, 1868–1873.
- 638 Kathiravan, M.K., Salake, A.B., Chothe, A.S., Dudhe, P.B., Watode, R.P., Mukta, M.S., and
- 639 Gadhwe, S. (2012). The biology and chemistry of antifungal agents: a review. Bioorg. Med.
- 640 Chem. 20, 5678–5698.
- LaFayette, S.L., Collins, C., Zaas, A.K., Schell, W.A., Betancourt-Quiroz, M., Gunatilaka, A.A.L.,
- Perfect, J.R., and Cowen, L.E. (2010). PKC Signaling Regulates Drug Resistance of the Fungal
- Pathogen Candida albicans via Circuitry Comprised of Mkc1, Calcineurin, and Hsp90. PLoS
- 644 Pathog 6, e1001069.
- Lesage, G., Sdicu, A.-M., Menard, P., Shapiro, J., Hussein, S., and Bussey, H. (2004). Analysis
- of beta-1,3-glucan assembly in Saccharomyces cerevisiae using a synthetic interaction
- network and altered sensitivity to caspofungin. Genetics 167, 35–49.
- 648 Liu, R., Formosa, C., Dagkessamanskaia, Dague, E., Francois, J.M., and Martin-Yken (2015).
- 649 Combining Atomic Force Microscopy and genetics to investigate the role of Knr4 in
- 650 Saccharomyces cerevisiae sensitivity to K9 Killer toxin. Lett. Appl. NanoBioscience 4, 306–
- 651 315.
- Liu, T.T., Lee, R.E.B., Barker, K.S., Lee, R.E., Wei, L., Homayouni, R., and Rogers, P.D. (2005).
- 653 Genome-wide expression profiling of the response to azole, polyene, echinocandin, and
- 654 pyrimidine antifungal agents in Candida albicans. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 49, 2226–
- 655 2236.
- Markovich, S., Yekutiel, A., Shalit, I., Shadkchan, Y., and Osherov, N. (2004). Genomic
- Approach to Identification of Mutations Affecting Caspofungin Susceptibility in
- 658 Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 48, 3871–3876.
- Martin, H., Dagkessamanskaia, A., Satchanska, G., Dallies, N., and François, J. (1999). KNR4, a
- suppressor of Saccharomyces cerevisiae cwh mutants, is involved in the transcriptional
- control of chitin synthase genes. Microbiol. Read. Engl. 145 (Pt 1), 249–258.
- 662 Martin-Yken, H. (2012). Knr4/Smi1 family: Conserved fungal chaperones of puzzling origin.
- 663 (Primosten, Croatia).
- Martin-Yken, H., Dagkessamanskaia, A., Basmaji, F., Lagorce, A., and Francois, J. (2003). The
- interaction of SIt2 MAP kinase with Knr4 is necessary for signalling through the cell wall
- integrity pathway in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Microbiol 49, 23–35.
- Martin-Yken, H., François, J.M., and Zerbib, D. (2016). Knr4: a disordered hub protein at the
- heart of fungal cell wall signalling. Cell. Microbiol. 18, 1217–1227.

- Milne, S.W., Cheetham, J., Lloyd, D., Aves, S., and Bates, S. (2011). Cassettes for PCR-
- 670 mediated gene tagging in Candida albicans utilizing nourseothricin resistance. Yeast
- 671 Chichester Engl. 28, 833–841.
- Nett, J.E., Sanchez, H., Cain, M.T., Ross, K.M., and Andes, D.R. (2011). Interface of Candida
- albicans biofilm matrix-associated drug resistance and cell wall integrity regulation.
- 674 Eukaryot. Cell 10, 1660–1669.
- Neuman, K.C., and Nagy, A. (2008). Single-molecule force spectroscopy: optical tweezers,
- 676 magnetic tweezers and atomic force microscopy. Nat. Methods *5*, 491–505.
- Odds, F.C., Brown, A.J.P., and Gow, N.A.R. (2003). Antifungal agents: mechanisms of action.
- 678 Trends Microbiol. 11, 272–279.
- 679 Perlin, D.S. (2015). Mechanisms of echinocandin antifungal drug resistance. Ann. N. Y. Acad.
- 680 Sci. *1354*, 1–11.
- Plaine, A., Walker, L., Da Costa, G., Mora-Montes, H.M., McKinnon, A., Gow, N.A.R.,
- 682 Gaillardin, C., Munro, C.A., and Richard, M.L. (2008). Functional analysis of Candida albicans
- 683 GPI-anchored proteins: Roles in cell wall integrity and caspofungin sensitivity. Fungal Genet.
- 684 Biol. 45, 1404–1414.
- Ram, A.F., Kapteyn, J.C., Montijn, R.C., Caro, L.H., Douwes, J.E., Baginsky, W., Mazur, P., van
- den Ende, H., and Klis, F.M. (1998). Loss of the plasma membrane-bound protein Gas1p in
- 687 Saccharomyces cerevisiae results in the release of beta1,3-glucan into the medium and
- induces a compensation mechanism to ensure cell wall integrity. J Bacteriol 180, 1418–1424.
- Resheat-Eini, Z., Zelter, A., Gorovits, R., Read, N., and Yarden, O. (2008). Neurospora crassa
- colonial temperature sensitive 2, 4 and 5 (cot-2, cot-4 and cot-5) genes encode regulatory
- and structural proteins required for hyphal elongation and branching.
- 692 Riquelme, M., Yarden, O., Bartnicki-Garcia, S., Bowman, B., Castro-Longoria, E., Free, S.J.,
- 693 Fleißner, A., Freitag, M., Lew, R.R., Mouriño-Pérez, R., et al. (2011). Architecture and
- 694 development of the Neurospora crassa hypha a model cell for polarized growth. Fungal
- 695 Biol. *115*, 446–474.
- 696 Sánchez-León, E., Verdín, J., Freitag, M., Roberson, R.W., Bartnicki-Garcia, S., and Riquelme,
- 697 M. (2011). Traffic of chitin synthase 1 (CHS-1) to the Spitzenkörper and developing septa in
- 698 hyphae of Neurospora crassa: actin dependence and evidence of distinct microvesicle
- 699 populations. Eukaryot. Cell 10, 683–695.
- Sanglard, D., and Odds, F.C. (2002). Resistance of Candida species to antifungal agents:
- molecular mechanisms and clinical consequences. Lancet Infect. Dis. 2, 73–85.
- Sanglard, D., Kuchler, K., Ischer, F., Pagani, J.L., Monod, M., and Bille, J. (1995). Mechanisms
- of resistance to azole antifungal agents in Candida albicans isolates from AIDS patients
- involve specific multidrug transporters. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 39, 2378–2386.

- 705 Schaub, Y., Dünkler, A., Walther, A., and Wendland, J. (2006). New pFA-cassettes for PCR-
- based gene manipulation in Candida albicans. J. Basic Microbiol. 416–429.
- 707 Scorzoni, L., de Paula e Silva, A.C.A., Marcos, C.M., Assato, P.A., de Melo, W.C.M.A., de
- 708 Oliveira, H.C., Costa-Orlandi, C.B., Mendes-Giannini, M.J.S., and Fusco-Almeida, A.M. (2017).
- 709 Antifungal Therapy: New Advances in the Understanding and Treatment of Mycosis. Front.
- 710 Microbiol. 8.
- 711 Seiler, S., and Plamann, M. (2003). The genetic basis of cellular morphogenesis in the
- 712 filamentous fungus Neurospora crassa. Mol. Biol. Cell 14, 4352–4364.
- 713 Simões, J., Bezerra, A.R., Moura, G.R., Araújo, H., Gut, I., Bayes, M., and Santos, M.A.S.
- 714 (2016). The Fungus Candida albicans Tolerates Ambiguity at Multiple Codons. Front.
- 715 Microbiol. 7.
- 716 Smolyakov, G., Formosa-Dague, C., Severac, C., Duval, R.E., and Dague, E. (2016). High speed
- 717 indentation measures by FV, QI and QNM introduce a new understanding of
- 718 bionanomechanical experiments. Micron *85*, 8–14.
- 719 Taff, H.T., Mitchell, K.F., Edward, J.A., and Andes, D.R. (2013). Mechanisms of Candida
- 720 biofilm drug resistance. Future Microbiol. 8.
- 721 Vanden Bossche, H., Koymans, L., and Moereels, H. (1995). P450 inhibitors of use in medical
- treatment: focus on mechanisms of action. Pharmacol. Ther. 67, 79–100.
- 723 Vediyappan, G., Rossignol, T., and d'Enfert, C. (2010). Interaction of Candida albicans
- 724 Biofilms with Antifungals: Transcriptional Response and Binding of Antifungals to Beta-
- 725 Glucans. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. *54*, 2096–2111.
- 726 Verdin, J., Bartnicki-Garcia, S., and Riquelme, M. (2009). Functional stratification of the
- 727 Spitzenkorper of Neurospora crassa. Mol Microbiol 74, 1044–1053.
- 728 Walker, L.A., Gow, N.A.R., and Munro, C.A. (2010). Fungal echinocandin resistance. Fungal
- 729 Genet. Biol. 47, 117-126.
- 730 Walker, L.A., Gow, N.A.R., and Munro, C.A. (2013). Elevated Chitin Content Reduces the
- 731 Susceptibility of Candida Species to Caspofungin. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57, 146–
- 732 154.
- 733 Walther, A., and Wendland, J. (2003). An improved transformation protocol for the human
- fungal pathogen Candida albicans. Curr. Genet. 42, 339–343.
- 735 White, T.C., Andrews, L.E., Maltby, D., and Agabian, N. (1995). The "universal" leucine codon
- 736 CTG in the secreted aspartyl proteinase 1 (SAP1) gene of Candida albicans encodes a serine
- 737 in vivo. J. Bacteriol. *177*, 2953–2955.
- 738 Wilson, R.B., Davis, D., and Mitchell, A.P. (1999). Rapid Hypothesis Testing with Candida
- 739 albicans through Gene Disruption with Short Homology Regions. J. Bacteriol. 181, 1868–
- 740 1874.

741 742 743 744	Yang, F., Zhang, L., Wakabayashi, H., Myers, J., Jiang, Y., Cao, Y., Jimenez-Ortigosa, C., Perlin, D.S., and Rustchenko, E. (2017). Tolerance to Caspofungin in Candida albicans Is Associated with at Least Three Distinctive Mechanisms That Govern Expression of FKS Genes and Cell Wall Remodeling. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. <i>61</i> .
745 746 747	Zarnowski, R., Westler, W.M., Lacmbouh, G.A., Marita, J.M., Bothe, J.R., Bernhardt, J., Lounes-Hadj Sahraoui, A., Fontaine, J., Sanchez, H., Hatfield, R.D., et al. (2014). Novel Entries in a Fungal Biofilm Matrix Encyclopedia. MBio <i>5</i> , e01333-14-e01333-14.
748 749	