

Prognostic impact of celiac lymph node involvement in patients after frontline treatment for advanced ovarian cancer

Martina Aida Angeles, Gwenaël Ferron, Bastien Cabarrou, Gisèle Balague, Carlos Martínez-Gómez, Laurence Gladieff, Christophe Pomel, Alejandra

Martinez

▶ To cite this version:

Martina Aida Angeles, Gwenaël Ferron, Bastien Cabarrou, Gisèle Balague, Carlos Martínez-Gómez, et al.. Prognostic impact of celiac lymph node involvement in patients after frontline treatment for advanced ovarian cancer. EJSO - European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 10.1016/j.ejso.2019.02.018 . hal-02090344

HAL Id: hal-02090344 https://hal.science/hal-02090344v1

Submitted on 25 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

- 1 Title: Prognostic Impact of Celiac Lymph Node Involvement in patients after Frontline
- 2 Treatment for Advanced Ovarian Cancer
- 3
- 4 **Authors:** Martina Aida Angeles¹, MD; Gwénaël Ferron^{1,2}, MD, PhD; Bastien Cabarrou³,
- 5 PhD; Gisèle Balague⁴, MD; Carlos Martínez-Gómez^{1,5}, MD; Laurence Gladieff⁶, MD;
- 6 Christophe Pomel⁷, MD, PhD; Alejandra Martinez^{1,5}, MD, PhD.
- 7

8 Affiliations:

- 9 ¹Department of Surgical Oncology, Institut Claudius Regaud Institut Universitaire du
- 10 Cancer de Toulouse (IUCT) Oncopole, Toulouse, France.
- ²INSERM CRCT 19, Toulouse, France.
- 12 ³Biostatistics Unit, Institut Claudius Regaud Institut Universitaire du Cancer de Toulouse
- 13 (IUCT) Oncopole, Toulouse, France.
- ⁴Department of Radiology, Institut Claudius Regaud Institut Universitaire du Cancer de
- 15 Toulouse (IUCT) Oncopole, Toulouse, France.
- ⁵INSERM CRCT 1, Toulouse, France.
- ⁶Department of Medical Oncology, Institut Claudius Regaud Institut Universitaire du
- 18 Cancer de Toulouse (IUCT) Oncopole, Toulouse, France.
- ⁷Department of Surgical Oncology, CRLCC Jean Perrin, Clermont-Ferrand, France.
- 20

21 **Corresponding author:**

- 22 Alejandra Martinez, MD, PhD
- 23 Institut Claudius Regaud Institut Universitaire du Cancer de Toulouse (IUCT) Oncopole
- 24 1 avenue Irène Joliot-Curie
- 25 31059 TOULOUSE Cedex 9

26	Martinez.Alejandra@iuct-oncopole.fr
27	
28	Conflict of Interest: none.
29	
30	Acknowledgements:
31	Martina Aida Angeles acknowledges the grant support from "la Caixa" Foundation,
32	Barcelona (Spain).
33	Carlos Martínez-Gómez acknowledges the grant support from Alfonso Martín Escudero
34	Foundation, Madrid (Spain).
35	
36	Martina Aida Angeles: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4401-3084
37	Gwénaël Ferron: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1003-9916
38	Bastien Cabarrou: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1477-6013
39	Gisèle Balagué: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3572-3378
40	Carlos Martínez-Gómez: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9652-7880
41	Laurence Gladieff: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6980-9719
42	Christophe Pomel: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3903-7321
43	Alejandra Martinez: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7633-3536
44	

45 Abstract

46 Introduction

Completeness of cytoreduction is the most important prognostic factor in patients with advanced ovarian cancer (OC). Extensive upper abdominal surgery has allowed to increase the rate complete cytoreduction and the feasibility of resection of celiac lymph nodes (CLN) and porta hepatis disease in these patients has been demonstrated. The aim of our study was to assess the prognostic impact of CLN involvement in patients with primary advanced OC undergoing a complete cytoreductive surgery (CRS).

53 Material and methods

We designed a retrospective unicentric study. We reviewed data from patients who underwent CLN resection with or without porta hepatis disease resection, within upfront or interval complete CRS in the frontline treatment of advanced epithelial OC between January 2008 and December 2015. Patients were classified in two groups according to CLN status. Univariate and multivariate analyses were conducted. Survival rates were estimated using Kaplan-Meier method.

60 **Results**

Forty-three patients were included and positive CLN were found in 39.5% of them. The median disease-free survival in the group of patients with positive and negative CLN were 11.3 months and 25.8 months, respectively. In multivariable analysis, both CLN involvement and high peritoneal cancer index were independently associated with decreased disease-free survival. Computed tomography re-reading by an expert radiologist has good sensitivity for detection of positive CLN.

67 **Conclusion**

68 CLN involvement and high preoperative tumor burden are independently associated with 69 decreased survival after complete cytoreduction for OC. CLN involvement is a marker of 70 diffuse disease and an independent risk factor for early recurrent disease.

- 72 Keywords: celiac lymph node status, porta hepatis disease, advanced epithelial ovarian
- cancer, peritoneal ovarian carcinomatosis, upper abdominal procedures.

74 **Text**

75 **1. INTRODUCTION**

76

Completeness of cytoreduction has demonstrated to have a more significant influence on 77 survival of patients with advanced ovarian cancer (OC) than the extent of the metastatic 78 disease present before the surgery[1]. In the last decades, there has been an evolution in the 79 surgical approach of advanced OC. The incorporation of extensive upper abdominal 80 procedures (UAP) has allowed to almost double the rate of optimal cytoreduction[2,3]. Celiac 81 lymph nodes (CLN) and porta hepatis (PH) are one of the disease sites, which can hinder a 82 83 complete cytoreduction. In order to improve complete cytoreduction rates, we demonstrated the feasibility with an acceptable morbidity of the resection of CLN and PH disease in 84 patients with advanced or recurrent OC[4]. Moreover, we showed that disease in CLN in 85 86 primary or recurrent OC was a marker of disease severity and that these patients had a worse oncologic outcome[5]. 87

The aim of our study was to evaluate the prognostic impact of CLN involvement in a homogeneous cohort of patients who underwent a complete cytoreductive surgery (CRS) for a primary diagnosis of advanced OC (FIGO stage IIIC-IV).

- 91
- 92

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

- 93
- 94

2.1.Patients and study design

95 A computer-generated search of our institution patient database was carried out to 96 retrospectively identify all patients who underwent CLN resection with or without PH disease 97 resection, within upfront or interval complete CRS in the frontline treatment of advanced 98 (FIGO stage IIIC-IV) epithelial ovarian, fallopian or primary peritoneal cancer between January 2008 and December 2015 at the French Comprehensive Cancer Center, Institut
Claudius Regaud – Institut Universitaire du Cancer de Toulouse, France. Institutional Review
Board approval was obtained from our center.

102

103

2.2. Preoperative assessment, surgery principles and chemotherapy treatment

All the patients underwent a preoperative imaging study including a computed tomography
(CT) of the chest, abdomen and pelvis. In selected cases of extra-abdominal disease suspicion,
a positron emission tomography was performed.

All the surgical procedures were performed by two experienced oncological surgeons. The 107 108 surgical technique of CRS was performed following Surgarbaker principles of peritonectomy[6] and the CLN and PH disease resection was carried out as we previously 109 described[4]. The extent and distribution of the disease throughout the 13 abdominopelvic 110 regions were evaluated with the peritoneal cancer index (PCI). The main goal of the surgery 111 was to obtain a complete cytoreduction, evaluated using the Completeness Cytoreduction 112 113 score[7]. The indication of CLN or PH disease resection was based on the intraoperative 114 findings of suspicious lymph nodes (those measuring more than 1 cm and/or indurated at palpation) or carcinomatosis in the PH peritoneum, respectively. We used Aletti Score to 115 116 quantify the surgical complexity [8] and we evaluated postoperative complications following Clavien-Dindo Classification [9]. 117

The indication of neoadjuvant chemotherapy was based on the sum of procedures required to achieve complete cytoreduction, on medical comorbidities, and on the potential to tolerate an extensive procedure. Patients with deep infiltration of the small bowel mesentery, diffuse carcinomatosis involving large parts of the small bowel, stomach, infiltration of the duodenum or pancreas (not limited to the pancreatic tail), or more than two bowel resections required to eradicate the disease were considered for neoadjuvant chemotherapy. After three

cycles of platinum and taxane-based chemotherapy, a clinical, biological and imaging 124 125 evaluation of the response to chemotherapy were performed. In case of poor response or bad performance status, three additional cycles of chemotherapy where administered before the 126 127 surgery. Adjuvant chemotherapy was administered, when feasible, within 2 months after the surgery with carboplatin and paclitaxel until completing a total of six cycles. In case of poor 128 response with important residual disease, two to three cycles of chemotherapy or 129 antiangiogenic maintenance treatment with bevacizumab were added after discussion at the 130 tumor board. To evaluate the accuracy of an expert radiologist for the detection of CLN 131 involvement, all the preoperative CTs a double lecture by an experienced radiologist of our 132 133 center (G.B.).

134

135

2.3.Study data

Medical records were carefully examined, and patient demographic data with particular emphasis on operative records to detail the extent and distribution of the disease spread, surgical procedures, histologic data, and follow-up data were included.

139

140 *2.4.Statistical analysis*

141 Data were summarized by frequency and percentage for categorical variables and by median and range for continuous variables. Comparisons between groups were performed using the 142 Chi-squared or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables and the Mann-Whitney test for 143 continuous variables. Disease-free survival (DFS) was defined as the time from the date of 144 145 diagnosis until relapse or death, patients alive and disease-free were censored at last follow-up news. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time from the date of diagnosis until death, 146 patients alive were censored at last follow-up news. Survival data were summarized using the 147 Kaplan-Meier method with their 95% confidence intervals. Univariable analysis was 148

performed using the log-rank test for categorical variable and the Cox model for continuous variable. Multivariable analysis was performed using the Cox model and hazard ratios were estimated with their 95% confidence intervals (CI). Sensitivity and specificity were estimated with their 95% CI (Binomial exact). All reported p values were 2-sided. For all the statistical tests, differences were considered significant at 5% level. Statistical analyses were conducted using STATA 13 (StataCorp, Texas, USA) software.

155

156 **3. RESULTS**

157

During the study period, 150 patients underwent a complete CRS for frontline treatment of advanced epithelial OC. Of them, 43 (28.7%) underwent CLN resection and 22/43 (51.2%) also received PH disease resection. Metastatic involvement was identified in 17/43 (39.5%) patients. There were not significant differences in baseline characteristics between patients with positive and negative CLN, view Table 1.

163 Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with CLN resection.

All patients underwent a pelvic peritonectomy, total hysterectomy, bilateral adnexectomy, total infragastric omentectomy and pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy by laparotomy. A complete cytoreduction was achieved in all of them [7]. Patients with positive CLN had a significantly higher PCI and number of affected anatomic regions. CLN involvement was also significantly associated with high scores of Surgical Complexity Score (SCS) of Aletti, large bowel resection and left diaphragm stripping.

When analyzing the different regions of PCI, even if not significant, we observed a trend toward more extensive disease in the group of patients with positive CLN, with a higher score

172 of upper abdomen PCI and of small bowel PCI, view Table 2.

173 Table 2. Surgical data of patients with CLN resection.

Positive CLN were significantly associated with PH disease and paraaortic lymph node
(PALN) involvement. In the same line, the number of PALN affected was higher in patients
with CLN involvement, view Table 3.

177 Table 3. Anatomopathological findings of patients with CLN resection.

A significantly higher fluid loss (blood plus ascites) during surgery was observed in the 178 patients with CLN involvement with a median (range) of 2300 (332-6860) ml vs. 1257.5 179 (300-3800) ml in patients with negative CLN, p=0.028. We did not find significant differences 180 in operative time between the patients with positive and negative CLN with a median (range) 181 of 264 (119-522) minutes and 242 (124-432) minutes, respectively; p=0.502. In the same line, 182 183 there were no differences in the length of hospitalization stay in the two groups with a median (range) of 22 (11-43) days in CLN positive patients vs. 18 (8-93) days in CLN negative 184 patients; p=0.183). There were no differences in major surgical complications (grade 3-5) 185 186 between the two groups of patients (7/17 (41.2%) in CLN positive vs. 6/26 (23.1%) in CLN negative; *p*=0.206). 187

188 The median overall follow-up was 61.7 months (95% CI = [44.0 - 81.4]). During the study period, 33 (76.7%) out of the 43 patients relapsed, 17/26 (65.4%) in the group with negative 189 CLN and 16/17 (94.1%) in the group of positive CLN. Out of the 9 patients with metastatic 190 lung progression, 7 had positive CLN at diagnosis, just as 4 of the 5 patients with metastatic 191 192 hepatic relapse. Also, CLN were found to be involved in 5 of the 7 women who had mediastinal lymph node progression. Relapse within the 6 months after the end of the 193 chemotherapy was significantly associated with CLN involvement, 2/26 (7.7%) in the group 194 with negative CLN vs. 8/17 (47.1%) in the group with positive CLN (p=0.007). 195

The median DFS for all patients was 19.4 months (95% CI = [13.3 - 25.8]). The median DFS in the group of patients with positive and negative CLN were 11.3 months (95% CI = [8.1 - 19.4]) and 25.8 months (95% CI = [18.5 - not reached]), respectively; *p*<0.001. Figure 1

- 199 displays the DFS curves according to the CLN status.
- 200 The median OS for all patients was 73.1 months (95% CI = [37.2 not reached]). The median
- OS in the group of patients with positive CLN was 31.6 months (95% CI = [16.6 80.7]) and
- in the group with negative CLN it was not reached (95% CI = [48.0 not reached]); p=0.007.
- Figure 1 shows the OS curves according to the CLN status.
- Figure 1. Disease-free and overall survivals according to CLN status.
- In univariable analysis, we found that age, FIGO stage, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, histologic
- 206 grade, presence of ascites and small bowel PCI were not associated neither with DFS nor with
- 207 OS; whereas CLN involvement (HR: 3.79, p<0.001 and 3.13, p=0.007), PCI (HR: 1.12,
- 208 p<0.001 and 1.11, p=0.002), upper abdomen PCI (HR: 1.34, p<0.001 and 1.35, p=0.007) and
- 209 SCS of Aletti (HR: 1.14, p=0.027 and 1.25, p=0.005) were significantly associated with DFS
- and OS, respectively. Confirmed disease at the PH and number of positive PALN were
- significantly associated with OS and DFS, respectively (Table 4).
- Table 4. Univariable disease-free and overall survival analysis
- 213 In multivariable analysis, we included clinically relevant variables. Both CLN involvement
- 214 (HR: 2.66, 95% CI = [1.14 6.21], *p*=0.024) and high PCI (HR:1.11, 95% CI = [1.03 1.20],
- p=0.008) were independently associated with decreased DFS, whereas number of positive
- 216 PALN was not (HR: 0.97, 95% CI = [0.91 1.04], p=0.407).
- 217 The sensitivity and the specificity of the re-reading of the CT by an expert radiologist in
- 218 identifying positive CLN were 76.5% (95% CI = [50.1 93.2]) and 52.0% (95% CI = [31.3 93.2])
- 219 72.2]), respectively. In contrast, the sensitivity and the specificity of the non-expert radiologist
- 220 were 20.0% (95% CI = [4.3 48.1]) and 95.7% (95% CI = [78.1 99.9]), respectively.
- Table 5 summarizes the available studies evaluating the role of CLN resection.
- Table 5. Available studies assessing the role of CLN resection in patients with advanced
- 223 epithelial ovarian cancer.

225

4. **DISCUSSION**

226

Residual tumor after CRS is one of the most important prognostic factors of survival of 227 patients with advanced OC[10-12]. Size of residual tumor has been significantly associated 228 with decreased survival[13]. In the upper abdomen, there are some specific disease sites such 229 230 as the PH, which can preclude a complete cytoreduction [13-18]. In the last decades, the use of extensive UAP in the surgical approach of OC has significantly increased the rate of 231 optimal primary cytoreduction[2,3]. Our team, as well as other workgroups, demonstrated that 232 233 resection of enlarged CLN and metastatic disease of the PH was both feasible and with an acceptable morbidity[4,19–22]. However, gynecologic surgeons are often not familiar with 234 235 this kind of surgical procedure as they are uncommonly required in CRS for OC. Moreover, 236 surgery at the PH requires high level surgical skills as it contains important anatomical structures such as the hepatic artery, the portal vein and the common bile duct. It is possible in 237 238 these cases to work with an interdisciplinary team in order to achieve complete 239 cytoreduction[20].

240

241 Incidence of CLN involvement

The real incidence of CLN involvement in patients with advanced epithelial OC is unclear and probably underestimated, as systematic hepato-celiac lymphadenectomy is not performed. In fact, CLN resection is only performed in case of suspicious bulky lymph nodes[22]. In our series, CLN resection was performed when intraoperative suspicious CLN were found. The procedure was done in 28.7% of patients with a complete CRS. Among the patients with CLN resection, 39.5% had CLN involvement. Hence, our estimated incidence of CLN involvement is 11.3% (17/150).

250 Risk factors of CLN involvement

In a retrospective study, Rodriguez et al found that patients requiring UAP due to disease 251 spread at this location had higher preoperative disease overall volume when compared to 252 patients that did not require this kind of procedure[23]. We found similar results in our series, 253 as disease extension measured by the PCI and the number of affected regions was 254 significantly associated with CLN involvement. Disease spread to the upper abdomen was 255 also more frequent in the patients with positive CLN, and left diaphragm stripping was 256 performed more frequently. CLN involvement was also associated with confirmed anatomo-257 258 pathological disease in the PH.

CLN involvement was significantly associated with large bowel resection and disease spread 259 260 to small bowel mesentery. In a series of patients undergoing rectosigmoid resection during 261 primary or interval debulking surgery for advanced OC, Gallotta et al. found mesenteric lymph node involvement in 47% of patients. Mesenteric lymph node involvement was 262 263 associated with depth of bowel infiltration and with isolated celiac trunk or aortic lymph node 264 recurrences[24]. Salani et al. studied the same kind of patients and found that mesenteric involvement was correlated with bowel wall involvement and tumor spread to pelvic and 265 PALN[25]. In the same line, another workgroup found invasion of the muscularis propria and 266 retroperitoneal lymph node metastasis significantly correlated with mesenteric lymph node 267 268 involvement[26]. Even if we did not evaluate mesenteric lymph node involvement, our results also suggest an increased risk of CLN involvement when disease spreads to the bowel or the 269 270 mesentery. In fact, a longitudinal pattern of ovarian tumor spread from bowel wall lymphatics through mesenteric lymph nodes and, subsequently, to the upper lymph node stations has 271 already been described [27]. Due to the proximity of the nodes at the base of the mesentery 272 and the retroperitoneum, mesenteric lymph nodes metastasis could spread into the 273

retroperitoneum and ascend along the paraaortic or aortocaval group[28].

On the other hand, CLN involvement was associated with PALN involvement. In fact, in our 275 study, all the patients with positive CLN had PALN involvement. Among the patients with 276 277 CLN involvement, the 71% (12/17) had more than four positive PALN. Our results are biologically plausible as drainage from paraaortic nodes immediately below the left renal vein 278 to the CLN has been demonstrated[29]. Lymphadenectomy in ovarian neoplasm (LION) 279 randomized trial (NCT00712218) aimed to evaluate the impact on overall survival of 280 systematic lymphadenectomy in patients with OC stage IIB-IV undergoing complete PDS 281 with pre and intraoperatively clinical negative lymph nodes. Results presented at the last 282 ASCO meeting showed that microscopic metastases were present in 56% of the patients in 283 LNE arm. Despite this finding, there were not significant differences in OS between the two 284 arms[30]. Our results suggest presence of occult lymph node involvement upper to the renal 285 286 vein and could explain the absence of benefit of systematic lymphadenectomy in these patients. 287

288

289 Prognostic impact of CLN involvement

In our study, CLN involvement was associated with short-term recurrence and resistance to platin-based chemotherapy. We found a gain of DFS and OS in patients with negative CLN. These results are concordant with our previous study, in which disease in the CLN was associated to decreased survival and resistance to chemotherapy in patients with primary and recurrent OC[5]. Furthermore, CLN involvement was found to be a high-risk marker for metastatic and mediastinal lymph node progression. More than 80% of patients with hepatic or lung metastasis at recurrent disease had positive CLN.

In multivariable analysis, high PCI and CLN involvement were both independently associated with decreased DFS. Even if residual tumor has a more important prognostic impact than initial extent of tumor burden[1], our series showed that in patients with complete cytoreduction, PCI remained an independent risk factor of decreased DFS. These findings are similar to other studies. The survival benefit obtained by an optimal cytoreduction seemed to decrease with increasing initial tumor volume[31]. In a previous study, our workgroup found that complex surgical procedures involving two or more visceral resections, tumor volume an d extension of the disease before surgery decreased survival rates[32].

Patients with different degree of disease extension to the upper abdomen and patients with 305 positive CLN are both included in stage IIIC of FIGO classification. Other authors have 306 suggested to modify current FIGO staging system[33]. We believe that FIGO stage IIIC 307 308 should be subdivided depending on criteria like PCI score or CLN status in order to define a subgroup with a poorer prognosis. Due to their poor prognosis, another option would be to 309 consider patients with CLN involvement as a FIGO stage IVB, analogously to patients with 310 311 cardiophrenic lymphatic involvement, which are currently included in this subgroup stage[34]. 312

Benefit of extensive CRS procedures in CLN positive patients remains unclear. In our study, patients with CLN involvement underwent more complex procedures with higher median Aletti SCS when compared to patients with negative CLN. There were no differences in surgical postoperative complications depending on CLN status, but the overall rate of postoperative major complications was high (13/43 – 30.2%). Extensive procedures have a non-negligible morbidity and can decrease patient's quality of life[2,35–38].

Patients with CLN involvement have poor prognosis even after complete CRS. Medical personalized strategies with new target therapies may be a way to improve their outcome. It would be interesting to identify these patients preoperatively to tailor the optimal surgical timing and to intensify treatment modalities. We found a good sensitivity (77%) for the detection of CLN involvement by the double lecture of the CT performed by an expert radiologist, while the sensitivity of a non-expert radiologist was poor (20%). The low specificity of the expert radiologist could be explained by the enlargement of the reactive CLN, probably due to tumoral burden.

The main limitations of our study are its unicentric retrospective design and the small sample size. However, even if CLN resection is an uncommon procedure in surgical approach of advanced OC, the population of our study is homogeneous as all patients were primary diagnosed of advanced epithelial OC and underwent a complete cytoreduction, which can allow to better assess survival of these patients. Another important strength of this study is the long follow-up of our patients.

333

5. CONCLUSION

335

336 CLN involvement and high PCI score are independently associated with a decreased DFS 337 after complete CRS for OC. CLN involvement is a marker of diffuse disease and an 338 independent risk factor for early recurrent disease. Medical personalized strategies with new 339 target therapies may be the best option to improve survival in these patients. These findings 340 should be confirmed in further prospective and multicentric studies.

341 **REFERENCES**

342

- Eisenkop SM, Spirtos NM, Friedman RL, Lin WCM, Pisani AL, Perticucci S. Relative
 influences of tumor volume before surgery and the cytoreductive outcome on survival
 for patients with advanced ovarian cancer: A prospective study. Gynecol Oncol
 2003;90:390–6. doi:10.1016/S0090-8258(03)00278-6.
- Chi DS, Franklin CC, Levine DA, Akselrod F, Sabbatini P, Jarnagin WR, et al.
 Improved optimal cytoreduction rates for stages IIIC and IV epithelial ovarian,
 fallopian tube, and primary peritoneal cancer: A change in surgical approach. Gynecol
 Oncol 2004;94:650–4. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2004.01.029.
- Chi DS, Eisenhauer EL, Zivanovic O, Sonoda Y, Abu-Rustum NR, Levine DA, et al. 351 [3] Improved progression-free and overall survival in advanced ovarian cancer as a result 352 353 of a change in surgical paradigm. Gynecol Oncol 2009;114:26-31. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2009.03.018. 354
- Martinez A, Pomel C, Mery E, Querleu D, Gladieff L, Ferron G. Celiac lymph node
 resection and porta hepatis disease resection in advanced or recurrent epithelial
 ovarian, fallopian tube, and primary peritoneal cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2011;121:258–
 63. doi:10.1016/j.vgyno.2010.12.328.
- Martínez A, Pomel C, Filleron T, De Cuypere M, Mery E, Querleu D, et al. Prognostic
 relevance of celiac lymph node involvement in ovarian cancer. Int J Gynecol Cancer
 2014;24:48–53. doi:10.1097/IGC.00000000000041.
- 362 [6] Sugarbaker PH. Peritonectomy procedures. Ann Surg 1995;221:29–42.
- 363 [7] Gilly FN, Cotte E, Brigand C, Monneuse O, Beaujard AC, Freyer G, et al. Quantitative
 364 prognostic indices in peritoneal carcinomatosis. Eur J Surg Oncol 2006;32:597–601.
- doi:10.1016/j.ejso.2006.03.002.

- 366 [8] Aletti GD, Santillan A, Eisenhauer EL, Hu J, Aletti G, Podratz KC, et al. A new frontier
 367 for quality of care in gynecologic oncology surgery: Multi-institutional assessment of
 368 short-term outcomes for ovarian cancer using a risk-adjusted model. Gynecol Oncol
 369 2007;107:99–106. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2007.05.032.
- Jindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: A new
 proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. Ann Surg
 2004;240:205–13. doi:10.1097/01.sla.0000133083.54934.ae.
- Bristow BRE, Tomacruz RS, Armstrong DK, Trimble EL, Montz FJ. Maximal
 Cytoreductive Surgery for Advanced Ovarian Carcinoma During the Platinum Era:
 2002;20:1248–59. doi:10.1200/JCO.2002.20.5.1248.
- [11] Bois A Du, Reuss A, Pujade-Lauraine E, Harter P, Ray-Coquard I, Pfisterer J. Role of
 surgical outcome as prognostic factor in advanced epithelial ovarian cancer: A
 combined exploratory analysis of 3 prospectively randomized phase 3 multicenter
 trials: by the arbeitsgemeinschaft gynaekologische onkologie studiengruppe
 ovarialkarzin. Cancer 2009;115:1234–44. doi:10.1002/cncr.24149.
- [12] Winter WE, Maxwell GL, Tian C, Carlson JW, Ozols RF, Rose PG, et al. Prognostic
 factors for stage III epithelial ovarian cancer: A Gynecologic Oncology Group study. J
 Clin Oncol 2007;25:3621–7. doi:10.1200/JCO.2006.10.2517.
- [13] Heitz F, Harter P, Alesina PF, Walz MK, Lorenz D, Groeben H, et al. Pattern of and
 reason for postoperative residual disease in patients with advanced ovarian cancer
 following upfront radical debulking surgery. Gynecol Oncol 2016;141:264–70.
 doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2016.03.015.
- Eisenkop SM, Spirtos NM. What are the current surgical objectives, strategies, and
 technical capabilities of gynecologic oncologists treating advanced epithelial ovarian
 cancer? Gynecol Oncol 2001;82:489–97. doi:10.1006/gyno.2001.6312.

- [15] Salani R, Axtell A, Gerardi M, Holschneider C, Bristow RE. Limited utility of
 conventional criteria for predicting unresectable disease in patients with advanced stage
 epithelial ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2008;108:271–5.
 doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2007.11.004.
- Bristow RE, Duska LR, Lambrou NC, Fishman EK, O'Neill MJ, Trimble EL, et al. A
 model for predicting surgical outcome in patients with advanced ovarian carcinoma
 using computed tomography. Cancer 2000;89:1532–40.
- 398 [17] Axtell AE, Lee MH, Bristow RE, Dowdy SC, Cliby WA, Raman S, et al. Multi399 institutional reciprocal validation study of computed tomography predictors of
 400 suboptimal primary cytoreduction in patients with advanced ovarian cancer. J Clin
 401 Oncol 2007;25:384–9. doi:10.1200/JCO.2006.07.7800.
- [18] Nougaret S, Addley HC, Colombo PE, Fujii S, Al Sharif SS, Tirumani SH, et al.
 Ovarian Carcinomatosis: How the Radiologist Can Help Plan the Surgical Approach.
 RadioGraphics 2012;32:1775–800. doi:10.1148/rg.326125511.
- 405 [19] Raspagliesi F, Ditto A, Martinelli F, Haeusler E, Lorusso D. Advanced ovarian cancer: 406 Omental bursa, lesser omentum, celiac, portal and triad nodes spread as cause of evaluation of residual tumor. Gynecol Oncol 407 inaccurate 2013;129:92-6. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2013.01.024. 408
- 409 [20] Song YJ, Lim MC, Kang S, Seo SS, Kim SH, Han SS, et al. Extended cytoreduction of
 410 tumor at the porta hepatis by an interdisciplinary team approach in patients with
 411 epithelial ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2011;121:253–7.
 412 doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2010.12.350.
- 413 [21] Tozzi R, Traill Z, Garruto Campanile R, Ferrari F, Soleymani Majd H, Nieuwstad J, et
 414 al. Porta hepatis peritonectomy and hepato–celiac lymphadenectomy in patients with
 415 stage IIIC–IV ovarian cancer: Diagnostic pathway, surgical technique and outcomes.

- 416 Gynecol Oncol 2016;143:35–9. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2016.08.232.
- Gallotta V, Ferrandina G, Vizzielli G, Conte C, Lucidi A, Costantini B, et al. 417 [22] Hepatoceliac Lymph Node Involvement in Advanced Ovarian Cancer Patients: 418 Considerations. 2017. 419 Prognostic Role and Clinical Ann Surg Oncol doi:10.1245/s10434-017-6005-1. 420
- [23] Rodriguez N, Miller A, Richard SD, Rungruang B, Hamilton CA, Bookman MA, et al.
 Upper abdominal procedures in advanced stage ovarian or primary peritoneal
 carcinoma patients with minimal or no gross residual disease: An analysis of
 Gynecologic Oncology Group (GOG) 182. Gynecol Oncol 2013;130:487–92.
 doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2013.06.017.
- 426 [24] Gallotta V, Fanfani F, Fagotti A, Chiantera V, Legge F, Alletti SG, et al. Mesenteric
 427 lymph node involvement in advanced ovarian cancer patients undergoing rectosigmoid
 428 resection: Prognostic role and clinical considerations. Ann Surg Oncol 2014;21:2369–
 429 75. doi:10.1245/s10434-014-3558-0.
- Salani R, Diaz-Montes T, Giuntoli RL, Bristow RE. Surgical management of 430 [25] 431 mesenteric lymph node metastasis in patients undergoing rectosigmoid colectomy for ovarian carcinoma. Ann Surg Oncol 2007;14:3552-7. 432 locally advanced 433 doi:10.1245/s10434-007-9565-7.
- 434 [26] Baiocchi G, Cestari LA, MacEdo MP, Oliveira RAR, Fukazawa EM, Faloppa CC, et al.
 435 Surgical implications of mesenteric lymph node metastasis from advanced ovarian
 436 cancer after bowel resection. J Surg Oncol 2011;104:250–4. doi:10.1002/jso.21940.
- 437 [27] O'Hanlan KA, Kargas S, Schreiber M, Burrs D, Mallipeddi P, Longacre T, et al.
 438 Ovarian carcinoma metastases to gastrointestinal tract appear to spread like colon
 439 carcinoma: Implications for surgical resection. Gynecol Oncol 1995;59:200–6.
 440 doi:10.1006/gyno.1995.0008.

- 441 [28] Mcdaniel P, Varma GK, Granfield J. Pictorial Essay Pathways of Nodal Cecum ,
 442 Ascending CT Demonstration Metastasis in Carcinomas of the Colon , and Transverse
 443 Colon : 1993.
- Hirai I, Murakami G, Kimura W, Nara T, Dodo Y. Long descending lymphatic pathway
 from the pancreaticoduodenal region to the para-aortic nodes: its laterality and
 topographical relationship with the celiac plexus. Okajimas Folia Anat Jpn
 2001;77:189–99.
- Harter P, Sehouli J, Lorusso D, Reuss A, Vergote I, Marth C. LION: Lymphadenectomy
 in ovarian neoplasms—A prospective randomized AGO study group led gynecologic
 cancer intergroup trial. J Clin Oncol [Internet] 2017;35:5500. Available from:
 http://ascopubs.org/doi/abs/.
- [31] Zivanovic O, Sima CS, Iasonos A, Hoskins WJ, Pingle PR, Leitao MMM, et al. The
 effect of primary cytoreduction on outcomes of patients with FIGO stage IIIC ovarian
 cancer stratified by the initial tumor burden in the upper abdomen cephalad to the
 greater omentum. Gynecol Oncol 2010;116:351–7. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2009.11.022.
- 456 [32] Martinez A, Ngo C, Leblanc E, Gouy S, Luyckx M, Darai E, et al. Surgical Complexity
- Impact on Survival After Complete Cytoreductive Surgery for Advanced Ovarian
 Cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 2016;23:2515–21. doi:10.1245/s10434-015-5069-z.
- [33] Menczer J. Is there a revision needed of the current FIGO staging system? Acta Obstet
 Gynecol Scand 2017;96:1159–61. doi:10.1111/aogs.13193.
- 461 [34] Prader S, Harter P, Grimm C, Traut A, Waltering KU, Alesina PF, et al. Surgical
 462 management of cardiophrenic lymph nodes in patients with advanced ovarian cancer.
 463 Gynecol Oncol 2016;141:271–5. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2016.03.012.
- 464 [35] Rafii A, Stoeckle E, Jean-Laurent M, Ferron G, Morice P, Houvenaeghel G, et al.
 465 Multi-center evaluation of post-operative morbidity and mortality after optimal

- 466 cytoreductive surgery for advanced ovarian cancer. PLoS One 2012;7:e39415.
 467 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0039415.
- [36] Nieuwenhuyzen-de Boer GM, Gerestein CG, Eijkemans MJC, Burger CW, Kooi GS.
 Nomogram for 30-day morbidity after primary cytoreductive surgery for advanced
 stage ovarian cancer. Eur J Gynaecol Oncol 2016;37:63–8.
- [37] Chi DS, Zivanovic O, Levinson KL, Kolev V, Huh J, Dottino J, et al. The incidence of
 major complications after the performance of extensive upper abdominal surgical
 procedures during primary cytoreduction of advanced ovarian, tubal, and peritoneal
 carcinomas. Gynecol Oncol 2010;119:38–42. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2010.05.031.
- 475 [38] Schulman-Green D, Ercolano E, Dowd M, Schwartz P, Mccorkle R. Quality of life
 476 among women after surgery for ovarian cancer. Palliat Support Care 2008;6:239–47.
 477 doi:10.1017/S1478951508000497.





	Overall	Negative CLN	Positive CLN	р-
	n=43	n=26	n=17	value
Age (years) median (range)	61 (22-75)	60 (22-74)	65 (48-75)	0.115
BMI (kg/m ²) median (range)	23 (16.6-	22.9 (16.6-	24 (18.8-	0.245
	37.1)	33.8)	37.1)	0.545
WHO performance status				
classification n (%)				
0	23 (53.5)	15 (57.7)	8 (47.1)	0.404
≥1	20 (46.5)	11 (42.3)	9 (52.9)	0.494
Preoperative CA-125 (UI/ml) median	857 (13-	722.5 (13-	1365 (47-	
(range)	15000)	15000)	3000)	0.846
Missing	7	4	3	
FIGO stage n (%)				
IIIC	36 (83.7)	23 (88.5)	13 (76.5)	0.407
IV	7 (16.3)	3 (11.5)	4 (23.5)	0.407

4 5 6 7 8

CLN: celiac lymph nodes BMI: body mass index WHO: World Health Organization CA-125: cancer antigen 125 FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics

Table 2. Surgical data of patients with celiac lymph node resection.

10

	Overall	Negative CLN	Positive CLN	р-
	n=43	n=26	n=17	value
Type of surgery n (%)		-		
Upfront	18 (41.9)	10 (38.5)	8 (47.1)	0.554
Interval	25 (58.1)	16 (61.5)	9 (52.9)	0.576
Intraoperative findings		,		
PCI median (range)	22 (5-33)	17 (5-28)	25.5 (10-33)	0.011
Missing	2	1	1	0.011
Upper abdomen PCI				
median (range)	7 (0-9)	6 (0-9)	8 (2-9)	0.157
Missing	2	1	1	
Small bowel PCI median				
(range)	2 (0-8)	2 (0-7)	3 (0-8)	0.098
Missing	2	1	1	
No. affected anatomic	11 (2, 12)	10 (2, 12)	11 (7 12)	0.040
regions median (range)	11 (3-13)	10 (3-13)	11 (7-13)	0.040
A soites (ml) modian	2	1	Ι	
(range)	200 (0-5000)	150 (0-4500)	1000 (0-5000)	0.087
Surgical procedures n (%)				
Small bowel resection	4 (9 3)	2 (7 7)	2 (11.8)	1 000
Large howel resection	22(512)	9 (34 6)	13 (76 5)	0.007
If large howel resection	22 (31.2)) (34.0)	15 (70.5)	0.007
rectosigmoid resection	18 (81.8)	6 (66.7)	12 (92.3)	0.264
[n=22]	10 (0110)	0 (0017)	12 (52.3)	0.201
Multiple bowel resection	6 (14)	3 (11.5)	3 (17.6)	0.666
Right diaphragm	40 (02)	22 (00 5)	17 (100)	0.266
stripping	40 (93)	23 (88.3)	17 (100)	0.200
Left diaphragm	23 (53 5)	10 (38 5)	13 (76 5)	0.015
stripping	23 (33.3)	10 (50.5)	15 (70.5)	0.015
If diaphragm stripping,				
diaphragm resection [n=	11 (27.5)	7 (30.4)	4 (23.5)	0.730
40]	2(47)	2(77)	0	0.510
Chalagystagtomy	2(4.7)	2(7.7)	0	0.510
	17 (39.5)	10 (38.5)	/ (41.2)	0.859
Lesser officiation	33 (76.7)	18 (69.2)	15 (88.2)	0.269
PH resection	22 (51 2)	11 (42 3)	11 (64 7)	0.151
Splenectomy	22 (51.2)	11(+2.3) 14(53.8)	13 (76 5)	0.131
Distal nancreatectomy	27 (02.0) 8 (18.6)	5(10.2)	3(17.6)	1.000
Portial gastractomy	3(10.0)	3(19.2)	$\frac{3(17.0)}{1(5.0)}$	1.000
Extended paritonectomy	3(7)	2(7.7)	1(3.9)	0.066
Clissonostomy	57(80)	20(70.9)	$\frac{17(100)}{2(11.8)}$	1.000
Macantary or howal	0 (14)	+ (13.4)	2 (11.0)	1.000
vanorization	13 (30.2)	8 (30.8)	5 (29.4)	0.925
Partial abdominal wall				_
resection	7 (16.3)	3 (11.5)	4 (23.5)	0.407
Aletti Score median (range)	10 (4-16)	9 (4-14)	12 (7-16)	0.011
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

- 12 CLN: celiac lymph nodes
- 13 PCI: peritoneal cancer index
- 14 Upper abdomen PCI: sum of 1 (right upper), 2 (epigastrium) and 3 (left upper) regions score
- 15 Small bowel PCI: sum of 9 (upper jejunum), 10 (lower jejunum), 11 (upper ileum) and 12 (lower
- 16 ileum) regions score
- 17 PH: porta hepatis
- 18 Extended peritonectomy: peritonectomy of more than three abdominal regions

Table 3. Anatomopathological findings of patients with celiac lymph node resection.

	Overall n=43	Negative CLN n=26	Positive CLN n=17	<i>p</i> - value			
Anatomopathological findings			•	•			
Histologic subtype n (%)							
Serous high grade	33 (76.7)	19 (73.1)	14 (82.4)				
Serous low grade	7 (16.3)	4 (15.4)	3 (17.6)				
Endometrioid	1 (2.3)	1 (3.8)	0	1.000			
Mixed	1 (2.3)	1 (3.8)	0				
Carcinosarcoma	1 (2.3)	1 (3.8)	0				
Chemotherapy Response Score [n=25] n (%)							
Type 1: no or minimal tumor response	10 (40%)	4 (25%)	6 (66.7%)				
Type 2: partial tumor response	10 (40%)	8 (50%)	2 (22.2%)	0.000			
Type 3: near-complete tumor response	4 (16%)	3 (18.8%)	1 (11.1%)	0.238			
Type 3': complete tumor response	1 (4%)	1 (6.3%)	0				
No. CLN removed median (range)	2 (1-6)	2.5 (1-6)	2 (1-6)	0.872			
No. positive CLN median (range)	0 (0-5)	0 (0-0)	1 (1-5)	0.872			
PH confirmed disease n (%)	17 (39.5)	7 (26.9)	10 (58.8)	0.037			
PALN involvement n (%)	31 (72.1)	14 (53.8)	17 (100)	0.002			
No. positive PALN median (range)	3 (0-24)	1.5 (0-13)	5 (1-24)	0.001			
> 4 positive PALN n (%)	19 (44.2)	7 (26.9)	12 (70.6)	0.009			

21

CLN: celiac lymph nodes

22 23 Chemotherapy Response Score developed by Böhm et al.

24 PH: porta hepatis

PALN: paraaortic lymph nodes 25

Table 4. Univariable disease-free and overall survival analysis
 27

	Disease-free survival			Overall survival		
	HR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value	HR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Age (years)	1.02	[0.98-1.05]	0.330	1.03	[0.98-1.08]	0.200
FIGO stage IV	0.63	[0.24-1.64]	0.342	0.39	[0.09-1.68]	0.189
Neoadjuvant CT	1.15	[0.58-2.30]	0.687	0.98	[0.41-2.36]	0.967
PCI	1.12	[1.05-1.19]	<0.001	1.11	[1.04-1.18]	0.002
Upper abdomen PCI	1.34	[1.13-1.58]	<0.001	1.35	[1.09-1.68]	0.007
Small bowel PCI	1.05	[0.91-1.21]	0.508	1.05	[0.89-1.24]	0.564
Ascites (dl)	1.02	[0.99-1.04]	0.171	1.00	[0.97-1.04]	0.930
Aletti Score	1.14	[1.02-1.29]	0.027	1.25	[1.07-1.47]	0.005
High histologic grade	0.73	[0.29-1.83]	0.507	1.07	[0.29-3.96]	0.919
Positive CLN	3.79	[1.87-7.69]	<0.001	3.13	[1.31-7.49]	0.007
No. positive PALN	1.06	[1.01-1.11]	0.027	1.04	[0.97-1.11]	0.242
PH confirmed disease	1.93	[0.97-3.83]	0.057	2.36	[0.99-5.64]	0.047

28

29 HR: hazard ratio

30 FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics

31 CT: chemotherapy

32 PCI: peritoneal cancer index

Upper abdomen PCI: sum of 1 (right upper), 2 (epigastrium) and 3 (left upper) regions score

Small bowel PCI: sum of 9 (upper jejunum), 10 (lower jejunum), 11 (upper ileum) and 12 (lower ileum) regions score

36 CLN: celiac lymph nodes

- 37 PALN: paraaortic lymph nodes
- 38 PH: porta hepatis

- Table 5. Available studies assessing the role of CLN resection in patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer. 40 41 42

Author and year	Disease of included patients	Number of patients included in the study n	Number of patients with CLN or PH disease resection n	Number of patients with reported histopathol ogical disease in CLN or PH n (%)	Morbidity related to CLN or PH disease resection n (%)	Prognostic outcome of patients with disease in CLN or PH
Song et al. 2011	Primary and recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer	155	11 (PH disease resection)	11 (100) with PH disease	0 (0)	median PFS 8 months (range 1-13)
Martinez et al. 2011	Primary and recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer	28	28 (CLN and/or PH disease resection)	15/26 (57.7) with CLN involvement 19/28 (67.9) with PH disease	1 (3.6)	-
Raspagliesi et al. 2013	FIGO stage IIIC-IV epithelial ovarian cancer	37	5 (CLN resection) 4 (PH disease resection)	5 (100) with CLN involvement 4 (100) with PH disease	2 (5.4)	-
Martinez et al. 2014	Primary and recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer	41	41 (CLN and/or PH disease resection)	23 (56.1) with CLN involvement	-	median PFS 9 months (95% CI [5- 16]) median OS 27 months (95% CI [9- 40])
Tozzi et al. 2016	FIGO stage IIIC-IV epithelial ovarian cancer	216	31 (CLN and/or PH disease resection)	31 (100) with PH disease and/or CLN involvement	0 (0)	-
Gallotta et al. 2017	FIGO stage IIIC-IV epithelial ovarian cancer	85	85 (CLN resection)	45 (52.9%) with CLN involvement	0 (0)	median PFS 16 months (95% CI [12-19]) median OS 43 months (95% CI [32-54])

Angeles e al. 2019	FIGO stage IIIC-IV epithelial ovarian cancer	43	43 (CLN resection)	17 (39.5)	0 (0)	median DFS 11 months (95% CI [8- 19]) median OS 32 months (95% CI [17-81])
-----------------------	--	----	-----------------------	-----------	-------	---

CLN: celiac lymph node involvement PH: porta hepatis PFS: progression-free survival CI: confidence interval

OS: overall survival

DFS: disease-free survival