



HAL
open science

The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO₂ from 1994 to 2007

Nicolas Gruber, Dominic Clement, Brendan Carter, Richard A. Feely, Steven M. A. C. van Heuven, Mario Hoppema, Masao Ishii, Robert M. Key, Alex Kozyr, Siv K. Lauvset, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Nicolas Gruber, Dominic Clement, Brendan Carter, Richard A. Feely, Steven M. A. C. van Heuven, et al.. The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO₂ from 1994 to 2007. *Science*, 2019, 363 (6432), pp.1193-1199. 10.1126/science.aau5153 . hal-02088162

HAL Id: hal-02088162

<https://hal.science/hal-02088162>

Submitted on 12 Jul 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO₂ from 1994 to 2007

Nicolas Gruber (1), Dominic Clement (1), Brendan R. Carter (2,17), Richard A. Feely (2), Steven van Heuven (3), Mario Hoppema (4), Masao Ishii (5), Robert M. Key (6), Alex Kozyr (7), Siv K. Lauvset (8,12), Claire Lo Monaco (9), Jeremy T. Mathis (10), Akihiko Murata (11), Are Olsen (12), Fiz F. Perez (13), Christopher L. Sabine (14), Toste Tanhua (15), and Rik Wanninkhof (16)

1. Environmental Physics, Institute of Biogeochemistry and Pollutant Dynamics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

2 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, Seattle, USA.

3 Centre for Isotope Research, Faculty of Science and Engineering, University of Groningen, the Netherlands.

4 Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany.

5 Meteorological Research Institute, Japan Meteorological Agency, Tsukuba, Japan.

6 Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA.

7 NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, Silver Spring, USA.

8 NORCE Norwegian Research Centre, Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway.

9 LOCEAN, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France.

10 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Arctic Research Program, Silver Spring, USA.

11 Research and Development Center for Global Change, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology,

12 Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Norway.

13 Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas, CSIC (IIM-CSIC), Vigo, Spain

14 Department of Oceanography, University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Honolulu, USA.

15 GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, Germany

16 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory, Miami, USA.

17 Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean, University of Washington, Seattle, USA

We quantify the oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO₂ over the period 1994 to 2007 using observations from the global repeat hydrography program and contrasting them to observations from the 1990s. Using a linear regression-based method, we find a global increase in the anthropogenic CO₂ inventory of 33±4 Pg C between 1994 to 2007. This is equivalent to an average uptake rate of 2.5±0.3 Pg C yr⁻¹ and represents 30±4 % of the global anthropogenic CO₂ emissions over this period. While this global ocean sink estimate is consistent with the expectation of the ocean uptake having increased in proportion to the rise in atmospheric CO₂, substantial regional differences in storage rate are found, likely owing to climate variability driven changes in ocean circulation.

34
35 Using observations from the first global survey of inorganic carbon in the ocean
36 conducted as part of the World Ocean Circulation Experiment (WOCE)/Joint Global
37 Ocean Flux Study (JGOFS) programs during the 1980s and early 1990s, Sabine et
38 al.(1) estimated that the ocean has taken up 118 ± 18 Pg ($1 \text{Pg} = 10^{15} \text{g}$) of anthropogenic
39 carbon, C_{ant} , from the atmosphere from the beginning of the industrial revolution to
40 the mid 1990s. Anthropogenic carbon represents the additional inorganic carbon
41 present in the ocean-atmosphere system as a consequence of human emissions to the
42 atmosphere (2, 3) through the burning of fossil fuel, the production of cement, and
43 land use change (4, 5). We distinguish this anthropogenic component from the fluxes
44 and storage changes associated with natural CO₂, i.e., the quantity of carbon in the
45 atmosphere-ocean system that existed already in preindustrial times (6). Here, using
46 novel methods and new high quality ocean observations collected since 2003 on
47 repeat hydrographic cruises (7), we extend the analysis of Sabine et al (1) to 2007. In
48 particular, we reconstruct the increase in the oceanic storage of C_{ant} between 1994 and
49 2007 and contrast this to the expected change given the continued increase in
50 atmospheric CO₂.

51 ***Detecting the change in anthropogenic CO₂***

52 We use the data synthesized by the Global Ocean Data Analysis Project version 2
53 (GLODAPv2)(8) and the recently developed eMLR(C*) method(9) to identify the
54 change in C_{ant} ($\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$) between the WOCE/JGOFS (nominal 1994) and the Repeat
55 Hydrography/GO-SHIP (nominal 2007) periods (*see supplement for details, Fig S3-*
56 *5*). This novel method builds on the extended Multiple Linear Regression (eMLR)
57 approach(10), which was designed to separate $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ from any natural CO₂ driven
58 change in dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC). The eMLR(C*) method has been
59 extensively tested with synthetic data from a biogeochemical model (9),
60 demonstrating its ability to reconstruct $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ with high accuracy at global and basin
61 scales. These tests also revealed that sub-basin scales are less well resolved, especially

62 in regions characterized by high temporal variability. To quantify the uncertainties in
63 the reconstructions, we used the spread from a Monte Carlo technique and an
64 ensemble of 14 sensitivity studies (*see supplement for details*).

65 ***Global distribution***

66 The global, vertical distribution of $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ between 1994 and 2007 reveals the strong
67 gradients that are characteristic for a passive conservative tracer invading the ocean
68 from the surface (Figure 1). In the upper 100 m, C_{ant} increased, on average, by 14
69 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ over this period, close to the expected level given the rise in atmospheric
70 CO₂ and the ocean's buffer capacity (11). Below that, $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ decreases rapidly with
71 depth, reaching half of the surface value at 375 m, and one tenth of it at 1000 m. Half
72 of the global $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ signal is found in the top 400 m, more than 75% above 1000 m,
73 and only about 7% between 2000 and 3000 m.

74 There are strong spatial variations in the vertical penetration of $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ (Figure 1),
75 reflecting the differences in the efficacy with which the surface signal is transported
76 and mixed down by the large-scale overturning circulation (1, 3, 12–14). As transport
77 occurs primarily along sloping neutral density surfaces, (15), it is instructive to
78 investigate the distribution of $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ on such iso-surfaces (Figure 2). The relatively
79 shallow surfaces associated with mode waters (such as the neutral surface 26.60 kg m^{-3})
80 (16) are ventilated on timescales of a few decades or less, such that the
81 anthropogenic CO₂ signal is transported rather effectively from the outcrops at the
82 mid to high latitudes toward the ocean's interior (Figure 2a). In contrast, substantial
83 changes in C_{ant} on the deeper ($\sim 1000 \text{ m}$ in the mid and low latitudes) 27.40 kg m^{-3}
84 neutral surface are essentially limited to the regions close to the outcrop (Figure 2b).
85 This reflects the decade to century-long ventilation ages of the water masses
86 occupying this neutral surface, consisting, in the Southern Hemisphere, primarily of
87 Antarctic Intermediate Water. Despite its limited horizontal reach, the downward
88 transport of the $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ signal along this neutral surface from the Southern Ocean
89 outcrop leads to the 2nd deepest penetration of $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ anywhere in the ocean (Figure

90 1). The deepest penetration is found in the North Atlantic, where the downward
91 spreading of newly formed North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) causes a $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ with
92 a maximum of $5 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ below 1000 m. The rapid southward spreading of NADW
93 between about 1500 and 2500 m transports this elevated $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ into the South Atlantic.
94 The corresponding process is much less vigorous and deep reaching in the North
95 Pacific, as there is no deep water formation there. This leads to a shallow penetration
96 by mode and intermediate waters.

97 These regional differences in the vertical penetration cause large spatial variations in
98 the column inventory of $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$, i.e., the change in C_{ant} integrated vertically from the
99 surface down to 3000 m (Figure 3a). Large parts of the mid-latitude North Atlantic
100 increased their anthropogenic CO₂ loads by $16 \pm 1 \text{ mol C m}^{-2}$ or more between 1994
101 and 2007. This corresponds to an average storage rate of $1.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ mol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$;
102 twice the global mean storage rate of $0.62 \pm 0.08 \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Large changes are also
103 found along a broad zonal band in the mid-latitudes of the Southern Hemisphere, with
104 maximum values above $15 \pm 2 \text{ mol m}^{-2}$ throughout much of the South Atlantic, and
105 progressively smaller values going eastward into the Indian and Pacific Oceans. This
106 reflects the deep, but spatially variable penetration of C_{ant} into the thermoclines of
107 these basins induced by downward transport by mode and intermediate waters (17,
108 18) (cf. Figure 1), i.e., the upper cell of the meridional overturning circulation in the
109 southern hemisphere (19).

110 In contrast to the regions with high accumulation, the low column inventory changes
111 in the region south of 60°S stand out. The average storage rate there is less than
112 $0.30 \pm 0.15 \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, i.e., less than half of the global mean. This low storage rate is
113 associated with the lower cell of the meridional overturning circulation (19). First, the
114 upwelling of old waters with low concentrations of C_{ant} (cf. Figure 1) around the
115 Antarctic Polar Front prevents a substantial accumulation of C_{ant} there. Second, there
116 is little downward transport associated with the downward component of the lower
117 cell, likely owing to the physical blocking of the air-sea exchange of CO₂ by sea-ice
118 in the Antarctic zone and the relatively short residence time of these waters at the

119 surface(20). This contrasts strongly with the upper overturning cell, which takes up a
120 lot of anthropogenic CO₂ from the atmosphere, but quickly transports it northward
121 into the band of high storage between 50°S and 30°S (14, 17, 21).

122 ***Global and Regional Inventory Change***

123 Integrated globally and down to a depth of 3000 m, we estimate a change in the
124 inventory of oceanic anthropogenic CO₂ of 31±4 Pg C for the period 1994 to 2007
125 (Table 1). To this we add the uptake and storage by regions outside our gridded
126 domain, namely the Mediterranean Sea and the Arctic Ocean, which are estimated to
127 account for ~1.5 Pg C (22–24). We add an additional ~1 Pg C to our estimate to
128 account for the accumulation of C_{ant} below 3000 m, estimated from the fraction of C_{ant}
129 found below that depth in 1994 in the observational estimates (1) (see also (25)) and
130 from the fraction modelled for the 1994 to 2007 period (14). This yields a global
131 oceanic storage increase of anthropogenic CO₂ of 33±4 Pg C, which equals a mean
132 annual uptake rate of 2.5±0.3 Pg C yr⁻¹ over the 1994 to 2007 period.

133 The individual ocean basins and hemispheres contribute very differently to both the
134 global inventory and its uncertainty (Table 1). Between the hemispheres, the majority
135 (60±11 %) of the increase in C_{ant} is found in the southern hemisphere (Table 1).
136 Between the basins, the storage in the Pacific (39±4%) and Atlantic (36±4%) has
137 increased by roughly equal amounts, while the contribution of the Indian Ocean is
138 much smaller(21±10%). While the smaller uptake in the Indian ocean reflects its
139 fractional area coverage, the Atlantic takes up a much higher proportion and the
140 Pacific a correspondingly smaller proportion, largely reflecting the differences in the
141 uptake and downward transport in the high northern latitudes. The largest uncertainty
142 stems from the Indian Ocean primarily as a result of the poor data coverage since
143 2000 (Figure S1b).

144 Adding the 33±4 Pg C increase between 1994 and 2007 to the 118±19 Pg C estimated
145 for 1994(1) yields a global ocean storage for 2007 of 151±20 Pg C. Extrapolating this
146 estimate linearly to the year 2010 gives an inventory of 159±20 Pg C, which is

147 consistent with the "best" estimate provided by Khatiwala et al. (13) of 155±31 Pg C.
148 Our estimate is also consistent with that from a recent diagnostic model (14), which
149 simulated a cumulative storage of about 155 to 161 Pg C for 2010. Our estimate
150 stands out by its use of inorganic carbon measurements as the foundation to determine
151 anthropogenic CO₂ inventories, whereas the other referenced studies employed
152 indirect or model-based methods. Our approach also implicitly includes the effect of a
153 time changing ocean circulation, while the indirect estimates of refs (14, 26) assume a
154 steady-state ocean circulation.

155

156 The eMLR(C*)-based estimates of $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ compare overall well with other regional
157 data-based analyses of the change in anthropogenic CO₂ conducted so far, both in
158 terms of the vertical distributions and the column inventories (*see supplementary*
159 *material*). Our data-based storage rates for the period 1994 to 2007 are also in good
160 agreement with those recently inferred from a diagnostic model of the ocean
161 circulation (21) (Figure S6).

162 ***Comparison with the JGOFS/WOCE era reconstructions***

163 The column inventory change between 1994 and 2007 (Figure 3a) is spatially well
164 correlated ($r^2 = 0.68$) with the total inventory of anthropogenic CO₂ in 1994 (Figure
165 3b) (1). This is as expected from the nearly exponential and multi-decadal nature of
166 the anthropogenic perturbation of the global carbon cycle. This results in a "transient
167 steady-state"(27), which implies - for an ocean with invariant circulation and mixing -
168 that the change of anthropogenic CO₂ over any time period t_1 to t_2 is linearly related to
169 the amount of anthropogenic CO₂ at the initial time, t_1 , i.e., $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}(t_2 - t_1) \approx \alpha \cdot C_{\text{ant}}(t_1)$
170 (28). We estimate the proportionality α from theoretical considerations for the period
171 1994 through 2007 and obtain a value of $\alpha = 0.28 \pm 0.02$ (*see supplementary material*).
172 This value varies little by region, but it varies substantially in time. This theoretically
173 estimated value is statistically indistinguishable from the ratio of the global change in
174 inventory between 1994 and 2007 (33±4 Pg C) and the inventory in 1994 (118±19 Pg

175 C). This implies that, to first order, the global ocean has continued to take up
176 anthropogenic CO₂ from the atmosphere at a rate expected from the increase in
177 atmospheric CO₂, i.e., there is no indication of a major change in the uptake over the
178 1994-2007 period relative to the long-term mean.

179 On a regional basis, however, the reconstructed distribution of $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ differs from that
180 inferred from the C_{ant} distribution in 1994 and the assumption of a transient steady-
181 state (Figure 3c). We interpret these differences, i.e., the anomalous accumulation of
182 C_{ant} ($\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}} = \Delta_t C_{\text{ant}} - \alpha \cdot C_{\text{ant}}$) to be primarily the result of variations in ocean
183 circulation. But care must be taken when interpreting $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$, since the associated
184 uncertainties accumulate the errors from all terms, i.e., $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$, α , and C_{ant} . As shown
185 in the supplementary material, the most important source of error is the reconstruction
186 of $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ itself. In particular, tests with synthetic data from an ocean biogeochemical
187 model showed that while the eMLR(C*) method generally works well, changes in
188 ocean circulation tend to lead to biases in the reconstructed $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$, which directly
189 impact the inferred $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$ (9). However, these tests also revealed that the method
190 is still able to recover the most important signals associated with $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$, especially
191 in the North Atlantic. The error is largest in the North Pacific, yet across the globe,
192 more than 50% of the modelled variance in $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$ at basin-scales is correctly
193 recovered. Globally, the bias of the recovered $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$ is essentially zero.

194 The most prominent anomaly is found in the North Atlantic, where the reconstructed
195 change in inventory over the entire basin is 20% smaller than that predicted by the
196 transient steady state (Figure 3c). This anomaly, likely robust given that it was well
197 recovered in the tests with synthetic data, characterizes nearly the entire water column
198 (Figure 4a). The strongest $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$ are found in the subpolar gyre and within the
199 Subpolar Mode Water and in the Intermediate Water, both belonging to the water
200 masses with the highest burden of anthropogenic CO₂ (10, 29). This lower-than-
201 expected increase in storage in the North Atlantic during the 1990s and early 2000 has
202 been described previously (29–31) and was attributed to the slow-down and
203 reorganization of the North Atlantic overturning circulation at that time, which led to
204 a reduction in the downward transport of C_{ant} (29, 31). This slowdown was probably

205 temporary, as indicated by the more recent rapid increase in C_{ant} in the Irminger
206 Sea(32).

207 The anomalously low accumulation in the North Atlantic between 1994 and 2007 co-
208 occurred with an anomalously high accumulation in the South Atlantic, such that the
209 storage change of the entire Atlantic remained very close to the expected one. Even
210 though we are somewhat less confident about the reconstructed $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$ in the South
211 Atlantic given our tests with synthetic data (9), we point out that such a shift in
212 storage from the northern to the southern hemisphere was seen in previous $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$
213 reconstructions, albeit based on a single meridional section (30).

214 A second set of major regions of anomalous change in inventory are the Indian and
215 Pacific sectors of the Southern Ocean, where the changes in storage are about 20%
216 lower than expected (Figure 3c). The tests with synthetic data showed that changes in
217 these regions should be captured by the eMLR(C*) based reconstruction, although
218 likely underestimated (9). We have some confidence in the robustness of this signal
219 due to the fact that it is caused by a negative $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$ that is confined to the neutral
220 density layers of Antarctic Intermediate Water and found in all ocean basins (Figure
221 4). We interpret this signal to be the result of the southward movement and
222 strengthening of the westerly wind belt(33), which has caused large-scale coherent
223 changes in the meridional overturning circulation in the Southern Ocean and the
224 ventilation of the water masses (34, 35). Further, the wind changes have caused
225 increased upwelling over the Southern Ocean, and enhanced Ekman transport to the
226 north, leading to shorter residence times of waters at the surface. This could have led
227 to the weaker uptake and consequently weaker downward transport of anthropogenic
228 CO₂ into the thermocline by the upper cell of the meridional overturning circulation,
229 leading to the observed negative $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$ in the Antarctic Intermediate Water.

230 Additional support of our interpretation that the reconstructed $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$ are real stems
231 from the overall agreement of our result with those inferred recently from a diagnostic
232 ocean model(21). Although our changes are somewhat larger, the patterns agree well,
233 and support the conclusion that the changes primarily reflect changes in ocean
234 circulation.

235 ***Implications***

236 Our reconstructed changes in the oceanic inventory of anthropogenic CO₂ imply a
237 continuing strong role of the ocean in the recent global carbon budget (Table 2). From
238 1994 to 2007, anthropogenic emissions added 111 ± 8 Pg C to the atmosphere(36),
239 most of which stemmed from the burning of fossil fuels (94 ± 5 Pg C)(5). Of these
240 emissions, 50 ± 1 Pg C (46%) remained in the atmosphere(37). Our uptake estimate of
241 33 ± 4 Pg C implies that the ocean accounts for the removal from the atmosphere of
242 $30 \pm 4\%$ of the total anthropogenic CO₂ emissions over this time period (Table 2). This
243 anthropogenic CO₂ uptake fraction does not differ from that for the period from the
244 preindustrial up to 1994(1).

245 Our ocean uptake estimate for anthropogenic CO₂ permits us also to provide a
246 constraint on the net land uptake for the 1994 through 2007 period. This requires us to
247 consider also the potential contribution of a small anomalous (non-steady-state) net
248 flux of natural CO₂, emerging from processes such as ocean warming and climate
249 variability-driven changes in ocean circulation and biology (38). We obtain a rough
250 estimate of this contribution by using the surface ocean *p*CO₂ based air-sea flux
251 estimate of (39) for the 1994 through 2007 period and subtracting from it the expected
252 anthropogenic uptake flux consistent with (17), while accounting also for the steady-
253 state outgassing of natural CO₂. This yields a cumulative anomalous net release of
254 natural CO₂ of about 5 ± 3 Pg C. The resulting net (natural and anthropogenic CO₂)
255 ocean uptake estimate of 28 ± 5 Pg C for the 1994 through 2007 period implies a net
256 terrestrial biosphere sink over this period of 32 ± 9 Pg, or about 2.4 ± 0.7 Pg C yr⁻¹
257 (Table 2). Our data-based net ocean uptake estimate confirms the model-based 26 ± 4
258 Pg C ocean sink reported by the Global Carbon Project over the 1994 through 2007
259 period (36).

260 While the $\sim 30\%$ increase in the oceanic burden of anthropogenic CO₂ between 1994
261 and 2007 period constitutes a great service for humanity by slowing down the
262 accumulation of CO₂ in the atmosphere, this service comes with the cost of increased
263 ocean acidification(40). Our reconstructed increases in C_{ant} imply a deep reach of

264 ocean acidification into the ocean's interior(41, 42), causing a further shoaling of the
265 ocean's saturation horizons for biogenic carbonate minerals(43), and a further
266 squeezing of the available habitats for the organisms sensitive to changes in the
267 ocean's CO₂ chemistry(40, 44).

268 Documenting and quantifying these changes in anthropogenic CO₂ and ocean
269 acidification requires the continuation of whole ocean observations of inorganic
270 carbon and of the many ancillary variables needed to produce the internally consistent
271 data products that are so crucial for analysing long-term changes in ocean carbon
272 storage. In addition, the continuing documentation of the biogeochemical and physical
273 changes in the ocean will be essential for understanding any forthcoming changes in
274 ocean biology.

275

276 **References:**

- 277 1. C. L. Sabine *et al.*, The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO₂. *Science* (80-.). **305**, 367–371 (2004).
- 278 2. B. I. McNeil, R. J. Matear, The non-steady state oceanic CO₂ signal: its importance, magnitude
279 and a novel way to detect it. *Biogeosciences*. **10**, 2219–2228 (2013).
- 280 3. J. L. Sarmiento, J. C. Orr, U. Siegenthaler, A perturbation Simulation of CO₂ uptake in an Ocean
281 General Circulation Model. *J. Geophys. Res.* **97**, 3621–3645 (1992).
- 282 4. R. A. Houghton, A. A. Nassikas, Global and regional fluxes of carbon from land use and land
283 cover change 1850–2015. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*. **31**, 456–472 (2017).
- 284 5. T. A. Boden, G. Marland, R. J. Andres, “Regional and National Fossil-Fuel CO₂ Emissions” (Oak
285 Ridge; Tenn.; U.S.A, 2017), doi:10.3334/CDIAC/00001_V2017.
- 286 6. N. Gruber *et al.*, Oceanic sources, sinks, and transport of atmospheric CO₂. *Global Biogeochem.*
287 *Cycles*. **23** (2009), doi:10.1029/2008GB003349.
- 288 7. L. D. Talley *et al.*, Changes in Ocean Heat, Carbon Content, and Ventilation: A Review of the
289 First Decade of GO-SHIP Global Repeat Hydrography. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.* **8**, 185–215 (2016).
- 290 8. A. Olsen *et al.*, The Global Ocean Data Analysis Project version 2 (GLODAPv2) – an internally
291 consistent data product for the world ocean. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*. **8**, 297–323 (2016).
- 292 9. D. Clement, N. Gruber, The eMLR(C*) Method to Determine Decadal Changes in the Global
293 Ocean Storage of Anthropogenic CO₂. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*. **32**, 654–679 (2018).
- 294 10. K. Friis, A. Körtzinger, J. Pätsch, D. W. R. Wallace, On the temporal increase of anthropogenic
295 CO₂ in the subpolar North Atlantic. *Deep. Res. Part I*. **52**, 681–698 (2005).
- 296 11. J. L. Sarmiento, N. Gruber, *Ocean Biogeochemical Dynamics* (Princeton University Press,
297 Princeton, New Jersey, 2006).
- 298 12. N. Gruber, Anthropogenic CO₂ in the Atlantic Ocean. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*. **12**, 165–191
299 (1998).
- 300 13. S. Khatiwala *et al.*, Global ocean storage of anthropogenic carbon. *Biogeosciences*. **10**, 2169–
301 2191 (2013).
- 302 14. T. DeVries, The oceanic anthropogenic CO₂ sink: Storage, air-sea fluxes, and transports over the
303 industrial era. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*. **28**, 631–647 (2014).
- 304 15. D. R. Jackett, T. J. McDougall, A Neutral Density Variable for the World’s Oceans. *J. Phys.*
305 *Oceanogr.* **27**, 237–263 (1997).
- 306 16. K. Hanawa, L. D. Talley, in *Ocean Circulation and Climate*, G. Siedler, J. Church, Eds.
307 (Academic Press, San Diego, 2001), pp. 373–386.
- 308 17. S. E. Mikaloff Fletcher *et al.*, Inverse estimates of anthropogenic CO₂ uptake, transport, and
309 storage by the ocean. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*. **20**, 1–16 (2006).
- 310 18. L. Bopp, M. Lévy, L. Resplandy, J. B. Sallée, Pathways of anthropogenic carbon subduction in
311 the global ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* (2015), doi:10.1002/2015GL065073.
- 312 19. J. Marshall, K. Speer, Closure of the meridional overturning circulation through Southern Ocean
313 upwelling. *Nat. Geosci.* **5**, 171–180 (2012).

- 314 20. M. Hoppema, W. Roether, R. G. J. Bellerby, H. J. W. de Baar, Direct measurements reveal
315 insignificant storage of anthropogenic CO₂ in the Abyssal Weddell Sea. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **28**,
316 1747–1750 (2001).
- 317 21. T. Devries, M. Holzer, F. Primeau, Recent increase in oceanic carbon uptake driven by weaker
318 upper-ocean overturning. *Nature*. **542**, 215–218 (2017).
- 319 22. A. Olsen, A. M. Omar, E. Jeansson, L. G. Anderson, R. G. J. Bellerby, Nordic seas transit time
320 distributions and anthropogenic CO₂. *J. Geophys. Res.* **115**, 1–14 (2010).
- 321 23. T. Tanhua *et al.*, Ventilation of the Arctic Ocean: Mean ages and inventories of anthropogenic
322 CO₂ and CFC-11. *J. Geophys. Res.* **114**, 1–11 (2009).
- 323 24. A. Schneider, T. Tanhua, A. Körtzinger, D. W. R. Wallace, High anthropogenic carbon content in
324 the eastern Mediterranean. *J. Geophys. Res.* **115**, C12050 (2010).
- 325 25. R. Wanninkhof *et al.*, Changes in deep-water CO₂ concentrations over the last several decades
326 determined from discrete pCO₂ measurements. *Deep Sea Res. Part II*. **74**, 48–63 (2013).
- 327 26. S. Khatiwala, F. Primeau, T. Hall, Reconstruction of the history of anthropogenic CO₂
328 concentrations in the ocean. *Nature*. **462**, 346–349 (2009).
- 329 27. R. H. Gammon, J. Cline, D. Wisegarver, Chlorofluoromethanes in the Northeast Pacific Ocean:
330 Measured vertical distributions and application as transient tracers of upper ocean mixing. *J.*
331 *Geophys. Res.* **87**, 9441–9454 (1982).
- 332 28. T. Tanhua, A. Körtzinger, K. Friis, D. W. Waugh, D. W. R. Wallace, An estimate of anthropogenic
333 CO₂ inventory from decadal changes in oceanic carbon content. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **104**, 3037–
334 3042 (2007).
- 335 29. R. Steinfeldt, M. Rhein, J. L. Bullister, T. Tanhua, Inventory changes in anthropogenic carbon
336 from 1997–2003 in the Atlantic Ocean between 20°S and 65°N. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*. **23**,
337 1–11 (2009).
- 338 30. R. Wanninkhof *et al.*, Detecting anthropogenic CO₂ changes in the interior Atlantic Ocean
339 between 1989 and 2005. *J. Geophys. Res.* **115**, C11028 (2010).
- 340 31. F. F. Pérez *et al.*, Atlantic Ocean CO₂ uptake reduced by weakening of the meridional overturning
341 circulation. *Nat. Geosci.* **6**, 146–152 (2013).
- 342 32. F. Fröb *et al.*, Irminger Sea deep convection injects oxygen and anthropogenic carbon to the
343 ocean interior. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 13244 (2016).
- 344 33. D. W. J. Thompson, S. Solomon, Interpretation of recent Southern Hemisphere climate change.
345 *Science*. **296**, 895–899 (2002).
- 346 34. D. W. Waugh, F. Primeau, T. Devries, M. Holzer, Recent Changes in the Ventilation of the
347 Southern Oceans. *Science (80-.)*. **339**, 568–70 (2013).
- 348 35. T. Tanhua *et al.*, Temporal changes in ventilation and the carbonate system in the Atlantic sector
349 of the Southern Ocean. *Deep. Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.* **138**, 26–38 (2017).
- 350 36. C. Le Quéré *et al.*, Global Carbon Budget 2017. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*. **10**, 405–448 (2018).
- 351 37. E. Dlugokencky, P. Tans, “Trends in atmospheric carbon dioxide” (Boulder, CO, USA, 2017),
352 (available at <http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/tre>).

- 353 38. R. F. Keeling, Comment on “The Ocean Sink for Anthropogenic CO₂.” *Science* (80-.). **308**,
354 1743c–1743c (2005).
- 355 39. P. Landschützer, N. Gruber, D. C. E. Bakker, Decadal variations and trends of the global ocean
356 carbon sink. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*. **30**, 1–22 (2016).
- 357 40. S. C. Doney, V. J. Fabry, R. A. Feely, J. A. Kleypas, Ocean Acidification: The Other CO₂ Problem.
358 *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.* **1**, 169–192 (2009).
- 359 41. R. A. Feely, Impact of Anthropogenic CO₂ on the CaCO₃ System in the Oceans. *Science* (80-.).
360 **305**, 362–366 (2004).
- 361 42. F. F. Perez *et al.*, Meridional overturning circulation conveys fast acidification to the deep
362 Atlantic Ocean. *Nature*. **554**, 515–518 (2018).
- 363 43. B. R. Carter *et al.*, Two decades of Pacific anthropogenic carbon storage and ocean acidification
364 along Global Ocean Ship-based Hydrographic Investigations Program sections P16 and P02.
365 *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*. **31**, 306–327 (2017).
- 366 44. K. J. Kroeker, R. L. Kordas, R. N. Crim, G. G. Singh, Meta-analysis reveals negative yet variable
367 effects of ocean acidification on marine organisms. *Ecol. Lett.* **13**, 1419–1434 (2010).
- 368 45. N. Gruber, J. L. Sarmiento, T. F. Stocker, An improved method for detecting anthropogenic CO₂
369 in the oceans. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*. **10**, 809–837 (1996).
- 370 46. P. Regnier *et al.*, Anthropogenic perturbation of the carbon fluxes from land to ocean. *Nat. Geosci.*
371 **6**, 597–607 (2013).
- 372 47. C. Sabine, N. Gruber, Response to Comment on “The Ocean Sink for Anthropogenic CO₂.”
373 *Science* (80-.). **308**, 1743d–1743d (2005).
- 374 48. E. Hansis, S. J. Davis, J. Pongratz, Relevance of methodological choices for accounting of land
375 use change carbon fluxes. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*. **29**, 1230–1246 (2015).
- 376
- 377
- 378

379 **Acknowledgments:**

380 We are deeply indebted to the large number of principal investigators, scientists and
381 technicians that acquired the high-quality oceanographic data that made our study
382 possible. This global synthesis is the outcome of an effort led by the joint IMBER-
383 SOLAS Ocean carbon cycle working group 2. We acknowledge the support from the
384 two parent organizations IMBER and SOLAS. **Funding:** We are grateful to the many
385 funding agencies in the various countries that financially supported the global ship-
386 based surveys that underpin much of this work. In particular, we would like to
387 acknowledge the funding from the U.S. National Science Foundation and from the
388 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), and the GO-SHIP
389 program together with the International ocean carbon coordination project (IOCCP)
390 for their efforts to initiate and coordinate the repeat hydrography program. The work
391 of NG and DC was supported by ETH and the FP7 projects CarboChange (264879)
392 and Geocarbon (283080). SvH also received support by CarboChange (264879). RW,
393 RAF, and BRC acknowledge support of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research NOAA,
394 U.S. Department of Commerce, including resources from the NOAA Global Ocean
395 Monitoring and Observations Division (fund reference 100007298). This is
396 contribution number 4796 from the NOAA Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory
397 and JISAO contribution 2018-0185. MI acknowledges support from Japan
398 Meteorological Agency and MEXT KAKENHI Grant Number 24121003 “NEOPS”
399 and JP16H01594 “OMIX”. SKL acknowledges support from the Research Council of
400 Norway (214513). FFP was supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad
401 through the ARIOS (CTM2016-76146-C3-1-R) project co-funded by the Fondo
402 Europeo de Desarrollo Regional 2014-2020 (FEDER) and EU Horizon 2020 through
403 the AtlantOS project (grant agreement 633211). **Author contributions:** NG led the
404 global synthesis project together with RW, RAF, TT, MI, JM. NG conceived and
405 developed the eMLR(C*) method together with DC, analyzed the results, and wrote
406 the paper with input by all co-authors. DC undertook additional quality controls on
407 the data with input by SvH, conducted the estimation of the changes in anthropogenic
408 CO₂ together with NG, and supported all analyses. SvH performed additional

409 inversion of the data. AO led the team that conducted the secondary quality control of
410 the GO-SHIP and JGOFS/WOC-era cruises. All authors provided their expertise at all
411 stages, were involved in the analysis and interpretation of the data, and contributed to
412 the writing of the manuscript. **Competing interests:** The authors declare that they
413 have no competing interests. **Data and materials availability:** The inorganic carbon
414 observations from GLODAPv2 underlying this study are available from the GLODAP
415 website: www.glodap.info. The anthropogenic CO₂ estimates reported in this paper
416 can be obtained through NCEI's Ocean Carbon Data System:
417 www.nodc.noaa.gov/ocads/index.html.


418

419

420

421 **Figures**

422



423

424

425 **Figure 1:** Vertical sections of the change in C_{ant} , $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$, between the JGOFS/WOCE


426 era (~1994) and the Repeat Hydrography/GO-SHIP era (~2007). Shown are the zonal

427 mean sections in each ocean basin organized around the Southern Ocean in the center.

428 The upper 500 m are expanded. Contour intervals are 2 μmol kg⁻¹.


429

430



431

432 **Figure 2:** Distribution of the change in anthropogenic CO₂, $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$, between 1994 and
 433 2007 on two selected neutral surfaces. (a) $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ on the neutral surface 26.60 kg m⁻³,
 434 representing subtropical mode waters and located around 400 m depth in the centers
 435 of the subtropical gyres. (b) $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ on the neutral surface 27.40 located at a depth of
 436 about 1000 m. In the southern hemisphere and in the Indian and Pacific oceans, this
 437 neutral surface represents southern-sourced Antarctic Intermediate Water, while in the
 438 North Atlantic it represents Intermediate Water formed in the north. Stippled areas
 439 poleward of the highest concentration of $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ indicate the outcrop areas of these
 440 neutral surfaces. Hatched areas indicate regions where no estimate of $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ was
 441 possible due to data limitations.




442

443 **Figure 3:** Maps of the column inventories of anthropogenic CO₂ in the ocean. (a)
 444 Change in column inventory between 1994 and 2007 based on the eMLR(C*) method.
 445 (b) Column inventory for the year 1994 (*I*) based on the ΔC^* method(45). (c)
 446 Anomalous change in column inventory estimated from the difference between the
 447 change in the vertical column inventory (shown in a) and that expected on the basis of
 448 the transient steady-state model and the C_{ant} inventory shown in (b), namely $\Delta_i C_{ant}^{anom}$
 449 = $\Delta_i C_{ant} - \alpha \cdot C_{ant}(1994)$, $\alpha=0.28$. The column inventories were obtained by vertically

450 integrating the values from the surface down to 3000 m. Hatched areas indicate
 451 regions where no estimate of $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ was possible due to data limitations.

452

453



454

455 Figure 4: Zonal mean sections of the anomalous change in C_{ant} , i.e., $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}} = \Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$
 456 $- \alpha \cdot C_{\text{ant}}(1994)$, $\alpha=0.28$. (a) Zonal mean section in the Atlantic, (b) as (a) but for the
 457 Pacific, and (c), as (a) but for the Indian Ocean. Selected isolines of zonally averaged
 458 neutral density are shown as contour lines in all plots.

459

460 **Tables**

461 *Table 1: Change in the inventory of anthropogenic CO₂ between 1994-2007 as*
 462 *estimated on the basis of the eMLR(C*) method. Shown in italics are the estimated*
 463 *uncertainty based on the sensitivity and Monte Carlo analyses.*

464

	Atlantic	Pacific	Indian	Other basins‡	Global
	(Pg C)	(Pg C)	[Pg C]	(Pg C)	(Pg C)
Northern hemisphere	<i>6.0 ±0.4†</i>	<i>5.1 ±0.6</i>	<i>0.8 ±0.4</i>	<i>1.5 ±0.6</i>	<i>13.4 ±1.0</i>
Southern hemisphere	<i>5.9 ±1.2†</i>	<i>7.8 ±1.2</i>	<i>6.1 ±3.4</i>	<i>~0</i>	<i>19.8 ±3.8</i>
Entire basin	<i>11.9 ±1.3</i>	<i>12.9 ±1.3</i>	<i>6.9 ±3.4</i>	<i>1.5 ±0.6</i>	<i>33.2 ±4.0</i>

465 †includes an estimated 1 Pg C to account for the accumulation below 3000 m, with 0.7 Pg C allocated
 466 to the North Atlantic, and 0.3 Pg C to the South Atlantic (see main text)

467 ‡estimated storage in the Arctic and Mediterranean Sea (see supplementary material)

468

469

470

471 *Table 2: Global Budget for CO₂ for both the period 1800 until 1994, and the decadal*
 472 *period from 1994 until 2007. In comparison to previous budgets (1), we include now*
 473 *explicitly also the potential loss of natural CO₂ from the ocean as a component of the*
 474 *budget. Note that the potential contribution of changes in the land to ocean carbon*
 475 *fluxes through aquatic systems(46) is not considered here.*

CO ₂ sources and sinks	1800 to 1994 (Pg C) (a)	1994 to 2007 (Pg C) (b)
<i>Constrained sources and sinks</i>		
(1) Emissions of C _{ant} from fossil fuel and cement production	244±20	94±5 (c)
(2) Increase of CO ₂ in the atmosphere	-165±4	-50±1 (d)
(3a) Uptake of C_{ant} by the ocean	-118±19	-33± 4(e)
(3b) Loss of natural CO ₂ by the ocean	7 ± 10(f)	5±3 (g)
(3) Net ocean CO ₂ uptake	-111±21	-28±5
<i>Inferred terrestrial balance</i>		
(4) Net terrestrial balance [-(1)-(2)-(3)]	32 ± 30	-16±7
<i>Terrestrial balance</i>		
(5) Emissions of C_{ant} from land use change	100 to 180	16±6(h)
(6) Terrestrial biosphere sink [-(1)-(2)-(3)] -(5)	-68 to -148	-32±9

476 (a) budget as listed in Sabine et al. (2004), except for 3b (ref (1))

477 (b) the numbers correspond to the period from mid 1994 to mid 2007.

478 (c) Boden, Marland and Andres (2017) (ref (5))

479 (d) Dlugokencky and Tans (2017) (ref (37))

480 (e) this study

481 (f) Keeling (2005), Sabine and Gruber (2005) (refs (38, 47))

482 (g) see text, based on Landschützer et al. (2016) (39)

483 (h) average of Houghton and Nassikas (2017) and Hansis et al. (2015) (refs (4, 48))

484

Supplementary Information for

The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO₂ from 1994 to 2007

Nicolas Gruber (1), Dominic Clement (1), Brendan R. Carter (2, 17), Richard A. Feely (2), Steven van Heuven (3), Mario Hoppema (4), Masao Ishii (5), Robert M. Key (6), Alex Kozyr (7), Siv K. Lauvset (8,12), Claire Lo Monaco (9), Jeremy T. Mathis (10), Akihiko Murata (11), Are Olsen (12), Fiz F. Perez (13), Christopher L. Sabine (14), Toste Tanhua (15), and Rik Wanninkhof (16)

1. Environmental Physics, Institute of Biogeochemistry and Pollutant Dynamics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.
- 2 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, Seattle, USA.
- 3 Centre for Isotope Research, Faculty of Science and Engineering, University of Groningen, the Netherlands.
- 4 Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany.
- 5 Meteorological Research Institute, Japan Meteorological Agency, Tsukuba, Japan.
- 6 Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA.
- 7 NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, Silver Spring, USA.
- 8 NORCE Norwegian Research Centre, Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway.
- 9 LOCEAN, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France.
- 10 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Arctic Research Program, Silver Spring, USA.
- 11 Research and Development Center for Global Change, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology,
- 12 Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Norway.
- 13 Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas, CSIC (IIM-CSIC), Vigo, Spain
- 14 Department of Oceanography, University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Honolulu, USA.
- 15 GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, Germany
- 16 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory, Miami, USA.
- 17 Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean, University of Washington, Seattle, USA

This supplementary information describes in detail the data and methods used to estimate the global change in the oceanic anthropogenic CO₂ content between 1994 and 2007.

Data:

The main data we employ come from the merged and internally consistent GLODAPv2 data product(8), which consists of high-quality measurements of

dissolved inorganic carbon (*DIC*), total alkalinity (*Alk*), and related parameters, such as the macronutrients nitrate, phosphate, and silicic acid, and dissolved oxygen, from a total of 724 cruises covering the global ocean and spanning the period from 1972 through 2012. This global data product was built on the basis of earlier collections, starting with the first version of GLODAP(49), but also including the CARINA collection in the Atlantic and Southern Ocean(50, 51) and the PACIFICA collection in the Pacific(52). Numerous additional cruises with high quality data, particularly from the Repeat Hydrography /GO-SHIP program(7) were included into GLODAPv2 as well, making this the world's largest and most complete collection of interior ocean inorganic carbon data(8). The GLODAPv2 data underwent strict quality control procedures (i.e., bias adjustments) in order to achieve internal consistency, which is a key requirement for the analysis of long-term trends. The level of consistency of the data obtained after adjustments was determined to be better than 0.005 in salinity (*S*), 1% in oxygen (*O*₂), 2% in nitrate (*NO*₃), 2% in silicic acid (*SiOH*₄), 2% in phosphate (*PO*₄), 4 μmol kg⁻¹ in dissolved inorganic carbon (*DIC*), and 6 μmol kg⁻¹ in total alkalinity (*Alk*).

We modified the GLODAPv2 data product in two ways. First, we added two GO-SHIP cruises in the Atlantic (A16S, 2013/2014, and A16N, 2013, <https://cchdo.ucsd.edu/cruise/33RO20131223>, [33RO20130803](https://cchdo.ucsd.edu/cruise/33RO20130803)) in order to have a better temporal coverage of this basin for the Repeat Hydrography Program/GO-SHIP era (henceforth referred to as GO-SHIP era, although this era is ongoing). Second, several cruises/stations were excluded from the analyses for a number of reasons: (i) too early, i.e. all data before 1981, were excluded in order to avoid the inclusion of data with possibly enhanced measurement uncertainty and also data that require an excessive temporal adjustment, (ii) outside analysis domain, i.e. data stemming from marginal seas (Mediterranean, Sea of Japan, etc) and north of 65°N, were not considered, and (iii) not all variables needed to compute C* and to establish the linear regressions were available. The third criterion, i.e., the availability of the whole suite of variables was by far the most important exclusion criterion, as it excluded about 75% of all samples in GLODAPv2. A final manual quality control (iv) checked for

stations with an excessive amount of scatter in the computed C* tracer. This affected mostly a few selected stations, primarily located in the Southern Hemisphere (Figure S1).

Given our goal to estimate decadal changes in anthropogenic carbon between the 1990s (JGOFS/WOCE era) and the 2000s and 2010s (GO-SHIP era), we assigned each cruise to one of these two eras, using January 1st, 2000 as the separation. Figure S1 depicts the resulting spatial distribution of the cruises for each of the two eras, color-coded according to the year of observation. In Figure S2, the data distribution in time is shown, color coded according to ocean basin. The overall distribution is relatively homogeneous, with the median year for all retained measurements being 1994 for the JGOFS/WOCE era and 2007 for the GO-SHIP era. Unfortunately, there are also large spatial gaps. Especially striking is the relatively poor coverage of the Indian Ocean during the GO-SHIP era up to 2012.

In order to map the estimated change in anthropogenic CO₂ to the global ocean, we employ two gridded products, i.e., the gridded GLODAPv2 product(53) for nitrate, phosphate, silicic acid, oxygen, and the apparent oxygen utilization ($AOU = O_2^{sat} - O_2$), and the gridded World Ocean Atlas 2013 (WOA) product for temperature(54) and salinity(55). Although the GLODAPv2 gridded product is based on fewer data than the WOA product, its source data is fully quality controlled and internally consistent. In contrast, the WOA product may have inherited substantial biases from its source data, which have been subject to only a minimum level of 2nd quality control. This is a particular concern for the nutrients since standard reference materials for these parameters that would allow for a more direct comparability of the different measurements have become available only very recently(56). An additional reason to employ the GLODAPv2 product is that it is consistent with the data that was used to determine the predictive relationships for $\Delta_t C_{ant}$. This avoids mapping biases, particularly in the deeper parts of the ocean, where small offsets can lead to substantial errors in the estimation of $\Delta_t C_{ant}$. Since quality issues and biases are much smaller for S and T, owing to their high inherent accuracy and the well-established use of standards, we opted for the WOA product for these two parameters.

Determination of change in anthropogenic CO₂:

We determine the change in the oceanic anthropogenic CO₂ concentration between the two eras by employing the recently developed eMLR(C*) method(9). This method builds on the well-established eMLR method(10, 57), but extends it in several ways to deal primarily with (i) the temporal inhomogeneity of the data and (ii) with the spatial inhomogeneity of the observations, i.e., the fact that only a subset of the cruises represent repeat occupations of the same lines. The eMLR(C*) method employs a probabilistic approach in the selection of the independent variables for the eMLR, thereby avoiding many of the problems associated with the choice of variables when building regression models(43, 58). A full description of the method including a detailed assessment of its performance on the basis of synthetic data from a hindcast simulation with an ocean biogeochemical model is given in ref (9). Here, we provide a brief description including the specific modifications undertaken to deal with real observations.

The method proceeds in three steps (Figure S3). In the first step, the semi-conservative tracer $C^* = DIC - r_{C:P} PO_4^{3-} - 1/2 (Alk + r_{N:P} PO_4^{3-})$ (45, 59) is computed from the measured *DIC*, *Alk* and phosphate (PO₄³⁻) assuming a constant stoichiometric C:P and N:P ratio during photosynthesis and respiration/remineralization ($r_{C:P} = 116:1$, $r_{N:P} = 16:1$). We use here phosphate instead of nitrate in order to avoid issues with denitrification (59), even though the relative measurement precision is better for nitrate than for phosphate. If the assumption of constant stoichiometric ratios is correct, then the spatio-temporal distribution of C* reflects only the effect of the exchange of natural and anthropogenic CO₂ across the air-sea interface on the observed *DIC*(6). This strongly enhances the interpretability of the signals - an advantage that largely persists even when considering the fact that the stoichiometric ratios $r_{C:P}$ and $r_{N:P}$ are likely variable(60). A corollary advantage of using C* in the eMLR method compared to the commonly used *DIC*(30, 43, 61) is the smaller variance in C*, permitting us to develop more accurate statistical models(9).

In the second step, the C^* values for each observational era are normalized to the median for the respective periods (1994 for JGOFS/WOCE and 2007 for GO-SHIP). This normalization is achieved by assuming a transient steady state(27), which applies strictly for linear systems that are exponentially forced for an amount of time that is longer than the adjustment time– a condition that is largely met by the ocean carbon uptake(28) (see below for a more thorough discussion). This assumption predicts that in an ocean with time-constant circulation and mixing, the change in anthropogenic CO₂ burden between two years is proportional to the amount of anthropogenic CO₂ that is already present, with the proportionality determined by the relative change in atmospheric CO₂ between these two years(28), i.e., $C^*(t^{ref}) = C^*(t) - \beta(t) \cdot C_{ant}(t^{ref})$, with $\beta(t) = (\text{CO}_2^{\text{atm}}(t) - \text{CO}_2^{\text{atm}}(t^{ref})) / (\text{CO}_2^{\text{atm}}(t^{ref}) - \text{CO}_2^{\text{atm}}(t^{pi}))^1$, where t^{ref} is the reference year, t^{pi} is the preindustrial time and t is the year the measurement was taken. We use the global mean atmospheric CO₂ from ref (37) for CO₂^{atm} at times t and t^{ref} , and a value of 280 ppmv for atmospheric CO₂ at preindustrial time, i.e., CO₂^{atm}(t^{pi}). For the JGOFS/WOCE period, t_1^{ref} , we use for $C_{ant}(t_1^{ref})$ the globally gridded estimate of Sabine et al.(49). For the GO-SHIP period, t_2^{ref} , we constructed a corresponding distribution for $C_{ant}(t_2^{ref})$ from $C_{ant}(t_1^{ref})$ using the same transient steady state assumption, i.e., we scaled up the estimates for 1994 to 2007 using $\alpha=0.28$ (see below for detailed justification). Since the majority of the measurements were taken relatively close in time to the two reference years, these adjustments are usually less than 2 to 3 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$. As a result, the sensitivity of the final results to these choices is very small (see ref (9)).

In the third step, the adjusted data from the two observational eras are fitted with multiple linear regressions. To this end, we binned the data into ranges of neutral density, i.e., isoneutral slabs: 14 for the Atlantic and 12 for the combined Indo-Pacific ocean basins (Table S1). Data above 150 m were excluded from the fit in order to avoid the inclusion of seasonal biases. The C^* observations for each of the 26 slabs

¹ Please note that the corresponding equation (6) in ref(9) is missing the CO₂^{atm}(t^{pi}) term in denominator. This is a mistake and a correction will be submitted to the journal.

from the JGOFS/WOCE period (t_1^{ref}) were then fitted with linear functions of the form: $C^*(t_1^{ref}) = a_{1,0} + \sum a_{1,i} \cdot T_i(t_1) + residual(t_1)$, where $a_{1,0}$ and $a_{1,i}$ are the coefficients of the linear fit, $T_i(t_1)$ are the i independent, co-measured variables used as predictors. The same procedure was completed for the data from the GO-SHIP period (t_2^{ref}), i.e., $C^*(t_2^{ref}) = a_{2,0} + \sum a_{2,i} \cdot T_i(t_2) + residual(t_2)$. The coefficients of the two fits are then combined to form the eMLR-based estimate of the change in anthropogenic CO₂ between the two reference periods, i.e., $\Delta C_{ant}(t_2^{ref}-t_1^{ref}) = (a_{2,0} - a_{1,0}) + \sum (a_{2,i}-a_{1,i}) \cdot T_i^{clim}$, where T_i^{clim} is the climatological distribution of the independent tracer T_i . We use a probabilistic approach in our selection of the independent variables (see also ref (43)). For each isoneutral slab and ocean basin, we first fit all possible combinations of the following 7 independent variables: temperature, salinity, phosphate, silicic acid, $PO_4^* = PO_4 - 16 \cdot NO_3 + 2.9(62)$, oxygen, and AOU under the constraint that a minimum of two, but not more than 5 independent tracers are selected. This gives a total of 112 combinations. Out of these combinations, the 10 best fits are selected for each subset, with the quality of the fit determined from the combined root mean square error (RMSE) of both survey periods. Each of these 10 best fits are then combined with the climatological fields of the independent tracers T_i^{clim} , to estimate the change in anthropogenic CO₂ throughout the global ocean.

We employ two methods to estimate the change in the anthropogenic CO₂ in the top 150 m. For waters with a neutral density higher than 26.0 kg m⁻³, i.e., for the isoneutral slabs outcropping at temperate latitudes and higher, we extrapolate the eMLR equations from the ocean interior of that slab all the way to the surface. For the lower densities, we employ the transient equilibrium approach(63), i.e., we make the well-tested assumption that the CO₂ system in these waters has followed the increase in atmospheric CO₂ closely(11). This permits us to compute the change in anthropogenic CO₂ directly from the change in atmospheric CO₂ using thermodynamic considerations only. Specifically, we use eq 10.2.16 in ref (11) to estimate the change in anthropogenic CO₂ in the upper ocean by computing $\Delta C_{ant}^{eq}(t_2^{ref} - t_1^{ref}) = 1/\gamma \cdot DIC/pCO_2 \cdot (pCO_2^{atm}(t_2^{ref}) - pCO_2^{atm}(t_1^{ref}))$, where DIC and

$p\text{CO}_2$ are the in situ values, where γ is the buffer (Revelle) factor and where we evaluated the right-hand side using CO2SYS(64) employing the Mehrbach constants(65) as refitted by Dickson and Millero(66) using the climatological values for temperature, salinity, *DIC* and *Alk*.

Calculations of changes in the column inventory of anthropogenic CO₂:

To compute the changes in the column inventory of anthropogenic CO₂, any negative values are set to zero and the resulting non-negative values are then integrated from the surface down to 3000 m. We do not integrate to greater depth, as we consider the reconstruction to be too uncertain there. In addition, we expect only a very small fraction of the total increase in the oceanic burden of anthropogenic CO₂ to accumulate below 3000 m since model simulations, as well as the total anthropogenic CO₂ burden from the pre-industrial to the 1994 (1), suggest that this fraction is substantially less than 5%. Given the lack of strong constraints on this number, we make no attempt to correct for this.

In contrast, we do take into account the increase in anthropogenic CO₂ in regions not covered by our method, i.e., the Arctic, the Nordic Seas (north of 65°N) and the Mediterranean. (Note that our estimate covers the other marginal seas, namely the Caribbean, the Gulf of Mexico, and the Sea of Japan). To account for the contribution of these unmapped regions, we use independent estimates of the anthropogenic CO₂ accumulation in these regions. The majority of these estimates concern the total inventory, making it necessary to scale them to the time period of interest here, i.e., 1994 through 2007. To this end, we apply again the transient steady state assumption, and scale the inventories with a scaling factor $\alpha=0.28$ (see below). We also use this assumption to correct the reported inventories back to the same starting year, i.e., 1994. This yields, for the Arctic, starting from the reported range of inventory of between 2.5 to 3.3 Pg C for 2005(23) an expected change of 0.6 to 0.9 Pg C between 1994 and 2007. For the Nordic Seas, we infer an increase of 0.2 to 0.4 Pg C, based on an inventory estimate of 0.9 to 1.4 Pg for 2002(22). For the Mediterranean, the corresponding estimate is 0.3 to 0.6 Pg C, computed from the reported inventory of

1.3 to 2.1 Pg C for 2001(24). In total, we estimate that we need to add between 1.2 and 1.9 Pg C to our mapped estimate to obtain the global change in the oceanic inventory of anthropogenic CO₂ between 1994 and 2007.

Uncertainties:

Using synthetic data from a hindcast simulation with the NCAR CCSM model, Clement and Gruber (9) investigated in detail the major sources of errors and uncertainties in the reconstructed $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ fields. While the method was found to perform very well with only a very small global bias, some persistent biases were found at the more regional level. The tests with the model revealed that the major cause for these biases are changes in ocean circulation that are not captured in the model (9). In contrast, the very skewed sampling in time and space, the assumption about transient steady state, and many other challenges mattered much less. The biases induced by circulation variability need to be taken carefully into account when interpreting the anomalous changes in $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$.

Even though the tests with the synthetic data suggest a relatively bias-free reconstruction, we nevertheless need to assign uncertainties to our reconstructed $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$. We determine these uncertainties using two complementary approaches, each of which addresses a different aspect of uncertainty. The first approach attempts to propagate the uncertainties associated with the variable selection for the multiple linear regression models forward to the final estimated change in anthropogenic CO₂. This addresses one of the most important contributions to random uncertainty within the approach (cf. (9)). To this end, we employ a Monte Carlo method, where we select for each realization one member randomly from the 10 best regression models for each subsetttd region and isoneutral slab and estimate the change in anthropogenic CO₂ for that model set. This procedure is repeated 100 times, yielding 100 spatial distributions of the change in anthropogenic CO₂ across the global ocean. We then use the standard deviation of these estimates as a measure of the random uncertainty. In the second approach, we attempt to assess the potential impact on $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ of the subjective choices in the estimation procedure on the reconstructed change in

anthropogenic CO₂. To this end, we used an ensemble of sensitivity analyses, wherein we made alternative choices along the three-step procedure visualized in Figure S3. Specifically, we altered (i) the climatological data the eMLR coefficients were applied on to estimate $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ globally (V102), (ii) the set of variables used to fit C^* (V103 and 106), (iii) the number and distribution of regions used to subset the data (V104, V105, V107), (iv) the number of isoneutral slabs considered in the vertical subsetting (V109 and V110), (v) the data employed to determine C^* (V108: no A16 cruise, and V114: all GLODAPv2 data used, i.e., no application of the exclusion criteria described above), (vi) how $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ is estimated in the upper 150 m of the water column (V111 and V112), and (vii) how C^* is defined (V113). Table S2 provides a detailed description of the standard estimate (V101) and of the 13 sensitivity cases considered. We use the interquartile range (IQR) of the 14 cases as an estimate of the systematic uncertainty associated with the reconstructed change in anthropogenic CO₂.

Table S3 lists the reconstructed changes in anthropogenic CO₂ between 1994 and 2007 for the standard case as well as for each of the 13 sensitivity cases. The median column inventories differ little from the "best" estimate provided by the standard case. Figure S4 shows the corresponding column inventories and Figure S5 the contribution of the different ocean basins to the global total.

The final uncertainty in inventory is estimated by taking the square root of the sum of squared uncertainties from both the Monte Carlo and the ensemble-based estimates, where we multiplied in both cases the uncertainties first by two, i.e., we used twice the IQR and twice the standard deviation to allow for unrecognized uncertainties. For all regions, the total uncertainty is dominated by the uncertainty stemming from the ensembles, while the contribution of the Monte Carlo based estimates is rather small.

Comparison with regional estimates:

The eMLR(C^*)-based estimates of $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ compare overall well with the regional data-based analyses along the cruise transects conducted so far, both in terms of the vertical distributions and the column inventories. The estimated inventory change between 1990 and 2010 reported for the Pacific Ocean on the basis of a small subset

of cruises(43) is equivalent to a mean storage rate of 0.8 ± 0.2 Pg C yr⁻¹ over the 1994 to 2007 period, statistically indistinguishable from ours (1.0 ± 0.1 Pg C yr⁻¹). A similar conclusion is reached in the Atlantic, where the extrapolation of four repeated cruise tracks(61) yields a storage rate of 0.6 ± 0.1 Pg C yr⁻¹, which is somewhat smaller than ours (0.9 ± 0.1 Pg C yr⁻¹). A recent North Atlantic estimate(31) of 0.39 Pg C yr⁻¹ (north of 25°N including the Arctic) is nearly identical to our estimate of 0.38 ± 0.03 Pg C yr⁻¹ in the same region.

There is less agreement with the only other global attempt to estimate the change in ocean carbon storage based on DIC data so far(67). This study, which is based on an extrapolation of the changes in DIC diagnosed at a few locations around the world, suggested substantially larger changes in ocean storage (2.9 to 3.4 Pg C yr⁻¹) than our value of 2.5 ± 0.3 Pg C yr⁻¹. However, given the limited dataset used in the former global estimate, its sampling bias and associated extrapolation uncertainties may explain the difference. In contrast, our data-based storage rates for the period 1994 to 2007 are in good agreement with those recently inferred from a diagnostic model of the ocean circulation, where the circulation pattern was analyzed separately for the 1990s and the first decade of the 2000(21) (Figure S6).

Estimating the scaling factor:

We employ the transient steady-state model(27) to estimate the expected change in the oceanic storage of anthropogenic CO₂ between two time points (t_1 and t_2). This model predicts a linear proportionality between this change, $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}(t_2-t_1)$, and the amount of anthropogenic CO₂ already present in the water column at time t_1 , $C_{\text{ant}}(t_1)$, with a proportionality factor, α , i.e., $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}(t_2-t_1) \approx \alpha \cdot C_{\text{ant}}(t_1)$.

The transient steady-state model is applicable if two conditions are met: (i) The forcing has to be exponential with a constant growth rate, and (ii) the time period of interest is far along into the perturbation such that the contribution of the initial conditions has become negligible. In the case of the oceanic uptake of anthropogenic CO₂, the second condition is clearly met, while this is only partially the case for the

first one. This is due to atmospheric CO₂ having nearly doubled its exponential growth rate around the middle of last century, with the exponential rate λ having increased from about 0.01 yr⁻¹ to about 0.02 yr⁻¹. Since it takes about $1/\lambda \approx 50$ yrs for a system to reach the new transient steady state, this condition is just barely met for the 1994 to 2007 period. Thus, we consider the transient steady-state model as an appropriate approach to estimate the expected change in storage, but recognize that we are somewhat fortunate, since this approach would have been problematic if we had tried to apply it to an earlier period.

We estimate the proportionality factor from the relative change in atmospheric CO₂, taking into consideration the change in the surface ocean buffer (Revelle) factor(68) and the presence of a growing air-sea disequilibrium(45, 69), which reflects the fact that the surface ocean is closely but not completely following the atmospheric perturbation. The derivation starts with the change in surface ocean *DIC*, i.e., $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$, driven by the increase in atmospheric CO₂. Using the same equation as above for $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{eq}}$, but extending it to include the presence of a disequilibrium gives:

$$\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}(t_2-t_1) \approx 1/\gamma(t_1..t_2) \text{ DIC}/p\text{CO}_2 \cdot \Delta_t p\text{CO}_2^{\text{atm}}(t_2-t_1) \cdot \xi(t_1..t_2),$$

where the disequilibrium ratio ξ is the ratio of the change in the oceanic $p\text{CO}_2$, $\Delta_t p\text{CO}_2(t_2-t_1)$ over that in the atmosphere, $\Delta_t p\text{CO}_2^{\text{atm}}(t_2-t_1)$. For the Revelle factor $\gamma(t_1..t_2)$, we use its average over the period t_1 to t_2 . The scaling factor α can then be estimated from the ratio of the changes between two time periods:

$$\alpha = \Delta_t p\text{CO}_2^{\text{atm}}(t_2-t_1)/\Delta_t p\text{CO}_2^{\text{atm}}(t_0-t_1) \cdot \xi(t_1..t_2)/\xi(t_0..t_1) \cdot \gamma(t_0..t_1) / \gamma(t_1..t_2).$$

Thus, α depends primarily on the ratio of the change in atmospheric CO₂, but is modified by the changes in the buffer factor and the changes in the disequilibrium. For the period $t_1 = t(1994)$ to $t_2 = t(2007)$ relative to the preindustrial $t_0 = t(1750)$, the ratio of the changes in atmospheric $p\text{CO}_2$ is 0.32 ($t_0 = 280$ ppm, $t_1 = 358$ ppm, $t_2 = 383$ ppm)(37) with a very small uncertainty of about ± 0.01 . The buffer factor γ varies strongly with the seawater chemistry, namely the ratio of *DIC* and *Alk(II)*, but it turns out that the ratio of its changes varies very little between different water masses. Taking the buffer factor for 1950 for $\gamma(t_0..t_1)$ and that for 2000 for $\gamma(t_1..t_2)$ yields a ratio $\gamma(t_0..t_1) / \gamma(t_1..t_2)$ of 0.94 for the mean ocean and low latitude ocean, and a ratio of

0.92 for the high latitude ocean. These buffer factors were computed by using the CO₂ chemistry software package CO2SYS(64) and inputting mean surface ocean properties for ~1994(11), and scaled DIC values for the respective years. The uncertainty of the computation of the buffer factors is very low, but given their variability in time and space, we assign an uncertainty of ± 0.02 to the ratio $\gamma(t_0..t_1) / \gamma(t_1..t_2)$. Assuming a change in the disequilibrium of about 6 μatm between the preindustrial and 1994 and about 3 μatm between 1994 and 2007 yields a ratio $\xi(t_1..t_2) / \xi(t_0..t_1)$ of 0.94. This ratio is rather sensitive to the exact choices of the not well constrained disequilibria, so that we assign it an uncertainty of ± 0.05 . Using this value plus the buffer factor ratio for the high latitudes and the atmospheric pCO₂ change ratio gives then for α a value of 0.28 ± 0.02 with the majority of the uncertainty stemming from the disequilibrium ratio. Figures S7 and S8 show that the main pattern of anomalous storage are not affected by the uncertainty of the scaling factor α , i.e., $\alpha = 0.26$ yields very similar results to $\alpha = 0.30$. Also the very small spatial variability of α matters little, since this leads to only very small errors in the anomalous change in C_{ant} , $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$ (Figure S9). Finally, Figure S10 also reveals that using an alternative estimate for the anthropogenic CO₂ storage in 1994, i.e., by using the ocean inversion based estimate of ref (14) instead of the C*-based estimate by ref((1) has a relatively small impact on the estimated large-scale distribution of $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$. The same conclusion applies when the expected change in anthropogenic CO₂ is directly taken from the ocean inversion model rather than estimated by scaling the 1994 result (compare panels c and d in Figure S10).

Estimating the anomalous outgassing of natural CO₂:

We use the surface ocean pCO₂-based air-sea CO₂ flux estimate of ref (39) for the period 1994 through 2007 and added a steady-state outgassing of river derived CO₂ of 0.65 Pg C yr⁻¹ (average of refs 42, 43) in order to obtain the net ocean uptake flux for this period. This estimate includes the both the uptake of anthropogenic CO₂ from the atmosphere as well as any anomalous outgassing of natural CO₂. We then used two approaches to isolate the latter.

In the first approach, we used this adjusted CO₂ flux in 1994, assumed that it constitutes only the anthropogenic CO₂ uptake flux, and then scaled it forward in time using the transient steady-state scaling used above based on atmospheric CO₂ in order to obtain a timeseries of the expected anthropogenic CO₂ uptake flux. In the second approach, we used the estimated anthropogenic uptake flux from the ocean inversion of ref(17) for the year 2000 and also used the transient steady-state scaling to scale it forward and backward to obtain a full timeseries of the anthropogenic uptake flux. The anomalous outgassing of flux of natural CO₂ was then computed by subtracting these two expected anthropogenic CO₂ uptake fluxes from the net uptake flux. These two approaches yielded nearly identical integrated losses of natural of CO₂ of 5 ± 3 Pg C for the period 1994 until 2007. We assign an uncertainty of $\pm 60\%$ to this value given the large number of assumptions that go into this estimate. The most important source of uncertainty in the first approach is the assumption that the anomalous flux of natural CO₂ is zero in 1994, while the largest source of uncertainty for the second approach is the magnitude of the river-derived CO₂ outgassing.

Figures




Figure S1: Maps of the sampling locations of the data used for the two eras: (a) for the WOCE/JGOFS era (1982 to 1999) and (b) as (a), but for the Repeat Hydrography/GO-SHIP era (from 2000 to 2013). The colors of the filled dots indicate the year of sampling. Open squares indicate stations/cruises that are in GLODAPv2, but not used for our analyses (see text for details). The size of the squares indicates the number of samples affected: Small: 0-9 samples, Medium: 10-19 samples, Large >19 samples. Stations that were sampled more than once during the Repeat Hydrography/GO-SHIP era are shown with two colors and with the second occupation plotted on top.




Figure S2: Distribution of the data through time. Shown are the number of discrete samples of C* for each year and basin that were used to construct the two multiple linear regression models.




Figure S3: Procedure to estimate the change in anthropogenic CO₂ between the JGOFS/WOCE and the GOSHIP eras in the water column below 150 m. Adapted from ref(9).

Figure S4: Column inventories of the change in anthropogenic CO₂ (mol m⁻²) between 1994 and 2007 of the standard case and of the 13 sensitivity cases considered. Also shown are the ensemble mean of the 14 cases and twice their interquartile range. For V102 through V114, the difference to the standard case V101 is shown. (See Table S1 for a full description of these sensitivity cases).




Figure S5: Inventories for the standard case as well as for the 13 sensitivity cases considered. Shown is the mean change in the concentration of anthropogenic CO₂ in the respective ocean basins as a function of the ocean volume. Thus, the area of the rectangle for each ocean basin is directly proportional to the total inventory change for this ocean basin.




Figure S6: Comparison of the mean storage rate of anthropogenic CO₂ between 1994 and 2007 for the global ocean w/o the Arctic Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea.

Shown are three estimates: The C* method-based estimate for the period 1800 to 1994(1) scaled to 2000 (blue) (see text), the eMLR(C*) based estimate (green), and a diagnostic model-based estimate(21), the latter interpolated to the 1994 to 2007 period. The uncertainties of the C*-based estimates are about 20%, those of the eMLR(C*) based estimates about ±10%, and those for the diagnostic model are reported to be less than 5%(21).




Figure S7. Sensitivity of anomalous change in storage to the value of the scaling factor α : (a) $\alpha = 0.26$ (lower bound estimate), and (b) $\alpha = 0.30$ (upper bound estimate).




Figure S8. Sensitivity of anomalous change in the zonal mean sections of $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ to the value of the scaling factor α : (a-c) $\alpha = 0.26$ (lower bound estimate), and (d-f) $\alpha = 0.30$ (upper bound estimate). (a, b): Atlantic Ocean, (b,e): Pacific Ocean, and (c,f): Indian Ocean.




Figure S9. Assessment of the impact of the spatial variations in the scaling factor α on the estimated $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$. (a) Column inventory of the error in $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$, and (b) zonal mean section of the error in $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$. The anomalous change in both panels was computed assuming a constant scaling factor α of 0.25, i.e., $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}} = \Delta_t C_{\text{ant}} - 0.25 \cdot C_{\text{ant}}(1994)$ using the constant climate results from the hindcast simulations with the NCAR CCSM described in detail in (9).




Figure S10. As Figure 3 in the main text, except for panel b showing the ocean inversion-based (OCIM) carbon inventory of anthropogenic CO₂ for the year 1994 from ref (14) instead of the C*-based estimate from ref (1), and panel c showing the anomalous change in $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ computed by subtracting the OCIM inventory for 1994 instead of the C*-based one, i.e., $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}(\text{scaled}) = \Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}(\text{eMLR}, 1994-2007) - 0.28 * C_{\text{ant}}(\text{OCIM}, 1994)$. The additional panel d shows the anomalous change in $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ when the expected change in $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ between 1994 and 2007 is taken directly from the OCIM simulated change, i.e., $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}(\text{direct}) = \Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}(\text{eMLR}, 1994-2007) - \Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}(\text{OCIM}, 1994-2007)$. To compute $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$ for the OCIM-based estimates, the $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}$ estimates were interpolated onto the 2°x2° of the OCIM estimates. The similarity of panels c and d with panel c of Figure 3 in the main text indicates that $\Delta_t C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{anom}}$ is neither sensitive to the choice of the C_{ant} fields for 1994 nor to the assumption of a constant scaling factor $\alpha=0.28$.




Table S1: Neutral density slabs used for the eMLR(C*) method to determine the change in anthropogenic CO₂ and selection of predictors in the multiple linear regressions (MLR)^a:

Isonutral range	Temp.	Sal.	<i>AOU</i>	PO ₄ ³⁻	NO ₃	Si(OH) ₄	PO ₄ *
<i>Atlantic density intervals</i>							
<26.00	8	5	7	6	2	5	7
26.00-26.50	7	8	6	6	3	3	7
26.50-26.75	9	7	5	5	3	1	9
26.75-27.00	10	3	5	5	4	6	6
27.00-27.25	8	2	4	5	4	9	7
27.25-27.50	8	3	8	7	4	4	6
27.50-27.75	6	4	8	9	4	3	5
27.75-27.85	4	3	8	9	7	4	5
27.85-27.95	3	10	5	8	4	5	5
27.95-28.05	3	10	6	9	2	2	6
28.05-28.10	10	5	6	4	3	2	9
28.10-28.15	8	7	6	6	1	3	8
28.15-28.20	8	5	7	8	1	4	6
>28.20	5	10	3	8	3	0	4
<i>Indo-Pacific density intervals</i>							
<26.00	8	4	7	4	8	2	6
26.00-26.5	9	4	4	5	2	7	8
26.50-26.75	7	3	6	6	7	2	8
26.75-27.00	6	2	7	7	6	2	9
27.00-27.25	4	10	7	7	2	3	6
27.25-27.50	5	7	7	8	2	3	8
27.50-27.75	8	7	6	6	1	3	8
27.75-27.85	10	5	3	3	3	5	7
27.85-27.95	7	4	6	6	2	7	7
27.95-28.05	4	6	9	9	2	5	4
28.05-28.10	1	4	8	8	3	9	6
>28.10	2	4	7	8	3	9	7

(a) Shown is number of times this variable is part of the 10 best MLR regressions

Table S2: List of sensitivity cases.

Identifier	Description	Number of regions	Number of iso slabs	Variables	Data source	Data mapping	Surface method
101	Standard Case	2	14 + 12	all	GLODAP2+	WOA &GLODAP	combined
102	only WOA (No GLODAP)	2	14 + 12	all	GLODAP2+	WOA only	combined
103	no PO4*	2	14 + 12	all wo PO4*	GLODAP2+	WOA &GLODAP2	combined
104	Southern Ocean	3 + S. Oc.	14 + 12	all	GLODAP2+	WOA &GLODAP2	combined
105	upper ocean: two regions; lower ocean: one region	2+1	14 + 12	all	GLODAP2+	WOA &GLODAP2	combined
106	only Carter variables†	2	14 + 12	Carter variables	GLODAP2+	WOA &GLODAP2	combined
107	5 regions	5	14 + 12	all	GLODAP2+	WOA &GLODAP2	combined
108	no A16 2013	2+1	14 + 12	all	GLODAP2 wo A16	WOA &GLODAP2	combined
109	reduced number isopycnal, 2 regions	2	10 + 8	all	GLODAP2+	WOA &GLODAP2	combined
110	reduced number isopycnal, 5 regions	5	10 + 8	all	GLODAP2+	WOA &GLODAP2	combined
111	no sfc equ	2	14 + 12	all	GLODAP2+	WOA &GLODAP2	eMLR only
112	global sfc equ	2	14 + 12	all	GLODAP2+	WOA &GLODAP2	surface equilibrium only
113	C* based on NO3	2	14 + 12	all	GLODAP2+	WOA &GLODAP2	combined
114	all data GLODAP2	2	14 + 12	all	GLODAP2	WOA &GLODAP2	combined

† Only the variables used by Carter et al. (43) are used as predictors in the eMLRs.

Table S3: Reconstructed column inventories in the different ocean basins for each of the sensitivity cases listed in Table S2.

Version	North Pacific (Pg C)	South Pacific (Pg C)	Indian Ocean (Pg C)	North Atlantic (Pg C)	South Atlantic (Pg C)	Global (Pg C)
101 (Std)	5.1	7.8	6.9	5.3	5.6	30.6
102	5.6	8.5	6.5	5.2	4.9	30.7
103	4.9	7.8	6.1	5.2	5.4	29.5
104	4.5	8.4	7.8	5.4	5.1	31.2
105	6.5	10.0	8.6	5.0	4.8	34.8
106	6.4	9.1	9.0	5.5	6.3	36.2
107	5.3	8.2	9.7	5.2	4.7	33.1
108	5.1	7.8	6.7	5.1	5.4	30.0
109	5.2	7.8	6.7	5.3	5.4	30.4
110	5.3	8.2	9.4	5.4	4.7	32.9
111	5.1	7.7	6.1	5.5	5.4	29.9
112	5.2	7.9	7.0	5.4	5.5	31.1
113	5.2	8.0	6.6	5.3	5.5	30.6
114	5.0	7.6	6.2	4.7	5.5	29.0
Median	5.2	8.0	6.8	5.3	5.4	30.7
IQR	0.3	0.6	1.9	0.2	0.6	2.2

References:

49. R. M. Key *et al.*, A global ocean carbon climatology: Results from Global Data Analysis Project (GLODAP). *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*. **18** (2004), doi:10.1029/2004GB002247.
50. T. Tanhua *et al.*, Atlantic Ocean CARINA data : overview and salinity adjustments. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 17–34 (2010).
51. R. M. Key *et al.*, The CARINA data synthesis project: introduction and overview. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*. **2**, 105–121 (2010).
52. K. Suzuki, T. Ishii, M., Aoyama, A., Christian, J. R., Enyo, L. A. Kawano, T., Key, R. M., Kosugi, N., Kozyr, A., Miller, S. Murata, A., Nakano, T., Ono, T., Saino, T., Sasaki, K., C. L. D., Takatani, Y., Wakita, M., and Sabine, “PACIFICA Data Synthesis Project” (2013), doi:10.3334/CDIAC/OTG.PACIFICA_NDP092.
53. S. K. Lauvset *et al.*, A new global interior ocean mapped climatology: The 1°x1° GLODAP version 2. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*. **8**, 325–340 (2016).
54. R. A. Locarnini *et al.*, “World Ocean Atlas 2013, Volume 1: Temperature” (2013).
55. M. M. Weng *et al.*, “World Ocean Atlas 2013: Volume 2: Salinity” (2013).
56. M. AOYAMA *et al.*, Current Status of Homogeneity and Stability of the Reference Materials for Nutrients in Seawater. *Anal. Sci.* **28**, 911–916 (2012).
57. R. E. Sonnerup, P. D. Quay, A. P. McNichol, The Indian Ocean ¹³C Suess Effect. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*. **14**, 903–916 (2000).
58. Y. Plancherel, K. B. Rodgers, R. M. Key, a. R. Jacobson, J. L. Sarmiento, An assessment of regression methods used to measure changes in the oceanic distribution of anthropogenic carbon. *Biogeosciences*. **10**, 4801–4831 (2013).
59. N. Gruber, J. L. Sarmiento, in *THE SEA: Biological-Physical Interactions in the Oceans*, A. R. Robinson, J. J. McCarthy, B. J. Rothschild, Eds. (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 2002), vol. 12, pp. 337–399.
60. A. C. Martiny *et al.*, Strong latitudinal patterns in the elemental ratios of marine plankton and organic matter. *Nat. Geosci.* **6**, 279–283 (2013).
61. R. J. Woosley, F. J. Millero, R. Wanninkhof, Rapid anthropogenic changes in CO₂ and pH in the Atlantic Ocean: 2003-2014. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*. **30**, 70–90 (2016).
62. W. S. Broecker, S. Blanton, W. M. Smethie, G. Ostlund, Radiocarbon decay and oxygen utilization in the deep Atlantic Ocean. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles*. **5**, 87–117 (1991).
63. B. I. McNeil, R. J. Matear, R. M. Key, J. L. Bullister, J. L. Sarmiento, Anthropogenic CO₂ Uptake by the Ocean Based on the Global Chlorofluorocarbon Data Set. *Science (80-.)*. **299**, 235–239 (2003).
64. S. van Heuven, D. Pierrot, J. W. B. Rae, E. Lewis, D. W. R. Wallace, CO₂sys: MATLAB Program Developed for CO₂ System Calculations. (2011), doi:10.3334/CDIAC/otg.CO2SYS_MATLAB_v1.1.

65. C. Mehrbach, C. H. Culberson, J. E. Hawley, R. M. Pytkowicz, Measurement of the apparent dissociation constants of carbonic acid in seawater at atmospheric pressure. *Limnol. Ocean.* **18**, 897–907 (1973).
66. A. G. Dickson, F. J. Millero, A comparison of the equilibrium constants for the dissociation of carbonic acid in seawater media. *Deep Sea Res.* **34**, 1733–1743 (1987).
67. S. Kouketsu, A. M. Murata, Detecting decadal scale increases in anthropogenic CO₂ in the ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **41**, 4594–4600 (2014).
68. J. L. Sarmiento, C. LeQuéré, S. W. Pacala, Limiting future atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles.* **9**, 121–137 (1995).
69. K. Matsumoto, N. Gruber, How accurate is the estimation of anthropogenic carbon in the ocean? An evaluation of the ΔC^* method. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles.* **19** (2005), doi:10.1029/2004GB002397.
70. L. Resplandy *et al.*, Revision of global carbon fluxes based on a reassessment of oceanic and riverine carbon transport. *Nat. Geosci.* **11** (2018), doi:10.1038/s41561-018-0151-3.
71. A. R. Jacobson, S. E. Mikaloff Fletcher, N. Gruber, J. L. Sarmiento, M. Gloor, A joint atmosphere-ocean inversion for surface fluxes of carbon dioxide: 1. Methods and global-scale fluxes. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles.* **21**, 1–13 (2007).