



HAL
open science

Antimicrobial Histones and DNA Traps in Invertebrate Immunity

Aurore Poirier, Paulina Schmitt, Rafael Rosa, Audrey Vanhove, Sylvie Kieffer-Jaquinod, Tristan Rubio, Guillaume Charrière, Delphine Destoumieux-Garzón

► **To cite this version:**

Aurore Poirier, Paulina Schmitt, Rafael Rosa, Audrey Vanhove, Sylvie Kieffer-Jaquinod, et al.. Antimicrobial Histones and DNA Traps in Invertebrate Immunity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 2014, 289 (36), pp.24821-24831. 10.1074/jbc.M114.576546 . hal-02086276

HAL Id: hal-02086276

<https://hal.science/hal-02086276v1>

Submitted on 28 May 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Antimicrobial Histones and DNA Traps in Invertebrate Immunity

EVIDENCES IN *CRASSOSTREA GIGAS*^{*[5]}

Received for publication, April 24, 2014, and in revised form, July 1, 2014. Published, JBC Papers in Press, July 17, 2014, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M114.576546

Aurore C. Poirier^{†1}, Paulina Schmitt^{‡5}, Rafael D. Rosa^{†1,2,3}, Audrey S. Vanhove^{†1}, Sylvie Kieffer-Jaquinod[¶], Tristan P. Rubio[‡], Guillaume M. Charrière^{‡4}, and Delphine Destoumieux-Garzón[‡]

From [†]Laboratory of Ecology of Coastal Marine Systems, CNRS UMR 5119, University of Montpellier 2, Ifremer, University of Montpellier 1, and IRD, Place Eugène Bataillon, F-34095 Montpellier, France, the [‡]Laboratorio de Genética e Inmunología Molecular, Instituto de Biología, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Avenida Universidad 330, 2373223 Valparaíso, Chile, and [¶]INSERM, Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique (CEA), Université Joseph Fourier, U1038, Etude de la Dynamique des Protéomes, Laboratoire Biologie à Grande Echelle, 17 rue des Martyrs, 38054 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

Background: How antimicrobial histones participate in invertebrate defense was still unclear.

Results: Upon injury or infection, oyster immune cells release antimicrobial histones and extracellular DNA traps in a ROS-dependent manner.

Conclusion: DNA traps are involved in the defense of Lophotrochozoa. Their mechanistic bases are shared with vertebrates.

Significance: This is a novel mechanism in the evolutionary conserved invertebrate immune arsenal.

Although antimicrobial histones have been isolated from multiple metazoan species, their role in host defense has long remained unanswered. We found here that the hemocytes of the oyster *Crassostrea gigas* release antimicrobial H1-like and H5-like histones in response to tissue damage and infection. These antimicrobial histones were shown to be associated with extracellular DNA networks released by hemocytes, the circulating immune cells of invertebrates, in response to immune challenge. The hemocyte-released DNA was found to surround and entangle vibrios. This defense mechanism is reminiscent of the neutrophil extracellular traps (ETs) recently described in vertebrates. Importantly, oyster ETs were evidenced *in vivo* in hemocyte-infiltrated interstitial tissues surrounding wounds, whereas they were absent from tissues of unchallenged oysters. Consistently, antimicrobial histones were found to accumulate in oyster tissues following injury or infection with vibrios. Finally, oyster ET formation was highly dependent on the production of reactive oxygen species by hemocytes. This shows that ET formation relies on common cellular and molecular mechanisms from vertebrates to invertebrates. Altogether, our data reveal that ET formation is a defense mechanism triggered by infection and tissue damage, which is shared by relatively distant species suggesting either evolutionary conservation or convergent evolution within Bilateria.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY](#) license.

* This work was supported by grants from the Agence Nationale de Recherche (ANR) (Vibriogen project, Blanc SVSE7 2011) and the Languedoc-Roussillon region (REVARESP project, Chercheur(se) d'avenir 2009).

[5] This article contains [Online supplement 1](#).

¹ Supported by a fellowship from the Ministry of Higher Education and Research.

² Present address: Laboratory of Immunology Applied to Aquaculture, Dept. of Cell Biology, Embryology and Genetics, Federal University of Santa Catarina, 88040-900 Florianópolis, SC, Brazil.

³ Supported by a fellowship from Ifremer.

⁴ To whom correspondence should be addressed: Equipe "Réponse immunitaire des macroorganismes marins et environnement," Laboratoire ECOSYM, Université Montpellier 2, CC80, Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier, France. Tel.: 33-467-14-46-25; Fax: 33-467-14-46-22; E-mail: guillaume.charriere@univ-montp2.fr.

Histones play an essential role in the organization and architecture of the chromatin, and their post-translational modifications are essential to gene regulation (1). Since 1942 (2), histones have been shown to display antimicrobial activities against bacteria, fungi, viruses, and protozoa (3). In Protostomia, antimicrobial histones have been isolated from the shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* (4), the scallop *Chlamys farreri* (5), the abalone *Haliotis discus discus* (6), and recently the oyster *Crassostrea virginica* (7, 8). However, the mechanisms facilitating histone release, which is a prerequisite for their antimicrobial activities on potential pathogens, has long remained unidentified.

In 2004, a new antimicrobial mechanism relying on the release, by mammalian neutrophils, of extracellular DNA-carrying histones and granular antimicrobial proteins bound to the decondensed nucleic acids was uncovered (9). More recently, those extracellular traps (ETs)⁵ have been observed to form massively in infected tissues by intravital microscopy, demonstrating further their role in host defense (10). ETs can be released in response to bacteria, fungi, parasites, and viruses (9, 11, 12), to microbe-associated molecular patterns such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS), and to host inflammatory signals associated with tissue damage such as interleukin-8 (9) and tumor necrosis factor (13). ETs were reported to entrap bacteria, fungi, and parasites (9, 11, 12) and to kill them by their content in antimicrobial peptides/proteins including histones, bactericidal permeability-increasing proteins, and hydrolases (9, 12, 14–16). However, some bacteria such as *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, and group A Streptococci are found entrapped into ETs without being killed (17,

⁵ The abbreviations used are: ET, extracellular trap; ROS, reactive oxygen species; PMA, phorbol myristate acetate; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; DPI, diphenylene iodonium chloride; WGA, wheat germ agglutinin; TRITC, tetramethylrhodamine isothiocyanate; SSW, sterile seawater; DAMP, damage-associated molecular pattern; HMG, high mobility group; CIP, Collection de l'institut Pasteur.

DNA Extracellular Traps in Oyster Defense




FIGURE 1. **Antimicrobials accumulate in oyster gills 24 h after infection or injury.** Reversed-phase HPLC was performed on gill extracts from non-injected oysters (black line), SSW-injected oysters (green line), and *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32-injected (LGP32-injected) oysters (purple line) using a 0–80% acetonitrile gradient (dotted line) developed over 90 min, on a UP50DB-25QS column. Absorbance at 225 nm (continuous lines) showed an increase in intensity for the fractions eluted at 36% of acetonitrile in LGP32-injected (LGP32–36) and SSW-injected oysters (SSW36) and for the fraction eluted at 37% of acetonitrile in LGP32-injected oysters (LGP32–37). Purple and green oblongs show antimicrobial fractions in LGP32-injected and SSW-injected oysters, respectively. LGP32–36, LGP32–37, and SSW36 were the only fractions showing antimicrobial activity against *S. aureus* SG511. The molecules found by LC-MS/MS in active fractions are displayed with arrows.

18). ETs can then play two important roles in the control of infections, first by entrapping microbes and preventing their dissemination, and second by concentrating antimicrobials and potentially killing microbes (10, 16, 19).

Although ETs have been well studied in vertebrates (Deuterostomia) over the past decade, studies on invertebrates have remained sparse and limited to arthropods (Ecdysozoa, Protostomia). In 2008, a first report suggested that extracellular nucleic acids enhance immunity and induce hemolymph coagulation in *Galleria mellonella* (20). More recently, an *in vitro* study showed that hemocytes from the shrimp *L. vannamei* release ETs able to entrap bacteria upon challenge with LPS, phorbol myristate acetate (PMA), or bacteria (21). To the best of our knowledge, clear evidences of ETs formation *in vivo* and characterization of the underlying mechanisms have not been reported yet in any invertebrate.

Here we performed a comprehensive study on DNA extracellular traps in the defense of a lophotrochozoan, the oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. Our work reveals that *C. gigas* hemocytes form ETs associated with antimicrobial histones both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, in response to infections and tissue damage, and that these ETs can entrap bacteria. By using a quantitative approach, we also show that similar to vertebrate neutrophils, oyster hemocytes require the production of reactive oxygen species to release ETs. Altogether, our data reveal that *C. gigas*, a lophotrochozoan, uses ET formation as defense mechanism that can be triggered by infection and tissue damage. From this study, this defense mechanism is shared by distant species among the main branches of the Bilateria.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cationic Protein Extraction from *C. gigas* Tissues—*C. gigas* adult oysters were carved in the dorsal side of the shell with a small notch and acclimated for 5 days in seawater tanks. Then, 16 oysters were challenged by injection in the adductor muscle of 100 μ l of *Vibrio tasmaniensis* LGP32 (1×10^7 CFU/oyster), an oyster pathogen (22) recently assigned to *V. tasmaniensis* within the Splendidus clade (23). After 24 h, gills were dissected, frozen at -80°C , and ground to fine powder. Gill powder was resuspended in 5% acetic acid and a mixture of protease inhibitors (Sigma). After sonication, proteins were acid-extracted for 3 h at 4°C and centrifuged

twice at $13,000 \times g$, 4°C , 30 min. pH was adjusted to 6.8 before the addition of a cation exchange resin (CM Macro-Prep, Bio-Rad). After overnight incubation at 4°C , the resin was washed with 25 mM ammonium acetate, pH 6.8, and proteins were eluted twice with 1% TFA in ultrapure water.

Purification of Antimicrobial Proteins—Cation exchange extracted proteins were fractionated on a C18 reversed-phase HPLC column (UP50DB 25QS, 5 μ m, 250×2.0 mm, Interchim) using a linear gradient of 0% to 80% acetonitrile in 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) over 90 min at a flow rate of 0.7 ml/min. Fractions were dried under vacuum, dissolved in ultrapure water, and tested for antibacterial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* SG511. Antimicrobial fractions were purified by a second step of reversed-phase HPLC (X-bridge BEH130, 4.6 mm \times 150 mm, Waters) using a biphasic gradient of 0–26% and 26–46% acetonitrile in 0.05% TFA over 5 and 80 min at a flow rate of 0.25 ml/min. Fractions were dried under vacuum, dissolved in ultrapure water, and tested for antimicrobial activity.

Protein Identification—Purity of active fractions was assessed by MALDI-TOF-MS, while sequences were obtained by nano-LC-MS/MS after digestion with trypsin or V8 endopeptidase. LC-MS/MS spectra were analyzed using the automated Mascot algorithm (Matrix Science Ltd., London, UK), and homology searches of the purified protein sequences were performed using a Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) search on the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) server (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST). Results were validated by the software IRMa (Mascot Results Interpretation). Sequence alignment was performed with the ClustalW2 tool of European Bioinformatics Institute server (EBI).

Antimicrobial Assays—Antibacterial activity of HPLC fractions was assayed against the Gram-positive *Micrococcus lysodeikticus* Collection de l'institut Pasteur (CIP) 5345, *Bacillus megaterium* CIP 66.20, *S. aureus* SG 511, as well as the Gram-negative *Escherichia coli* SBS363 and *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined in poor broth (1% Bacto-Tryptone, 0.5% NaCl w/v, pH 7.5) medium by the liquid growth inhibition assay as described previously (24). Poor broth was supplemented with 2.9% NaCl for the marine *V. tasmaniensis*. Incubation was performed for 18 h

under shaking (150 rpm) at 30 °C for *M. lysodeikticus* and *B. megaterium*, at 37 °C for *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, and at 20 °C for *V. tasmaniensis*. Growth was monitored by optical density at 620 nm on a microplate reader infinite M200 (Tecan).

Induction of Extracellular Traps—Hemolymph withdrawn from the oyster pericardial cavity was kept on ice before plating hemocytes on 13-mm glass coverslips in 24-well culture plates at 2.5×10^5 cells/cm². One h after plating, ET formation was induced by adding *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32, *V. tasmaniensis* LMG20012T, *Brevibacterium stationis* CIP 101282, or Zymosan particles to hemocytes at a multiplicity of infection of 50:1. Plates were centrifuged for 5 min at $500 \times g$ to synchronize binding and further incubated at 20 °C for 30 min, 1 h, or 2 h. To assess the involvement of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in ET formation, hemocytes were pretreated with 10 μ M diphenylene iodonium chloride (DPI, Sigma) for 1 h before microbial challenge. For microscopy analyses of living cells, 0.5 μ M Sytox Green nucleic acid stain (Molecular Probes) was added to hemocytes. Live imaging was performed on an Axiovert 200M Zeiss inverted microscope. For other experiments, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and then permeabilized with 0.01% Triton X-100 for 10 min and stained with 1.25 μ g/ml DAPI (Sigma) and either 16.5 nM phalloidin-Alexa Fluor 488 (Molecular Probes) or 2 μ g/ml wheat germ agglutinin (WGA)-TRITC (Sigma). Immunostaining was performed with a rabbit anti-H5-like histone antibody generated against the NH₂-TPKPAKAKKAAKPKKPASHC-COOH

peptide conjugated to Keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH) (Proteogenix). Fixed and permeabilized hemocytes were first incubated for 45 min in 50 mM NH₄Cl and then for 20 min in PBS containing 5% BSA. Then, 20 μ g/ml antibody dissolved in PBS containing 5% BSA was added to coverslips and incubated for 1 h. After three washes in PBS, 10 μ g/ml anti-rabbit secondary antibody coupled to Alexa Fluor 488 was added and incubated for 1 h. Coverslips were then washed, stained with DAPI, and mounted with fluorescent mounting medium (DAKO).

Monitoring of Reactive Oxygen Species Production—Hemocytes freshly withdrawn from oysters were plated on a 96-well plate at a density of 6×10^5 cells/cm². After 1 h of incubation at 17 °C to let the cells settle down, the wells were washed with sterile seawater (SSW) and incubated for 1 h in SSW supplemented with 1 μ M luminol (Sigma). Then, zymosan particles (at a multiplicity of infection of 50:1) or PMA (at a final concentration of 1 μ M, Sigma) were quickly added, and the plate was immediately placed into a microplate reader infinite M200 (Tecan) to quantify the luminescence emission every 2 min for 2 h. To inhibit ROS production, 10 μ M DPI was added to hemocytes 1 h before the addition of ROS inducers (PMA or zymosan).

Histology—Whole oysters were fixed with Davidson's fixative for 42 h. After dissection, muscles and gills were embedded in paraffin. Histological sections and hematoxylin-eosin staining were performed at the technical platform of RHEM (Réseau d'Histologie Expérimentale de Montpellier UMS3426 CNRS, US9 INSERM, University Montpellier 1 and 2). After rehydration, histological sections were permeabilized with 0.01% Triton X-100 for 10 min, washed three times in PBS, and stained with 0.25 μ g/ml DAPI for 1 h. After three washes in PBS, coverslips were mounted over histological sections with fluorescent mounting medium (DAKO).

Image Acquisition and Extranuclear Histone Quantification—Histological sections stained with DAPI; coverslips labeled with DAPI, WGA-TRITC, and phalloidin were observed with 40 \times or 63 \times objectives, and images were captured using a Leica TCS SPE confocal scanning laser microscope. Extranuclear histones were quantified on hemocytes immunostained with anti-H5-like histone antibody and counterstained with DAPI using a method adapted from Brinkmann *et al.* (25). Briefly, for every conditions, 80 images were taken randomly over the entire surface of the coverslip (covering more than a thousand hemocytes) using a 40 \times objective on a Zeiss Axio Imager upright fluorescence microscope

TABLE 1
MICs of native H1-like histone (GenBank EKC17653)

	MIC
	μ M
<i>M. lysodeikticus</i> CIP 53.45	0.7
<i>B. megaterium</i> CIP 66.20	0.18
<i>S. aureus</i> SG511	0.7
<i>E. coli</i> SBS363	0.7
<i>V. tasmaniensis</i> LGP32	>0.7

TABLE 2
Estimated MIC of the LGP32–36 HPLC fraction

	MIC ^a
	μ M
<i>M. lysodeikticus</i> CIP 53.45	0.35
<i>B. megaterium</i> CIP 66.20	0.35
<i>S. aureus</i> SG511	0.7
<i>E. coli</i> SBS363	0.7
<i>V. tasmaniensis</i> LGP32	>0.7

^a MICs were determined in μ g/ml and converted into μ M considering an average molecular mass of 20176 Da (Fig. 2).




FIGURE 2. Mass spectrometry analysis of antimicrobial HPLC fractions. MALDI-TOF spectra were acquired on the antimicrobial fractions issued from two rounds of reversed-phase HPLC. The SSW36 fraction (green) is pure, showing single-, double-, and triple-charged ions of one single molecule (molecular mass M2) at $MH^+ = 20,749.7$ Da. The LGP32–36 fraction (blue) contains two molecular species, showing ions of one major molecule (molecular mass M1) at $MH^+ = 19,603.8$ Da and ions of a minor molecule at $MH^+ = 20,750.7$ Da also found in SSW36. The LGP32–37 fraction (red) is pure, showing ions of one single molecule (molecular mass M3) at $MH^+ = 20,626.8$ Da. a. u., arbitrary units.

DNA Extracellular Traps in Oyster Defense




FIGURE 3. **Alignment of LC-MS/MS sequenced peptides with the full-length sequences of H1- and H5-like histones and HMGB1.** A–D, H1-like histone (A), H5-like histone (B), putative H1-like histone (C), and HMGB1 (D) full sequences were aligned with LC-MS/MS peptides. *Black arrows* indicate peptides obtained after endopeptidase V8 digestion, and *gray arrows* indicate for peptides obtained after trypsin digestion. Sites of acetylation observed by LC-MS/MS are displayed above the sequences.

equipped with an AxioCam MRm 2 digital microscope camera. The image files were then analyzed with FIJI software (26). For every image, the DAPI-stained nuclei of hemocytes

were counted. The total area revealed by anti-H5-like histone staining and the total area revealed by DNA staining DAPI-staining were measured in μm^2 . The area occupied by

extranuclear histones only was obtained by subtracting the DAPI-stained area to the anti-H5-like histone stained area. The area of extranuclear histones was finally divided by the number of counted hemocytes (nuclei) to normalize on hemocyte density ($\mu\text{m}^2/\text{cell}$).

RESULTS

Antimicrobials Accumulate in Oyster Gills 24 h after Infection or Injury—Antimicrobial peptides/proteins were isolated from gills of oysters 24 h after an intramuscular injection of *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32 (LGP32) or SSW. For that, the dissected gills were subjected to acid extraction, cation exchange chromatography, and reversed-phase HPLC. Gills of non-injected oysters were used as a negative control. Two absorbance peaks strongly increased upon injection (Fig. 1). The corresponding HPLC fractions were the only fractions showing antimicrobial activity against *S. aureus* SG511 (Fig. 1, Tables 1 and 2). Two were isolated from LGP32-injected oysters (LGP32–36 and LGP32–37), and one was isolated from SSW-injected oysters (SSW36). No antimicrobial activity could be recorded in any fractions isolated from non-injected oysters.

After a second reversed-phase HPLC step, the active fractions were analyzed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Fig. 2). The SSW36 fraction contained one single molecule with a measured molecular mass of 20,748.7 Da. The LGP32–36 fraction contained two molecules: a major one of 19,602.8 Da and a minor one of 20,749.7 Da, similar to that found in the SSW36 fraction. Finally, the LGP32–37 fraction contained one single molecule of 20,625.8 Da (Fig. 2).

H1- and H5-like Histones Are the Major Antimicrobials of Challenged Oyster Gills—The SSW36, LGP32–36, and LGP32–37 antimicrobial fractions were analyzed further by LC-MS/MS after trypsin and endopeptidase V8 digestion. Histones were found in all three fractions (Fig. 1). An H5-like histone (GenBankTM EKC36743) present in the three fractions was identified with a coverage of 81.6% (Fig. 3A). From the molecular mass measured by MALDI-TOF on the LGP32–36 fraction (19,602.8 Da) (Fig. 2) and from the modifications observed by MS/MS sequencing (Fig. 3), it could be deduced that it lacks its N-terminal methionine (-131 Da) and carries one lysine acetylation ($+42$ Da) (calculated mass = 19,601.8 Da). An H1-like histone (GenBank EKC17653) only found in LGP32–36 and SSW-36 was identified with a coverage of 57.1% (Fig. 3B). This lower coverage prevented its post-translational status to be established accurately. Finally, traces of a putative histone H1 (GenBank EKC28013) and an high mobility group 1 domain protein (HMGB1, GenBank AGH28093) were found in the LGP32–37 fraction (Figs. 1 and 3, C and D). Interestingly, this accumulation of antimicrobial histones in oyster tissues in response to damage/infection (Fig. 1) correlated with hemocyte infiltration in gill tissue (Fig. 4). This suggests that H1-like and H5-like antimicrobial histones could be brought by hemocytes.

Oyster Histones Present Potent Antibacterial Activity—Due to minute amounts of native proteins purified to homogeneity from oyster tissues, only the H1-like histone (isolated from SSW36) was tested for antimicrobial activity in a low range of concentrations (0–0.7 μM). Potent activities were observed against *M. lysodeikticus* CIP 53.45, *S. aureus* SG511, *E. coli* SBS363, and




FIGURE 4. Hemocyte infiltration in gills of LGP32-infected oysters. A and B, histological sections of gills of unchallenged oyster (A) and of oysters infected for 24 h with *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32 (B). Hematoxylin-eosin staining shows a major hemocyte infiltration in gills of oyster infected with *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32.




FIGURE 5. Living oyster hemocytes release extracellular DNA upon bacterial challenge. A–D, cultures of unchallenged hemocytes (A and B) and hemocytes challenged for 45 min with *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32 (C and D) were stained with Sytox Green and observed by epifluorescence microscopy to reveal extracellular DNA and dead cell nuclei. B and D, bright field images acquired to visualize total cells were merged to epifluorescence images. C and D, extracellular DNA networks (yellow arrowheads) were observed in areas where cells are dead (orange arrowheads) after *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32 challenge only.

B. megaterium CIP 66.20 with MICs < 0.7 μM but not against *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32 (Table 1). The MICs estimated for LGP32–36, which contains the H5-like histone together with low amounts of H1-like histone, were also in the low micromolar range against most of the tested strains (Table 2).

Oyster Hemocytes Release DNA Extracellular Traps in Response to Bacterial Challenge—To identify the defense mechanism by which histones are released in oyster tissues, oyster hemocytes were challenged with LGP32 *in vitro*. The cell-impermeant nucleic acid dye, Sytox Green, added to the primary cultures revealed clusters of permeabilized cells and extracellular DNA only 45 min after LGP32 challenge, whereas most of the hemocytes remained unstained (Fig. 5, C and D). In control hemocytes, permeabilized cells were rarely detectable without any visible extracellular DNA (Fig. 5, A and B). To get higher imaging resolution of the extracellular DNA structures, confocal microscopy was per-

DNA Extracellular Traps in Oyster Defense




FIGURE 6. Oyster hemocytes release DNA extracellular traps in which bacteria are entrapped. *A* and *B*, DNA ETs released by oyster hemocytes challenged for 1 h with *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32. Confocal microscopy images were acquired after staining of DNA with DAPI (blue) and filamentous actin with phalloidin-Alexa Fluor 448 (green). *A*, projections of a z stack and a y stack made from 20 confocal sections. An extracellular DNA ET can be observed extending from 5 to 10 μm above the layer of adherent hemocytes (yellow arrowhead). *B*, an upper confocal section depicting the longest ET observed in the preparation. *C* and *D*, bacteria entrapped in ETs of hemocytes challenged for 1 h with *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32. Confocal microscopy images were acquired after staining of DNA with DAPI (blue) and cellular membranes with WGA-TRITC (red). *C*, projections of a z stack and a y stack made from 125 confocal sections. ETs stained with DAPI (yellow arrowheads) were observed in areas with a high bacterial density and extending up to 10 μm above adherent hemocytes. *D*, bacteria were found entrapped in ETs (white arrowheads), as revealed by their blue nucleic acid staining (DAPI) and red membrane staining (WGA-TRITC) on one representative confocal section of 0.2-μm thickness. See [Online supplement 1](#) for full z-stack.

formed. Extracellular networks of DNA filaments were observed 1 h after LGP32 challenge. They were found to extend 5–10 μm above the hemocyte monolayer whose actin cytoskeleton was stained with fluorescent phalloidin (Fig. 6, *A* and *B*). To determine whether vibrios could be entrapped into the extracellular DNA, confocal three-dimensional imaging was performed at a higher magnification after staining the bacterial cell wall with the WGA-TRITC lectin. Numerous vibrios were found entrapped in these extracellular DNA networks as shown on a representative confocal section (0.2-μm thickness) (Fig. 6, *C* and *D*) and in the reconstituted z-stack containing all the focal planes ([Online supplement 1](#)). Altogether, these data show that (i) *C. gigas* hemocytes can form large DNA ETs upon challenge with *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32, and (ii) vibrios are entrapped into these ETs.

Release of H5-like Histones Is Associated with ET Formation and Dependent on the Production of ROS—To determine whether the antimicrobial histones isolated from gills of infected/wounded oysters could be released during ET for-

mation, a polyclonal antibody was raised against an N-terminal peptide designed on the sequence of the H5-like histone. Immunostaining was performed on both control and LGP32-challenged hemocytes. As expected, in control hemocytes, H5-like histones were found only within hemocyte nuclei (Fig. 7, *A–C* and *I*). Conversely, in LGP32-challenged hemocytes, a significant amount of H5-like histones was found to be extranuclear both in the cytosol and in the extracellular space surrounding ETs, showing that H5-like histones are released along with DNA during ET formation (Fig. 7, *D–F* and *I*). Thanks to the H5-like histone immunostaining, we were able to develop a method by automated image analyses to quantify the release of H5-like histone by oyster hemocytes, which is indicative of ET formation (see “Experimental Procedures”). In control hemocytes, the amounts of extranuclear histones remained stable over time (Fig. 7*G*). In accordance with our previous observations (Figs. 5 and 6), a significant nuclear release of H5-like histones was measured in LGP32-challenged hemocytes as soon




FIGURE 7. ET release is triggered by different microbes and depends on ROS production. A–F, H5-like histone immunostaining of ETs. Epifluorescence microscopy images were acquired after staining of DNA with DAPI (blue, A and D) and immunostaining of *C. gigas* H5-like histones (green, B and E). In unchallenged hemocytes (A–C), H5-like histones co-localized with nuclear DNA (merge in C). In hemocytes challenged for 1 h with *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32 (D–F), H5-like histones formed large extranuclear areas around ETs (merge in F). G, time course of ET formation in response to challenge with *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32. Extranuclear histone areas ($\mu\text{m}^2/\text{cell}$) were similar to unchallenged hemocytes (controls (CTRL), black) at 30 min. A 2-fold increase was observed 1 and 2 h after *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32 challenge (*V. tasmaniensis*, light gray). Averages and standard deviations were calculated from two independent experiments. ***, $p < 0.001$ (Student's *t* test). H, inhibition of ET formation upon blocking of ROS production. *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32 (LGP32), *V. tasmaniensis* LMG20012^T (LMG20012^T), *B. stationis* CIP101282 (BS), or zymosan (Z) triggered similar ET formation (black) after a 1-h challenge as indicated by extranuclear histone quantification. In all challenge conditions, DPI treatment (light gray) was sufficient to inhibit release of extranuclear histone down to levels of unchallenged hemocytes. Averages and standard deviations were calculated from three independent experiments. ***, $p < 0.001$ (Student's *t* test). I, the most representative photographs of the hemocyte response to microbial challenge in the presence/absence of DPI. Epifluorescence microscopy images were acquired after staining of DNA with DAPI (blue) and immunostaining of *C. gigas* H5-like histones (green). The extracellular histones observed in non-treated samples (SSW) are absent in DPI-treated samples. Sets of eighty similar images were used for extranuclear histone quantification.

as 1 h after challenge with a 2-fold increase in the average size of extranuclear histone areas ($p < 0.001$, Fig. 7G). There was no significant difference in the amount of extranuclear histones between 1 and 2 h, indicating that the release of H5-like histone and ET formation was rapid and synchro-

nized for most hemocytes capable of forming ETs in our experimental conditions.

To investigate the diversity of microbial challenges that could trigger ET formation, different bacterial strains and microbe-associated molecular patterns were tested including

DNA Extracellular Traps in Oyster Defense




FIGURE 8. Zymosan but not PMA induces ROS production and ET formation by oyster hemocytes. *A*, representative photographs of the hemocyte response to stimulation with PMA or zymosan. Epifluorescence microscopy images were acquired after staining of DNA with DAPI (blue) and immunostaining of *C. gigas* H5-like histones (green). The extranuclear histones and ETs observed in zymosan-stimulated samples are absent in PMA-stimulated samples. *B*, ROS production was monitored in control hemocytes (black line) and after hemocyte stimulation with PMA (blue line) or zymosan (green line). The inhibition of ROS production by DPI treatment was monitored in control hemocytes (red line), PMA-stimulated hemocytes (pink line), and zymosan-stimulated hemocytes (orange line). Results are expressed in relative luminescence units (RLUs) indicative of luminol oxidation. Averages and standard deviations were calculated from three independent experiments.

the Gram-negative *Vibrio tasmaniensis* LMG20012^T and LGP32, the Gram-positive *B. stationis* CIP 101282, and zymosan particles used as a yeast surrogate. All of them induced a massive release of H5-like histones indicative of ET formation without significant difference between microbial challenges (Fig. 7, *H* and *I*). As neutrophil ET formation in mammals has been shown to depend on the production of ROS (27), a ROS production inhibitor (DPI) was used in our assays. Remarkably, DPI treatment was sufficient to completely inhibit the release of extranuclear H5-like histones, whose quantities remained as low as in unchallenged hemocytes for any kind of microbial challenge (Fig. 7, *H* and *I*).

To determine whether ROS production is sufficient to induce ET formation by oyster hemocytes, we used PMA, a well described inducer of oxidative burst and ET formation in mammalian neutrophils (27). Surprisingly, in contrast to zymosan, PMA did not induce ET formation nor the release of extranuclear H5-like histones by hemocytes (Fig. 8*A*). This correlated with a lack of ROS production in PMA-stimulated hemocytes, as determined by chemiluminescence (Fig. 8*B*). On the contrary, zymosan induced a strong oxidative burst in hemocytes, which correlated with the induction of ET formation and the release of extranuclear H5-like histones (Fig. 8, *A* and *B*). Sup-

porting the hypothesis of a ROS-dependent process, DPI was sufficient to inhibit zymosan effects, *i.e.* ROS production (Fig. 8*B*) and ET formation (Fig. 7). Taken together, these results show that ET formation in *C. gigas* hemocytes can be triggered by a broad diversity of microbial agents and depends strongly on ROS production.

ETs Are Observed in Vivo in Infected or Wounded Tissues—Finally, we assessed whether ETs could form *in vivo*. Oysters were either challenged with a sublethal injection of *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32 or injured by a sterile puncture in the adductor muscle. Massive hemocyte infiltrations were observed in gills (Fig. 4) and in the adductor muscles (Fig. 9*A*). In gills, the high hemocyte density precluded the imaging resolution needed to observe extracellular DNA filaments. In adductor muscles, cell infiltrates were found within interstitial tissue between fascicles of muscle fibers at the wound periphery in both LGP32-challenged and injured oysters 1 day after the challenge (Fig. 9, *A* and *B*). More importantly, DNA filaments were observed in these hemocyte-infiltrated regions, whereas only intact cell nuclei were observed in control animals after DAPI staining (Fig. 9*C*). Confocal microscopy was performed on a thick tissue section to get a better three-dimensional reconstruction of the areas containing extracellular DNA. Images confirmed the presence of ETs between fascicles of muscle fibers, and in the z-projected image, intact cell nuclei were observed above and below the ET areas, indicating that the DNA was not released as a result of any nuclei damaging during the preparation of histological sections (Fig. 9*C*). Taken together, these data showed that infiltrating hemocytes can release ETs *in vivo* in response to infection or tissue damage.

DISCUSSION

Results showed that antimicrobial histones accumulate together with extracellular DNA in oyster tissues in response to infection and injury. Tissues of non-injected oysters were devoid of such antimicrobial histones (Fig. 1), indicating that histone accumulation in tissues was induced by the challenges. The major antimicrobial histones isolated here were H5-like and H1-like histones. Antimicrobial H2B and H4 histones have also been isolated from another oyster species, *C. virginica* (7) (8). Similar to our observations, protein levels of H4 histone strongly increased in hemocyte lysates and extracellular hemolymph of *C. virginica* oysters infected with *Perkinsus marinus* (8). Therefore, accumulation of histones in oyster tissues could be a common response to infection and injury.

The antimicrobial histones isolated from oyster gills showed a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activities with MICs as low as 0.18 μM against *Bacillus megaterium* CIP 66.20 for native H1-like histone (Table 1). Together with oyster defensins (24), the H1-like histone is therefore one of the most potent antimicrobials of *C. gigas* described so far. No activity could be recorded against the oyster pathogen *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32, whereas the H2B and H4 histones from *C. virginica* were reported to be active against vibrios (7, 8). This may be due to the intrinsic resistance of *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32 to antimicrobials (28) or to the low range of concentrations tested (0–0.7 μM). Because tissues of *C. gigas* oysters are poor in antimicrobial peptides/proteins (29), the isolation of histones as the only




FIGURE 9. ETs are observed *in vivo* in muscles of infected or injured oysters. *A*, hematoxylin-eosin stained adductor muscles of unchallenged (*Control*), *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32-infected, and injured oysters. Infiltrating hemocytes are found between muscle fascicles after injury (*black arrows, middle panel*) or after infection (*black arrows, right panel*). *B*, epifluorescence microscopy observation of DAPI-stained ETs within histological sections of muscle infiltrated with hemocytes. Contrast phase images are shown below epifluorescence images. ETs are observed in cell-infiltrated interstitial tissues between fascicles of muscle fibers after injury (*yellow arrows, middle panel*) or after *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32 infection (*yellow arrows, right panel*). No ETs are observed in muscle of control animals (*left panel*). *C*, confocal microscopy observation of DAPI-stained ETs within a thick histological section of muscle infiltrated with hemocytes. Projections of a z stack and a y stack display several ETs (*yellow arrowheads, left panel*). Intact cell nuclei are observed above and below ETs (z projection, *left panel*). Superposition of the phase confocal section and y projection (*right panel*) shows that ETs located in cell-infiltrated interstitial tissues between fascicles of muscle fibers.

antimicrobials found in gills of challenged oysters strongly argues in favor of their role in the oyster antimicrobial defense.

In vitro, antimicrobial histones were rapidly released (in less than 1 h) by oyster hemocytes together with extracellular DNA when exposed to diverse microbial agents (Figs.

5–8 and [Online supplement 1](#)). Importantly, this phenomenon was also observed *in vivo* (Fig. 9). Indeed, both LGP32-infected and injured oysters showed a massive hemocyte infiltration and release of extracellular DNA in tissues surrounding the site of injury (Fig. 9). These DNA structures are

DNA Extracellular Traps in Oyster Defense

reminiscent of the neutrophil ETs well studied in vertebrates such as mammals, birds, and fish over the past decade (15, 16, 30). In the present work, bacteria were found entrapped into such extracellular DNA networks (Fig. 6 and Online supplement 1). This strongly suggests that, as in vertebrates, oyster ETs participate in host defense by capturing large numbers of microbes and preventing their dissemination (16). Surrounding and entangling of bacteria in DNA or peptide networks are indeed increasingly recognized as conserved mechanisms of antimicrobial defense (31). Moreover, as shown in some vertebrates, the antimicrobial properties of the ET-associated antimicrobials including histones (Table 1) and their concentration on ETs could also contribute to kill the entrapped microorganisms (10, 16, 19).

The formation of oyster ETs was shown here to be dependent on ROS production by hemocytes (Fig. 7H), which results from NADPH-oxidase activity and/or mitochondrial respiration (32). Indeed, we observed a strong positive correlation between ROS production and ET formation (Figs. 7 and 8). This result is particularly important because ROS play a central role in initiating ET formation in vertebrates (27). However, unlike in vertebrates, PMA failed to trigger the oxidative burst and the formation of ETs by oyster hemocytes under our experimental conditions. Along with ROS, damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) released by injured tissues (33) likely regulate ET formation in oysters. Indeed, we first showed that an aseptic injury is sufficient to induce accumulation of histones and extracellular DNA release *in vivo*. Second, an HMG domain protein group 1 (HMGB1) was identified in the LGP32–37 HPLC fraction, issued from LGP32-infected oysters (Fig. 1). HMGB1 is a DAMP of intracellular origin well known in vertebrates (34) but also in *C. gigas*, in which it enhances the Rel-dependent NF- κ B activation (35). Importantly, HMGB1 was recently shown to promote neutrophil ET formation through TLR-4 activation (36). Therefore, the ROS-dependent production of ETs by oyster hemocytes can be triggered by microbial agents and potentially by DAMPs released in the extracellular milieu in response to injury or cell lysis.

One limitation of the present study is that the hemocyte subset producing ETs has not been identified. We believe that ETs are produced by certain hemocyte subsets only because numerous intact cells are observed in ET-containing regions in both *in vivo* and *in vitro* microscopy observations (Figs. 5 and 8). In vertebrates, ETs are formed mostly by neutrophils (9, 15, 16). However, the accurate determination of ET-forming hemocytes remains challenging in oysters, hemocytes subsets being still mainly classified based on morphological features rather than molecular markers (37).

In conclusion, the present study shows that oysters use the release of DNA extracellular traps and antimicrobial histones as part of their immune defense. Therefore, this defense mechanism is shared by relatively distant species belonging to the different branches of the Bilateria, not only the Deuterostomia (mammals, birds, fishes) and the Ecdysozoa (shrimp) but also the Lophotrochozoa (oyster). The identification of ROS as a secondary messenger required for ET formation in oysters supports the evolutionary conservation within Bilateria of an important immune strategy without ruling out the hypothesis

of a convergent evolution between phylogenetically distant species.

Acknowledgments—We are grateful to Agnès Vergnes and Marc Leroy for technical assistance. We thank the Montpellier RIO Imaging platform for access to confocal and epifluorescence microscopy, the “Réseau d’Histologie Expérimentale de Montpellier” histology facility for histology expertise and tissue processing, and the mass spectrometry platform of the “Institut des Biomolécules Max Mousseron” for MALDI-TOF-MS.

REFERENCES

1. Parseghian, M. H., and Luhrs, K. A. (2006) Beyond the walls of the nucleus: the role of histones in cellular signaling and innate immunity. *Biochem. Cell Biol.* **84**, 589–604
2. Miller, B. F., Abrams, R., Dorfman, A., and Klein, M. (1942) Antibacterial properties of protamine and histone. *Science* **96**, 428–430
3. Kawasaki, H., and Iwamuro, S. (2008) Potential roles of histones in host defense as antimicrobial agents. *Infect. Disord. Drug. Targets* **8**, 195–205
4. Patat, S. A., Carnegie, R. B., Kingsbury, C., Gross, P. S., Chapman, R., and Schey, K. L. (2004) Antimicrobial activity of histones from hemocytes of the Pacific white shrimp. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **271**, 4825–4833
5. Li, C., Song, L., Zhao, J., Zhu, L., Zou, H., Zhang, H., Wang, H., and Cai, Z. (2007) Preliminary study on a potential antibacterial peptide derived from histone H2A in hemocytes of scallop *Chlamys farreri*. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* **22**, 663–672
6. De Zoysa, M., Nikapitiya, C., Whang, I., Lee, J. S., and Lee, J. (2009) Abhisin: a potential antimicrobial peptide derived from histone H2A of disk abalone (*Haliotis discus discus*). *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* **27**, 639–646
7. Seo, J. K., Stephenson, J., and Noga, E. J. (2011) Multiple antibacterial histone H2B proteins are expressed in tissues of American oyster. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **158**, 223–229
8. Dorrington, T., Villamil, L., and Gómez-chiarri, M. (2011) Upregulation in response to infection and antibacterial activity of oyster histone H4. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* **30**, 94–101
9. Brinkmann, V., Reichard, U., Goosmann, C., Fauler, B., Uhlemann, Y., Weiss, D. S., Weinrauch, Y., and Zychlinsky, A. (2004) Neutrophil extracellular traps kill bacteria. *Science* **303**, 1532–1535
10. Yipp, B. G., Petri, B., Salina, D., Jenne, C. N., Scott, B. N., Zbytniuk, L. D., Pittman, K., Asaduzzaman, M., Wu, K., Meijndert, H. C., Malawista, S. E., de Boisfleury Chevance, A., Zhang, K., Conly, J., and Kubes, P. (2012) Infection-induced NETosis is a dynamic process involving neutrophil multitasking *in vivo*. *Nat. Med.* **18**, 1386–1393
11. Jenne, C. N., Wong, C. H., Zemp, F. J., McDonald, B., Rahman, M. M., Forsyth, P. A., McFadden, G., and Kubes, P. (2013) Neutrophils recruited to sites of infection protect from virus challenge by releasing neutrophil extracellular traps. *Cell Host. Microbe* **13**, 169–180
12. Guimarães-Costa, A. B., Nascimento, M. T., Wardini, A. B., Pinto-da-Silva, L. H., and Saraiva, E. M. (2012) ETosis: a microbicidal mechanism beyond cell death. *J. Parasitol. Res.* **2012**, 929743
13. Wang, Y., Li, M., Stadler, S., Correll, S., Li, P., Wang, D., Hayama, R., Leonelli, L., Han, H., Grigoryev, S. A., Allis, C. D., and Coonrod, S. A. (2009) Histone hypercitrullination mediates chromatin decondensation and neutrophil extracellular trap formation. *J. Cell Biol.* **184**, 205–213
14. Urban, C. F., Reichard, U., Brinkmann, V., and Zychlinsky, A. (2006) Neutrophil extracellular traps capture and kill *Candida albicans* yeast and hyphal forms. *Cell Microbiol.* **8**, 668–676
15. Brinkmann, V., and Zychlinsky, A. (2007) Beneficial suicide: why neutrophils die to make NETs. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **5**, 577–582
16. Papayannopoulos, V., and Zychlinsky, A. (2009) NETs: a new strategy for using old weapons. *Trends Immunol.* **30**, 513–521
17. Beiter, K., Wartha, F., Albiger, B., Normark, S., Zychlinsky, A., and Henriques-Normark, B. (2006) An endonuclease allows *Streptococcus pneumoniae* to escape from neutrophil extracellular traps. *Curr. Biol.* **16**, 401–407

18. Ramos-Kichik, V., Mondragón-Flores, R., Mondragón-Castelán, M., Gonzalez-Pozos, S., Muñoz-Hernandez, S., Rojas-Espinosa, O., Chacón-Salinas, R., Estrada-Parra, S., and Estrada-García, I. (2009) Neutrophil extracellular traps are induced by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Tuberculosis (Edinb.)* **89**, 29–37
19. McDonald, B., Urrutia, R., Yipp, B. G., Jenne, C. N., and Kubes, P. (2012) Intravascular neutrophil extracellular traps capture bacteria from the bloodstream during sepsis. *Cell Host. Microbe* **12**, 324–333
20. Altincicek, B., Stötzel, S., Wygrecka, M., Preissner, K. T., and Vilcinskas, A. (2008) Host-derived extracellular nucleic acids enhance innate immune responses, induce coagulation, and prolong survival upon infection in insects. *J. Immunol.* **181**, 2705–2712
21. Ng, T. H., Chang, S. H., Wu, M. H., and Wang, H. C. (2013) Shrimp hemocytes release extracellular traps that kill bacteria. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* **41**, 644–651
22. Gay, M., Renault, T., Pons, A. M., and Le Roux, F. (2004) Two *Vibrio splendidus* related strains collaborate to kill *Crassostrea gigas*: taxonomy and host alterations. *Dis. Aquat. Organ* **62**, 65–74
23. Sawabe, T., Ogura, Y., Matsumura, Y., Feng, G., Amin, A. R., Mino, S., Nakagawa, S., Sawabe, T., Kumar, R., Fukui, Y., Satomi, M., Matsushima, R., Thompson, F. L., Gomez-Gil, B., Christen, R., Maruyama, F., Kurokawa, K., and Hayashi, T. (2013) Updating the *Vibrio* clades defined by multilocus sequence phylogeny: proposal of eight new clades, and the description of *Vibrio tritonius* sp. nov. *Front Microbiol.* **4**, 414
24. Schmitt, P., Wilmes, M., Pugnière, M., Aumelas, A., Bachère, E., Sahl, H. G., Schneider, T., and Destoumieux-Garzón, D. (2010) Insight into invertebrate defensin mechanism of action: oyster defensins inhibit peptidoglycan biosynthesis by binding to lipid II. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 29208–29216
25. Brinkmann, V., Goosmann, C., Kühn, L. I., and Zychlinsky, A. (2012) Automatic quantification of *in vitro* NET formation. *Front Immunol.* **3**, 413
26. Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J. Y., White, D. J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri, K., Tomancak, P., and Cardona, A. (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 676–682
27. Fuchs, T. A., Abed, U., Goosmann, C., Hurwitz, R., Schulze, I., Wahn, V., Weinrauch, Y., Brinkmann, V., and Zychlinsky, A. (2007) Novel cell death program leads to neutrophil extracellular traps. *J. Cell Biol.* **176**, 231–241
28. Duperthuy, M., Binesse, J., Le Roux, F., Romestand, B., Caro, A., Got, P., Givaudan, A., Mazel, D., Bachère, E., and Destoumieux-Garzón, D. (2010) The major outer membrane protein OmpU of *Vibrio splendidus* contributes to host antimicrobial peptide resistance and is required for virulence in the oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Environ Microbiol.* **12**, 951–963
29. Schmitt, P., Rosa, R. D., Duperthuy, M., de Lorgeril, J., Bachère, E., and Destoumieux-Garzón, D. (2012) The antimicrobial defense of the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*: how diversity may compensate for scarcity in the regulation of resident/pathogenic microflora. *Front Microbiol.* **3**, 160
30. Palić, D., Ostojić, J., Andreasen, C. B., and Roth, J. A. (2007) Fish cast NETs: neutrophil extracellular traps are released from fish neutrophils. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* **31**, 805–816
31. Chu, H., Pazgier, M., Jung, G., Nuccio, S. P., Castillo, P. A., de Jong, M. F., Winter, M. G., Winter, S. E., Wehkamp, J., Shen, B., Salzman, N. H., Underwood, M. A., Tsolis, R. M., Young, G. M., Lu, W., Lehrer, R. I., Bäuml, A. J., and Bevins, C. L. (2012) Human α -defensin 6 promotes mucosal innate immunity through self-assembled peptide nanonets. *Science* **337**, 477–481
32. Donaghy, L., Kraffe, E., Le Goïc, N., Lambert, C., Volety, A. K., and Soudant, P. (2012) Reactive oxygen species in unstimulated hemocytes of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*: a mitochondrial involvement. *PLoS One* **7**, e46594
33. Matzinger, P. (1994) Tolerance, danger, and the extended family. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **12**, 991–1045
34. Rubartelli, A., and Lotze, M. T. (2007) Inside, outside, upside down: damage-associated molecular-pattern molecules (DAMPs) and redox. *Trends Immunol.* **28**, 429–436
35. Li, J., Zhang, Y., Xiang, Z., Xiao, S., Yu, F., and Yu, Z. (2013) High mobility group box 1 can enhance NF- κ B activation and act as a pro-inflammatory molecule in the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* **35**, 63–70
36. Tadie, J. M., Bae, H. B., Jiang, S., Park, D. W., Bell, C. P., Yang, H., Pittet, J. F., Tracey, K., Thannickal, V. J., Abraham, E., and Zmijewski, J. W. (2013) HMGB1 promotes neutrophil extracellular trap formation through interactions with Toll-like receptor 4. *Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol.* **304**, L342–349
37. Bachère, E., Gueguen, Y., Gonzalez, M., de Lorgeril, J., Garnier, J., and Romestand, B. (2004) Insights into the anti-microbial defense of marine invertebrates: the penaeid shrimps and the oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Immunol. Rev.* **198**, 149–168