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Summary — Defensin A is an inducible antibacterial protein isolated from the larvae of Phormia terranovae. The conformation of defensin A has been previously determined by two-dimensional 1H-NMR for concentrations in the range of 4-8 mM in water (Bonmatin JM et al (1992) J Biomol NMR 2, 235-256). CD spectroscopic data of defensin A at lower concentrations (10-5 to 10-3 M) are reported herein. The ellipticity in the 200--240 nm wavelength range for various solvents varies as follows: acetonitrile < water < methanol < HFIP. The magnitude of [θ]222 is strongly dependent on defensin concentration in a buffer solution, suggesting an aggregation process. The helical content of defensin A is maximum at a pH value range (7.5–8) for which the optimum antibacterial activity was observed (Cociancich S et al (1993) J Biol Chem 268, 19239–19245).
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Introduction

Insect defensins are inducible antibacterial proteins produced in response to bacterial injuries and secreted in the insect hemolymph [1]. Defensin A has been isolated and characterized from the larvae of the fleshfly Phormia terranovae [2]. It is a small (40 residues) cationic protein, representative of a large family of insect defense proteins. Its conformation in water has already been determined by using 2D 1H-NMR associated with modeling techniques [3, 4]. Defensin A is organized in three distinct regions: a C-terminal anti-parallel β-sheet linked to an amphipatic α-helix via two S-S bridges and a N-terminal loop linked to the β-sheet by the third S-S bridge (fig 1). Defensin A behaves as an amphipathic protein able to spread as a monolayer at the air/water interface, and interacts with membrane lipids [5] by forming voltage dependent channels [6].

Here we report circular dichroism (CD) data showing that the secondary structure of defensin A depends on the solvent nature and on the protein concentration, pH and presence of salts in aqueous solutions.

Materials and methods

Recombinant insect defensin A was prepared by Transgène (Strasbourg, France). Pure water was obtained from a Millipore (Milli Q) apparatus. Methanol and acetonitrile were purchased from BDH and hexafluorooisopropanol (HFIP) from Merck.

Concentrations of defensin A were calculated from the absorbance at 275 nm using ε = 1400 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for the single Tyr29 chromophore. CD spectra were recorded at room temperature on a Jobin-Yvon IV autodichrograph using 0.1 or 0.01 cm path length in order to measure absorbance values less than 1 on the whole range of wavelengths. Optical activities were reported as ellipticity per amino acid residue: θ_{222} (unit: deg cm² dmol⁻¹). The α-helical content was roughly estimated from CD data according to the formula: % α-helix = θ_{222}/3298×(–10) given by Zhong and Johnson on the basis of a 26-protein data set [7].

Results

Nature of the solvent

CD spectra of defensin A in various solvents are presented in figure 2. In acetonitrile the spectrum was characterized by a weak positive band near 200 nm and a weak negative band centered at 228 nm. The spectrum obtained in water presented a negative band at 207 nm and a shoulder near 221 nm. Spectra obtained in HFIP and methanol had comparable shapes: a negative band centered near 208 nm (210 nm for methanol) and a negative shoulder near 218 nm (221 nm for methanol).
The intensity of the negative dichroic bands increased in the following order: acetonitrile < water < methanol < HFIP. At a fixed concentration of 3.2 x 10^{-5} M and according to the ellipticity at 222 nm, the α-helix content was estimated to be about 15% in water and 20% in HFIP [7].

Aqueous solutions of defensin A: effect of concentration

Figure 3a presents CD spectra of defensin A recorded for various concentrations in a 30 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.5). They look like those observed in water, with a strong negative band between 206 and 209 nm and a negative shoulder at around 222 nm. Clearly, intensities of the dichroic bands strongly depended on the defensin concentration since the magnitude of [θ_{222}] increased from ~3700 deg cm² dmol⁻¹ at 10⁻⁵ M to ~7000 deg cm² dmol⁻¹ at 10⁻³ M (fig 3b). These values correspond to an α-helix content of about 11 and 20% respectively. A red-shift of the first minimum was also observed from 206 to 209 nm. These features demonstrate changes in the secondary structure of defensin A in the μM – mM concentration range and suggest an aggregation process which participates in the structuration of defensin A.

Effect of pH

CD spectra of defensin A were recorded for pH values between 3.3 and 10.6. Data in figure 4a show that band intensities were strongly dependent on pH whereas the global shape was conserved. The plot of [θ_{222}] versus pH plot in figure 4b indicates that the helical content was very low at pH 3.3 and reached a maximum near pH 7.5–8.

Effect of monovalent and divalent cations

No significant variation in ellipticity was detected when the buffer (Tris) molarity was raised from 5 to 30 mM but, as shown in figure 5a, addition of KCl led to a large decrease of the ellipticity of defensin A solutions. Ellipticity flattens out at 68% of its initial value when 50 mM KCl were added (fig 5b). When the maximum KCl effect was reached, a supplementary addition of CaCl₂ led to a further decrease of the
Fig 3. Effect of concentration on the secondary structure of defensin A. a. CD spectra of defensin A as a function of concentration. From top to bottom: $10^{-5}$ M, $10^{-4}$ M, $5 \times 10^{-4}$ M, and $10^{-3}$ M in 30 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.5). b. $\theta_{222}$ as a function of defensin A concentration.

Fig 4. Effect of pH on the secondary structure of defensin A. a. CD spectra of defensin A as a function of pH in 5 mM Tris buffers. From top to bottom: pH 3.3, 10.6, 4.9, and 7.5. Defensin A concentration: $2 \times 10^{-5}$ M. b. $\theta_{222}$ as a function of pH.
Fig 5. Effect of salts on the secondary structure of defensin A. a. CD spectra of defensin A as a function of KCl concentration. 2 × 10⁻⁵ M defensin A in 5 mM Tris (pH 7.5). KCl concentration from bottom to top: 0, 5, 10, and 100 mM. (———) additional effect of 5 mM CaCl₂ (100 mM KCl + 5 mM CaCl₂). b. [θ]₂₂₂ as a function of KCl concentration.

ellipticity (−13% for 5 mM CaCl₂) (fig 5a). From all these features, the ellipticity appears related to the presence of salts with a possible specific effect of Ca²⁺, but this independent from the ionic strength change.

Discussion

This study illustrates the great sensitivity of the secondary structure of defensin A to environmental conditions. CD spectra show clearly the solvent dependence of the helical content as monitored by [θ]₂₂₂. It is not surprising that the higher helical content was observed in HFIP since this solvent is known as a structure-promoting solvent [8] in contrast to acetonitrile in which defensin A is weakly structured. Intermediate situations which clearly demonstrated the presence of an α-helix were observed for water and methanol.

The propensity of defensin A to self-associate was previously demonstrated both by surface tension measurements (Maget-Dana, Ptak, in preparation) and by fluorescence polarization experiments of the single Tyr residue as a function of viscosity (Talbot JC, personal communication). In this study, the dependence of the helicity percentage on defensin concentration clearly indicates that oligomerization plays a major role on the secondary structure formation. A helical content of about 20% at 10⁻³ M as extracted from the present study is in good agreement with the 25% value determined unambiguously from NMR data in the same range of concentrations [3]. It is likely that this would be the maximum amount of helical content induced by the defensin oligomerization. In dilute solutions, we can assume that the helix fragment (16–20) between the two S-S bridges is invariant and corresponds to the ≈ 10% of α-helix content found at 10⁻³ M. It can be noticed that the well resolved signals detected in NMR experiments carried out at mM concentrations [3] ruled out the presence of large defensin A oligomers. Therefore, the evolution of the dichroic intensity as a function of defensin A concentration would more correspond to an increased amount of small oligomers than to oligomers growing in size.

Secondary structure is also very sensitive to other environmental parameters such as pH or the presence of mono- and divalent cations. As far as the pH is concerned, the maximum helical content was detected in the pH range 7–8 corresponding to the deprotonation of the two His residues (pK ≈ 7). From NMR data [3], His13 was located just before the beginning of the helix whereas His19 belonged to the first turn of the helix. Thus, the ionisation state of the His residues may have a great influence on the secondary structure of defensin A. The other ionisable group involved in the helix (Arg23) is always protonated (pK = 12) in
the pH range of this study. We can also consider that, in addition to a direct influence on defensin A folding, the pH of the solution might affect, as a first event, the associated state of defensin and, as a consequence, the helix content. This is consistent with the observation that the pH for the maximum helicity corresponds also nearly to the isoelectric point of defensin A (calculated pI = 8.3). At this pH the electrostatic repulsions between defensin A molecules are weak and self-association should be favoured. Besides, the bell-shape of the curve (fig 4b) is in favour of a relation between the global charge of the protein and the helicity. Finally, the maximum helicity corresponds to a pH range where His residues are deprotonated and where the global charge of defensin A is weak. The KCl effect might be analyzed with respect to the self-association process as well. As a matter of fact, it has been shown that defensin A has less tendency to self-associate in the presence of monovalent salts [9]. Here, the loss of ellipticity observed in the presence of KCl might be a consequence of the dissociation of defensin A oligomers.

The optimum antibacterial activity has been observed at a pH ranging between 7.5 and 8 [6]. This is the pH range for which we found a maximum helical content. Therefore, the biological activity of defensin A seems to be closely dependent on its secondary structure and may be on its autoassociation state since both appeared correlated.
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