

# **On Bernstein's inequality for polynomials**

Hervé Queffélec, Rachid Zarouf

# **To cite this version:**

Hervé Queffélec, Rachid Zarouf. On Bernstein's inequality for polynomials. Analysis and Mathematical Physics,  $2019.$  hal- $02077659$ 

# **HAL Id: hal-02077659 <https://hal.science/hal-02077659v1>**

Submitted on 23 Mar 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# On Bernstein's inequality for polynomials

H. Queffélec<sup>∗</sup><sup>1</sup> and R. Zarouf†<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université Lille Nord de France, USTL, Laboratoire Paul Painlevé U.M.R. CNRS 8524 et Fédération CNRS Nord-Pas-de-Calais FR 2956 F-59 655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France. <sup>2</sup>Aix-Marseille Université, Laboratoire Apprentissage, Didactique, Evaluation, Formation, 32 Rue Eugène Cas CS 90279 13248 Marseille Cedex 04, France

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, Saint Petersburg State University, 28, Universitetski pr., St. Petersburg, 198504, Russia

March 23, 2019

#### Abstract

Bernstein's classical inequality asserts that given a trigonometric polynomial T of degree  $n \geq 1$ , the sup-norm of the derivative of T does not exceed *n* times the sup-norm of  $T$ . We present various approaches to prove this inequality and some of its natural extensions/variants, especially when it comes to replacing the sup-norm with the  $L^p-norm$ .

### 1 Introduction

Bernstein's inequality for trigonometric polynomials ([4]), already one century old, played a fundamental role in harmonic and complex Analysis, as well as in approximation theory  $([4], [5], [14])$  and in the study of random trigonometric series  $([30], [11]$  Chapter 6) or random Dirichlet series  $([27],$ Chapter 5), when generalized to several variables in the latter case. One can also mention its use in the theory of Banach spaces ([26], p. 20-21), or its

<sup>∗</sup>Herve.Queffelec@univ-lille.fr

<sup>†</sup> rachid.zarouf@univ-amu.fr

extensive use in Numerical Analysis.

The purpose of this survey is not to focus on applications of Bernstein's inequality, but on various approaches (some classical, some more recent) to proving this inequality and its extensions, and to show that even if it was first stated for the sup-norm, it is valid for a large class of norms, even of quasi-norms. We will not be interested in describing all equality cases. There will be two key words here:

• Convexity (with both real and complex variable approaches), well adapted to norms.

• Subharmonicity (with a rather complex variable approach), better adapted to quasi-norms.

The paper is organized as follows:

• Section 1 is this introduction, with reminders and the proof of Riesz.

• Section 2, essentially a real variable section, illustrates the role of convexity and of translation-invariance in generalized forms of Bernstein's inequality for Fourier transforms of compactly supported measures.

• Section 3 is a transition between real and complex methods.

• Section 4 is a section using intensively complex and hilbertian methods (integral representations, reproducing kernels), and new Banach algebra norms (Wiener norm, Besov norm) in connection with operator theory and functional calculus. Embedding inequalities other than Bernstein's one will also be considered.

• Section 5 "jumps" into quasi-norms with a somewhat extreme case, the Mahler  $\|.\|_0$  quasi-norm, called Mahler norm for simplicity. Here, subharmonicity plays a key role.

• Section 6 "climbs again the road" from the quasi-norm  $\|.\|_0$  to quasi-norms or norms  $\|.\|_p$  with  $0 < p \leq \infty$ , through integral representations.

• The final Section 7 concludes with some remarks and open questions.

#### 1.1 Reminders and notations

Bernstein's inequality is generally quoted under the following form.

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $T(x) = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} a_k e^{ikx}$  be a trigonometric polynomial of  $degree \leq n$ . Then:

$$
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |T'(x)| \le n \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |T(x)|
$$

and the constant n is optimal in general  $(T(x) = e^{inx})$ .

Throughout this paper, we shall have to make a careful distinction between trigonometric polynomials as above and algebraic polynomials

$$
T(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k e^{ikx} = P(e^{ix})
$$
 with  $T'(x) = ie^{ix}P'(e^{ix})$  and  $|T'(x)| = |P'(e^{ix})|$ 

where P is the ordinary polynomial  $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k$  for which complex techniques are more easily available. If once and for all D designates the open unit disk and  $\mathbb{T} = \{z : |z| = 1\}$  its boundary, as well as  $||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)|$ when  $f$  is a bounded analytic function on  $\mathbb{D}$ , the maximum modulus principle gives us for  $T(x) = P(e^{ix})$  as above:

$$
||T||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(e^{ix})| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |P(z)| = ||P||_{\infty},
$$

and we shall always identify both sup-norms, as well as P and  $x \mapsto P(e^{ix})$ . The Haar measure of  $T$  will be denoted  $m$ :

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} f dm = \int_0^1 f(e^{2i\pi \theta}) d\theta.
$$

The  $L^p$ -norm (quasi-norm when  $0 < p < 1$ ) will always refer to the measure m. We also set (the Mahler norm)

(1.1) 
$$
||f||_0 = \lim_{p \to 0} ||f||_p = \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |f| dm \right).
$$

Recall that  $||f||_{\infty} = \lim_{p\to\infty} ||f||_p$ .

If  $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k = a \prod_{j=1}^{n} (z - z_j)$  is an algebraic polynomial, its (complex) reciprocal polynomial  $Q$  is defined by

(1.2) 
$$
Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/\overline{z})} = \sum_{k=0}^n \overline{a_{n-k}} z^k = \overline{a} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \overline{z_j} z).
$$

The reciprocal polynomial of Q is P.The following obvious property is quite useful:

(1.3) 
$$
|z| = 1 \Rightarrow |Q(z)| = |P(z)|
$$
.

For P as above, Jensen's formula tells that

(1.4) 
$$
||P||_0 = |a| \prod_{j=1}^n \max(1, |z_j|).
$$

#### 1.2 Bernstein through interpolation, Riesz formula

Bernstein ([4]) initially obtained

$$
||T'||_{\infty} \le 2n||T||_{\infty}
$$

and the best constant n was shortly afterwards obtained by E. Landau  $([6])$ by a reduction to a sum of sines, and slightly later by M. Riesz ([29]), using a new interpolation formula.

We first present the proof of Riesz. See also the nice books [24] page 146 and [9] page 178.

**Theorem 1.2 (M.Riesz.)** There exist  $c_1, \ldots, c_{2n} \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_{2n} \in \mathbb{R}$ with  $\sum_{r=1}^{2n} |c_r| = n$  such that, for all trigonometric polynomials T of degree  $n:$ 

(1.5) 
$$
T'(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{2n} c_r T(x + x_r) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

In particular

$$
|T'(0)| \le n \sup_{x \in E_n} |T(x)|
$$

where  $E_n = \{x_j, 1 \le j \le 2n\}.$ 

**Proof :** we sketch the proof. Let r be an integer with  $1 \le r \le 2n$ . We set:

(1.6) 
$$
x_r = \frac{(2r-1)\pi}{2n}, \quad \omega = e^{\frac{i\pi}{2n}}, \quad z_r = e^{ix_r} = \omega^{2r-1}, \quad z_r^{2n} = -1.
$$

An easy variant of the Lagrange interpolation formula for the  $2n$  points  $z_r$ gives, for any polynomial  $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} c_k z^k$ :

$$
(1.7) \tP(z) = \frac{z^{2n} + 1}{2} (c_0 + c_{2n}) + \frac{z^{2n} + 1}{2} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{r=1}^{2n} P(z_r) \frac{z_r + z}{z_r - z}.
$$

Next, if  $T(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx)$ , we apply formula (1.7) to the polynomial  $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} c_k z^k$  defined by  $P(e^{ix}) = e^{inx} T(x)$ . We get

(1.8) 
$$
T(x) = a_n \cos nx + \cos nx \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{r=1}^{2n} T(x_r)(-1)^{r+1} \cot \frac{(x_r - x)}{2}.
$$

Differentiation at 0 now gives

$$
(1.9) \quad T'(0) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{r=1}^{2n} T(x_r) \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{2 \sin^2(x_r/2)} =: \sum_{r=1}^{2n} c_r T(x_r) \text{ with } \sum_{r=1}^{2n} |c_r| = n.
$$

By translation, we get the Riesz interpolation formula:

(1.10) 
$$
T'(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{2n} c_r T(x + x_r).
$$

In convolution terms:

(1.11) 
$$
T' = T * \mu_n
$$
, where  $\mu_n = \sum_{r=1}^{2n} c_r \delta_{x_r}$  and  $||\mu_n|| = n$ 

and this clearly ends the proof.

Observe that the measure  $\mu_n$  is a *finite* combination of Dirac point masses. We will later see an extension of this method in which  $\mu_n$  is discrete, but an infinite combination of Dirac point masses.

#### 2 Convexity

We begin with giving a general form (due to R. P. Boas) of Bernstein's previous inequality, as in the book [10] page 30, and which may be seen as an extension of Riesz's proof. This form is valid for non-periodic (almost periodic) trigonometric polynomials as well. We denote the derivative  $f'$  by Df and the translate of f by a real number a by  $T_a f$ , that is  $T_a f(x) =$  $f(x + a)$ . The convolution of the function f and the measure  $\mu$  (already appearing in Riesz's proof) is accordingly defined as

$$
f * \mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (T_t f) d\mu(t).
$$

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $\lambda > 0$ . Then there exists a complex sequence  $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and a real sequence  $(t_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ , depending only on  $\lambda$ , such that  $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}|c_k|=\lambda$  and that, whenever  $f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itx} d\mu(t)$  is the Fourier transform of a complex measure on  $\mathbb R$  supported by  $[-\lambda, \lambda]$ , then

$$
Df = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k T_{t_k} f.
$$

If one prefers,  $Df = f * \mu \text{ with } ||\mu|| = \lambda$ . In particular, if  $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j e^{i\lambda_j x}$  where the  $\lambda_j$ 's are real and distinct with  $|\lambda_i| \leq \lambda$ , then

$$
||f'||_{\infty} \leq \lambda ||f||_{\infty}.
$$

Proof : we rely on the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $\varphi$  be the 4 $\lambda$ -periodic odd function defined by

$$
\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \lambda \\ 2\lambda - t & \text{if } \lambda \leq t \leq 2\lambda. \end{cases}
$$

Then,

$$
i\varphi(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{i\pi kt/2\lambda} \text{ with } \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_k| = \lambda.
$$

Indeed, let us work with the space  $E$  of  $4\lambda$ -periodic functions, initially defined on  $[-2\lambda, 2\lambda]$ . Let  $\chi \in E$  be the characteristic function of the interval  $[-\lambda, \lambda]$ . Let  $\psi(t) = \varphi(t+\lambda)+\lambda \in E$ , a triangle function on  $[-2\lambda, 2\lambda]$ . We see that  $\psi =$  $4\lambda(\chi * \chi)$ , and it can hence be written as  $\psi(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} d_k e^{i\pi k t/2\lambda}$  with  $d_k =$  $4\lambda(\widehat{\chi}(k))^2 \geq 0$ , so that  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} d_k = \psi(0) = 2\lambda$  and  $d_0 = \frac{1}{4\lambda}$  $\frac{1}{4\lambda} \int_{-2\lambda}^{2\lambda} \psi(t) dt = \lambda.$ Since  $\varphi(t) = \psi(t - \lambda) - \lambda$ , the lemma follows with  $c_0 = 0$  and  $c_k = id_k i^{-k}$  if  $k \neq 0$ .

Coming back to Theorem 2.1, we see that, since  $\mu$  is supported by  $[-\lambda, \lambda]$ :

$$
f'(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} it e^{itx} d\mu(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} i\varphi(t) e^{itx} d\mu(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi kt/2\lambda} e^{itx} d\mu(t)
$$

$$
= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k f(x + t_k) \text{ with } t_k = \frac{k\pi}{2\lambda}
$$

and this ends the proof of the general part of our theorem. For the special case, just observe that  $f$  is the Fourier transform of the discrete measure  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \delta_{\lambda_j}$ . The meaning of this theorem is that, for Fourier transforms of compactly supported measures, the differential operator  $D$  can be replaced by kind of a convex combination of translation operators  $T_{t_k}$ ; this is why Theorem 2.1 belongs to convexity. It is worth mentioning a more general application of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.3** Let f be an entire function of exponential type  $\lambda$  (namely  $|f(z)| \le Ce^{\lambda |z|}$ , bounded on the real axis  $||f||_{\infty} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)| < \infty$ ). Then

$$
||f'||_{\infty} \leq \lambda ||f||_{\infty}.
$$

**Proof :** indeed, by the Paley-Wiener theorem ([13] page 212), f restricted to the real line is the Fourier transform of a measure (indeed of an  $L^2$ -function) supported by  $[-\lambda, \lambda]$ .

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{P}_n$  the translation-invariant space of trigonometric polynomials  $\sum_{|j| \leq n} p_j e^{ijx}$  of degree  $\leq n$ . A nice corollary of Theorem 2.1 is the following:

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a translation-invariant norm on  $\mathcal{P}_n$ . Then

$$
||f'|| \le n||f|| \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{P}_n.
$$

**Proof:** writing  $f' = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k T_{t_k} f$  and taking norms (note that the series on the right-hand side is absolutely convergent for the norm  $\Vert . \Vert$ , we get

$$
||f'|| \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_k| ||T_{t_k} f|| = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_k| ||f|| = n||f||.
$$

 $\Box$ 

This can be applied to the  $L^p$ -norm with respect to the Haar measure m of the circle  $\mathbb{T}$ , with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , more generally to the  $L^{\psi}$ -norm where  $\psi$ is any Orlicz function ([32] page 173). There are lots of applications, and improvements of the factor  $n$  under special assumptions (as unimodularity of coefficients); we just mention the paper [28] and the book [11] with applications to random Fourier series.

As we will now see, subharmonicity and complex methods allow us to go beyond convexity and to consider  $L^p$ -quasi-norms for  $0 < p < 1$ , even for  $p = 0$  (the Mahler norm). We begin with a "transition" section.

#### 3 Convexity and Complexity

What follows still belongs to convexity, in spite of the appearance of Complex Analysis and the maximum principle, behind which subharmonicity

is lurking. Let us consider this section as a transition, we will be more explicit on subharmonicity later. A typical example of this transition is the famous Gauss-Lucas theorem, and its extension by Laguerre.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $f$  be an algebraic polynomial of degree  $n$ , all of which roots lie in a convex set  $K$  of the plane. Then, all the roots of the derivative f ′ also lie in K.

The following variant, due to Laguerre, of Theorem 3.1 is worth mentioning, in view of the forthcoming applications.

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $\rho \geq 1$ . Let P be an algebraic polynomial of degree n, all of which roots lie inside  $E := \{z : |z| \ge \rho\}$ . Assume that  $\xi, z$  satisfy

$$
(\xi - z)P'(z) + nP(z) = 0.
$$

Then, either  $\xi$  or  $z$  lie in  $E$ . As a consequence,

$$
|z| = 1 \Rightarrow \rho |P'(z)| \le |Q'(z)|
$$

where  $Q$  is the (complex) reciprocal polynomial of  $P$ .

**Proof :** without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\rho > 1$ . Denote here by  $T_z$  the "inversion" with pole z, namely

$$
T_z(u) = \frac{1}{u-z}.
$$

Let  $F = \mathbb{C} \setminus E$  and  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  the roots of P. In view of the formula  $P'(z)/P(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} 1/(z - z_j)$ , the relation between z and  $\xi$  can be written as

(3.1) 
$$
T_z(\xi) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n T_z(z_j).
$$

We see that, modulo  $T_z$ ,  $\xi$  is none other than the barycenter of the  $z_j$ 's and convexity is again implied. Suppose now that  $z \in F$ . Then,  $\infty = T_z(z) \in$  $T_z(F)$ , hence  $T_z(F)$  is unbounded, and its complement  $T_z(E)$  is **convex** since it is a disk or a half-plane. Now, (3.1) shows that  $T_z(\xi) \in T_z(E)$  since  $z_j \in E$ ,  $1 \le j \le n$ , by hypothesis. That is  $\xi \in E$ . Finally, fix z with modulus one. Note that, since then

$$
z\frac{P'(z)}{P(z)} = n - \frac{\overline{zQ'(z)}}{\overline{Q(z)}},
$$

we have as well

(3.2) 
$$
\xi = -n \frac{P(z)}{P'(z)} + z = -\frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)} \times \frac{P(z)}{P'(z)}.
$$

Now,  $z \notin E$  since  $\rho > 1$ . The first part of the theorem gives  $\xi \in E$  or again  $|\xi| > \rho$ , giving the conclusion in view of (3.2) and of  $|P(z)| = |Q(z)|$ .  $|\xi| \ge \rho$ , giving the conclusion in view of (3.2) and of  $|P(z)| = |Q(z)|$ .

The key point of the end of this section is the following lemma of term by term differentiation of inequalities; here, two polynomials are involved:

**Lemma 3.3** Let  $f$ ,  $F$  be two algebraic polynomials of degree n satisfying

- 1.  $|f(z)| \leq |F(z)|$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ ;
- 2. all roots of F lie in the closed disk  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ .

Then

- 1.  $|f(z)| \leq |F(z)|$  for all  $|z| \geq 1$ ;
- 2.  $|f'(z)| \leq |F'(z)|$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ .

**Proof :** We begin with assertion 1. Suppose first that all roots of F lie in  $\mathbb D$ . We consider the rational function  $f/F$  in the (unbounded) open set  $\Omega = \{z : |z| > 1\}$ ; this function is holomorphic and bounded in  $\Omega$  (since f and F have the same degree n) and continuous on  $\overline{\Omega}$  since by hypothesis all roots of F lie in D. Moreover,  $f/F$  has modulus  $\leq 1$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . The maximum modulus principle gives the conclusion. In the general case, one writes (note that the multiplicity of the zero  $z_j$  is higher for f than for F, due to our first assumption)

$$
f(z) = \prod_{|z_j|=1} (z - z_j)^{\alpha_j} g(z)
$$
 and  $F(z) = \prod_{|z_j|=1} (z - z_j)^{\alpha_j} G(z)$ 

where g and G are polynomials of the same degree, all roots of G lying in  $\mathbb{D}$ , and satisfying  $|g(z)| \leq |G(z)|$  for  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ . From the first case, one gets

$$
|z| \ge 1 \Rightarrow |g(z)| \le |G(z)| \Rightarrow |f(z)| \le |F(z)|.
$$

The second assertion follows from the first one. Let us indeed fix a complex number w with modulus  $> 1$ . If  $|z| > 1$ , we have

$$
|wF(z) - f(z)| \ge |w||F(z)| - |f(z)| \ge (|w| - 1)|F(z)| > 0,
$$

and all the roots of the polynomial  $wF - f$  lie in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , as well as (by Theorem 3.1) those of the derivative  $wF' - f'$ . In particular:

$$
|z| > 1 \Rightarrow f'(z) \neq wF'(z).
$$

By the Gauss-Lucas theorem again, we have  $F'(z) \neq 0$ , therefore  $f'(z)/F'(z) \neq 0$ w. The quotient  $f'(z)/F'(z)$  being different from any complex number of modulus  $> 1$ , we get:

$$
|z| > 1 \Rightarrow |f'(z)| \le |F'(z)|.
$$

Letting  $|z|$  tend to 1 gives the claimed result.

Remark. The previous lemma contains Bernstein's inequality for algebraic polynomials (meaning  $f(e^{it}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k e^{ikt}$ ), assuming that  $|f(z)| \leq 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{T}$  and taking then  $F(z) = z^n$ . But the extension to trigonometric polynomials is not straightforward, and will need the full generality of Lemma 3.3, under the following form ([17]).

Theorem 3.4 (Malik.) Let P be an algebraic polynomial of degree n, and Q its reciprocal polynomial. We assume that  $||P||_{\infty} \leq 1$ . Then

$$
z \in \mathbb{T} \Rightarrow |P'(z)| + |Q'(z)| \leq n.
$$

**Proof :** let  $|w| > 1$ . It suffices to apply Lemma 3.3 to  $f = Q - \overline{w}$  and its reciprocal polynomial  $F = P - wz^n$ , which satisfy:  $|f| = |F|$  on  $\mathbb{T}$ , and  $F$ has no zeros outside  $\mathbb{D}$ , by a new application of Lemma 3.3 to P and  $z^n$ . We get for  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ :

$$
|Q'(z)| \le |P'(z) - wnz^{n-1}|,
$$

whence the result by adjusting the argument of  $w$  and by letting its modulus tend to 1.  $\Box$ 

Lax proved that if an algebraic polynomial  $P$  of degree  $n$  has no roots in D, Bernstein's inequality can be improved as follows:  $||P'||_{\infty} \le \frac{n}{2} ||P||_{\infty}$ , the inequality being optimal. What precedes provides a simple proof and extension of Lax's result, due to Malik ([17]).

**Theorem 3.5** Let  $\rho \geq 1$  and let P be an algebraic polynomial f degree n, all of which roots have modulus  $\geq \rho$ . Then

$$
||P'||_{\infty} \le \frac{n}{1+\rho} ||P||_{\infty}.
$$

The constant  $\frac{n}{1+\rho}$  is optimal.

**Proof**: the optimality is clear by considering  $P(z) = \left(\frac{z+\rho}{1+\rho}\right)$  $1+\rho$  $\int_0^n$  Now, assume that  $||P||_{\infty} = 1$  and fix a unimodular complex number z. We combine two previous results:

$$
|P'(z)| + |Q'(z)| \le n
$$
  

$$
\rho|P'(z)| \le |Q'(z)| \le n.
$$

We hence get

$$
(1+\rho)|P'(z)| \leq n.
$$

This ends the proof.

It is worth mentioning a corollary of Lax-Malik's result, due to Ankeny and Rivlin for  $\rho = 1$ .

**Proposition 3.6** Let  $\rho \geq 1$  and P be an algebraic polynomial of degree n with no roots in  $\rho \mathbb{D}$ . Then:

$$
|z|\geq 1 \Rightarrow |P(z)|\leq \frac{|z|^n+\rho}{1+\rho}\, \|P\|_\infty.
$$

**Proof :** we can assume  $||P||_{\infty} = 1$ . By Malik's theorem, one gets that  $|P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{1+\rho}$  for  $|z|=1$ . By the maximum principle,  $|P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{1+\rho}|z|^{n-1}$ for  $|z| \geq 1$ . Now, if  $R > 1$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , one can write

$$
P(Re^{i\theta}) - P(e^{i\theta}) = \int_1^R e^{i\theta} P'(re^{i\theta}) dr
$$

whence

$$
|P(Re^{i\theta}) - P(e^{i\theta})| \le \int_1^R \frac{n}{1+\rho} r^{n-1} dr = \frac{R^n - 1}{1+\rho}.
$$

The triangle inequality now gives

$$
|P(Re^{i\theta})| \le \frac{R^n + \rho}{1 + \rho}.
$$

This ends the proof.

Here is an interesting variant, and strenghtening, of Bernstein's inequality.

**Theorem 3.7 (Schaake-van der Corput.)** Let  $T$  be a real trigonometric polynomial of degree n, with  $|T(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$
(T'(x))^2 + n^2(T(x))^2 \le n^2 \text{ for all } \theta \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

In particular,  $|T'(x)| \leq n$ .

**Proof**: let  $P(e^{ix}) = e^{inx}T(x)$ , an algebraic polynomial of degree  $2n$ , and  $Q$  be its reciprocal polynomial. Since  $T$  is real, we have:

$$
Q(e^{ix}) = e^{2inx} \overline{P(e^{ix})} = e^{2inx} e^{-inx} T(x) = P(e^{ix}),
$$

hence  $Q = P$ . Malik's inequality therefore gives:

$$
2|P'(e^{ix})| \le 2n, \text{ that is } |P'(e^{ix})| = \sqrt{(T'(x))^2 + n^2(T(x))^2} \le n.
$$

An obvious corollary is once more

**Theorem 3.8 (Bernstein.)** Let  $T$  be a complex trigonometric polynomial of degree n, with  $|T(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$
|T'(x)| \le n \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

**Proof :** let u be a unimodular complex number and  $S_u = \Re (uT)$ , a real trigonometric polynomial of degree n satisfying  $|S_u(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . By the Schaake-van der Corput theorem, we have  $|\Re (uT'(e^{ix}))| \leq n$ , whence the result, optimizing with respect to  $u$ .

#### 4 Bernstein's inequality via integral representation

In this section we provide an approach to Bernstein's inequality for the sup-norm, the  $L^p$ -norm  $(p \geq 1)$  and some other variants, based on new integral representations for algebraic/trigonometric polynomials. The latter are developed in [1, 2] in a more general context, to prove Bernstein-type inequalities for rational functions. These integral representations are footed on the theory of model spaces and their reproducing kernels. The model spaces are the subspaces of the Hardy space  $H^2$  which are invariant with respect to the backward shift operator, (we refer to [21] for the general theory of model spaces and their numerous applications). Applying this method to the case of algebraic polynomials, Bernstein's inequalities for the sup-norm and for the  $L^p$ -norm  $(p \ge 1)$  are easily demonstrated. Our integral representations require to introduce the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $L^2 = L^2(\mathbb{T})$ 

$$
\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(u) \overline{g(u)} \, dm(u).
$$

For  $n\geq 1$  the (algebraic) Dirichlet kernel  $D_n$  is defined as

$$
D_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k.
$$

#### 4.1 The case of algebraic polynomials

Given an algebraic polynomial of degree  $n, P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k$  and given  $\xi$  in the closed unit disk, we have

$$
P'(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} ka_k \xi^{k-1} = \left\langle P(z), z \frac{1}{(1 - \overline{\xi}z)^2} \right\rangle.
$$

Expanding  $(1 - (\overline{\xi}z)^n)^2$  we observe that

$$
z\frac{1}{(1-\overline{\xi}z)^2} - z\frac{(1-(\overline{\xi}z)^n)^2}{(1-\overline{\xi}z)^2} = z\frac{1}{(1-\overline{\xi}z)^2} - z\left(D_n(\overline{\xi}z)\right)^2
$$

is orthogonal to  $P$ . This yields

(4.1) 
$$
P'(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} P(u) \overline{u(D_n(\overline{\xi}u))^2} dm(u), \qquad |\xi| \leq 1.
$$

Therefore for any unimodular  $\xi$ 

$$
|P'(\xi)| \leq ||P||_{\infty} ||D_n||_2^2
$$

and Bernstein's inequality for the sup-norm follows. Following the same approach we prove that

$$
||P'||_p \le n ||P||_p, \qquad p \in [1, \infty].
$$

**Proof :** An application of  $(4.1)$  indeed yields

$$
\|P'\|_p^p = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |P'(\xi)|^p dm(\xi)
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} P(u) \overline{u (D_n(\xi u))^2} dm(u) \right|^p dm(\xi)
$$
  

$$
\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} |P(u)| |D_n(\overline{\xi}u)|^2 \right) dm(u) \Big)^p dm(\xi).
$$

We apply Hölder's inequality (q is the conjugate exponent of  $p: \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$  $\frac{1}{q} = 1$ 

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |P(u)| |D_n(\overline{\xi}u)|^2 dm(u)\right)^p
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |D_n(\overline{\xi}u)|^2 dm(u)\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |P(u)|^p |D_n(\overline{\xi}u)|^2 dm(u)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq n^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |P(u)|^p |D_n(\overline{\xi}u)|^2 dm(u).
$$

It remains to integrate with respect to  $\xi$  and apply the Fubini-Tonelli theorem to conclude.

The case of trigonometric polynomials is more technical and removed to the end of the section. More precisely, in subsection 4.3 we provide an analog of  $(4.1)$  for trigonometric polynomials T of degree at most n. Applying "roughly" the above approach to T yields  $||T'||_p \le 2n ||T||_p$  instead of  $||T'||_p \leq n ||T||_p.$ 

In the next subsection we show that the continuous embeddings of some Besov/Wiener algebras of analytic functions on D, into the algebra of bounded analytic functions, are invertible over the set of algebraic polynomials of degree at most  $n$ . We discuss the asymptotic behavior of the respective embedding constants as  $n \to \infty$ .

#### 4.2 Inequalities for algebraic polynomials in Besov/Wiener algebras

We denote by  $H^{\infty}$  the algebra of bounded analytic functions on  $\mathbb D$  i.e. the space of holomorphic functions f on D such that  $||f||_{\infty} < \infty$ . Given a Banach algebra X continuously embedded into  $H^{\infty}$ , we are interested in inequalities of the type

$$
||P||_X \leq C_X(n) ||P||_{\infty}
$$

holding for any algebraic polynomial  $P$  of degree at most  $n$ . The selected algebras X below, are of particular interest for applications in matrix analysis and operator theory, see [20] for more details.

1.  $B_{1,1}^1$  is the Besov algebra of analytic functions f on  $\mathbb D$  such that

$$
||f||_{B_{1,1}^1}^* := \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f''(u)| dA(u) < \infty
$$

where dA stands for normalized Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\lVert \cdot \rVert_{B^1_{1,1}}^*$  is a semi-norm on  $B_{1,1}^1$ . Vitse's functional calculus [31] shows that given a Banach Kreiss operator  $A$ , i.e. an operator  $A$  satisfying the resolvent estimate

$$
\left\| (\lambda - A)^{-1} \right\| \le C(|\lambda| - 1)^{-1}, \qquad |\lambda| > 1,
$$

we have

$$
||P(A)|| \leq 2C ||P||_{B^1_{1,1}}^*
$$

for every algebraic polynomial P.

2. W is the analytic Wiener algebra of absolutely converging Fourier/Taylor series, i.e. the space of all  $f = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k z^k$  such that:

$$
||f||_W := \sum_{k \ge 0} |a_k| < \infty.
$$

It is easily verified that for any operator  $A$  acting on a Banach space, satisfying  $||A|| \leq 1$ , we have

$$
||P(A)|| \le ||P||_W
$$

for every algebraic polynomial P.

3.  $B^0_{\infty,1}$  is the Besov algebra of analytic functions f in  $\mathbb D$  such that

$$
||f||_{B^{0}_{\infty,1}}^{*} := \int_{0}^{1} ||f'_{r}||_{\infty} dr < \infty
$$

where  $f_r(z) = f(rz)$  and  $\lVert \cdot \rVert_{B^0_{\infty,1}}^*$  is a semi-norm on  $B^0_{\infty,1}$ . Let A be power bounded operator on a Hilbert space:  $\sup_{k\geq 0} ||A^k|| = a < \infty$ . Peller's functional calculus [23] shows that

$$
||P(A)|| \le k_G a^2 ||P||_{B^0_{\infty,1}}^*
$$

for every algebraic polynomial  $P$ , where  $k<sub>G</sub>$  is an absolute (Grothendieck) constant.

Observe that the following continuous embeddings hold

$$
B_{1,1}^1 \subset W \subset B_{\infty,1}^0 \subset H^{\infty}
$$

see [7, 25] or [21, Sect. B.8.7]. It turns out that the continuous embeddings  $W \subset H^{\infty}$ ,  $B^0_{\infty,1} \subset H^{\infty}$  and  $B^1_{1,1} \subset H^{\infty}$  are invertible on the space of complex algebraic polynomials of degree at most  $n \geq 1$ . More precisely we prove the following inequalities.

Proposition 4.1 For any algebraic polynomial P of degree at most n the following inequalities hold

(4.2) 
$$
||P||_W \le \sqrt{n+1} ||P||_{\infty},
$$

the bound  $\sqrt{n+1}$  being the best possible asymptotically as  $n \to \infty$ , and

(4.3) 
$$
||P||_{B^{0}_{\infty,1}}^{*} \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2k+1}\right) ||P||_{\infty}.
$$

The asymptotic sharpness of  $\ln n$  over the space of algebraic polynomials of degree n as  $n \to \infty$ , is an open question. Let us recall a result by V. Peller [23, Corollary 3.9].

Proposition 4.2 (Peller) Let A be a power bounded operator on a Hilbert space. Then there exists a postive  $M$  such that for any algebraic polynomial P of degree n the following inequality holds

$$
||P(A)|| \leq M \ln(n+2) ||P||_{\infty}.
$$

Indeed combining Peller's functional calculus [23] with (4.3) we find

$$
||P(A)|| \le k_G a^2 ||P||_{B^0_{\infty,1}}^* \le k_G a^2 (\ln n + \gamma + o(1)) ||P||_{\infty},
$$

where  $a = \sup_{k \geq 0} ||A^k||$ ,  $k_G$  is an absolute (Grothendieck) constant, and  $\gamma$ is the Euler constant. The asymptotic sharpness of  $\ln n$  in Proposition 4.2 is also an open question.

Proof :  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k$ , Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields [Proof of Proposition 4.1] We first prove (4.2). Given  $P =$ 

$$
||P||_W \le \sqrt{n+1} ||P||_2 \le \sqrt{n+1} ||P||_{\infty}.
$$

Moreover, the bound  $\sqrt{n+1}$  is asymptotically sharp as shown for example by Kahane ([12]) at the beginning of his construction of ultraflat polynomials, when he produces polynomials  $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k$  with  $|a_k| = 1$  for  $k =$  $0, \ldots, n$  and  $||P||_{\infty} \ge (1 - \delta_n)\sqrt{n+1}$  where  $\delta_n \to 0^+$ . Now we prove (4.3). Applying (4.1) with  $\zeta = rv$  and  $v \in \mathbb{T}$  we find

$$
P'(rv) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} P(u) \overline{u(D_n(r\overline{v}u))^2} dm(u).
$$

This yields

$$
|P'(rv)| \le ||P||_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \overline{u (D_n(r\overline{v}u))^2} \right| dm(u)
$$
  
=  $||P||_{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r^{2k}.$ 

Therefore taking the supremum over unimodular  $\xi$  and integrating over  $r \in$  $[0, 1]$  we get

$$
||P||_{B^{0}_{\infty,1}}^{*} \leq ||P||_{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2k+1}.
$$

We finally treat the case of the  $B_{1,1}^1$ -norm of P. Since the second derivative of P is involved in the definition of  $||P||^*_{B^1_{1,1}}$  we first need to give an analog of  $(4.1)$  for  $P''$ . Clearly,

$$
P''(\xi) = \sum_{k=2}^{n} k(k-1)a_k \xi^{k-2} = 2\left\langle P, z^2 \frac{1}{(1 - \overline{\xi}z)^3} \right\rangle.
$$

Expanding  $(1 - (\overline{\xi}z)^n)^3$  we observe that

$$
z^{2} \frac{1}{(1 - \overline{\xi}z)^{3}} - z^{2} \frac{(1 - (\overline{\xi}z)^{n})^{3}}{(1 - \overline{\xi}z)^{3}}
$$

is orthogonal to any polynomial of degree at most  $n+1$  and especially to P. Therefore

(4.4) 
$$
P''(\xi) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} P(u) \overline{u^2 (D_n(\xi u))^3} dm(u), \qquad |\xi| \le 1.
$$

We will use  $(4.4)$  to prove next proposition.

Proposition 4.3 (Vitse, Peller, Bonsall-Walsh) For any algebraic polynomial P of degree at most n the following inequality holds

$$
||P||_{B_{1,1}^1}^* \leq \frac{8}{\pi} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(k+\frac{3}{2}\right)^2}{k!(k+1)!} \right) ||P||_{\infty},
$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the standard Euler Gamma function. In particular

(4.5) 
$$
||P||_{B_{1,1}^1}^* < \frac{8}{\pi} n ||P||_{\infty}.
$$

It is shown by P. Vitse in [31, Lemma 2.3] that (4.5) actually holds for rational functions  $r$  of degree  $n$  whose poles lie outside the closed unit disk, with the same numerical constant  $\frac{8}{\pi}$ . Note that the same inequality was originally proved by V. Peller in [22] without giving an explicit numerical constant. Vitse's proof makes use of a theorem by F. F. Bonsall and D. Walsh [8], where the constant  $\frac{8}{\pi}$  is sharp. The proof below does not make use of the theory of Hankel operators, and is only based on (4.4).

**Proof :** [Proof of Proposition 4.3] We rewrite  $(4.4)$  as

(4.6) 
$$
P''(\xi) = 2\left\langle P, z^2(1 - (\overline{\xi}z)^n) \left( \frac{1 - (\overline{\xi}z)^n}{(1 - \overline{\xi}z)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right)^2 \right\rangle,
$$

and we use the Taylor expansion of  $(1 - \overline{\xi}z)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$  to get

$$
\frac{1 - (\overline{\xi}z)^n}{(1 - \overline{\xi}z)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = (1 - (\overline{\xi}z)^n) \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{\Gamma(k + \frac{3}{2})}{k! \Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \overline{\xi}^k z^k
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} (1 - (\overline{\xi}z)^n) \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{\Gamma(k + \frac{3}{2})}{k!} \overline{\xi}^k z^k
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Big( \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{\Gamma(k + \frac{3}{2})}{k!} \overline{\xi}^k z^k - \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{\Gamma(k + \frac{3}{2})}{k!} \overline{\xi}^{k+n} z^{k+n} \Big)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} (\varphi_{\xi}(z) - \psi_{\xi}(z)),
$$

where  $\varphi_{\xi}(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0}$  $\Gamma\left(k+\frac{3}{2}\right)$  $(\frac{k+\frac{3}{2}}{k!})\bar{\xi}^k z^k$  and  $\psi_{\xi}(z) = \sum_{k\geq 0}$  $\Gamma\left(k+\frac{3}{2}\right)$  $\frac{(k+\frac{3}{2})}{k!} \overline{\xi}^{k+n} z^{k+n}$ . We observe that the functions

$$
z \mapsto z^2 (1 - (\overline{\xi} z)^n) (\psi_{\xi}(z))^2
$$

and

$$
z \mapsto z^2 (1 - (\overline{\xi} z)^n) \varphi_{\xi}(z) \psi_{\xi}(z),
$$

are orthogonal to any algebraic polynomial of degree at most  $n$ . Writing

$$
\left(\frac{1-(\overline{\xi}z)^n}{\left(1-\overline{\xi}z\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)^2=\frac{4}{\pi}\left((\varphi_{\xi}(z))^2+(\psi_{\xi}(z))^2-2\varphi_{\xi}(z)\psi_{\xi}(z)\right),
$$

(4.6) becomes

$$
P''(\xi) = 2\left\langle P, z^2(1 - (\overline{\xi}z)^n) \left( \frac{1 - (\overline{\xi}z)^n}{(1 - \overline{\xi}z)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right)^2 \right\rangle
$$
  
=  $\frac{8}{\pi} \left\langle P, z^2(1 - (\overline{\xi}z)^n)((\varphi_{\xi}(z))^2 + (\psi_{\xi}(z))^2 - 2\varphi_{\xi}(z)\psi_{\xi}(z))^2 \right\rangle$   
=  $\frac{8}{\pi} \left\langle P, z^2(1 - (\overline{\xi}z)^n)(\varphi_{\xi}(z))^2 \right\rangle$   
=  $\frac{8}{\pi} \left\langle P, (z\varphi_{\xi}(z))^2 \right\rangle$ .

since  $z \mapsto z^{n+2}(\varphi_{\xi}(z))^2$  is also orthogonal to P. Denoting by  $S_n^{\xi}(z) =$  $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}$  $\Gamma\left(k+\frac{3}{2}\right)$  $\frac{x+\frac{3}{2}}{k!} \bar{\xi}^k z^k$  and  $R_n^{\xi}(z) = \sum_{k \geq n}$  $\Gamma\left(k+\frac{3}{2}\right)$  $\frac{x+\frac{3}{2}}{k!} \overline{\xi}^k z^k$ , we have

$$
S_n^{\xi}(z) + R_n^{\xi}(z) = \varphi_{\xi}(z),
$$

and

$$
(z\varphi_{\xi}(z))^2 = (zS_n^{\xi}(z) + zR_n^{\xi}(z))^2
$$
  
= 
$$
(zS_n^{\xi}(z))^2 + (zR_n^{\xi}(z))^2 + 2z^2R_n^{\xi}(z)S_n^{\xi}(z),
$$

where the two last terms are again orthogonal to P. Finally, we obtain the following integral representation:

$$
P''(\xi) = \frac{8}{\pi} \left\langle P, \left( z S_n^{\xi}(z) \right)^2 \right\rangle.
$$

Using the standard Cauchy duality we have that for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$
|P''(\xi)| \leq \frac{8}{\pi} ||P||_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| uS_n^{\xi}(u) \right|^2 dm(u).
$$

Integrating over D with respect to the normalized area measure, we find

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}} |P''(\xi)| dA(\xi) = \frac{8}{\pi} ||P||_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{(uS_n^{\xi}(u))^2} dm(u) \right| dA(\xi)
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{8}{\pi} ||P||_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| S_n^{\xi}(u) \right|^2 dm(u) \right) dA(\xi)
$$
  

$$
= \frac{8}{\pi} ||P||_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| S_n^{\xi}(u) \right|^2 dA(\xi) \right) dm(u).
$$

We conclude noticing that  $\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| S_n^{\xi}(u) \right|$  $\mathrm{d}A(\xi)$  is the square of norm of  $S_n^{\xi}$  in the standard Bergman space, we find

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| S_n^{\xi}(u) \right|^2 dA(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(k + \frac{3}{2})^2}{(k+1)(k!)^2} |u|^{2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(k + \frac{3}{2})^2}{k!(k+1)!}.
$$

#### 4.3 The case of trigonometric polynomials

As a generalization of (4.1) we prove the following integral representation for the derivative of trigonometric polynomials.

**Lemma 4.4** For any trigonometric polynomial  $T$  of degree  $n$  we have

(4.7) 
$$
T'(\xi) = \langle T, K_{\xi} \rangle, \qquad |\xi| = 1,
$$

where for all  $u, \xi \in \mathbb{T}, K_{\xi}(u) = u(D_n(\overline{\xi}u))^2 - \xi^2 \overline{u}(D_n(\overline{\xi}u))^2$ .

The proof of Bernstein's inequality for  $p \in [1,\infty]$  with constant  $2n$  instead of  $n$ , follows from the above lemma. Indeed, following the same trick as in subsection 4.1 we get

$$
||T'||_p \le 2n ||T||_p
$$
,  $p \in [1, \infty]$ .

**Proof**: [Proof of the lemma of integral representation] We put  $T = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} a_k z^k$  $(z = e^{it})$ ,  $P = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k$  and  $R = \sum_{k=-m}^{-1} a_k z^k$  so that  $T = P + R$ . Applying  $(4.1)$  to the algebraic polynomial P we get

(4.8) 
$$
P'(\xi) = \left\langle P(z), z \left(D_n(\overline{\xi}z)\right)^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle T(z), z \left(D_n(\overline{\xi}z)\right)^2 \right\rangle,
$$

because  $R \perp z \left(D_n(\overline{\xi} z)\right)^2$  . We will now perform a similar task for R. Consider for this the algebraic polynomial

$$
Q(z) = \bar{z}R(\bar{z}), \qquad \bar{z} = 1/z,
$$

whose degree does not exceed  $n-1$ . For the reasons given above, we have

$$
Q(\xi) = \bar{\xi}R(\bar{\xi}) = \left\langle Q(z), \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\xi}z} \right\rangle,
$$

that is to say

$$
R(\frac{1}{\xi}) = \xi \left\langle Q(z), \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\xi}z} \right\rangle.
$$

Deriving again with respect to  $\xi$  we get

$$
-\frac{1}{\xi^2}R'(\frac{1}{\xi}) = \left\langle Q(z), \frac{1}{1-\overline{\xi}z} \right\rangle + \xi \left\langle Q(z), \frac{z}{(1-\overline{\xi}z)^2} \right\rangle,
$$

that is to say

$$
R'(\frac{1}{\xi}) = \left\langle Q(z), \frac{-\overline{\xi}^2}{(1-\overline{\xi}z)^2} \right\rangle = \left\langle Q(z), \frac{-\overline{\xi}^2(1-\overline{\xi}^nz^n)^2}{(1-\overline{\xi}z)^2} \right\rangle,
$$

the last equality being due to the fact that  $\frac{1}{(1-\bar{\xi}z)^2} - \frac{(1-(\bar{\xi}z)^n)^2}{(1-\bar{\xi}z)^2}$  $\frac{(-\frac{z}{z})^2}{(1-\overline{z})^2}$  is orthogonal to any algebraic polynomial of degree at most  $n$ . Rewriting this last equality using the integral representation of the scalar product, we find

$$
R'(\frac{1}{\xi}) = -\int_{\mathbb{T}} \bar{u}R(\bar{u}) \frac{\xi^2 (1 - \xi^n \bar{u}^n)^2}{(1 - \xi \bar{u})^2} dm(u).
$$

Performing the variable change  $v = \bar{u}$  and replacing  $\xi$  with  $\bar{\xi}$  we obtain

$$
R'(\xi) = -\int_{\mathbb{T}} R(v) \, \frac{v\xi^2 (1 - \bar{\xi}^n v^n)^2}{(1 - \bar{\xi}v)^2} \mathrm{d}m(v).
$$

Finally

(4.9) 
$$
R'(\xi) = \left\langle R(z), -\xi^2 \overline{z} \overline{\left(D_n(\overline{\xi}z)\right)^2} \right\rangle = \left\langle T(z), -\xi^2 \overline{z} \overline{\left(D_n(\overline{\xi}z)\right)^2} \right\rangle,
$$

because  $P \perp \overline{z}(D_n(\overline{\xi}z))^2$ . It remains to combine (4.8) and (4.9) to complete the proof.

 $\hfill \square$ 

As we will now see, subharmonicity and complex methods allow us to go beyond convexity and to consider  $L^p$ -quasi-norms for  $0 < p < 1$ , even for  $p = 0$  (the Mahler norm). Indeed, we begin with the Mahler norm.

### 5 Case  $p = 0$ , Mahler's result

This section and the next one owe much to conversations with F. Nazarov ([19]). Before Nazarov, the possible use of subharmonicity was alluded to by the referee of Mahler's paper. But to our knowledge, none of the approaches that follow theorem 5.1 was detailed anywhere in the literature. We will first show, following Mahler ([16]), that

Theorem 5.1 (Mahler.) It holds

(5.1) 
$$
||P'||_0 \le n||P||_0
$$

for every algebraic polynomial  $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k$  of degree n.

Proof : the proof, simpler than Mahler's initial one, consists of two steps.

1. The result holds true if all roots of P lie in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . Indeed, the same holds for  $P'$  (by Gauss-Lucas) and in that case both members of the inequality  $(5.1)$ are equal to  $n|a_n|$ , by Jensen's formula.

2. The result holds true in the general case. To see that, write

$$
P(z) = a_n \prod_{|z_j| < 1} (z - z_j) \prod_{|z_j| \ge 1} (z - z_j)
$$
\n
$$
Q(z) = a_n \prod_{|z_j| < 1} (z - z_j) \prod_{|z_j| \ge 1} (1 - \overline{z_j} z).
$$

All roots of Q lie in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , and  $|P(z)| = |Q(z)|$  for  $|z| = 1$ , so  $|P'(z)| \le |Q'(z)|$ for  $|z| = 1$  by Lemma 3.3. The first step now implies

$$
||P'||_0 \le ||Q'||_0 \le n||Q||_0 = n||P||_0.
$$

It is convenient to "stock" the result under the form:

(5.2) 
$$
\int \log |P'(z)/n| dm(z) \leq \int \log |P(z)| dm(z).
$$

 $\Box$ 

We will now show that, more generally (it seems that Mahler only treated the algebraic case):

#### Theorem 5.2 One has

(5.3) 
$$
||T'||_0 \le n||T||_0
$$

for every trigonometric polynomial  $T(z) = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} a_k z^k$  of degree n.

**Proof :** we first observe the following: if we write  $T(x) = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} a_k e^{ikx}$ , then  $T'(x) = izT'(z)$  when  $z = e^{ix}$  and  $|T'(x)| = |T'(z)|$ . We can thus work indifferently with the variable  $x$  or the variable  $z$  to prove our inequality. Denote  $Q(z) = z^n T(z)$ , an algebraic polynomial of degree  $2n$ . Write

$$
Q(z) = c \prod_{j=1}^{2n} (z - z_j)
$$

where  $z_1, \ldots, z_p$  denote the roots of modulus  $\leq 1$ , and  $z_{p+1}, \ldots, z_{2n}$  those of modulus  $> 1$  if some exist. One has:

$$
z\frac{T'(z)}{T(z)} = z\frac{Q'(z)}{Q(z)} - n = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{z}{z - z_j} - n
$$

so that

(5.4)

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |T'(z)/T(z)| dm(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \Big| \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{z}{z - z_j} - n \Big| dm(z) =: M(z_1, \dots, z_p)
$$

where  $M$  is the function of  $p$  complex variables defined by

$$
M(Z_1, ..., Z_p) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \Big| \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{z}{z - Z_j} + \sum_{j=p+1}^{2n} \frac{z}{z - z_j} - n \Big| dm(z).
$$

To emphasize the key role of subharmonicity, we first outline the following

Lemma 5.3 One considers the two functions

$$
M(w) = \log \left| \frac{1}{w - u} + v \right|, \quad N(w) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \left| \frac{1}{w - z} + h(z) \right| dm(z)
$$

where  $u \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $v \in \mathbb{C}$ , and where h is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then  $M =: M_{u,v}$  is sub-harmonic on  $D$  and N sub-harmonic on  $D$  and continuous on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ .

**Proof :** for  $M = M_{u,v}$ , it is enough to remark that it is the logarithm of  $|f|$ where  $f(w) = \frac{1}{w-u} + v$  is a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{D}$  since  $|u| = 1$ . Next,

$$
N=\int_{\mathbb{T}}M_{z,h(z)}dm(z)
$$

(vector-valued integral) and a sum (or a barycenter) of subharmonic functions is again subharmonic. The continuity of N on  $\overline{D}$  results from classical integration theorems (uniform integrability).

The proof of Theorem 5.3 is then split into two steps:

1. One can assume  $|z_j| = 1$  for  $1 \le j \le p$ . Indeed, M has the form:

$$
M(Z_1,\ldots,Z_p) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \Big| \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{z}{z - Z_j} + \varphi(z) \Big| dm(z)
$$

where  $\varphi$  is a fixed continuous function on the circle  $\mathbb{T}$ , hence by Lemma 5.3, M is separately sub-harmonic in  $\mathbb{D}^p$  and separately continuous in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^p$ . Repeatedly applying to it the maximum principle in one variable, one sees that there exist  $(u_1, \ldots, u_p) \in \partial \mathbb{D}^p$ , the distinguished boundary of  $\mathbb{D}^p$ , such that:

$$
M(z_1,\ldots,z_p)\leq M(u_1,\ldots,u_p).
$$

It is thus enough to prove that  $M(u_1, \ldots, u_p) \leq \log n$ , with  $u_1, \ldots, u_p$  in place of the roots  $z_1, \ldots, z_p$  of Q. In the sequel, we shall henceforth assume, without loss of generality, that those roots satisfy

$$
1 \le j \le p \Rightarrow |z_j| = 1 \text{ and } p + 1 \le j \le 2n \Rightarrow |z_j| > 1.
$$

In particular, all roots  $z_j$  of Q have modulus  $\geq 1$ .

2. One has the implication (an essential remark)

$$
|z| < 1 \Rightarrow \Re\mathbf{e} \frac{z}{z - z_j} < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for all } 1 \le j \le 2n.
$$

Indeed, an easy computation gives

$$
\Re e\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{z}{z - z_j}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{|z_j|^2 - |z|^2}{|z - z_j|^2}.
$$

It follows that, setting  $f(z) = z \frac{Q'(z)}{Q(z)} - n = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{z}{z-1}$  $\frac{z}{z-z_j}$  – n, one has for  $|z| < 1$ :

$$
\Re \, \text{et}(z) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \Re \, \text{et} \, \frac{z}{z - z_j} - n < \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{2} - n = 0.
$$

The holomorphic function f hence has no zeros in  $\mathbb D$  and we are in the cases of equality in Jensen's formula :

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |f_r| dm = \log |f(0)| = \log n
$$

where  $r < 1$  and  $f_r(z) = f(rz)$ . The rational fraction f being "well-behaved", we let  $r$  tend to 1 to get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |f| dm = \log n
$$

which implies via (5.4)

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |T'(z)/T(z)| dm(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |f| dm = \log n
$$

or again  $||T'||_0 = n ||T||_0$ , and we are even in the cases of equality in Bernstein-Arestov's inequality when all roots have modulus  $\geq 1$ .

## 6 Case  $0 < p < 1$ , Arestov's result

We will prove (the case  $p \geq 1$  being already treated in the section "Convexity", but being recovered here as well) the following theorem, due to Arestov ([3]):

**Theorem 6.1 (Arestov.)** Let  $p > 0$ . It holds, for any trigonometric polynomial T of degree n:

$$
||T'||_p \le n||T||_p.
$$

**Proof :** instead of starting from Bernstein's inequality for  $L^p$ ,  $p = \infty$ , and of generalizing, one starts from Bernstein's inequality for  $L^0$  (initially due to Mahler; cf. [15] and also the remark of the referee of Mahler's paper on the maximum principle for subharmonic functions of several variables) and one goes up. This is done in two steps, each of which uses an integral representation, in the style of Section 4. 1. It holds

(6.1) 
$$
\log^+|v| = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log|v + uw| \, dm(w) \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{C}.
$$

Indeed, one can assume  $u = 1$ , given the translation-invariance of m; then, one separates the cases  $|v| \geq 1$ ,  $|v| < 1$ , and one is always back to

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log|1 + aw| \, dm(w) = 0 \text{ if } |a| < 1
$$

which is nothing but the harmonicity of  $w \mapsto \log |1 + aw|$  in D. We first note that  $(6.1)$  implies:

(6.2) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log^+ |T'(z)/n| dm(z) \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log^+ |T(z)| dm(z).
$$

Indeed, for fixed  $w \in \mathbb{T}$ , one applies (5.2) to the polynomial  $T + wE_n$  with  $E_n(z) = z^n$ . One gets, since  $E'_n = nE_{n-1}$ :

$$
\int \log |T'(z)/n + wE_{n-1}(z)| dm(z) \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |T(z) + wE_n(z)| dm(z).
$$

One next integrates both members with respect to  $w$ , uses Fubini's theorem and applies the identity (6.1) for fixed z with  $u = E_{n-1}(z)$ ,  $v = T'(z)/n$ , or with  $u = E_n(z)$ ,  $v = T(z)$ , to obtain (6.2).

**2.** It holds for  $p > 0$  and  $u \geq 0$ :

(6.3) 
$$
u^{p} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \log^{+}(u/a) p^{2} a^{p-1} da.
$$

Indeed, write the right-hand side as  $I = \int_0^u \log(u/a) p^2 a^{p-1} da$ , and integrate by parts, differentiating the log, to get  $I = \int_0^u p a^{p-1} da = u^p$ . Write  $d\mu(a) = p^2 a^{p-1} da$  to save notation ( $\mu$  depends on p). Identity (6.3) and Fubini used twice give, using also (6.2):

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} |T'(z)/n|^p dm(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Big[ \int_0^{\infty} \log^+(|T'(z)|/na) d\mu(a) \Big] dm(z)
$$

$$
= \int_0^{\infty} \Big[ \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log^+(|T'(z)|/na) dm(z) \Big] d\mu(a)
$$

$$
\leq \int_0^{\infty} \Big[ \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log^+(|T(z)|/a) dm(z) \Big] d\mu(a)
$$

$$
= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Big[ \int_0^{\infty} \log^+(|T(z)|/a) d\mu(a) \Big] dm(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |T(z)|^p dm(z).
$$

This ends the proof of Arestov's theorem.  $\hfill \Box$ 

### 7 Final Remarks

1. Passing to the limit, when  $n \to \infty$ , in the Riesz relation (1.9)

$$
\frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{r=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{\sin^2 \frac{(2r-1)\pi}{4n}} = 1
$$

easily gives the Euler formulas

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (2r - 1)^{-2} = \pi^2/8 \text{ and } \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-2} = \pi^2/6.
$$

2. The Bernstein-Arestov inequalities for the trigonometric polynomials  $T_n(x) = \sum_{k=-n}^n a_k e^{ikx}$ , namely

$$
||T'_n||_p \le n||T_n||_p
$$

thus hold for all  $p \geq 0$  ([3]). A striking aspect of those inequalities is that the full question was still open in 1980, even for algebraic polynomials, in spite of partial nice contributions due to Maté and Nevai ([18]), which appeared in Annals of Math.! The authors prove that, for  $0 < p < 1$  and P an algebraic polynomial of degree  $n$ , it holds

$$
||P'||_p \le n(4e)^{1/p} ||P||_p.
$$

**3.** The result of ([3]) is more precise: if  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is increasing, differentiable with  $x \chi'(x)$  increasing as well, for example if  $\chi(x) = x^p$  with  $p > 0$ or  $\chi(x) = \log x$ , one has

(7.1) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \chi(|T'_n(z)|) dm(z) \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \chi(|n T_n(z)|) dm(z).
$$

4. In the case  $p = \infty$ , the quite interesting proof of M. Riesz ([29]) could inspire for a proof of the existence of the function  $\varphi$  in Lemma 2.2. This Riesz formula gives a more precise result than Bernstein's one, as we saw:

(7.2) 
$$
|T'(0)| \le n \sup_{x \in E_n} |T(x)|.
$$

where  $E_n$  (a coset) designates the fixed subset of cardinality  $2n$  formed by the numbers  $\frac{(2r-1)\pi}{2n}$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq 2n$ . More precisely, identifying  $\frac{k\pi}{2n}$  and  $e^{i\frac{k\pi}{2n}}$ , let  $G_{4n}$  be the group of 4*n*-th roots of unity and  $H_n = G_{4n}^2$  be the subgroup of order 2n formed by squares. One has  $E_n = \omega H_n$ .

5. In ([28]), one can find various improvements of Bernstein's inequality for the so-called ultraflat polynomials  $P$  of Kahane (those of degree  $n$  with unimodular coefficients and with modulus nearly  $\sqrt{n}$  on the unit circle), under the form

$$
||P'||_p \le \gamma_p n \big( 1 + O(n^{-1/7}) \big) ||P||_p
$$

where  $\gamma_p < 1$  is a constant given in explicit terms.

#### 8 Acknowledgments

The first named author warmly thanks F. Nazarov for very useful exchanges about Bernstein's inequality. The second-named author acknowledges the Russian Science Foundation grant 14-41-00010. We finally thank the referee for his careful reading of our manuscript and his many insightful comments and suggestions.

#### References

- [1] A. Baranov, R. Zarouf, Boundedness of the differentiation operator in the model spaces and application to Peller type inequalities, J. Analyse. Math., to appear.
- [2] A. Baranov, R. Zarouf, A model spaces approach to some classical inequalities for rational functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 418 (2014), 1, 121–141.
- [3] V.V. Arestov, On integral inequalities for trigonometric polynomials and their derivatives, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 45 (1981) 3–22 (in Russian), English transl. in Math. USSR Izv. 18 (1982) 1–17.
- [4] S. N. Bernstein, Sur l'ordre de la meilleure approximation des fonctions continues par les polynômes de degré donné, Mémoires publiés par la Classe des Sciences de l'Académie de Belgique, 4, 1912.
- [5] S. N. Bernstein, On the best approximation of continuous functions by polynomials of given degree, (O nailuchshem problizhenii nepreryvnykh funktsii posredstrvom mnogochlenov dannoi stepeni), Sobraniye sochinenii, Vol. I, 11–104, 1912, Izd. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vol. I, 1952.
- [6] S. N. Bernstein, Sur la limitation des dérivées des polynômes, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 190 (1930), 338–340.
- [7] J. Bergh, J. Löfstrom, Interpolation Spaces: An Introduction, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 223, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York (1976).
- [8] F.F. Bonsall, D. Walsh, Symbols for trace class Hankel operators with good estimates for norms, Glasgow Math. J. 28 (1986), 4–54.
- [9] P. Borwein, T. Erdélyi, Polynomials and polynomial inequalities, Springer 1995.
- [10] J.P. Kahane, Séries de Fourier absolument convergentes, Springer 1970.
- [11] J.P. Kahane, Some random series of functions, second edition, Cambridge 1985.
- [12] J.P. Kahane, Sur les polynômes à coefficients unimodulaires, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 12 (1980), 321-342.
- [13] Y. Katznelson, An introduction to harmonic Analysis, third edition, Cambridge 2004.
- [14] G. G. Lorentz, Approximation of functions, Second edition. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1986.
- [15] K. Mahler, On the zeros of the derivative of a polynomial, Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Ser.A (264) (1961), 145–154.
- [16] K. Mahler, On the zeros of the derivative of a polynomial, Proc. Roy.Soc.Ser.A 264 (1961), 145-154.
- [17] I. Malik, On the derivative of a polynomial, J. London Math. Soc. 2 (1969), 57–60.
- [18] A. Maté, P. Nevai, *Bernstein's inequality in*  $L^p$  for  $0 < p < 1$  and  $(C, 1)$  bounds for orthogonal polynomials, Ann. of Math. 2 (111) (1980), 145–154.
- [19] F. Nazarov, Private communication.
- [20] N. Nikolski, Condition numbers of large matrices and analytic capacities, Algebra i Analiz 17 (2005), no. 4, 125–180; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 17 (2006), no. 4, 641?682
- [21] N. Nikolski, Operators, Function, and Systems: an Easy Reading, Vol.1, Amer. Math. Soc. Monographs and Surveys, 2002.
- [22] V.V. Peller, Hankel operators of class  $S_p$  and their applications (rational approximation, Gaussian processes, the problem of majorization of operators), Mat. Sb. 113(155) (1980), 4, 538–581; English transl. in: Math. USSR-Sb. 41 (1982), 443–479.
- [23] V. V. Peller, Estimates of functions of power bounded operators on Hilbert spaces, J. Operator Theory, 7 (1982), 341–372.
- [24] V. Prasolov, Polynomials, Springer, 2004.
- [25] J. Peetre, New thoughts on Besov spaces, Duke Univ. Math. Ser., No. 1, Math. Dept., Duke Univ., Durham, NC, 1976.
- [26] G. Pisier, The volume of convex bodies and Banach space geometry, Cambridge University Press 94, 1989.
- [27] H. Queffélec, M. Queffélec, Diophantine Approximation and Dirichlet Series, HRI Lecture Notes Series 2 (2013).
- [28] H. Queffélec, B. Saffari, On Bernstein's inequality and Kahane's ultraflat polynomials, Journ. Fourier Anal. Appl. Volume 2 (6) (1996), 519-582.
- [29] M. Riesz, Formule d'interpolation pour la dérivée d'un polynôme trigonométrique, C. R. Acad. Sciences Paris Sér. I Math 303 (1916), 97-100
- [30] R. Salem, A. Zygmund, Some properties of trigonometric series whose terms have random sign, Acta. Math. 91 (1954), 245–301.
- [31] P. Vitse, Functional calculus under Kreiss type conditions, Math. Nachr. 278 (2005), 1811–1822.
- [32] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series, Cambridge University Press, second edition, 1993.