

#### Combining numerical and experimental modelling to constrain the surge formation mechanism

Valentin Gueugneau, Karim Kelfoun

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Valentin Gueugneau, Karim Kelfoun. Combining numerical and experimental modelling to constrain the surge formation mechanism. Cities On Volcanoes 10, Sep 2018, Naples, Italy. hal-02077432

#### HAL Id: hal-02077432 https://hal.science/hal-02077432

Submitted on 22 Mar 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.









# **Combining numerical and experimental modelling to** constrain the surge formation mechanism V. GUEUGNEAU, K. KELFOUN



Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, Université Clermont Auvergne, OPGC, 63000 Clermont - Ferrand (FR)



The commonly accepted model for pyroclastic density currents (PDC) predicted a flow in two parts: the basal flow, rich in particles and blocks, overridden by the ash-cloud surge, a turbulent suspension of ashes. The mecanisms of formation for each part are not fully understood. For small volume PDC, the ash-cloud surge seems to be generated from the basal flow. A better constrain of these mecanisms could greatly improving the mitigation of the hazard they pose.

In this study, we investigate the formation and evolution of the pyroclastic surge by coupling a numerical and an experimental

-> The empirical mass flux proposed in the numerical model is tested in the experimental model to built a conceptual model for surge genesis in the natural system

## 1. Numerical modeling

• Our model **simultaneously simulates** the two parts of the PDCs: the pyroclastic flow, and the overriding ash-cloud surge. Both parts are based on the resolution of mass and momentum balance equations (Kelfoun, 2017; Kelfoun et al., 2017):

### For the pyroclastic flow

### For the ash-cloud surge

## $\frac{\partial h_{s}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(h_{s}v_{x}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(h_{s}v_{y}) = \frac{\phi_{m}}{\rho_{m}}$

### • We have developed an experimental model that reproduces a dilute current in air from a dense granular bed. The experimental apparatus is 2D horizontal channel in which a container filled of granular particles is vibrated vertically. Experiments are then recorded by a regular, a thermal, and an high speed camera.





- The two flows are coupled through two empirical mass flux laws, obtained from wind tunnel experiments and by comparing numerical model with real deposits:
  - From the flow to the surge :  $\phi_{\rm m} = c \ u^3$ empirical theorical From the surge to the flow :  $\phi_s = (\rho_s - \rho_a) . w_{sed}$

• We then investigate the conditions that favor the generation of surge-derived pyroclastic flows during dome-collapse events, by simulating the eruption of the 25 June 1997 at Soufrière Hills, Montserrat :







• The experiment exhibits a mechanism of dilute flow formation by forced incorporation of air into the dense part by vibrations. The air is aspirated into the granular bed during dilatations, and expulsed during the contraction phases. A part of the particles are then sustained by the turbulent expulsed air and forms a mixture of gas and particle that transforms into a dilute current :



Light attenuation technique : the volumetric particule concentration in experiments is





sensitive to the topography.

of vibration

The **best result** is obtained with the plastic rheology

- SDPFs form by a cycle of accumulation-remobilisation of surge deposits on a steep slope, channelised into one valley. Highly dependent on the topography.
- The plastic rheology for the pyroclastic flow seems to be the most accurate.

Gueugneau V., Kelfoun K., Druitt T. (2018) Investigation of surge-derived pyroclastic flow formation by numerical modelling of the 25 June 1997 dome collapse at Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat, Bulletin of Volcanology, Under review.



By mesuring the properties of the experimental currents we are able to established the mass flux generated by the vibration mechanism, and correlate it with the properties of the dense flow :

theorical

$$\phi_m = c_2 (u - u_0)^{1.5}$$

where *u* is the dense flow velocity and  $u_0$  is a velocity threshold

- **3. Conceptual model**
- The mass flux obtained with the experimental model is similar to the **Conceptual model of ash-cloud** empirical law of the numerical model and could explained it. In both surge formation, coming from case, the mass flux is a function of the dense flow velocity and very sh-cloud surge experimental and numerical **Dense flow** The vibration concept is extrapolated to the natural system by correlatmodels air + particule ing the vibration of the container to the roughness of the topography: succession of topographic obstacles is comparable to the succession dilatatio obstacle

During dilatation phases, air is ingested into the dense flow and subsequently fluidized it partially. During compaction phases, the gas is released from the dense flow and carries fine particules with it to form a turbulent dilute upper level. Repetition of obstacles and large topographic variations constitute the ash-cloud surge.

**Dense flow** formed by a lava dome collapse Ash-cloud surge

Topographic effects



### Conlusion

The proposed physical model of formation of a dilute pyroclastic surge from a pyroclastic flow has been tested numerically et experimentally. Results show that this physical model seems accurate to reproduce block-and-ash flows and their overriding ash-cloud surge.

- Our numerical model using empircal law is realistic and can reproduce typical flows like SDPFs
- Results of the experimental model exhibit a mechanism that can explain this physical model

Extrapolation of this mechanism to the pyroclastic density currents gives an explanation of the ash-cloud surge formation that could significantly improve the accuracy of the model

## Contact

Valentin GUEUGNEAU v.gueugneau@opgc.univ-bpclermont.fr http://lmv.univ-bpclermont.fr/gueugneau-valentin/ VolcFlow website : http://lmv.univ-bpclermont.fr/volcflow/

Kelfoun, K. (2017), A two-layer depth-averaged model for both the dilute and the concentrated parts of pyroclastic currents, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 122, doi:10.1002/2017JB014013. Kelfoun, K., V. Gueugneau, J.-C. Komorowski, N. Aisyah, N. Cholik, and C. Merciecca (2017), Simulation of block-and-ash flows and ash-cloud surges of the 2010 eruption of Merapi volcano with a two-layer model, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 122, doi:10.1002/2017JB013981.