

## Evidence of new particle formation within Etna and Stromboli volcanic plumes and its parameterization from airborne in-situ measurements

Maher Sahyoun, Evelyn Freney, Joël Brito, Jonathan Duplissy, Mathieu Gouhier, Aurélie Colomb, Régis Dupuy, Thierry Bourrianne, John Nowak,

Chao Yan, et al.

### ▶ To cite this version:

Maher Sahyoun, Evelyn Freney, Joël Brito, Jonathan Duplissy, Mathieu Gouhier, et al.. Evidence of new particle formation within Etna and Stromboli volcanic plumes and its parameterization from airborne in-situ measurements. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 2019, 10.1029/2018JD028882. hal-02076923

### HAL Id: hal-02076923 https://hal.science/hal-02076923v2

Submitted on  $27~\mathrm{Mar}~2019$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Evidence of new particle formation within Etna and Stromboli volcanic plumes and its parameterization from airborne in-situ measurements

- 3
- Maher Sahyoun<sup>1</sup>, Evelyn Freney<sup>1</sup>, Joel Brito<sup>1,\*</sup>, Jonathan Duplissy<sup>2</sup>, Mathieu Gouhier<sup>3</sup>,
  Aurélie Colomb<sup>1</sup>, Regis Dupuy<sup>1</sup>, Thierry Bourianne<sup>4</sup>, John B. Nowak<sup>5</sup>, Chao Yan<sup>2</sup>, Tuukka
  Petäjä<sup>2</sup>, Markku Kulmala<sup>2</sup>, Alfons Schwarzenboeck<sup>1</sup>, Céline Planche<sup>1</sup>, and Karine Sellegri<sup>1</sup>
- o Tetaja, Markku Kumaia, Anons Schwarzenboeck, Cenne Flanche, and Karme Seneg
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>1</sup> Laboratoire de Météorologie Physique INSU-CNRS UMR 6016, Université Clermont
- 8 Auvergne, 63000 Clermont -Ferrand, France
- 9 <sup>2</sup> Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research/Physics, Faculty of Science, University of
- 10 Helsinki, P.O. Box 64, 00014 Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>3</sup> Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans CNRS, IRD, Observatoire de Physique du Globe de
- 12 Clermont-Ferrand, Université Clermont Auvergne, 63000 Clermont -Ferrand, France
- <sup>4</sup> Center National de Recherches Météorologiques, Météo-France, Toulouse, URA1357, France
- <sup>5</sup> Chemistry and Dynamics Branch, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23681, USA
- <sup>\*</sup> Now at IMT Lille Douai, Université Lille, SAGE, 59000 Lille, France
- 16
- Corresponding author: Karine Sellegri (<u>k.sellegri@opgc.univ-bpclermont.fr</u>) and Maher Sahyoun
   (<u>maher.sahyoun@uca.fr</u>).

### 19 Key Points:

- New particle formation was evidenced to occur within different volcanic plumes of Etna and Stromboli
- The new particle formation is more pronounced in the free troposphere than in marine boundary layer
- The growth of the newly formed particles to the CCN active size was observed to occur within the volcanic plumes in different rates
- A novel parameterization rate of new particle formation within the Etna's volcanic
   passive plume was proposed based on the actual measurements

### 28 Abstract

Volcanic emissions can significantly affect the Earth's radiation budget by emitting 29 30 aerosol particles and gas-phase species that can result in the new particle formation (NPF). These particles can scatter solar radiation or modify cloud properties, with consequences on health, 31 weather, and climate. To our knowledge, this is the first dedicated study detailing how gas-phase 32 33 precursors emitted from volcanic plumes can influence the NPF. A series of airborne measurements were performed around the Etna and Stromboli volcanoes within the framework 34 of the CLerVolc and STRAP projects. The ATR-42 aircraft was equipped with a range of 35 instrumentation allowing the measurement of particle number concentration in diameter range 36 37 above 2.5 nm, and gaseous species to investigate the aerosol dynamics and the processes governing the NPF and their growth within the volcanic plumes. We demonstrate that NPF 38 occurs within the volcanic plumes in the Free Troposphere (FT) and Boundary Layer (BL). 39 Typically, the NPF events were more pronounced in the FT, where the condensational sink was 40 up to two orders of magnitude smaller and the temperature was ~20°C lower than in the BL. 41 Within the passive volcanic plume, the concentration of sulfur dioxide, sulfuric acid, and  $N_{25}$ 42 were as high as 92 ppbV,  $5.65 \times 10^8$  and  $2.4 \times 10^5$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. Using these measurements, 43 we propose a new parameterization for NPF rate  $(J_{2,5})$  within the passive volcanic plume in the 44 FT. These results can be incorporated into mesoscale models to better assess the impact of the 45 46 particle formed by natural processes, i.e. volcanic plumes, on climate.

### 47 **1 Introduction**

48 Volcanic emissions are found to be one of the most abundant natural sources of particles and gases in the atmosphere (Bobrowski et al., 2007; Boulon et al., 2011; Haywood & Boucher, 49 2000; Oppenheimer et al., 2011; Oppenheimer et al., 2003; Robock, 2000; Tomasi & Lupi, 50 2016). Volcanos emit a wide range of different gases (SO<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, H<sub>2</sub>S, HF, HBr, ...) and 51 52 particle types (ash and aerosol particles formed from condensable vapors, metals) (Aiuppa et al., 2006; Bobrowski et al., 2007; Mather, 2015; Roberts et al., 2018; Simpson et al., 1999) into the 53 54 atmosphere. Volcanic aerosols can scatter the solar radiation back to space contributing to a global cooling effect (direct effect) (Albrecht, 1989; Haywood & Boucher, 2000; Robock, 2000), 55 or modify the climatic impacts of clouds (indirect effect) (Mather, 2015 and references within) 56 by acting as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) (Gassó, 2008; Hobbs et al., 1982; Ilyinskaya et al., 57 2017; Mather et al., 2003; Mather, 2015) or ice nuclei (IN) (Hoyle et al., 2011). Moreover, 58 volcanic emissions can have significant detrimental effects on human health, the impact of which 59 depends on aerosol physical and chemical properties (Ilyinskaya et al., 2017; Schmidt et al., 60 61 2011; Schmidt et al., 2015).

The two main types of volcanic aerosols present in the atmosphere are either primarily 62 emitted or secondarily formed (Mather, 2015; Mather et al., 2003; Petäjä et al., 2012; Roberts et 63 al., 2018; Robock, 2000). The primary volcanic aerosols are mainly volcanic ash and can have 64 diameters ranging from very fine ash (sub-micron) to 2 mm according to classic sedimentology. 65 The very fine ash, which survives proximal sedimentation, usually ranges from sub-micron to a 66 few microns and they result from the fragmentation of the erupting magma into juvenile solid 67 particles injected into a rising column and dispersed in the atmosphere (Allard et al., 2000; Rose 68 & Durant, 2009). The secondary volcanic aerosol particles are produced from the gas-to-particle 69 conversion (secondary formation) process, specifically from the oxidation of  $SO_2$  (Mather et al., 70 2004; Mather, 2015; Naughton et al., 1975; Schmidt et al., 2011), and this process is not yet well 71

characterized within the volcanic plumes. This process is called new particle formation (NPF), 72 where clusters are formed from the gaseous phase as a first step and, later on, grow to larger 73 sizes (> 100 nm) at which they can act as CCN (Hobbs et al., 1982; Mather et al., 2003) or IN 74 75 (Hoyle et al., 2011) and impact the climate (Kerminen et al., 2012; Kulmala et al., 2001, 2004, 2014; Kulmala & Kerminen, 2008; Kulmala & Laaksonen, 1990; Makkonen et al., 2012). During 76 active eruptions, both primary and secondary particles are present in different atmospheric 77 vertical layers (Ilvinskava et al., 2017; Mather & Pyle, 2015; Tulet et al., 2017). On the other 78 79 hand, during passive emissions primary aerosols, with low concentrations, are often limited to the remobilization of accidental lithic (derived from the conduit and crater walls), while 80 emissions of gaseous species may remain significant, likely to contribute to the formation of new 81 particles. It is estimated that ~9 Tg/year of  $SO_2$  is emitted from degassing passive volcanoes 82 worldwide (Allard et al., 1991; Mather et al., 2003; Mather & Pyle, 2015; Pyle & Mather, 2003), 83 being roughly the same order of magnitude of continuously and sporadically eruptive volcanoes 84 (Andres & Kasgnoc, 1998; Carn et al., 2016). Currently, volcanoes contribute to ~10% of the 85 global budget of sulfur emission sources that are dominated by anthropogenic emissions (Allard 86 et al., 1991; Smith et al., 2011). Past studies estimated that aerosol particles with diameters 87 smaller than 0.1 µm contributed a total of 6 to 18% to the total aerosol volume in the passive 88 plume from Etna in Italy (Watson & Oppenheimer, 2000). Whereas in Stromboli (Italy), the 89 contribution of particles in the nucleation and accumulation modes was estimated to be 66% of 90 the total aerosol volume (Allard et al., 2000). Recent studies estimated that global NPF 91 contributes up to 54% of CCN with a large uncertainty range of 38-66% in the present-day 92 atmosphere (Gordon et al., 2017), which is higher than what has been estimated in past studies 93 94 (Merikanto et al., 2009). In the preindustrial atmosphere simulations, NPF is shown to contribute up to 68% with an even larger range of uncertainty at 45-84% (Gordon et al., 2017). However, a 95 large fraction of the uncertainty on the impact of aerosols on climate stems from the incomplete 96 knowledge of the pre-industrial gas and aerosol concentrations and compositions (Carslaw et al., 97 2013; Gordon et al., 2016, 2017), therefore, further understanding of such natural processes is 98 crucial. 99

Sulfuric acid (SA), formed from the oxidation of SO<sub>2</sub> through different channels, is 100 known to be a key species in NPF processes (Kroll et al., 2015; Mauldin et al., 2003; Petäjä et 101 al., 2011; Sipilä et al., 2010; Weber et al., 1996; Weber et al., 2003). Under certain conditions 102 and during mildly eruptive or non-eruptive activity, SA has been observed to be already 103 primarily emitted or secondarily formed in large quantities at some volcanoes (Ilyinskaya et al., 104 2012; Naughton et al., 1975; Zelenski et al., 2015). Furthermore, the formation of SA and the 105 variation in its concentration in the atmosphere depend strongly on the abundance of SO<sub>2</sub> and the 106 oxidative capacity in the atmosphere (Ilyinskaya et al., 2017; Kroll et al., 2015; Mather, 2015; 107 Schmidt et al., 2012). 108

While a large number of studies have investigated volcanic emissions through in-situ 109 ground-based and satellite/radar measurements (Carn et al., 2013; Galle et al., 2010; Kantzas & 110 McGonigle, 2008; Mather, 2015; McCormick et al., 2016; McGonigle & Oppenheimer, 2003; 111 McGonigle et al., 2017), airborne in-situ measurements of volcanic emissions remain very scarce 112 (Mauldin et al., 2003; Oppenheimer et al., 2010; Petäjä et al., 2012; Radke, 1982; Rose et al., 113 2006; Tulet et al., 2017; Vignelles et al., 2016; Weber et al., 2012). The limited number of 114 volcanic plume airborne observations investigating NPF arises from challenges associated with 115 restricted timescales and the impact of temporal and spatial plume's heterogeneities under 116

typically harsh environments, besides the costly deployment of highly sophisticated 117 instrumentation aboard an aircraft in such harsh conditions (Delmelle, 2003; Mauldin et al., 118 2003; Oppenheimer et al., 2003). In that context, the aim of this study is to investigate the 119 120 aerosol dynamics and the processes governing aerosol formation and growth in different types of volcanic plumes. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive dedicated study 121 investigating how gas-phase precursors influence NPF events within different volcanic plumes 122 over Etna and Stromboli using airborne measurements' platforms. Such investigation allows us 123 to characterize the plume spatial extent, its properties, and its intensity and to derive a new 124 parameterization of the rate of NPF. These will permit to further improving the estimation of 125 NPF from natural sources, i.e. volcanic degassing plumes, in models to evaluate more accurately 126 the impact of those particles on climate. 127

### 128 **2 Methodology and measurements conditions**

### 129 2.1 The volcanoes

The airborne measurements were conducted around the Etna and Stromboli volcanoes 130 (Italy). Etna is located on the East coast of Sicily in the Mediterranean Sea (37.75° N, 14.99° E). 131 The vent is located at 3330 m above sea level (a.s.l), typically in the free troposphere (FT). 132 Mount Etna exhibits basaltic eruptions ranging from weakly explosive low-volume activity, such 133 as Stromboli, to more powerful explosive activity leading to fire fountains, which feed columns 134 of scoria, bombs, and ash as jets to heights of tens to hundreds of meters (Calvari et al., 2011). 135 Occasionally, Mount Etna exhibits even more powerful eruptions and produces sub-plinian 136 plumes injecting large amounts of ash and gas, although limited to the troposphere. Inter-137 eruptive periods are usually characterized by significant emissions of gas, making Etna volcano 138 one of the most important SO<sub>2</sub> emitter (Calvari et al., 2011). During the eruptive activity, the 139 average flux of SO<sub>2</sub> emitted at Etna is typically in the range 10-25 kt/day (Caltabiano et al., 140 1994), and decreases to 0.6-2 kt/day (Aiuppa et al., 2008; Roberts et al., 2018) during passive 141 emissions. Stromboli is one of the Aeolian Islands in the Mediterranean Sea located in the north 142 coast of Sicily (38.79°N, 15.21°E) and the vent is at 924 m a.s.l., estimated to be in the boundary 143 layer (BL) during our measurements in summer daytime (Seidel et al., 2012). Stromboli volcano 144 is known to exhibit short-lived low-explosive activity with explosions occurring at a time 145 interval of a few tens of minutes on average (Blackburn et al., 1976). The average flux of SO<sub>2</sub> 146 emitted during a standard level of activity lies in the range 0.15-0.6 kt/day (Burton et al., 2008). 147

During the time of our campaign, Etna was not erupting and only products of passive 148 emissions could be recorded. On the contrary, at Stromboli volcano, the Northeast craters 149 exhibited the typical Strombolian activity with small gas bursts accompanied by the ejection of 150 ballistics every 5-10 min, while the Southwest crater produced less frequent ash-rich explosions. 151 The threshold of the SO<sub>2</sub> flux rate is up to ~5000 t/day for Etna volcano and ~200-300 t/day for 152 Stromboli volcano as reported by the National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology in Italy 153 for the week between 13 and 20 June 2016, Report. N° 25/2016 on the 21st of June 2016 154 (National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology, 2016 a; b). 155

156 2.2 Research flights

In 2016, as part of the CLerVolc and STRAP projects (Centre Clermontois de Recherche sur le Volcanisme and Trans-disciplinary collaboration to investigate volcano plumes risks), a series of airborne-based (French research aircraft, ATR-42) measurements were performed around Etna and Stromboli volcanoes on the 15<sup>th</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> of June 2016. The ATR-42, operated by the French SAFIRE Facility (Service des Avions Français Instrumentés pour la Recherche en Environnement), intercepted the volcanic plume close to the vent (~2.5 to 5 km) and tracked its evolution for up to 120 km. During this campaign, four flights were conducted: two around Etna (called herein ETNA13 and ETNA14) and two around the Stromboli (called herein STRO14 and

165 STRO15) (Table 1).

Table 1: Summary of ATR-42 flight during STRAP campaign over Etna and Stromboli volcanoes. The date, taking-off and landing time (UTC, UTC=local time -2h) and the maximum and minimum of the longitude, latitude, altitude and the corresponding pressure reached during the flights

Date	Flight name	Take-off – landing	Latitude range	Longitude range	Altitude range (m)
	and number	time (UTC)			Pressure (hPa)
					FT or BL
		10:43:04 -			1917-3625
15-Jun-2016	ETNA13	11.17.40	37.651 - 37.868	14.969 - 15.515	810-659.6
		11:17:49			FT
		14.00.00			1966-3195
15-Jun-2016	ETNA14	14.08.08 -	37.593 - 37.820	15.079 - 15.905	805.3-696
		14:59:58			FT
		15.10.53			715-955
15-Jun-2016	STRO14	15.19.55 -	38.652 - 38.852	15.183 - 15.637	929.6-904.6
		15:54:55	7		BL
16-Jun-2016	STRO15	07.28.42	38.619 – 39.904	14.408 - 15.449	68-786
		08:59:58			997.8-921.2
					BL

### 166

### 2.3 Airborne instrumentations

The characterization of the aerosols and gases in the volcanic plumes involved installing 167 a number of instruments in the ATR-42, including: 1) an ultrafine water based Condensation 168 Particle Counters (CPC) (TSI 3788) (Kupc et al., 2013) to measure the total number of particle 169 concentration at a cut-off size > 2.5 nm in diameter; 2) the COndensation PArticle System 170 (COPAS) CPC (Weigel et al., 2009), which is specifically dedicated to aircraft measurements, to 171 measure particles number concentration at size cut-off > 10 nm in diameter; 3) an Optical 172 Particle Counter (Sky OPC, Grimm, # 1.129) to measure the particle size distribution and 173 number concentration in each size bin in the range distributed between 250 nm and 2.5 µm. 174 According to the works of Piriola et al. (1999), the OPC data in that size range was used to 175 calculate the condensational sink (CS), thus, it represents the lower limit of CS calculated for this 176 study. 4) a UV Fluorescence SO<sub>2</sub> Analyzer Teledyne API (T100 V) to measure the SO<sub>2</sub> 177 concentration with 10 s time resolution; 5) a newly designed ambient ionization inlet (AI) 178 coupled with the Atmospheric Pressure interface - Time Of Flight (AI-APi-ToF) mass 179 spectrometer (MS), developed at Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research of the 180 University of Helsinki (Finland) (Junninen et al., 2010), to measure SA concentration (more 181 182 details about SA calibration is further given in section 2.4).

This combination of different instruments, all having a time resolution of 1s, covered a wide particle size range (2.5 nm up to ~ 2500 nm in diameter) allowing the measurements of aerosol physical properties and the detection of both nanoparticle nucleation and growth processes. The variables defined from the in-situ measurements of total particle number concentrations (cm<sup>-3</sup>) together with the SA and SO<sub>2</sub> are summarized in Table 2.

 Table 2: Summary of the variables and corresponding instrumentations used during Etna and Stromboli flights on the 15<sup>th</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> of June 2016.

Variable	Name	Name Unit Instrument/calculation		Reference
			method	
Total number concentration for	N <sub>2.5</sub>	cm <sup>-3</sup>	Water CPC (TSI 3788)	(Kupc et al., 2013)
particles (N) at diameter > 2.5 nm			+ (	
N at diameter > 10 nm	$N_{10}$	$cm^{-3}$	CPC	(Weigel et al., 2009)
N at diameter > 250 nm	N <sub>250</sub>	$\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$	Sky OPC GRIMM, # 1.129	Manual (GRIMM, 2008)
N at diameter range between 2.5 and	N <sub>2.5-10</sub>	$cm^{-3}$	N <sub>2.5</sub> - N <sub>10</sub>	Derived in this study
10 nm				
N between 10 and 250 nm	N <sub>10-250</sub>	$cm^{-3}$	$N_{10} - N_{250}$	Derived in this study
Sulfuric acid concentration	SA	cm <sup>-3</sup>	CI-APi-ToF	(Junninen et al., 2010)
Sulfur dioxide mixing ratio	$SO_2$	ppbV	UV Fluorescence Analyzer	Manual (Model T100U
			Teledyne API	2011)

Different meteorological variables, such as temperature (T); relative humidity (RH); wind speed (W); dew point temperature; pressure; and turbulence, were also measured aboard the aircraft with a one second time resolution. The statistics and the variation range of the T, RH, and W are shown in Table 3. The time series of the abovementioned meteorological parameters are displayed in Figure S1 (supplementary information) for each flight.

193

Table 3: Summary of the thermodynamic parameters of the atmosphere measured for the different flights.10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentile are given in the square brackets and the median values are given in the second line.

secona une.				
Variable	ETNA13	ETNA14	STRO14	STRO15
T (°C)	[7.4 - 14.4]	[11.5 - 14.7]	[22.9 - 24]	[29.4 - 31.9]
	10.45	12.5	23.5	30.9
RH (%)	[35.2 - 72.6]	[34.2 - 53.3]	[27.6 - 42.5]	[27.6 - 36]
	61.5	42.6	39	30.4
$W (m s^{-1})$	[10.1 - 21.6]	[9 - 19]	[3.8 - 6.6]	[13.5 - 24.5]
V	18.2	15.8	5.2	17.9

For flights ETNA13 and ETNA14 that took place in the FT, the temperature was above 5 °C, reaching a maximum of 17.5 °C at ~ 2 km in altitude. In STRO14 and STRO15 that took place in the BL, the temperature was always detected over 20°C at lower altitudes and it was 10 to 20°C higher than the temperatures observed in the FT. The RH did not exceed 62.5% and 82% for flights ETNA13 and ETNA14 and it was even lower in the Stromboli plumes (Figure S.1 and Table 3). The measurements of the cloud droplet probe (CDP) and fast cloud droplet probe (FCDP) together with the RH measurements confirm that flights were undertaken in cloud-freeconditions.

202

2.4 SA calibration from AI-APi-ToF

Following the strict aircraft instrumentation regulation concerning chemicals, a new 203 ambient ionization (AI) inlet has been developed for the field campaign avoiding the use of 204 chemical reagents. The AI-APi-ToF is used for the first time on board an aircraft to perform such 205 206 measurements and provides a 1 s time resolution of a lower estimate of SA concentration. The system utilizes a soft X-ray source (Hamamatsu L9490) to ionize directly the sampled air and 207 increase the overall signal for fast (1 s) measurement. The instrument was also operated in ion 208 mode, like a classical APi-ToF MS mode, where only natural ions are sampled. However, in the 209 ion mode, a long integration time (minimum 10 min) is necessary to obtain the correct signal. 210 The X-ray source was periodically switched ON and OFF (for these flights, 10 seconds ON and 211 212 10 seconds OFF), allowing both sampling of natural ions and forced ionized ions. The ATR-42 was flying at an average speed of 360 km/hour; hence, we use the X-ray mode (with one-second 213 resolution) to first identify the different air masses (i.e. inside the plume, outside the plume) and 214 in a second step to analyze the average natural ions spectrum within the volcanic plume. After 215 the flight campaign, a calibration campaign took place during CLOUD11 at the CLOUD 216 chamber similarly to previous CLOUD experiments (Kirkby et al., 2011; Rondo et al., 2016). In 217 the CLOUD chamber, various atmospheric systems were studied with a wide range of species, 218 i.e. SO<sub>2</sub> (0ppb - 2.6ppb), NO<sub>x</sub> (0ppb - 33ppb) and organic vapors (alpha-pinene (0ppb - 4.5ppb), 219 220 isoprene (0ppb - 5ppb), trimethyl-benzene (0ppb - 9ppb)), allowing the characterization of the new flying AI in different atmospheric systems. During this calibration campaign, the new flying 221 AI inlet worked mainly in  $O_2^-$  chemical ionization modes, however, when NO<sub>x</sub> was at high 222 concentrations in the chamber,  $NO_3^-$  ionization could also contribute. Estimates of SA 223 concentration are conducted from the signal that is produced from the ionization of  $O_2^-$  ions 224  $(HSO_4^-)$  and  $NO_3^ (H_2SO_4 NO_3)$ , obtaining a good correlation between well-characterized nitrate 225 APi-ToF MS systems and the instrument used here (supplementary Figure S.3). 226

227

# 228 2.5 Backward trajectories

The 72 h air mass backward trajectories were calculated first at the vent of the volcano at 229 the beginning of the flight and then at the flight track every 10 minutes along the path of each 230 flight trajectory using the HYSPLIT model (Draxler, 2003; Stein et al., 2016) (Figure S2). 231 According to back-trajectory calculations, the air mass that reached Etna originated mostly from 232 the Atlantic Ocean passing through Spain and the Mediterranean Sea. Similar back-trajectory 233 was observed for STRO14, however, STRO15 back-trajectory suggests an origin crossing above 234 the Saharan desert. During the latter event, a significant aerosol surface area in the background 235 was observed and could be explained by the presence of Saharan dust, in contrast to the other 236 three flights. 237

238 2.6 Background and plume conditions

We measured the SO<sub>2</sub> concentration over the Mediterranean in both the FT and BL outside of the volcanic plumes of Etna and Stromboli and the values were ranging from 1.4 to 1.9 ppbV. From the air mass characterization upwind and downwind of Etna (being almost all

the time in the FT) and Stromboli (in the BL), a background plume threshold value (PTV) of 2 242 ppbV of SO<sub>2</sub> is used. This threshold value is used to exclude any contributions from ship 243 emissions or other anthropogenic sources, etc. Although the PTV could be considered relatively 244 high when compared to anthropogenic emission levels (usually on average below 1 ppbV) or to 245 other studies (Mauldin et al., 2003), these values were chosen to ensure that our data analysis 246 focused only on measurements within the different volcanic plumes, and to ensure that we do not 247 consider contributions from other sources. The background (outside plume conditions) was also 248 characterized in term of particle concentrations, being defined as median of all the measures 249 (defined in Table 2) when SO<sub>2</sub> < 2 ppbV for Etna (i.e. 1.61 ppbV, 1781 cm<sup>-3</sup>, 3.2 cm<sup>-3</sup> and 250  $0.85 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-3</sup> for SO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2.5</sub>, N<sub>250</sub> and SA, respectively) and Stromboli (i.e. 1.26 ppbV, 2100 cm<sup>-3</sup> 251 <sup>3</sup>, 10.75 cm<sup>-3</sup> and  $0.87 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-3</sup> for SO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2.5</sub>, N<sub>250</sub> and SA, respectively). The SO<sub>2</sub>, SA, and 252 particle number concentrations were corrected considering that their backgrounds were 253 subtracted from the plume concentrations in order to quantify the volcanic plume increment. 254 Thus, all the analysis presented in the following sections is under plume conditions. 255

### **3 Results and discussion**

### 257 3.1 Plume spatial extent and total particle number concentrations

The spatial extent (vertical and horizontal) of the different volcanic plumes, represented by the 258 SO<sub>2</sub>, is displayed in Figure 1. Once the aircraft arrived at the volcano, a total vertical profile 259 ranging from 0.2 km up to 4 km for both plumes (Figure 1) was performed. The Etna plume 260 appeared to be located at altitudes between 2 and 3.6 km, whereas the Stromboli plume was 261 centered around 0.8 km during STRO14 and as low as 0.2 km during STRO15. We, therefore, 262 assume that both volcanic plumes investigated around Stromboli were in the BL Once the plume 263 vertical distribution was located, a series of horizontal transects took place up to distances of 120 264 km. 265

For ETNA13 and ETNA14 and within the plume conditions, the vertical sounding shows 266 that the air mass containing the plume moved towards downwind above 2 km in altitude 267 confirming the presence of the plume in the FT. The median SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were 13.7 and 268 13.55 ppbV and reached maximum values at 92.3 and ~ 77 ppbV for ETNA13 and ETNA14, 269 270 respectively. Since we did not sample the exact center of the plume at the vent where SO<sub>2</sub> should peak, the maximum of SO<sub>2</sub> was observed at altitudes above 3 and  $\sim$ 2.8 km at  $\sim$  13 and 10 km 271 away from the vent for ETNA 13 and 14, respectively (Figure 1; a-b). Subsequently, SO<sub>2</sub> 272 concentrations decreased with distance from the vent and decreased in altitude while travels 273 downwind below 2.8 km (Figure 1; a-b). The plume can still be observed above the altitude of 274 2.8 km with relatively high SO<sub>2</sub> values above 40 ppbV at ~22 km distant from the vent (Figure 1; 275 a-b). 276

For STRO14 and STRO15 in BL, the SO<sub>2</sub> median concentrations were 28.33 and 7.3 277 ppbV and reached the maxima of 83.3 and 78.3 ppbV, respectively (Figure 1; c-d). The median 278 concentration of SO<sub>2</sub> observed in STRO15 is a factor of  $\sim$ 2 less than what was observed in both 279 cases in the FT whereas, in STRO14, the median was a factor of ~2 larger than in the FT. The 280 differences in the median concentrations of the two BL flights can be explained by the relatively 281 low wind speed measured in the case of STRO14 compared to the other flights (Table 3), 282 283 resulting in the plume being less spread in both horizontal and vertical directions and more concentrated over a shorter range of distance (Figure 1). Since the aircraft missed the core of the 284 plume at the vent, the maximum values were observed at distances  $\sim 10$  to 12 km from the vent 285

similarly to Etna plumes and at height of 860 and 120 m (Figure 1; c-d), respectively, indicating
a downward transport of the plume. The SO<sub>2</sub> concentration decreases significantly (< 15 ppbV)</li>
(Figure 1; d) with distance from the vent.

The concentration of  $SO_2$  measured during all flights is on the same order of magnitude as those observed in previously reported airborne measurement (larger than 10 ppbV) over the Miyaka volcano in the Pacific Ocean in Japan (Mauldin et al., 2003).

Figure1: The plume spatial extent represented by the concentration of  $SO_2$  as a function of altitudes and distance from the volcanic vent for a) ETNA13, b) ETNA14, c) STRO14 and d) STRO15. The dashed lines represent the vents' heights, which are 3.3 and 0.94 km a.s.l for Etna and Stromboli, respectively.



The maps of the aircraft trajectories for the four flights are shown in Figure 2, where the 292 color bar represents the concentration of SO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>10-250</sub>, and N<sub>250</sub>. In the FT, N<sub>10-250</sub> increased along 293 the plume with median concentrations of  $\sim 2500$  and  $14000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  for ETNA13 and ETNA14, 294 respectively (Table 4). The maximum concentrations of  $N_{10-250}$  were measured to be 34100 cm<sup>-3</sup> 295 and 25400 cm<sup>-3</sup> at ~26 and ~47 km distant from the vent. Thus, the  $N_{10-250}$  maxima positions 296 were at ~13 and 37 km farther than the areas where  $SO_2$  maxima were detected (Figure 2; a-b 297 and e-f). The  $N_{10-250}$  increases, on average, from few thousands at ~5 km to few ten thousand at 298 distance > 25 km from the vent, for Etna flights (Figure 2; e-f) and the rate of this increase 299 roughly was ~1500 cm<sup>-3</sup> per km. This suggests the occurrence of new particle formation and 300 301 growth along the volcanic plume. For larger particles, the median of  $N_{250}$  were 18.8 cm<sup>-3</sup> and 11  $cm^{-3}$  for flights ETNA13 and ETNA14, respectively (Table 4). During ETNA flights, the N<sub>250</sub> 302 was relatively high (~70 cm<sup>-3</sup>) close to the vent (~ 7 km), but decreased significantly ( $< 20 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) 303 with the plume dilution (Figure 2;i- j and Figure S4). This is opposite to what was measured for 304 smaller particles (N<sub>10-250</sub>) where a higher concentration was detected along the volcanic plume in 305 the FT especially in the diluted plume (> 25 km) of both Etna flights (Figure 2; e-f). 306



Figure 2: Maps of the trajectory of the different flights in the FT and BL. The color-coded bars represent the corrected (background subtracted) observation of  $SO_2$  (a-d),  $N_{10-250}$  (e-h) and  $N_{250}$  (i-l).

Considering that the volcanic emissions in ETNA13 and ETNA14 during the time of the 307 campaign were passive, the presence of large particles can mainly be interpreted as the rapid 308 growth of freshly nucleated particles. This hypothesis is confirmed by the correlation between 309  $N_{100}$ ,  $N_{250}$ , and  $N_{10}$  (Figure S5; a, c). We do not exclude that some fraction of these particles may 310 also be due to the presence of very fine primary particles (accidental lithic) remobilized from the 311 previous deposits within the conduit and volcanic crater walls, but we estimate that their 312 contribution to the total aerosol concentration was minimal in our observation in the FT (Figure 313 S5; a, c). 314

For STRO14 and STRO15,  $N_{10-250}$  was observed with relatively large values (>  $10^4$  cm<sup>-3</sup>) close to the vent at ~ 4 km, respectively, and then decreased significantly with distance from the vent (Figure 2; g-h), except the area where  $N_{10-250}$  peaks at ~12 km distant from the vent for STRO14 (Figure 2; g). The  $N_{10-250}$  maxima were 18809 cm<sup>-3</sup> and 19700 cm<sup>-3</sup> at ~12 km and ~7 km for STRO14 and STRO15, respectively, where SO<sub>2</sub> was also observed to be relatively large 320 (> 60 ppbV). This is in contrast to what we observed for Etna plumes, where the  $N_{10-250}$  maxima 321 were located farther downwind (i.e. at 26 and 47 km distant from the vent. The median of  $N_{10-250}$ 322 concentrations were 2470 cm<sup>-3</sup> and 1300 cm<sup>-3</sup> for both Stromboli flights, i.e. close to the  $N_{10-250}$ 323 median concentration measured within the ETNA13 but one order of magnitude less than the 324 median  $N_{10-250}$  observed for ETNA14.

Table 4: Summary of the number concentrations  $(cm^{-3})$  of particles at different diameter ranges, SA concentration and the CS rate  $(s^{-1})$  measured during the different flights.  $25^{th}$  and  $75^{th}$  percentiles are in the first line in square brackets and medians are in the second line.

VARIABLE	ETNA13	ETNA14	Stro14	Stro15
$N = (am^{-3})$	$[0.99 \ 2.4]*10^4$	$[0.41 \ 1.1]*10^5$	$[0.4 \ 1.3] * 10^3$	[171 741]
N <sub>2.5-10</sub> (CIII )	$4.2*10^{3}$	$7.7*10^4$	638.5	351
N <sub>10-250</sub> (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	$[0.053 \ 1.9]*10^4$	$[0.7 \ 1.81]*10^4$	$[1.1 \ 3.7]*10^3$	$[428 \ 3.1*10^3]$
	$2.51*10^{3}$	$1.4*10^4$	$2.47*10^{3}$	$1.3*10^{3}$
$N = (am^{-3})$	[11.2 27.6]	[5.4 16.8]	[16.6 150.5]	[2.5 72.5]
$N_{250}$ (CIII )	18.8	11	68.2	35
$\mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{a} \mathbf{m}^{-3})$	$[0.85 \ 3.9]*10^8$	[3.35 3.94]*10 <sup>8</sup>	$[2.34 \ 2.94]*10^8$	$[3 3.5]*10^8$
SA (cm)	2.9*10 <sup>8</sup>	3.71*10 <sup>8</sup>	$2.67*10^{8}$	3.3*10 <sup>8</sup>
SO(nnhV)	[6.2 25]	[7.5 21.6]	[13 37.6]	[4.5 9.6]
50 <sub>2</sub> (ppb v)	13.7	13.55	28.3	7.3
$\mathbf{CS}$ $(c^{-1})$	$[0.5 \ 3.1]*10^{-4}$	$[0 \ 2.3 ]*10^{-4}$	$[0.65 \ 9.8]*10^{-3}$	$[0 \ 4.5]*10^{-3}$
CS (S)	$1.6*10^{-4}$	6*10 <sup>-5</sup>	$4.2*10^{-3}$	$8.1*10^{-4}$

For BL flights, the N<sub>250</sub> is observed to be high peaking at 1081 and 718.5 cm<sup>-3</sup> near the vent <sup>3</sup>at ~ 325 7 and 5.2 km and continue possessing high values downwind along the plume dilution reaching 326 225 cm<sup>-3</sup> at 23 km distant from the vent (Figure 2; c-d and k-l). In general, the N<sub>250</sub> in the BL 327 was observed to be higher than in the FT; the medians are factor of 3 to 6 higher in the FT (Table 328 4) and the absolute values are two orders of magnitude higher close to the vent and all along the 329 plume dilution (Figure 2; i-1). The presence of those large particles in the BL flights is due to the 330 quick growth of newly formed particles at distances less than 10 km close to the vent (Figure S5; 331 b, d blue points marked by a blue circle) and distant from the vent with a different growth rate 332 (Figure S5; b, d light blue to red points marked by a red circle). Besides, close to the vent in 333 STRO14, there is likely a contribution from very fine juvenile ash ejected during the short 334 explosions at Stromboli (Figure S5; b, d; blue points marked by a green circle), which can be a 335 significant contribution to the total aerosol surface area. Moreover, in STRO15 and based on the 336 backward trajectory analysis, there may also be a contribution from the Saharan dust particles 337 that arrive from North Africa to the measured areas (Figure S2). Due to the lack of aerosol 338 composition measurements, we are unable to accurately quantify these contributions. The higher 339 concentrations of large particles during BL flights would eventually contribute to a larger aerosol 340 surface area along the volcanic plumes compared to FT flights within Etna's volcanic plumes. 341

In the following section, we will investigate the NPF and their potential growth processes occurring within these two different volcanic plumes.

### 3.2 Observation of new particle formation within different volcanic plumes

344

The total number concentrations of particles between 2.5 nm and 10 nm ( $N_{2.5-10}$ ), the SA 345 concentrations (defined in Table 2) and CS are illustrated in Figure 3. For FT flights,  $N_{2,5-10}$ 346 concentrations were observed above the detection limit throughout both plumes and up to ~45 347 km away from the vent. For both Etna flights, the  $N_{2,5-10}$  is a factor of ~2 to 10 larger than the 348 349 N<sub>10-250</sub> concentrations. This suggests that nucleation is taking place within the volcanic passive plume in the FT (Figure 2; e-f, and Figure 3; a-b). Linked to this nucleation process occurring 350 along the volcanic passive plume, a high concentration of SA (>  $10^8$  cm<sup>-3</sup>) is continuously 351 observed; being produced from the oxidation of SO<sub>2</sub> (Figure 3; e-f). The maximum of  $N_{2.5-10}$  was 352 observed where the SA concentration was greater than  $2 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, and the CS was minimum 353 (Figure 3: a-b, e-f, i-j). 354

During BL flights, NPF was also observed, but high concentrations of N<sub>2.5-10</sub> were mostly 355 located close to the vent (< 10 km). However, the median concentration of  $N_{2.5,10}$  was up to 2 356 orders of magnitude less than in the FT flights. These show the evidence that new particles were 357 formed within the different volcanic plumes close to the vent (~ 3km) for both Etna and 358 Stromboli volcanic plumes with different rates, but also far from the vent (> 35 km) along the 359 dilution of the passive plume from Etna in the FT. The higher concentrations of large particles (> 360 250 nm) in the BL result in a higher CS than in the FT (Figure 1; i-1, Figure 2; i-1, and Figure S4 361 and S5). Interestingly, the concentration of  $SO_2$  and SA were observed to be in comparable 362 ranges for both volcanic plumes (Figures 1, 2; a-d and 3; e-h), and therefore do not explain solely 363 the differences in magnitude in NPF between the FT and BL. Therefore, the greater CS together 364 with the higher temperature, observed in the BL flights than in the FT flights, are likely to 365 explain the weaker NPF events within the volcanic plumes in the BL (Table 2 and 4, Figure 3, 366 and Figure S1). 367

Moreover, the growth behavior of the newly formed particles was distinct between 368 ETNA and STRO plumes (Figure S4 and S5). The Correlations between newly formed particles 369 and larger particles are observed along the volcanic plumes in both the FT and the BL (Figure S4 370 and S5). The growth rate, represented by the different slopes, varied according to the distance 371 from the vent and the SO<sub>2</sub> abundance (Figure S4 and S5). The growth is observed to increase at 372 areas closer to the vent (< 20 km) than at farther areas than 20 km (Figure S4 and S5). Since SA 373 was abundant during all flights (Figure 3; e-h), it likely played a key role in NPF and growth 374 processes (CCN active size) within the volcanic plumes in FT and BL. It should be stressed that 375 376 in the absence of the chemical analysis of the grown particles in our observation, we do not exclude the contribution of other condensable vapors to the growth of the freshly formed 377 particles in the volcanic plumes. The growth of the newly formed particles to CCN active 378 diameters illustrates that those particles within the volcanic plumes can contribute to cloud 379 formation, thus, impacting the weather and climate. Such an observation might be useful for 380 further modeling studies to investigate the contribution of NPF to the CCN and their impact on 381 climate and reduce the associated uncertainty. 382

Figure 3: Observed  $N_{2.5-10}$  (a-d), SA (e-h) and CS(i-l) along the flight trajectory within the plumes of Etna and Stromboli. Gaps visible in the different trajectories are attributed to instrumental data nonavailability.

Accepted manuscript for publication to the Journal of Geophysical Research, Atmospheres (manuscript online since 21 March 2019)



The volcanic SA was also observed to be abundant in other volcanic plumes of Etna 383 (Roberts et al., 2018) and in other locations, i.e. Miyaka and Kilauea (Kroll et al., 2015; Mauldin 384 et al., 2003). This abundance was found to be variable according to several factors related to  $SO_2$ 385 concentrations and its oxidation rates, meteorological variables, i.e. wind speed, temperature and 386 relative humidity (Kroll et al., 2015; Roberts et al., 2018). In comparison to other studies, the SA 387 observed within ETNA and STRO flights were almost of the same order of magnitude to what 388 has been reported in the Pacific BL volcanic plume from the Miyaka volcano (Mauldin et al., 389 2003; Weber et al., 2003). On the other hand, the median of  $N_{2.5-10}$  measured in Stromboli 390 plumes in MBL is almost one order of magnitude larger than the upper limit (100 cm<sup>-3</sup>) reported 391 in the MBL volcanic plume in the Pacific from Miyaka volcano for the similar size range (3-4 392 and 3-8 nm) (Mauldin et al., 2003). The presence of large aerosol surface area may explain our 393 observation of relatively low  $N_{2.5-10}$  in the BL, in comparison to  $N_{2.5-10}$  observed in the FT. 394 395 Similarly, in the Pacific BL volcanic plume from Miyaka volcano (Mauldin et al., 2003), the

presence of pre-existing particles is given by the authors as an explanation of the low  $N_{3-4}$  and  $N_{3-8}$  concentrations.

The spatial distributions of the plumes were analyzed in more details, through plume latitudinal transects at different distances from the vent in both ETNA13 and STRO15 (Figure 400 4).

Figure 4:  $N_{2.5-10}$  and  $N_{10}$  as a function of distance from the center of the plume for ETNA13 (a and b) and STRO15 (d, e, g, and h). The plots (g) and (h) are similar to (d) and (e) but with a larger horizontal extent from the center of the plume in STRO15. The considered transects are highlighted by the corresponding colors in the right panel (c for Etna and f for Stromboli).



In each transect (highlighted in blue, red, green and purple in Figure 4; c, f), we determined the 401 center of the plume by the peak of  $SO_2$  (not shown). The plume widths are of the order of 6-8 km 402 for the two transects nearest to the volcanoes (respectively at  $\sim 7$  and  $\sim 10$  km from the vent) for 403 both volcanoes (Figure 4; a, b, d, and e). Further downwind, the STRO15 plume width increases 404 to about 30 km at ~ 80 km distant from the vent (Figure 4; g, h). For the ETNA13 and STRO15 405 flights, their corresponding  $N_{2,5-10}$  maxima were not observed at the center of the plume, but at 406 the edges of the plumes (Figure 4; a, d). Conversely,  $N_{10}$  possesses the maxima exactly at the 407 center of the plume in the FT (Figure 4; b), whereas in the BL it was also shifted to the plume's 408 border (Figure 4; e). These results support that the presence of large CS at the center of the 409 plume is likely to explain the peaks shifting of  $N_{2.5-10}$  (and  $N_{10}$  in the BL), inhibiting the 410 nucleation process (despite SA continuously produced by oxidation of  $SO_2$ ) compared to more 411 favorable conditions at the plume periphery, where the CS is lower. The relationships between 412 the particle concentrations in the smallest size bin and their gas-phase precursors, as a function of 413 414 the distance from the volcanoes' vents, are further investigated in the following section.

### 415 3.3 Derivation of new particle formation parameterization

The data from the in-situ measurements are used to derive a parameterization that can be 416 useful to describe the rate of NPF as a function of SA concentration under natural conditions. 417 One hypothesis in our derivation of the nucleation rate is that losses of newly formed particles 418 due to coagulation are negligible compared to the strength of the nucleation rate. Thus, we 419 420 believe that our calculation is the lower estimate of the nucleation rate within the volcanic plumes. Nucleation rates were derived when the nucleation mode particle concentrations  $(N_{2,5})$ 421 was increasing with processing time (t) for several periods and locations, where NPF was 422 observed to occur (Figure 5, a and d; areas are highlighted by blue). The parameter t is the time 423 needed for an air mass originating from the vent to reach the point where it was sampled by the 424 aircraft. This time is estimated here by integrating the wind speed along the plume with the 425 distance from the vent as following: 426

$$t = \frac{x_0}{\bar{V}_x} + \sum_{i=x_0+1}^{i=L} \frac{\Delta x}{V(i)}$$

 $x_0$  is the distance between the closest point from the flight trajectory inside the volcanic 427 plume and the vent,  $\overline{V}_{x}$  is the mean wind speed of all the trajectory points, L is the farthest point 428 from the vent of flight trajectory within the plume,  $\Delta x$  is the distance traveled by the aircraft 429 from the source point (vent) and V(i) is the corresponding wind speed of each point of the flight 430 trajectory. Since the nucleation events were found to be more pronounced in the FT than in the 431 BL, a nucleation rate could only be calculated along the plumes in the FT, and hence the 432 parameterization is solely based on Etna emissions. We plot the particle concentration increase 433 as a function of the processing time by taking into account the dilution of the plume with 434 transport within the plume. It is important to note that the volcanic passive plume at Etna 435 contains a low concentration of CO (upper limit was 110 ppbV), a typical gas used as a dilution 436 factor (di). Therefore during this study, SO<sub>2</sub> is used as a dilution factor, while its concentration is 437 strongly enhanced in the plume and has a typical tropospheric average lifetime of 1-2 days 438 (Beirle et al., 2014), and thus, is partially consumed during the plume evolution. A dilution 439 factor, defined as the SO<sub>2</sub> concentration normalized by its maximum value for each flight, was 440 hence applied to the particle concentration to calculate normalized particle number 441 concentrations. Figure 5 (b, c, e and f) shows the normalized  $N_{2.5}$  concentrations as a function of 442 t to evaluate the correlation between these two variables. For ETNA13, we divided the flight into 443 two periods: a) from 10:40 to 10:55 when the aircraft only crossed the plume at different 444 distances from the vent, and b) from 11:00 to 11:15 when the aircraft was flying within the 445 center of the plume. For ETNA14, we furthermore chose two periods where the NPF events were 446 observed to occur at two different altitudes in the FT: c) from 14:28 to 14:32 at ~2.8 km and d) 447 from 14:34 to 14:38  $\sim$  3.3 km. In period (a), we calculated the mean value of each particle peak, 448 each corresponding to a single processing time (Figure 5; b). The fit of those points against t was 449 linear (Figure 5, b), yielding a slope of 11 cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. In period (b), the function between 450 normalized N<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations and the t was exponential (Figure 5; c). The rate of NPF  $(j_{2.5})$  for 451 each nucleation event is then the derivative of each of the above-mentioned regressions for the 452 two periods for flight ETNA13. Similarly to the period (b), the regression for the two chosen 453 periods for flight ETNA14 was found to be exponential as well (Figure 5; e and f). 454

## Figure 5: Time series of the total number concentration of particles at different cut-off sizes for ETNA13 (a) and ETNA14 (d) with the $N_{2.5}$ versus processing time with their fitting regressions at different periods (b) 10:40





Table 5 shows all the derived relationships between the normalized N<sub>2.5</sub> vs. *t* with their fitting parameters A and B to estimate the nucleation rate  $j_{2.5}$ . The relationship has either a linear form as in a period (a) (illustrated in Figure 5; b) or an exponential form as in periods (b, c, and

d) (illustrated in Figure 5; c, e, and f):

459 
$$j_{2.5} = d(N_{2.5}/di)/dt = A e^{Bt}$$

Table 5: Summary of the derivative of the correlation between the normalized  $N_{2.5}$  and corresponding processing time shown in Figure 5 (b, c, e, and f) with their fitting parameters for the different periods in the case of ETNA13 and ETNA14 in the free troposphere. The confidence bounds for all regressions were 95%.

	ETN	A13	ETNA14		
Pariod	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
Teriou	10:40 to 10:55	11:00 to 11:15	14:28 to 14:32	14:34 to 14:38	
Regression of	11 × t + 17000	$4505 \times e^{1.95 \times 10^{-3} \times t}$	$32280 \times e^{1.7 \times 10^{-3} \times t}$	$37990 \times e^{4.8 \times 10^{-4} \times t}$	
1 <b>v</b> <sub>2.5</sub> / <i>ut vs t</i>					
$j_{2.5} = d(N_{2.5}/di)/dt$	11	$9 \times e^{1.95 \times 10^{-3} \times t}$	$55 \times e^{1.7 \times 10^{-3} \times t}$	$18 \times e^{4.8 \times 10^{-4} \times t}$	
$R^2$	0.99	0.8814	0.9123	0.8124	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.98	0.881	0.9119	0.8116	

460 Figure 6 shows all estimated  $j_{2.5}$  for all Etna points in the FT versus SA together with their 461 averages (black dots) every  $0.25 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. We derive the parameterization of NPF rate  $J_{2.5}$  by fitting the averages of all estimated  $j_{2.5}$  to the corresponding SA using the bi-squares method, with 95% confidence bounds, of the simple power model that has the form:

464  $J_{2.5} = K[SA]^P$ 

where pre-factor *K* and exponent *P* are the fitting parameters of the power function and, estimated to be  $1.844 \times 10^{-8}$  s<sup>-1</sup> and 1.12 (95% confidence interval 0.76 and 1.47), respectively. The exponent *P*, found in the current study, is closer to 1 (associated with activation-type nucleation) (Kulmala et al., 2006) rather than kinetic-type nucleation (2) (McMurry & Friedlander, 1979), in agreement with what has previously been hypothesized (Kuang et al., 2008; Sihto et al., 2006). According to our derivation and by substituting the values of *K*, *P* and the medians of SA from Table 4 for ETNA13 and ETNA14, the average  $J_{2.5}$  is  $68.6\pm 39.9$  and

472  $59.23\pm29.8$  cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, and equal to  $63.23\pm34.8$  cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for all ETNA points.

Figure6: The calculated  $J_{2.5}$  versus SA for the different periods of all ETNA flights in the free troposphere. The black dots and the associated error bars on the panel (a) are the mean and the standard deviation of the  $J_{2.5}$  obtained within  $0.25 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-3</sup> SA equal bins. The black dots in panel (b) are the mean  $J_{2.5}$  as a function of SA for the specific SA range defined by the light red shaded area on the panel (a) when SA is smaller than  $4.6 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. In the panel (b), the correlation between  $J_{2.5}$  and SA is a power fit (red line), which represents the parameterization of the new particle formation within the volcanic plume  $J_{2.5} = 1.844 \times 10^{-8} [SA]^{1.12}$ .



In our derivation, the coagulation process was neglected in comparison to the strength of the nucleation process, therefore, the  $J_{2.5}$  values derived here are considered as the lower limits of nucleation. Based on Quantum Chemistry-normalized Classical Nucleation Theory (QC-CNT) and CLOUD measurements presented in Duplissy et al. (2016), the nucleation of new particles is minimized when SA was below  $10^8$  cm<sup>-3</sup> at temperatures above  $10^{\circ}$ C. This indicates that the SA background (up to  $0.85 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-3</sup>), which was subtracted from the data, would not have a significant impact on our derivation of the NPF rate. Moreover, this parameterization is valid

(2)

when SA is less than  $4.6 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-3</sup> (Figure 6; a). For values of SA larger than  $4.6 \times 10^8$ , J<sub>2.5</sub> has 480 been observed to significantly decrease with increasing SA (Figure 6; a) due to the large CS 481 estimated at distances close to the vent (less than 5 km) or in the center of the plume, where 482 growth is observed to be stronger than NPF. For the SA values greater than  $4.6 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, we 483 believe that the approximation of negligible coagulation is no longer valid. In comparison to 484 more cleaner environments, our lower limits estimations of  $J_{2.5}$  are one to almost 2 orders of 485 magnitude higher than what has been previously measured in Hyytiälä (Finland) during the 486 QUEST2 campaign in 2003 by Sihto et al. (2006) for  $J_3$ . The formation rate  $J_{2,5}$  is a factor of 487  $\sim 2.5$  to 4 higher than the upper limit of J<sub>1</sub> found for the same campaign (Kuang et al., 2008; 488 Sihto et al., 2006). These suggest a quicker occurrence of NPF within the harsh environment of 489 the volcanic passive plume in comparison to what has been found in other cleaner environments 490 (Sihto et al., 2006). In comparison to the controlled CLOUD3 and CLOUD5 experiments of SA-491 water binary particle formation for the same range of SA, our estimation of  $J_{25}$  is comparable or 492 an order of magnitude higher than the J resulted from the exposure to different beams in the 493 CLOUD chamber (Figure 9 in Duplissy et al., 2016). This may indicate that condensable vapors 494 other than SA could be contributing to the NPF events. Indeed, Kirkby et al. (2011) showed that 495  $\sim 100 \text{ pptV}$  of ammonia may increase nucleation up to a factor of 1000 more than what binary 496 SA-water nucleation can produce. However, in the absence of chemical characterization, our 497 observations were not able to confirm the contribution of the other species than sulfuric acid to 498 499 the NPF process. Our estimation of J<sub>2.5</sub> is found to be more than one order of magnitude higher than what was estimated, on average, within the aged volcanic plume that reached the puy de 500 Dôme station, Massif Central (France)  $(4.76 \pm 2.63 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1})$  in May 2010 from the 501 Eviafjallajökull eruption event (Boulon et al., 2011). This is expected since the plume in that 502 study traveled several thousands of kilometers before reaching the station, whereas our 503 measurements are occurring directly within the passive plume. This indicates how efficient the 504 volcanic passive plume can be especially in the free troposphere where new particle formation is 505 favored. Substituting the average estimated value of SA (3.67  $\pm$  0.78  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>) 506 from Boulon et al. (2011) in our parameterization formula (equation 2) would give an NPF rate 507 of 5.02 cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, which is close to the average nucleation rate actually calculated from the 508 Eyjafjallajökull plume (Boulon et al., 2011). This indicates that our parameterization would be 509 able to reproduce the average nucleation rate J<sub>2</sub> estimated for the volcanic plumes even with SA 510 less than  $10^8$  cm<sup>-3</sup> at locations far from the eruptive point. Yet, the binary homogeneous H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-511 H<sub>2</sub>O nucleation scheme (BHNS) (Kulmala et al., 1998) and activation nucleation (Sihto et al., 512 2006) have been used in a previous modeling study that investigated the impact of volcanic 513 aerosols on climate (Schmidt et al., 2012). The BHNS was found to underestimate the climatic 514 impact of freshly formed particles in the volcanic degassing plumes (Boulon et al., 2011; 515 Schmidt et al., 2012). Therefore, our current analysis together with the parameterization can 516 effectively contribute to better understand and quantify the climatic impacts of aerosol nucleation 517 and their evolution within volcanic plumes near the volcanic source and in the diluted volcanic 518 519 plumes.

### 520 4 Conclusions

This study presents a comprehensive investigation of new particle formation and growth within volcanic plumes located in the FT and in the BL. This was conducted by performing airborne in-situ measurements within the plumes of Etna and Stromboli, Italy.

We evidenced the occurrence of new particle formation and growth of these newly 524 formed particles within the different plumes from Etna in the FT and Stromboli in the BL. In the 525 FT, the NPF events were measured in the volcanic passive plume near the vent with a rapid 526 527 growth rate, and continue to occur efficiently along the plume at distances farther than 35 km. The rapid growth of the newly formed particles was observed close to the vent results in a 528 relatively high number concentration of large particles ( $N_{250} > 55 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) and hence a relatively 529 significant CS (up to  $10^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup> in absolute value). However, the concentration of these large 530 particles is diluted with distance, and therefore the threshold ratio between the condensable gases 531 and the condensational sink is overcome by the presence of sufficient SA from SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation to 532 allow for further nucleation events. In the BL, the NPF events were also observed close to the 533 vent of the volcano and with smaller concentrations of ultrafine particles than in the FT. The SO<sub>2</sub> 534 fluxes at Stromboli were reported to be weak (0.15-0.6 kt/day, (Burton et al., 2008)), but they 535 remain comparable with those emitted at Etna during passive degassing (0.6-2 kt/day, (Aiuppa et 536 al., 2008; Roberts et al., 2018)). This has been supported by our observations, where we found 537 that SO<sub>2</sub> and SA concentrations were relatively comparable and the differences in their values in 538 different volcanic plumes of Etna (being passive) and Stromboli are small. Thus, these small 539 differences in SO<sub>2</sub> and SA concentrations between Etna and Stromboli do not explain solely the 540 NPF being more dominant in Etna (in the FT) than in Stromboli (in the BL). Therefore, the 541 occurrence of the NPF events in the different volcanic plumes seems to be largely influenced by 542 543 the presence of large particles leading to large CS at the very proximity to the vent. Thus, the weaker NPF events in the BL is a result of a larger aerosol surface along the plume, where CS 544 was up to 2 orders of magnitude higher than in the FT, and with temperatures reaching 23 and 30 545  $^{\circ}$ C in both BL flights, which is up to 20 $^{\circ}$ C higher than in the FT. This detailed analysis of the 546 growth of freshly nucleated particles to the CCN sizes (Figure S4 and S5) is beneficial for further 547 modeling studies to investigate the contribution of NPF to the CCN and their impact on climate. 548

To the authors' knowledge, this is the first dedicated study that addresses the relationship 549 between the newly formed nanoparticles and their gas-phase precursors in the vicinity of 550 different volcanic plumes over Etna and Stromboli. The in-situ airborne measurements 551 performed as part of this study within the ETNA passive plume were used to derive NPF rate 552 parameterizations  $J_{2,5}$  that can eventually be incorporated into models. The NPF rate was an 553 exponential function of the processing time in most of the observed individual nucleation events 554 along the flight trajectories and implicitly includes the information about the plume's dilution. 555 556 The NPF rate parameterization was a power law function of SA, with an exponent value of 1.12, which is accepted within the range of what has previously been reported (Kuang et al., 2008; 557 Sibto et al., 2006). The latter exponent value implies that the nucleation within the studied 558 plumes is a natural process that is a mixture of both activation (Kulmala et al., 2006) and kinetic 559 (McMurry & Friedlander, 1979) nucleation modes, but more close to the activation nucleation 560 mode. We believe that our calculation is the lower estimate of the nucleation rate within the 561 562 volcanic plume since the losses due to coagulation are neglected compared to the strength of the nucleation rate. This new parameterization has a simple formula and is able to reproduce the 563 same average nucleation rate for the volcanic plumes observed in locations thousands of 564 kilometers distant from the erupted event (Boulon et al., 2011). Therefore, this parametrization 565 of particle formation rate, based on actual measurements, is a more representative of the 566 nucleation process occurred under largely uncharacterized volcanic degassing plumes conditions. 567 568 The new parametrization should further be tested in mesoscale models coupled with chemistry transport scheme and compared with pre-existing parametrizations for new particle formation 569

within volcanic plumes. It should be noted that although SA is the key factor for the NPF events 570 within the different volcanic plumes, we cannot exclude that condensable vapors other than SA, 571 e.g. halogens and organic vapors, could be participating to the nucleation and growth processes 572 573 in the FT and BL, and the latter should be investigated by deeper chemical characterization in future studies. Finally, this study contributes to better understand and quantify the natural 574 process of the gas to particle conversion within volcanic plumes, and how this process with the 575 resulted aerosol concentrations evolves temporally and spatially in the atmosphere aiming to 576 reduce the uncertainty of the aerosol's impact on climate. 577

### 578 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the three anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions, which contributed significantly to the improvement of the article.

The data of these measurements are possessed by the STRAP program and available on 581 582 STRAP website http://osur.univ-reunion.fr/recherche/strap/database/. The lead author and this work are funded by the ClerVolc project - Programme 1 "Detection and characterization of 583 volcanic plumes and ash clouds" funded by the French government 'Laboratory of Excellence' 584 initiative, ClerVolc contribution number 311. The authors would like to extend a special thanks 585 to the pilots and flight crew from SAFIRE for all their enthusiasm and support during the 586 measurement campaign aboard the ATR-42 aircraft. We would also like to thank Dr. Douglas R. 587 Worsnop, Vice President/Director in Aerodyne Incorporation, for the information to develop the 588 ambient ionization inlet to be used with the APi-ToF instrument onboard. 589

### 590 **References**

- Aiuppa, A., Federico, C., Giudice, G., Gurrieri, S., Liuzzo, M., Shinohara, H., et al. (2006). Rates of carbon dioxide
   plume degassing from Mount Etna volcano. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *111*(B9), B09207.
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JB004307
- Aiuppa, A., Giudice, G., Gurrieri, S., Liuzzo, M., Burton, M., Caltabiano, T., et al. (2008). Total volatile flux from Mount Etna. *Journal of Geophysical Reseach*, *35*, 12809–12819. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL035871
- Albrecht, B. A. (1989). Aerosols, Cloud Microphysics, and Fractional Cloudiness. *Science*, 245(4923), 1227–1230.
   https://doi.org/10.1126/science.245.4923.1227
- Allard, P., Carbonnelle, J., Dajlevic, D., Bronec, J. L., Morel, P., Robe, M. C., et al. (1991). Eruptive and diffuse
   emissions of CO2 from Mount Etna. *Nature*, *351*(6325), 387–391. https://doi.org/10.1038/351387a0
- Allard, P., Aiuppa, A., Loyer, H., Carrot, F., Gaudry, A., Pinte, G., et al. (2000). Acid gas and metal emission rates
   during long-lived basalt degassing at Stromboli volcano. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 27(8), 1207–1210.
   https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL008413
- Andres, R. J., & Kasgnoc, a. D. (1998). A time-averaged inventory of subaerial volcanic sulfur emissions. J.
   *Geophys. Res.*, 103(D19), 25251. https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD02091
- Beirle, S., Hörmann, C., Vries, M. P. De, Dörner, S., Kern, C., & Wagner, T. (2014). Estimating the volcanic
  emission rate and atmospheric lifetime of SO 2 from space : a case study for Kılauea volcano, Hawai 'i,
  8309–8322. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-8309-2014
- Blackburn, E. A., Wilson, L., & Sparks, R. J. (1976). Mechanisms and dynamics of strombolian activity. *Journal of the Geological Society*, 132, 429–440.
- Bobrowski, N., Glasow, R. Von, Aiuppa, A., Inguaggiato, S., Louban, I., & Ibrahim, O. W. (2007). Reactive
  halogen chemistry in volcanic plumes, *112*(November 2006), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007206
- Boulon, J., Sellegri, K., Hervo, M., & Laj, P. (2011). Observations of nucleation of new particles in a volcanic
  plume. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *108*(30), 12223–6.
  https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1104923108
- Burton, M. R., Caltabiano, T., Murè, F., Salerno, G., & Randazzo, D. (2008). SO2 flux from Stromboli during the
  2007 eruption: Results from the FLAME network and traverse measurements. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, *182*, 214–220. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2008.11.025
- 618 Caltabiano, T., Romano, R., & Budetta, G. (1994). SOz flux measurements at Mount Etna (Sicily). Journal of

- 619 *Geophysical Reseach*, 99(94), 12809–12819.
- Calvari, S., Salerno, G. G., Spampinato, L., Gouhier, M., Spina, A. La, Pecora, E., et al. (2011). An unloading foam
   model to constrain Etna 's 11 13 January 2011 lava fountaining episode. *Journal of Geophysical Reseach*,
   *116*(January), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JB008407
- Carn, S. A., Krotkov, N. A., Yang, K., & Krueger, A. J. (2013). Measuring global volcanic degassing with the
   Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI). *Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 380*(1), 229–257.
   https://doi.org/10.1144/SP380.12
- Carn, S. A., Clarisse, L., & Prata, A. J. (2016). Multi-decadal satellite measurements of global volcanic degassing.
   *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, *311*, 99–134.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JVOLGEORES.2016.01.002
- Carslaw, K. S., Lee, L. A., Reddington, C. L., Pringle, K. J., Rap, A., Forster, P. M., et al. (2013). Large contribution
  of natural aerosols to uncertainty in indirect forcing. *Nature*, 503(7474), 67–71.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12674
- Delmelle, P. (2003). Environmental impacts of tropospheric volcanic gas plumes. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 213(1), 381–399. https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2003.213.01.23
- Draxler, R. R. (2003). Evaluation of an Ensemble Dispersion Calculation. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 42(2),
   308–317. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(2003)042<0308:EOAEDC>20.CO;2
- Duplissy, J., Merikanto, J., Franchin, A., Tsagkogeorgas, G., Kangasluoma, J., Wimmer, D., et al. (2016). Effect of
   ions on sulfuric acid-water binary particle formation: 2. Experimental data and comparison with QC normalized classical nucleation theory. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *121*(4), 1752–1775.
   https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023539
- Galle, B., Johansson, M., Rivera, C., Zhang, Y., Kihlman, M., Kern, C., et al. (2010). Network for Observation of
  Volcanic and Atmospheric Change (NOVAC)—A global network for volcanic gas monitoring: Network
  layout and instrument description. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *115*(D5), D05304.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD011823
- Gassó, S. (2008). Satellite observations of the impact of weak volcanic activity on marine clouds. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *113*(D14), D14S19. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009106
- Gordon, H., Sengupta, K., Rap, A., Duplissy, J., Frege, C., Williamson, C., et al. (2016). Reduced anthropogenic
  aerosol radiative forcing caused by biogenic new particle formation. *PNAS*, *113*(43), 12053–12058.
  https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1602360113
- Gordon, H., Kirkby, J., Baltensperger, U., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., Curtius, J., et al. (2017). Causes and
   importance of new particle formation in the present-day and preindustrial atmospheres. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *122*(16), 8739–8760. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD026844
- GRIMM. (2008). Specification for Model 1.129 Sky-Optical Particle Counter (full version). Users' Manual, 1–44.
   Retrieved from www.grimm-aerosol.com
- Haywood, J., & Boucher, O. (2000). Estimates of the direct and indirect radiative forcing due to tropospheric
   aerosols: A review. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 38(4), 513–543. https://doi.org/10.1029/1999RG000078
- Hobbs, P. V., Tuell, J. P., Hegg, D. A., Radke, L. F., & Eltgroth, M. W. (1982). Particles and gases in the emissions
  from the 1980–1981 volcanic eruptions of Mt. St. Helens. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 87(C13), 11062.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/JC087iC13p11062
- Hoyle, C. R., Pinti, V., Welti, A., Zobrist, B., Marcolli, C., Luo, B., et al. (2011). Ice nucleation properties of
  volcanic ash from Eyjafjallajökull. *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *11*, 9911–9926.
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-9911-2011
- Ilyinskaya, E., Martin, R. S., & Oppenheimer, C. (2012). Aerosol formation in basaltic lava fountaining:
  Eyjafjallajökull volcano, Iceland. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *117*(D20).
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016811
- Ilyinskaya, E., Schmidt, A., Mather, T. A., Pope, F. D., Witham, C., Baxter, P., et al. (2017). Understanding the
  environmental impacts of large fissure eruptions: Aerosol and gas emissions from the 2014-2015 Holuhraun
  eruption (Iceland). *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 472, 309–322.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.05.025
- Junninen, H., Ehn, M., Petäjä, T., Luosujärvi, L., Kotiaho, T., Kostiainen, R., et al. (2010). A high-resolution mass
   spectrometer to measure atmospheric ion composition. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 3(4), 1039–
   1053. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-3-1039-2010
- Kantzas, E. P., & McGonigle, A. J. S. (2008). Ground Based Ultraviolet Remote Sensing of Volcanic Gas Plumes.
   *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, 8(3), 1559–1574. https://doi.org/10.3390/s8031559
- 674 Kerminen, V.-M., Paramonov, M., Anttila, T., Riipinen, I., Fountoukis, C., Korhonen, H., et al. (2012). Cloud

- 675 condensation nuclei production associated with atmospheric nucleation: a synthesis based on existing 676
  - literature and new results. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12(24), 12037–12059.
- 677 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-12037-2012
- 678 Kirkby, J., Curtius, J., Almeida, J., Dunne, E., Duplissy, J., Ehrhart, S., et al. (2011). Role of sulphuric acid, 679 ammonia and galactic cosmic rays in atmospheric aerosol nucleation. Nature, 476(7361), 429-433. 680 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10343
- 681 Kroll, J. H., Cross, E. S., Hunter, J. F., Pai, S., Wallace, L. M. M., Croteau, P. L., et al. (2015). Atmospheric 682 evolution of sulfur emissions from KIlauea: Real-time measurements of oxidation, dilution, and neutralization 683 within a volcanic plume. Environmental Science and Technology, 49(7), 4129-4137. 684 https://doi.org/10.1021/es506119x
- 685 Kuang, C., McMurry, P. H., McCormick, A. V., & Eisele, F. L. (2008). Dependence of nucleation rates on sulfuric 686 acid vapor concentration in diverse atmospheric locations. Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 113(10), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009253 687
- 688 Kulmala, M., & Kerminen, V.-M. (2008). On the formation and growth of atmospheric nanoparticles. Atmospheric 689 Research, 90(2-4), 132-150. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ATMOSRES.2008.01.005
- Kulmala, M., & Laaksonen, A. (1990). Binary nucleation of water-sulfuric acid system: Comparison of classical 690 691 theories with different H 2 SO 4 saturation vapor pressures. The Journal of Chemical Physics, 93(1), 696–701. 692 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.459519
- 693 Kulmala, M., Laaksonen, A., & Pirjola, L. (1998). Parameterizations for sulfuric acid/water nucleation rates. 694 Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 103(D7), 8301-8307. https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD03718
- 695 Kulmala, M., Dal Maso, M., Mäkelä, J. M., Pirjola, L., Väkevä, M., Aalto, P., et al. (2001). On the formation, growth and composition of nucleation mode particles. *Tellus, Series B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 696 697 53(4), 479–490. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0889.2001.d01-33.x
- Kulmala, M., Vehkamäki, H., Petäjä, T., Dal Maso, M., Lauri, A., Kerminen, V. M., et al. (2004). Formation and 698 699 growth rates of ultrafine atmospheric particles: A review of observations. Journal of Aerosol Science, 35(2). 700 143-176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2003.10.003
- 701 Kulmala, M., Lehtinen, K. E. J., & Laaksonen, A. (2006). Cluster activation theory as an explanation of the linear 702 dependence between formation rate of 3 nm particles and sulphuric acid concentration. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 703 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6, 787-793. Retrieved from www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/787/2006/
- 704 Kulmala, M., Petäjä, T., Ehn, M., Thornton, J., Sipilä, M., Worsnop, D. R., & Kerminen, V.-M. (2014). Chemistry 705 of Atmospheric Nucleation: On the Recent Advances on Precursor Characterization and Atmospheric Cluster 706 Composition in Connection with Atmospheric New Particle Formation. Annual Review of Physical Chemistry, 707 65(1), 21-37. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physchem-040412-110014
- 708 Kupc, A., Bischof, O., Tritscher, T., Beeston, M., Krinke, T., & Wagner, P. E. (2013). Laboratory Characterization 709 of a New Nano-Water- Based CPC 3788 and Performance Comparison to an Ultrafine Butanol-Based CPC 710 3776 Laboratory Characterization of a New Nano-Water-Based CPC 3788 and Performance Comparison to an 711 Ultrafine Butanol-Based CPC 3776. Aerosol Science and Technology, 47(47). https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2012.738317 712
- 713 Makkonen, R., Asmi, A., Kerminen, V.-M., Boy, M., Arneth, A., Hari, P., & Kulmala, M. (2012). Air pollution 714 control and decreasing new particle formation lead to strong climate warming. Atmospheric Chemistry and 715 Physics, 12(3), 1515–1524. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-1515-2012
- 716 Mather, T. A. (2015). Volcanoes and the environment: Lessons for understanding Earth's past and future from 717 studies of present-day volcanic emissions. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 304, 160-179. 718 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2015.08.016
- 719 Mather, T. A., & Pyle, D. M. (2015). Volcanic emissions: short-term perturbations, long-term consequences and 720 global environmental change. In A. Schmidt, K. E. Fristad, & L. T. Elkins-Tanton (Eds.), Volcanism and 721 Global Environmental Change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 722 https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415683
- 723 Mather, T. A., Pyle, D. M., & Oppenheimer, C. (2003). Tropospheric volcanic aerosol (pp. 189-212). American 724 Geophysical Union. https://doi.org/10.1029/139GM12
- 725 Mather, T. A., Oppenheimer, C., Allen, A. G., & McGonigle, A. J. S. (2004). Aerosol chemistry of emissions from 726 three contrasting volcanoes in Italy. Atmospheric Environment, 38(33), 5637-5649. 727 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ATMOSENV.2004.06.017
- 728 Mauldin, R. L., Cantrell, C. A., Zondlo, M., Kosciuch, E., Eisele, F. L., Chen, G., et al. (2003). Highlights of OH, 729 H2SO4, and methane sulfonic acid measurements made aboard the NASA P-3B during Transport and 730 Chemical Evolution over the Pacific, 108, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003410

- McCormick, K. B., Edmonds, M., & Biggs, J. (2016). Observing eruptions of gas-rich compressible magmas from
   space. *Nature Communications*, 7, 13744. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13744
- McGonigle, A. J. S., & Oppenheimer, C. (2003). Optical sensing of volcanic gas and aerosol emissions. *Geological Society Special Publication*, 213(January), 149–168. https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2003.213.01.09
- McGonigle, A. J. S., Pering, T. D., Wilkes, T. C., Tamburello, G., D'Aleo, R., Bitetto, M., et al. (2017). Ultraviolet
   Imaging of Volcanic Plumes: A New Paradigm in Volcanology. *Geosciences*, 7(3), 68.
   https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences7030068
- McMurry, P. H., & Friedlander, S. K. (1979). New particle formation in the presence of an aerosol. *Atmospheric Environment (1967)*, *13*(12), 1635–1651. https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(79)90322-6
- Merikanto, J., Spracklen, D. V, Mann, G. W., Pickering, S. J., & Carslaw, K. S. (2009). Impact of nucleation on
   global CCN. *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *9*, 8601–8616. Retrieved from
   www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/8601/2009/
- 743 Model T100U Trace Level Sulfur Dioxide Analyzer. (2011). Users' Manual, (August).
- National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology. (2016). Bollettino settimanale sul monitoraggio vulcanico,
   *geochimico e sismico del vulcano Etna, Rep. Nº 25/2016.* Retrieved from
- http://www.ct.ingv.it/en/rapporti/multidisciplinari.html?view=docman&start=195
  Naughton, J. J., Lewis, V., Thomas, D., & Finlayson, J. B. (1975). Fume compositions found at various stages of activity at Kilauea Volcano, Hawaii. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 80(21), 2963–2966.
- https://doi.org/10.1029/JC080i021p02963
   Oppenheimer, C., Pyle, D. M. (David M. ., & Barclay, J. (Jenni). (2003). *Volcanic degassing*. Geological Society.
   Retrieved from https://books.google.fr/books?hl=en&lr=&id=zsPoH-
- Oppenheimer, C., Kyle, P., Eisele, F., Crawford, J., Huey, G., Tanner, D., et al. (2010). Atmospheric chemistry of an
   Antarctic volcanic plume. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, *115*(4), 1–15.
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD011910
- Oppenheimer, C., Scaillet, B., & Martin, R. S. (2011). Sulfur Degassing From Volcanoes: Source Conditions,
   Surveillance, Plume Chemistry and Earth System Impacts. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, 73(1),
   363–421. https://doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2011.73.13
- Petäjä, T., Sipilä, M., Paasonen, P., Nieminen, T., Kurtén, T., Ortega, I. K., et al. (2011). Experimental Observation
   of Strongly Bound Dimers of Sulfuric Acid: Application to Nucleation in the Atmosphere. *Physical Review Letters*, 106(22), 228302. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.228302
- Petäjä, T., Laakso, L., Grönholm, T., Launiainen, S., Evele-Peltoniemi, I., Virkkula, A., et al. (2012). In-situ
   observations of Eyjafjallajökull ash particles by hot-air balloon. *Atmospheric Environment*, 48, 104–112.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ATMOSENV.2011.08.046
- Pirjola, L., Markku, K., Martin, W., Albrecht, B., Frank, S., & Eckhard, O. (1999). Formation of sulphuric acid
   aerosols and cloud condensation nuclie: An expression for significant nucleation and model comparison.
   *Journal of Aerosol Science*, 30(8), 1079–1094. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8502(98)00776-9
- Pyle, D. M., & Mather, T. A. (2003). The importance of volcanic emissions for the global atmospheric mercury
   cycle. *Atmospheric Environment*, 37(36), 5115–5124. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ATMOSENV.2003.07.011
- Radke, L. F. (1982). Sulphur and Sulphate from Mt Erebus. *Nature*, 299, 710–712.
- Roberts, T. J., Vignelles, D., Liuzzo, M., Giudice, G., Aiuppa, A., Coltelli, M., et al. (2018). The primary volcanic
   aerosol emission from Mt Etna: Size-resolved particles with SO2 and role in plume reactive halogen
   chemistry. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 222, 74–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GCA.2017.09.040
- Robock, A. (2000). Volcanic eruptions and climate. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 38(2), 191–219.
   https://doi.org/10.1029/1998RG000054
- Rondo, L., Ehrhart, S., Kürten, A., Adamov, A., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., et al. (2016). Effect of
  dimethylamine on the gas phase sulfuric acid concentration measured by Chemical Ionization Mass
  Spectrometry. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *121*(6), 3036–3049.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023868
- Rose, W. I., & Durant, A. J. (2009). Fine ash content of explosive eruptions. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, *186*(1–2), 32–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2009.01.010
- Rose, W. I., Millard, G. A., Mather, T. A., Hunton, D. E., Anderson, B., Oppenheimer, C., et al. (2006).
- 785Atmospheric chemistry of a 33-34 hour old volcanic cloud from Hekla Volcano (Iceland): Insights from direct786sampling and the application of chemical box modeling. Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres,

#### 787 *111*(20), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006872

- Schmidt, A., Ostro, B., Carslaw, K. S., Wilson, M., Thordarson, T., Mann, G. W., & Simmons, A. J. (2011). Excess
   mortality in Europe following a future Laki-style Icelandic eruption. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 108(38), 15710–5. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1108569108
- Schmidt, A., Carslaw, K. S., Mann, G. W., Rap, A., Pringle, K. J., Spracklen, D. V., et al. (2012). Importance of
   tropospheric volcanic aerosol for indirect radiative forcing of climate. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*,
   12(16), 7321–7339. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-7321-2012
- Schmidt, A., Leadbetter, S., Theys, N., Carboni, E., Witham, C. S., Stevenson, J. A., et al. (2015). Satellite
  detection, long-range transport, and air quality impacts of volcanic sulfur dioxide from the 2014-2015 flood
  lava eruption at Bárðarbunga (Iceland). *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *120*(18), 9739–9757.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023638
- Seidel, D. J., Zhang, Y., Beljaars, A., Golaz, J.-C., Jacobson, A. R., Medeiros, B., et al. (2012). Climatology of the
  planetary boundary layer over the continental United States and Europe. *J. Geophys. Res*, *117*, 17106.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JD018143
- Sihto, S.-L., Kulmala, M., Kerminen, V.-M., Dal Maso, M., Petäjä, T., Riipinen, I., et al. (2006). Atmospheric
   sulphuric acid and aerosol formation: implications from atmospheric measurements for nucleation and early
   growth mechanisms. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 6(12), 4079–4091. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6 4079-2006
- Simpson, D., Winiwarter, W., Börjesson, G., Cinderby, S., Ferreiro, A., Guenther, A., et al. (1999). Inventorying
   emissions from nature in Europe. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *104*(D7), 8113–8152.
   https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD02747
- Sipilä, M., Berndt, T., Petäjä, T., Brus, D., Vanhanen, J., Stratmann, F., et al. (2010). The role of sulfuric acid in atmospheric nucleation. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 327(5970), 1243–6.
  https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1180315
- Smith, S. J., Van Aardenne, J., Klimont, Z., Andres, R. J., Volke, A., & Delgado Arias, S. (2011). Anthropogenic
  sulfur dioxide emissions: 1850-2005. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11(3), 1101–1116.
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-1101-2011
- Stein, F. A., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, J., Cohen, M. D., & Ngan, F. (2016). NOAA'S HYSPLIT
  Atmospheric transport and dispersion modeling system. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*,
  96(12), 2059–2077. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1
- Tomasi, C., & Lupi, A. (2016). Primary and Secondary Sources of Atmospheric Aerosol. *Atmospheric Aerosols*, 1–
   86. https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527336449.ch1
- Tulet, P., Muro, A. Di, Colomb, A., Denjean, C., Duflot, V., Arellano, S., et al. (2017). First results of the Piton de
  la Fournaise STRAP 2015 experiment: multidisciplinary tracking of a volcanic gas and aerosol plume. *Atmos. Chem. Phys*, 17, 5355–5378. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-5355-2017
- Vignelles, D., Roberts, T. J., Carboni, E., Ilyinskaya, E., Pfeffer, M., Dagsson Waldhauserova, P., et al. (2016).
   Balloon-borne measurement of the aerosol size distribution from an Icelandic flood basalt eruption. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 453, 252–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2016.08.027
- Volcanology, N. I. of G. and. (2016). Bollettino settimanale sul monitoraggio vulcanico, geochimico, delle
   deformazioni del suolo e sismico del vulcano Stromboli, Rep. N° 25/2016. Retrieved from
- 827 http://www.ct.ingv.it/en/rapporti/multidisciplinari.html?view=docman&start=195
- Watson, I. M., & Oppenheimer, C. (2000). Particle size distributions of Mount Etna's aerosol plume constrained by
   Sun photometry. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, 105(D8), 9823–9829.
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900042
- Weber, K., Eliasson, J., Vogel, A., Fischer, C., Pohl, T., Van Haren, G., et al. (2012). Airborne in-situ investigations
   of the Eyjafjallajökull volcanic ash plume on Iceland and over north-western Germany with light aircrafts and
   optical particle counters. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.10.030
- Weber, R. J., Marti, J. J., McMurry, P. H., Eisele, F. L., Tanner, D. J., & Jefferson, A. (1996). MEASURED
  ATMOSPHERIC NEW PARTICLE FORMATION RATES: IMPLICATIONS FOR NUCLEATION
  MECHANISMS. Chemical Engineering Communications, 151(1), 53–64.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/00986449608936541
- Weber, R. J., Lee, S., Chen, G., Wang, B., Kapustin, V., Moore, K., et al. (2003). New particle formation in
  anthropogenic plumes advecting from Asia observed during TRACE-P. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 108(D21). https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD003112
- Weigel, R., Hermann, M., Curtius, J., Voigt, C., Walter, S., Böttger, T., et al. (2009). Experimental characterization
   of the COndensation PArticle counting System for high altitude aircraft-borne application. *Atmospheric*

- 843 *Measurement Techniques*, 2(1), 243–258. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2-243-2009
- Zelenski, M., Taran, Y., & Galle, B. (2015). High emission rate of sulfuric acid from Bezymianny volcano,
- 845 Kamchatka. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(17), 7005–7013. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL065340

846