

Perspectives of seismic imaging using FWI with reciprocity misfit functional

Florian Faucher

To cite this version:

Florian Faucher. Perspectives of seismic imaging using FWI with reciprocity misfit functional. Journées Ondes Sud-Ouest (JOSO), Mar 2019, Le Barp, France. hal-02076212

HAL Id: hal-02076212 <https://hal.science/hal-02076212v1>

Submitted on 22 Mar 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Perspectives of seismic imaging using FWI with reciprocity misfit functional

<u>Florian Faucher</u>¹,

Giovanni Alessandrini², Hélène Barucq¹, Maarten V. de Hoop³, Romina Gaburro⁴ and Eva Sincich².

Journées Ondes Sud-Ouest, CEA Cesta, France Marth 12^{th} -14th, 2019

¹ Project-Team Magique-3D, Inria Bordeaux Sud-Ouest, France.

^{2&}lt;br>² Dipartimento di Matematica e Geoscienze, Università di Trieste, Italy.

^{3&}lt;br>³ Department of Computational and Applied Mathematics and Earth Science, Rice University, Houston, USA

^{4&}lt;br>"Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Health Research Institute (HRI), University of Limerick, Ireland.

Introduction

- 2 Time-Harmonic Inverse Problem, FWI
- 3 Reconstruction procedure using dual-sensors data

4 Numerical experiments

- Comparison of misfit functions
- Changing the numerical acquisition with \mathcal{J}_G

Conclusion

Reconstruction of subsurface Earth properties from seismic campaign: collection of wave propagation data at the surface.

Inverse problem: from seismic traces to subsurface?

nonlinear, ill-posed inverse problem.

Florian Faucher – Reciprocity Waveform Inversion – March 12–14, 2019 5/22

2 Time-Harmonic Inverse Problem, FWI

We consider propagation in acoustic media, given by the Euler's equations, heterogeneous medium parameters κ and ρ :

$$
\begin{cases}\n-i\omega \rho(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla p(\mathbf{x}), \\
-i\omega p(\mathbf{x}) = -\kappa(\mathbf{x})\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{x}).\n\end{cases}
$$

- p: scalar pressure field,
- v: vectorial velocity field,
- f : source term.
- κ : bulk modulus,
- ρ: density,
- ω : angular frequency.

We consider propagation in acoustic media, given by the Euler's equations, heterogeneous medium parameters κ and ρ :

$$
\begin{cases}\n-i\omega \rho(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla p(\mathbf{x}), \\
-i\omega p(\mathbf{x}) = -\kappa(\mathbf{x})\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{x}).\n\end{cases}
$$

p: scalar pressure field, v: vectorial velocity field, f : source term. κ : bulk modulus, ρ: density, ω : angular frequency.

The system reduces to the Helmholtz equation when ρ is constant,

$$
(-\omega^2 c(\mathbf{x})^{-2} - \Delta)p(\mathbf{x}) = 0,
$$

with
$$
c(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{\kappa(\mathbf{x})\rho(\mathbf{x})^{-1}}
$$
.

The inverse problem aims the recovery of the subsurface medium parameters from surface measurements of pressure and normal (vertical) velocity:

$$
\mathcal{F}: m=(\kappa,\rho) \rightarrow \{\mathcal{F}_p: \mathcal{F}_v\} = \{p(\mathbf{x}_1), p(\mathbf{x}_2), \ldots, p(\mathbf{x}_{n_{\text{rcv}}});\\ v_n(\mathbf{x}_1), v_n(\mathbf{x}_2), \ldots, v_n(\mathbf{x}_{n_{\text{rcv}}})\}.
$$

D. Carlson, N. D. Whitmore et al.

Increased resolution of seismic data from a dual-sensor streamer cable – Imaging of primaries and multiples using a dual-sensor towed streamer

SEG, 2007 – 2010

CGG & Lundun Norway (2017–2018)

TopSeis acquisition (<www.cgg.com/en/What-We-Do/Offshore/Products-and-Solutions/TopSeis>)
Florian Faucher - Reciprocity Waveform Inversion - March 12-14, 2019

 $Reciprocity Waveform Inversion$ $-$ March 12–14, 2019 8/22

FWI provides a quantitative reconstruction of the subsurface parameters by solving a minimization problem,

$$
\min_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \quad \mathcal{J}(m) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{F}(m) - d\|^2.
$$

- \blacktriangleright d are the observed data.
- \blacktriangleright $\mathcal{F}(m)$ represents the simulation using an initial model m:

P. Lailly

The seismic inverse problem as a sequence of before stack migrations Conference on Inverse Scattering: Theory and Application, SIAM, 1983

A. Tarantola

Inversion of seismic reflection data in the acoustic approximation Geophysics, 1984

A. Tarantola

Inversion of travel times and seismic waveforms Seismic tomography, 1987

Numerical methods

- \triangleright Adjoint-method for the gradient computation, L-BFGS method,
- \triangleright forward problem resolution with Discontinuous Galerkin methods,
- \triangleright parallel computation, HPC, large-scale optimization,
- \triangleright Rk: the code also works for elastic anisotropy and viscous media.

- \blacktriangleright > 10⁵: unknowns per physical parameter,
- \blacktriangleright > 10⁶: matrix size for discretization,
- \triangleright we also study stability and convergence of the algorithm ...

3 Reconstruction procedure using dual-sensors data

The appropriate misfit functional to minimize with pressure and vertical velocity measurements.

 \triangleright Compare the pressure and velocity fields separately:

$$
\mathcal{J}_{L2} = \sum_{source} \frac{1}{2} ||\mathcal{F}_{P}^{(s)} - d_{P}^{(s)}||^{2} + \frac{1}{2} ||\mathcal{F}_{V}^{(s)} - d_{V}^{(s)}||^{2}.
$$

The appropriate misfit functional to minimize with pressure and vertical velocity measurements.

 \triangleright Compare the pressure and velocity fields separately:

$$
\mathcal{J}_{L2} = \sum_{source} \frac{1}{2} ||\mathcal{F}_{P}^{(s)} - d_{P}^{(s)}||^{2} + \frac{1}{2} ||\mathcal{F}_{V}^{(s)} - d_{V}^{(s)}||^{2}.
$$

 \triangleright Compare the fields multiplication for all combinations:

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1} \sum_{s_2} ||d_v^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_p^{(s_2)} - d_p^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_v^{(s_2)}||^2.
$$

ā.

G. Alessandrini, M.V. de Hoop, F. F., R. Gaburro and E. Sincich

Inverse problem for the Helmholtz equation with Cauchy data: reconstruction with conditional well-posedness driven iterative regularization

preprint

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1} \sum_{s_2} ||d_v^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_p^{(s_2)} - d_p^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_v^{(s_2)}||^2.
$$

From Euler's equation, $v_n(\mathbf{x}_i) = -i(\omega \rho)^{-1} \partial_n p(\mathbf{x}_i)$.

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1} \sum_{s_2} ||d_{v}^{(s_1)}{}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{F}_{p}^{(s_2)} - d_{p}^{(s_1)}{}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{F}_{v}^{(s_2)}||^2.
$$

From Euler's equation, $v_n(\mathbf{x}_i) = -i(\omega \rho)^{-1} \partial_n p(\mathbf{x}_i)$.

 \triangleright Cauchy data: the cost function follows Green's identity.

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1} \sum_{s_2} ||d_{v}^{(s_1)}{}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{F}_{p}^{(s_2)} - d_{p}^{(s_1)}{}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{F}_{v}^{(s_2)}||^2.
$$

From Euler's equation, $v_n(\mathbf{x}_i) = -i(\omega \rho)^{-1} \partial_n p(\mathbf{x}_i)$.

- Cauchy data: the cost function follows Green's identity.
- Reciprocity gap functional in inverse scattering.

D. Colton and H. Haddar

An application of the reciprocity gap functional to inverse scattering theory Inverse Problems 21 (1) (2005), 383398.

G. Alessandrini, M.V. de Hoop, R. Gaburro and E. Sincich

Lipschitz stability for a piecewise linear Schrödinger potential from local Cauchy data arXiv:1702.04222, 2017

G. Alessandrini, M.V. de Hoop, F. F., R. Gaburro and E. Sincich

Inverse problem for the Helmholtz equation with Cauchy data: reconstruction with conditional well-posedness driven iterative regularization preprint

Lipschitz type stability is obtained for the Helmholtz equation with partial Cauchy data.

$$
\|c_1-c_2\|\leq \mathcal{C}\big(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}}(c_1,c_2)\big)^{1/2}
$$

Lipschitz type stability is obtained for the Helmholtz equation with partial Cauchy data.

$$
\|c_1-c_2\|\leq \mathcal{C}\big(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}}(c_1,c_2)\big)^{1/2}
$$

 \triangleright Using back-scattered data from one side in a domain with free surface and absorbing conditions,

 \blacktriangleright for piecewise linear parameters.

G. Alessandrini, M.V. de Hoop, R. Gaburro and E. Sincich

Lipschitz stability for a piecewise linear Schrödinger potential from local Cauchy data arXiv:1702.04222, 2017

presented the control of the con-

G. Alessandrini, M.V. de Hoop, F. F., R. Gaburro and E. Sincich

Inverse problem for the Helmholtz equation with Cauchy data: reconstruction with conditional
Mell posedness drive fixture with a Ways transformation and the March 12-14, 2019 Florian Fauchet_{well-}posedness driven teistative regularization version – March 12–14, 2019 14/22

It allows the non-collocation of numerical and observational sources:

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1} \sum_{s_2} ||d_{v}^{(s_1)}{}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{F}_{p}^{(s_2)} - d_{p}^{(s_1)}{}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{F}_{v}^{(s_2)}||^2.
$$

- \triangleright s₁ is fixed by the observational setup,
- \triangleright s₂ is chosen for the numerical comparisons.

4 Numerical experiments

- **Comparison of misfit functions**
- Changing the numerical acquisition with \mathcal{J}_G

3D velocity model $2.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.2$ km using dual-sensors data.

We work with time-domain data acquisition.

We work with time-domain data (pressure and velocity).

For the reconstruction, we apply a Fourier transform of the time data.

We respect the observational acquisition setup and perform the minimization of \mathcal{J}_{L2} or \mathcal{J}_{G} , frequency from 3 to 15Hz.

$$
\mathcal{J}_{L2} = \sum_{source} \frac{1}{2} ||\mathcal{F}_{p}^{(s)} - d_{p}^{(s)}||^{2} + \frac{1}{2} ||\mathcal{F}_{V}^{(s)} - d_{V}^{(s)}||^{2}.
$$

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{source source}} \sum_{\text{source}} ||d_{\mathcal{V}}^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_{p}^{(s_2)} - d_{p}^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^{(s_2)}||^{2}.
$$

We respect the observational acquisition setup and perform the minimization of \mathcal{J}_{L2} or \mathcal{J}_{G} , frequency from 3 to 15Hz.

We respect the observational acquisition setup and perform the minimization of \mathcal{J}_{L2} or \mathcal{J}_{G} , frequency from 3 to 15Hz.

We respect the observational acquisition setup and perform the minimization of \mathcal{J}_{12} or \mathcal{J}_G , frequency from 3 to 15Hz.

But the major advantage of \mathcal{J}_G is the possibility to consider alternative acquisition setup.

Florian Faucher – Reciprocity Waveform Inversion – March 12–14, 2019 18/22

$$
\min \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1} \sum_{s_2} ||d_v^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_p^{(s_2)} - d_p^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_v^{(s_2)}||^2.
$$

Acquisition for the measures s_1

- \blacktriangleright 160 sources,
- \blacktriangleright 100 m depth,
- \blacktriangleright point source,

$$
\min \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1} \sum_{s_2} ||d_v^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_p^{(s_2)} - d_p^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_v^{(s_2)}||^2.
$$

Acquisition for the measures s_1

- \blacktriangleright 160 sources.
- 100 m depth,
- point source,

0 $_{0.5}$ 1 x y Amplitude Arbitrary numerical acquisition s_2

- \blacktriangleright 5 sources.
- \blacktriangleright 80m depth,
- \blacktriangleright multi-point sources,

No need to known observational source wavelet.

Differentiation impossible with least squares types misfit.

Data from frequency between 3 to 15 Hz, domain size $2.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.2$ km, Simulation using 5 sources only.

Frequency from 3 to 15 Hz, $2.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.2$ km, Simulation using 5 sources only. -33% computational time.

Seismic inverse problem using pressure and vertical velocity data:

- \blacktriangleright appropriate cost function to minimize,
- \blacktriangleright allow minimal information on the acquisition setup,
- \triangleright other applications,
- \triangleright perspective: design the most efficient numerical setup,
- \triangleright Rk: possible for elastic media with measures of traction.

Seismic inverse problem using pressure and vertical velocity data:

- \blacktriangleright appropriate cost function to minimize,
- \blacktriangleright allow minimal information on the acquisition setup,
- \triangleright other applications,
- \triangleright perspective: design the most efficient numerical setup,
- \triangleright Rk: possible for elastic media with measures of traction.

Quantitative reconstruction algorithm toolbox for time-harmonic wave,

- \triangleright Discontinuous Galerkin discretization in HPC framework,
- \blacktriangleright large scale optimization scheme using back-scattered data,
- \triangleright acoustic, elastic, anisotropy, 2D, 3D, attenuation.

P- and S-wavespeed reconstructions

Seismic inverse problem using pressure and vertical velocity data:

- \blacktriangleright appropriate cost function to minimize,
- \blacktriangleright allow minimal information on the acquisition setup,
- \triangleright other applications,
- \triangleright perspective: design the most efficient numerical setup,
- \triangleright Rk: possible for elastic media with measures of traction.

Quantitative reconstruction algorithm toolbox for time-harmonic wave,

- \triangleright Discontinuous Galerkin discretization in HPC framework,
- \blacktriangleright large scale optimization scheme using back-scattered data,
- \triangleright acoustic, elastic, anisotropy, 2D, 3D, attenuation.

Thank you

Appendix

Stability of the Helmholtz Inverse Problem

$||c_1^{-2}-c_2^{-2}|| \leq \mathcal{C}(|F(c_1^{-2})-F(c_2^{-2})||)$

G. Alessandrini

Stable determination of conductivity by boundary measurement Applicable Analysis 1988

N. Mandache

Exponential instability in an inverse problem for Schrödinger equation Inverse Problems 2001

Florian Faucher – Reciprocity Waveform Inversion – March 12–14, 2019 2/5

Stability of the Helmholtz Inverse Problem

- \triangleright Stability associate data and model correspondence
- ^I Reconstruction is based on the iterative minimization of the difference between observation and simulation using an initial model.

G. Alessandrini

Stable determination of conductivity by boundary measurement Applicable Analysis 1988

N. Mandache

Exponential instability in an inverse problem for Schrödinger equation Inverse Problems 2001

Stability of the Helmholtz Inverse Problem

- \triangleright Stability associate data and model correspondence
- $\blacktriangleright \mathcal{C}(\delta) \leq C\big(\log(1+\delta^{-1})\big)^{-\alpha}$

G. Alessandrini

Stable determination of conductivity by boundary measurement Applicable Analysis 1988

N. Mandache

Exponential instability in an inverse problem for Schrödinger equation Inverse Problems 2001

Florian Faucher – Reciprocity Waveform Inversion – March 12–14, 2019 2/5

Conditional Lipschitz stability: assumptions

 \triangleright $c(x)$ has a piecewise constant representation of size N

$$
c(x)^{-2} = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \chi_k(x)
$$

 \triangleright Ω has Lipschitz boundary

$$
||c_1^{-2}-c_2^{-2}||_{L^2(\Omega)}\leq \mathcal{C}||F(c_1^{-2})-F(c_2^{-2})|| \qquad (1)
$$

ā.

G. Alessandrini and S. Vessella

Lipschitz stability for the inverse conductivity problem

Advances in Applied Mathematics 2005

E. Beretta, M. V. de Hoop, F. and O. Scherzer

Inverse boundary value problem for the Helmholtz equation: quantitative conditional Lipschitz stability estimates.

SIAM Journal of Mathematical Analysis 2016

Florian Faucher – Reciprocity Waveform Inversion – March 12–14, 2019 3/5

晶

The stability constant is bounded

$$
\frac{1}{4\omega^2}e^{K_1N^{1/5}} \leq C \leq \frac{1}{\omega^2}e^{(K(1+\omega^2B_2)N^{4/7})}
$$
(2)

 \blacktriangleright depends on the partitioning N and the frequency ω

E. Beretta, M. V. de Hoop, F. and O. Scherzer

Inverse boundary value problem for the Helmholtz equation: quantitative conditional Lipschitz stability estimates 2016

In the case of partial Cauchy data (p and $\partial_{\nu}p$), we have that, we can obtain a Lipschitz type stability:

$$
\|c_1^{-2}-c_2^{-2}\|\leq \mathcal{C}\big(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}}(c_1^{-2},c_2^{-2})\big)^{1/2}
$$

Where c_1^{-2} and c_2^{-2} are piecewise linear.