

Perspectives of seismic imaging using FWI with reciprocity misfit functional

Florian Faucher

► To cite this version:

Florian Faucher. Perspectives of seismic imaging using FWI with reciprocity misfit functional. Journées Ondes Sud-Ouest (JOSO), Mar 2019, Le Barp, France. hal-02076212

HAL Id: hal-02076212 https://hal.science/hal-02076212v1

Submitted on 22 Mar 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Perspectives of seismic imaging using FWI with reciprocity misfit functional

Florian Faucher¹,

Giovanni Alessandrini², Hélène Barucq¹, Maarten V. de Hoop³, Romina Gaburro⁴ and Eva Sincich².

Journées Ondes Sud-Ouest, CEA Cesta, France Marth 12th-14th, 2019





¹Project-Team Magique-3D, Inria Bordeaux Sud-Ouest, France.

²Dipartimento di Matematica e Geoscienze, Università di Trieste, Italy.

³Department of Computational and Applied Mathematics and Earth Science, Rice University, Houston, USA
⁴Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Health Research Institute (HRI), University of Limerick, Ireland.

Overvie	W			Íntia
Intro	Inverse Problem	Reconstruction procedure	Experiments	Conclusion
00	0000		0000	O

Introduction

- 2 Time-Harmonic Inverse Problem, FWI
- 8 Reconstruction procedure using dual-sensors data

4 Numerical experiments

- Comparison of misfit functions
- Changing the numerical acquisition with $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}}$

5 Conclusion

Intro	Inverse Problem	Reconstruction procedure	Experiments	Conclusion
00	0000		0000	O
Plan				Ínsteinestiss mesmenarise





Reconstruction of subsurface Earth properties from seismic campaign: collection of **wave** propagation data at the surface.





















Inverse problem: from seismic traces to subsurface?



nonlinear, ill-posed inverse problem.

Reciprocity Waveform Inversion

March 12-14, 2019

Intro 00	Inverse Problem	Reconstruction procedure	Experiments 0000	Conclusion O
Plan				Interestic responses

2 Time-Harmonic Inverse Problem, FWI



We consider propagation in acoustic media, given by the Euler's equations, heterogeneous medium parameters κ and ρ :

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{i}\omega\rho(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla\rho(\mathbf{x}), \\ -\mathrm{i}\omega\rho(\mathbf{x}) = -\kappa(\mathbf{x})\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{x}). \end{cases}$$

- *p*: scalar pressure field,*v*: vectorial velocity field,*f*: source term,
- κ : bulk modulus,
- ρ : density,
- ω : angular frequency.



We consider propagation in acoustic media, given by the Euler's equations, heterogeneous medium parameters κ and ρ :

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{i}\omega\rho(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla\rho(\mathbf{x}), \\ -\mathrm{i}\omega\rho(\mathbf{x}) = -\kappa(\mathbf{x})\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{x}). \end{cases}$$

p: scalar pressure field, κ : bulk modulus,v: vectorial velocity field, ρ : density,f: source term, ω : angular frequency.

The system reduces to the Helmholtz equation when ρ is constant,

$$(-\omega^2 c(\mathbf{x})^{-2} - \Delta) p(\mathbf{x}) = 0,$$

with
$$c(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{\kappa(\mathbf{x})\rho(\mathbf{x})^{-1}}$$

Florian Faucher



The inverse problem aims the recovery of the subsurface medium parameters from surface measurements of pressure **and** normal (vertical) velocity:

$$\mathcal{F}: m = (\kappa, \rho) \quad \rightarrow \quad \{\mathcal{F}_p ; \mathcal{F}_v\} = \{p(\mathbf{x}_1), p(\mathbf{x}_2), \dots, p(\mathbf{x}_{n_{rcv}}); \\ v_n(\mathbf{x}_1), v_n(\mathbf{x}_2), \dots, v_n(\mathbf{x}_{n_{rcv}})\}.$$



D. Carlson, N. D. Whitmore et al.

Increased resolution of seismic data from a dual-sensor streamer cable – Imaging of primaries and multiples using a dual-sensor towed streamer

SEG, 2007 - 2010

CGG & Lundun Norway (2017–2018)

TopSeis acquisition (www.cgg.com/en/What-We-Do/Offshore/Products-and-Solutions/TopSeis)

Florian Faucher

Reciprocity Waveform Inversion

March 12–14, 2019



FWI provides a **quantitative reconstruction** of the subsurface parameters by solving a minimization problem,

$$\min_{m\in\mathcal{M}} \quad \mathcal{J}(m) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{F}(m) - d\|^2.$$

- d are the observed data,
- $\mathcal{F}(m)$ represents the simulation using an initial model m:



P. Lailly

The seismic inverse problem as a sequence of before stack migrations Conference on Inverse Scattering: Theory and Application, SIAM, 1983



A. Tarantola

Inversion of seismic reflection data in the acoustic approximation Geophysics, 1984



A. Tarantola

Inversion of travel times and seismic waveforms Seismic tomography, 1987

Florian Faucher







Numerical methods

- Adjoint-method for the gradient computation, L-BFGS method,
- forward problem resolution with Discontinuous Galerkin methods,
- parallel computation, HPC, large-scale optimization,
- Rk: the code also works for elastic anisotropy and viscous media.



- ▶ > 10⁵: unknowns per physical parameter,
- ► > 10⁶: matrix size for discretization,
- we also study stability and convergence of the algorithm

Intro	Inverse Problem	Reconstruction procedure	Experiments	Conclusion
00	0000		0000	O
Plan				Ínternetics meteomotics

3 Reconstruction procedure using dual-sensors data



The appropriate misfit functional to minimize with pressure and vertical velocity measurements.

• Compare the pressure and velocity fields separately:

$$\mathcal{J}_{L2} = \sum_{source} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{F}_{p}^{(s)} - d_{p}^{(s)}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{F}_{v}^{(s)} - d_{v}^{(s)}\|^{2}.$$



The appropriate misfit functional to minimize with pressure and vertical velocity measurements.

• Compare the pressure and velocity fields separately:

$$\mathcal{J}_{L2} = \sum_{source} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{F}_{p}^{(s)} - d_{p}^{(s)}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{F}_{v}^{(s)} - d_{v}^{(s)}\|^{2}.$$

Compare the fields multiplication for all combinations:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1} \sum_{s_2} \| d_v^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_p^{(s_2)} - d_p^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_v^{(s_2)} \|^2.$$

G. Alessandrini, M.V. de Hoop, F. F., R. Gaburro and E. Sincich

Inverse problem for the Helmholtz equation with Cauchy data: reconstruction with conditional well-posedness driven iterative regularization preprint



$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1} \sum_{s_2} \| d_v^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_p^{(s_2)} - d_p^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_v^{(s_2)} \|^2.$$

From Euler's equation, $v_n(\mathbf{x}_i) = -i(\omega \rho)^{-1} \partial_n p(\mathbf{x}_i)$.



$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1} \sum_{s_2} \| d_v^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_p^{(s_2)} - d_p^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_v^{(s_2)} \|^2.$$

From Euler's equation, $v_n(\mathbf{x}_i) = -i(\omega \rho)^{-1} \partial_n p(\mathbf{x}_i)$.

Cauchy data: the cost function follows Green's identity.



$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1} \sum_{s_2} \| d_v^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_p^{(s_2)} - d_p^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_v^{(s_2)} \|^2.$$

From Euler's equation, $v_n(\mathbf{x}_i) = -i(\omega \rho)^{-1} \partial_n p(\mathbf{x}_i)$.

- Cauchy data: the cost function follows Green's identity.
- Reciprocity gap functional in inverse scattering.



D. Colton and H. Haddar

An application of the reciprocity gap functional to inverse scattering theory Inverse Problems 21 (1) (2005), 383398.



G. Alessandrini, M.V. de Hoop, R. Gaburro and E. Sincich

Lipschitz stability for a piecewise linear Schrödinger potential from local Cauchy data arXiv:1702.04222, 2017



G. Alessandrini, M.V. de Hoop, F. F., R. Gaburro and E. Sincich

Inverse problem for the Helmholtz equation with Cauchy data: reconstruction with conditional well-posedness driven iterative regularization preprint



Lipschitz type stability is obtained for the Helmholtz equation with **partial Cauchy data**.

$$\|c_1-c_2\| \leq \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}}(c_1,c_2))^{1/2}$$



Lipschitz type stability is obtained for the Helmholtz equation with **partial Cauchy data**.

$$\|c_1-c_2\| \leq \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}}(c_1,c_2))^{1/2}$$

 Using back-scattered data from one side in a domain with free surface and absorbing conditions,



for piecewise linear parameters.



G. Alessandrini, M.V. de Hoop, R. Gaburro and E. Sincich

Lipschitz stability for a piecewise linear Schrödinger potential from local Cauchy data arXiv:1702.04222, 2017



G. Alessandrini, M.V. de Hoop, F. F., R. Gaburro and E. Sincich

Inverse problem for the Helmholtz equation with Cauchy data: reconstruction with conditional Florian Fauchewell-posedness driven at were at the attraction of the station o



It allows the non-collocation of numerical and observational sources:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1} \sum_{s_2} \| d_v^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_p^{(s_2)} - d_p^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_v^{(s_2)} \|^2.$$

- ▶ *s*¹ is fixed by the observational setup,
- s_2 is chosen for the numerical comparisons.

Intro 00	Inverse Problem 0000	Reconstruction procedure	Experiments	Conclusion O
Plan				Ínternetics methodolis



4 Numerical experiments

- Comparison of misfit functions
- Changing the numerical acquisition with $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}}$



3D velocity model $2.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.2$ km using dual-sensors data.





We work with time-domain data acquisition.





We work with time-domain data (pressure and velocity).



For the reconstruction, we apply a Fourier transform of the time data.



$$\mathcal{J}_{L2} = \sum_{source} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{F}_{p}^{(s)} - d_{p}^{(s)}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{F}_{v}^{(s)} - d_{v}^{(s)}\|^{2}$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{source}} \sum_{\text{source}} \| d_{v}^{(s_{1})T} \mathcal{F}_{\rho}^{(s_{2})} - d_{\rho}^{(s_{1})T} \mathcal{F}_{v}^{(s_{2})} \|^{2}.$$













But the major advantage of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the possibility to consider alternative acquisition setup.

Florian Faucher

Reciprocity Waveform Inversion

March 12-14, 2019



$$\min \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1} \sum_{s_2} \| d_v^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_p^{(s_2)} - d_p^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_v^{(s_2)} \|^2$$

Acquisition for the measures s_1

- 160 sources,
- 100 m depth,
- point source,





$$\min \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_1} \sum_{s_2} \| d_v^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_p^{(s_2)} - d_p^{(s_1)T} \mathcal{F}_v^{(s_2)} \|^2.$$

Acquisition for the measures s_1

- 160 sources,
- 100 m depth,
- point source,



Arbitrary numerical acquisition s_2

- ► 5 sources,
- ▶ 80m depth,
- multi-point sources,



No need to known observational source wavelet.

Differentiation impossible with least squares types misfit.

March 12–14, 2019



Data from frequency between 3 to 15 Hz, domain size $2.5\times1.5\times1.2$ km, Simulation using 5 sources only.





Frequency from 3 to 15 Hz, $2.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.2$ km, Simulation using 5 sources only. -33% computational time.



Intro	Inverse Problem	Reconstruction procedure	Experiments	Conclusion
00	0000		0000	O
Plan				Internetics memories



_

Intro	Inverse Problem	Reconstruction procedure	Experiments	Conclusion
00	0000		0000	•
Conclu	sion			Internetics

Seismic inverse problem using pressure and vertical velocity data:

- appropriate cost function to minimize,
- allow minimal information on the acquisition setup,
- other applications,
- perspective: design the most efficient numerical setup,
- Rk: possible for elastic media with measures of traction.

Intro	Inverse Problem	Reconstruction procedure	Experiments	Conclusion
00	0000		0000	•
Conclus	ion			Internetics methodates

Seismic inverse problem using pressure and vertical velocity data:

- appropriate cost function to minimize,
- allow minimal information on the acquisition setup,
- other applications,
- perspective: design the most efficient numerical setup,
- Rk: possible for elastic media with measures of traction.

Quantitative reconstruction algorithm toolbox for time-harmonic wave,

- Discontinuous Galerkin discretization in HPC framework,
- large scale optimization scheme using back-scattered data,
- ▶ acoustic, elastic, anisotropy, 2D, 3D, attenuation.





P- and S-wavespeed reconstructions

Intro	Inverse Problem	Reconstruction procedure	Experiments	Conclusion
00	0000		0000	•
Conclus	ion			Internetics methodates

Seismic inverse problem using pressure and vertical velocity data:

- appropriate cost function to minimize,
- allow minimal information on the acquisition setup,
- other applications,
- perspective: design the most efficient numerical setup,
- Rk: possible for elastic media with measures of traction.

Quantitative reconstruction algorithm toolbox for time-harmonic wave,

- Discontinuous Galerkin discretization in HPC framework,
- large scale optimization scheme using back-scattered data,
- ► acoustic, elastic, anisotropy, 2D, 3D, attenuation.

THANK YOU

Appendix

Stability of the Helmholtz Inverse Problem





G. Alessandrini

Stable determination of conductivity by boundary measurement Applicable Analysis 1988



N. Mandache

Exponential instability in an inverse problem for Schrödinger equation Inverse Problems 2001

Florian Faucher

Reciprocity Waveform Inversion



Stability of the Helmholtz Inverse Problem





- Stability associate data and model correspondence
- Reconstruction is based on the iterative minimization of the difference between observation and simulation using an initial model.



G. Alessandrini

Stable determination of conductivity by boundary measurement Applicable Analysis 1988



N. Mandache

Exponential instability in an inverse problem for Schrödinger equation Inverse Problems 2001

Stability of the Helmholtz Inverse Problem





- Stability associate data and model correspondence
- $\mathcal{C}(\delta) \leq C(\log(1+\delta^{-1}))^{-\alpha}$

G. Alessandrini

Stable determination of conductivity by boundary measurement Applicable Analysis 1988



N. Mandache

Exponential instability in an inverse problem for Schrödinger equation Inverse Problems 2001

Florian Faucher

Reciprocity Waveform Inversion

Conditional Lipschitz stability: assumptions



• c(x) has a piecewise constant representation of size N

$$c(x)^{-2} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k \chi_k(x)$$

Ω has Lipschitz boundary

$$\|c_1^{-2} - c_2^{-2}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \mathcal{C} \|F(c_1^{-2}) - F(c_2^{-2})\|$$
(1)



G. Alessandrini and S. Vessella

Lipschitz stability for the inverse conductivity problem Advances in Applied Mathematics 2005

E. Beretta, M. V. de Hoop, F. and O. Scherzer

Inverse boundary value problem for the Helmholtz equation: quantitative conditional Lipschitz stability estimates.

SIAM Journal of Mathematical Analysis 2016

Florian Faucher

Reciprocity Waveform Inversion

March 12-14, 2019



The stability constant is bounded

$$\frac{1}{4\omega^2} e^{K_1 N^{1/5}} \le \mathcal{C} \le \frac{1}{\omega^2} e^{(K(1+\omega^2 B_2) N^{4/7})}$$
(2)

 \blacktriangleright depends on the partitioning N and the frequency ω

E. Beretta, M. V. de Hoop, F. and O. Scherzer

Inverse boundary value problem for the Helmholtz equation: quantitative conditional Lipschitz stability estimates $2016\,$



In the case of partial Cauchy data (p and $\partial_{\nu}p$), we have that, we can obtain a Lipschitz type stability:

$$\|c_1^{-2} - c_2^{-2}\| \le \mathcal{C} \big(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}}(c_1^{-2}, c_2^{-2}) \big)^{1/2}$$

Where c_1^{-2} and c_2^{-2} are piecewise linear.