



HAL
open science

S-asymptotically ω -periodic solution for a nonlinear differential equation with piecewise constant argument via S-asymptotically ω -periodic functions in the Stepanov sense

William Dimbour, Solym Manou-Abi

► **To cite this version:**

William Dimbour, Solym Manou-Abi. S-asymptotically ω -periodic solution for a nonlinear differential equation with piecewise constant argument via S-asymptotically ω -periodic functions in the Stepanov sense. *Journal of Nonlinear Systems and Applications*, 2018, 7 (1), pp.14-20. hal-02067049

HAL Id: hal-02067049

<https://hal.science/hal-02067049v1>

Submitted on 13 Mar 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

S-ASYMPTOTICALLY ω -PERIODIC SOLUTION FOR A NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION WITH PIECEWISE CONSTANT ARGUMENT VIA S-ASYMPTOTICALLY ω -PERIODIC FUNCTIONS IN THE STEPANOV SENSE

William Dimbour ^{*}; Solym Mawaki Manou-Abi [†]

Abstract. In this paper, we show the existence of function which is not S -asymptotically ω -periodic, but which is S -asymptotically ω -periodic in the Stepanov sense. We give sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of S -asymptotically ω -periodic solutions for a nonautonomous differential equation with piecewise constant argument in a Banach space when ω is an integer. This is done using the Banach fixed point Theorem. An example involving the heat operator is discussed as an illustration of the theory.

Keywords. S -Asymptotically ω -periodic functions, differential equations with piecewise constant argument, evolutionary process.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the existence and uniqueness of S -asymptotically ω -periodic solution of the following differential equation with piecewise constant argument

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = A(t)x(t) + f(t, x([t])), \\ x(0) = c_0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where \mathbb{X} is a Banach space, $c_0 \in \mathbb{X}$, $[\cdot]$ is the largest integer function, f is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{X}$

and $A(t)$ generates an exponentially stable evolutionary process in \mathbb{X} . The study of differential equations with piecewise constant argument (EPCA) is an important subject because these equations have the structure of continuous dynamical systems in intervals of unit length. Therefore they combine the properties of both differential and difference equations. There have been many papers studying EPCA, see for instance [14], [15], [16], [17], [18] and the references therein.

Recently, the concept of S -asymptotically ω -periodic function has been introduced in the literature by Henríquez, Pierri and Táboas in [8], [9]. In [1], the authors studied properties of S -asymptotically ω -periodic function taking values in Banach spaces including a theorem of composition. They applied the results obtained in order to study the existence and uniqueness of S -asymptotically ω -periodic mild solution to a nonautonomous semilinear differential equation. In [22], the authors established some sufficient conditions about the existence and uniqueness of S -asymptotically ω -periodic solutions to a fractional integro-differential equation by applying fixed point theorem combined with sectorial operator, where the nonlinear perturbation term f is a Lipschitz and non-Lipschitz case. In [2], the authors prove the existence and uniqueness of mild solution to some functional differential equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces which approach almost automorphic function ([6], [11]) at infinity and discuss also the existence of S -asymptotically ω -periodic mild solu-

^{*}William Dimbour, UMR Espace-Dev Université de Guyane, Campus de Troubiran 97300 Cayenne Guyane E-mail: William.Dimbour@espe-guyane.fr

[†]Solym Mawaki MANOU-ABI, Institut Montpellierain Alexander Grothendieck, Centre Universitaire de Mayotte, 3 Route Nationale 97660 Dembeni E-mail: solym-mawaki.manou-abi@univ-mayotte.fr

tions. In [20], the author discussed about the existence of S -asymptotically ω -periodic mild solution of semilinear fractionnal integro-differential equations in Banach space, where the nonlinear perturbation is S -asymptotically ω -periodic or S -asymptotically ω -periodic in the Stepanov sense ([10], [20], [21]). The reader may also consult [3], [4], [5], [7], [12] in order to obtain more knowledge about S -asymptotically ω -periodic functions. Motivated by [1] and [7], we will show the existence and uniqueness of S -asymptotically ω -periodic solution for (1) where the nonlinear perturbation term f is a S -asymptotically ω -periodic function in the Stepanov sense. The work has four sections. In the next section, we recall some properties about S -asymptotically ω -periodic functions. We study also qualitative properties of S -asymptotically ω -periodic functions in the Stepanov sense. In particular, we will show the existence of functions which are not S -asymptotically ω -periodic but which are S -asymptotically ω -periodic in the Stepanov sense. In section 3, we study the existence and uniqueness of S -asymptotically ω -periodic mild solutions for (1) considering S -asymptotically ω -periodic functions in the Stepanov sense. In section 4, we deal with the existence and uniqueness of S -asymptotically ω -periodic solution for a partial differential equation.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. ([8]) A function $f \in BC(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$ is called S -asymptotically ω periodic if there exists ω such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (f(t + \omega) - f(t)) = 0$. In this case we say that ω is an asymptotic period of f and that f is S -asymptotically ω periodic. The set of all such functions will be denoted by $SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$.

Definition 2.2. ([8]) A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is said to be uniformly S -asymptotically ω periodic on bounded sets if for every bounded set $K^* \subset \mathbb{X}$, the set $\{f(t, x) : t \geq 0, x \in K^*\}$ is bounded and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (f(t, x) - f(t + \omega, x)) = 0$$

uniformly in $x \in K^*$.

Definition 2.3. ([8]) A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is said to be asymptotically uniformly continuous on bounded sets if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every bounded set K^* , there exist $L_{\epsilon, K^*} > 0$ and $\delta_{\epsilon, K^*} > 0$ such that $\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| < \epsilon$ for all $t \geq L_{\epsilon, K^*}$ and all $x, y \in K^*$ with $\|x - y\| < \delta_{\epsilon, K^*}$.

Lemma 2.1. ([1]) Let \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} be two Banach spaces, and denote by $B(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$, the space of all bounded linear operators from \mathbb{X} into \mathbb{Y} . Let $A \in B(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$. Then when $f \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$, we have $Af := [t \rightarrow Af(t)] \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{Y})$.

Lemma 2.2. ([8]) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ be a function which is uniformly S -asymptotically ω periodic on bounded sets and asymptotically uniformly continuous on bounded sets. Let $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ be S -asymptotically ω periodic function. Then the Nemytskii operator $\phi(\cdot) := f(\cdot, u(\cdot))$ is a S -asymptotically ω periodic function.

Lemma 2.3. ([22]) Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ be a function which is uniformly S -asymptotically ω periodic on bounded sets and satisfies the Lipschitz condition, that is, there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \forall t \geq 0, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{X}.$$

If $u \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$, then the function $t \rightarrow f(t, u(t))$ belongs to $SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$.

Let $p \in [0, \infty[$. The space $BS^p(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$ of all Stepanov bounded functions, with the exponent p , consists of all measurable functions $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ such that $f^b \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1]; \mathbb{X}))$, where f^b is the Bochner transform of f defined by $f^b(t, s) := f(t + s)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $s \in [0, 1]$. $BS^p(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{S^p} = \|f^b\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^p)} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \left(\int_t^{t+1} \|f(\tau)\|^p d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

It is obvious that $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X}) \subset BS^p(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X}) \subset L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$ and $BS^p(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X}) \subset BS^q(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$ for $p \geq q \geq 1$. We denote by $BS^p_0(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$ the subspace of $BS^p(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$ consisting of functions f such that $\int_t^{t+1} \|f(s)\|^p ds \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we give the definition of S -asymptotically ω -periodic functions in the Stepanov sense.

Definition 2.4. [10] A function $f \in BS^p(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$ is called S -asymptotically ω -periodic in the Stepanov sense (or S^p - S -asymptotically ω -periodic) if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{t+1} \|f(s + \omega) - f(s)\|^p = 0.$$

Denote by $S^pSAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$ the set of such functions.

Remark 2.1. It is easy to see that $SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X}) \subset S^pSAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $u \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$ where $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then the step function $t \rightarrow u([t])$ satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u([t + \omega]) - u([t]) = 0.$$

Remark 2.2. The proof of the above Lemma is contained in the lines of the proof of the Lemma 2 in [7].

Corollary 2.5. Let $u \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$ where $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then the function $t \rightarrow u([t])$ is S -asymptotically ω -periodic in the Stepanov sense but is not S -asymptotically ω -periodic.

Proof. By the above Lemma we have :

$$\forall \epsilon^{1/p} > 0, \exists T > 0; t \geq T \Rightarrow \|u([t + \omega]) - u([t])\| \leq \epsilon^{1/p}.$$

The function $t \rightarrow u([t])$ is a step function therefore it is measurable on \mathbb{R}_+ . Then for $t \geq [T] + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+1} \|u([s + \omega]) - u([s])\|^p &\leq \int_t^{t+1} \epsilon ds \\ &\leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the function $t \rightarrow u([t])$ is S -asymptotically ω -periodic in the Stepanov sense. Now since the function $t \rightarrow u([t])$ is not continuous on \mathbb{R}_+ , it can't be S -asymptotically ω -periodic. \square

Definition 2.5. [10] A function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is said to be uniformly S -asymptotically ω -periodic on bounded sets in the Stepanov sense if for every bounded set $B \subset \mathbb{X}$, there exist positive functions $g_b \in BS^p(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ and $h_b \in BS_0^p(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f(t, x) \leq g_b(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$, $x \in B$ and $\|f(t + \omega, x) - f(t, x)\| \leq h_b(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$, $x \in B$.

Denote by $S^pSAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X})$ the set of such functions.

Definition 2.6. [10] A function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is said to be asymptotically uniformly continuous on bounded sets in the Stepanov sense if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every bounded set $B \subset X$, there exists $t_\epsilon \geq 0$ and $\delta_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\int_t^{t+1} \|f(s, x) - f(s, y)\|^p ds \leq \epsilon^p,$$

for all $t \geq t_\epsilon$ and all $x, y \in B$ with $\|x - y\| \leq \delta_\epsilon$.

Lemma 2.6. [10] Assume that $f \in S^pSAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X})$ is an asymptotically uniformly continuous on bounded sets in the Stepanov sense function. Let $u \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$, then $v(\cdot) = f(\cdot, u(\cdot)) \in S^pSAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X})$.

Lemma 2.7. Let $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ be a function which is uniformly S -asymptotically ω periodic on bounded sets and satisfies the Lipschitz condition, that is, there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \forall t \geq 0, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{X}.$$

If $u \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$, then

(1) the bounded piecewise continuous function $t \rightarrow f(t, u([t]))$ satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (f(t + \omega, u([t + \omega])) - f(t, u([t]))) = 0.$$

(2) the function $t \rightarrow f(t, u([t]))$ belongs to $S^pSAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$.

(3) the function $t \rightarrow f(t, u([t]))$ does not belongs to $SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$.

Proof. (1) Since $\mathcal{R}(u) = \{u([t]) | t \geq 0\}$ is a bounded set, then for every $\frac{\epsilon}{2} > 0$, there exists a constant $L_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|f(t + \omega, x) - f(t, x)\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for every $t > L_\epsilon$ and $x \in \mathcal{R}(u)$.

By Lemma 2.4, for every $\frac{\epsilon}{2L} > 0$, there exist $T_\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $t > T_\epsilon$

$$\|u([t + \omega]) - u([t])\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2L}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f(t + \omega, u([t + \omega])) - f(t, u([t]))\| \\
& \leq \|f(t + \omega, u([t + \omega])) - f(t, u([t + \omega]))\| \\
& + \|f(t, u([t + \omega])) - f(t, u([t]))\| \\
& \leq \|f(t + \omega, u([t + \omega])) - f(t, u([t + \omega]))\| \\
& + L\|u([t + \omega]) - u([t])\|.
\end{aligned}$$

We put $T = \max(T_\epsilon, L_\epsilon)$. Then for all $t > T$ we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f(t + \omega, u([t + \omega])) - f(t, u([t]))\| & \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + L\frac{\epsilon}{2L} \\
& \leq \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

(2) According to (1) we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (f(t + \omega, u([t + \omega])) - f(t, u([t]))) = 0,$$

meaning that

$$\forall \epsilon^{1/p} > 0, \exists T > 0, t \geq T$$

$$\Rightarrow \|f(t + \omega, u([t + \omega])) - f(t, u([t]))\| \leq \epsilon^{1/p}.$$

The function $t \rightarrow f(t, u[t])$ is continuous on every intervals $]n, n+1[$ but $\lim_{t \rightarrow n^-} f(t, u([t])) = f(n, u(n-1))$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow n^+} f(t, u([t])) = f(n, u(n))$. Therefore the function $t \rightarrow f(t, u[t])$ is a piecewise continuous function and it is measurable on \mathbb{R}_+ . Then for $t \geq [T] + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_t^{t+1} \|f(s, u([s + \omega])) - f(s, u([s]))\|^p \\
& \leq \int_t^{t+1} \epsilon \, ds \\
& \leq \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

(3) Since the function $t \rightarrow f(t, u([t]))$ is not continuous on \mathbb{R}_+ , it can't be S -asymptotically ω -periodic. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Assume that $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is uniformly S -asymptotically ω -periodic on bounded sets in the Stepanov sense and asymptotically uniformly continuous on bounded sets in the Stepanov sense. Let $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ be a function in $SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$, and let $v(t) = f(t, u([t]))$. Then $v \in S^pSAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$.*

Proof. Set $B =: \mathcal{R}(u) = \{u[t], t \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{X}$.

Since f is uniformly S -asymptotically ω -periodic on bounded sets in the Stepanov sense, there exist functions $g_B \in BS^p(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ and $h_B \in BS_0^p(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the properties involved in Definition 2.6 and 2.8 in relation with the set $B =: \mathcal{R}(u)$.

The function v belongs to $BS^p(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$ because

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_t^{t+1} \|v(\tau)\|^p d\tau & = \int_t^{t+1} \|f(\tau, u([\tau]))\|^p d\tau \\
& \leq \int_t^{t+1} \|g_B(\tau)\|^p d\tau \\
& \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\int_t^{t+1} \|g_B(\tau)\|^p d\tau \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|v^b\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, L^p)} \leq \|g_B\|_{S^p}.$$

We have for all $t \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_t^{t+1} \|f(s + \omega, u([s + \omega])) - f(s, u([s + \omega]))\|^p ds \\
& \leq \int_t^{t+1} \|h_B(s)\|^p ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $h_B \in BS_0^p(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$; this implies that for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $t'_\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $t \geq t'_\epsilon$ we have

$$\int_t^{t+1} \|h_B(s)\|^p ds \leq \epsilon^p/2.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_t^{t+1} \|f(s + \omega, u([s + \omega])) - f(s, u([s + \omega]))\|^p ds \\
& \leq \epsilon^p/2 \quad \text{for all } t \geq t'_\epsilon(*).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore since f is asymptotically uniformly continuous on bounded sets in the Stepanov sense, thus for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $t_\epsilon \geq 0$ and $\delta_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_t^{t+1} \|f(s, u([s + \omega])) - f(s, u([s]))\|^p ds \\
& \leq \epsilon^p/2 \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_\epsilon \quad (**).
\end{aligned}$$

because

$$\|u([s + \omega]) - u([s])\| \leq \delta_\epsilon.$$

The estimates (*) and (**) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_t^{t+1} \|v(s + \omega) - v(s)\|^p ds \\ = & \int_t^{t+1} \|f(s + \omega, u([s + \omega])) - f(s, u([s]))\|^p ds \\ \leq & \int_t^{t+1} \|f(s + \omega, u([s + \omega])) \\ & - f(s, u([s + \omega]))\|^p ds \\ + & \int_t^{t+1} \|f(s, u([s + \omega])) - f(s, u([s]))\|^p ds \\ \leq & \epsilon^p/2 + \epsilon^p/2 = \epsilon^p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $T_\epsilon = \text{Max}(t_\epsilon, t'_\epsilon) > 0$ such that for all $t \geq T_\epsilon$ we have

$$\left(\int_t^{t+1} \|v(s + \omega) - v(s)\|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \leq \epsilon.$$

We conclude that $v \in S^p \text{SAP}_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$.

3 Main Results

Definition 3.1. A solution of (1) on \mathbb{R}^+ is a function $x(t)$ that satisfies the conditions:

- (1) $x(t)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R}^+ .
- (2) The derivative $x'(t)$ exists at each point $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, with possible exception at the points $[t]$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ where one-sided derivatives exists.
- (3) The equation (1) is satisfied on each interval $[n, n + 1[$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we make the following hypothesis:

(H1) : The function f is uniformly S -asymptotically ω -periodic on bounded sets in the Stepanov sense and satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$\|f(t, u) - f(t, v)\| \leq L\|u - v\|, \quad u, v \in \mathbb{X}, t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

We assume that $A(t)$ generates an evolutionary process $(U(t, s))_{t \geq s}$ in \mathbb{X} , that is, a two-parameter family of bounded linear operators that satisfies the following conditions:

1. $U(t, t) = I$ for all $t \geq 0$ where I is the identity operator.
2. $U(t, s)U(s, r) = U(t, r)$ for all $t \geq s \geq r$.
3. The map $(t, s) \mapsto U(t, s)x$ is continuous for every fixed $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Then the function g defined by $g(s) = U(t, s)x(s)$, where x is a solution of (1), is differentiable for $s < t$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dg(s)}{ds} &= -A(s)U(t, s)x(s) + U(t, s)x'(s) \\ &= -A(s)U(t, s)x(s) + U(t, s)A(s)x(s) \\ &\quad + U(t, s)f(s, x([s])) \\ &= U(t, s)f(s, x([s])). \end{aligned}$$

$$\square \quad \frac{dg(s)}{ds} = U(t, s)f(s, x([s])). \quad (2)$$

The function $x([s])$ is a step function. By **(H1)**, $f(s, x([s]))$ is piecewise continuous. Therefore $f(s, x([s]))$ is integrable on $[0, t]$ where $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Integrating (2) on $[0, t]$ we obtain that

$$x(t) - U(t, 0)c_0 = \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s, x([s]))ds.$$

Therefore, we define

Definition 3.2. We assume **(H1)** is satisfied and that $A(t)$ generates an evolutionary process $(U(t, s))_{t \geq s}$ in \mathbb{X} . The continuous function x given by

$$x(t) = U(t, 0)c_0 + \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s, x([s]))ds$$

is called the mild solution of equation (1).

Now we make the following hypothesis.

(H2): $A(t)$ generates a ω -periodic ($\omega > 0$) exponentially stable evolutionary process $(U(t, s))_{t \geq s}$ in \mathbb{X} , that is, a two-parameter family of bounded linear operators that satisfies the following conditions:

1. For all $t \geq 0$,

$$U(t, t) = I \text{ where } I \text{ is the identity operator.}$$

2. For all $t \geq s \geq r$,

$$U(t, s)U(s, r) = U(t, r).$$

3. The map $(t, s) \mapsto U(t, s)x$ is continuous for every fixed $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

4. For all $t \geq s$,

$$U(t + \omega, s + \omega) = U(t, s)$$

(ω -periodicity).

5. There exist $K > 0$ and $a > 0$ such that

$$\|U(t, s)\| \leq Ke^{-a(t-s)}$$

for $t \geq s$.

Theorem 3.1. *We assume that (H2) is satisfied and that $f \in S^pSAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$. Then*

$$(\wedge f)(t) = \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s)ds \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X}), t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Proof. Let $u(t) = \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s)ds$.

For $n \leq t \leq n+1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(t)\| \\ & \leq \int_0^t \|U(t, s)f(s)\| ds \\ & \leq \int_0^n \|U(t, s)f(s)\| ds + \int_n^t \|U(t, s)f(s)\| ds \\ & \leq \int_0^n Me^{-a(t-s)}\|f(s)\| ds \\ & \quad + \int_n^t Me^{-a(t-s)}\|f(s)\| ds \\ & \leq \int_0^n Me^{-a(n-s)}\|f(s)\| ds + \int_n^t M\|f(s)\| ds \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_k^{k+1} Me^{-a(n-s)}\|f(s)\| ds + \int_n^t M\|f(s)\| ds \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_k^{k+1} Me^{-a(n-k-1)}\|f(s)\| ds \\ & \quad + \int_n^{n+1} M\|f(s)\| ds \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Me^{-a(n-k-1)} \int_k^{k+1} \|f(s)\| ds \\ & \quad + M \int_n^{n+1} \|f(s)\| ds \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Me^{-a(n-k-1)} \left(\int_k^{k+1} \|f(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \quad + M \left(\int_n^{n+1} \|f(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq M \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-aj} + 1 \right) \|f\|_{S^p} \\ & \leq M \left(\frac{2 - e^{-a}}{1 - e^{-a}} \right) \|f\|_{S^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore u is bounded.

Now, show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t + \omega) - u(t) = 0$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t+\omega) - u(t) &= \int_0^{t+\omega} U(t+\omega, s)f(s)ds \\
&\quad - \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s)ds \\
&= \int_0^\omega U(t+\omega, s)f(s)ds \\
&\quad + \int_\omega^{t+\omega} U(t+\omega, s)f(s)ds \\
&\quad - \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s)ds \\
&= I_1(t) + I_2(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1(t) = \int_0^\omega U(t+\omega, s)f(s)ds,$$

and

$$I_2(t) = \int_\omega^{t+\omega} U(t+\omega, s)f(s)ds - \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s)ds.$$

We note that

$$I_1(t) = U(t+\omega, \omega) \int_0^\omega U(\omega, s)f(s)ds = U(t+\omega, \omega)u(\omega),$$

and by using the fact that $(U(t, s))_{t \geq s}$ is exponentially stable, we obtain

$$\|I_1(t)\| \leq Ke^{-at}\|u(\omega)\|,$$

which shows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} I_1(t) = 0.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $f \in S^pSAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $t \geq m$

$$\left(\int_t^{t+1} \|f(s+\omega) - f(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \epsilon.$$

For $m \leq n \leq t \leq n+1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2(t) &= \int_0^t U(t, s)(f(s+\omega) - f(s))ds \\
&\leq I_{2,1}(t) + I_{2,2}(t) + I_{2,3}(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} I_{2,1}(t) = \int_0^m U(t, s)(f(s+\omega) - f(s)) ds \\ I_{2,2}(t) = \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \int_k^{k+1} U(t, s)(f(s+\omega) - f(s)) ds, \\ I_{2,3}(t) = \int_n^t U(t, s)(f(s+\omega) - f(s)) ds. \end{cases}$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_{2,1}(t)\| &\leq \int_0^m \|U(t, s)\| \|f(s+\omega) - f(s)\| ds \\
&\leq Me^{-a(t-m)} \int_0^m \|f(s+\omega) - f(s)\| ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists $\nu_m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\nu_m \geq m$ such that for $t \geq \nu_m$

$$\|I_{2,1}(t)\| \leq \epsilon.$$

Using Holder's inequality, we observe also that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|I_{2,2}(t)\| \\
&\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \int_k^{k+1} \|U(t, s)\| \|f(s+\omega) - f(s)\| ds \\
&\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} M \int_k^{k+1} e^{-a(t-s)} \|f(s+\omega) - f(s)\| ds \\
&\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} M \int_k^{k+1} e^{-a(n-k-1)} \|f(s+\omega) - f(s)\| ds \\
&\leq M \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} e^{-a(n-k-1)} \int_k^{k+1} \|f(s+\omega) - f(s)\| ds \\
&\leq M \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} e^{-a(n-k-1)} \left(\int_k^{k+1} \|f(s+\omega) - f(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq M(e^{-a(n-m-1)} + e^{-a(n-m-2)} + \dots + 1)\epsilon \\
&\leq \frac{M}{1 - e^{-a}}\epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

We observe also that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_{2,3}(t)\| &\leq \int_n^t \|U(t,s)\| \|f(s+\omega) - f(s)\| ds \\
&\leq \int_n^t M e^{-a(t-s)} \|f(s+\omega) - f(s)\| ds \\
&\leq M \int_n^t \|f(s+\omega) - f(s)\| ds \\
&\leq M \int_n^{n+1} \|f(s+\omega) - f(s)\| ds \\
&\leq M \left(\int_n^{n+1} \|f(s+\omega) - f(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq M\epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for $t \geq \nu_m$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_2(t)\| &\leq \|I_{2,1}(t)\| + \|I_{2,2}(t)\| + \|I_{2,3}(t)\| \\
&\leq \left(1 + \frac{M}{1 - e^{-a}} + M\right)\epsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

thus $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} I_2(t) = 0$. We conclude that $u \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$. \square

Now we make the following hypothesis.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We assume that the hypothesis **(H1)** and **(H2)** are satisfied. Then (1) has a unique S -asymptotically ω -periodic mild solution provided that*

$$\Theta := \frac{LM}{a} < 1.$$

Proof. We define the nonlinear operator Γ by the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Gamma\phi)(t) &= U(t,0)c_0 + \int_0^t U(t,s)f(s,\phi([s]))ds \\
&= U(t,0)c_0 + (\wedge_1\phi)(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(\wedge_1\phi)(t) = \int_0^t U(t,s)f(s,\phi([s])).$$

According to the hypothesis **(H2)**, we have

$$\|U(t+\omega,0) - U(t,0)\| \leq \|U(t+\omega,0)\| + \|U(t,0)\|$$

$$\leq K e^{-a(t+\omega)} + K e^{-at}.$$

Therefore $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|U(t+\omega,0) - U(t,0)\| = 0$.

According to the Lemma 2.7 (resp. lemma 2.8) the function $t \rightarrow f(t, \phi([t]))$ belongs to $S^p SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$. According to the Theorem 3.1 the operator \wedge_1 maps $SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$ into itself. Therefore the operator Γ maps $SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$ into itself.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\Gamma\phi)(t) - \Gamma\psi(t)\| \\
&= \left\| \int_0^t U(t,s)(f(s,\phi([s])) - f(s,\psi([s])))ds \right\| \\
&\leq \int_0^t \|U(t,s)\| \|f(s,\phi([s])) - f(s,\psi([s]))\| ds \\
&\leq L \int_0^t \|U(t,s)\| \|\phi([s]) - \psi([s])\| ds \\
&\leq LM \int_0^t e^{-a(t-s)} \|\phi([s]) - \psi([s])\| ds \\
&\leq LM \int_0^t e^{-a(t-s)} \|\phi - \psi\|_\infty ds \\
&\leq LM \frac{1 - e^{-at}}{a} \|\phi - \psi\|_\infty \\
&\leq \frac{LM}{a} \|\phi - \psi\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have :

$$\|\Gamma\phi - \Gamma\psi\|_\infty \leq \frac{LM}{a} \|\phi - \psi\|_\infty.$$

This proves that Γ is a contraction and we conclude that Γ has a unique fixed point in $SAP_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$. The proof is complete. \square

4 Application

Consider the following heat equation with Dirichlet conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u(t,x)}{\partial x^2} + (-3 + \sin(\pi t))u(t,x) + f(t, u([t], x)), \\ u(t,0) = u(t,\pi) = 0, t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u(0,x) = c_0, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $c_0 \in L^2[0, \pi]$ and the function f is uniformly S -asymptotically ω -periodic on bounded sets and satisfies the Lipschitz condition, that is, there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{X}.$$

Let $\mathbb{X} = L^2[0, \pi]$ be endowed with its natural topology. Define

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \{u \in L^2[0, \pi] \text{ such that } u'' \in L^2[0, \pi]$$

$$\text{and } u(0) = u(\pi) = 0\}$$

$$Au = u'' \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$

Let $\phi_n(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin(nt)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. ϕ_n are eigenfunctions of the operator $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_n = -n^2$. A is the infinitesimal generator of a semigroup $T(t)$ of the form

$$T(t)\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 t} \langle \phi, \phi_n \rangle \phi_n, \quad \forall \phi \in L^2[0, \pi]$$

and

$$\|T(t)\| \leq e^{-t}, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0$$

(see [13], [19]).

Now define $A(t)$ by:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(A(t)) = \mathcal{D}(A) \\ A(t) = A + q(t, x), \end{cases}$$

where $q(t, x) = -3 + \sin(\pi t)$.

Note that $A(t)$ generates an evolutionary process $U(t, s)$ of the form

$$U(t, s) = T(t - s) e^{\int_s^t q(v, x) dx}.$$

Since $q(t, x) = -3 + \sin(\pi t) \leq -2$, we have

$$U(t, s) \leq T(t - s) e^{-2(t-s)}$$

and

$$\|U(t, s)\| \leq \|T(t - s)\| e^{-(t-s)} \leq e^{-3(t-s)}.$$

Since $q(t + 2, x) = q(t, x)$, we conclude that $U(t, s)$ is a 2-periodic evolutionary process exponentially stable.

The equation (3) is of the form

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = A(t)x(t) + f(t, x([t])), \\ x(0) = c_0. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 3.2, we claim that

Theorem 4.1. *If $L < 3$ then the equation (3) admits an unique mild solution $u(t) \in SAP_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{X})$.*

References

- [1] J. Blot, P. Cieutat and G. M. N'Guérékata. *S asymptotically ω -periodic functions and applications to evolution equations. African Diaspora J. Math.* 12, 113-121, 2011.
- [2] A. Caicedo, C. Cuevas, G.M. Mophou and G.M. N'Guérékata. *Asymptotically behavior of solutions of some semilinear functional differential and integro-differential equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces. J. Frankl. Inst.-Eng. Appl. Math.* 349, 1-24, 2012.
- [3] C. Cuevas and J.C. de Souza. *S asymptotically ω -periodic solutions of semilinear fractional integro-differential equations. Appl. Math. Lett.* 22, 865-870, 2009.
- [4] C. Cuevas and C. Lizanna. *S asymptotically ω -periodic solutions of semilinear Volterra equations. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* 33, 1628-1636, 2010.
- [5] C. Cuevas and C. Lizanna. *Existence of S asymptotically ω -periodic solutions for two-times fractional order differential equations. South-east. Asian Bull. Math.* 37, 683-690, 2013.
- [6] T. Diagana. *Almost Automorphic Type and Almost Periodic Type Functions in Abstract Spaces. Springer*, 2013.
- [7] W. Dimbour J-C. Mado. *S asymptotically ω -periodic solution for a nonlinear differential equation with piecewise constant argument in a Banach space. CUBO A Mathematical Journal* 16(13), 55-65, 2014.

- [8] H. R. Henríquez, M. Pierri and P. Táboas. *On S asymptotically ω -periodic function on Banach spaces and applications.* *J. Math. Anal Appl.* 343, 1119-1130, 2008.
- [9] H. R. Henríquez, M. Pierri and P. Táboas. *Existence of S -asymptotically ω -periodic solutions for abstract neutral equations.* *Bull. Aust. Math.Soc.* 78, 365-382, 2008.
- [10] H. R. Henríquez. *Asymptotically periodic solutions of abstract differential equations.* *NonlinearAnal.*80, 135-149, 2013.
- [11] G.M.N'Guérékata. *Almost Automorphic and Almost Periodic Functions in Abstract Spaces.* Kluwer Academic, New York, London, Moscou, 2001.
- [12] M. Pierri. *On S -Asymptotically ω -periodic functions and applications.* *NonlinearAnal.*75, 651-661, 2012.
- [13] Rong-Hua, He. *Stepanov-like pseudo-almost automorphic mild solutions for some abstract differential equations.,* *Advances in Fixed Point Theory*, 2(3),258-272, 2012
- [14] J.Wiener, *A Second-Order delay differential equation with multiple Periodic solutions,* *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application*, 229 (1999) 6596-676.
- [15] J.Wiener, L.Debnath *Boundary Value Problems for the diffusion equation with piecewise continuous time delay,* *Internat.J.Math.and Math.Sci.*, Vol.20 (1997) 187-195.
- [16] J.Wiener, L.Debnath *A survey of partial differential equations with piecewise continuous arguments,* *Internat.J.Math.and Math.Sci.*, Vol.18, No.2 (1995) 209-228.
- [17] J.Wiener, V.Lakshmikantham, *Excitability of a second-order delay differential equation,* *Nonlinear Analysis*, Vol.38 (1999) 1-11.
- [18] J.Wiener, *Generalized solutions of functional differential equations,* World Scientific (1999).
- [19] Z.Xia. *Weighted pseudo asymptotically periodic mild solutions of evolutions equations.* *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, 31(8), 1215-1232, 2015.
- [20] Z.Xia. *Asymptotically periodic of semilinear fractional integro-differential equations.* *Advances in Differencial Equations*, 1-19, 2014 ?.
- [21] R. Xie and C. Zhang. *Criteria of asymptotic ω -periodicity and their applications in a class of fractional differential equations.* *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2015.
- [22] Zhong-Hua Wu and Jin Liang. *Asymptotic periodicity for a class of fractional integro-differential equations.* *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 6, 152-161, 2015.