

Transcriptomic view of detached lettuce leaves during storage: A crosstalk between wounding, dehydration and senescence

Julie Ripoll, Florence Charles, Véronique Vidal, Sandrine Laurent, Christophe Klopp, Félicie Lauri, Huguette Sallanon, David Roux

► To cite this version:

Julie Ripoll, Florence Charles, Véronique Vidal, Sandrine Laurent, Christophe Klopp, et al.. Transcriptomic view of detached lettuce leaves during storage: A crosstalk between wounding, dehydration and senescence. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 2019, 152, pp.73-88. 10.1016/j.postharvbio.2019.02.004. hal-02063254

HAL Id: hal-02063254 https://hal.science/hal-02063254

Submitted on 22 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Transcriptomic view of detached lettuce leaves during storage: A crosstalk

between wounding, dehydration and senescence

Julie Ripoll¹, Florence Charles¹, Véronique Vidal¹, Sandrine Laurent¹, Christophe Klopp², Félicie Lauri¹, Huguette Sallanon¹, David Roux^{1*}

Affiliations

- Avignon University, Qualisud UMR95, F-84 000 Avignon, France Qualisud, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, Montpellier Sup'Agro, Université d'Avignon, Université de la Réunion, Montpellier, France
- Plate-forme bio-informatique Genotoul, INRA, Biométrie et Intelligence Artificielle, BP 52627, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan Cedex, France.

* Corresponding author: <u>david.roux@univ-avignon.fr</u> +334.90.84.22.05 301 rue Baruch de Spinoza | BP 21239 | 84916 AVIGNON Cedex 9 | FRANCE

1 Abstract

Many methods of storage are currently used to delay the postharvest senescence and the ensuing 2 3 quality losses of detached lettuce leaves. This transcriptome study explores the senescence mechanisms 4 of packaged lettuce leaves over 7 d of cold storage in darkness. At the end of the storage, the detached 5 lettuce leaves showed a 10 % water loss and 24 % cut-edge discoloration. No chlorophyll fluorescence 6 variation was observed. A total of 1,048 and 1,846 genes were differentially expressed (DE) after 2 and 7 7 d of storage, respectively. In terms of gene expression modulation, the data showed a global shutdown of 8 primary-metabolism but no obvious chlorophyll breakdown. Although an early stress-response clearly 9 takes place, the cold storage under darkness appeared to delay senescence by protecting chloroplasts 10 from degradation and enhancing an antioxidative response. Then, a progressive global expression 11 shutdown appeared, concomitantly with the dehydration stress. Among the transcripts that showed an 12 early high expression, the data highlighted many stress-related genes (PIN, bzip TF, Heat-shock, stress A-13 like), 30 redox-regulation associated transcripts, the majority of the phenylpropanoid pathway markers and ethylene and auxin-related genes. Later (day 7), many dehydration-responsive markers showed an 14 15 increased expression, as did some abscisic acid related markers. Phytohormones (cytokinins) and 16 transcriptions factors (WRKYs) appeared to play complex roles as senescence progressed. No simple link 17 between cut-edge discoloration and PPO-related genes expression was made. The main known process of 18 senescence induction through the phaephorbide a oxygenase and phospholipase D pathways were not

- 19 highlighted in this study. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to explore the transcriptional
- 20 response of postharvest senescence in detached lettuce leaves. Deeper analysis of several markers will
- 21 help to dissect the crosstalk between wounding, dehydration and senescence mechanisms. In addition,
- 22 post-transcriptional studies are needed to conclude about the described patterns.

23 Keywords

24 Lactuca sativa L. – Postharvest – Storage – Wounding – Senescence – Transcriptome

25 Introduction

Plant senescence has long been an extensive research focus that could help to dissect how plant physiology integrates environmental stimuli. Depicting the plant senescence process was recently proposed as a significant help to solving current ecological and agricultural challenges (Thomas and Ougham 2016). Accordingly, when considering green vegetables, increasing our knowledge about the senescence of plant-detached organs could lead to new milestones in postharvest storage.

31 <u>1/Leaf senescence processes and regulation</u>

32 Genetically programmed (natural) senescence, mainly induced by internal age-dependent factors, 33 has been distinguished from stress-induced senescence that generally takes place in response to external factors such as nutritional deficiencies, pathogen attacks, various stresses or darkness. Although both 34 35 types of senescence may lead to similar symptoms, stress-induced senescence is characterized by a faster 36 process (a few days versus several weeks for genetically programmed senescence) (Springer et al. 2015). 37 For example, a "dark-induced" senescence has been highly studied in Arabidopsis due to its precise and 38 rapid implementation (Quirino et al. 2000). Genetically programmed senescence is characterized by a 39 complex series of immutable cellular processes that aim to progressively deconstruct cells and remobilize 40 nutrients: photosynthesis decrease, protein degradation, lipid lysis, etc. The mitochondria have been 41 shown to manage many of the sequential steps while maintaining respiration. However, the chloroplast 42 undergoes numerous catabolic events from the early start of senescence to the final chlorophyll 43 degradation. Phytohormones play a complex regulatory role over this phenomenon that has not yet been 44 completely elucidated (Lim et al. 2007; Khan et al. 2014). The most visual symptom of genetically 45 programmed senescence in plants is due to the chlorophyll (Chl) degradation responsible for the autumnal 46 colors due to the progressive loss in photosynthetic pigments (Chl a, Chl b, carotenoids and xanthophylls) 47 (Hörtensteiner and Lee 2007). Several enzymes are involved such as chlorophyllases, Mg-dechelatases and 48 phaephorbide a oxygenase (Hörtensteiner and Kräutler 2011). During this process, hydroxylation and 49 glycosylation reactions share similarities with the detoxification of oxidative stress in cells (Quirino et al. 50 2000). Chl breakdown also includes the progressive loss of chloroplastic proteins, mainly ribulose 51 bisphosphate carboxylase (RuBisCO) and proteins from the light-harvesting complex (LHC) via the action 52 of several endo- and exopeptidases. These products are the major sources of cellular nitrogen in senescing 53 leaves. Due to their light-absorbing properties, Chl subproducts (mainly produced by the action of the 54 phaephorbide a oxygenase) induce the release of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which in turn contribute 55 to both stress and senescence signalization (Buchanan-Wollaston et al. 2003; Pružinská et al. 2005; 56 Schippers et al. 2007). In Arabidopsis, the NAM/ATAF/CUC (NAC) transcription factor (TF) ANAC046 was 57 found to control the expression of many senescence-related genes including Chl-catabolic genes such as 58 phaephorbide a oxygenase (Oda-Yamamizo et al. 2016). Consequently, during senescence, the chloroplast 59 is the main source of ROS in contrast with the stress response where ROS are produced by the mitochondria (Schippers et al. 2007). ROS induce lipid peroxidation, protein modifications, purine 60 61 oxidation and DNA damage. Their accumulation during senescence is paralleled by a decrease in 62 antioxidant activity (Pastori and del Rio 1997; Luschin-Ebengreuth and Zechmann 2016); plants showing a 63 high tolerance to oxidative stress appear to senesce slowly (Schippers et al. 2007). In this context, the antioxidant actors comprise the ascorbate-glutathione cycle (Zechmann 2014), α -tocopherol, enzymatic 64 65 scavengers and oxidase inhibitors (Leshem 1988). In addition, mitochondrial superoxide dismutase (SOD) 66 participates in both the regulation and the signalization of leaf senescence (Zentgraf 2007).

67 The onset of senescence is reflected by the progressive disruption of membrane integrity and 68 leakage of associated solutes. These alterations in membrane lipid composition are enzymatically 69 mediated. The transcriptome of Arabidopsis leaves revealed senescence responses in lipases, acyl 70 hydrolases, phospholipases (especially phospholipase D), lipoxygenases and β -oxidation enzymes (Guo et 71 al. 2004). For example, the gene At2g30550 (lipase-like) shows a chloroplast-targeting sequence and de-72 esterifies fatty acids from complex lipids during senescence (Guo et al. 2004). These fatty acids in turn 73 undergo β -oxidation in glyoxysomes, forming acetyl-coA for energy production via gluconeogenesis 74 (Charlton et al. 2005)(De Bellis et al. 1990).

75 Genes whose expression was significantly modified (up- or downregulated), especially during 76 senescence, have been clustered by many studies in a wide senescence-associated-genes (SAG) group 77 (Buchanan-Wollaston 1997; Li et al. 2012). SAGs operate in, for example, lipid transfer, chelation and 78 transport of metal ions, and oxidative-stress-response (anionic peroxidase, glutathione S-transferase). 79 Many SAGs are themselves finely modulated by a number of TFs (Balazadeh et al. 2008; Kim et al. 2013) 80 such as the NAC family (Kim et al. 2016), the basic region/leucine zipper (bZIP) family (Llorca et al. 2014) or the WRKY family (Jiang et al. 2016). These TFs frequently take roles in both the stress response and 81 82 senescence, and NAC and bZIP families, which were recently characterized, are also involved in growth and development (Liu et al. 2014; Llorca et al. 2014). NAC TFs have been shown to modulate 83 phytohormonal regulations of abiotic and biotic stresses and to play a key regulatory role in senescence 84 85 by linking environmental signalization with development and cell death (Nuruzzaman et al. 2013; Kim et 86 al. 2016). The WRKY TFs family has been widely documented and possesses an associated Arabidopsis 87 database (Eulgem et al. 2000). For example, AtWRKY57 is known to repress leaf senescence by interacting with the jasmonic acid (JA) pathway. AtWRKY54 and 70 have cooperative functions in negative regulation 88 89 of senescence (Hu et al. 2012), whereas AtWRKY53, an early factor of drought response (Sun and Yu 2015), 90 regulates senescence positively (Zentgraf et al. 2010). These three WRKYs then interact independently 91 with AtWRKY30 (Besseau et al. 2012). AtWKRY22, 29, 33 and 53 are also implicated in the oxidative-stress 92 response and regulate oxidation during senescence (Zentgraf 2007). Finally, some small RNAs have also 93 been described as senescence-inducible and to set posttranscriptional controls during senescence 94 (Thatcher et al. 2015).

Phytohormones have been shown to regulate leaf senescence in response to external factors such as modulation of the circadian rhythm or abiotic stresses. Auxin, cytokinins, gibberellins, and nitric oxide and Ca²⁺ play roles in cell development and plant growth and appear to delay senescence (Miller et al. 1999; Li et al. 2012). In contrast, abscisic acid (ABA), salicylic acid (SA), JA, ethylene and brassinosteroids are known to play a regulatory role in plant responses to stresses, and might also act as positive regulators of leaf senescence (Sarwat et al. 2013).

Among the posttranslational modifications that can occur during leaf senescence (phosphorylation, glycosylation, methylation and acetylation), ubiquitylation takes an important place (Woo et al. 2013). The ubiquitin-proteasome pathway is a central feature of autolysis in programmed cell death. The Skp1/Cul1/F-box (SCF) protein complex (an ubiquitin ligase) has been described to be positively regulated in senescing leaves by controlling negative regulators of senescence, especially the SCF complex showing an ORE9 F-box domain (Woo et al. 2001). Each F-box domain has a specific role in the stress response and/or senescence (Stefanowicz et al. 2015).

108 <u>2/ Postharvest senescence</u>

109 Packaged salads represent the most important sales of the fresh-cut fruits and vegetables 110 sector (Martín-Belloso and Soliva-Fortuny 2011). The shelf life of fresh-cut lettuce is generally 111 approximately 5-7 d in wholesale or retail markets, but it can vary from 5 to 18 d depending on treatments 112 and storage temperature (Zhou et al. 2004; Kang et al. 2007). The two main causes of quality deterioration are the loss of the "crisp green" appearance related to a 3-5 % water loss and the development of cut-113 114 edge discoloration which can be the consequence of bleaching, pinking and browning (Sinha et al. 2010; 115 Hunter et al. 2017). Wounding and discoloration of fruits and vegetables contribute significantly to food 116 waste due to consumer rejection, despite not reflecting the overall quality of the fresh product. 117 Vegetables, mainly harvested at a young stage, endure an important wound-stress that provokes response mechanisms that dominate the cell metabolism and subsequently initiate/accelerate the senescence 118 119 process. The majority of studies have focused on the genetically programmed and dark-induced leaf 120 senescence. Postharvest-induced and natural senescence have been shown to share common features, particularly a decrease in fatty acids, lysophospholipids and sesquiterpene lactones (Garcia et al. 2016),
but the current literature does not propose an exhaustive molecular view of postharvest senescence and
to what extent it diverges from natural senescence.

124 Fresh-cuts have been shown to express a wound response proportional to the severity of the 125 physical injury driven by the postharvest process. The breaking of the cellular compartments at the 126 wounding site triggers the stress response through the whole detached organs and accelerates many 127 metabolisms, particularly respiration (Martín-Belloso and Soliva-Fortuny 2011). As O₂ reaches the open 128 cellular matrix, discoloration occurs. Color (pinking and/or browning) can result from an increased activity 129 of both phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL) and polyphenol oxidase (PPO) enzymes. However, levels of 130 PPO and subsequent development of discoloration have not always been correlated. In addition, pigments 131 resulting from PPO oxidation of polyphenols are also dependent on the initial substrates of PAL. Brown 132 color could also be associated with peroxidase (POD) activity on lignins in presence of H₂O₂ (Hunter et al. 133 2017), PAL catalyzes the deamination of phenylalanine which is the first step in phenylpropanoids 134 metabolism. This leads to the subsequent formation of hydroxycinnamic acids and different classes of 135 phenolic compounds including flavonoids, which are also PPO substrates (Llorach et al. 2008; Hisaminato 136 et al. 2001; Ke and Saltveit 1988). In Arabidopsis, the genes from the PAL family (PAL1-PAL4) have also 137 shown a modulated expression over time and according to the type of plant tissues (Huang et al. 2010). In 138 the context of packaged lettuce, leaf cutting is typically associated with a rapid increase in polyphenol 139 content, and PAL has been used as an efficient lifetime marker (Sinha et al. 2010). In lettuce, PAL activity 140 was described to increase after 3 and 7 d after harvest, whereas PPO activity was more cyclic (Chen et al. 141 2017; Landi et al. 2013a; Degl'Innocenti et al. 2007) with daily variations. PPOs allow hydroxylation of 142 monophenols to o-diphenol and the oxidation of o-diphenol to o-quinone using O_2 . In many plants and 143 fruits, the subsequent nonenzymatic polymerization of quinones with other phenolics and/or amino acids 144 produces the pigments responsible for discoloration (Sinha et al. 2010). The PPO family appears to be 145 extremely variable (in terms of number of genes and introns) among the plant species and is totally absent 146 from Arabidopsis (Tran et al. 2012).

147 Several storage methods have been developed to enhance the shelf life of fresh products. For 148 lettuce leaves, the most used protocol is storage in darkness under cold temperatures (4-6 °C) mainly to 149 limit water losses (Martín-Belloso and Soliva-Fortuny 2011; Liu et al. 2015). Nevertheless, under these 150 conditions, Chl breakdown is observed after 6 d (Hisaminato et al. 2001). It was hypothesized that the 151 absence of light led to a delayed senescence via reduced RuBisCO levels (Lers 2007). However, we have recently proposed that a 2 d treatment of fresh-cut lettuce by intermittent (2 hours cycles) moderate level 152 light (50 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) could maintain product quality by reducing discoloration, minimizing weight loss 153 154 and respiration and maintaining a high level of photosynthetic capacity (Charles et al. 2017). The packaging of fresh-cut lettuce has allowed the use of modified atmospheres for shelf life enhancement (Kim et al. 2005). Among numerous sets, low O₂ combined with high CO₂ atmospheres are very efficient for both limiting phenols accumulation (PAL inhibition) and respiration (Sinha et al. 2010). Recently, it was observed that at ambient temperature, yellow sesquiterpenes called lettucenins (assembled as chromophores) can cause discoloration (Mai and Glomb 2013). These molecules are specific to the response to mechanical injury in lettuces and may represent 25 to 40 % of the colored compounds (Mai and Glomb 2014).

161 Many of the postharvest processes developed in lettuce have raised extended shelf-life or 162 increased access to accurate biochemical markers, but little progress has been made in terms of 163 knowledge of the underlying physiology of detached organs. Literature remains sparse when considering 164 gene expression modulation associated with the observed disorders. New high throughput molecular 165 approaches have been highlighted as promising postharvest tools (Hertog et al. 2011), since they could 166 help to: i) elucidate the cellular process ii) enhance the efficiency of storage technologies iii) reveal new 167 molecular markers reflecting both product quality and the physiological state. Lettuce is a shade plant, 168 and its leaves, which are often quite large, are naturally adapted to low irradiation. Consequently, it is 169 expected that the senescence-like symptoms that occur in lettuce during storage might highly differ from 170 the phenomena described in other plant models and particularly from Arabidopsis under dark-induced 171 senescence. To date, recent transcriptome studies have focused on lettuce responses to a pathogen 172 infection (De Cremer et al. 2013) or on genome mapping (Truco et al. 2013). In this work, transcriptome 173 next-generation-sequencing (RNA-seq) was exploited to monitor packaged lettuce leaves during 7 d of 174 storage under darkness at 6 °C. A focus was made on five themes, according to the current literature 175 regarding senescence-related genes: i) photosynthesis and energy-related pathways, ii) stress response 176 pathways, iii) the phenylpropanoid pathway (antioxidant metabolism), iv) hormonal regulations, v) well-177 known markers of senescence induced-phenomena (TFs, cell cycle development, Chl breakdown, lipid 178 metabolism, phospholipase D pathway, and regulators of protein degradation (*i.e.*, ubiquitination)).

179 Methods

180

1- Plant material and postharvest storage conditions

181 At day 0, butterhead lettuces (Lactuca sativa L.) were purchased at a local grower (from a single 182 seedling) in Avignon, France and were immediately transported to the laboratory. The outer leaves, the 183 core and the upper part of lettuce were removed. Leaves from the intermediate crown showing uniform 184 size and color were selected and washed in chlorinated water (80 mg L⁻¹, pH =7.4) for 10 min, rinsed with 185 tap water for 5 min and then rapidly drained on blotting paper. Rib edges were properly cut with a sharp 186 knife. These minimally processed leaves were kept in their entirety. Four leaves were packaged in OPP film (Oriented polypropylene film, CFS, France) of 40 μ m thickness, and with an oxygen permeability up to 14 L 187 m⁻² 24 h⁻¹. Three bags were used per time point. Packaged lettuce leaves were stored at 6 °C for 7 d in 188

189 standard climatic chambers (Sanyo MLR-351-H, Panasonic, USA) in darkness. The study focuses at 0, 2 and

190 <mark>7 d.</mark>

191

2- Discoloration and physiological response measurements

192 From day 0 to day 7, the global weight loss of lettuce samples was monitored by a simple package 193 weighing. The first 5 mm slice of each leaf midrib was scanned (600 dpi color Epson scanner) to quantify 194 the cut-edge discoloration (resulting from mixed bleaching, browning and pinking) using the software FIJI 195 (Schindelin et al. 2012). Fluorescence of chlorophyll a was measured on dark-adapted leaves (30 min) using 196 a fluorimeter (Handy-PEA, Hansatech, King's Lynn, UK). A focus was made on the maximum photochemical 197 efficiency of light harvesting of PSII (F_V/F_M) and the performance index (PI) of Strasser et al. (2004). O₂ 198 content inside each lettuce package was measured by a gas analyzer (Checkmate 9900, PBI Dansensor, 199 France).

200 3- RNA extraction and sequencing

201 From day 0 to day 7, leaves were frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was extracted using mini 202 columns (RNeasy Plant Mini Kit, QIAGEN, France) according to the protocol supplied. RNA quality was 203 checked with a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA). Next generation sequencing of 204 RNA (RNA-seq) was performed at the GeT-PlaGe core facility (INRA, Toulouse). RNA-seq libraries were 205 prepared according to Illumina's protocols using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA sample prep kit (Illumina, San 206 Diego, USA) to analyze mRNA. Briefly, mRNA were selected using poly T beads. Then, RNA were 207 fragmented to generate double stranded cDNA and adaptors were ligated to be sequenced. 10 cycles of 208 PCR were applied to amplify libraries. Library quality was assessed using a Fragment Analyzer (AATI, Ankeny, USA), and libraries were quantified by QPCR using the Kapa Library Quantification Kit (Kapa 209 210 Biosystems, Wilmington, USA). RNA-seq experiments were performed on an Illumina HiSeg3000 using a 211 paired-end read length of 2 x 150 bp with Illumina HiSeq3000 sequencing kits.

212

4- RNA-seq data alignment and quantification

The RNA-seq library read qualities were assessed using FastQC (Babraham Institute, Cambridge, UK)]. The remaining sequencing adapters were removed using trim_galore (Babraham Institute, Cambridge, UK). The reads were splice-aligned on the genome using STAR (Dobin et al. 2013) (version 2.4.0i using standard parameters) on the lettuce genome version 5 (Reyes-Chin-Wo et al. 2017). The expression was quantified using featureCounts (Liao et al. 2014) (version V1.4.5-P1 using standard parameters).

219 5- <u>Statistical analysis</u>

One sample (packaged lettuce) consisted of 4 leaves collected from 4 lettuces. The whole experiment was repeated 3 times (Data reflect 3 biological replicates per time point (day 0, day 2 and day 7). Differential gene expression analyses were performed in R 3.3.2 (R core Team 2016). The 223 Bioconductor package EdgeR was used for DE analyses with the trimmed mean of m values of TMM 224 normalization between each pair of samples (Robinson and Oshlack 2010; Robinson et al. 2010; McCarthy 225 et al. 2012). Gene enrichment analysis was performed with the Gene Ontology package topGO (Alexa and 226 Rahnenfuhrer 2016) and pathway related analyses were performed with the Kyoto Encyclopdia of Genes 227 and Genomes (KEGG) pathway (Kanehisa Laboratories, Japan). DE gene annotations were verified with 228 SwissProt, GO enrichment, and the KEGG pathways according to conserved domains in the sequence. 229 Numbers of DE genes for day 2 and day 7 in comparison to day 0 were visualized with a Venn diagram 230 (Hanbo 2016). Heatmaps of DE genes by selected family were plotted according to each day (gplots 231 package, (Warnes et al. 2016)).

232 Cut discoloration, weight loss and physiological measures were analyzed with a Kruskal-Wallis 233 multiple comparisons test (pgirmess package, (Giraudoux 2016)).

234 **Results and discussion**

235 1- Physiological data

In packaged lettuce leaves, the first visual markers of senescence are withering due to water losses and cut-edge discoloration (Martín-Belloso and Soliva-Fortuny 2011; Hunter et al. 2017). Storage under cold darkness is often used to keep the water losses below 5 %, the limit value for consumer rejection (Zhou et al. 2004; Kang et al. 2007; Sinha et al. 2010). Here, water losses were close to 5 % at day 2 and 10 % at day 7 (*Table 1*). The cut-edge discoloration surface was \approx 22 to 24 % from day 2 to day 7.

241 Dehydration during senescence may generally leads to a decrease in chlorophyll a fluorescence 242 indices F_V/F_M and performance index (PI) due to the sensitivity of photosystems II (Maxwell and Johnson 243 2000). The F_V/F_M parameter can be used as a marker of severe drought stress, as it starting to decline only at lethal dehydration level and was described as an interesting parameter to monitor leaf senescence 244 (Kotakis et al., 2014; Kalaji et al., 2017). PI, a global index of performance, is composed of three 245 246 components: the force due to the concentration of active reaction centers, the force of the light reactions 247 related to the quantum yield of primary photochemistry and the force related to the dark reactions (Živčák 248 et al., 2008). PI has been described as a "drought factor index" during dehydration (Goltsev et al. 2012). 249 Here, the observed water loss was not severe enough to induce changes in F_V/F_M and PI values that remained stable (Table 1), as well as the others OJIP flux parameters (data not shown, see Ripoll et al. 250 251 (2016) for a user-friendly approach of these parameters). Respiration is known to increase during senescence and may limit the shelf life of lettuce (Del Nobile et al. 2006). The monitoring of headspace O₂ 252 253 showed no variation here, according to the package permeability.

254 2- <u>RNA-seq quality</u>

255 67,989 distinct contigs were identified, and among them 19,107 genes were conserved after the
 256 edgeR filtering and normalization procedure (Robinson et al. 2010). The sequenced data aligned well

(≈ 95 %) to the reference genome (supplementary data 1A). Intersample global expression range displayed
 a strong homogeneity (supplementary data 1B). The sample correlation table (supplementary data 1C)
 showed that the biological replicates clustered together well. The averaged biological coefficient of
 variation was 22.38 % (supplementary data 2A, red line). As expected, highly expressed genes displayed a
 lower variation (Marioni et al. 2008).

262

3- RNA-seq differential expression analysis

263 A total of 1,048 and 1,846 genes were differentially expressed (DE, $|\log_2 \text{ fold change (FC)}| > 1.5$ 264 and false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.01) respectively after 2 and 7 d of storage under cold darkness 265 (supplementary data 2B and C, red spots). At day 2, 511 genes were upregulated and 537 were 266 downregulated. At day 7, 749 and 1,097 genes were respectively up- and downregulated. Day 2 and day 7 shared 695 modulated genes while 353 genes were specific to day 2 and 1,151 to day 7 (Figure 1). For the 267 268 selected genes, 60 % were well-described through the TopGO enrichment and 25 % had a known KEGG 269 pathway. As a comparison, it has been initially shown on Arabidopsis thaliana that the dark-induced and 270 the developmental senescence respectively raised 385 and 827 differentially expressed genes (Schippers 271 et al. 2007). However, these data have been supplemented progressively and now raise more than 3,500 272 SAGs through the leaf senescence database (Li et al. 2017).

273

4- Chloroplast and primary metabolism related genes

274 Among the cellular compartments, the chloroplast is the most affected by abiotic stress and 275 senescence (Pintó-Marijuan and Munné-Bosch 2014). Free Chl breakdown products, especially 276 pheophorbide a, are strong light-absorbing molecules that can produce reactive oxygen species that in 277 turn induce oxidative damage and accelerate senescence (Pružinská et al. 2005). In addition, 278 hydroxylations of chlorophyllide a in b were hypothesized to be catalyzed by cytochrome P450-type 279 enzymes, also known to take a role in detoxification processes (Hörtensteiner and Lee 2007). During the 280 storage of lettuce leaves, the RNA-seq data highlighted the modulation of many Chl hemostasis-related 281 genes: a chlorophyllide b reductase, a protochlorophyllide reductase and two chlorophyllases (Table 2). 282 Other Chl components and key enzymes were globally downregulated: 5 palmitoyl-283 monogalactosyldiacylglycerol delta-7 desaturase (except for one that was upregulated during the whole 284 storage period), a chorismate mutase, a geranylgeranyl diphosphate reductase (which provides phytol for 285 both chlorophyll and tocopherol biosynthesis) and some palmitoyl-monogalactosyldiacylglycerol delta-7 286 desaturases (required for chloroplast biogenesis at low temperatures (Hugly and Somerville 1992)). These 287 fatty acid desaturases are also involved in thylakoid membrane management and in response to wounding 288 (Heilmann et al. 2004). Concomitantly, no phaephorbide-related genes were significantly modulated 289 during the storage of lettuce leaves. All of these data suggest the absence or low level of chlorophyll 290 dismantling in terms of transcriptomic regulation.

Our data also highlighted 26 DE cytochrome P450-related genes during storage: 15 were upregulated and 11 were downregulated at day 2 and/or day 7 (supplementary data 3). Further studies are needed to understand the roles of P450s in the context of postharvest storage and senescence due to their numerous functions.

295 During natural senescence, photosynthetic performance decreases due to alterations of some 296 chloroplast structures and functions (Panda and Sarkar 2013). The chronology of these phenomena that 297 mainly target the thylakoids through the dismantling of PSI and PSII, vary strongly from one species to 298 another (Kotakis et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2014). During the storage of lettuce leaves, our RNA-seq data 299 showed that many photosystem subcomponent-related genes were affected. Three chlorophyll a-b 300 binding protein (CAB) genes were downregulated at day 2 and/or day 7 (Table 2). Two PsbP related genes 301 (PSII subunit) were downregulated at day 7, as were a gene coding for the PSI reaction center subunit XI 302 and a gene related to the cytochrome b6-f complex. A gene related to the PSII reaction center W protein 303 was induced during the 7 d of storage while two genes related to oxygen-evolving enhancer proteins 304 required for PSII stability (Yi et al. 2006) were affected; one was upregulated at day 2 and one was 305 downregulated during the whole storage period. Globally, the overall expression of these photosystem-306 related genes agree with the progressive loss of photosynthetic activity that takes place during storage in 307 darkness and the initiation of the senescence. However, the Chl fluorescence measurements indicated no 308 significant loss of photosynthetic function during the 7 d of storage (*Table 1*).

309 When considering the primary metabolism, *i.e.*, energy-related genes (Calvin cycle, glycolysis, 310 starch metabolism, fermentation, ATP synthesis, photorespiration), that were significantly affected by the 311 storage period, 21 markers among 24 were downregulated (Table 3). This is highly coherent with the 312 absence of light during storage. Only one enolase, an alcohol dehydrogenase and a probable adenylate 313 kinase were upregulated at day 2 and/or day 7. Enolase converts phosphoglycerate to 314 phosphoenolpyruvate which then contributes to the shikimic acid pathway and phenylpropanoids 315 biosynthesis (Voll et al. 2009). Alcohol dehydrogenases are enzymes related to fermentation processes. 316 Here, two alcohol dehydrogenases were downregulated at day 7. Adenylate kinases are responsible for 317 the transfer of phosphate between ATP and AMP, and here one was downregulated at day 7 (Table 3). 318 Among the downregulated markers, there were two genes related to ATP production, two genes with 319 related photorespiratory activity and two genes related to RuBisCO activation. Three enzymes involved in 320 the Calvin cycle were concomitantly downregulated at day 7 (Table 3). One of these enzymes was 321 proposed to be a chloroplastic fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase, which is mainly involved in starch 322 biosynthesis (Cho and Yoo 2011). Three other starch biosynthesis related genes were downregulated (at 323 day 2 and/or 7), as was a gene related to glucose homeostasis (day 7). With respect to glycolysis, three 324 genes related to the fructose and xylulose pathways were downregulated. Two chloroplastic phosphate translocators were negatively affected (*Table 3*). These genes play a role in phosphoenolpyruvate
transport and are also involved in the shikimic acid pathway (Flügge 1999).

327 To summarize, during storage under darkness at cold temperatures, lettuce leaves showed an 328 expected global shutdown of primary-metabolism (photosynthesis) related genes. In terms of genes 329 regulation, they also displayed no obvious Chl breakdown (we can note the absence of phaephorbide a 330 oxygenase regulation) and a slight expression decrease in genes coding for photosystem substructures. 331 This indicated no global transcriptomic regulation of photosystem dismantling as confirmed by the stable 332 photosynthesis functionality (Chl a fluorescence measurements). Finally, we can note that an enolase 333 (glycolysis pathway) was upregulated and appeared to be linked to the phenylpropanoids pathway, which 334 is involved in discoloration symptoms.

335 5- <u>W</u>

5- Wound and antioxidant response

Postharvest mechanical wounding results in the activation of defensive genes similarly to herbivore attacks, including proteinase inhibitors (Farmer and Ryan 1990) and lipoxygenase (JA and ABA signaling) (Bell et al. 1995) associated with potential deleterious effects on membrane functions (Baysal and Demirdöven 2007). Accordingly, our data highlighted here the induction of trypsin inhibitor genes and a chloroplastic lipoxygenase 2 at day 7 (Figure 2).

341 We can also note the increased expression of a PERK1-like gene (receptor kinase) at day 2 which 342 has been described as an early-induced wound-response gene (Silva and Goring 2002).

343 Keeping fresh products in the dark at cold temperatures with a high humidity level in packages has 344 been shown to best maintain their fresh weight (Martín-Belloso and Soliva-Fortuny 2011; Liu et al. 2015). 345 However, once lettuce leaves are packaged, they undergo dehydration during the overall postharvest 346 storage as confirmed in Table 1. The dehydrins belong to the late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) group 347 and have a protective role mainly in response to abiotic stress, although their complex roles are not fully 348 understood (Graether and Boddington 2014). Promoters of dehydrin genes can display ABA-responsive 349 elements, C-repeat / drought-responsive / low temperature-responsive elements and bZIP TFs interactions 350 (Hanin et al. 2011). Our data showed an increased expression of many dehydration-responsive genes 351 mainly at day 7 (Dehydrin family, Dehydrin LEA, Lea5, and Dehydration-responsive element-binding 352 protein 3) (Figure 2). Interestingly, some of these markers have also been shown to be involved in 353 senescence (Weaver et al. 1998) and the abiotic stress response (Nakashima et al. 2014; Franco-Zorrilla et 354 al. 2014). Four bZIP TFs were differentially expressed in lettuce leaves during storage (3 up- and 1 355 downregulated, supplementary data 3); bZIP TFs have been shown to take important roles during abiotic 356 stress responses, notably during drought (Llorca et al. 2014)

357 During genetically programmed senescence, the decrease in antioxidant capacity leads to an 358 oxidative stress that accelerates the overall phenomenon (Zentgraf 2007). However, postharvest

11

procedures, and, to a larger extent, plant wounding, have been linked to an increased respiration rate, the early production of reactive oxygen species (which can participate in a defensive strategy and signaling through the plant tissues, including the cut-edge discoloration phenomenon observed in packaged lettuces), and the consequent increased antioxidant activity (Toivonen 2004; Sinha et al. 2010; Savatin et al. 2014). In the present work, 30 genes associated with redox regulation were affected by the postharvest storage, among which 20 showed a high expression at day 0 and a progressive downregulation during storage (Figure 2).

366 Proline has a fundamental role in the stress response by promoting cellular apoptosis or survival 367 through its interactions with redox proteins and glutathione (Liang et al. 2013). During storage here, two 368 genes involved in proline biosynthesis were induced, while two proline dehydrogenases were 369 downregulated. Two genes related to glutathione metabolism were respectively down- and upregulated 370 at day 7. In parallel, 24 DE genes were assigned to an oxidoreductase activity: two catalases showed 371 opposite profiles, two superoxide dismutases were downregulated during storage, two guinone-372 oxidoreductases were downregulated, five thioredoxins were affected (2 downregulated and 3 373 upregulated) and 10 genes coding for a peroxidase activity were modulated (3 upregulated and 7 374 downregulated mainly at day 7, Figure 2), among which were two L-ascorbate peroxidases and two lignin-375 forming anionic peroxidase. The downregulation of catalase and superoxide dismutase related genes 376 during storage appeared coherent with their decreased activities observed in tobacco during senescence 377 and the consequent increase in chloroplast lipid peroxidation (Dhindsa et al. 1981). However, one catalase 378 gene appeared to be only upregulated from the second day of storage. Among the five thioredoxin related 379 genes, the two downregulated genes showed an F-type activity which has been shown to activate 380 numerous enzymes of the photosynthetic carbon cycle (Serrato et al. 2008). Concerning the three 381 upregulated genes, they take roles in the redox homeostasis through their disulfide reductase and 382 isomerase activities (Serrato et al. 2008).

383 Concerning stress-related genes, most of them were upregulated late during storage (Figure 2) 384 such as two universal stress A-like protein, an S-(+)-linalool synthase (upregulated at day 7), a zeaxanthin 385 epoxidase (decreased at day 2) and a soluble inorganic chloroplastic pyrophosphatase (decreased at 386 day 7). Universal stress protein A has a potential role in redox state regulation through its chaperone 387 function (Jung et al. 2015). Zeaxanthin epoxidase is known to play an important role in the xanthophyll 388 cycle and abscisic acid biosynthesis. It also participates in nonphotochemical quenching processes and 389 redox state homeostasis (Zhao et al. 2016). In addition, during storage, two heat shock proteins were positively regulated at day 7, while another one was negatively regulated at day 2. Three heat stress-390 391 related TFs were also upregulated at day 7. Mutants lacking such TFs have been described to show 392 increased senescence symptoms (Breeze et al. 2008).

To conclude, in terms of gene expression regulation, the senescence process observed during storage of lettuce leaves appeared to be widely characterized by a chronological sequence that starts with an early antioxidant response (day 0) and ends with both dehydration and stress responses (day 7).

396

6- Cut-edge discoloration and the phenylpropanoids pathway

397 Enzymatic discoloration is commonly reported as a consequence of both the oxidation of phenolic compounds by PPO and an increase in PAL activity. Peroxidases activity on lignins in presence of H₂O₂ has 398 399 also been associated to the production of brown pigments (Landi et al. 2013; Hunter et al. 2017). Recent 400 studies on lettuce also suggested that some specific sesquiterpenes syntheses may contribute to tissue 401 discoloration at ambient temperature (Mai and Glomb 2013; Mai and Glomb 2014). The present data 402 identified 4 PAL- and 6 PPO-related genes in lettuce leaves (supplementary data 3). No PAL gene was 403 significantly affected by storage (Figure 3), and 3 PPO genes were downregulated at day 2 while one was 404 upregulated. Measurements of PPO activities are required to understand their role in the cut-edge 405 discoloration, but we can hypothesize that a poststress pool of enzymes (expressed between day 0 and 406 day 2) may explain the observed phenomenon as described earlier (Landi et al. 2013). In addition, the 407 recent literature highlighted no systematic correlation between discoloration and PPO levels (Hunter et

408 al. 2017).

409 Globally, the majority of genes involved in the phenylpropanoids pathway showed a high 410 expression immediately after cutting and were downregulated during storage (Figure 3) except for a PPO, 411 a 4-coumarate-CoA-ligase-like and a flavonol synthase / flavanone-3-hydroxylase. This enzyme is very 412 sensitive to abiotic stress and is known to be activated during dehydration (Ma et al. 2014). Other DE genes 413 downregulated during storage were two 4-coumarate-CoA-ligases, a chalcone synthase, 2 chalcone 414 isomerases and a trans-cinnamate 4-monooxygenase (CYP73A). Finally, a probable cinnamyl alcohol 415 dehydrogenase involved in the latest steps of lignin biosynthesis was only upregulated at day 0, suggesting 416 an adjustment of the cinnamyl alcohols pathway at the onset of postharvest senescence.

417 7- Phytohormones

418 Auxins, gibberellins and cytokinins may delay the process of senescence, whereas ethylene, SA, 419 JA, brassinosteroids and ABA promote it (Sarwat et al. 2013). Our experiment on storage of cut lettuce 420 leaves raised more than 80 DE hormone-related genes (Figure 4). The data highlighted early-responding 421 (day 0) and late-responding (day 7) genes. Day 2 appeared as an intermediate physiological state. When 422 focusing on ethylene- and auxin-related genes, 55 to 60 % of them were upregulated at day 0, while 26 % 423 were upregulated at day 7. These data suggest a strong mobilization of auxin- and ethylene-responding pathways at the onset of the storage (*i.e.*, immediately after the cut stress). However, ABA-related genes 424 425 showed a different profile and were only upregulated from day 2 to day 7. Finally, cytokinins-related 426 markers did not display such an asymmetrical pattern between early- and late-responsive genes. Eleven genes associated with the NAC TF family, known to play a role in the hormonal response to abiotic stress
and senescence (Nakashima et al. 2012; Takasaki et al. 2015), were upregulated during postharvest
storage (supplementary data 3).

430 The auxin signaling pathway involves a large group of responsive genes such as auxin-responsive and induced proteins, auxin response factors (ARF), small auxin upregulated RNA (SAUR), auxin-responsive 431 432 Gretchen Hagen3 (GH3) and YUCCA genes (Mueller-Roeber and Balazadeh 2014). In dark-induced and 433 developmental senescence, IAA levels were found to decrease while RNAs of the GH3 genes family were 434 increased; GH3 genes aim to conjugate IAA into amino acids, contributing to auxin homeostasis (Mueller-435 Roeber and Balazadeh 2014). The main hypothesis on the auxin delaying effect on senescence was linked 436 to an increase in free auxin levels (Mueller-Roeber and Balazadeh 2014). Although it is not simple to 437 interpret, some aspects of our data are consistent with this statement (Figure 4). The majority of IAA 438 related genes were highly expressed at day 0, and a GH3 gene was progressively upregulated during the 439 storage progression. Expressions of Auxin transport and efflux carrier genes were also modulated, 440 suggesting an adjustment of the auxin-signaling pathway.

441 Cytokinin phytohormones have an important role in both cell division and proliferation at early 442 plant developmental stages, and they also maintain existing cells at late stages by limiting senescence 443 (Dani et al. 2016). They have been shown to maintain chloroplast integrity via an upregulation of the 444 antioxidant response during dark-induced senescence (Zavaleta-Mancera et al. 2007). They also contribute 445 to maintaining the chlorophyll cycle and the LHC (Talla et al. 2016). A few actors of the senescence-446 delaying role of cytokinins have been elucidated such as a receptor (AHK3), a response regulator (ARR2) 447 and a response factor (CRF6) (Zwack and Rashotte 2013). However, enzymes such as cytokinin-O-448 glucosyltransferase and phosphoribosyltransferase have been shown to downregulate the cytokinin signal 449 (Allen et al. 2002; Sakakibara 2006). Our data showed that 17 genes linked to theses enzymes were 450 affected (8 up- and 9 down-regulated), suggesting a complex modulation of cytokinins homeostasis and 451 the subsequent senescence process (Figure 4).

Ethylene has an important role in the senescence of leaves and the ripening of fruits. The biosynthesis of ethylene, although complex, is catalyzed by a 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid synthase, ACC (Xu and Zhang 2014). ACC downregulation has been shown to delay the senescence process, suggesting a role for ethylene in promoting senescence (Khan et al. 2014). Our results showed that the lettuce storage did not directly affect ethylene biosynthesis but rather impacted 3 receptors/sensors and 28 ethylene responsive TFs mainly at the onset of the storage period (Figure 4). A deeper analysis of the TFs functions is necessary to draw a precise conclusion of the role of ethylene in this context.

459 Abscissic acid is known to induce senescence (Khan et al. 2014). Lettuce storage led to the 460 upregulation of 4 members of the ABA signaling pathway, mainly from the middle to the end of the storage period (Figure 4), including one hydroxylase and 3 ABA-insensitive 5-like proteins. These genes are involved in the response to water deprivation conditions (Kang et al. 2002; Kushiro et al. 2004), suggesting a higher effect of dehydration than senescence on ABA signaling in the present work. Our data also highlighted a probable glutamate carboxypeptidase (upregulated at day 7), which is involved in ABA homeostasis and the stress response (Shi et al. 2013) and could play a role in the implementation of senescence.

Salicylic acid level is known to increase in senescing leaves (Khan et al. 2014), but SA appeared not
to be involved in dark- or starvation-induced senescence (Buchanan-Wollaston et al. 2005). The absence
of SA-related genes from our DE analyses appeared consistent.

Jasmonate compounds are involved in signaling during plant responses to abiotic and biotic stresses and play a positive role in leaf senescence (Wasternack 2014). Only one gene related to the complex JA biosynthesis, the allene oxide synthase enzyme, was upregulated, mainly at the end of the storage period (Figure 4).

Brassinosteroid hormones were suggested as regulators of senescence mechanisms via the alteration of the cell lipid composition (Fedina et al. 2017), but the literature remains scarce. Only one related gene was upregulated at day 0, a serine carboxypeptidase 24 (Figure 4).

To conclude, although cytokinin and ethylene treatments generally promote senescence (Gan and Amasino 1997), here the regulations of these pathways were clearly affected by the crosstalk between wound, dehydration and dark-induced senescence. The small number of SA, JA and brassinosteroid response genes found in our results, and the complex regulations of auxin, ethylene and cytokinin pathways may suggest a delay in the involvement of senescence mechanisms.

482 8- Markers of senescence

Regulation of senescence involves a large and complex panel of molecular actors among which are many TFs related to SAG regulation such as the WRKY family. Here, we identified 12 DE WRKY genes: 5 were upregulated and 7 were downregulated (supplementary data 3). Further studies are needed to determine their specific roles in the context of lettuce leaf storage.

487 <u>Cell cycle shutdown</u>

The cell cycle shutdown has been widely described during senescence (Sablowski and Carnier Dornelas 2014). Here, 8 genes related to cell division processes, mainly highly expressed at the onset of storage, were DE (7 downregulated at day 7, Table 4). They include 4 cyclin-D and 3 cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK). One of the CDK genes was the only upregulated marker during storage. These actors are fundamental regulators of cell cycle homeostasis and are linked to cytokinins (Lee et al. 2006; Sablowski and Carnier Dornelas 2014). Further, a phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase was downregulated at day 7. This has been described as showing a cell-protecting role against heat stressinduced cell death (Chen et al. 2004).

496 <u>Cell wall dismantling</u>

497 The plant cell wall, composed of a cellulose/xyloglucan network, continually changes in 498 composition and structure throughout plant development and can be modified in stress conditions. Our 499 data highlighted a global shutdown of cell-wall-associated markers that were mainly upregulated at the 500 onset of the experiment (day 0). Among 25 genes, only 4 were upregulated during storage (Table 5). This 501 trend indicates a possible shutdown of cell wall maintenance and even cell wall loosening. Lettuce mutants 502 showing higher xyloglucan endotransglucosylase / hydrolase activity can have a higher shelf life (Wagstaff 503 et al. 2010). Our data highlighted 11 of these markers in lettuce leaves during storage (all were 504 downregulated during storage, except one). A probable glycerophosphoryl diester phosphodiesterase 2 505 was downregulated at day 7. This type of protein was suggested to be involved in cell wall organization 506 (Hayashi et al. 2008). In addition, one repetitive proline-rich cell wall protein was downregulated at day 7, 507 and a wall-associated receptor kinase 2 was upregulated at day 2 only. Repetitive proline-rich cell wall 508 proteins constitute a large family of cell wall proteins inducible by environmental stimuli (Marcus et al. 509 1991) with specific organ expression patterns, such as the wall-associated receptor kinase (He et al. 1999). 510 Six genes related to cell wall extension (Expansin-A family) were downregulated at day 7 except for one. 511 These proteins mediate cell wall loosening and contributes to the strength of the cell (Marowa et al. 2016). 512 Four genes involved in demethylesterification of cell wall proteins (probable pectinesterases) were 513 downregulated at day 7 and one was upregulated at day 2.

514 Lipid homeostasis

515 During senescence, alteration of lipids occurs in all compartments. In chloroplasts it is mainly due 516 to an increase in ROS. Figure 5 details the 65 lipid-related genes that were affected during the storage of 517 lettuce leaves. A very clear pattern emerges: ≈82 % of the markers highly expressed at the start of the 518 experiment were downregulated during storage. Day 2 appeared as an intermediate state but showed 7 519 markers specifically upregulated, while only 4 genes were upregulated at day 7. This indicates both an 520 overall shutdown of lipid biosynthesis and no clear senescence initiation. Fatty acids are known to 521 decrease with the age of the leaf while glycerolipid synthesis is expected to increase (Troncoso-Ponce et 522 al. 2013). Regarding chloroplastic lipids, two genes related to probable plastid-lipid-associated proteins 523 were downregulated at day 7, whereas one was upregulated. Genes involved in fatty acid biosynthesis 524 were progressively decreased from 6 at day 2 to 13 genes at day 7. Only two were upregulated at day 7. 525 Phospholipid biosynthesis was upregulated for 3 genes at day 2, while 2 others were downregulated. 526 Degradation of lipids during senescence mainly serves to provide substrates to sink tissues generating an 527 important traffic and changes in lipid metabolism (Troncoso-Ponce et al. 2013). In senescing membranes, 528 alterations in lipid composition are enzymatically mediated. The transcriptome of Arabidopsis leaves 529 revealed senescence responses such as lipases, acyl hydrolases, phospholipases (especially the 530 phospholipase D pathway), lipoxygenases and β -oxidation enzymes (Guo et al. 2004). Here, 5 genes that 531 show a lipolytic activity were upregulated while 26 were downregulated. One lipase was upregulated at 532 day 7. The GDSL esterase/lipase family is a relatively new subclass of lipolytic enzymes that can hydrolyze 533 acyl-CoA, esters or amino acid derivatives substrates (Chepyshko et al. 2012). Sixteen GDSL esterase/lipase 534 were downregulated at day 7, and three were upregulated at day 2. GDSL esterase/lipase are subjected 535 to regulations in response to stressful conditions (Chepyshko et al. 2012). The phospholipase D family is 536 known to display increased expression in senescent Arabidopsis leaves (Fan et al. 1997), and its silencing 537 led to blocked lipid degradation and delayed ABA-promoted senescence (Jia et al. 2013). Here, 4 genes 538 with phospholipase D activity were downregulated, one at day 2 and three at day 7. Other genes with a 539 phospholipase activity were also downregulated such as the phospholipase A1 Igamma 3 gene sharing 540 similarities with an Arabidopsis enzyme (At2g30550) known to handle the de-esterification of fatty acids 541 from complex lipids during senescence (Guo et al. 2004). Concerning β -oxidation enzymes, only a long-542 chain-alcohol oxidase was upregulated at day 2. A long-chain-fatty-acid--CoA ligase 1 was downregulated 543 at day 7, as well as a peroxisomal 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase 2 at day 2. Patatin-like proteins are also lipases 544 involved in galactolipid turnover and are known to be upregulated during leaf senescence (Troncoso-Ponce 545 et al. 2013). Four genes in the patatin-like family were downregulated at day 7, except for one. Lipids, 546 phospholipids and sterol transport were affected as illustrated by the two nonspecific lipid-transfer 547 protein-like protein At5g64080 and 6 nonspecific lipid-transfer proteins downregulated at day 2 and three 548 at day 7. One oxysterol-binding protein-related protein 2A involved in sterols transport was 549 downregulated during storage. Annexin proteins interact with membrane lipids in response to stress and 550 are involved in interconnections between Ca^{2+} , ROS, actin and lipid signaling (Laohavisit and Davies 2011). 551 The annexin multigene family is known to be regulated by abiotic stress, such as dehydration or wounding 552 (Cantero et al. 2006). Here, two annexins were downregulated.

553 Protein catabolism

554 During natural senescence, protein breakdown is one of the most important contributing 555 processes to nitrogen remobilization (Woo et al. 2013; Poret et al. 2016). Eight genes showing an aspartic 556 proteinase activity were downregulated at day 7, comprising 6 aspartic proteinase nepenthesin genes 557 (Table 6) and two basic 7S globulin. Aspartic proteases take part in environmental stress responses such 558 as wounding or dehydration (Chen et al. 2015). The ubiquitination process corresponds to the tagging of 559 proteins by ubiquitins (monomers or polyubiquitins) mainly to induce the degradation of the targeted 560 protein but also to modify its activity or cellular location. It can also take a role in cell signaling (Li and Ye 561 2008). For that purpose, E1 (activation), E2 (conjugation) and E3 (ligation) enzyme types are required 562 (Hershko and Ciechanover 1998). E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase and the U-box domain confer the specificity 563 of substrate for ubiquitination processes. E3 are involved in the transfer of ubiquitin to E2 ligases, while 564 U-box proteins participate in stress signaling (Lee and Kim 2011). Nineteen genes catalyzing the 565 ubiquitination process were involved: 15 E3 ubiquitin-protein ligases (Table 6), 3 U-box domain-containing 566 proteins upregulated at day 7, and 1 anaphase-promoting complex APC10 downregulated at day 2 and 1 567 ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase downregulated at day 7. Among the E3 ubiquitin-protein ligases, 568 seven of them can be associated with negative regulation of water stress response (Cho et al. 2008), and 569 they were downregulated at day 7 except for one. The anaphase-promoting complex APC10 is a subunit 570 of the APC/C complex (an E3 ubiquitination complex), and this subunit is implied in leaf growth regulation 571 in Arabidopsis (Eloy et al. 2011). Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase are deubiquitinating enzymes of the autophagic pathway. They ensure a pool of reusable ubiquitin (Ingvardsen and Veierskov 2001). The 572 573 SCF protein complex participates in the E3 ubiquitination process and is required in senescing leaves (Woo 574 et al. 2001; Hopkins et al. 2007). This complex is composed of F-box domains, each one of which has a 575 specific role in the stress response or senescence (Stefanowicz et al. 2015). Many components of the SCF 576 complex were affected by the storage period: one SKP1-like protein was induced at day 7, a cullin-1 was 577 downregulated at day 7 and 10 F-box genes were affected (6 upregulated and 4 downregulated, Table 6). 578 The affected F-box in this experiment were not all characterized, and further studies are needed. Only one 579 was downregulated and has been involved in the regulation of the ethylene signaling pathway (Qiu et al. 580 2015). A zinc finger A20 and AN1 domain-containing stress-associated protein was upregulated at day 7. 581 It is involved in the environmental stress response (Vij and Tyagi 2006) and is required for RING finger-582 containing protein stability.

Globally, the downregulation of genes involved in the cell cycle suggests a cell cycle arrest in stored lettuce leaves. The metabolisms around the cell wall were also downregulated, as well as lipid biosynthesis, degradation and transport. The absence of phospholipase D activity or oxidation of fatty acids contradicts the expected effects of senescence or wounding (Vu et al. 2014) and suggests a delay in the onset of senescence. Concomitantly, the postharvest storage induced regulations of ubiquitination processes mainly related to dehydration while links to senescence cannot be made without complementary studies on the various highlighted F-box containing genes.

590 **Conclusion**

As expected, dark and cold storage did not limit discoloration symptoms and reduced activities of glycolysis and the Calvin cycle. However, transcriptome data highlighted a complex pattern of PPO-related gene expression that challenges the usual link made between PPO and discoloration. The postharvest senescence appeared here as a mixture between an early stress response to wound followed by a late dehydration and dark-induced senescence. The early antioxidant activity observed may delay the

596	senescence implementation. In chloroplasts, the diminution of CAB biosynthesis at day 7 suggested that
597	senescence may begin only 7 d after harvest. Despite the downregulation of phospholipase D biosynthesis
598	here, lipid metabolism can be proposed as a good target or indicator of postharvest senescence since an
599	overall decrease in biosynthesis, degradation and transport were suggested. The cold and dark postharvest
600	storage also created favorable conditions to maintain chlorophylls. It induced modifications of hormonal
601	signalization mainly in response to stresses rather than senescence and appeared to down-regulate cell
602	cycle maintenance. Many questions remain, due to the numerous TFs and unannotated genes highlighted.
603	The present work has focused mainly on transcriptomic modulations. Although regulation models appear,
604	translatome, proteome and epigenetic studies are needed to confirm and specify the phenomena
605	described.
606	
607	
608	
609	
610	
611	
612	
613	
614	
615	
616	
617	
618	
619	
620	
621	
622	
623	
624	
625	
626	
627	
628	
629	

630 Abbreviations

- 631 ABA: abscissic acid
- 632 ARF: auxin response factor
- 633 bZIP: the basic region/leucine zipper (transcription factor)
- 634 CAB: chlorophyll *a/b* binding protein
- 635 CDK: cyclin-dependent kinase
- 636 Chl: chlorophyll
- 637 DE: differentially expressed
- 638 FC: fold change
- 639 FDR: false discovery rate
- 640 F_V/F_M: maximum photochemical efficiency of light harvesting of PSII
- 641 GH3: auxin-responsive Gretchen Hagen 3
- 642 IAA: indole acetic acid
- 643 JA: jasmonic acid
- 644 KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes
- 645 LHC: light harvesting complex
- 646 NAC: NAM/ATAF/CUC (transcription factor)
- 647 PAL: phenylalanine ammonia-lyase
- 648 PI: performance index
- 649 PPO: polyphenol oxidase
- 650 PS: photosystems
- 651 RCs: reaction centers
- 652 ROS: reactive oxygen species
- 653 RuBisCO: ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase
- 654 SA: salicylic acid
- 655 SAG: senescence associated-genes
- 656 SAUR: small auxin upregulated RNA
- 657 SCF: Skp1/Cul1/F-box (complex)
- 658 SOD: superoxide dismutase
- 659 TF: transcription factor

660	Declaration
661	Availability of data and material
662	The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding
663	author on reasonable request.
664	Competing interests
665	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
666	Funding
667	This work was financed by a grant offered by the University of Avignon, France.
668	Authors' contributions
669	The original idea of this project was developed by FC, VV, HS, FL and DR. DR, SL and VV performed
670	the experiments. CK performed RNA-seq quality analyses. JR compiled data and performed data analyses.
671	JR and DR were the major contributors in writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final
672	manuscript.
673	Acknowledgements
674	We thank Richard Michelmore, Distinguished Professor and Director of the Genome and
675	Biomedical Sciences Facility from the University of California (Davis, CA, USA), who granted us access to a
676	complete and annotated version of the lettuce genome.
677	
678	
670	
079	
680	
681	
682	
683	
005	
684	
685	
686	
687	
007	
688	

689 **References**

690 Alexa A, Rahnenfuhrer J (2016) topGO: Enrichment Analysis for Gene Ontology. R package version 2.26.0. 691 Allen M, Qin W, Moreau F, Moffatt B (2002) Adenine phosphoribosyltransferase isoforms of Arabidopsis 692 and their potential contributions to adenine and cytokinin metabolism. Physiol Plant 115:56-68 693 Altunkaya A, Gökmen V (2009) Effect of various anti-browning agents on phenolic compounds profile of 694 fresh lettuce (L. sativa). Food Chem 117:122-126 . doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.03.085 695 Balazadeh S, Riano-Pachon DM, Mueller-Roeber B (2008) Transcription factors regulating leaf 696 senescence in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Biol Stuttg Ger 10 Suppl 1:63-75 . doi: 10.1111/j.1438-697 8677.2008.00088.x 698 Baysal T, Demirdöven A (2007) Lipoxygenase in fruits and vegetables: A review. Enzyme Microb Technol 699 40:491-496 . doi: 10.1016/j.enzmictec.2006.11.025 700 Bell E, Creelman RA, Mullet JE (1995) A chloroplast lipoxygenase is required for wound-induced jasmonic 701 acid accumulation in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci 92:8675-8679 702 Besseau S, Li J, Palva ET (2012) WRKY54 and WRKY70 co-operate as negative regulators of leaf 703 senescence in Arabidopsis thaliana. J Exp Bot 63:2667–2679 . doi: 10.1093/jxb/err450 704 Breeze E, Harrison E, Page T, Warner N, Shen C, Zhang C, Buchanan-Wollaston V (2008) Transcriptional 705 regulation of plant senescence: from functional genomics to systems biology. Plant Biol 10:99-706 109. doi: 10.1111/j.1438-8677.2008.00076.x 707 Buchanan-Wollaston V (1997) The molecular biology of leaf senescence. J Exp Bot 48:181–199 . doi: 708 10.1093/jxb/48.2.181 709 Buchanan-Wollaston V, Earl S, Harrison E, Mathas E, Navabpour S, Page T, Pink D (2003) The molecular 710 analysis of leaf senescence--a genomics approach. Plant Biotechnol J 1:3–22. doi: 711 10.1046/j.1467-7652.2003.00004.x 712 Buchanan-Wollaston V, Page T, Harrison E, Breeze E, Lim PO, Nam HG, Lin J, Wu S, Swidzinski J, Ishizaki K, 713 Leaver CJ (2005) Comparative transcriptome analysis reveals significant differences in gene 714 expression and signalling pathways between developmental and dark/starvation-induced 715 senescence in Arabidopsis. Plant J 42:567–585 . doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02399.x 716 Cantero A, Barthakur S, Bushart TJ, Chou S, Morgan RO, Fernandez MP, Clark GB, Roux SJ (2006) 717 Expression profiling of the Arabidopsis annexin gene family during germination, de-etiolation 718 and abiotic stress. Plant Physiol Biochem 44:13–24. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2006.02.002 719 Charles F, Phrutiya N, Roux D, Huguette S (2017) Visible light as a new tool to maintain fresh-cut lettuce 720 post-harvest quality 721 Charlton WL, Johnson B, Graham IA, Baker A (2005) Non-coordinate expression of peroxisome 722 biogenesis, β -oxidation and glyoxylate cycle genes in mature Arabidopsis plants. Plant Cell Rep 723 23:647-653

- Chen H-J, Huang Y-H, Huang G-J, Huang S-S, Chow T-J, Lin Y-H (2015) Sweet potato SPAP1 is a typical
 aspartic protease and participates in ethephon-mediated leaf senescence. J Plant Physiol 180:1–
 17. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2015.03.009
- Chen S, Vaghchhipawala Z, Li W, Asard H, Dickman MB (2004) Tomato Phospholipid Hydroperoxide
 Glutathione Peroxidase Inhibits Cell Death Induced by Bax and Oxidative Stresses in Yeast and
 Plants. Plant Physiol 135:1630–1641 . doi: 10.1104/pp.103.038091
- Chen X, Ren L, Li M, Qian J, Fan J, Du B (2017) Effects of clove essential oil and eugenol on quality and
 browning control of fresh-cut lettuce. Food Chem 214:432–439 . doi:
 10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.07.101
- Chepyshko H, Lai C-P, Huang L-M, Liu J-H, Shaw J-F (2012) Multifunctionality and diversity of GDSL
 esterase/lipase gene family in rice (Oryza sativa L. japonica) genome: new insights from
 bioinformatics analysis. BMC Genomics 13:309. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-13-309
- Cho SK, Ryu MY, Song C, Kwak JM, Kim WT (2008) Arabidopsis PUB22 and PUB23 Are Homologous U-Box
 E3 Ubiquitin Ligases That Play Combinatory Roles in Response to Drought Stress. Plant Cell
 20:1899–1914 . doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.060699
- Cho Y-H, Yoo S-D (2011) Signaling Role of Fructose Mediated by FINS1/FBP in Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS
 Genet 7:e1001263 . doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1001263
- Dani KGS, Fineschi S, Michelozzi M, Loreto F (2016) Do cytokinins, volatile isoprenoids and carotenoids
 synergically delay leaf senescence? Plant Cell Environ 39:1103–1111. doi: 10.1111/pce.12705
- De Bellis L, Picciarelli P, Pistelli L, Alpi A (1990) Localization of glyoxylate-cycle marker enzymes in
 peroxisomes of senescent leaves and green cotyledons. Planta 180:435–439 . doi:
 10.1007/BF00198797
- De Cremer K, Mathys J, Vos C, Froenicke L, Michelmore RW, Cammue BPA, De Coninck B (2013) RNAseq based transcriptome analysis of Lactuca sativa infected by the fungal necrotroph Botrytis
 cinerea. Plant Cell Environ 36:1992–2007 . doi: 10.1111/pce.12106
- Degl'Innocenti E, Pardossi A, Tognoni F, Guidi L (2007) Physiological basis of sensitivity to enzymatic
 browning in 'lettuce', 'escarole' and 'rocket salad' when stored as fresh-cut products. Food Chem
 104:209–215 . doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.11.026
- Del Nobile MA, Baiano A, Benedetto A, Massignan L (2006) Respiration rate of minimally processed
 lettuce as affected by packaging. J Food Eng 74:60–69. doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2005.02.013
- Dhindsa RS, Plumb-Dhindsa P, Thorpe TA (1981) Leaf Senescence: Correlated with Increased Levels of
 Membrane Permeability and Lipid Peroxidation, and Decreased Levels of Superoxide Dismutase
 and Catalase. J Exp Bot 32:93–101 . doi: 10.1093/jxb/32.1.93
- Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR (2013)
 STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinforma Oxf Engl 29:15–21. doi:
 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

760 Eloy NB, de Freitas Lima M, Van Damme D, Vanhaeren H, Gonzalez N, De Milde L, Hemerly AS, Beemster 761 GTS, Inzé D, Ferreira PCG (2011) The APC/C subunit 10 plays an essential role in cell proliferation 762 during leaf development. Plant J 68:351–363 . doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04691.x 763 Eulgem T, Rushton PJ, Robatzek S, Somssich IE (2000) The WRKY superfamily of plant transcription 764 factors. Trends Plant Sci 5:199-206 . doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(00)01600-9 765 Fan L, Zheng S, Wang X (1997) Antisense suppression of phospholipase D alpha retards abscisic acid- and 766 ethylene-promoted senescence of postharvest Arabidopsis leaves. Plant Cell 9:2183–2196 767 Farmer EE, Ryan CA (1990) Interplant communication: airborne methyl jasmonate induces synthesis of 768 proteinase inhibitors in plant leaves. Proc Natl Acad Sci 87:7713–7716 . doi: 769 10.1073/pnas.87.19.7713 770 Fedina E, Yarin A, Mukhitova F, Blufard A, Chechetkin I (2017) Brassinosteroid-induced changes of lipid 771 composition in leaves of Pisum sativum L. during senescence. SI Isoprenoids 117:25-28 . doi: 772 10.1016/j.steroids.2016.10.009 773 Flügge U-I (1999) Phosphate translocators in plastids. Annu Rev Plant Biol 50:27-45 774 Franco-Zorrilla JM, López-Vidriero I, Carrasco JL, Godoy M, Vera P, Solano R (2014) DNA-binding 775 specificities of plant transcription factors and their potential to define target genes. Proc Natl 776 Acad Sci 111:2367–2372 777 Gan S, Amasino RM (1997) Making Sense of Senescence (Molecular Genetic Regulation and Manipulation 778 of Leaf Senescence). Plant Physiol 113:313–319 779 Garcia CJ, García-Villalba R, Garrido Y, Gil MI, Tomás-Barberán FA (2016) Untargeted metabolomics 780 approach using UPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS to explore the metabolome of fresh-cut iceberg lettuce. 781 Metabolomics 12:138 . doi: 10.1007/s11306-016-1082-x 782 Giraudoux P (2016) pgirmess: Data Analysis in Ecology. R package version 1.6.5. 783 Goltsev V, Zaharieva I, Chernev P, Kouzmanova M, Kalaji HM, Yordanov I, Krasteva V, Alexandrov V, 784 Stefanov D, Allakhverdiev SI, Strasser RJ (2012) Drought-induced modifications of photosynthetic 785 electron transport in intact leaves: Analysis and use of neural networks as a tool for a rapid non-786 invasive estimation. Biochim Biophys Acta BBA - Bioenerg 1817:1490–1498. doi: 787 10.1016/j.bbabio.2012.04.018 788 Graether SP, Boddington KF (2014) Disorder and function: a review of the dehydrin protein family. Front 789 Plant Sci 5:576 . doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00576 790 Guo Y, Cai Z, Gan S (2004) Transcriptome of Arabidopsis leaf senescence. Plant Cell Environ 27:521–549. 791 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2003.01158.x 792 Hanbo C (2016) VennDiagram: Generate High-Resolution Venn and Euler Plots. R package version 1.6.17.

- Hanin M, Brini F, Ebel C, Toda Y, Takeda S, Masmoudi K (2011) Plant dehydrins and stress tolerance:
 Versatile proteins for complex mechanisms. Plant Signal Behav 6:1503–1509 . doi:
 10.4161/psb.6.10.17088
- Hayashi S, Ishii T, Matsunaga T, Tominaga R, Kuromori T, Wada T, Shinozaki K, Hirayama T (2008) The
 Glycerophosphoryl Diester Phosphodiesterase-Like Proteins SHV3 and its Homologs Play
 Important Roles in Cell Wall Organization. Plant Cell Physiol 49:1522–1535 . doi:
 10.1093/pcp/pcn120
- He Z-H, Cheeseman I, He D, Kohorn BD (1999) A cluster of five cell wall-associated receptor kinase genes,
 Wak1–5, are expressed in specific organs of Arabidopsis. Plant Mol Biol 39:1189–1196. doi:
 10.1023/A:1006197318246
- Heilmann I, Mekhedov S, King B, Browse J, Shanklin J (2004) Identification of the Arabidopsis palmitoyl monogalactosyldiacylglycerol delta7-desaturase gene FAD5, and effects of plastidial retargeting
 of Arabidopsis desaturases on the fad5 mutant phenotype. Plant Physiol 136:4237–4245. doi:
 10.1104/pp.104.052951
- 807 Hershko A, Ciechanover A (1998) The ubiquitin system. Annu Rev Biochem 67:425–479
- Hertog MLATM, Rudell DR, Pedreschi R, Schaffer RJ, Geeraerd AH, Nicolaï BM, Ferguson I (2011) Where
 systems biology meets postharvest. Postharvest Biol Technol 62:223–237. doi:
 10.1016/j.postharvbio.2011.05.007
- Hisaminato H, Murata M, Homma S (2001) Relationship between the enzymatic browning and
 phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity of cut lettuce, and the prevention of browning by
 inhibitors of polyphenol biosynthesis. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 65:1016–1021
- Hopkins M, McNamara L, Taylor C, Wang T-W, Thompson J (2007) Membrane Dynamics and Regulation
 of Subcellular Changes During Senescence. In: Annual Plant Reviews Volume 26: Senescence
 Processes in Plants. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, pp 39–68
- Hörtensteiner S, Kräutler B (2011) Chlorophyll breakdown in higher plants. Regul Electron Transp
 Chloroplasts 1807:977–988 . doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2010.12.007
- Hörtensteiner S, Lee DW (2007) Chlorophyll Catabolism and Leaf Coloration. In: Annual Plant Reviews
 Volume 26: Senescence Processes in Plants. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, pp 12–38
- Hu Y, Dong Q, Yu D (2012) Arabidopsis WRKY46 coordinates with WRKY70 and WRKY53 in basal
 resistance against pathogen Pseudomonas syringae. Plant Sci 185–186:288–297. doi:
 10.1016/j.plantsci.2011.12.003
- Huang J, Gu M, Lai Z, Fan B, Shi K, Zhou Y-H, Yu J-Q, Chen Z (2010) Functional analysis of the Arabidopsis
 PAL gene family in plant growth, development, and response to environmental stress. Plant
 Physiol 153:1526–1538 . doi: 10.1104/pp.110.157370
- Hugly S, Somerville C (1992) A Role for Membrane Lipid Polyunsaturation in Chloroplast Biogenesis at
 Low Temperature. Plant Physiol 99:197–202

- Hunter PJ, Atkinson LD, Vickers L, Lignou S, Oruna-Concha MJ, Pink D, Hand P, Barker G, Wagstaff C,
 Monaghan JM (2017) Oxidative discolouration in whole-head and cut lettuce: biochemical and
 environmental influences on a complex phenotype and potential breeding strategies to improve
 shelf-life. Euphytica 213:180 . doi: 10.1007/s10681-017-1964-7
- Ingvardsen C, Veierskov B (2001) Ubiquitin- and proteasome-dependent proteolysis in plants. Physiol
 Plant 112:451–459 . doi: 10.1034/j.1399-3054.2001.1120401.x
- Jia Y, Tao F, Li W (2013) Lipid Profiling Demonstrates That Suppressing Arabidopsis Phospholipase Dδ
 Retards ABA-Promoted Leaf Senescence by Attenuating Lipid Degradation. PLOS ONE 8:e65687 .
 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0065687
- Jiang J, Ma S, Ye N, Jiang M, Cao J, Zhang J (2016) WRKY transcription factors in plant responses to
 stresses. J Integr Plant Biol n/a-n/a . doi: 10.1111/jipb.12513
- Jung YJ, Melencion SMB, Lee ES, Park JH, Alinapon CV, Oh HT, Yun D-J, Chi YH, Lee SY (2015) Universal
 Stress Protein Exhibits a Redox-Dependent Chaperone Function in Arabidopsis and Enhances
 Plant Tolerance to Heat Shock and Oxidative Stress. Front Plant Sci 6:1141. doi:
 10.3389/fpls.2015.01141
- Kalaji HM, Schansker G, Brestic M, Bussotti F, Calatayud A, Ferroni L, Goltsev V, Guidi L, Jajoo A, Li P,
 Losciale P, Mishra VK, Misra AN, Nebauer SG, Pancaldi S, Penella C, Pollastrini M, Suresh K,
 Tambussi E, Yanniccari M, Zivcak M, Cetner MD, Samborska IA, Stirbet A, Olsovska K,
 Kunderlikova K, Shelonzek H, Rusinowski S, Baba W (2017) Frequently asked questions about
 chlorophyll fluorescence, the sequel. Photosynth Res 132:13-66 . doi: 10.1007/s11120-016-0318y
- Kang J, Choi H, Im M, Kim SY (2002) Arabidopsis Basic Leucine Zipper Proteins That Mediate Stress Responsive Abscisic Acid Signaling. Plant Cell 14:343–357
- Kang S-C, Kim M-J, Choi U-K (2007) Shelf-life extension of fresh-cut iceberg lettuce (Lactuca sativa L) by
 different antimicrobial films. J Microbiol Biotechnol 17:1284–1290
- Ke D, Saltveit ME (1988) Plant hormone interaction and phenolic metabolism in the regulation of russet
 spotting in iceberg lettuce. Plant Physiol 88:1136–1140
- Khan M, Rozhon W, Poppenberger B (2014) The role of hormones in the aging of plants a mini-review.
 Gerontology 60:49–55. doi: 10.1159/000354334
- Kim HJ, Nam HG, Lim PO (2016) Regulatory network of NAC transcription factors in leaf senescence. SI 33
 Cell Signal Gene Regul 2016 33:48–56. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2016.06.002
- Kim JG, Luo Y, Saftner RA, Gross KC (2005) Delayed Modified Atmosphere Packaging of Fresh-cut
 Romaine Lettuce: Effects on Quality Maintenance and Shelf-life. J Am Soc Hortic Sci 130:116–123
- Kim Y-S, Sakuraba Y, Han S-H, Yoo S-C, Paek N-C (2013) Mutation of the Arabidopsis NAC016
 Transcription Factor Delays Leaf Senescence. Plant Cell Physiol 54:1660–1672 . doi:
 10.1093/pcp/pct113

- Kotakis C, Kyzeridou A, Manetas Y (2014) Photosynthetic electron flow during leaf senescence: Evidence
 for a preferential maintenance of photosystem I activity and increased cyclic electron flow.
 Photosynthetica 52:413–420 . doi: 10.1007/s11099-014-0046-5
- Kushiro T, Okamoto M, Nakabayashi K, Yamagishi K, Kitamura S, Asami T, Hirai N, Koshiba T, Kamiya Y,
 Nambara E (2004) The Arabidopsis cytochrome P450 CYP707A encodes ABA 8'-hydroxylases: key
 enzymes in ABA catabolism. EMBO J 23:1647–1656 . doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600121
- Landi M, Degl'Innocenti E, Guglielminetti L, Guidi L (2013a) Role of ascorbic acid in the inhibition of
 polyphenol oxidase and the prevention of browning in different browning-sensitive Lactuca
 sativa var. capitata (L.) and Eruca sativa (Mill.) stored as fresh-cut produce. J Sci Food Agric
 93:1814–1819 . doi: 10.1002/jsfa.5969
- Landi M, Degl'Innocenti E, Guglielminetti L, Guidi L (2013b) Role of ascorbic acid in the inhibition of
 polyphenol oxidase and the prevention of browning in different browning-sensitive Lactuca
 sativa var. capitata (L.) and Eruca sativa (Mill.) stored as fresh-cut produce. J Sci Food Agric
 93:1814–1819 . doi: 10.1002/jsfa.5969
- 879 Laohavisit A, Davies JM (2011) Annexins. New Phytol 189:40–53 . doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03533.x
- Lee H, Auh C-K, Kim D, Lee T-K, Lee S (2006) Exogenous cytokinin treatment maintains cyclin homeostasis
 in rice seedlings that show changes of cyclin expression when the photoperiod is rapidly
 changed. Plant Physiol Biochem 44:248–252. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2006.03.006
- Lee J-H, Kim WT (2011) Regulation of Abiotic Stress Signal Transduction by E3 Ubiquitin Ligases in
 Arabidopsis. Mol Cells 31:201–208 . doi: 10.1007/s10059-011-0031-9
- Lers A (2007) Environmental Regulation of Leaf Senescence. In: Annual Plant Reviews Volume 26:
 Senescence Processes in Plants. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, pp 108–144
- Leshem YY (1988) Plant senescence processes and free radicals. Free Radic Biol Med 5:39–49. doi:
 10.1016/0891-5849(88)90060-3
- Li W, Ye Y (2008) Polyubiquitin chains: functions, structures, and mechanisms. Cell Mol Life Sci CMLS
 65:2397–2406. doi: 10.1007/s00018-008-8090-6
- Li Z, Peng J, Wen X, Guo H (2012) Gene network analysis and functional studies of senescence-associated
 genes reveal novel regulators of Arabidopsis leaf senescence. J Integr Plant Biol 54:526–539 . doi:
 10.1111/j.1744-7909.2012.01136.x
- Li Z, Zhao Y, Liu X, Jiang Z, Peng J, Jin J, Guo H, Luo J (2017) Construction of the Leaf Senescence Database
 and Functional Assessment of Senescence-Associated Genes. In: van Dijk AD. (ed) Plant
 Genomics Databases: Methods and Protocols. Springer New York, New York, NY, pp 315–333
- Liang X, Zhang L, Natarajan SK, Becker DF (2013) Proline Mechanisms of Stress Survival. Antioxid Redox
 Signal 19:998–1011 . doi: 10.1089/ars.2012.5074

- Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W (2014) featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning
 sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinforma Oxf Engl 30:923–930. doi:
 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656
- Lim PO, Kim HJ, Nam HG (2007) Leaf senescence. Annu Rev Plant Biol 58:115–136. doi:
 10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105316
- Liu J, Chen N, Chen F, Cai B, Dal Santo S, Tornielli GB, Pezzotti M, Cheng Z-M (Max) (2014) Genome-wide
 analysis and expression profile of the bZIP transcription factor gene family in grapevine (Vitis
 vinifera). BMC Genomics 15:281. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-281
- Liu JD, Goodspeed D, Sheng Z, Li B, Yang Y, Kliebenstein DJ, Braam J (2015) Keeping the rhythm:
 light/dark cycles during postharvest storage preserve the tissue integrity and nutritional content
 of leafy plants. BMC Plant Biol 15:92
- Llorach R, Martínez-Sánchez A, Tomás-Barberán FA, Gil MI, Ferreres F (2008) Characterisation of
 polyphenols and antioxidant properties of five lettuce varieties and escarole. Food Chem
 108:1028–1038 . doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.11.032
- Llorca CM, Potschin M, Zentgraf U (2014) bZIPs and WRKYs: two large transcription factor families
 executing two different functional strategies. Front Plant Sci 5:169. doi:
 10.3389/fpls.2014.00169
- Luschin-Ebengreuth N, Zechmann B (2016) Compartment-specific investigations of antioxidants and
 hydrogen peroxide in leaves of Arabidopsis thaliana during dark-induced senescence. Acta
 Physiol Plant 38:133 . doi: 10.1007/s11738-016-2150-6
- Ma D, Sun D, Wang C, Li Y, Guo T (2014) Expression of flavonoid biosynthesis genes and accumulation of
 flavonoid in wheat leaves in response to drought stress. Plant Physiol Biochem 80:60–66 . doi:
 10.1016/j.plaphy.2014.03.024
- Mai F, Glomb MA (2013) Isolation of phenolic compounds from iceberg lettuce and impact on enzymatic
 browning. J Agric Food Chem 61:2868–2874. doi: 10.1021/jf305182u
- Mai F, Glomb MA (2014) Lettucenin sesquiterpenes contribute significantly to the browning of lettuce. J
 Agric Food Chem 62:4747–4753 . doi: 10.1021/jf500413h
- 926Marcus A, Greenberg J, Averyhart-Fullard V (1991) Repetitive proline-rich proteins in the extracellular927matrix of the plant cell. Physiol Plant 81:273–279 . doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1991.tb02141.x
- Marioni JC, Mason CE, Mane SM, Stephens M, Gilad Y (2008) RNA-seq: an assessment of technical
 reproducibility and comparison with gene expression arrays. Genome Res 18: . doi:
 10.1101/gr.079558.108
- Marowa P, Ding A, Kong Y (2016) Expansins: roles in plant growth and potential applications in crop
 improvement. Plant Cell Rep 35:949–965. doi: 10.1007/s00299-016-1948-4
- Martín-Belloso O, Soliva-Fortuny R (2011) Advances in fresh-cut fruits and vegetables processing. CRC
 press Boca Ratón

- Maxwell K, Johnson GN (2000) Chlorophyll fluorescence—a practical guide. J Exp Bot 51:659–668 . doi:
 10.1093/jexbot/51.345.659
- McCarthy DJ, Chen Y, Smyth GK (2012) Differential expression analysis of multifactor RNA-Seq
 experiments with respect to biological variation. Nucleic Acids Res 40:4288–4297 . doi:
 10.1093/nar/gks042
- 940 Miller JD, Arteca RN, Pell EJ (1999) Senescence-associated gene expression during ozone-induced leaf
 941 senescence in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 120:1015–1024
- Mueller-Roeber B, Balazadeh S (2014) Auxin and Its Role in Plant Senescence. J Plant Growth Regul
 33:21–33. doi: 10.1007/s00344-013-9398-5
- 944 Nakashima K, Takasaki H, Mizoi J, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K (2012) NAC transcription factors in
 945 plant abiotic stress responses. Plant Gene Regul Response Abiotic Stress 1819:97–103 . doi:
 946 10.1016/j.bbagrm.2011.10.005
- 947 Nakashima K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K (2014) The transcriptional regulatory network in the
 948 drought response and its crosstalk in abiotic stress responses including drought, cold, and heat.
 949 Front Plant Sci 5:170 . doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00170
- 950 Nuruzzaman M, Sharoni AM, Kikuchi S (2013) Roles of NAC transcription factors in the regulation of
 951 biotic and abiotic stress responses in plants. Front Microbiol 4:248. doi:
 952 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00248
- Oda-Yamamizo C, Mitsuda N, Sakamoto S, Ogawa D, Ohme-Takagi M, Ohmiya A (2016) The NAC
 transcription factor ANAC046 is a positive regulator of chlorophyll degradation and senescence
 in Arabidopsis leaves. Sci Rep 6:23609 . doi: 10.1038/srep23609
- Panda D, Sarkar RK (2013) Natural leaf senescence: probed by chlorophyll fluorescence, CO(2)
 photosynthetic rate and antioxidant enzyme activities during grain filling in different rice
 cultivars. Physiol Mol Biol Plants Int J Funct Plant Biol 19:43–51. doi: 10.1007/s12298-012-0142 6
- Pastori GM, del Rio LA (1997) Natural Senescence of Pea Leaves (An Activated Oxygen-Mediated
 Function for Peroxisomes). Plant Physiol 113:411–418
- 962 Pintó-Marijuan M, Munné-Bosch S (2014) Photo-oxidative stress markers as a measure of abiotic stress 963 induced leaf senescence: advantages and limitations. J Exp Bot. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eru086
- Poret M, Chandrasekar B, van der Hoorn RAL, Avice J-C (2016) Characterization of senescence-associated
 protease activities involved in the efficient protein remobilization during leaf senescence of
 winter oilseed rape. Plant Sci 246:139–153 . doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2016.02.011
- Pružinská A, Tanner G, Aubry S, Anders I, Moser S, Müller T, Ongania K-H, Kräutler B, Youn J-Y, Liljegren
 SJ, Hörtensteiner S (2005) Chlorophyll Breakdown in Senescent Arabidopsis Leaves.
 Characterization of Chlorophyll Catabolites and of Chlorophyll Catabolic Enzymes Involved in the
 Degreening Reaction. Plant Physiol 139:52 . doi: 10.1104/pp.105.065870

- Qiu K, Li Z, Yang Z, Chen J, Wu S, Zhu X, Gao S, Gao J, Ren G, Kuai B, Zhou X (2015) EIN3 and ORE1
 Accelerate Degreening during Ethylene-Mediated Leaf Senescence by Directly Activating
 Chlorophyll Catabolic Genes in Arabidopsis. PLoS Genet 11:e1005399 . doi:
 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005399
- Quirino BF, Noh Y-S, Himelblau E, Amasino RM (2000) Molecular aspects of leaf senescence. Trends Plant
 Sci 5:278–282 . doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(00)01655-1
- 977 R core Team (2016) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical
 978 Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- P79 Reyes-Chin-Wo S, Wang Z, Yang X, Kozik A, Arikit S, Song C, Xia L, Froenicke L, Lavelle DO, Truco M-J, Xia
 P80 R, Zhu S, Xu C, Xu H, Xu X, Cox K, Korf I, Meyers BC, Michelmore RW (2017) Genome assembly
 P81 with in vitro proximity ligation data and whole-genome triplication in lettuce. Nat Commun
 P82 8:14953 . doi: 10.1038/ncomms14953
- Ripoll J, Bertin N, Bidel LPR, Urban L (2016) A user's view of the parameters derived from the induction
 curves of maximal chlorophyll a fluorescence: perspectives for analyzing stress. Frontiers in Plant
 Science 7:1679 . doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01679
- Robinson M, Oshlack A (2010) A scaling normalization method for differential expression analysis of
 RNA-seq data.
- Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK (2010) edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression
 analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26: . doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616
- Sablowski R, Carnier Dornelas M (2014) Interplay between cell growth and cell cycle in plants. J Exp Bot
 65:2703–2714 . doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert354
- Sakakibara H (2006) Cytokinins: activity, biosynthesis, and translocation. Annu Rev Plant Biol 57:431–449
 . doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105231
- Sarwat M, Naqvi AR, Ahmad P, Ashraf M, Akram NA (2013) Phytohormones and microRNAs as sensors
 and regulators of leaf senescence: Assigning macro roles to small molecules. Biotechnol Adv
 31:1153–1171 . doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2013.02.003
- Savatin DV, Gramegna G, Modesti V, Cervone F (2014) Wounding in the plant tissue: the defense of a
 dangerous passage. Front Plant Sci 5:470 . doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00470
- Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S,
 Schmid B, Tinevez J-Y, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A (2012) Fiji: an
 open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Meth 9:676–682 . doi:
 10.1038/nmeth.2019
- Schippers JHM, Jing H-C, Hille J, Dijkwel PP (2007) Developmental and Hormonal Control of Leaf
 Senescence. In: Annual Plant Reviews Volume 26: Senescence Processes in Plants. Blackwell
 Publishing Ltd, pp 145–170

- Serrato AJ, Guilleminot J, Meyer Y, Vignols F (2008) AtCXXS: atypical members of the Arabidopsis thaliana
 thioredoxin h family with a remarkably high disulfide isomerase activity. Physiol Plant 133:611–
 622. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2008.01093.x
- Shi Y, Wang Z, Meng P, Tian S, Zhang X, Yang S (2013) The glutamate carboxypeptidase AMP1 mediates
 abscisic acid and abiotic stress responses in Arabidopsis. New Phytol 199:135–150 . doi:
 10.1111/nph.12275
- Silva NF, Goring DR (2002) The proline-rich, extensin-like receptor kinase-1 (PERK1) gene is rapidly
 induced by wounding. Plant Mol Biol 50:667–685
- Sinha NK, Hui YH, Siddiq M, Ahmed J (2010) Handbook of Vegetables and Vegetable Processing. John
 Wiley & Sons
- Springer A, Acker G, Bartsch S, Bauerschmitt H, Reinbothe S, Reinbothe C (2015) Differences in gene
 expression between natural and artificially induced leaf senescence in barley. J Plant Physiol
 176:180–191. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2015.01.004
- Stefanowicz K, Lannoo N, Van Damme EJM (2015) Plant F-box Proteins Judges between Life and Death.
 Crit Rev Plant Sci 34:523–552 . doi: 10.1080/07352689.2015.1024566
- Strasser RJ, Tsimilli-Michael M, Srivastava A (2004) "Analysis of the chlorophyll a fluorescence transient",
 in Chlorophyll a Fluorescence, eds G. Papageorgiou and Govindjee (Dordrecht: Springer), 321 362
- 1024Sun Y, Yu D (2015) Activated expression of AtWRKY53 negatively regulates drought tolerance by1025mediating stomatal movement. Plant Cell Rep 34:1295–1306 . doi: 10.1007/s00299-015-1787-8
- Takasaki H, Maruyama K, Takahashi F, Fujita M, Yoshida T, Nakashima K, Myouga F, Toyooka K,
 Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K (2015) SNAC-As, stress-responsive NAC transcription factors,
 mediate ABA-inducible leaf senescence. Plant J 84:1114–1123 . doi: 10.1111/tpj.13067
- Talla SK, Panigrahy M, Kappara S, Nirosha P, Neelamraju S, Ramanan R (2016) Cytokinin delays dark induced senescence in rice by maintaining the chlorophyll cycle and photosynthetic complexes. J
 Exp Bot 67:1839–1851. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv575
- Thatcher SR, Burd S, Wright C, Lers A, Green PJ (2015) Differential expression of miRNAs and their target
 genes in senescing leaves and siliques: insights from deep sequencing of small RNAs and cleaved
 target RNAs. Plant Cell Environ 38:188–200. doi: 10.1111/pce.12393
- 1035Thomas H, Ougham H (2016) Introduction to a Virtual Issue on plant senescence. New Phytol 212:531–1036536 . doi: 10.1111/nph.14248
- 1037 Toivonen PMA (2004) Postharvest Storage Procedures and Oxidative Stress. HortScience 39:938–942
- 1038Tran LT, Taylor JS, Constabel CP (2012) The polyphenol oxidase gene family in land plants: Lineage-1039specific duplication and expansion. BMC Genomics 13:395 . doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-13-395

- 1040Troncoso-Ponce MA, Cao X, Yang Z, Ohlrogge JB (2013) Lipid turnover during senescence. Plant Sci 205–1041206:13–19 . doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2013.01.004
- Truco MJ, Ashrafi H, Kozik A, van Leeuwen H, Bowers J, Wo SRC, Stoffel K, Xu H, Hill T, Van Deynze A,
 Michelmore RW (2013) An Ultra-High-Density, Transcript-Based, Genetic Map of Lettuce. G3
 GenesGenomesGenetics 3:617–631. doi: 10.1534/g3.112.004929
- 1045 Vij S, Tyagi AK (2006) Genome-wide analysis of the stress associated protein (SAP) gene family containing
 1046 A20/AN1 zinc-finger(s) in rice and their phylogenetic relationship with Arabidopsis. Mol Genet
 1047 Genomics MGG 276:565–575 . doi: 10.1007/s00438-006-0165-1
- 1048 Voll LM, Hajirezaei MR, Czogalla-Peter C, Lein W, Stitt M, Sonnewald U, Börnke F (2009) Antisense
 inhibition of enolase strongly limits the metabolism of aromatic amino acids, but has only minor
 effects on respiration in leaves of transgenic tobacco plants. New Phytol 184:607–618 . doi:
 1051 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02998.x
- 1052 Vu HS, Shiva S, Roth MR, Tamura P, Zheng L, Li M, Sarowar S, Honey S, McEllhiney D, Hinkes P, Seib L,
 1053 Williams TD, Gadbury G, Wang X, Shah J, Welti R (2014) Lipid changes after leaf wounding in
 1054 Arabidopsis thaliana: expanded lipidomic data form the basis for lipid co-occurrence analysis.
 1055 Plant J 80:728–743 . doi: 10.1111/tpj.12659
- 1056 Wagstaff C, Clarkson GJJ, Zhang F, Rothwell SD, Fry SC, Taylor G, Dixon MS (2010) Modification of cell
 1057 wall properties in lettuce improves shelf life. J Exp Bot 61:1239–1248 . doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq038
- 1058 Wang Y, Zhang J, Yu J, Jiang X, Sun L, Wu M, Chen G, Lv C (2014) Photosynthetic changes of flag leaves
 1059 during senescence stage in super high-yield hybrid rice LYPJ grown in field condition. Plant
 1060 Physiol Biochem 82:194–201 . doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2014.06.005
- Warnes GR, Bolker B, Bonebakker L, Gentleman R, Huber W, Liaw A, Lumley T, Maechler M, Magnusson
 A, Moeller S, Schwartz M, Venables B (2016) gplots: Various R Programming Tools for Plotting
 Data. R package version 3.0.1.
- 1064Wasternack C (2014) Action of jasmonates in plant stress responses and development Applied1065aspects. Plant Biotechnol 2013 Green Good II 32:31–39. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2013.09.009
- Weaver LM, Gan S, Quirino B, Amasino RM (1998) A comparison of the expression patterns of several
 senescence-associated genes in response to stress and hormone treatment. Plant Mol Biol
 37:455-469. doi: 10.1023/A:1005934428906
- Woo HR, Chung KM, Park JH, Oh SA, Ahn T, Hong SH, Jang SK, Nam HG (2001) ORE9, an F-box protein
 that regulates leaf senescence in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 13:1779–1790
- 1071 Woo HR, Kim HJ, Nam HG, Lim PO (2013) Plant leaf senescence and death regulation by multiple layers
 1072 of control and implications for aging in general. J Cell Sci 126:4823–4833 . doi:
 1073 10.1242/jcs.109116
- 1074Xu J, Zhang S (2014) Regulation of Ethylene Biosynthesis and Signaling by Protein Kinases and1075Phosphatases. Mol Plant 7:939–942 . doi: 10.1093/mp/ssu059

- Yi X, Hargett SR, Frankel LK, Bricker TM (2006) The PsbQ Protein Is Required in Arabidopsis for
 Photosystem II Assembly/Stability and Photoautotrophy under Low Light Conditions. J Biol Chem
 281:26260–26267
- Zavaleta-Mancera HA, Lopez-Delgado H, Loza-Tavera H, Mora-Herrera M, Trevilla-Garcia C, Vargas Suarez M, Ougham H (2007) Cytokinin promotes catalase and ascorbate peroxidase activities and
 preserves the chloroplast integrity during dark-senescence. J Plant Physiol 164:1572–1582 . doi:
 10.1016/j.jplph.2007.02.003
- Zechmann B (2014) Compartment-specific importance of glutathione during abiotic and biotic stress.
 Front Plant Sci 5:566 . doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00566
- Zentgraf U (2007) Oxidative Stress and Leaf Senescence. In: Annual Plant Reviews Volume 26:
 Senescence Processes in Plants. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, pp 69–86
- Zentgraf U, Laun T, Miao Y (2010) The complex regulation of WRKY53 during leaf senescence of
 Arabidopsis thaliana. Mech Cell Behav Eukaryotes 89:133–137 . doi: 10.1016/j.ejcb.2009.10.014
- 1089Zivcak M, Brestic M, Olsovska K, Slamka P (2008) Performance Index as a sensitive indicator of water1090stress in *Triticum aestivum*. Plant Soil Environ 54:133-139
- 1091 Zhao X, Chen T, Feng B, Zhang C, Peng S, Zhang X, Fu G, Tao L (2016) Non-photochemical Quenching
 1092 Plays a Key Role in Light Acclimation of Rice Plants Differing in Leaf Color. Front Plant Sci 7:1968 .
 1093 doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01968
- Zhou T, Harrison A., McKellar R, Young J., Odumeru J, Piyasena P, Lu X, Mercer D., Karr S (2004)
 Determination of acceptability and shelf life of ready-to-use lettuce by digital image analysis.
 Food Res Int 37:875–881 . doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2004.05.005
- Zwack PJ, Rashotte AM (2013) Cytokinin inhibition of leaf senescence. Plant Signal Behav 8:e24737. doi:
 104161/psb.24737
- 1099
- 1100
- 1101
- 1102
- 1103
- 1104
- 1105
- 1106
- 1107

Figures and tables legends 1108 1109 Tables 1110 Table 1: Lettuce leaves physiological parameters. Letters indicate significant differences at p < 0.051111 (Kruskal-Wallis test); s.e.: standard error; a.u.: arbitrary unit. 1112 1113 Table 2: Chloroplastic genes differentially expressed in lettuce leaves after 2 and 7 d of storage in darkness 1114 at 6 °C. DE genes were filtered with a cut-off |log2-FC| > 1.5 and FDR < 0.01. NDE: non-differentially 1115 expressed. 1116 1117 Table 3: Energy-related genes (Calvin cycle, glycolysis, starch metabolism, fermentation, ATP synthesis, 1118 photorespiration) differentially expressed in lettuce leaves after 2 and 7 d of storage in darkness at 6 °C. 1119 DE genes were filtered with a cut-off |log2-FC| > 1.5 and FDR < 0.01. NDE: non-differentially expressed. 1120 Table 4: Cell-cycle-regulation related genes differentially expressed in lettuce leaves after 2 and 7 d of storage in darkness at 6 °C. DE genes were filtered with a cut-off |log2-FC| > 1.5 and FDR < 0.01. NDE: non-1121 1122 differentially expressed. 1123 1124 Table 5: Cell-wall related genes differentially expressed in lettuce leaves after 2 and 7 d of storage in 1125 darkness at 6 °C. DE genes were filtered with a cut-off |log2-FC| > 1.5 and FDR < 0.01. NDE: non-1126 differentially expressed. 1127 1128 Table 6: Protein catabolism related genes differentially expressed in lettuce leaves after 2 and 7 d of storage 1129 in darkness at 6 °C. DE genes were filtered with a cut-off |log2-FC| > 1.5 and FDR < 0.01. NDE: non-1130 differentially expressed. 1131 1132 **Figures** 1133 Figure 1: Number of differentially expressed genes in lettuce leaves after 2 and 7 d of storage in darkness 1134 at 6 °C (|log2 fold change (FC)| > 1.5 and FDR < 0.01). 1135 1136 Figure 2: Relative expression of stress and anti-oxidant response related genes DE in lettuce leaves stored 1137 in darkness at 6 °C during 7 d. Coloration is based on mean CPM after normalization (TMM method) and

screening (|log2-FC| > 1.5 and FDR < 0.01). Red and green colors indicate respectively down- and up-
regulated genes.

1140

Figure 3: Phenylpropanoid pathway related genes DE in lettuce leaves stored in darkness at 6 °C during 7 d. 1141 1142 Coloration is based on mean CPM after normalization (TMM method) and screening ($|log_2-FC| > 1.5$ and 1143 FDR < 0.01) and indicates relative expression of selected markers over time. Enzymes catalyzing reactions 1144 are expressed in italics. PAL: phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, CYP73A: trans-cinnamate 4-monooxygenase, 1145 4CL: 4-coumarate—CoA ligase, HCT: shikimate O-hydroxycinnamoyltransferase, C3'H: 1146 coumaroylquinate(coumaroylshikimate) 3'-monooxygenase, PPO: polyphenol oxidase, CSE: 1147 caffeoylshikimate esterase, CHS: Chalcone synthase, CHI: Chalcone isomerase, F3H: flavanone,2oxoglutarate:oxygen oxidoreductase (3-hydroxylating), FLS: flavonol synthase, PCAH: Probable cinnamyl 1148 1149 alcohol dehydrogenase. 1150 1151 Figure 4: Relative expression of phytohormone related genes DE in lettuce leaves stored in darkness at 6 °C 1152 during 7 d. Coloration is based on mean CPM after normalization (TMM method) and screening (|log₂-FC|

1153 > 1.5 and FDR < 0.01). Red and green colors indicate respectively down- and up-regulated genes.

1154

1155Figure 5: Relative expression of lipid metabolism related genes DE in lettuce leaves stored in darkness at1156 $6 \, ^{\circ}C \, during \, 7 \, d.$ Coloration is based on mean CPM after normalization (TMM method) and screening (|log_2-1157FC| > 1.5 and FDR < 0.01). Red and green colors indicate respectively down- and up-regulated genes.</td>

1158

1159 (Supplementary data (1, 2 and 3) joined aside.)





Lsat 1 v5 gn 3 123400.Inhibitor of trypsin and hageman factor Lsat 1 v5 gn 3 123420.Inhibitor of trypsin and hageman factor Lsat_1_v5_gn_6_50520.Lipoxygenase 2, chloroplastic OS Lsat 1 v5 gn 4 186380.Proline-rich receptor-like protein kinase PERK1 Lsat 1 v5 gn 3 74761.Dehydrin family COR47 Lsat 1 v5 gn 2 78000.Dehydrin family Lsat 1 v5 gn 3 74801.Dehydrin family Lsat 1 v5 gn 3 75820.Dehydrin family Lsat 1 v5 gn 9 108041.Probable dehydrin LEA Lsat 1 v5 gn 8 164161.Late embryogenesis abundant protein Lea5 (SAG 21) Lsat 1 v5 gn 1 34721.Dehydration-responsive element-binding protein 3 Lsat 1 v5 gn 7 39941.Dehydration-responsive element-binding protein 3 Lsat 1 v5 gn 8 14760.Delta-1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthase Lsat 1 v5 gn 9 63480.Delta-1-pvrroline-5-carboxvlate svnthase Lsat 1 v5 gn 2 86240.Proline dehydrogenase 2, mitochondrial Lsat 1 v5 gn 3 94760.Proline dehydrogenase 2, mitochondrial Lsat 1 v5 gn 5 62040.Phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase, chloroplastic Lsat 1 v5 gn 9 90540.Serine acetyltransferase 1, chloroplastic Lsat 1 v5 gn 8 26440.Catalase Lsat 1 v5 gn 8 26460.Catalase Lsat 1 v5 gn 6 43340.Superoxide dismutase [Cu-Zn], chloroplastic Lsat 1 v5 gn 8 10740.Superoxide dismutase [Fe], chloroplastic (Fragment) Lsat 1 v5 gn 8 22461.Trans-2,3-enovI-CoA reductase Lsat 1 v5 gn 5 177840.Putative quinone-oxidoreductase homolog, chloroplastic Lsat 1 v5 gn 3 48340.Quinone oxidoreductase-like protein At1g23740, chloroplastic Lsat 1 v5 gn 5 139160.Peroxiredoxin Q, chloroplastic Lsat 1 v5 gn 6 35061.2-Cys peroxiredoxin BAS1, chloroplastic Lsat_1_v5_gn_0_10780.Thioredoxin F-type, chloroplastic Lsat 1 v5 gn 2 17480. Thioredoxin F-type, chloroplastic Lsat 1 v5 gn 3 94020. Thioredoxin-like protein HCF164, chloroplastic Lsat 1 v5 gn 2 58761. Thioredoxin-like 1-1, chloroplastic Lsat 1 v5 gn 5 164480. Thioredoxin-like 3-1, chloroplastic Lsat 1 v5 gn 5 150921.Cationic peroxidase 1 Lsat 1 v5 gn 3 72100.L-ascorbate peroxidase 3, peroxisomal Lsat_1_v5_gn_3_72581.L-ascorbate peroxidase 3, peroxisomal Lsat 1 v5 gn 4 21941.Lignin-forming anionic peroxidase Lsat 1 v5 gn 4 26041.Lignin-forming anionic peroxidase Lsat 1 v5 gn 1 5661.Peroxidase 12 Lsat 1 v5 gn 6 101900.Peroxidase 12 Lsat 1 v5 gn 6 30341.Peroxidase 21 Lsat 1 v5 gn 5 71741.Peroxidase 47 Lsat 1 v5 gn 2 99080.Peroxidase 66 Lsat 1 v5 gn 2 102220.Universal stress protein A-like protein Lsat 1 v5 gn 5 103981. Universal stress protein A-like protein Lsat 1 v5 gn 4 170740.S-(+)-linalool synthase, chloroplastic Lsat 1 v5 gn 9 111181.Zeaxanthin epoxidase, chloroplastic Lsat 1 v5 gn 9 114300.Soluble inorganic pyrophosphatase 1, chloroplastic Lsat 1 v5 gn 9 89140. Heat shock cognate protein 80 Lsat 1 v5 gn 2 131360.Heat shock protein 83 Lsat 1 v5 gn 8 6561. Heat shock protein 83 Lsat 1 v5 gn 5 185701. Heat stress transcription factor A-2b Lsat 1 v5 gn 8 6800. Heat stress transcription factor B-2a Lsat 1 v5 gn 7 40921. Heat stress transcription factor C-1

Day 0 Day 2 Day 7





Day 0 Day 2 Day 7



Day 2 Day 0 Day 7

	DAY 0		DAY 2		DAY 7	
	mean	s.e.	mean	s.e.	mean	s.e.
Cut-edge discoloration (%)	0.00 a	0.00	22.40 b	2.50	23.70 b	6.90
Weight loss (%)	0.00 a	0.00	5.42 ab	1.64	10.43 b	1.72
F _v /F _M (a.u.)	0.85 a	0.01	0.86 a	0.01	0.85 a	0.01
PI (a.u.)	1.98 a	0.46	2.30 a	0.60	2.40 a	0.39
O ₂ (%)	20.77 a	0.06	20.57 a	0.21	20.83 a	0.06

Lsat number	Orthologue	Gene_name	log ₂ FC Day 2	log ₂ FC Day 7	FDR Day 2	FDR Day 7
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_103641	NYC1_ARATH	Probable chlorophyll(ide) b reductase NYC1	4.38	3.90	3.04E-12	1.31E-10
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_6580	POR_DAUCA	Protochlorophyllide reductase	2.29	1.53	1.74E-10	1.49E-05
Lsat_1_v5_gn_8_66120	CLH2_ARATH	Chlorophyllase-2	2.88	2.81	7.05E-20	1.99E-19
Lsat_1_v5_gn_8_66180	CLH2_ARATH	Chlorophyllase-2	-2.93	NDE	7.97E-04	NDE
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_88180	CHMU_ARATH	Chorismate mutase	-1.56	-1.59	8.19E-13	8.58E-14
Lsat_1_v5_gn_4_108620	CHLP_TOBAC	Geranylgeranyl diphosphate reductase	1.56	NDE	1.52E-03	NDE
Lsat_1_v5_gn_1_33240	ADS3_ARATH	Palmitoyl-monogalactosyldiacylglycerol delta-7 desaturase	-3.53	-3.94	1.96E-07	2.88E-09
Lsat_1_v5_gn_1_33441	ADS3_ARATH	Palmitoyl-monogalactosyldiacylglycerol delta-7 desaturase	NDE	-2.46	NDE	6.95E-03
Lsat_1_v5_gn_1_34480	ADS3_ARATH	Palmitoyl-monogalactosyldiacylglycerol delta-7 desaturase	-2.98	-10.73	2.28E-05	1.08E-20
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_58980	ADS3_ARATH	Palmitoyl-monogalactosyldiacylglycerol delta-7 desaturase	-1.82	NDE	1.03E-04	NDE
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_131560	ADS3_ARATH	Palmitoyl-monogalactosyldiacylglycerol delta-7 desaturase	NDE	-3.42	NDE	2.45E-11
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_37300	ADS3_ARATH	Palmitoyl-monogalactosyldiacylglycerol delta-7 desaturase	2.27	2.66	8.83E-04	4.20E-05
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_102941	CB21_TOBAC	Chlorophyll a-b binding protein 16	NDE	1.93	NDE	2.92E-07
Lsat_1_v5_gn_9_104780	CB23_TOBAC	Chlorophyll a-b binding protein 36	NDE	-1.87	NDE	4.66E-04
Lsat_1_v5_gn_4_28820	CB2G_SOLLC	Chlorophyll a-b binding protein 3C	-2.06	-1.53	6.36E-08	4.15E-05
Lsat_1_v5_gn_9_16861	PSAL_ARATH	Photosystem I reaction center subunit XI	NDE	-1.78	NDE	2.91E-13
Lsat_1_v5_gn_9_9761	PSBW_ARATH	Photosystem II reaction center W protein	4.58	2.79	2.75E-75	6.97E-31
Lsat_1_v5_gn_9_48361	PPD3_ARATH	PsbP domain-containing protein 3	NDE	-3.37	NDE	2.56E-39
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_23660	PPL1_ARATH	PsbP-like protein 1	NDE	-2.42	NDE	1.82E-40
Lsat_1_v5_gn_4_110701	PSBQ2_ARATH	Oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 3-2	1.63	NDE	2.66E-15	NDE
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_4581	PSBQ2_ARATH	Oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 3-2	-1.57	-2.68	1.12E-06	4.56E-17
Lsat_1_v5_gn_0_5321	UCRIA_PEA	Cytochrome b6-f complex iron-sulfur subunit	NDE	-1.79	NDE	4.35E-11

Lsat number	Orthologue	Gene_name	log₂FC Day 2	log ₂ FC Day 7	FDR Day 2	FDR Day 7
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_153800	RCA1_LARTR	Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase 1, chloroplastic	-3.24	-1.54	3.61E-14	4.67E-05
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_118741	RCA2_LARTR	Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase 2, chloroplastic	-1.51	-2.33	9.14E-08	2.38E-17
Lsat_1_v5_gn_0_40540	G3PB_PEA	Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase B, chloroplastic	NDE	-2.53	NDE	1.15E-18
Lsat_1_v5_gn_8_80661	F16P1_PEA	Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase, chloroplastic	NDE	-1.75	NDE	1.12E-13
Lsat_1_v5_gn_7_69401	PGKH_TOBAC	Phosphoglycerate kinase, chloroplastic	NDE	-2.51	NDE	3.26E-21
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_91600	TPT2_BRAOB	Phosphate translocator, chloroplastic	-3.17	-5.75	1.46E-20	5.83E-50
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_14941	TPT2_BRAOB	Phosphate translocator, chloroplastic	NDE	-1.77	NDE	4.01E-19
Lsat_1_v5_gn_7_65521	GLGL1_ARATH	Glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase large subunit 1, chloroplastic	NDE	-2.45	NDE	3.87E-30
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_27340	GLGL1_BETVU	Glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase large subunit, chl/amyloplastic	-3.47	NDE	1.45E-06	NDE
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_73301	SSG1_SOLTU	Granule-bound starch synthase 1, chloroplastic/amyloplastic	-3.20	-3.81	1.14E-33	3.00E-45
Lsat_1_v5_gn_9_52960	PGMP_SOLTU	Phosphoglucomutase, chloroplastic /cytoplasmic	NDE	-1.68	NDE	4.52E-16
Lsat_1_v5_gn_4_61761	PFPB_SOLTU	Pyrophosphatefructose 6-phosphate 1-phosphotransferase subunit beta	-2.03	-2.44	1.48E-13	1.99E-19
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_129481	ENO_ORYSJ	Enolase	2.41	3.65	2.73E-13	3.78E-28
Lsat_1_v5_gn_7_51781	DXS_ORYSJ	Probable 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate synthase, chloroplastic	NDE	-1.58	NDE	6.38E-06
Lsat_1_v5_gn_8_41941	ALFC3_ARATH	Probable fructose-bisphosphate aldolase 3, chloroplastic	-1.74	NDE	1.97E-12	NDE
Lsat_1_v5_gn_6_96701	ADH1_PETHY	Alcohol dehydrogenase	NDE	2.35	NDE	6.43E-05
Lsat_1_v5_gn_3_14780	ADHL3_ARATH	Alcohol dehydrogenase-like	NDE	-1.68	NDE	2.36E-05
Lsat_1_v5_gn_8_28340	ADHL7_ARATH	Alcohol dehydrogenase-like	NDE	-2.08	NDE	5.52E-14
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_109940	KADC1_ARATH	Probable adenylate kinase, chloroplastic	2.95	3.42	1.23E-27	8.82E-37
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_90580	KADC2_ARATH	Probable adenylate kinase, chloroplastic	NDE	-1.80	NDE	1.11E-16
Lsat_1_v5_gn_7_16100	ATPG_TOBAC	ATP synthase gamma chain, chloroplastic	NDE	-1.53	NDE	2.25E-09
Lsat_1_v5_gn_6_21280	ATPX_SPIOL	ATP synthase subunit b, chloroplastic	NDE	-1.80	NDE	2.78E-13
Lsat_1_v5_gn_4_156361	GLYM_FLAPR	Serine hydroxymethyltransferase 1, mitochondrial	NDE	-2.91	NDE	1.86E-19
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_77601	GLNA2_DAUCA	Glutamine synthetase, chloroplastic	NDE	-2.95	NDE	2.42E-31

Lsat number	Orthologue	Gene_NDEme	log ₂ FC Day 2	log ₂ FC Day 7	FDR Day 2	FDR Day 7
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_116960	CCD11_ARATH	Cyclin-D1-1	NDE	-2.38	NDE	1.14E-04
Lsat_1_v5_gn_3_88380	CCD32_ARATH	Cyclin-D3-2	NDE	-1.86	NDE	2.11E-09
Lsat_1_v5_gn_1_124201	CCD61_ARATH	Putative cyclin-D6-1	NDE	-1.65	NDE	7.21E-06
Lsat_1_v5_gn_1_84401	CCU41_ARATH	Cyclin-U4-1	-1.88	-1.69	1.54E-09	2.12E-08
Lsat_1_v5_gn_3_91021	KRP3_ARATH	Cyclin-dependent kiNDEse inhibitor 3	NDE	-2.36	NDE	1.89E-07
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_179460	KRP3_ARATH	Cyclin-dependent kiNDEse inhibitor 3	1.70	1.84	8.68E-03	2.95E-03
Lsat_1_v5_gn_7_87161	KRP7_ARATH	Cyclin-dependent kiNDEse inhibitor 7	-2.62	NDE	1.50E-05	NDE
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_62040	GPX1_PEA	Phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase, chloroplastic	NDE	-1.97	NDE	1.64E-22

Lsat number	Orthologue	Gene_name	log ₂ FC Day 2	log ₂ FC Day 7	FDR Day 2	FDR Day 7
Lsat_1_v5_gn_7_83821	XTH15_ARATH	Probable xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase protein 15	NDE	-5.68	NDE	2.69E-08
Lsat_1_v5_gn_7_83841	XTH15_ARATH	Probable xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase protein 15	NDE	-4.31	NDE	1.35E-10
Lsat_1_v5_gn_8_67581	XTH23_ARATH	Probable xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase protein 23	-1.90	-1.55	8.39E-06	1.81E-04
Lsat_1_v5_gn_8_67381	XTH23_ARATH	Probable xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase protein 23	NDE	-1.83	NDE	3.99E-05
Lsat_1_v5_gn_8_67401	XTH23_ARATH	Probable xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase protein 23	NDE	-1.55	NDE	3.01E-05
Lsat_1_v5_gn_6_24740	XTH32_ARATH	Probable xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase protein 32	-2.31	-3.54	4.69E-03	2.03E-05
Lsat_1_v5_gn_6_44180	XTH32_ARATH	Probable xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase protein 32	3.31	5.00	1.71E-09	1.51E-22
Lsat_1_v5_gn_3_47880	XTH33_ARATH	Probable xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase protein 33	-2.39	-4.13	1.29E-04	6.22E-10
Lsat_1_v5_gn_4_6921	XTH33_ARATH	Probable xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase protein 33	NDE	-4.85	NDE	1.48E-11
Lsat_1_v5_gn_3_84840	XTH6_ARATH	Probable xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase protein 6	-3.91	-6.31	1.37E-24	2.97E-50
Lsat_1_v5_gn_4_159341	XTH7_ARATH	Probable xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase protein 7	NDE	-2.47	NDE	2.17E-08
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_3340	GLPQ2_ARATH	Probable glycerophosphoryl diester phosphodiesterase 2	NDE	-1.71	NDE	3.20E-06
Lsat_1_v5_gn_3_45680	PRP2_MEDTR	Repetitive proline-rich cell wall protein 2	NA	-2.81	NA	2.20E-11
Lsat_1_v5_gn_8_33001	WAK2_ARATH	Wall-associated receptor kinase 2	2.65	NA	1.36E-04	NA
Lsat_1_v5_gn_4_178600	EXPA1_ARATH	Expansin-A1	-2.09	-2.10	5.58E-04	3.10E-04
Lsat_1_v5_gn_4_109320	EXP15_ARATH	Expansin-A15	NDE	2.23	NDE	2.29E-03
Lsat_1_v5_gn_8_73960	EXPA4_ARATH	Expansin-A4	-2.22	-2.00	6.42E-06	1.98E-05
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_170161	EXPA4_ARATH	Expansin-A4	NDE	-2.38	NDE	6.79E-06
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_124880	EXPA8_ARATH	Expansin-A8	-1.71	-2.23	8.73E-15	1.56E-24
Lsat_1_v5_gn_9_59761	EXPA8_ARATH	Expansin-A8	NDE	-1.60	NDE	3.03E-06
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_137740	PME3_CITSI	Pectinesterase 3	1.98	NDE	2.83E-11	NDE
Lsat_1_v5_gn_1_52601	PME15_ARATH	Probable pectinesterase 15	-2.11	-1.71	1.38E-04	8.46E-04
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_138221	PME12_ARATH	Probable pectinesterase/pectinesterase inhibitor 12	NDE	-1.73	NDE	1.12E-07
Lsat_1_v5_gn_9_2560	PME40_ARATH	Probable pectinesterase/pectinesterase inhibitor 40	-2.17	-2.96	3.88E-06	2.17E-10
Lsat_1_v5_gn_8_144820	PME51_ARATH	Probable pectinesterase/pectinesterase inhibitor 51	-2.12	-1.77	6.99E-04	3.45E-03

Lsat number	Orthologue	Gene_name	log₂FC Day 2	log₂FC Day 7	FDR Day 2	FDR Day 7
Lsat_1_v5_gn_1_115840	NEP1_NEPGR	Aspartic proteinase nepenthesin-1	NDE	-2.04	NDE	6.13E-11
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_25300	NEP1_NEPGR	Aspartic proteinase nepenthesin-1	-1.62	NDE	4.31E-03	NDE
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_37681	NEP1_NEPGR	Aspartic proteinase nepenthesin-1	NDE	-1.56	NDE	1.45E-03
Lsat_1_v5_gn_8_38360	NEP1_NEPGR	Aspartic proteinase nepenthesin-1	NDE	-3.76	NDE	2.89E-07
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_55601	NEP2_NEPGR	Aspartic proteinase nepenthesin-2	NDE	-1.95	NDE	1.06E-13
Lsat_1_v5_gn_3_61320	NEP2_NEPGR	Aspartic proteinase nepenthesin-2	-1.81	-3.65	6.01E-04	3.73E-12
Lsat_1_v5_gn_7_68021	7SB1_SOYBN	Basic 7S globulin	NDE	-1.65	NDE	4.02E-09
Lsat_1_v5_gn_9_4161	7SB1_SOYBN	Basic 7S globulin	NDE	-1.90	NDE	2.41E-08
Lsat_1_v5_gn_1_64121	UBL5_ARATH	Ubiquitin-like protein 5	NDE	1.87	NDE	8.23E-11
Lsat_1_v5_gn_1_127601	UBI2P_PETCR	Polyubiquitin	-1.51	NDE	1.37E-10	NDE
Lsat_1_v5_gn_7_16760	UBI2P_PETCR	Polyubiquitin	-1.52	NDE	1.97E-10	NDE
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_53840	PUB22_ARATH	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase PUB22	1.74	NDE	7.85E-11	NDE
Lsat_1_v5_gn_1_58201	PUB23_ARATH	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase PUB23	NDE	-2.30	NDE	1.05E-07
Lsat_1_v5_gn_1_58280	PUB23_ARATH	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase PUB23	NDE	-2.01	NDE	1.31E-08
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_34501	PUB23_ARATH	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase PUB23	NDE	-1.74	NDE	5.75E-04
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_35740	PUB23_ARATH	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase PUB23	NDE	-2.45	NDE	9.54E-05
Lsat_1_v5_gn_6_33780	PUB23_ARATH	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase PUB23	NDE	-1.94	NDE	2.90E-08
Lsat_1_v5_gn_7_10960	PUB23_ARATH	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase PUB23	NDE	-2.61	NDE	8.87E-08
Lsat_1_v5_gn_8_133561	RMA1_CAPAN	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RMA1H1	NDE	1.51	NDE	4.04E-05
Lsat_1_v5_gn_9_25941	SINA2_ARATH	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase SINAT2	NDE	-1.71	NDE	6.62E-07
Lsat_1_v5_gn_4_3921	RGLG2_ARATH	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RGLG2	NDE	1.82	NDE	2.02E-07
Lsat_1_v5_gn_4_33741	SINA5_ARATH	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase SINAT5	1.71	NDE	6.80E-05	NDE
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_127400	ARATH	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase	2.18	1.97	1.75E-12	1.46E-10
Lsat_1_v5_gn_7_97260	RHA1B_ARATH	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RHA1B	NDE	-1.59	NDE	1.27E-05
Lsat_1_v5_gn_4_17160	ATL6_ARATH	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase ATL6	NDE	-2.37	NDE	1.46E-03
Lsat_1_v5_gn_8_52720	RNG1L_ARATH	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RING1-like	NDE	1.64	NDE	9.47E-09
Lsat_1_v5_gn_0_34660	PUB11_ARATH	U-box domain-containing protein 11	NDE	3.48	NDE	3.03E-15
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_17060	PUB19_ARATH	U-box domain-containing protein 19	NDE	2.66	NDE	5.29E-19
Lsat_1_v5_gn_9_17860	PUB9_ARATH	U-box domain-containing protein 9	NDE	1.55	NDE	1.53E-12
Lsat_1_v5_gn_4_151201	APC10-ARATH	APC10	-1.54	NDE	4.75E-07	NDE
Lsat_1_v5_gn_2_35221	UBP8_ARATH	Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase 8	NDE	-1.50	NDE	2.27E-07

Lsat_1_v5_gn_3_47680	SKP1B_ARATH	SKP1-like protein 1B	NDE	1.93	NDE	3.19E-05
Lsat_1_v5_gn_3_24140	CUL1_ARATH	Cullin-1	NDE	-1.68	NDE	3.83E-11
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_157480	FB118_ARATH	F-box protein At2g26850	NDE	2.67	NDE	2.48E-08
Lsat_1_v5_gn_9_103981	FB135_ARATH	F-box protein At3g07870	1.58	1.65	5.06E-05	1.91E-05
Lsat_1_v5_gn_9_104220	FB135_ARATH	F-box protein At3g07870	-1.79	NDE	3.37E-10	NDE
Lsat_1_v5_gn_9_104300	FB135_ARATH	F-box protein At3g07870	NDE	-2.33	NDE	1.67E-04
Lsat_1_v5_gn_9_51281	FB253_ARATH	F-box protein At5g07610	NDE	3.59	NDE	3.07E-06
Lsat_1_v5_gn_9_125181	P2B15_ARATH	F-box protein PP2-B15	NDE	-1.80	NDE	2.60E-09
Lsat_1_v5_gn_9_65340	FB20_ARATH	F-box protein At1g30790	NDE	-2.13	NDE	4.44E-09
Lsat_1_v5_gn_6_97240	SKP2A_ARATH	F-box protein SKP2A	2.60	2.88	1.84E-03	6.06E-04
Lsat_1_v5_gn_0_29401	FBK67_ARATH	F-box/kelch-repeat protein At3g23880	2.93	NDE	1.75E-03	NDE
Lsat_1_v5_gn_7_12620	FDL7_ARATH	Putative F-box/FBD/LRR-repeat protein At1g66290	1.55	1.60	4.22E-05	2.03E-05
Lsat_1_v5_gn_5_95460	EBF2_ARATH	EIN3-binding F-box protein 2	-3.24	-1.89	4.56E-25	1.78E-10
Lsat_1_v5_gn_1_66321	SAP5_ARATH	Zinc finger A20 and AN1 domain stress-associated protein 5	NDE	2.02	NDE	3.15E-10