

Assemblies of lauryl maltose neopentyl glycol (LMNG) and LMNG-solubilized membrane proteins

Cécile Breyton, Waqas Javed, Anne Vermot, Charles-Adrien Arnaud, Christine Hajjar, Jérôme Dupuy, Isabelle Petit-Härtlein, Aline Le Roy, Anne Martel, Michel Thépaut, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Cécile Breyton, Waqas Javed, Anne Vermot, Charles-Adrien Arnaud, Christine Hajjar, et al.. Assemblies of lauryl maltose neopentyl glycol (LMNG) and LMNG-solubilized membrane proteins. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta:Biomembranes, 2019, 1861 (5), pp.939-957. 10.1016/j.bbamem.2019.02.003 . hal-02057161

HAL Id: hal-02057161 https://hal.science/hal-02057161v1

Submitted on 13 Mar 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Assemblies of lauryl maltose neopentyl glycol (LMNG) and LMNGsolubilized membrane proteins

Cécile Breyton¹, Waqas Javed^{1,2}, Annelise Vermot¹, Charles-Adrien Arnaud¹, Christine Hajjar¹, Jérôme Dupuy¹, Isabelle Petit-Hartlein¹, Aline Le Roy¹, Anne Martel³, Michel Thépaut¹, Cédric Orelle², Jean-Michel Jault², Franck Fieschi¹, Lionel Porcar³, Christine Ebel^{1*}

¹ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, CEA, Institute for Structural Biology (IBS), 38000 Grenoble, France

² University of Lyon, CNRS, UMR5086, Molecular Microbiology and Structural Biochemistry, IBCP, Lyon 69367 France.

³ Institut Max Von Laue Paul Langevin, 38042 Grenoble, France

*Corresponding author.

Keywords

Membrane proteins - Detergent - LMNG - homogeneity - crystallization - rods

Footnotes

AUC: Analytical Ultracentrifugation; DSF: Differential Scanning Fluorimetry; SEC-LS: Size Exclusion Chromatography coupled to Light Scattering; SAXS: Small Angle X-Ray Scattering; SANS: Small Angle Neutron Scattering; TLC: Thin Layer Chromatography; CMC: critical micelle concentration; DDM: dodecyl- β -D-maltopyranoside; DDAO: decyldimethylamine-N-oxide; LDAO: lauryldimethylamine-N-oxide; LMNG: lauryl maltose neopentyl glycol; OTG: octyl- β -D-thioglucopyranoside; bR: bacteriorhodopsin from *Halobacterium*; BmrA: Bacillus multidrug resistance ATP; SpNOX: *S. pneumoniae* NOX protein; FhuA: *E. coli* outer membrane ferrichome-iron transporter. Vi: orthovanadate;

1 Abstract

2

3 Laurylmaltose neopentylglycol (LMNG) bears two linked hydrophobic chains of equal length 4 and two hydrophilic maltoside groups. It arouses a strong interest in the field of membrane protein biochemistry, since it was shown to efficiently solubilize and stabilize membrane 5 proteins often better than the commonly used dodecylmaltopyranoside (DDM), and to allow 6 structure determination of some challenging membrane proteins. However, LMNG was 7 described to form large micelles, which could be unfavorable for structural purposes. We thus 8 investigated its auto-assemblies and the association state of different membrane proteins 9 solubilized in LMNG by analytical ultracentrifugation, size exclusion chromatography 10 coupled to light scattering, centrifugation on sucrose gradient and/or small angle scattering. 11 At high concentrations (in the mM range) LMNG forms long rods, and it stabilized the 12 membrane proteins investigated herein, *i.e.* a bacterial multidrug transporter, BmrA; a 13 prokaryotic analogous of the eukaryotic NADPH oxidases, SpNOX; an E. coli outer 14 membrane transporter, FhuA; and the halobacterial bacteriorhodopsin, bR. BmrA, in the Apo 15 and the vanadate-inhibited forms showed reduced kinetics of limited proteolysis in LMNG 16 compared to DDM. Both SpNOX and BmrA display an increased specific activity in LMNG 17 compared to DDM. The four proteins form LMNG complexes with their usual quaternary 18 structure and with usual amount of bound detergent. No heterogeneous complexes related to 19 the large micelle size of LMNG alone were observed. In conditions where LMNG form 20 assemblies of large size, FhuA crystals diffracting to 4.0 Å were obtained by vapor diffusion. 21 LMNG large micelle size thus does not preclude membrane protein homogeneity and 22 crystallization. 23

24

25 Introduction

26

Detergents are amphipatic molecules that auto-assemble into micelles above the critical 27 micelle concentration (CMC). Above the CMC, they are able to solubilize lipids and 28 29 membrane proteins, forming protein-detergent complexes of small and well-defined size [1]. Detergents are essential for membrane protein solubilization and purification steps, as well as 30 functional and structural studies. However, they often lead to membrane protein inactivation. 31 One of the mechanisms leading to inactivation (for a recent review, see [2]) is the dissociation 32 of subunits, loss of essential lipids or other hydrophobic co-factors. As an example, 33 cytochrome $b_{6}f$ inactivation and monomerization was related to lipid loss [3]. Detergent 34 dynamics, *i.e.* detergent exchange between the free monomers, or between micelles, and 35 bound detergent at the surface of the transmembrane protein surface, could trigger transient 36 exposure of this hydrophobic surface leading to irreversible protein aggregation. Detergent 37 binding can also alter protein structure: molecular dynamics simulation indeed showed, for a 38 thermostable mutant of the adenosine receptor, that the harsh octylglucoside detergent 39 molecules intercalate between trans-membrane helices, moving them apart in the 200 ns 40 simulation [4]. The structure and dynamics of different α -helical membrane proteins 41 42 solubilized in alkyl phosphocholines appear deeply altered [5]. For example, NMR, molecular dynamics simulations, and functional studies, amongst other techniques, show that 43 mitochondrial carriers are incorrectly folded in these detergents. Some parts of the 44 transmembrane segments are disordered, with molecules of detergent penetrating between the 45 helices; the proteins are in a highly dynamic state with unstable tertiary contacts; and weak 46 ligand binding results from non-specific interactions [5]. In the challenging aim to obtain high 47 resolution structure of membrane proteins by crystallography, a usual strategy is to select 48

detergents that not only preserve membrane protein stability, function and solubility, but also
form small micelles (*e.g.* by adding compounds decreasing the micelle size), in order to favor
protein-protein contacts [6,7].

52

The propensity of detergents to inactivate membrane proteins drove the search for new 53 amphiphilic environmements (for a review, see [6,8,9]). Peptides that form an α -helix or a β -54 55 sheet [10-13], amphipatic polymers with multiple hydrophobic tails [14,15], fluorinated surfactants (reviewed in [8,16,17]), Calixarene based detergents (e.g. [18]) or detergents with 56 varying architectures: tripod amphiphiles (e.g. [19,20]), facial amphiphiles [21-23], 57 58 polycyclic based [24], bi-tails [25–29], tri-tails [30], and other compounds [31–33] have been 59 synthetized to decrease their exchange kinetics, and/or reinforce interactions with membrane protein surfaces. This is done noticeably by increasing the rigidity as well as the surface of 60 their hydrophobic moieties. Among these compounds, neopentyl glycols (MNG) constitute a 61 class of detergents with notable interest [25,26]. Members with different chain lengths or with 62 different sugar head groups have been described and are commercialized (Anatrace). We will 63 focus here on the most commonly used one, lauryl maltose neopentyl glycol (LMNG), also 64 named MNG3 (MNG3, however, designates the related family of compounds in [26]). Its 65 structure (Scheme 1) is formed by two molecules of n-dodecyl- β -D-maltopyranoside (DDM) 66 linked at the junction between the hydrophilic and hydrophobic moieties of the molecule. The 67 presence of the two hydrophobic chains of equal length mimics better the structure of lipids 68 69 than classical detergents having a single hydrophobic tail. According to the authors who designed this detergent in 2010, the central quaternary carbon, was "intended to place subtle 70 restraints on conformational flexibility" [26]. In this work, LMNG was shown to display a set 71 of very interesting features: to extract integral membrane proteins from membranes; to 72 improve substantially the stability of various membrane proteins, including G protein-coupled 73 74 receptors and respiratory complexes. It also allowed diffraction up to 3.4 Å resolution of LMNG-solubilized cytochrome $b_6 f$ crystals obtained by vapor diffusion [26]. Shortly after this 75 first publication, high resolution structures of the challenging $\beta 2$ adrenergic receptor, in 76 complex with G-proteins [34] or with covalently bound agonist [35], were obtained. The 77 proteins were solubilized and purified in LMNG followed by crystallization in mesophase. 78 79 LMNG was then described as successfully used for various applications, including membrane solubilization and membrane protein stabilization (e.g. [26,36-40]), excited state 80 intermediates [37,41–44], NMR studies [44], H/D exchange coupled to mass spectroscopy 81 (e.g. [45,46]), negative stain electron microscopy (e.g. [43,46,47]), or reconstitution in lipid 82 vesicles [48]. Impressively, LMNG has now been used to solve the structure of more than ten 83 84 G-protein coupled receptors (e.g. refs in [49]; in all cases, LMNG was used for protein purification and crystallization was performed in mesophase). Other proteins, e.g. ABC 85 transporters [41,50,51] or channels [52], were purified and cystallized in LMNG by vapor 86 diffusion. Furthermore, LMNG was also successfully used to solve the high resolution 87 88 structures of membrane proteins by single particle cryo-electron microscopy (e.g. [47,53– 89 551). 90

91



94 Regarding the β^2 adrenergic receptor in complex with G-proteins, not only does the complex 95 96 display long term stabilization in LMNG, but surprisingly, its ligand binding activity is preserved even after diluting LMNG 1000 fold below its CMC [34]. The kinetics of 97 interconversion between the different β^2 adrenergic receptor conformations are significantly 98 slower for the protein solubilized in LMNG vs. DDM, as shown by F-NMR on labelled 99 proteins [44]. Notably, it was proposed that this was related to the very low CMC of LMNG. 100 From simple considerations, assuming that the on-rate for a monomer forming a micelle is 101 diffusion-limited (thus related only to the detergent monomer size, similar for LMNG and 102 DDM), the detergent off-rates are expected to be lower for LMNG than that for DDM, by a 103 factor being the ratio of their CMCs [44] (the value of the CMC of LMNG will be discussed 104 below). The large off-rate of LMNG may be an explanation for the slower protein dynamics. 105 The fact that individual monomers dissociate much slower from the protein than other 106 standard detergents would also explain the exceptional stabilization of protein-LMNG 107 complexes, and the presence of residual activity measured after dilution below the CMC: 108 LMNG "sticks" to the protein surface, and protein-detergent complexes are preserved. A 109 protocol (GraDeR) was indeed proposed to prepare samples at 1 CMC of LMNG, thus devoid 110 of excess LMNG, for obtaining cryo-electron microscopy images of significantly enhanced 111 112 quality [47].

113

However, probably due to the particular geometry of this molecule, the LMNG detergent 114 115 assemblies formed in solution may be rather large: a hydrodynamic radius of 7.2 nm was determined for a 0.5 % solution of LMNG [49]. The questions to be addressed are therefore: 116 how are the large LMNG assemblies/micelles organized in solution? Does the large size of 117 LMNG micelles lead to large protein-detergent complexes? What is the homogeneity and the 118 119 quaternary structure of the solubilized membrane proteins, and how do they compare to those in more usual detergents? Is the crystallization process affected by the large size of the 120 detergent micelles and/or the possible large size of the solubilized protein-detergent 121 complexes? 122

123

To answer these questions, and having in mind that the effects of detergents are protein dependent, we characterized the assemblies in solution of LMNG alone and in complex with four different membrane proteins, FhuA, SpNOX, BmrA and bacteriorhodopsin (bR). We confirmed the stabilization propensity of LMNG compared to DDM, by measuring thermal denaturation by Differential Scanning Fluorimetry (DSF) of the four proteins, limited proteolysis of BmrA, and specific activities of BmrA and SpNOX. We also used complementary techniques such as small angle X-ray and Neutron scattering (SAXS and 131 SANS), Analytical Ultracentrifugation Sedimentation Velocity (AUC-SV) and Size exclusion

chromatography coupled to light scattering (SEC-LS) and dynamic light scattering (DLS). We

showed that, although LMNG alone forms increasingly large assemblies with increasing

134 concentration, the protein-LMNG complexes are of comparable size to those formed with

- other detergents, with overall the same weight amount of bound detergent, and the oligomeric state of each protein remains unchanged. Furthermore, FhuA solubilized in LMNG showed an
- 137 overall increased number of hits when screened for crystallization, suggesting that the large
- size of LMNG micelles does not prevent protein crystallization.
- 139

140 Materials and method

141

142 Chemicals and buffers

143 Detergents are from Anatrace, except octyl- β -D-thioglucopyranoside (OTG) from Acros, 144 other chemicals are typically from Sigma-Aldrich.

145 Buffer A : 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl

146

147 FhuA purification

FhuA was purified from the E. coli strain AW740 transformed with a plasmid encoding the 148 149 *fhuA* gene in which a 6xHis.tag has been inserted in the extracellular loop L5 [56]. Cells were grown in LB medium at 37 °C in the presence of 100 mM of the iron-chelating agent 150 dipyridine, and broken with a microfluidizer (10 passages at 15 kpsi) in a 20 mM Tris-HCl 151 152 pH 8, 150 mM NaCl buffer with a pinch of DNase I. Unbroken cells were removed by a first centrifugation (15 min, 6000 rpm, rotor SX4250), and the membrane fraction was recovered 153 by ultracentrifugation (20 min, 35 000 rpm, 45Ti). A first solubilization with 2 % OPOE 154 (octvlpolvoxyethylene), 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 30 min at 37 °C under gentle shaking 155 solubilized the inner membranes, and the outer membrane pellet (20 min, 35 000 rpm, 45Ti) 156 was solubilized in 1 % lauryldimethylamine-N-oxide (LDAO), 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 1 mM 157 EDTA for 1 h at 37 °C. Insoluble material was removed (20 min, 35 000 rpm, 45Ti). The 158 159 protein was purified by Nickel affinity chromatography (HiTrap Chelating, 5 mL, GE Healthcare). The LDAO supernatant was supplemented with 5 mM MgCl₂ and 5 mM 160 Imidazole, loaded onto the column and thoroughly washed (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 150 mM 161 NaCl, 0.1 % LDAO). A delipidation step was achieved by washing with 10 mL of the same 162 buffer containing 1% LDAO. The protein was eluted with 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 200 mM 163 Imidazole, 0.1 % LDAO. Fractions containing the protein were pooled and loaded onto an 164 anion-exchange chromatography (HiTrap Q, 1 mL, GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 20 mM 165 Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.05 % LDAO and the protein was eluted by a linear gradient of NaCl in 20 166 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 0.05 % (2.2 mM) LDAO (final NaCl concentration ~150 mM). The 167 concentration of FhuA was determined using $\varepsilon_{280 \text{ nm}} = 103,690 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. 168

169

170 FhuA detergent exchange

For LDAO - LMNG exchange, the protein sample was diluted 50 times with water, to reach a detergent concentrations far below the LDAO CMC. Protein aggregation was checked by spectrometry (scattering), and the protein was recovered as a pellet by ultracentrifugation (20 min, 35 000 rpm, 45 Ti or 20 min, 80 000 rpm TLA 100.3 depending on the volume). The protein pellet was rinced with water, and resuspended in 11.9 mM LMNG, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 to reach a final protein concentration of 7-10 mg mL⁻¹. A different protocol is described below for the thermal denaturation assays.

178

179 **FhuA crystallization**

180 Crystallization screening was carried out using commercial screens (Qiagen and Hampton 181 Research). Sitting drops, consisting of 100 nL protein and 100 nL crystallization buffer, were dispensed in 96-well plates (Greiner Crystal Quick plates) using a Cartesian PIXSYS 4200
robot (Genomic Solutions) and equilibrated at 20 °C against 100 mL of crystallization buffer.
Hits were then manually reproduced and improved using the vapour diffusion hanging drop
technique. The drops, consisting of 0.8 mL protein and 0.8 mL crystallization buffer, were
equilibrated against 250 mL of crystallization buffer at 20 °C in 48-well plates (Hampton
Research). Crystals were transferred to the crystallization buffer supplemented with 20% (v/v)
glycerol for 30 s, flash-cooled and stored in liquid nitrogen.

189

190 FhuA LMNG data processing

The final data set was collected at 4 Å on beamline FIP-BM30A at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Grenoble, France [57]. Data reduction was performed using the XDS program [58]. The space group was $C222_1$ (a = 149.53 Å, b = 210.96 Å, c = 188.70 Å) with two molecules per asymmetric unit (solvent content of 73 %). The structure was solved by molecular replacement performed with the Phaser program [59] using the model of the *E. coli* protein available in the Protein Data Bank (code 2FCP). A refinement was carried out with the *phenix.refine* program [60,61] in the 48-4 Å resolution range.

198

199 **SpNOX production**

SpNOX was overexpressed and purified in typically 0.025 mM LMNG, as previously described [62]. When using DDM as the detergent, the same purification protocol was used but with adjustments for DDM concentrations in the purification buffers corresponding to each step. Indeed, the solubilization step was performed using 5.1 mM DDM, the loaded Ni-HisTrap column was washed in the corresponding buffer containing 2 mM DDM, and eluted with 0.3 mM DDM in the elution buffer. Final size exclusion was performed in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 0.3 mM DDM.

207

208 **BmrA production**

Typical bacterial culture protocol: pET23b-bmrA [63] was used to transform C41(DE3) strain 209 of *E. coli*. For preculture, 200 mL LB medium containing 100 µg mL⁻¹ ampicillin was 210 inoculated with a freshly transformed colony and growth allowed overnight at 37 °C and 180 211 rpm. The next morning, enough preculture was added into 1 L 2X YT medium, containg 100 212 μ g mL⁻¹ ampicillin, to get an optical density of 0.1, and growth then allowed at 37 °C 180 213 rpm. When the optical density reached 0.6, the culture was induced for the overexpression of 214 BmrA for 4 h at 25 °C and 180 rpm by the addition of 0.7 mM IPTG (final concentration). 215 Thereafter, the cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4,000 g at 4 °C for 20 min and the 216 bacterial pellet was frozen at -80 °C until further use. Inverted Membrane Vesicles 217 preparation : The bacterial pellet was resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 218 mM dithiotreitol, with an anti-protease cocktail from Roche. The bacterial cells were lysed by 219 passing them thrice through MicrofluidizerTM at 18,000 psi. Next, the cellular debris was 220 removed by centrifugation at 15,000 g for 30 min at 4 °C. The membrane fraction was 221 subsequently obtained by centrifugation at 150,000 g for 1 h at 4 °C. The membrane fraction 222 was resuspended and centrifuged again, with the same conditions as before, after resuspension 223 in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 1.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiotreitol. Finally, the obtained membrane 224 225 vesicles were resuspended in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 300 mM sucrose, 1 mM EDTA and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen before storage at -80 °C. The total protein concentration in 226 membrane was analysed by BCA assay. BmrA purification: BmrA enriched Inverted 227 Membrane Vesicles were solubilized for 1 h at 4 °C in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 10% glycerol, 228 229 100 mM NaCl, with 1% detergent (LMNG or DDM). The soluble fraction obtained after ultra-centrifugation, at 150,000 g for 1 h at 4 °C, was injected into a 1 mL HisTrap HP 230 column (GE Healthcare) which was pre-equilibrated with the same buffer with 20 mM 231 imidazole, and 0.1% (2 mM) DDM or 0.01% (0.1 mM) LMNG. The column was washed with 232

20 column volumes of the same buffer. Gradient elution was eventually performed with the same buffer with imidazole gradient from 20 mM to 500 mM. The protein fractions were dialyzed overnight at 4 °C against 50 mM Hepes pH 8, 10% glycerol, 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM DDM or 0.1 mM LMNG. The protein concentration, 2.2 mg mL⁻¹ for both BmrA in 2 mM DDM and BmrA in 0.1 mM LMNG, was determined from UV absorbance at 280 nm by using Nanodrop spectrophotometer, and a $\varepsilon_{280 \text{ nm}} = 38850 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Reconstitution of BmrA in proteoliposomes was performed as previously described [64].

240

241 Limited Proteolysis of BmrA

Apo form: Purified BmrA in detergent was added to buffer 50 mM Hepes-KOH pH 8, 50 mM 242 NaCl, 10% glycerol and the specified detergent, in case of trypsin, or 100 mM ammonium 243 bicarbonate pH 7.8 with the specified detergent, in case of protease V8. After 15 min of 244 incubation, either trypsin (1 μ g/250 μ g of protein) or protease V8 (1 μ g/20 μ g of protein) was 245 added. Samples of 10 µL (5 µg BmrA) were withdrawn at 0, 2, 5, 15, 30, 60, 120, 180 and 246 300 minutes. 2.5 µL of TFA 5% was added immediately to each sample to stop the reaction. 3 247 μ L of Laemmli 5x was then added and the samples were placed in ice before resolving them 248 on SDS-PAGE. Vanadate-inhibited form: For the Vi-inhibited form, before the addition of 249 purified BmrA, the buffer was supplemented with 3 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM ATP and 1 mM Vi. 250 The samples were then incubated for 15 min before the addition of protease. Samples of 10 251 252 μ L were withdrawn and treated thereafter in the same way as the apo form.

253

254 **bR** solubilization and detergent exchange by sucrose gradient

Purified purple membrane was solubilized for 40 h at $4 \circ C$ with 89 mM OTG (CMC = 9 mM) 255 at a membrane concentration of 1.5 g L^{-1} in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.8. 256 Samples were diluted to reach a final OTG concentration of 15 mM, supplemented with 257 258 2 mM of the surfactant to be tested, and incubated 15 min prior to being loaded onto a 10–30 % (w/w) sucrose gradient containing 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 6.8 and 0.2, 2 or 259 20 mM LMNG. A control gradient contained 1 mM DDM. Gradients were centrifuged for 260 15 h at 55,000 rpm (200,000 g) in the TLS55 rotor of a TL100 ultracentrifuge (Beckman). 261 Bands containing the colored protein were collected with a syringe, and protein samples were 262 kept at 4 °C in the dark for UV-visible spectrophotometry. To check for detergent exchange, 263 10 µl of each recovered bR band was deposited onto a Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) 264 265 silica plate (Macherey-Nagel, ref. 818423), and migration performed in а Chloroform/Methanol/Water solvent (65/35/5). After drying, the plate was first stained with 266 iodine vapour and then with orcinol (0.1 % orcinol in 3 % H₂SO₄ and 72.5% ethanol) and 267 268 charring at 120 °C.

269

270 Thermal denaturation and activity assays

Thermal unfolding experiments and analysis were performed by differential scanning 271 fluorimetry coupled to back scattering using a Prometheus NT.48 instrument (Nanotemper 272 Technologies, Munich, DE), and the provided software PR.thermocontrol v2.0.4. Up to 48 273 capillary containing 10 µL of sample are sequentially illuminated at 280 nm, and fluorescence 274 intensity at 350 (F350) and 330 (F330) nm, and back scattering measured as a function of 275 temperature. The derivatives of F350/F330 and of the back scattering were used to estimate 276 the melting temperature, $T_{\rm m}$, and the onset of aggregation, $T_{\rm agg}$, respectively. For FhuA : 277 samples were prepared by diluting 48 times a stock sample of 1.92 mg mL⁻¹ FhuA, in 2.2 mM 278 LDAO, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, ~150 mM NaCl, into 20 mM Tris pH 8.0 with the appropriate 279 detergent, leading to a final residual LDAO concentration of ≈ 0.05 mM (0.05 CMC), with 280 FhuA at 0.04 mg mL⁻¹. For SpNOX : samples were prepared after purification in LMNG, and 281 eventual detergent exchange in DDM, and concentration. Buffer is 50mM Tris HCl pH 7.0, 282 283 300 mM NaCl, 10 µM FAD, with 0.025 mM LMNG or 0.2 mM DDM initial concentrations,

0.21 LMNG and 1.27 mM DDM final estimated concentrations, considering co-concentration 284 of protein and detergent, and SpNOX at 8.3 mg mL⁻¹, in the $T_{\rm m}$ assays. For activity assays, 285 SpNOX was diluted to 1 µg mL⁻¹ in 50 mM Tris HCl pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 10 µM FAD, 286 with 0.025 mM LMNG or 0.2 mM DDM. Activity assays were performed in the presence of 287 100 µM Cytochrome C, 10 µM FAD, 200 µM NADPH, following Cytochrome C absorbance 288 289 at 550 nm. For BmrA : for $T_{\rm m}$ measurements, samples were prepared at a final protein concentration of 1 mg mL⁻¹, from dilution of the purified protein in 50 mM Hepes pH 8, 10% 290 glycerol, 50 mM NaCl, with 2 mM DDM or 0.1 mM LMNG. For Vi-inhibited form of BmrA, 291 the dilution buffer also contained 10 mM ATP, 10 mM MgCl₂ and 1 mM Vi (all final 292 concentrations). BmrA samples were incubated for 15 min at room temperature before 293 allowing them to be filled in the capillaries for Nano DSF analysis. The activity assays of 294 BmrA were done in a quartz cuvette with a final volume of 700 µL. The buffer, containing 50 295 mM Hepes/KOH pH 8, 10 mM MgCl₂ 4 mM phosphoenolpyruvate, 0.3 mM NADH, 32 μ g mL⁻¹ lactate dehydrogenase, 60 μ g mL⁻¹ pyruvate kinase, 10 mM ATP and either 2 mM DDM 296 297 or 0.1 mM LMNG, was added into the cuvette and was allowed to attain the desired 298 299 temperature i.e. 37 °C for 5 min, before adding 3 µg protein, and measuring the absorbance at 340 nm for 20 min at 37 °C. For bR : to perform $T_{\rm m}$ measurements, after the solubilization 300 step (see above), solubilized bR was incubated 40 mins at 4 °C in the presence of biobeads 301 302 (10 g/g OTG), that reduced the concentration of OTG to 70 mM estimated from TLC, from the comparison with OTG aqueous solution at 10, 25, 50, 75 and 100 mM. TLC was 303 performed as described above in bR preparation, but the mobile phase was 304 305 Chloroform/Methanol 2/1, and the volume deposited was 1 µL. Imaging and quantification were done with the Gel Doc XR system and Image lab software (Biorad). The bR was then 306 diluted 18 times in 0.1 M NaCl, 0.02 M Na phosphate buffer supplemented with the 307 308 appropriate detergent, residual OTG concentration being estimated as 0.4 its CMC, and final bR concentration to 0.14 mg mL⁻¹. In the thermal denaturation assays, the temperature was 309 increased by 1 (FhuA, bR, SpNOX) or 0.5 (BmrA) °C/min from 15 °C (FhuA, bR, SpNOX) 310 or 20 °C (BmrA) up to 95 °C. 311

312

313 **Density measurements**

314 31.8 mg of dried LMNG was dissolved in 1.99948 g of water. From the density of water 315 $(0.998205 \pm 0.000001 \text{ g mL}^{-1})$ and sample $(1.001416 \pm 0.000002 \text{ g mL}^{-1})$ determined from 316 triplicate measurements at 20 °C using a DMA 58 density meter (Anton Paar, Graz, Austria), 317 we derived [65] a LMNG concentration of 15.68 mg mL⁻¹ and a partial specific volume $\overline{v} =$ 318 0.797 mL g⁻¹.

319

320 AUC-SV

AUC-SV experiments were conducted in an XLI analytical ultracentrifuge (Beckman, Palo 321 Alto, CA) using an ANTi-50 rotor, using double channel Ti centre pieces (Nanolytics, 322 Germany) of 12, 3, or 1.5 mm optical path length equipped with sapphire windows, with the 323 reference channel being typically filled with solvent without detergent. For BmrA, LMNG 324 was in the buffer in the reference channel, and we used double sector capillary type cells 325 (Beckman, Palo Alto, CA) allowing perfect matching of the channel heights upon 326 centrifugation 90 min at 7,000 rpm (3,600 g). Acquisition was done at 42,000 rpm (130,000 327 328 g), overnight, using absorbance (280 nm, and additionally for SpNOX, 412nm) and interference detection. Data processing and analysis was done using the program SEDFIT 329 [66] from P. Schuck (NIH, USA), REDATE [67] and GUSSI [68] from C. Brautigam (USA), 330 and using standard equations and protocols described in [65,69–71]. LMNG samples in water 331 were prepared from precise weight dilution from a stock solution at 9.88 mM. The 332 sedimentation velocity profiles for LMNG at 23.2 and 35 mM in Buffer FhuA showed an 333

optical artefact, and *s*-values were determined from $(r_b/r_m)=s\omega^2 t$, with r_b the radial position at half the plateau signal, r_m the meniscus position, ω the angular velocity, and *t* the time. Corrected *s*-values for solvent density and viscosity, s_{20w} , are calculated, for membrane proteins, considering for their partial specific volume, the mean value between protein and detergent.

339

340 Dynamic light scattering (DLS) of LMNG

LMNG at 20 mM in water was measured using the DynaPro NANOSTAR (Wyatt, Santa Barbara, USA) instrument, at room temperature, and analyzed with the associated software

- 343 DYNAMICS.
- 344

345 SEC-LS

346 SEC-LS experiments were conducted at 4 or 6 °C on a HPLC consisting of a degasser DGU-20AD, a LC-20AD pump, an autosampler SIL20-AC_{HT}, a communication interface CBM-347 20A and a UV-Vis detector SPD-M20A (Schimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), a column oven XL-348 Therm (WynSep, Sainte Foy d'Aigrefeuille, France), a static light scattering miniDawn Treos, 349 350 a dynamic light scattering DynaPro NANOSTAR, and a refractive index Optilab rEX detectors. The analysis was made with the software ASTRA, v5.4.3.20 (Wyatt, Santa-351 Barbara, USA). Samples of 10 to 60 µL were injected at 0.5 mL min⁻¹ on a Superdex 200 352 10/300 GL (GE Heathcare), equilibrated with the elution buffer. Bovine serum albumin at 353 2 mg mL⁻¹ in PBS buffer was injected as a control in each experiment. The extinction 354 coefficient and refractive index increments for the proteins were calculated from the amino-355 acid sequences using the program SEDFIT described above. For LMNG, we used 356 $\partial n/\partial c = 0.146 \text{ mL g}^{-1}$ determined by AUC. 357

358

359 SAXS and SANS samples

A first series of SAXS measurements was carried out with 14 samples of LMNG in H_2O , at concentrations between 0.31 and 20 mM, and a second series, measured by SAXS and SANS, with four samples of LMNG in H_2O and in D_2O , at 20 and 10 mM. SANS contrast variation determination was achieved for LMNG at 20 mM in 25 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, with different D_2O %s. LMNG concentrations were precisely determined using weighing-controlled solubilization and dilutions.

366

367 SAXS experiment

SAXS experiments were conducted on the BM29 beamline at the European Synchrotron 368 Radiation Facility (Grenoble, France). The data were recorded for 0.004 < Q < 0.5 Å⁻¹ 369 $(Q=(4\pi/\lambda)\sin\Theta)$ is the modulus of the scattering vector, with 2 Θ being the scattering angle, 370 and λ the wavelength), using a two-dimensional 1M Pilatus detector, at 20 °C, with a 371 monochromatic X-ray beam with $\lambda = 0.9919$ Å and a sample to detector distance of 2.864 m. 372 Measurements were performed with 50 µL loaded sample, in a quartz capillary, with a 373 continuous flow. In the first series, 10 acquisitions with 1 s irradiation per acquisition (flow of 374 2.7 μ L/s), in the second series, 10 and 30 acquisitions with 0.5 s irradiation (flows of 5 and 1 375 μ L/s), were recorded for the samples and the solvents. The scattering curves were 376 indistinguishable with the two flows. Data reduction was performed using the automated 377 standard beamline software (BSxCuBE) [72], and data processing, including the elimination 378 of data suffering from radiation damage, averaging, buffer subtraction, Guinier plots, using 379 PRIMUS of the software suite ATSAS [73]. Absolute scales were obtained using the 380 scattering of water. 381

- 382
- 383 SANS experiment

SANS experiments were carried out on the small-angle diffractometer D22 at the Institut 384 Laue Langevin (Grenoble, France), at 20 °C, using neutron wavelengths λ of 6 and 12 Å, with 385 samples measured in Hellma quartz cells 100QS with 1-mm optical path length. Scattering 386 data for LMNG at 10 and 20 mM in H₂O and D₂O were recorded at 20 °C for 0.003 < Q <387 0.45 Å⁻¹, using a neutron wavelength λ of 6 Å, and sample-detector/collimation distances (SD 388 / coll) of 17.6 m / 17.6 m, 5.6 m / 5.6 m, and 1.4 m / 2.8 m for 15, 5 and 5 mins, respectively. 389 For LMNG at 20 mM in D₂O, additional data were recorded at (SD/coll) of 17.6 m / 17.6 m at 390 12 Å, leading to a total *O*-range of 0.0012-0.45 Å⁻¹. Transmissions were measured at 17 m for 391 1 min. For the determination of the contrast match point, samples were measured at 10 °C, 392 with SD / coll of 5.6 m / 5.6 m, 1.4 m / 2.8 m, for 5 and 1 min. respectively, and transmissions 393 394 were measured at 5.6 m for 1 min. LMNG at its match point, in 21.4 % D₂O, was measured at 15 °C, with SD / coll of 8 m / 8 m and 1.4 m / 2 m for 60 and 15 min, respectively. Data 395 reduction to obtain scattering curves in absolute scale, and manipulation -merging, buffer 396 subtraction- were done in the usual way (see e.g.[74]) using Grasp (a MatlabTM script 397 application produced by ILL) and SANS reduction macros provided by NIST Center running 398 399 on IGOR (Wavemetrics)[75]. Absolute intensities are obtained using the direct beam 400 measurement. 401

402 SAXS and SANS Guinier analysis

The radii of gyration (Rg) and the intensities scattered in the forward direction (I(0)) were 403 extracted by the Guinier approximation, with $RgQ \leq 1.3$. Aggregation number were derived 404 from the molar mass of LMNG micelle, M (g mol-1) obtained from the absolute forward 405 intensity, I(0) (cm⁻¹), using $M = (I(0)/c)N_A/(\partial \rho_{el}/\partial c)^2$, in SAXS, and $M = (I(0)/c)N_A/(\partial \rho_N/\partial c)^2$, 406 in SANS. N_A is Avogadro's number, c the concentration (g mL⁻¹), and $\partial \rho_{\rm el}/\partial c$ and $\partial \rho_{\rm N}/\partial c$ (cm 407 g^{-1}) the increment of electron and neutron, respectively, scattering length density per g of 408 LMNG. $\partial \rho_{\rm el}/\partial c = (\rho_{\rm el} - \rho^{\circ}_{\rm el}) r_{\rm el} \overline{v}$, with $\rho_{\rm el} = 4.105 \ 10^{23}$ (from composition and \overline{v}) and $\rho^{\circ}_{\rm el} =$ 409 3.297 10²³ the numbers of electron per mL of LMNG and solvent, respectively, and $\rho_{\rm el} =$ 410 2.818 10⁻¹³ cm the scattering length of an electron. $\partial \rho_N / \partial c$ was calculated to be 1.108 10¹⁰ cm 411 g^{-1} in H₂O, and -3.509 10¹⁰ cm g^{-1} in D₂O. 412

413 414

415

419

416 SAXS and SANS combined analysis for LMNG shape

417 SAXS and SANS data were analyzed using shape-dependent models in SASview [76]. The

scattered intensity from an homogeneous dispersion of particles is written as:

$$I(Q) = N V^{2} (\Delta \rho)^{2} P(Q) S(Q)$$

420 Where *N* is the number density of particles, *V* their volume, $\Delta \rho$ (cm⁻²) is the scattering 421 contrast, *P*(*Q*) is the inter particle form factor and *S*(*Q*) is the interparticle structure factor.

In the present case, SAXS and SANS data have been fitted simultaneously with a core shell 422 423 cylinder form factor and hard sphere potential with beta approximation using SASview software. The cylinder form factor included size polydispersity on radius (fixed at 5 %) using 424 425 a gaussian distribution. The scale factor was fixed by the surfactant concentration. The head 426 groups SLD or ED were fitted using the same hydration level of the anhydrous polar hear. Radius, length and shell thickness of the cylinder were constrained and kept equal for X-ray 427 and neutrons. Fitting was performed using the optimizer DREAM which allows to set 428 429 simultaneous fits as well as proper error determination. 430

431 **Results**

432

433 Hydrodynamic characterization of LMNG

435 Our first aim was to characterize the assembly properties of LMNG molecules by itself. AUC-SV experiments were done for LMNG solubilized in water at different concentrations. 436 The SV profiles and analysis in terms of continuous distributions $c(s_{20w})$ of sedimentation 437 coefficients, s_{20w} , showed a unique or main boundary. The mean s_{20w} - value increased with 438 439 concentration, from 3.3 S at 0.25 mM to 7.9 S at 9.8 mM (Fig 1A-C). This indicates that LMNG micelle aggregation number increases with concentration, an atypical behaviour 440 441 compared to usual detergents. Complementary AUC-SV in a dilute buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl 442 pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl), at larger LMNG concentrations of 23 and 35 mM, gave estimates for s_{20w} of 7.7 and 8.7S, respectively. By integrating the signal for the micelles at the different 443 LMNG concentrations (Fig 1D), we determine, in water, a refractive index increment for 444 LMNG $\partial n/\partial c=0.146$ mL g⁻¹ (close to that of DDM, 0.143 mL g⁻¹ [65]), and a CMC of 40 μ M. 445 The knowledge of the partial specific volume, \bar{v} , of LMNG is required to correlate s_{20w} and 446 molar mass, or aggregation numbers N_{agg} . We measured, with density measurement, $\bar{v} = 0.797$ mL g⁻¹, a value close to that of DDM: 0.82 mL g⁻¹ from [65] and 0.81-0.837 mL g⁻¹ from [1]. 447 448 449

Minimum aggregation numbers N_{agg} of 63 and 230 are calculated at 0.25 and 10 mM 450 considering globular compact micelles (frictional ratio of 1.25), but N_{agg} are larger, at least for 451 the larger micelles, since their shape is anisotropic (see below). Dynamic light scattering 452 453 (DLS) of 20 mM LMNG in water showed essentially one population with a polydispersity index of 35% and a hydrodynamic radius, R_H, of 14 nm (Fig 1E), in line with the reported 454 value of 7.2 nm at 5 mM [49]. Combining s_{20w} and $R_{\rm H}$ values at 5 and 20 mM provides $N_{\rm agg}$ 455 456 estimates of 300 and 620, corresponding to frictional ratios of 1.65 and 2.4, indicating moderately and strongly elongated shapes, respectively. 457

458

459 In SEC-LS experiments with 0.05 mM LMNG in the equilibration and elution buffer (bufferA), LMNG injected at 2, 5, 10 and 20 mM eluted as a very large peak covering the 460 elution volumes of BSA monomer (65 kDa) to BSA trimer (Fig 1F). When LMNG 461 concentration increased, the elution volume decreased. However, the molar masses 462 determined from coupled refractive index and light scattering detections did not change 463 significantly, neither along the elution, nor with the injected LMNG concentration: from 67 464 kDa ($N_{agg} = 67$) at 2 mM to 76 kDa ($N_{agg} = 76$) at 20 mM (Fig 1F). This suggests the 465 dissociation of the largest LMNG complexes upon sample dilution on the column. 466 467



468

469 Fig 1. LMNG in AUC-SV, DLS, and SEC-LS

470 AUC-SV: A: Superposition of experimental and fitted sedimentation velocity profiles (top) and residuals 471 (bottom), obtained at 130,000 g and 20 °C during \approx 5 hours using interference optics and 12 mm optical path 472 centerpiece, for LMNG at 0.25 mM in water. **B**: Superposition of the normalized c(s) distributions for LMNG at 473 0.25 (brown), 0.5 (orange), 1 (yellow-green), 2 (green), 5 (cyan), 7 (blue), and 10 (purple) mM. C: plot of the 474 mean sedimentation coefficient s_{20w} versus LMNG concentration. Note that the mean s_{20w} is obtained from the 475 intergration of the $c(s_{20w})$ over the whole s_{20w} - range; At 10 mM compared to 7 mM, as seen in Panel B, s_{20w} the 476 for the main species is decreased, while, as seen in Panel C, the mean s_{20w} is slightly increased. D: Fringe 477 number ΔJ , normalized to 1 cm optical path-length, for the micelle contributions vs total LMNG concentration, 478 and linear fit. DLS: E: Hydrodynamic radius distribution of LMNG at 20 mM in water. SEC-LS: F: Rayleigh 479 ratio measured along the elution profiles, for 30 µL LMNG injected at 2, 5, 10, and 20 mM (red, blue, green, and 480 purple thin lines, respectively) on a Superdex 200 10/300 GL (GE Heathcare) column eluted with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 0.05 mM LMNG, and molar masses (thick lines, right axis) derived from the light 481 scattering and refractive index detections. V₀ is the dead volume, V_{tot} the total volume of the column, and the 482 483 arrows indicate the elution volumes of BSA as a monomer, dimer, and trimer (66, 130, and 200 kDa) from a 484 different injection.

487 Structural characterization of LMNG by small angle scattering

488 SAXS and SANS experiments were performed to get additional information on the size and 489 shape of LMNG micelles. A first set of SAXS experiments were done with LMNG between 490 0.3 and 20 mM (0.03 and 2%) (Fig 2A). From the Guinier analysis, the size of the micelle 491 increases with Rg and aggregation numbers increasing from 3.4 nm to 10 nm, and from 55 to 492 240, respectively, when LMNG concentration is raised from 0.3 to 10 mM. (Fig 2B and 2C).

These values remain constant for LMNG concentrations increasing from 10 to 20 mM, and 493 indeed the scattering curves are superimposed (Fig 2A). All curves are superimposed at the 494 495 largest angles, representing the smallest distances in the real space, compatible with a circular cross section. To ascertain the shape of the LMNG micelles, 10 and 20 mM LMNG samples 496 were measured by SAXS and SANS, in H₂O and D₂O. In the same buffer, the scattering 497 498 curves normalized by concentration were superimposable for the 10 and 20 mM LMNG samples. From Guinier plots, the radii of gyration from SAXS were 11.5 and 11.6 nm at 10 499 and 20 mM in H₂O, and 17.7 and 17.2 nm at 10 and 20 mM in D₂O. The aggregation numbers 500 were 285 in H₂O, and 528 in D₂O. The Rg and aggregation numbers from SAXS, in H₂O were 501 502 slightly larger but similar to those of the first set of experiments. The size of the micelles appears significantly larger here for LMNG in D₂O than in H₂O, but, as will be discussed 503 below, the significance of this difference is not ascertained. 504

505

506 The SAXS and SANS scattering curves of 20 mM LMNG in H₂O and D₂O were analyzed globally. We used a model of a core shell cylinder with hard sphere interaction, defined by a 507 core representing the hydrophobic tails (i.e. the hydrocarbon chains) covered by a shell 508 representing the hydrated polar heads. The scattering length densites (SLD) of the core and of 509 the solvent were fixed to the theoretical values. The SLD of the polar head (different in SAXS 510 511 and SANS), and the dimensions of the micelles (radius of the cylinder core, thickness of the shell, and length, common in SAXS and SANS) were globally fitted keeping the same 512 hydration level in X-ray and neutron. The results are presented in Table 1. The fitted values 513 514 for the SLD of the anhydrous shell values are in the same order of magnitude as those calculated for the anhydrous polar head. We extracted reasonable hydration of 30 % in H₂O 515 and 44 % in D₂O. The radius of the hydrophobic core, 14 Å, and that of the shell thickness, 7-516 517 9 Å, are in line with those expected from the LMNG size.

518

Finally, we investigated whether LMNG could be totally matched out in SANS. This is 519 required to be able to focus on protein contribution. The differential distribution of detergent 520 hydrophobic tails and hydrophilic heads in the detergent micelles and bound detergent indeed 521 corresponds to ordered scattering density fluctuations, which makes the scattering curve of 522 the micelles and bound detergent possibly not flat even at the match point [77]. We thus 523 measured for LMNG a set of contrast variation curves, using a solvent with different %s of 524 D₂O. We determined a contrast match point of 21.4 % D₂O (Fig 2F). The scattering curve of 525 the 2 mM LMNG sample in 21.4 % D₂O perfectly superimposes that of the solvent (Fig 2G). 526 Thus LMNG can be homogeneously masked. The analysis of the neutron scattering curves of 527 membrane proteins solubilized in LMNG, in 21.4 % D₂O will not require the fastidious step 528 of detergent modelling to access membrane protein envelope structure. Because the contrast 529 match point of hydrogenated proteins is $\approx 44 \%$ D₂O, membrane proteins should be deuterated 530 to improve their scattering signal, but this is not problematic, since deuterating proteins, in 531 general, is not an issue. 532

533

To summarise, SAXS and SANS showed that LMNG forms large elongated rod-like micelles above the mM concentration. Given this observation, the question of the homogeneity of different membrane proteins at various LMNG concentrations was addressed below.

537



Fig 2. SAXS and SANS analysis of LMNG

A: Superposition of 13 SAXS profiles obtained at 0.3 (yellow), 0.5 (pale pink), 0.7 (pink), 1 (red), 2 (brown), 6 (green), 8 (light green), 10 (light blue), 12 (blue), 14 (navy), 16 (purple), 18 (grey), and 20 (black) mM LMNG, in H₂O, at 10 °C. B: corresponding Rg, and, C: aggregation numbers, versus LMNG concentrations. D,E: Superposition, for LMNG at 20 mM in H₂O (D), and D₂O (E) of the experimental scattering curves obtained by SAXS (blue and red dots, respectively) and SANS (green and orange dots, respectively), and of the fitted curves, in the model of a core-shell cylinder, in SAXS (red and blue lines in H₂O and D₂O, respectively), and in SANS (orange and green lines in H₂O and D₂O, respectively); D',E': respective normalized by data error residuals. SAXS and SANS data in each solvent were globally fitted (Table 1). F: Match point determination from SANS scattering curves obtained for 20 mM LMNG in 0, 20, 40, 45, 60, 80, and 100 % D₂O. G: Superposition of raw scattering curves obtained in a solvent with 21.4 % D₂O for LMNG at 2 mM (black) and of the solvent alone (red).

559 Table 1. Rod analysis of SAXS and SANS data for 20 mM LMNG

560					
561		LMNG 20 mM	M in H ₂ O	LMNG 20 mM	M in D ₂ O
562		SAXS	SANS	SAXS	SANS
563	Q range (Å ⁻¹)	0.0035-0.35	0.0030-0.35	0.0035-0.35	0.0015-0.35
564	χ^2_{R}	3.2	3.2	2.3	26.7
565	Background, fixed (cm ⁻¹)	0.0008	0.001	0.0012	0.0015
566	SLD solvent, fixed (10^{-6} Å^{-2})	9.4	-0.56	9.4	6.4
567	SLD core, fixed (10^{-6} Å^{-2})	8.3	-0.3	8.3	-0.3
568	SLD shell, fixed (10^{-6} Å^{-2})	14.75	1.88	14.75	3.99
569	SLD shell, fitted (10^{-6} Å^{-2})	13.12+/-0.02	1.134*	12.42+/-0.02	5.048*
570	Fitted shell hydration (%)	30		44	
571	Radius (Å)**	14.51	± 0.02	13.29	± 0.01
572	Thickness (Å)	7.33 ±	0.04	9.25 ±	0.03
573	Length (Å)	$603 \pm$	7	5376 -	± 16
574	Vol fraction	$0.02 \pm$	0.00001	0.0195	51 ± 0.00006
	2				

575 χ^2_R : reduced χ^2 . *: linked parameter. **: a polydispersity on radius width was fixed to 5%.

576 577

558

578 *FhuA-LMNG assemblies*

579 FhuA is an *E. coli* outer membrane ferrichome-iron transporter involved in bacteriophage 580 infection [78,79]. The structure of this robust β -barrel protein was solved in 1998 [80]. We 581 investigated FhuA stability, homogeneity and association state at different LMNG 582 concentrations, and whether the protein can crystallize in LMNG. 583

We first compared the thermal stability of FhuA in LDAO and in LMNG by DSF, allowing to 584 measure the melting temperature (T_m) of the protein by probing the fluorescence emission 585 (F_{350nm}/F_{330nm} ratio) of the aromatic residues upon increasing temperature. Simultaneous light 586 back-reflection measurements permit to detect qualitatively aggregation events. Detergent 587 was exchanged by a 48 times dilution, leading to a final residual concentration of LDAO that 588 was much below its CMC (20 times). The melting curves are similar whatever the final 589 590 detergent concentration, corresponding to CMC + 0.2, 0.5 mM and 1-2 mM, *i.e.* LDAO at 1.2, 1.5, and 2.2 mM and LMNG at 0.21, 0.51 and 2.01 mM. They show (Fig 3A) two unfolding 591 592 events, attributed to, first, the unfolding of the cork, and then of the barrel [81] (both domains contain tryptophans). From light back-reflection, the onset of protein aggregation coincides 593 with the first $T_{\rm m}$, and significant aggregation to the second $T_{\rm m}$. In LDAO, the $T_{\rm m}$ at 63 and 69 594 595 °C are in line with the published values of 60-65 and 74-75 °C, from scanning calorimetry [81], or synchrotron radiation circular dichroism [78] in slightly different buffers with 1.3 or 596 4.4 mM LDAO. In LMNG, unfolding is shifted to higher temperatures. The first $T_{\rm m}$ is at 67 597 °C, showing a moderate stabilization (+ 4 °C) of the cork, the second one at 80 °C indicating 598 a significant stabilization (+ 11°C) of the barrel. $T_{\rm m}$ values are reported in Table 2. For 599 comparison, binding of ferrichrome, the natural ligand, was described to have a strong 600 stabilizing effect on FhuA cork, shifting the first transition by 6 °C [81]; binding of phage T5 601 Receptor Binding Protein pb5 leads to one unique unfolding event at 89 °C (+ 15 °C vs $T_{\rm m}$ of 602 barrel for FhuA alone) [78]. Thus, LMNG significantly stabilizes both the flexible parts and 603 the rigid domain of this β -barrel protein. 604

- 605
- 606
- 607
- 608

612 Table 2. Melting temperatures of FhuA, SpNOX, BmrA, and bR

613							
614	Protein	Detergent	detergent	Micel	le	$T_{\rm m}$	$T_{\rm agg}$
615		type	conc. (mM)	conc.	(mM)	(°C)	(°Ĉ)
616	FhuA	LDAO	1.2, 1.5, 2.2	0.2, 0	.5, 1.2	63.0 + 69.0	65
617	FhuA	LMNG	0.21, 0.51, 2.0	0.2, 0	.5, 1.0	67.0 + 80.0	74
618							
619	SpNOX	DDM	1,27	1.1	40.5	56	
620	SpNOX	LMNG	0.21	0.2	53.9	60	
621	-						
622	BmrA Apo	DDM	2	1.8	41.2	54	
623	BmrA Apo	LMNG	0.1	0.1	45.7	70	
624	-						
625	BmrA Vi-inh	DDM	2	1.8	46.4	45	
626	BmrA Vi-inh	LMNG	0.1	0.1	59.3	50	
627							
628	bR	OTG	11	2.0	53.6	43	
629	bR	DDM	2.01	1.8	59.9	52	
630	bR	LMNG	2.17	2.2	65.9	63	
631							

632 $T_{\rm m}$: melting temperature measured by differential scanning fluorimetry; $T_{\rm agg}$: onset temperature for aggregation from light 633 back reflexion. BmrA Vi-inh, Vi-inhibited forms were incubated with 10 mM ATP, 10 mM MgCl₂, and 1 mM vanadate. 634 Standard deviations of typically 0.2 were determined from triplicates experiments in BmrA, otherwise the precision on $T_{\rm m}$ is 635 estimated at 1°C. Micelle concentrations (*i.e.* above the CMC concentrations) were calculated considering CMC-values of 1, 636 0.01, 0.17 and 9 mM for LDAO, LMNG, DDM, and OTG, respectively.

637 638

For FhuA, detergent exchange can easily be done, by dilution of the purified protein solution 639 until FhuA precipitates and re-solubilization of the pellet in the desired detergent. The 640 resulting sample in LMNG, also used for crystallization assays, contained ≈ 7.5 mg mL⁻¹ 641 FhuA and 12 mM (total concentration) LMNG. SV-AUC analysis shows a main contribution 642 (\approx 75% of the absorbance) at s_{20w} = 7.8 S, *i.e.* the same value as that published for FhuA in 643 DDM [82]. Additional contributions are detected at $s_{20w} = 10.7$ S ($\approx 5\%$) and 6.2 S (15-20%), 644 which correspond reasonably to FhuA dimer and LMNG free micelles, respectively (Fig 645 4AB). Analysis of the absorbance of interference fringe signals provides an estimate of 1 g g 646 (80 mol mol⁻¹) of bound LMNG, and a free micelle concentration of 4.5 mM. In order to 647 648 investigate the effect of LMNG concentration on FhuA homogeneity, complementary SV-AUC experiments were done on a similarly prepared sample with nominal concentrations of 649 5.4 mg mL⁻¹ FhuA and 13 mM LMNG, and two derived samples, with FhuA diluted twice, 650 and increased LMNG nominal concentrations to 18 and 24 mM. For these three samples too, 651 only one main boundary is observed (> 80%) at about 7 S (Table 3). Probably, due to the 652 large total concentrations of LMNG and FhuA, the fit is poor and the s_{20w} values imprecise 653 (data not shown). The data can be interpreted by the non-ideal co-sedimentation of FhuA 654 complexes and of LMNG free micelles, sedimenting at nearly the same s_{20w} value (Table 3). 655 Nevertheless the membrane protein appears to remain monomeric in the presence of even 656 very large concentrations of LMNG, where the latter forms large micelles. SEC-LS performed 657 on a similar sample injected after 10 times dilution, showed traces of aggregates, FhuA dimer 658 as a shoulder, FhuA monomer as the main contribution at 12.3 mL, and LMNG micelles at 659 660 14.5 mL (Fig 4C). The molar mass analysis gives for the main peak 79.3 kDa for FhuA molar mass contribution, in agreement with the protein sequence (79.9 kDa), and 98.5 kDa for 661 LMNG contribution, corresponding to 1.2 g g^{-1} (95 mol mol⁻¹) bound detergent. 662





Ratio of the fluorescence emitted at 350 and 330 nm (top panels), and derivative (bottom panels) for **A**: FhuA at 0.04 mg mL⁻¹ in the presence of LDAO 1.2 mM (green) or LMNG 0.21 mM (black); **B**: SpNOX at 8.3 mg mL⁻¹ in the presence of DDM 1.27 mM (red) or LMNG 0.21 mM (black); **C**: BmrA at 1 mg mL⁻¹, in DDM 2 mM, in the Apo (red), or Vi-inhibited (orange) forms, and in LMNG 0.1 mM, in the Apo (black), or Vi-inhibited (purple) forms. The Vi-inhibited forms were incubated with 1 mM Vi, 10 mM ATP and 10 mM MgCl₂ for 15 minutes **D**: bR at 0.14 mg mL⁻¹ in OTG 11 mM (blue), DDM 2.17 mM (red), or LMNG 0.21 mM (black).

7	0	5
7	0	6

709

707 708

Table 3. LMNG solubilized FhuA in AUC-SV

710 711 712	exp	• FhuA $(mg mL^{-1})$	total LMNG (mM)	free LMNG ^a (mM)	$\operatorname{Main}_{20w} s_{20w}(S)$ from A_{280}^{b}	Main s_{20w} (S) from ΔJ^{b}	<i>s</i> _{20w} (S) for LMNG ^c
713	1	7.5	12	4.5	7.8	7.8	6.8
714	2	5.4	13	7.6	6.8	6.8	7.6
715	3	2.7	18	15.3	6.4	7.1	7.6
716	4	2.7	24	21.3	7.0	7.5	7.7

717 LMNG free micelles and FhuA complexes sediment separately (Fig 4) in exp 1, but are not resolved in exp 2-4. ^acalculated 718 with the hypothesis of 1 g g⁻¹ LMNG bound to FhuA, except for exp. 1, where free LMNG micelle concentration was



measured in AUC-SV. ^bfor FhuA complex in exp. 1, and unresolved LMNG free micelles and FhuA complex in exp. 2-4. ^cfrom AUC-SV experiments of pure LMNG (Fig 1) for the LMNG concentrations reported on the fourth column.

Fig 4. Characterisation and crystallization of LMNG solubilized FhuA

AUC-SV: A: Superposition of experimental and fitted sedimentation velocity profiles (top) and residuals (bottom), obtained at 130 000 g and 4 °C during ≈ 4.5 hours using interference optics and 1.5 mm optical path centerpiece, for 7.5 mg mL⁻¹ FhuA solubilized with 12 mM LMNG in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl. Reference channel was filled with solvent without LMNG. **B**: Superposition of the normalized $c(s_{20w})$ distributions obtained at 280 nm (red line) and with interference optics (black line). SEC-LS: C: Rayleigh ratio measured along the elution profiles, for 35 µL of FhuA at 1 mg mL⁻¹ and LMNG at 1.2 mM injected on a Superdex 200 10/300 GL (GE Heathcare) column equilibrated at 6 °C with 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM LMNG, and molar masses (thick lines, right axis) for the whole complex (black), and LMNG (green) and FhuA (red) contributions, derived from combining light scattering, refractive index, and absorbance at 280 nm detections. **D.** Typical DDAO-solubilized FhuA crystals grown in 0.1 M MES pH 6.0, 10% PEG 6000, 2% DDAO. E. Typical LMNG-solubilized FhuA crystals grown in 0.1 M ADA pH 6.5, 12% PEG 6000, 1.2% LMNG.

- 752
- 753
- 754

755 FhuA crystallization

480 conditions were screened for the crystallization of FhuA solubilized in 1.2% (12 mM)
LMNG or, for comparison, 1.6% (79.4 mM) decyldimethylamide-N-oxide (DDAO). DDAO
is the detergent in which the protein was historically crystallized [56], and in our hands,
FhuA-DDAO crystals diffracting to 2.2 Å could be obtained (Arnaud and Breyton,
unpublished data).

761

The FhuA-LMNG complex is clearly more susceptible to precipitating conditions: only 762 53.7% of the drops remained clear (vs. 86% for FhuA-DDAO). In addition, 13.3% of the 763 conditions with LMNG yielded unambiguous crystal formation vs. only 3% in DDAO, and 10 764 765 vs. 8 conditions, respectively showed single crystals. Whereas conditions allowing single crystal growth in DDAO were closely related (high molar mass PEG, pH 6-7 and MgCl₂), 766 those in LMNG displayed more differences: different precipitants, including wide ranges of 767 768 PEG molar mass (400-8000) and pH (4-9) and a variety of co-crystallization salts. The shapes of the crystals were also very different, with feather-like shapes for FhuA-DDAO and more 769

rod for FhuA-LMNG crystals (Fig 4D). X-ray diffraction data were collected on FhuA-LMNG crystals (Table 4). Crystals grown in the screening assay, with little optimization, diffracted up to 4 Å, which allowed to get a model at low resolution and to determine the crystal packing. Interestingly, these crystals have a different space groups (C222₁) than crystals obtained with DDAO (P6₁).

- 775
- Thus, LMNG largely expanded the number of potential conditions in which FhuA crystals are
 grown, possibly by allowing different crystal packing. These data seemingly support the idea
 that LMNG enhances successful crystallization of membrane proteins [26].
- 779

780 Table 4. Characteristics of LMNG solubilized FhuA crystals

781		
782	Crystal data collection statistics	for FhuA – LMNG
783	Space group	C 2 2 21
784	Unit cell dimension (Å)	149.53 210.96 188.7 90 90 90
785	Number of reflections	371461 (37282)
786	Number of unique reflections	25522 (2481)
787	Resolution limits (Å)	40.61 - 4.0
788	Higher resolution shell (Å)	4.143 - 4.0
789	Completness	98.13 % (98.06 %)
790	Redundancy	14.6 (14.8)
791	Rmerged	0.1771 (7.384)
792	Rmeasured	0.1838 (7.648)
793	I/(I)	9.51 (0.50)
794		
795	Molecular replacement with Pha	ser
796	LLG = 3698.6	
797	TFZ = 16.4	
798		
799	Crystallographic refinement stat	istics
800	Resolution range (Å)	40.61 - 4.0
801	Number of reflections	25098
802	R / FreeR	0.3141 / 0.3546
803	Number of atoms (total)	11024
804	Mean B value ($Å^2$)	209.52
805		
806	rms deviation from ideal values:	
807	bond length (Å)	0.007
808	bond angle (degree)	1.52
809		
810		

811 SpNOX-LMNG assemblies

We then investigated the prokaryotic enzyme SpNOX [62]. This protein is a recently 812 identified analog to the eukaryotic NOX membrane proteins. They are the central catalytic 813 component, involved in transmembrane electrons transfer, within NADPH oxidase 814 complexes, which play essential roles in e.g. immunity, cardiovascular physiology, etc. 815 Despite the progress done in the characterization of the soluble subunits of the NADPH 816 oxidase complexes [83,84], there were very few structural information on the NOX α -helical 817 membranous component up to the recent composite structure of a NOX5 isoform from a 818 819 cyanobacteria [85]. Apart from its fundamental biological interest, this protein displays several features that make it a convenient model to test and develop new methods or 820 821 processes in membrane protein biochemistry. Indeed, the red color brought by the presence of 822 two hemes b within the transmembrane protein constitutes a good reporter in all steps of protein manipulation as well as a precious indicator of the correct folding of the protein.
Moreover, SpNOX has an enzymatic activity that can be measured spectrophotometrically in
a fast and convenient way.

826

For SpNOX solubilization and purification, maltoside detergents were identified as essential 827 828 in order to preserve protein activity [62]. However, several lines of improvement have been observed by using LMNG instead of DDM. First, from the elution profile from size exclusion 829 chromatography of the second purification step (Fig 5A), the peak of SpNOX aggregates, at 830 45 mL, decreases drastically from DDM to LMNG while, in addition to minor amounts of 831 dimers detected in the two conditions, that of monomeric SpNOX, at 70 mL, increases. 832 SpNOX is obtained with an improved homogeneity in LMNG compared to DDM. Moreover, 833 SpNOX shows a significantly increased specific activity in LMNG compared to DDM (Table 834 5). We evaluate the relative thermal stability of SpNOX in LMNG compared to DDM. $T_{\rm m}$ in 835 LMNG is drastically increased (+13°C) (Table 2). 836

837 838

839 Table 5. Compared activities, in LMNG and DDM, of SpNOX and BmrA



- at 280 nm detections. A hydrodynamic radius of 4.0 nm was measured by DLS at the maximum of the mainpeak.
- 879
- 880
- 881

- 883
- 884
- 885

We then investigated, using SV-AUC, homogeneity and association state of SpNOX 886 solubilized in LMNG, at different concentrations of SpNOX and LMNG. After a final SEC 887 purification step with 0.03 mM LMNG in the elution buffer (buffer A, see legend to Fig 5), 888 SpNOX was obtained at 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ (sample c0.1). Then the protein was concentrated 30 889 times by ultrafiltration with a 30-kDa cut off membrane (sample c3). To further investigate 890 the effects of protein and LMNG concentrations on the size and composition of the 891 LMNG/SpNOX complexes, part of c3 was rediluted 12 or 30 times in buffer A (containing 892 0.03 mM LMNG) and 12 times with the same buffer but containing LMNG at 1.5 mM 893 894 (samples c0.25d, c0.1d, c0.25dLMNG, respectively). AUC-SV of these samples was followed 895 at 412 nm, in addition to the usual 280 nm and interference detection, which allows following the heme b cofactors. The normalized c(s) curves (Fig 5B) at 280 and 412 nm superimpose 896 897 showing that the different SpNOX complexes in all samples have the same heme content. The measured ratio $A_{412}/A_{280}=1.75 \pm 0.05$ is that expected for pure SpNOX [62]. The main 898 SpNOX species (typically 85%) sediments at $s_{20w} = 6.0 \pm 0.1$ S. A minor contribution 899 900 (typically 8%) is detected at \approx 8.2 S, in addition to poorly-defined aggregates (typically 7 %). c0.25dLMNG appears to be more homogeneous, and c0.25d more heterogeneous, but we 901 cannot ascertain the relevance of these subtle variations. We conclude that SpNOX main 902 assemblies have clearly the same composition in all samples, and increasing LMNG or 903 904 protein concentration does not lead to larger complexes. Interference optics allows the additional detection of LMNG micelles below 4 S, in the expected s-range, since we 905 measured s at 2.5 and 5 S for pure LMNG at 0.05 and 1.5 mM in buffer A. From the 906 integration of the signal, we derived a concentration of 1.2 mM free micelles in c3, which 907 indicated an almost stoechiometrical co-concentration of LMNG and SpNOX. For the main 908 909 SpNOX complex, the ratio of the interference fringes and A_{280} signals is the same for c0.1, c3, c0.25d, suggesting that no or few LMNG micelles co-sediment (the ratio is however larger for 910 c0.25dLMNG and c0.1d, but it may be related to the uncertainty of the analysis), and provides 911 a rough estimate of 1 ± 0.1 g g⁻¹ LMNG bound to SpNOX (this value is imprecise, as 912 913 discussed below).

914

Complementary SEC-LS-DLS in buffer A with 0.05 mM LMNG was performed on four 915 samples of SpNOX from a different purification. One at 0.16 mg mL⁻¹ is from SEC, the three 916 others are from subsequent concentration to 1.0, 2.9 and 8.7 mg mL⁻¹ (concentration by a 917 factor of 8, 18 and 54, respectively) by ultrafiltration. The elution profiles of the four SpNOX 918 919 samples are very similar, and one example is shown on Fig 5C. The main SpNOX complex elutes at 12.8 mL with a $R_{\rm H} = 4.0$ nm measured from DLS. The analysis provides molar 920 masses for the main complex of 68 ± 3 kDa and 59 ± 3 kDa for SpNOX and LMNG-921 922 components, remarkably constant for the four samples. These values correspond to a detergent/protein ratio similar to that estimated from AUC. The protein molar mass, however 923 is intermediate between the theoretical ones for a monomer (48 kDa) and a dimer. This 924 925 suggests a systematical error in the input value, used in AUC and SEC-LS, of the SpNOX extinction coefficient. We thus rather consider the total molar mass of the complex of 126 926 kDa, derived from LS and RI detection to derive, in the hypothesis of a monomeric protein, 927

an amount of bound detergent of 1.6 g/g. Combining *s* from AUC and $R_{\rm H}$ from DLS, a buoyant molar mass of 26.2 kDa is derived, which corresponds to a globular compact monomer of SpNOX binding 1.6 g.g⁻¹ of LMNG, with a frictional ratio of 1.2, corresponding to a globular compact shape.

932

933 BmrA-LMNG assemblies

934

BmrA (for 'Bacillus multidrug resistance ATP') is a member of the ABC ("ATP-Binding 935 Cassette") transporters family. It is capable to export multiple drugs with no chemical 936 937 relationship [86]. In human, its closest homologue MDR1 confers resistance to chemotherapeutic treatments [63]. Crystal structures of homologs stabilized in different states 938 [87,88] suggest that, to permit drug translocation, large conformational changes must occur in 939 an alternating access model. BmrA could be purified in a functional homodimeric form in 940 0.05% DDM [89,90], and, indeed it was shown to be flexible and adopt different 941 conformations [91–94]. 942

943

Since then, the protein was purified in LMNG [95]. It was noticed that LMNG, in contrast to
DDM, significantly reduced BmrA aggregation with time. Moreover, the ATPase activity of
BmrA was significantly increased, by a factor of about 1.5 to 2, in LMNG compared to DDM
(Table 5). The activity in LMNG is thus getting closer to that of the protein reconstituted into
liposomes: 1.4 vs. 5, respectively, µmol ATP hydrolyzed /min/mg protein (Table 5).

949

950 We evaluated by DSF the protein's thermal stability for two freshly purified -in either DDM or LMNG- batches of BmrA. Measurements were done on the Apo and the vanadate (Vi) 951 inhibited forms. The Apo-form is expected to be in an open conformation, the Vi-inhibited 952 953 form would exist in a closed conformation ([64,93] and unpublished results). Fig 3 shows the measurements for BmrA Apo and Vi-inhibited forms, and Table 2 reports the melting 954 temperatures $T_{\rm m}$. In DDM and in LMNG, the $T_{\rm m}$ increases steadily from the less stable Apo to 955 the more stable Vi-inhibited form. For the two forms, BmrA unfolds at higher temperature 956 when purified in LMNG compared to DDM: by 4.5 °C for BmrA Apo, and \approx 13 °C for the 957 958 Vi-inhibited BmrA (Table 2). 959

Limited proteolysis experiments were performed on the Apo- and Vi-inhibited BmrA forms, 960 to evaluate differences in protein flexibility between the two forms, in DDM and in LMNG. 961 After incubation for different times with a protease, BrmA samples were run on SDS-PAGE. 962 Fig 6A-D show clearly, for BmrA purified in DDM and LMNG, respectively, and incubated 963 with trypsin, the increased robustness of Vi-inhibited BmrA compared to the Apo form. In 964 DDM, the 55 kDa band representing the intact protein essentially disappeared after 30 min of 965 incubation for the Apo form, and more than 300 min for the Vi-inhibited form, in agreement 966 967 with previously published results [93]. In LMNG, 60 min are required for an almost full disappearance of the intact Apo BmrA, and the Vi-inhibited form is essentially preserved for 968 the entire time period tested. The reduced kinetics of proteolysis in LMNG compared to DDM 969 is also observed with protease V8 (Fig 6E-F). We checked that the detergent type had no 970 971 effect on protease activity by itself.

972





Fig 6. BmrA limited proteolysis experiments A-D: incubation with trypsin at 1 μ g/250 μ g of protein, for A: Apo BmrA in DDM, B: Vi-inhibited BmrA in DDM, C: Apo BmrA in LMNG, D: Viinhibited BmrA in LMNG. E-F: incubation with protease V8 at 1 μ g/20 μ g of protein, for Apo BmrA, in E: DDM, and F: LMNG. DDM and LMNG concentrations are 2 and 0.1 mM, respectively. Time zero is taken after 15 min of pre-incubation before

adding the proteases. The arrows indicate intact BmrA.

In order to evaluate protein homogeneity in LMNG, samples of BmrA were purified with a 986 last step of SEC in a buffer containing LMNG at 0.1 mM, concentrated using a centrifugal 987 988 filter device by a factor of 4.4 for a final BmrA concentration of 1.3 mg mL⁻¹. SV-AUC revealed a very homogeneous preparation, with one protein complex at $s_{20w} = 8.2$ S 989 (experimental value of 4.2S, at 10 °C, in the buffer containing glycerol) (Fig 7A). SEC-LS 990 experiments, done with a BmrA sample at 2.7 mg mL⁻¹, prepared in a similar way, stored 991 frozen at -80 °C and injected on a column equilibrated with buffer A, showed minor (total \approx 992 5%) contributions of large and small aggregates eluting at 7.8 mL and as a shoulder at 9.7 993 mL, in addition to the main complex, eluting at 10.7 mL, with a $R_{\rm H} = 5.5$ nm measured from 994 DLS, and a total molar mass of 240 kDa, corresponding to a dimer with 0.8 g/g bound 995 detergent (Fig 7B). Combining $R_{\rm H}$ and the s-values, we derived a buoyant molar mass of 45.9 996 kDa, corresponding to a dimer with 0.6 g/g bound LMNG, and a frictional ratio of 1.35. 997 Excess LMNG micelles were undetected in the AUC experiments; in SEC-LS their amount 998 corresponds to an injected LMNG concentration of 0.2 mM. In conclusion, very 999 1000 homogeneous BmrA samples can be prepared in LMNG with protein concentration in the mg mL^{-1} range, which remains essentially homogeneous after storage at -80 °C. 1001 1002

1003

985

1004 Fig 7. LMNG solubilized BmrA in AUC-SV and SEC-MALS

1005 AUC-SV: A: Superposition of experimental and fitted sedimentation velocity profiles (top) and residuals 1006 (bottom), obtained at 130,000 g and 10 °C during \approx 8 hours using interference optics and 12 mm optical path centerpiece, for BmrA at 2.3 mg mL⁻¹ and free LMNG at 0.44 mM (putative concentration) in 25 mM Tris-HCl 1007 pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol. Reference channel was filled with solvent without LMNG. B: 1008 1009 Superposition of the normalized $c(s_{20w})$ distributions obtained at 280 nm (pink line) and with interference optics 1010 (blue line). SEC-LS: C: Rayleigh ratio, refractive index, and absorbance at 280 nm (orange, blue, and pink lines, respectively) measured along the elution profiles, for 50 μ L of BmrA at 2.7 mg mL⁻¹ and free LMNG at 0.06 1011 1012 mM, this concentration being experimentally determined in SEC-LS, injected on a Superdex 200 10/300 GL (GE 1013 Heathcare) column equilibrated at 4 °C with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 0.05 mM LMNG (buffer 1014 A), and molar masses (thick lines, right axis) for the whole complex (black), and LMNG (green) and BmrA (red) 1015 contributions, derived from combining the three detections. The protein elutes as a main species at 10.7 mL, 1016 together with large and small aggregates, in minor amounts, in the void volume (7.8 mL) and as a shoulder at 9.7 1017 mL, respectively; free LMNG elutes at 14.2 mL.

- 1018
- 1019
- 1020 1021
- 1021
- 1022

1024 *bR* homogeneity, and time and thermal stability

1025 Lastly, we investigated the homogeneity and time stability of the bR as a model protein. bR is 1026 a light-driven proton pump purified from the archaea *Halobacterium*. It is composed of seven 1027 transmembrane α -helices and binds a covalent cofactor, a retinal molecule that confers purple 1028 color to the protein.

1029

1030 Sucrose gradients are a convenient means to perform both detergent exchange and evaluate the colloidal homogeneity of the protein-detergent complex. In the case of colored proteins, 1031 this latter information is directly visible after centrifugation, by the broadness of the protein-1032 1033 detergent band. We routinely use this method to evaluate the potentialities of fluorinated surfactants in the biochemistry of membrane proteins, using the bR. Fig 8A shows the results 1034 of sucrose density gradient experiments in the presence of 1 mM DDM, or 0.2, 2 and 20 mM 1035 1036 LMNG. After 15 h centrifugation, the protein migrated past the middle of the gradient, insuring total detergent exchange, as checked by TLC. In all LMNG conditions, the protein 1037 band migrates at the same depth and appears to have the same width/broadness as that in 1038 DDM, suggesting that the bR-LMNG complexes are homogeneous monomers as the bR-1039 DDM complexes. The retinal molecule, whose visible absorption spectrum is very sensitive to 1040 1041 its local environment, is a convenient reporter of the state of the protein: the trimeric protein in its native membrane reveals a visible absorption spectrum with a maximum at λ_{max} = 1042 570 nm; when solubilized in detergent, the protein monomerises and displays $\lambda_{max} = 554$ nm; 1043 in both cases, the protein appears purple. When the protein denatures, the retinal is released, 1044 and λ_{max} shifts to 400–380 nm: the protein solution turns yellow. Fig 8B and 8C report the 1045 absorption spectra of the protein recovered just after centrifugation and three months after, 1046 stored on ice in the dark. The DDM sample, which appears pinkish in the gradient, displays a 1047 broad absorption peak, with a $\lambda_{max} \sim 530$ nm (Fig 8B), suggesting a poorly folded protein. 1048 After three months however, the spectrum shows a usual peak, with $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 555$ nm. The 1049 protein probably started to denature in OTG, the detergent used for its solubilization, but 1050 returned to its native fold when transferred to DDM. In all LMNG samples, the absorption 1051 peak displays a $\lambda_{max} = 554$ nm (Fig 8C), suggesting that the dynamics of the bR monomer in 1052 1053 LMNG is different than in classical detergents. After three months incubation, the absorption 1054 spectra are unchanged, indicating that the protein is very stable. 1055



1056

1057 Fig 8. bR sucrose gradient

A: Migration of bR in 10-30% sucrose gradients in the presence of either 1 mM DDM, 0.2, 2 or 20 mM LMNG.
Gradients were centrifuged 15 h at 200,000 x g. bR was recovered from the gradients and its UV-visible
spectrum measured immediately (D0, solid line) or three months after incubation at 4°C in the dark (D96, dashed
line). B: bR in 1 mM DDM, C: bR in 0.2, 2 and 20 mM LMNG.

1063 At last, bR thermal denaturation assays were performed. Solubilized bR samples were 1064 incubated with biobeads to decrease the OTG concentration, and diluted 18 times (leading to 1065 a residual OTG concentration of 0.4 times the CMC) in OTG, DDM, or LMNG, at a 1066 concentration of 2 mM above the CMC of each detergent, for thermal denaturation assays. 1067 Result are presented on Fig 3 and Table 2. bR has an increased thermostability in DDM 1068 compared to OTG, and LMNG is even more stabilizing, with $T_{\rm m}$ increased by 6 and 12 °C, 1069 respectively.

- 1070
- 10711072 Discussion and conclusion
- 1073

1074 On the self-assembly of LMNG

1075 From AUC experiments, we determined the CMC of LMNG to be 40 μ M. This is coherent 1076 with previously published value from from hydrophobic dye solubilization measurements (10 1077 μ M) [26], while isothermal titration calorimetry measurements yielded a significantly lower 1078 concentration (11.3 nM) [44]. The CMC is not an absolute value, rather a range of 1079 concentrations, and different techniques used to determine the CMC probe different properties 1080 of micelle formation. Thus, it is not unusual to find different values depending on the 1081 technique used, and discrepancies become exacerbated when the CMC is very low.

- 1082
- 1083 Regarding the size of the micelles, the s_{20w} -value of LMNG at 0.25 mM (0.025 %) is 3.3 S. 1084 This value is in the same range than that of DDM (3.12 S) that forms globular compact



micelles of about 60 kDa in a large range of detergent concentration [65]. If LMNG forms quite small micelles at 0.25 mM, AUC, DLS, SAXS, and SANS, clearly indicate it forms larger micelles, rods of increasing length, when increasing the

concentration above 0.25 mM. The lateral dimension of the hydrophobic core radius is 14 Å, 1091 and that of the shell thickness is 7 Å in H_2O (9 Å in D_2O). These values correspond to that of 1092 DDM micelles, described from SAXS to form oblate ellipsoids with, in the minor axis, a 1093 hydrophobic core of ≈ 15 Å surrounded by a hydrophilic layer of ≈ 6 Å thickness [96,97] 1094 (Scheme 2). The differences of 1 Å between our LMNG and the published DDM dimensions 1095 are not significant since different techniques and buffers, thus contrasts (in terms of electron 1096 density or neutron scattering length densities), were used. To this date, this is only one 1097 membrane protein pdb file (4b4a) containing LMNG as a ligand (pdf identifier "LMN"). The 1098 maximum C-O, C-C and O-O distances in the whole molecule, in the two tails, and in the two 1099 heads, respectively, are 21.9, 13 and 11, 9.8 and 9.7 Å, respectively (we note that in this 1100 unique structure, the hydrophilic head is quite open: we measure a maximal distance between 1101 the O of the two sugars of LMNG of 19 Å). For DDM as a ligand (pdf identifier "LMT"), in 1102 1103 an arbitrary selection of membrane protein pdb files (6haw, 6hqb, 4kfm, 6cnn), we measure 1104 maximal distances for the whole molecule, the tail, and the head, of 20.5 + 1.12 + 1.129.2 +/- 0.8 Å, respectively. These values are logically similar to that of LMNG. The 1105 maximum length of the tail is logically lower than 16.7 Å corresponding to the dimension of 1106 an extended alkyl chain with 12 carbons [97]. 1107

1108

1109 If DDM is described to form slightly elongated micelles, for LMNG at 20 mM however, we

measured lengths of 600 Å in H₂O and more than 5000 Å in D₂O. It corresponds to LMNG 1110 rods with ratios of the total length over the total diameter, of 14 and 120. For LMNG in H₂O, 1111 the rod length value coincides with the maximum distances estimated between 10 and 20 mM 1112 LMNG, from the pair distribution analysis of the - measured independently - SAXS data of 1113 Fig 2A. In a preliminary independent SANS experiment, however, rods of only 1200 Å long 1114 were modelled for LMNG micelles at 20 mM in D₂O. It corresponds to a length over diameter 1115 ratio of 28, 4-5 times smaller than that presented above. It is likely that the precise length of 1116 LMNG rods depends on uncontrolled parameters during sample preparation. It is possible that 1117 LMNG forms longer rods in D₂O, compared to H₂O, but we cannot ascertain it. For both 1118 SAXS and SANS, the shape and size of the micelles does not change between 10 and 20 mM. 1119

- 1120
- 1121
- 1122
- 1123
- 1124
- 1125
- 1126

1127 Scheme 2. Schematic representation of LMNG and DDM micelles

A: LMNG micelles at 20 mM in H₂O or D₂O, from modelling of combined SANS and SAXS data (this work) B:
 DDM micelles from modelling of SAXS data [96,97].

- 1130
- 1131

1132 Israelachvili et al. [98,99] have provided a rational to explain how the molecular geometry of 1133 individual surfactant molecules affects and controls the shape and size of the assemblies they 1134 form. The geometry of the molecule can be described by three parameters: the area of the 1135 hydrated polar head, a_0 , the volume, v, and the extension, l_c , of the hydrophobic tail. The

value of the related critical packing parameter, $v/(a_0 l_c)$, representing the ratio of the mean area 1136 of the hydrophobic tail on that of the hydrophilic head, determines the geometry of the 1137 assemblies: for $v/(a_0 l_c) < 1/3$ (large hydrophilic surface), spherical micelles are formed; for 1138 $1/3 < v/(a_0 l_c) < 1/2$, rods are favored; then, for $v/(a_0 l_c) < 1$, ~ 1, and > 1 (with increasingly 1139 large hydrophobic lateral extensions), flexible or planar bilayers, and inverted micelles, 1140 1141 respectively, are stabilized. The LMNG molecule corresponds to two linked DDM molecules. The linkage is done through a quaternary carbon, localized on the lauryl chain, at the second 1142 carbon following the ether group belonging to the maltose moiety. It is thus at the junction 1143 between the hydrophilic head and the hydrophobic tail of the detergent. The constraints of this 1144 link on each moiety dimensions are hardly intuitively predictable. The fact that DDM forms 1145 globular micelle while LMNG forms rod means that the packing parameter $v/(a_0 l_c)$ increases 1146 from DDM to LMNG, which can be related to an increase, in LMNG compared to DDM of 1147 the hydrophilic surface per maltose group, and/or of the mean length of the hydrophobic 1148 chain, corresponding to changes in their landscape of the molecular conformational stability 1149 and/or dynamics. 1150

1151

Rod formation has noticeable consequences for biochemists. When using ultrafiltration centrifugal devices to concentrate proteins, LMNG co-concentrates with the membrane protein by the same factor. Depending on the initial detergent concentration, typically from 0.02 to 0.1 mM, LMNG in the concentrated sample will form small to very large assemblies. Concentrated LMNG dissociates upon SEC, but elutes as a very broad peak, preventing membrane protein in concentrated LMNG to be recovered with a well-defined LMNG concentration.

1159

1160 On the relative stabilization of proteins in LMNG compared to DDM

Our work corroborates the stabilizing propensity of LMNG towards membrane proteins, 1161 already described in the literature (see the introduction). All four proteins investigated here 1162 are thermally stabilized in LMNG compared to DDM. The temperature shift is very large, 1163 both for FhuA (+11 °C), a very stable β -barrel protein, and for SpNOX (+13 °C), a fragile α -1164 helical protein. For the flexible BmrA, temperature shifts between 4 and 13 °C are observed 1165 depending on the presence or absence of ligands. For bR, the gain is 6 °C. SpNOX solubilized 1166 and partially purified in LMNG shows a significantly decreased content of aggregates, 1167 corresponding to an increased stability. The reduced kinetics of limited proteolysis in LMNG 1168 compared to DDM for the Apo and the Vi-inhibited forms of BmrA, expected to represent the 1169 1170 open and closed conformations, could have suggested some restricted flexibility of the protein. However, the protein is more active in LMNG as compared to DDM thereby 1171 indicating that the flexibility required for ATPase activity is not impaired in LMNG. Indeed, 1172 in LMNG, BmrA seems to be more prone to reach or stabilize the closed conformation which 1173 is required to get a high ATPase activity. Hence, BmrA is in a more stable and perhaps, more 1174 physiologically relevant conformations in LMNG as compared to DDM. Restricted protein 1175 flexibility is also suggested for bR, which UV-visible spectrum displays a maximum 1176 absorption peak close to that of the constrained trimer in the purple membrane, far from that 1177 of the more flexible monomer in DDM. These results are in the line with the restraint of 1178 protein inter-conversion dynamics measured for GPCRs. The reduced dynamics of proteins 1179 may be related to the strong binding of the LMNG correlated to its low CMC, deriving from 1180 the connection of the two hydrophobic tails in its structure. As for BmrA, SpNOX displays an 1181 increased specific activity in LMNG compared to DDM, which may reflect a significantly 1182 higher proportion of inactive protein in DDM compared to LMNG, or be related to a 1183 difference in SpNOX flexibility, or to the stabilization of some conformations. 1184

1185

1186 On the assembly of LMNG-membrane proteins

The solubilized membrane proteins investigated in this work have the same quaternary 1187 structure in LMNG as in their usual detergent: FhuA, SpNOX, and bR, are monomeric and 1188 BmrA is dimeric. In the case of SpNOX, dimers of the main species -probably inactivated 1189 forms- are detected in LMNG, for typically 10%, the proportion varying slightly depending 1190 1191 on the purification. These species are also detected in DDM. In the AUC experiments where LMNG concentration was voluntarily raised to 24 mM, FhuA and SpNOX association states 1192 were not affected. The amount of bound detergent did not vary too, despite rod micelles of 1193 1194 LMNG are expected at these large concentrations. This behavior was not anticipated. We previously investigated fluorinated surfactants (for reviews, see [8,16,17]), and, in a series of 1195 surfactants whose polar head size was modulated by the presence of one, two, or three 1196 glucose moieties, homogeneous complexes with bR and cytochrome b_{6f} were obtained only 1197 with the compounds that form homogeneous micelles, *i.e.* the surfactants with the more 1198 voluminous head. In the surfactant forming long rods, with only one glucose, the membrane 1199 proteins were soluble and active, but formed heterogeneous detergent protein complexes. We 1200 anticipated it could be related to the heterogeneity in the amount of bound detergent [100]. 1201 Other fluorinated surfactants with relatively small head groups (lactoside, maltoside, 1202 octaethylene glycol ether) formed large and polydisperse, probably rod-like assemblies, and 1203 1204 indeed formed also large and heterogeneous complexes with membrane proteins (refs in [100]). By contrast, the fluorinated surfactant F-TAC (now commercialized by Calixar), 1205 which has a voluminous polymeric hydrophilic head, was shown to assemble into small 1206 1207 micelles and form well defined complexes with membrane proteins. The present work on LMNG shows that large size of surfactant micelle does not necessarily translate into protein 1208 detergent complex heterogeneity. 1209

1210

1211 On the assembly of LMNG-membrane proteins: bound detergent

In the case of FhuA, previous measurements determined 1.2 g of bound DDM per g of 1212 protein, using SEC in the presence of radiolabeled DDM [81]. This value is close to 1 and 1.2 1213 g g⁻¹ determined in the present work for the protein solubilized in LMNG by AUC and SEC-1214 LS. For BmrA, the amount of bound LMNG (0.6 g g^{-1}) we determined by combining s- and 1215 $R_{\rm H}$ -values, is lower but in the same order of magnitude than that determined for bound DDM 1216 by the same technique (0.9 g g⁻¹)[101]. A similar trend, in the comparison of DDM and 1217 LMNG bound to BmrA, was reported using MALDI/TOF for the evaluation of bound 1218 detergent [7]. These estimates of bound DDM to BmrA are much lower than that determined 1219 by SEC in the presence of radiolabeled DDM (1.5 g g^{-1})[89], which emphasizes the difficulty 1220 to determine precisely bound detergent. Nevertheless, these comparisons presented in Table 6, 1221 show that the amounts of bound detergent are similar whether the protein is solubilized in 1222 DDM or LMNG. It means that the amount in mol/mol is nearly twice for DDM than for 1223 LMNG, and it is possible that the amount (in $g g^{-1}$) of bound LMNG is slightly less compared 1224 to that of DDM. 1225

- 1226
- 1227

1228 Table 6. Bound LMNG and DDM to FhuA, BmrA, and SpNOX

Pro	tein	detergent	Bound	detergent	technique	reference
		-	g/g	mol/mol*	_	
Fhu	ıA	DDM	1.2	188	SEC/[¹⁴ C]DDM	[81]
Fhu	ıA	LMNG	1.0	80	AUC	present work
Fhu	ıA	LMNG	1.2	95	SEC-LS	[81]

1238	BmrA	DDM	0.9	234	AUC+ SEC-LS	[101]
1239	BmrA	DDM	1.3-1.6	388-416	MALDI/TOF	[7]
1240	BmrA	DDM	1.5	390	SEC/[¹⁴ C]DDM	[89]
1241						
1242	BmrA	LMNG	0.6	79	AUC+ SEC-LS	present work
1243	BmrA	LMNG	1.1-1.3	145-171	MALDI/TOF	[7]
1244						
1245	SpNOX	LMNG	1.6	77	AUC+ SEC-LS	present work
1716	* acresida	nin a Flor A and C	NOV as many	and Dura A and		—

1246 * considering FhuA and SpNOX as monomers, and BmrA as a dimer.

1247 1248

1249 On the crystallization of LMNG-membrane protein

The high resolution structures of several GPCRs were obtained thanks to purification in 1250 LMNG (cf Introduction) followed by crystallization in mesophase, a process in which the 1251 membrane protein in the crystal is stripped off from its bound detergent [102]. Crystallization 1252 in LMNG using vapor diffusion was described for *e.g.* the cytochrome $b_6 f$ complex [26], the 1253 TatC core of the twin-arginine protein transporter [50], an ABC transporter lipid-linked 1254 oligosaccharide flippase [41], a borate efflux transporter [51], and a plant voltage-gated two-1255 pore channel [52]. FhuA is yet another one. One of our motivations, in crystallizing this well 1256 described protein, was to check whether the ability to obtain crystals was prevented by the 1257 presence of large LMNG assemblies in the membrane protein sample. It is clearly not the 1258 case, and on the contrary, comparing the behavior of the protein in crystallization screens, we 1259 observed that the number of initial hits was larger when the protein was solubilized in LMNG 1260 when compared to the classically used DDAO. The space group of crystals of FhuA grown in 1261 LMNG is not the same as that of the protein grown in DDAO. However, this is not 1262 remarkable, as the same space group is found when the protein is crystallized in LDAO. 1263

1264

To summarize, the interest of structural biologists for LMNG is very strong, since it stabilizes noticeably most membrane proteins compared to other detergents. Due to its low desorption kinetics, it would stabilize membrane proteins even in sub-CMC concentrations. It forms large rod assemblies at concentration above the sub mM range, but, for the examples we investigated, the solubilized proteins remain as homogeneous as in usual detergents, with similar amounts of bound detergent, and the presence of the large LMNG micelles does not prohibit membrane protein crystallization using vapor diffusion or mesophase.

1272

1273 Acknowledgment

This work used the platforms of the Grenoble Instruct centre (ISBG; UMS 3518 CNRS-CEA-1274 UJF-EMBL) with support from FRISBI (ANR-10-INSB-05-02) and GRAL (ANR-10-LABX-1275 49-01) within the Grenoble Partnership for Structural Biology (PSB). Gina Reyes-Mejia, 1276 Marie-Ange Marrel, Séraphine Crassac, and Emma Lundell (UGA) performed BmrA and 1277 FhuA preparations, FhuA and bR thermal denaturation assays, respectively, during their 1278 master internships at IBS; Marie-Pierre Candusso for advices with BmrA limited proteolysis; 1279 Adam Round and Martha Brennich (ESRF), and Frank Gabel (IBS), for help in SAXS data 1280 acquisistion. This work benefited from the use of the SasView application, originally 1281 developed under NSF Award DMR-0520547. SasView also contains code developed with 1282 funding from the EU Horizon 2020 programme under the SINE2020 project Grant No 1283 654000. This work was supported by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-16-1284 CE92-0001) to CE and CB, (ANR-14-CE09-0024B) to JMJ and (ANR-17-CE11-0013) to JD, 1285 CH, FF, AV, IPH, MT. A. Vermot thanks for her support through the Emergence program 1286 1287 from the Univ. Grenoble Alpes.

1288

1289 **References :**

1290

M. le Maire, P. Champeil, J.V. Moller, Interaction of membrane proteins and lipids with
 solubilizing detergents, Biochim Biophys Acta. 1508 (2000) 86–111.

[2] J.-L. Popot, Extracting Membrane Proteins from Their Native Environment, in: Membr.
 Proteins Aqueous Solut. Deterg. Amphipols, Springer International Publishing, 2018: pp. 59–95.

[3] C. Breyton, C. Tribet, J. Olive, J.-P. Dubacq, J.-L. Popot, Dimer to monomer conversion of
the cytochrome b6f complex. Causes and consequences, J Biol Chem. 272 (1997) 21892–900.

1297 [4] S. Lee, A. Mao, S. Bhattacharya, N. Robertson, R. Grisshammer, C.G. Tate, N. Vaidehi, How
1298 Do Short Chain Nonionic Detergents Destabilize G-Protein-Coupled Receptors?, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
1299 138 (2016) 15425–15433. doi:10.1021/jacs.6b08742.

[5] C. Chipot, F. Dehez, J.R. Schnell, N. Zitzmann, E. Pebay-Peyroula, L.J. Catoire, B. Miroux,
E.R.S. Kunji, G. Veglia, T.A. Cross, P. Schanda, Perturbations of Native Membrane Protein Structure
in Alkyl Phosphocholine Detergents: A Critical Assessment of NMR and Biophysical Studies, Chem.
Rev. 118 (2018) 3559–3607. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00570.

1304 [6] Q. Zhang, H. Tao, W.-X. Hong, New amphiphiles for membrane protein structural biology,
1305 Methods San Diego Calif. 55 (2011) 318–323. doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2011.09.015.

1306 [7] V. Chaptal, F. Delolme, A. Kilburg, S. Magnard, C. Montigny, M. Picard, C. Prier, L.
1307 Monticelli, O. Bornert, M. Agez, S. Ravaud, C. Orelle, R. Wagner, A. Jawhari, I. Broutin, E. Pebay1308 Peyroula, J.-M. Jault, H.R. Kaback, M. le Maire, P. Falson, Quantification of Detergents Complexed
1309 with Membrane Proteins, Sci. Rep. 7 (2017) 41751. doi:10.1038/srep41751.

1310 [8] G. Durand, M. Abla, C. Ebel, C. Breyton, New amphiphiles to handle membrane proteins:
1311 "ménage à trois" between chemistry, physical-chemistry and biochemistry, in: Membr. Proteins Prod.
1312 Struct. Anal., Springer, I. Muss-Veteau, 2014.

1313 [9] J.-L. Popot, Alternatives to Detergents for Handling Membrane Proteins in Aqueous
1314 Solutions, in: Membr. Proteins Aqueous Solut. Deterg. Amphipols, Springer International Publishing,
1315 2018: pp. 97–149.

[10] C.E. Schafmeister, L.J.W. Miercke, R.A. Stroud, Structure at 2.5 Å of a designed peptide that
 maintains solubility of membrane proteins, Science. 262 (1993) 734–738.

1318 [11] X. Zhao, Y. Nagai, P.J. Reeves, P. Kiley, H.G. Khorana, S. Zhang, Designer short peptide
1319 surfactants stabilize G protein-coupled receptor bovine rhodopsin, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 103
1320 (2006) 17707–17712. doi:10.1073/pnas.0607167103.

[12] K. Corin, P. Baaske, D.B. Ravel, J. Song, E. Brown, X. Wang, C.J. Wienken, M. JerabekWillemsen, S. Duhr, Y. Luo, D. Braun, S. Zhang, Designer lipid-like peptides: a class of detergents for
studying functional olfactory receptors using commercial cell-free systems, PloS One. 6 (2011)
e25067. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025067.

[13] H. Tao, S.C. Lee, A. Moeller, R.S. Roy, F.Y. Siu, J. Zimmermann, R.C. Stevens, C.S. Potter,
B. Carragher, Q. Zhang, Engineered nanostructured β-sheet peptides protect membrane proteins, Nat.
Methods. 10 (2013) 759–761. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2533.

1328 [14] C. Tribet, R. Audebert, J.-L. Popot, Amphipols : polymers that keep membrane proteins
1329 soluble in detergent-free aqueous solutions, Proc Nat Acad Sci USA. 93 (1996) 15047–15050.

ISI J.-L. Popot, Membrane Proteins in Aqueous Solutions: From Detergents to Amphipols,
 Springer International Publishing, 2018. //www.springer.com/de/book/9783319731469 (accessed June)

- 1332 13, 2018).
- 1333 [16] C. Breyton, B. Pucci, J.-L. Popot, Amphipols and fluorinated surfactants: Two alternatives to
 1334 detergents for studying membrane proteins in vitro, Methods Mol. Biol. 601 (2010) 219–245.
 1335 doi:10.1007/978-1-60761-344-2_14.

1336 [17] J.-L. Popot, Amphipols, nanodiscs, and fluorinated surfactants: three nonconventional
1337 approaches to studying membrane proteins in aqueous solutions, Annu. Rev. Biochem. 79 (2010) 737–
1338 775. doi:10.1146/annurev.biochem.052208.114057.

- 1339 [18] R. Matar-Merheb, M. Rhimi, A. Leydier, F. Huché, C. Galián, E. Desuzinges-Mandon, D.
- 1340 Ficheux, D. Flot, N. Aghajari, R. Kahn, A. Di Pietro, J.-M. Jault, A.W. Coleman, P. Falson,
- Structuring detergents for extracting and stabilizing functional membrane proteins, PloS One. 6 (2011)
 e18036. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018036.
- 1343 [19] P.S. Chae, P.D. Laible, S.H. Gellman, Tripod Amphiphiles for Membrane Protein1344 Manipulation, Mol. Biosyst. 6 (2010) 89–94.
- 1345 [20] P.S. Chae, K.H. Cho, M.J. Wander, H.E. Bae, S.H. Gellman, P.D. Laible, Hydrophobic
 1346 variants of ganglio-tripod amphiphiles for membrane protein manipulation, Biochim. Biophys. Acta.
 1347 1838 (2014) 278–286. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2013.09.011.
- 1348 [21] Q. Zhang, X. Ma, A. Ward, W.-X. Hong, V.-P. Jaakola, R.C. Stevens, M.G. Finn, G. Chang,
 1349 Designing facial amphiphiles for the stabilization of integral membrane proteins, Angew. Chem. Int.
 1350 Ed Engl. 46 (2007) 7023–7025. doi:10.1002/anie.200701556.
- 1351 [22] P.S. Chae, K. Gotfryd, J. Pacyna, L.J.W. Miercke, S.G.F. Rasmussen, R.A. Robbins, R.R.
 1352 Rana, C.J. Loland, B. Kobilka, R. Stroud, B. Byrne, U. Gether, S.H. Gellman, Tandem facial
 1353 amphiphiles for membrane protein stabilization, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132 (2010) 16750–16752.
 1354 doi:10.1021/ja1072959.
- S.C. Lee, B.C. Bennett, W.-X. Hong, Y. Fu, K.A. Baker, J. Marcoux, C.V. Robinson, A.B.
 Ward, J.R. Halpert, R.C. Stevens, C.D. Stout, M.J. Yeager, Q. Zhang, Steroid-based facial
 amphiphiles for stabilization and crystallization of membrane proteins, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.
 110 (2013) E1203-1211. doi:10.1073/pnas.1221442110.
- 1359 [24] P.S. Chae, S.G.F. Rasmussen, R.R. Rana, K. Gotfryd, A.C. Kruse, A. Manglik, K.H. Cho, S.
 1360 Nurva, U. Gether, L. Guan, C.J. Loland, B. Byrne, B.K. Kobilka, S.H. Gellman, A new class of
 1361 amphiphiles bearing rigid hydrophobic groups for solubilization and stabilization of membrane
 1362 proteins, Chem. Weinh. Bergstr. Ger. 18 (2012) 9485–9490. doi:10.1002/chem.201200069.
- P.S. Chae, R.R. Rana, K. Gotfryd, S.G.F. Rasmussen, A.C. Kruse, K.H. Cho, S. Capaldi, E.
 Carlsson, B. Kobilka, C.J. Loland, U. Gether, S. Banerjee, B. Byrne, J.K. Lee, S.H. Gellman, Glucoseneopentyl glycol (GNG) amphiphiles for membrane protein study, Chem. Commun. Camb. Engl. 49
 (2013) 2287–2289. doi:10.1039/c2cc36844g.
- P.S. Chae, S.G.F. Rasmussen, R.R. Rana, K. Gotfryd, R. Chandra, M.A. Goren, A.C. Kruse,
 S. Nurva, C.J. Loland, Y. Pierre, D. Drew, J.-L. Popot, D. Picot, B.G. Fox, L. Guan, U. Gether, B.
 Byrne, B. Kobilka, S.H. Gellman, Maltose-neopentyl glycol (MNG) amphiphiles for solubilization,
 stabilization and crystallization of membrane proteins, Nat. Methods. 7 (2010) 1003–1008.
 doi:10.1038/nmeth.1526.
- 1372 [27] A. Sadaf, J.S. Mortensen, S. Capaldi, E. Tikhonova, P. Hariharan, O. de Castro Ribeiro, C.J.
 1373 Loland, L. Guan, B. Byrne, P.S. Chae, A Class of Rigid Linker-bearing Glucosides for Membrane
 1374 Protein Structural Study, Chem. Sci. 7 (2016) 1933–1939. doi:10.1039/C5SC02900G.
- 1375 [28] M. Ehsan, Y. Du, N.J. Scull, E. Tikhonova, J. Tarrasch, J.S. Mortensen, C.J. Loland, G.

- 1376 Skiniotis, L. Guan, B. Byrne, B.K. Kobilka, P.S. Chae, Highly Branched Pentasaccharide-Bearing
 1377 Amphiphiles for Membrane Protein Studies, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138 (2016) 3789–3796.
 1378 doi:10.1021/jacs.5b13233.
- 1379 [29] H. Hussain, J.S. Mortensen, Y. Du, C. Santillan, O. Ribeiro, J. Go, P. Hariharan, C.J. Loland,
 1380 L. Guan, B.K. Kobilka, B. Byrne, P.S. Chae, Tandem malonate-based glucosides (TMGs) for
 1381 membrane protein structural studies, Sci. Rep. 7 (2017) 3963. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-03809-3.
- 1382 [30] K.H. Cho, O. Ribeiro, Y. Du, E. Tikhonova, J.S. Mortensen, K. Markham, P. Hariharan, C.J.
- 1383 Loland, L. Guan, B.K. Kobilka, B. Byrne, P.S. Chae, Mesitylene-Cored Glucoside Amphiphiles
- 1384 (MGAs) for Membrane Protein Studies: Importance of Alkyl Chain Density in Detergent Efficacy,
- 1385 Chem. Weinh. Bergstr. Ger. 22 (2016) 18833–18839. doi:10.1002/chem.201603338.
- [31] M. Das, Y. Du, J.S. Mortensen, O. Ribeiro, P. Hariharan, L. Guan, C.J. Loland, B.K. Kobilka,
 B. Byrne, P.S. Chae, Butane-1,2,3,4-tetraol-based amphiphilic stereoisomers for membrane protein
 study: importance of chirality in the linker region, Chem. Sci. 8 (2017) 1169–1177.
 doi:10.1039/c6sc02981g.
- 1390 [32] P.S. Chae, M.J. Wander, K.H. Cho, P.D. Laible, S.H. Gellman, Carbohydrate-containing
 1391 Triton X-100 analogues for membrane protein solubilization and stabilization, Mol. Biosyst. 9 (2013)
 1392 626–629. doi:10.1039/c3mb25584k.
- 1393 [33] P.S. Chae, H.E. Bae, M. Das, Adamantane-based amphiphiles (ADAs) for membrane protein
 1394 study: importance of a detergent hydrophobic group in membrane protein solubilisation, Chem.
 1395 Commun. Camb. Engl. 50 (2014) 12300–12303. doi:10.1039/c4cc05746e.
- 1396 [34] S.G.F. Rasmussen, H.-J. Choi, J.J. Fung, E. Pardon, P. Casarosa, P.S. Chae, B.T. Devree,
 1397 D.M. Rosenbaum, F.S. Thian, T.S. Kobilka, A. Schnapp, I. Konetzki, R.K. Sunahara, S.H. Gellman,
 1398 A. Pautsch, J. Steyaert, W.I. Weis, B.K. Kobilka, Structure of a nanobody-stabilized active state of the
 1399 β(2) adrenoceptor, Nature. 469 (2011) 175–180. doi:10.1038/nature09648.
- 1400 [35] D.M. Rosenbaum, C. Zhang, J.A. Lyons, R. Holl, D. Aragao, D.H. Arlow, S.G.F. Rasmussen,
 1401 H.-J. Choi, B.T. Devree, R.K. Sunahara, P.S. Chae, S.H. Gellman, R.O. Dror, D.E. Shaw, W.I. Weis,
 1402 M. Caffrey, P. Gmeiner, B.K. Kobilka, Structure and function of an irreversible agonist-β(2)
 1403 adrenoceptor complex, Nature. 469 (2011) 236–240. doi:10.1038/nature09665.
- 1404 [36] T.T. Selao, R. Branca, P.S. Chae, J. Lehtiö, S.H. Gellman, S.G.F. Rasmussen, S. Nordlund, A.
 1405 Norén, Identification of chromatophore membrane protein complexes formed under different nitrogen
 1406 availability conditions in Rhodospirillum rubrum, J. Proteome Res. 10 (2011) 2703–2714.
 1407 doi:10.1021/pr100838x.
- 1408 [37] X. Jiang, L. Guan, Y. Zhou, W.-X. Hong, Q. Zhang, H.R. Kaback, Evidence for an
 1409 intermediate conformational state of LacY, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109 (2012) E698-704.
 1410 doi:10.1073/pnas.1201107109.
- 1411 [38] A. Amin, P. Hariharan, P.S. Chae, L. Guan, Effect of Detergents on Galactoside Binding by
 1412 Melibiose Permeases, Biochemistry. 54 (2015) 5849–5855. doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.5b00660.
- 1413 [39] C. Nasrallah, K. Rottier, R. Marcellin, V. Compan, J. Font, A. Llebaria, J.-P. Pin, J.-L.
 1414 Banères, G. Lebon, Direct coupling of detergent purified human mGlu5 receptor to the heterotrimeric
 1415 G proteins Gq and Gs, Sci. Rep. 8 (2018) 4407. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-22729-4.
- [40] T.S. Owen, D. Salom, W. Sun, K. Palczewski, Increasing the Stability of Recombinant Human
 Green Cone Pigment, Biochemistry. 57 (2018) 1022–1030. doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.7b01118.
- [41] C. Perez, S. Gerber, J. Boilevin, M. Bucher, T. Darbre, M. Aebi, J.-L. Reymond, K.P. Locher,
 Structure and mechanism of an active lipid-linked oligosaccharide flippase, Nature. 524 (2015) 433–

1420 438. doi:10.1038/nature14953.

[42] E.M. Quistgaard, M. Martinez Molledo, C. Löw, Structure determination of a major facilitator
peptide transporter: Inward facing PepTSt from Streptococcus thermophilus crystallized in space
group P3121, PloS One. 12 (2017) e0173126. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173126.

1424 [43] Y. Gao, G. Westfield, J.W. Erickson, R.A. Cerione, G. Skiniotis, S. Ramachandran, Isolation
1425 and structure-function characterization of a signaling-active rhodopsin-G protein complex, J. Biol.
1426 Chem. 292 (2017) 14280–14289. doi:10.1074/jbc.M117.797100.

1427 [44] K.Y. Chung, T.H. Kim, A. Manglik, R. Alvares, B.K. Kobilka, R.S. Prosser, Role of
1428 detergents in conformational exchange of a G protein-coupled receptor, J. Biol. Chem. 287 (2012)
1429 36305–36311. doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.406371.

[45] K.Y. Chung, S.G.F. Rasmussen, T. Liu, S. Li, B.T. DeVree, P.S. Chae, D. Calinski, B.K.
Kobilka, V.L. Woods Jr, R.K. Sunahara, Conformational changes in the G protein Gs induced by the
β2 adrenergic receptor, Nature. 477 (2011) 611–615. doi:10.1038/nature10488.

1433 [46] G.H. Westfield, S.G.F. Rasmussen, M. Su, S. Dutta, B.T. DeVree, K.Y. Chung, D. Calinski,

1434 G. Velez-Ruiz, A.N. Oleskie, E. Pardon, P.S. Chae, T. Liu, S. Li, V.L. Woods Jr, J. Steyaert, B.K.

Kobilka, R.K. Sunahara, G. Skiniotis, Structural flexibility of the G alpha s alpha-helical domain in
the beta2-adrenoceptor Gs complex, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108 (2011) 16086–16091.

1437 doi:10.1073/pnas.1113645108.

1438 [47] F. Hauer, C. Gerle, N. Fischer, A. Oshima, K. Shinzawa-Itoh, S. Shimada, K. Yokoyama, Y.
1439 Fujiyoshi, H. Stark, GraDeR: Membrane Protein Complex Preparation for Single-Particle Cryo-EM,
1440 Struct. Lond. Engl. 1993. 23 (2015) 1769–1775. doi:10.1016/j.str.2015.06.029.

1441 [48] T.E. Kraft, R.C. Hresko, P.W. Hruz, Expression, purification, and functional characterization
1442 of the insulin-responsive facilitative glucose transporter GLUT4, Protein Sci. Publ. Protein Soc. 24
1443 (2015) 2008–2019. doi:10.1002/pro.2812.

1444 [49] K.H. Cho, M. Husri, A. Amin, K. Gotfryd, H.J. Lee, J. Go, J.W. Kim, C.J. Loland, L. Guan,
1445 B. Byrne, P.S. Chae, Maltose neopentyl glycol-3 (MNG-3) analogues for membrane protein study,
1446 The Analyst. 140 (2015) 3157–3163. doi:10.1039/c5an00240k.

S.E. Rollauer, M.J. Tarry, J.E. Graham, M. Jääskeläinen, F. Jäger, S. Johnson, M.
Krehenbrink, S.-M. Liu, M.J. Lukey, J. Marcoux, M.A. McDowell, F. Rodriguez, P. Roversi, P.J.
Stansfeld, C.V. Robinson, M.S.P. Sansom, T. Palmer, M. Högbom, B.C. Berks, S.M. Lea, Structure of
the TatC core of the twin-arginine protein transport system, Nature. 492 (2012) 210–214.
doi:10.1038/nature11683.

1452 [51] B.H. Thurtle-Schmidt, R.M. Stroud, Structure of Bor1 supports an elevator transport
1453 mechanism for SLC4 anion exchangers, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 113 (2016) 10542–10546.
1454 doi:10.1073/pnas.1612603113.

I. Guo, W. Zeng, Q. Chen, C. Lee, L. Chen, Y. Yang, C. Cang, D. Ren, Y. Jiang, Structure of
the voltage-gated two-pore channel TPC1 from Arabidopsis thaliana, Nature. 531 (2016) 196–201.
doi:10.1038/nature16446.

1458 [53] K.W. Huynh, M.R. Cohen, J. Jiang, A. Samanta, D.T. Lodowski, Z.H. Zhou, V.Y.
1459 Moiseenkova-Bell, Structure of the full-length TRPV2 channel by cryo-EM, Nat. Commun. 7 (2016)
11130. doi:10.1038/ncomms11130.

1461 [54] A. Oshima, K. Tani, Y. Fujiyoshi, Atomic structure of the innexin-6 gap junction channel
1462 determined by cryo-EM, Nat. Commun. 7 (2016) 13681. doi:10.1038/ncomms13681.

- [55] S. Dang, S. Feng, J. Tien, C.J. Peters, D. Bulkley, M. Lolicato, J. Zhao, K. Zuberbühler, W.
 Ye, L. Qi, T. Chen, C.S. Craik, Y.N. Jan, D.L. Minor, Y. Cheng, L.Y. Jan, Cryo-EM structures of the
- 1465TMEM16A calcium-activated chloride channel, Nature. 552 (2017) 426–429.
- 1466 doi:10.1038/nature25024.

1467 [56] A.D. Ferguson, J. Breed, K. Diederichs, W. Welte, J.W. Coulton, An internal affinity-tag for
purification and crystallization of the siderophore receptor FhuA, integral outer membrane protein
from Escherichia coli K-12, Protein Sci. 7 (1998) 1636–8.

1470 [57] M. Roth, P. Carpentier, O. Kaïkati, J. Joly, P. Charrault, M. Pirocchi, R. Kahn, E. Fanchon, L.
1471 Jacquamet, F. Borel, A. Bertoni, P. Israel-Gouy, J.L. Ferrer, FIP: a highly automated beamline for
1472 multiwavelength anomalous diffraction experiments, Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 58 (2002)
1473 805–814.

- 1474 [58] W. Kabsch, XDS, Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66 (2010) 125–132.
 1475 doi:10.1107/S0907444909047337.
- 1476 [59] A.J. McCoy, R.W. Grosse-Kunstleve, P.D. Adams, M.D. Winn, L.C. Storoni, R.J. Read,
 1477 Phaser crystallographic software, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 40 (2007) 658–674.
- 1478 doi:10.1107/S0021889807021206.

[60] P.V. Afonine, R.W. Grosse-Kunstleve, N. Echols, J.J. Headd, N.W. Moriarty, M.
Mustyakimov, T.C. Terwilliger, A. Urzhumtsev, P.H. Zwart, P.D. Adams, Towards automated
crystallographic structure refinement with phenix.refine, Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 68
(2012) 352–367. doi:10.1107/S0907444912001308.

- [61] J.J. Headd, N. Echols, P.V. Afonine, R.W. Grosse-Kunstleve, V.B. Chen, N.W. Moriarty,
 D.C. Richardson, J.S. Richardson, P.D. Adams, Use of knowledge-based restraints in phenix.refine to
 improve macromolecular refinement at low resolution, Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 68
 (2012) 381–390. doi:10.1107/S0907444911047834.
- 1487 [62] C. Hajjar, M.V. Cherrier, G. Dias Mirandela, I. Petit-Hartlein, M.J. Stasia, J.C. Fontecilla1488 Camps, F. Fieschi, J. Dupuy, The NOX Family of Proteins Is Also Present in Bacteria, MBio. 8
 1489 (2017). doi:10.1128/mBio.01487-17.
- 1490 [63] E. Steinfels, C. Orelle, O. Dalmas, F. Penin, B. Miroux, A. Di Pietro, J.-M. Jault, Highly
 1491 efficient over-production in E. coli of YvcC, a multidrug-like ATP-binding cassette transporter from
 1492 Bacillus subtilis, Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1565 (2002) 1–5.
- [64] C. Orelle, O. Dalmas, P. Gros, A. Di Pietro, J.-M. Jault, The conserved glutamate residue
 adjacent to the Walker-B motif is the catalytic base for ATP hydrolysis in the ATP-binding cassette
 transporter BmrA, J. Biol. Chem. 278 (2003) 47002–47008. doi:10.1074/jbc.M308268200.
- 1496 [65] A. Salvay, C. Ebel, Analytical Ultracentrifuge for the Characterization of Detergent in
 1497 Solution, in: Prog. Colloid Polym. Sci., 2006: pp. 74–82. doi:10.1007/2882_006.
- 1498 [66] P. Schuck, Size-distribution analysis of macromolecules by sedimentation velocity
 1499 ultracentrifugation and lamm equation modeling, Biophys. J. 78 (2000) 1606–1619.
 1500 doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(00)76713-0.
- 1501 [67] H. Zhao, R. Ghirlando, C. Alfonso, F. Arisaka, I. Attali, D.L. Bain, M.M. Bakhtina, D.F.
- 1502 Becker, G.J. Bedwell, A. Bekdemir, T.M.D. Besong, C. Birck, C.A. Brautigam, W. Brennerman, O.
- 1503 Byron, A. Bzowska, J.B. Chaires, C.T. Chaton, H. Cölfen, K.D. Connaghan, K.A. Crowley, U. Curth,
- 1504 T. Daviter, W.L. Dean, A.I. Díez, C. Ebel, D.M. Eckert, L.E. Eisele, E. Eisenstein, P. England, C.
- 1505 Escalante, J.A. Fagan, R. Fairman, R.M. Finn, W. Fischle, J.G. de la Torre, J. Gor, H. Gustafsson, D.
- 1506 Hall, S.E. Harding, J.G.H. Cifre, A.B. Herr, E.E. Howell, R.S. Isaac, S.-C. Jao, D. Jose, S.-J. Kim, B.

- 1507 Kokona, J.A. Kornblatt, D. Kosek, E. Krayukhina, D. Krzizike, E.A. Kusznir, H. Kwon, A. Larson,
- 1508 T.M. Laue, A. Le Roy, A.P. Leech, H. Lilie, K. Luger, J.R. Luque-Ortega, J. Ma, C.A. May, E.L.
- 1509 Maynard, A. Modrak-Wojcik, Y.-F. Mok, N. Mücke, L. Nagel-Steger, G.J. Narlikar, M. Noda, A.
- 1510 Nourse, T. Obsil, C.K. Park, J.-K. Park, P.D. Pawelek, E.E. Perdue, S.J. Perkins, M.A. Perugini, C.L.
- 1511 Peterson, M.G. Peverelli, G. Piszczek, G. Prag, P.E. Prevelige, B.D.E. Raynal, L. Rezabkova, K.
- 1512 Richter, A.E. Ringel, R. Rosenberg, A.J. Rowe, A.C. Rufer, D.J. Scott, J.G. Seravalli, A.S. Solovyova,
- 1513 R. Song, D. Staunton, C. Stoddard, K. Stott, H.M. Strauss, W.W. Streicher, J.P. Sumida, S.G.
- 1514 Swygert, R.H. Szczepanowski, I. Tessmer, R.T. Toth, A. Tripathy, S. Uchiyama, S.F.W. Uebel, S.
- 1515 Unzai, A.V. Gruber, P.H. von Hippel, C. Wandrey, S.-H. Wang, S.E. Weitzel, B. Wielgus-Kutrowska,
- 1516 C. Wolberger, M. Wolff, E. Wright, Y.-S. Wu, J.M. Wubben, P. Schuck, A multilaboratory
- 1517 comparison of calibration accuracy and the performance of external references in analytical
- ultracentrifugation, PloS One. 10 (2015) e0126420. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126420.
- 1519 [68] C.A. Brautigam, Calculations and Publication-Quality Illustrations for Analytical
 1520 Ultracentrifugation Data, Methods Enzymol. 562 (2015) 109–133. doi:10.1016/bs.mie.2015.05.001.
- 1521 [69] A. Le Roy, H. Nury, B. Wiseman, J. Sarwan, J.-M. Jault, C. Ebel, Sedimentation velocity
- analytical ultracentrifugation in hydrogenated and deuterated solvents for the characterization of
- membrane proteins, Methods Mol. Biol. Clifton NJ. 1033 (2013) 219–251. doi:10.1007/978-1-62703487-6_15.
- 1525 [70] A. Le Roy, K. Wang, B. Schaack, P. Schuck, C. Breyton, C. Ebel, AUC and Small-Angle
 1526 Scattering for Membrane Proteins, Methods Enzymol. 562 (2015) 257–286.
- 1527 doi:10.1016/bs.mie.2015.06.010.
- 1528 [71] A.G. Salvay, M. Santamaria, M. le Maire, C. Ebel, Analytical ultracentrifugation
 1529 sedimentation velocity for the characterization of detergent-solubilized membrane proteins Ca++1530 ATPase and ExbB, J. Biol. Phys. 33 (2007) 399–419. doi:10.1007/s10867-008-9058-3.
- [72] P. Pernot, A. Round, R. Barrett, A. De Maria Antolinos, A. Gobbo, E. Gordon, J. Huet, J.
 Kieffer, M. Lentini, M. Mattenet, C. Morawe, C. Mueller-Dieckmann, S. Ohlsson, W. Schmid, J. Surr,
 P. Theveneau, L. Zerrad, S. McSweeney, Upgraded ESRF BM29 beamline for SAXS on
- 1534 macromolecules in solution, J. Synchrotron Radiat. 20 (2013) 660–664.
- 1535 doi:10.1107/S0909049513010431.
- [73] M.V. Petoukhov, D. Franke, A.V. Shkumatov, G. Tria, A.G. Kikhney, M. Gajda, C. Gorba,
 H.D.T. Mertens, P.V. Konarev, D.I. Svergun, New developments in the ATSAS program package for
 small-angle scattering data analysis, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 45 (2012) 342–350.
- 1539 doi:10.1107/S0021889812007662.
- [74] C. Ebel, C. Breyton, A. Martel, Examining membrane proteins by neutron scattering, in:
 Biophys. Membr. Proteins Methods Protoc., Springer, Vincent Postis and Adrian Goldmann, 2018.
- 1542 [75] S.R. Kline, Reduction and analysis of SANS and USANS data using IGOR Pro, J. Appl.
 1543 Crystallogr. 39 (2006) 895–900. doi:10.1107/S0021889806035059.
- 1544 [76] M. Doucet, J.H. Cho, G. Alina, J. Bakker, W. Bouwman, P. Butler, K. Campbell, M.
- 1545 Gonzales, R. Heenan, A. Jackson, P. Juhas, S. King, P. Kienzle, J. Krzywon, A. Markvardsen, T.
- 1546 Nielsen, L. O'Driscoll, W. Potrzebowski, R. Ferraz Leal, T. Richter, P. Rozycko, T. Snow, A.
- 1547 Washington, SasView version 4.2, Zenodo, 2018. doi:10.5281/zenodo.1412041.
- [77] C. Breyton, F. Gabel, M. Lethier, A. Flayhan, G. Durand, J.-M. Jault, C. Juillan-Binard, L.
 Imbert, M. Moulin, S. Ravaud, M. Härtlein, C. Ebel, Small angle neutron scattering for the study of
 solubilised membrane proteins, Eur. Phys. J. E Soft Matter. 36 (2013) 9889. doi:10.1140/epje/i201313071-6.

- A. Flayhan, F. Wien, M. Paternostre, P. Boulanger, C. Breyton, New insights into pb5, the
 receptor binding protein of bacteriophage T5, and its interaction with its Escherichia coli receptor
 FhuA, Biochimie. 94 (2012) 1982–1989. doi:10.1016/j.biochi.2012.05.021.
- [79] C. Breyton, A. Flayhan, F. Gabel, M. Lethier, G. Durand, P. Boulanger, M. Chami, C. Ebel,
 Assessing the Conformational Changes of pb5, the Receptor-binding Protein of Phage T5, upon
 Binding to Its Escherichia coli Receptor FhuA, J. Biol. Chem. 288 (2013) 30763–30772.
 doi:10.1074/jbc.M113.501536.
- 1559 [80] A.D. Ferguson, E. Hofmann, J.W. Coulton, K. Diederichs, W. Welte, Siderophore-mediated
 1560 iron transport: crystal structure of FhuA with bound lipopolysaccharide, Science. 282 (1998) 2215–20.
- 1561 [81] M. Bonhivers, M. Desmadril, G.S. Moeck, P. Boulanger, A. Colomer-Pallas, L. Letellier,
 1562 Stability studies of FhuA, a two-domain outer membrane protein from Escherichia coli, Biochemistry.
 1563 40 (2001) 2606–13.
- P. Boulanger, M. le Maire, M. Bonhivers, S. Dubois, M. Desmadril, L. Letellier, Purification
 and structural and functional characterization of FhuA, a transporter of the Escherichia coli outer
 membrane, Biochemistry. 35 (1996) 14216–14224. doi:10.1021/bi9608673.
- 1567 [83] D. Durand, C. Vivès, D. Cannella, J. Pérez, E. Pebay-Peyroula, P. Vachette, F. Fieschi,
 1568 NADPH oxidase activator p67(phox) behaves in solution as a multidomain protein with semi-flexible
 1569 linkers, J. Struct. Biol. 169 (2010) 45–53. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2009.08.009.
- 1570 [84] J. Marcoux, P. Man, I. Petit-Haertlein, C. Vivès, E. Forest, F. Fieschi, p47phox molecular
 1571 activation for assembly of the neutrophil NADPH oxidase complex, J. Biol. Chem. 285 (2010) 28980–
 1572 28990. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.139824.
- 1573 [85] F. Magnani, S. Nenci, E. Millana Fananas, M. Ceccon, E. Romero, M.W. Fraaije, A. Mattevi,
 1574 Crystal structures and atomic model of NADPH oxidase, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 114 (2017)
 1575 6764–6769. doi:10.1073/pnas.1702293114.
- 1576 [86] E. Steinfels, C. Orelle, J.-R. Fantino, O. Dalmas, J.-L. Rigaud, F. Denizot, A. Di Pietro, J.-M.
 1577 Jault, Characterization of YvcC (BmrA), a multidrug ABC transporter constitutively expressed in
 1578 Bacillus subtilis, Biochemistry. 43 (2004) 7491–7502. doi:10.1021/bi0362018.
- 1579 [87] A. Ward, C.L. Reyes, J. Yu, C.B. Roth, G. Chang, Flexibility in the ABC transporter MsbA:
 1580 Alternating access with a twist, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 104 (2007) 19005–19010.
 1581 doi:10.1073/pnas.0709388104.
- [88] M.S. Jin, M.L. Oldham, Q. Zhang, J. Chen, Crystal structure of the multidrug transporter Pglycoprotein from Caenorhabditis elegans, Nature. 490 (2012) 566–569. doi:10.1038/nature11448.
- 1584 [89] S. Ravaud, M.-A. Do Cao, M. Jidenko, C. Ebel, M. Le Maire, J.-M. Jault, A. Di Pietro, R.
 1585 Haser, N. Aghajari, The ABC transporter BmrA from Bacillus subtilis is a functional dimer when in a
 1586 detergent-solubilized state, Biochem. J. 395 (2006) 345–53.
- 1587 [90] O. Dalmas, M.-A. Do Cao, M.R. Lugo, F.J. Sharom, A. Di Pietro, J.-M. Jault, Time-resolved
 1588 fluorescence resonance energy transfer shows that the bacterial multidrug ABC half-transporter BmrA
 1589 functions as a homodimer, Biochemistry. 44 (2005) 4312–4321. doi:10.1021/bi0482809.
- [91] C. Orelle, F. Gubellini, A. Durand, S. Marco, D. Lévy, P. Gros, A. Di Pietro, J.-M. Jault,
 Conformational change induced by ATP binding in the multidrug ATP-binding cassette transporter
 BmrA, Biochemistry. 47 (2008) 2404–2412. doi:10.1021/bi702303s.
- 1593 [92] M.-A. Do Cao, S. Crouzy, M. Kim, M. Becchi, D.S. Cafiso, A. Di Pietro, J.-M. Jault, Probing
 1594 the conformation of the resting state of a bacterial multidrug ABC transporter, BmrA, by a site-

- directed spin labeling approach, Protein Sci. Publ. Protein Soc. 18 (2009) 1507–1520.
 doi:10.1002/pro.141.
- 1597 [93] S. Mehmood, C. Domene, E. Forest, J.-M. Jault, Dynamics of a bacterial multidrug ABC
 1598 transporter in the inward- and outward-facing conformations, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109
 1599 (2012) 10832–10836. doi:10.1073/pnas.1204067109.
- 1600 [94] P.F. Fribourg, M. Chami, C.O.S. Sorzano, F. Gubellini, R. Marabini, S. Marco, J.-M. Jault, D.
 1601 Lévy, 3D cryo-electron reconstruction of BmrA, a bacterial multidrug ABC transporter in an inward1602 facing conformation and in a lipidic environment, J. Mol. Biol. 426 (2014) 2059–2069.
 1603 doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2014.03.002.
- 1604 [95] B. Wiseman, A. Kilburg, V. Chaptal, G.C. Reyes-Mejia, J. Sarwan, P. Falson, J.-M. Jault,
 1605 Stubborn contaminants: influence of detergents on the purity of the multidrug ABC transporter BmrA,
 1606 PloS One. 9 (2014) e114864. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114864.
- 1607 [96] J. Lipfert, L. Columbus, V.B. Chu, S.A. Lesley, S. Doniach, Size and shape of detergent
 1608 micelles determined by small-angle X-ray scattering, J. Phys. Chem. B. 111 (2007) 12427–12438.
 1609 doi:10.1021/jp0730161.
- 1610 [97] R.C. Oliver, S.V. Pingali, V.S. Urban, Designing Mixed Detergent Micelles for Uniform
 1611 Neutron Contrast, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 8 (2017) 5041–5046. doi:10.1021/acs.jpclett.7b02149.
- 1612 [98] J.N. Israelachvili, D.J. Mitchell, B.W. Barry W. Ninham, Theory of self-assembly of lipid
 1613 bilayers and vesicles, Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr. 470 (1977) 185–201.
- 1614 [99] J.N. Israelachvili, Intermolecular and Surface Forces 3rd Edition, London: Academic Press,
 1615 1992. https://www.elsevier.com/books/intermolecular-and-surface-forces/israelachvili/978-0-121616 391927-4 (accessed July 24, 2018).
- 1617 [100] C. Breyton, F. Gabel, M. Abla, Y. Pierre, F. Lebaupain, G. Durand, J.-L. Popot, C. Ebel, B.
 1618 Pucci, Micellar and biochemical properties of (hemi)fluorinated surfactants are controlled by the size
 1619 of the polar head, Biophys. J. 97 (2009) 1077–1086. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2009.05.053.
- [101] E. Boncoeur, C. Durmort, B. Bernay, C. Ebel, A.M. Di Guilmi, J. Croizé, T. Vernet, J.-M.
 Jault, PatA and PatB form a functional heterodimeric ABC multidrug efflux transporter responsible for
 the resistance of Streptococcus pneumoniae to fluoroquinolones, Biochemistry. 51 (2012) 7755–7765.
 doi:10.1021/bi300762p.
- 1624 [102] E. Pebay-Peyroula, G. Rummel, J.P. Rosenbusch, E. Landau, X-ray structure of
- 1625 bacteriorhodopsin at 2.5 Å from microcrystals grown in lipidic cubic phases, Science. 277 (1997)
- **1626** 1676–1881.