



HAL
open science

Treatment of organic pollutants by homogeneous and heterogeneous Fenton reaction processes

Bhawana Jain, Ajaya Kumar Singh, Hyunook Kim, Eric Lichtfouse, Virender Sharma

► **To cite this version:**

Bhawana Jain, Ajaya Kumar Singh, Hyunook Kim, Eric Lichtfouse, Virender Sharma. Treatment of organic pollutants by homogeneous and heterogeneous Fenton reaction processes. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 2018, 16 (3), pp.947-967. 10.1007/s10311-018-0738-3 . hal-02055369

HAL Id: hal-02055369

<https://hal.science/hal-02055369>

Submitted on 12 Mar 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Treatment of organic pollutants by homogeneous and heterogeneous Fenton reaction processes

Bhawana Jain¹ · Ajaya Kumar Singh¹ · Hyunook Kim² · Eric Lichtfouse³ · Virender K. Sharma⁴

Abstract

Nowadays, the water ecosystem is being polluted due to the rapid industrialization and massive use of antibiotics, fertilizers, cosmetics, paints, and other chemicals. Chemical oxidation is one of the most applied processes to degrade contaminants in water. However, chemicals are often unable to completely mineralize the pollutants. Enhanced pollutant degradation can be achieved by Fenton reaction and related processes. As a consequence, Fenton reactions have received great attention in the treatment of domestic and industrial wastewater effluents. Currently, homogeneous and heterogeneous Fenton processes are being investigated intensively and optimized for applications, either alone or in a combination of other processes. This review presents fundamental chemistry involved in various kinds of homogeneous Fenton reactions, which include classical Fenton, electro-Fenton, photo-Fenton, electro-Fenton, sono-electro-Fenton, and solar photoelectron-Fenton. In the homogeneous Fenton reaction process, the molar ratio of iron(II) and hydrogen peroxide, and the pH usually determine the effectiveness of removing target pollutants and subsequently their mineralization, monitored by a decrease in levels of total organic carbon or chemical oxygen demand. We present catalysts used in heterogeneous Fenton or Fenton-like reactions, such as $H_2O_2-Fe^{3+}$ (solid)/nano-zero-valent iron/immobilized iron and electro-Fenton-pyrite. Surface properties of heterogeneous catalysts generally control the efficiency to degrade pollutants. Examples of Fenton reactions are demonstrated to degrade and mineralize a wide range of water pollutants in real industrial wastewaters, such as dyes and phenols. Removal of various antibiotics by homogeneous and heterogeneous Fenton reactions is exemplified.

Keywords Homogeneous Fenton reaction · Heterogeneous · Advanced oxidation processes · Mechanism · Dyes · Antibiotics

Introduction

Water is abundant on earth and is critical to life. Of the total water mass, 97.2% is present in oceans and seas and 2.1% exists in glacier. 0.65% is the fraction of total water mass being utilizable for production of drinking water. This amount contains several lakes' waters which are highly polluted (Bakker 2012; Vörösmarty et al. 2010). Therefore, one of the important issues of this century is to provide clean water to humans (Liu and Yang 2012a, b; Shannon et al. 2008). Based on a recent report of United Nations and World Health Organization (WHO), more than 2 billion humans face some kind of risk to have safe drinking water at home (World Health Organization 2017). Water-related diseases have caused more than 360,000 children die every year under 5 years of age. Ecosystems are also subjected to polluted water-related risks (Schwarzenbach et al. 2006, 2010).

[†] Ajaya Kumar Singh
ajayaksingh_au@yahoo.co.in

[†] Virender K. Sharma
vsharma@sph.tamhsc.edu

¹ Department of Chemistry, Govt. V. Y. T. PG. Autonomous College, Durg, Chhattisgarh 491001, India

² Department of Energy and Environmental System Engineering, The University of Seoul, 90 Jeonnong-dong, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 130-743, Republic of Korea

³ Coll de France, CNRS, INRA, IRD, Européole Méditerranéen de l'Arbois, CEREGE, Aix-Marseille Univ, 13545 Aix en Provence, France

⁴ Program for the Environment and Sustainability, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, School of Public Health, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 78843, USA

Water pollutants usually contain diseases-containing agents, e.g., parasitic worms, bacteria, viruses, and protozoa, oxygen-consuming wastes, water soluble inorganics, e.g., toxic metals, nutrients, e.g., phosphates and nitrate, water soluble radioactive compounds and organic pollutants, e.g., plastics, oil, detergents, dyes, aryl chlorides, and pesticides (Kralchevska et al. 2016; Schmeller et al. 2018; Sharma and Sohn 2009; Sousa et al. 2018). In recent years, emerging organic contaminants in water have become major concerns. These include endocrine disruptor chemicals (EDCs) and pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) (Cizmas et al. 2015; Hajj-Mohamad et al. 2017; Klatte et al. 2017; Sharma et al. 2016). Pollutants come from industrial, agricultural, and consumer products, which contaminate groundwater and surface water, commonly used as drinking water resources (Blum et al. 2018; Sousa et al. 2018). Water treatments have been greatly investigated for depollution of water for freshwater usage and drinking (Kim et al. 2014; Sharma et al. 2015; Werber et al. 2016).

Various treatment approaches have been applied which include adsorption, biodegradation, coagulation, ion-exchange, and oxidation processes (Brillas and Martínez-Huitle 2015; Feng et al. 2018; Ghattas et al. 2017; Sharma and Feng 2017). Among these methods, advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) have been researched tremendously for the last two decades (Anumol et al. 2016; Boczkaj and Fernandes 2017; Gassie and Englehardt 2017; Oturan and Aaron 2014). AOPs is based on generation of a powerful oxidizing agent such as hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot\text{OH}$) at a significant amount to effectively decontaminate water. Many different kinds of AOPs have been developed to produce in situ

$\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals (Duan et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2018; Sillanpää et al. 2018). Chemical, sonochemical, photochemical, electrochemical processes have been utilized to form $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals (Brillas and Martínez-Huitle 2015; Cheng et al. 2016; Ganzenko et al. 2017; Garcia-Segura and Brillas 2017; Gligorovski et al. 2015; Sharma 2013; Sirés et al. 2014; Steter et al. 2018; Trellu et al. 2016). The present review pertains to Fenton's reagent, a chemical strategy to efficiently generate in situ $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals. In the literature, many approaches of Fenton reaction have been performed, which include homogeneous and heterogeneous Fenton reactions (Fig. 1). Examples are classical, modified Fenton reactions (e.g., sono-Fenton, photo-Fenton, electro-Fenton, photo-electro-Fenton, and ligand assisted Fenton) and solid-solution-based Fenton (H_2O_2 -solid Fe^0 , H_2O_2 -solid Fe^{III}) (Barbosa et al. 2016; Clarizia et al. 2017; Gligorovski et al. 2015; Mirzaei et al. 2017; Moreira et al. 2016). In the next sections, fundamental chemistry of the Fenton reaction occurring in different systems to generate $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals is presented.

Fenton's reagent

A mixture of ferrous ion (Fe^{2+}) and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) is called Fenton's reagent. The chemistry of this reagent started in 1894 when Fenton applied it to degrade tartaric acid (Fenton 1894, 1896). Fenton's reagent involved complex mechanism of reactions, presented in Table 1. Basically, a Fenton process is initiated by the formation of hydroxyl radical ($\cdot\text{OH}$) (reaction F1) (Oturan and Aaron 2014). The reaction F1 takes place in acidic

Fig. 1 Types of Fenton reaction processes used in treating organic pollutants in water




Table 1 Reactions involved in Fenton reaction processes

Fenton's reagent	
$\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \cdot\text{OH} + \text{OH}^-$	
(F1)	
$\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \cdot\text{OH}$	(F2)
$\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{HO}_2 + \text{H}^+$	(F3)
$\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HO}_2^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{O}_2 + \text{H}^+$	(F4)
$\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{O}_2^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{O}_2$	(F5)
$\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{FeOOH}^+ + \text{H}^+$	(F6)
$\text{FeOOH}^+ + \text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2)^{2+}$	(F7)
$\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2)^{2+}$	(F8)
$\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2)^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \cdot\text{OH} + \text{OH}^-$	(F9)
$\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2)^{2+} \rightarrow \text{FeO}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	(F10)
Electro-Fenton processes	
$\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+}$	(EF1)
$\text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2$	(EF2)
$2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4\text{e}^-$	(EF3)
$\text{Fe} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{e}^-$	(EF4)
Photo-Fenton processes	
$\text{Fe}^{3+} + h\nu + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \cdot\text{OH} + \text{H}^+$	(PF1)
$\text{FeOH}^{2+} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \cdot\text{OH}$	(PF2)
$\text{Fe}^{3+} \cdot \text{L} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{L}^+$	(PF3)
Ultrasound Fenton processes	
$\text{H}^+ + \text{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}^+$	(UF1)
$\text{H}^+ + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2$	(UF2)
$\text{HO}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{O}_2 + \text{H}^+$	(UF3)
$\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{O}_2^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{O}_2$	(UF4)
$\text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_2 + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2$	(UF5)
Zero-valent-induced Fenton processes	
$2\text{Fe}^0 + \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	(ZF1)
$\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{O}_2^-$	(ZF2)
$2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2^-$	(ZF3)

medium, therefore presented by reaction F2. The optimum pH range for the Fenton process is approximately 2.8–3.0. The catalytic behavior of the redox couple $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{2+}$ propagates the Fenton reaction process. For example, only a small amount of Fe^{2+} is needed because it can be regenerated by the reaction F3, called as Fenton-like reaction. The reaction F3 produces superoxide radical (HO_2^-), which has lower oxidation power ($E^0 = 1.65$ V vs. NHE) than hydroxyl radical ($E^0 = 2.80$ V vs. NHE). The generation of Fe^{2+} from the reaction of Fe^{3+} with superoxide radical (reaction F4) is slower than the reaction F3. With increase in pH, the protonated superoxide species (HO_2^-) converts to the deprotonated species (O_2^-) ($\text{HO}_2^- \rightleftharpoons \text{H}^+ + \text{O}_2^-$; $\text{p}K_a = 4.8$) (Czapski and Bielski 1993; Von Sonntag and Schuchmann 1997). The reaction between Fe^{3+} with O_2^- (reaction F5) is much faster than reaction F4 (see Table 1) (Bielski and Richter 1977; Gallard and De Laat 2000; Rush and Bielski 1985).

The mechanism of Fenton reaction is still not fully understood. The reaction F1 occurs through inner sphere electron

transfer step (Fischbacher et al. 2017; Goldstein and Meyerstein 1999). In the first step, the formation of complex, FeOOH^{2+} , is formed (Gallard et al. 1999) (reaction F6). This complex goes through equilibrium (reaction F7) (Rachmi-

lovich-Calis et al. 2009). Overall reaction of the complex formation is written as reaction F8. A general assumption is that the complex $\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2)^{2+}$ could react through either one-electron or two-electron transfer forming $\cdot\text{OH}$ or Fe^{IV} , respectively (reactions F9 and F10) (Bataineh et al. 2012; Hug and Leupin 2003; Katsoyiannis et al. 2008; Von Sonntag 2008).

Advantages of Fenton's reagent are that it is simple and easy to apply without any requirement of energy input (Bautista et al. 2008). However, Fenton's reagent has some drawbacks which include risk of storage of hydrogen peroxide, adjustment of pH to acidic range, and buildup of iron sludge (Oturán and Aaron 2014). Optimizing the dosages of reactants may minimize disadvantages of Fenton's reagent. Use of iron oxides, iron-modified clays, ion-exchange resins, iron-exchange Nafion membranes, and zeolites, and alumina may reduce the generation of sludge (Bautista et al. 2008; Lucas et al. 2007; Pignatello et al. 2006; Zhang et al. 2011a, b). Fenton's reagent has been applied to degrade and subsequent destruction and mineralization of numerous organic pollutants (Aljuboury et al. 2017; Annabi et al. 2016; Des-corme 2017; Ganzenko et al. 2017; Li et al. 2016; Tayo et al. 2018; Usman et al. 2016). Examples include treatment of dyes, phenols, chlorophenols, chlorobenzenes, and antibiotics. Details are described in later sections of the review.

Electro-Fenton process

In the electro-Fenton process, Fe^{2+} and H_2O_2 are produced simultaneously by cathodic reduction of Fe^{3+} and O_2 , respectively (reactions EF1 and EF2, Table 1) (Barhoumi et al. 2015, 2016; He and Zhou 2017; Lin et al. 2017a; Mousset et al. 2018; Mousset et al. 2016, 2018; Nidheesh et al. 2018; Sirés et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2016). A small amount of salt of Fe^{2+} (e.g., ferrous sulfate) is initially added, which can react with electrochemically produced H_2O_2 to produce Fe^{3+} . Reaction EF1 is critical to carry out recycling of Fe^{3+} to Fe^{2+} . Glassy carbon and graphite are rarely used as cathodes in electro-Fenton process. The most often used electro-Fenton's cathodes are carbon felt (Oturán et al. 2008) and GDE (gas diffusion electrode) cathodes (Brillas et al. 2009; Oturán and Aaron 2014). These are 3D cathodes. A platinum electrode is applied to perform the anodic reaction in the laboratory setup (reaction EF3, Table 1). In the peroxycoagulation, ferred Fenton, anodic Fenton treatment or electrochemical peroxidation, Fe^{2+} can also be produced from the oxidation of sacrificial anode of iron (reaction EF4, Table 1) (Brillas et al. 2009). A few reviews on the

elimination of pollutants including micro-pollutants in water using electro-Fenton process have been published (Annabi et al. 2016; Brillas et al. 2009; Isarain-Chávez et al. 2011; Lin et al. 2017b; Steter et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2015; Özcan et al. 2016). A progress is being made to enhance the efficiency of electro-Fenton process. A research on sono-electro-Fenton and photo-Fenton processes has also been performed in order to improve efficiency and practicality of electro-Fenton methods (Bocos et al. 2016; Espinoza et al. 2016; Gozzi et al. 2017; Kalishwaralal et al. 2016; Oturan et al. 2008; Pliego et al. 2015; Uribe et al. 2015; Vidal et al. 2018). Electro-Fenton process is also being combined with biological methods to mineralize organic pollutants in water (Annabi et al. 2016; Ganzenko et al. 2017). The coupling of electro-Fenton with biological degradation is a new and interesting tool. The coupled process is called bioelectro-Fenton process (Olvera-Vargas et al. 2016a, b).

Photo-Fenton process

In the Photo-Fenton process, the ultraviolet (UV) light can assist the reduction of Fe^{3+} to Fe^{2+} (reaction PF1, Table 1) to react with H_2O_2 to generate $\cdot\text{OH}$ through the reaction F1 (Table 1). Efficiency of reaction is greatest at pH 3.0 because Fe^{3+} ions generally exist as $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})^{2+}$ under this condition. The photo-Fenton process has possibility of using many several UV regions as light energy source, namely UVA ($\lambda = 315\text{--}400$ nm), UVB ($\lambda = 285\text{--}315$ nm), and UVC ($\lambda < 285$ nm); therefore, the yield of $\cdot\text{OH}$ varies with intensity of light. The $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})^{2+}$ has absorbance maximum only in the UVB region. Production of $\cdot\text{OH}$ through the UV photolysis of $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})^{2+}$ is low (quantum yield of reaction PF2 is 0.2) (Pignatello et al. 2006; Zepp et al. 1992). Furthermore, solar light has fraction of light in the UVB region; only limited solar light irradiation can be absorbed. At the neutral pH, Fe^{3+} precipitated out and efficiency of photo-Fenton process is quite low. This drawback of the photo-Fenton process can be minimized by adding ligands (L) such as polycarboxylates and polyaminocarboxylates (e.g., oxalate, citrate, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, and ethylenediamine *N,N'*-disuccinic acid) (Faust and Hoigné 1990; Faust and Zepp 1993; Li et al. 2012; Weller et al. 2013a, b). These ligands form stable complexes with Fe^{3+} , which upon UV and visible light irradiation reduce Fe^{3+} to Fe^{2+} via ligand-to-metal-charge transfer (LMCT) step (reaction PF3, Table 1). Quantum yields of these $\text{Fe}^{3+}\text{-L}$ complexes are higher than quantum yield of $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})^{2+}$. The use of organic ligand complexes of Fe^{3+} is advantageous. However, the ligands are attacked by $\cdot\text{OH}$ produced in the process reducing the efficiency. Photo-Fenton process has shown their effectiveness in removing a wide range of contaminants, which include polychlorinated biphenyls, pesticides, and pharmaceuticals

(Clarizia et al. 2017; Gligorovski et al. 2015; Matafonova and Batoev 2018; Serpone et al. 2017).

Ultrasound Fenton process

In the ultrasound Fenton process, a high-frequency is applied to split water into $\cdot\text{OH}$ and $\cdot\text{H}$ radicals (Eren 2012; Ma 2012; Salimi et al. 2017; Özdemir et al. 2011). Sonolysis of solution containing Fe^{3+} ions results in a series of reactions (reactions UF1–UF5, Table 1) to generate both Fe^{2+} and H_2O_2 for Fenton reaction (Gligorovski et al. 2015). Basically, sonochemistry and Fenton reaction generate $\cdot\text{OH}$ to carry out transformation of organic pollutants in water (Chakma and Moholkar 2014, 2015). Several investigations have been explored applications of ultrasound Fenton processes to degrade a number of contaminants in water (Durán et al. 2013; Feng et al. 2013).

Heterogeneous Fenton reaction

A heterogeneous Fenton-like process has been investigated by many researchers because of advantages over homogeneous Fenton reactions (Cai et al. 2016; Diao et al. 2017; García-Rodríguez et al. 2017; Li et al. 2018; Lyu and Hu 2017; Velichkova et al. 2017). One of the main advantages of heterogeneous Fenton reactions is its feasibility over a wide pH range to degrade pollutants in water. If the source of iron is magnetic like magnetite (Fe_3O_4), a magnetic separation can be applied (Morales-Pérez et al. 2016b). A focus of the research in the heterogeneous Fenton process is to increase the catalytic activity of solid iron sources without leaching of iron to aqueous environment. Efforts have been made to characterize catalysts for their pore size, density, and porosity in order to achieve better catalytic efficiency of heterogeneous Fenton-like reactions. A number of catalysts have been applied to carry out heterogeneous Fenton reactions (Costa et al. 2008; Diao et al. 2017, 2018; García-Rodríguez et al. 2017; Mirzaei et al. 2017; Morales-Pérez et al. 2016a; Nidheesh et al. 2017; Oturan et al. 2018; Ouiriemmi et al. 2017; Pi et al. 2018).

The $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals may also be produced in a reductive environment (Cao et al. 2013; Le et al. 2011; Vilardi et al. 2018). It has been shown that an addition of zero-valent iron (ZVI, Fe^0) to an aerated water solution yields the precursors reactants (Fe^{2+} and H_2O_2) through a sequence of reactions (ZF1–ZF3, Table 1) (Kang et al. 2017). In the presence of organic compounds and ZVI, many additional reactions also occur. This may be the reason of limited use of ZVI in Fenton reaction processes (Shimizu et al. 2012).

Iron oxides minerals like pyrite (FeS_2), hematite (Fe_2O_3), goethite ($\alpha\text{-FeOOH}$), and lepidocrocite ($\gamma\text{-FeOOH}$) have

been studied in the heterogeneous Fenton-like reactions. Numerous support materials in heterogeneous Fenton processes have also been used. These include activated carbon, zeolites, clays, silicas, layered materials, and graphene oxide (Espinosa et al. 2016). More recently, a focus is on metal nanoparticles (e.g., Ag, Cu, and Au) to modify surfaces to obtain more efficiency of the heterogeneous Fenton reaction processes (Dhakshinamoorthy et al. 2012; Espinosa et al. 2018). Details of a range of catalysts in Fenton reactions are given in reviews on the subject of degradation of several kinds of pollutants in water.

In following section of the review, examples are given to demonstrate applications of homogeneous and heterogeneous Fenton reaction processes.

Treatment of industrial wastewater: homogeneous Fenton reaction process

Effluent released from various industries contain pollutants at high levels, which could be a threat to human life. Removal of these pollutants by biological and eco-friendly methods is not successful as industrial waste contains mainly organic loads comprising of high COD and BOD. In developing countries, 85–90% of the wastewater is discharged directly into surface water bodies without proper treatment, and thus, the pollutants present in the discharged directly to the environment (Shannon et al. 2008). In India, nearly 6.2 million m³ of industrial wastewater is generated every day, and only 60% of it is being treated (Kaur et al. 2012).

Chemical method is considered as a convenient strategy for removing these pollutants. Among various chemical processes, advanced oxidation process has found to be appropriate approach to minimize contamination from industrial effluent. Table 2 presents examples of Fenton treatment of industrial waste generated by various industries of the world. A wide range of chemicals have been found in industrial wastewater, which include pesticides and pharmaceuticals. Generally, industrial wastewater contains high values of chemical oxygen demand (COD), biological demand (BOD), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), and total organic carbon. Fenton's reagent was applied at various molar ratios of Fe(II) and H₂O₂ (Table 2). Pollutants of industrial wastewater could be degraded almost completely. Moreover, results showed a significant decrease in values of COD, BOD, and DOC after the treatment with Fenton's reagent. For example, at a molar ratio 10 for 0.2 mM Fe³⁺, TOC removal was 90% in real industrial water (Bouafiqui et al. 2010). This suggests that mineralization of organic pollutants (e.g., maleic acid anhydride, pesticides, 2-ethylhexyl alcohol, urea formaldehyde resin adhesive, α,β,γ -HCH, DDT, DMDT, fenitrothion, chlorfenvinphos) could be achieved using the

Fenton's reagent. Values of DOC decreased significantly by the treatment with the Fenton's reagent. Components of petroleum waste like ethylene glycol, 1,4-dioxane, lower [Fe(II)]/[H₂O₂] value could also be degraded at molar ratio of Fe(II) to H₂O₂ as 0.02.

Degradation of pollutants: homogeneous Fenton reaction processes

Degradation of representative phenols and pesticides by homogeneous Fenton reaction is given in Table 3. Phenol and dichlorvos were found to be successfully removed by homogeneous Fenton reaction in the acidic pH range (Table 3). Only 80% removal of 2,4-dichlorophenol was seen. Transformation of bisphenol A formed various intermediates, suggested not significant mineralization of parent molecule under studied conditions. Homogeneous Fenton reaction in combination with ultrasound was highly efficient in degrading different kind of pesticides. Removal of the pesticides was almost complete (Table 3).

Degradation of dyes: heterogeneous Fenton reaction processes

Nowadays, dyes are frequently used for several purposes such as dyeing clothes, leather, furniture, even in our regular life in food, cosmetics, and medicine, etc. Dyes are not easily degraded because some of them are non-biodegradable and have long-term adverse effect. Dyes have shown hazard effect on environment after entering into the ecosystem (Huang et al. 2009). Dyes can be degraded by various methods, including biomass degradation (Hsu et al. 2012; Prachi and Anushree 2009), photocatalytic degradation (Gu et al. 2014), combined treatment (Jafari et al. 2012). Among various advanced oxidation methods, Fenton reaction systems are reliable methods to transform dyes into many smaller fragments, i.e., water, carbon dioxide. Examples of degrading dyes by Heterogeneous Fenton reactions systems are summarized in Table 4. Catalysts used in the systems were iron- and carbon-based materials. Combinations of iron and carbon materials were also utilized to degrade dyes. Significantly, most of the studies shown in Table 4 under different conditions and catalysts could achieve the complete degradation of dyes. However, time of the complete degradation varied with experimental conditions. Nanocomposite materials in heterogeneous Fenton reaction systems seem to take less time to obtain the complete degradation compared to other catalysts.

Table 2 Treatment of real industrial wastewater by homogeneous Fenton reaction process. COD—chemical oxygen demand, BOD—biological oxygen demand, DOC—dissolved organic carbon, TOC—total oxygen carbon

No.	Region	Pollutants	Reaction conditions and comments	References
1.	Tambla Tributary (River Damodar), India	Industrial waste	[Fe(II)]=6 g/L and [H ₂ O ₂]=220 g/L COD removal = ~ 95%	Mandal et al. (2010)
2.	Chemical factories, Southern Poland	Maleic acid anhydride, pesticides, 2-ethylhexyl alcohol, urea formaldehyde resin adhesive	[Fe(II)]/[H ₂ O ₂]=0.33, (maleic acid anhydride), pH=3.0, COD removal = ~ 88% [Fe(II)]/[H ₂ O ₂]=0.50, (2-ethylhexyl alcohol), pH=3.5, COD removal = 86.3% [Fe(II)]/[H ₂ O ₂]=0.33, (urea formaldehyde resin adhesive), pH=3.5, COD removal = 88.8%	Barbusinski (2005)
3.	Pesticide-containing wastewater, Southern Poland	α,β,γ-HCH, DDT, DMDT, fenitrothion, chlorfenvinphos	[Fe(II)]/[H ₂ O ₂]=0.33, (pesticide containing water), pH=3.2, COD removal = 71.7% All pesticides degraded completely	Barbusinski and Filipek (2001)
4.	Pharmaceutical waste water, Turkey	Variety of pharmaceutical chemicals	[H ₂ O ₂]=5 g/L and [Fe(II)]/[H ₂ O ₂]=0.33–0.50 Fenton oxidation followed by sequencing batch reactor, COD removal = ~ 99%	Tekin et al. (2006)
5.	Laboratory mixed waste chemical of 17-month period, Brazil	Different laboratory chemicals waste	[Fe(II)]/[H ₂ O ₂]=0.22, COD removal = 90%	Benatti and Tavares (2012)
6.	Fish canning waste water, Portugal	Organic matter, salts, oil and grease	Before treatment: DOC = 50 mg/L, COD = 220 mg/L, BOD ₅ = 0.8 mg/L (Biological pretreatment of fish canning waste water, followed by treatment with Fenton's reagent) After treatment: DOC = 20 mg/L, COD = 90 mg/L	Cristovao et al. (2014)
7.	Chemical plant that produce acrylic sheets, Mexico	Methyl methacrylate	Maximum removal efficiencies (Fenton adsorption treatment): 96% color, 58% TOC, and 60% COD	Sanchez et al. (2014)
8.	Real industrial biorecalcitrant wastewater, Spain	5-Amino-6-methyl-2-benzimidazolone	[Fe(II)]/[H ₂ O ₂]=0.0032 Removal: 67% color, 42% COD, and 41% TOC	Sarria et al. (2001)
9.	El-Nasr pharmaceutical and Chemical Company, South-East of Cairo	Pharmaceutical company discharges both industrial and municipal wastewater	Before treatment: COD (4100–13,023 mg/L), TSS (20–330 mg/L), and oil grease (17.4–600 mg/L) Treatment: [Fe(II)]/[H ₂ O ₂]=0.02 and COD/[H ₂ O ₂]=1:2.2 Removal was almost complete	Badawy et al. (2009)
10.	Tannery wastewater, Brazil	Containing both organic and inorganic pollutants	H ₂ O ₂ /UV at pH 3 and Fenton at pH 3.5; efficiently remove TOC to low level	Schrank et al. (2005)
11.	Petrochemical effluent, India	Ethylene glycol, 1,4-dioxane	[Fe(II)]/[H ₂ O ₂]=0.02; pH 3.0 COD removal = 97.5%	Ghosh et al. (2011)
12.	Pesticides wastewater from Nubaria, Egypt	Chlorpyrifos, lambda-cyhalothrin, diazinon	COD removal (photo-Fenton process) = 90.7% COD removal (TiO ₂ photocatalytic reaction) = 79.6%	Alalm et al. (2015)

Table 2 (continued)

No.	Region	Pollutants	Reaction conditions and comments	References
13.	Wastewater obtained from civilian explosive industry, South-west, China	Dinitrodiazophenol (DDNP)	Treatment: combined Fe ⁰ /air and Fenton process COD removal = 78% Chromaticity removal = 98% chromaticity	Yuan et al. (2016)
14.	Beverage industrial effluent, Spain	Different complex compound	Photo-Fenton process): 53% mineralization (2 h) Photo-Fenton/persulfate: 76% mineralization (4 h)	Exposito et al. (2016)
15.	Winery wastewater, Cyprus	Polyphenols, tannins, and lignins	(a) [Fe(II)] = 10 mg/L, [H ₂ O ₂] = 100 mg/L COD removal = 35% and DOC removal = 26% (120 min) (b) [Fe _{SBA-15}] = 100 mg/L, [H ₂ O ₂] = 100 mg/L COD removal = 48% and DOC removal = 48% in 180 min	Loannou et al. (2013)

Table 3 Examples of degradation of phenols, pesticides, and surfactants in water by the homogeneous Fenton reaction

No.	Pollutants	Reaction conditions	Results and comments	References
1.	Phenol	[H ₂ O ₂] = 300–600 mg/L, Fe(II) = 10 mg/L; pH = 3–3.5, room temperature; reaction time = 6 h	Degradation = 100% Mineralization = 60%	Yalfani et al. (2009)
2.	2,4-dichlorophenol	[2,4-Dichlorophenol] = 200 mg/L, [H ₂ O ₂] = 300–580 mg/L, [Fe(II)] = 10–20 mg/L; pH = 2.5–7.0	Removal = ~70% within 2 h	Ranjit et al. (2008)
3.	Bisphenol A (BPA)	[BPA] = 10 µg/L, pH = 3, [Fe(II)]/ [H ₂ O ₂] = 10	Degradation resulted in various intermediates, benzenediols, monohydroxylated BPA with molecular weight ranged from 94 to 500 Da	Poerschmann et al. (2010)
4.	Dichlorvos or 2,2-dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate	[H ₂ O ₂] = 15 mg/L, [Fe(II)]/[H ₂ O ₂] = 3.0, pH = 3.0, room temperature; ultrasonic probe frequency = 20 kHz	Degradation = 100%	Golash and Gogate (2012)
5.	Methyl parathion (Phosphate pesticides)	[Methyl parathion] = 20 mg/L, [H ₂ O ₂] = 200 mg/L; [Fe(II)]/ [H ₂ O ₂] = 3.0	Degradation (ultrasonic horn process) = ~98.5% TOC removal = 73.7% Degradation (ultrasonic bath process) = ~96.5% TOC removal (ultrasonic bath process) = 75%	Shriwas and Gogate (2011)
6.	Carbofuran (carbamate pesticides)	[Carbofuran] = 20 mg/L, [H ₂ O ₂] = 100 mg/L, [Fe(II)] = 20 mg/L	Degradation = ~99% Mineralization = 46% after 30 min	Ma et al. (2010)

Treatment of antibiotics

Human and veterinary antibiotics are considered to be of prime importance as emerging micro-pollutants due to their high consumption rate. These micro-pollutants are being generated through household, industry, hospital service, poultry, livestock, and aquatic activity which get

deposited and leached into the environment. The fate of antibiotics after their purposive use is not being monitored. Most of the antibiotics are not fully eliminated from the body, and some of them may remain unchanged in the environment (Hirsch et al. 1999; Brown et al. 2006). Thus, in order to bring awareness among the people, world antibiotics week is being organized since November 2015, with the theme “antibiotics: Handle with care.” Antibiotics

Table 4 Examples of degradation of dyes by heterogeneous Fenton reaction processes

No.	Dyes	Catalyst	Reaction conditions	Results	References
1.	Acid black 1	Pillared laponite clay-based Fe	[Dye]=0.2 mM; [Catalyst]=1 g/L, [H ₂ O ₂]=6.4 mM, Light intensity=8 W UVC	Removal=100% in 60 min	Sum et al. (2004)
2.	Acid blue 185	Natural and ball-milled magnetite nanostructures	[Dye]=20–120 mg/L [Catalyst]=1.5 g/L, pH=3.0	Removal=80–99% 6-h ball-milled magnetite showed highest efficiency	Acisli et al. (2017)
3.	Acid blue 74	Fe-ZSM5 zeolite	[Dye]= 8.56×10^{-5} mol/L, [H ₂ O ₂]=21.2 mmol/L, [Catalyst]=0.5 g/L, pH 5.0, UV irradiation	Removal=100% in 120 min	Kasiri et al. (2008)
4.	Acid blue 92	Natural martite prepared by ball milling	[Dye]=10 mg/L [Catalyst]=2.5 g/L pH=7.0, 2.5 g/L Ultrasonic power=150 W	Removal=100% in 30 min achieved	Dindarsafa et al. (2017)
5.	Acid orange 7	Graphene oxide-iron oxide nanocomposites	[Dye]=35 mg/L [Catalyst]=0.2 g/L [H ₂ O ₂]=2 mM pH=3.0	Removal=80% in 20 min Removal=98% in 180 min	Zubir et al. (2014a, b)
6.	Acid orange 7	Graphene oxide-iron oxide nanocomposites	[Dye]=0.1 mM [Catalyst]=0.2 g/L [H ₂ O ₂]=22 mM pH=3.0	Removal=96% on 90 min	Zubir et al. (2014a, b)
7.	Anthraquinone dye	Pyrite nanorods synthesized by oxygen and nitrogen nonthermal plasma	[Dye]=20 mg/L [Catalyst]=0.6 g/L pH=5.0 Ultrasonic power=300 W	Removal=100% in 40 min	Khataee et al. (2016)
8.	Brilliant orange X-GN	Iron-pillared montmorillonitic via pillaring	[Dye]=100 mg/L [Catalyst]=0.6 g/L catalyst, [H ₂ O ₂]=4.9 mmol/L H ₂ O ₂ are pH=3.0	Removal=98.6% in 140 min Under UV light Removal=80% in 140 min under visible light	Chen et al. (2009)
9.	1-Diazo-2-naphthol-4-sulfonic acid dye	Mesoporous carbon-Fe	[Dye]=250 mg/L [Catalyst]=0.5 g/L pH=5.0	Removal=94% in 120 min	Gu et al. (2013)
10.	Methylene blue	Fe ₃ O ₄ -MWCNT	[Dye]=10 mg/L [Catalyst]=0.3 g/L [H ₂ O ₂]=0.4 M pH 5.5	Removal=97% in 720 min	Wang et al. (2014)
11.	Orange II	Fe/ZSM-5 zeolite	[Dye]=0.1 mM [Catalyst]=200 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂]=6 mM Temperature=53 °C pH=5.2	Removal=100% in 240 min	Duarte et al. (2009)
12.	Orange II	Transition metal on carbon aerogels	[Dye]=0.1 mM [Catalyst]=0.2 g/L [H ₂ O ₂]=6.0 mM pH=3.0	Removal=100% in 180 min	Duarte et al. (2009)
13.	Orange II	a. Nanocomposites Fe supported on laponite clay b. Nanocomposites Fe supported on bentonite clay	[Dye]=0.2 mM [Catalyst]=1.0 g/L [H ₂ O ₂]=10 mM pH=2.10–6.60	Removal=100% in 60 min	Feng et al. (2006)
14.	Orange II	Plasma-synthesized hematite and goethite	[Dye]=25 mg/L [Catalyst]=0.2 g/L [H ₂ O ₂]=5.0 mM pH=3	Removal=100% by hematite Removal=78% by goethite	Djowe et al. (2014)

Table 4 (continued)

No.	Dyes	Catalyst	Reaction conditions	Results	References
15.	Reactive brilliant red X-3B	Fe-pillared bentonite	[Dye] = 10^{-4} mol/L [Catalyst] = 0.5 g/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 10^{-2} mol/L pH = 3.0	Removal > 98% in 100 min	Li et al. (2006)
16.	Rhodamine B	Rice hull-based silica-supported iron catalyst	[Dye] = 5.0 mg/L [Catalyst] = 1.0 g/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 0.98 mmol pH = 5.0	Removal = 99% in 120 min	Gan and Li (2013)
17.	Rhodamine B	Cationic cyclopentadienyl iron silica	[Dye] = 25 mg/L [Catalyst] = 5.0 g/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 1 M pH = 3.0	Removal = 99% in 30 min	Chen et al. (2013)

and antibiotics resistance bacteria present in water have become problems of global reach (Walter and Vennes 1985).

Various oxidation methods are nowadays available to remove antibiotics from environment (Watkinson et al. 2007; Adams et al. 2002; Oturan et al. 2013; Sharma 2013; Feng et al. 2016; Anquandah et al. 2011; Gulkowska et al. 2008). Advanced oxidation process have gained much higher attention in comparison to other oxidation methods (An et al. 2010; Elmolla and Chaudhuri 2010a, b; Alaton and Dogruel 2004; Alaton et al. 2004; Kassinos et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2006). Examples of degradation of antibiotics by different reaction processes are presented in Table 5.

Removal of amoxicillin by a mixture of Fe(II) and H₂O₂ could be observed up 100% in ≤ 90 min when the antibiotic concentration was in the range from 10 to 500 mg/L. When the level of amoxicillin was in 1 g/L, removal of the antibiotic was in hours. Degradation of amoxicillin also resulted in a decrease in TOC, generally more than 50%. When photo-Fenton reaction using potassium ferrioxalate was applied, a decrease in toxicity of amoxicillin was seen (Table 5). Comparatively, degradation of cefradine was much less than amoxicillin under same conditions of homogeneous Fenton reaction system. Similar to amoxicillin, degradation of ampicillin and cloxacillin was up to 100%, which was also in conjunction with removal of COD and TOC. When homogeneous Fenton reaction process was applied to antibiotic fermentation wastewater, significant removal of COD was observed in 60 min (i.e., 72.4%).

Complete removal of ciprofloxacin by Fenton's reagent was observed in less than 2 min at an initial concentration of the antibiotic as 10 mg/L (Table 5). Degradation of chloramphenicol under heterogeneous Fenton system using FeS₂-H₂O₂ at pH 8.0 was more than 80%. Chlortetracycline could be removed by homogeneous Fenton reaction processes in which a combination of Fenton with sonication gave removal of 82%, a slightly higher than either Fenton reaction or sonication processes (67–76%). Removal

of fl roquinolone was low (i.e., 40%) in use of Fenton's reagent. However, degradation of fl uine increased to 94% under photo-Fenton reaction conditions. Removal of levofloxacin was sought by electro-Fenton reaction process that showed a complete elimination of the antibiotic, and a decrease in TOC was more than 50%. Other fluoroquinolones, moxifloxacin, norfloxacin, and ofloxacin also had the complete removal by the Fenton reaction systems.

Degradation of sulfonamide antibiotics (e.g., sulfamethoxazole, sulfamethazine, sulfanilamide, and sulfasalazine, and sulfamonomethoxine) and trimethoprim has been investigated by Fenton reaction processes. Almost complete elimination of sulfonamides was observed (Table 5). Mineralizations of sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim were also high (70–90%). When Fenton's reagent was applied to degrade antibiotics in swine wastewater, removal of TOC was 40%. Several studies have been performed on degrading tetracycline by Fenton reaction processes (Table 5). In an hour or less, removal of tetracyclines was in the range of 79–100%, depending on the reaction conditions. In a longer time of 2 h, mineralization up to ~4% could be achieved (Table 5).

Conclusion

In the homogeneous Fenton reaction, the conditions of should be optimized in order to evaluate full potential of ·OH radicals to oxidize contaminants in water. These conditions include dosages of Fe(II) and hydrogen peroxide, pH, and temperature. Excess concentrations of Fe(II) precipitate out as ferric hydroxide, and COD value increases by excess amount of hydrogen peroxide. Homogeneous Fenton reaction is limited to acidic pH that results in unwanted sludge of iron-oxy hydroxides. Heterogeneous Fenton reaction systems are being developed by applying catalysts (e.g., ferric oxides) and photolysis to enhance the efficiency to eliminate contaminants in water. However, a more research is needed on advancing application of catalysts which are

Table 5 Treatment of antibiotics containing wastewater by different Fenton reaction processes

S. no.	Antibiotics	Reaction conditions	Results	References
1.	Amoxicillin	Box-Behnken-statistical experiment design [Amoxicillin] = 10–200 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 10–500 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 0–50 mg/L Fe(II)	Removal = 100% degradation in 2.5 min Mineralization = 37% in 15 min	Ay and Fikret (2010)
2.	Amoxicillin	Central composite factorial design method [Amoxicillin] = 450 µg/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 3.50–4.28 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 254–350 µg/L pH = 3.5, temperature = 20–30 °C	Removal = 100% in 30 min	Homem et al. (2010)
3.	Amoxicillin	[Amoxicillin] = 10 mg/L [Potassium ferroxalate] = 0.1 g/L pH 6.0–8.0 UV light irradiation	TOC removal = 53% in 90 min Decrease in toxicity to 65% to 5%	Trovo et al. (2011)
4.	Ampicillin	[Ampicillin] = 20 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 400 µmol/L [Fe(II)] = 87 µmol/L Fe(II) pH = 3.5	Removal = 100% in 3 min by photo-Fenton Removal = 100% in 10 min by Fenton process	Rozas et al. (2010)
5.	Amoxicillin, cefradine	[Amoxicillin] = 1 g/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 166.5 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 166.5 mg/L pH = 3.0	Removal (amoxicillin) = 97.4% in 48 h Removal (cefradine) = 22.5% in 48 h	Li et al. (2015)
6.	Amoxicillin, cloxacillin	[Amoxicillin] = 150 mg/L [Cloxacillin] = 150 mg/L H ₂ O ₂ :COD = 2 Fe(II):H ₂ O ₂ = 76 at pH = 3	COD removal = 79% TOC removal = 73% Ammonia-nitrogen removal = 81.9% in 90 min	Affam and Chaudhuri (2013)
7.	Amoxicillin, cloxacillin	[Amoxicillin] = 150 mg/L [Cloxacillin] = 150 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂]:[COD] = 2.0, FeGAC = 3.5 g/L	COD removal = 87.5% TOC removal = 78.0% NH ₃ -N removal = 98.2% in 90 min, pH 3.0	Augstin et al. (2014)
8.	Amoxicillin, cloxacillin	[Amoxicillin] = 138 mg/L, [Cloxacillin] = 84 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂]/[COD] = 2.5, [Fe(II)]:[H ₂ O ₂] = 2.0	COD removal = 89% Degradation = 100% in 1 min	Elmolla and Chaudhuri (2012)
9.	Azithromycin, clarithromycin	[Azithromycin]/[Clarithromycin] = 200 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 0.45 mmol/L, [Fe ⁰] = 0.3 mmol/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 0.16/0.3 mmol/L, pH = 7.0	COD removal (azithromycin) = 83% COD removal (clarithromycin) = 76% Removal (azithromycin) = 90% Removal (clarithromycin) = 76% in 1 h	Mohammadi et al. (2013)
10.	Amoxicillin, ampicillin, cloxacillin	[Amoxicillin] = 103 mg/L [Ampicillin] = 104 mg/L [Cloxacillin] = 105 mg/L [Zinc oxide] = 0.5 g/L pH = 11.0	Degradation = 100% COD removal = 23.9% TOC removal = 9.7% in 180 min	Elmolla et al. (2010)
11.	Amoxicillin, ampicillin, cloxacillin	[Amoxicillin] = 104 mg/L [Ampicillin] = 105 mg/L [Cloxacillin] = 103 mg/L [COD]/[H ₂ O ₂]/[Fe(II)] = 1:3:0.3 pH = 3.0	Degradation = 100% in 2 min COD removal = 81.4% DOC removal = 54.3% in 60 min BOD/COD ratio improvement = 10 min (amoxicillin), 20 min (ampicillin), 40 min (cloxacillin)	Elmolla and Chaudhuri (2009a)

Table 5 (continued)

S. no.	Antibiotics	Reaction conditions	Results	References
12.	Amoxicillin, ampicillin, cloxacillin	[Amoxicillin]= 104 mg/L [Ampicillin]= 105 mg/L [Cloxacillin]= 103 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂]:[COD]= 1.5 [Fe(II)]:[H ₂ O ₂]= 20 pH= 3.0	Degradation = 100% in 2 min BOD/COD ratio improved = 0 to 0.4 COD removal = 80.8% DOC = 58.4% in 50 min	Elmolla and Chaudhuri (2009b)
14.	Amoxicillin, ampicillin, cloxacillin	[Amoxicillin]= 100 mg/L [Ampicillin]= 220 mg/L [Cloxacillin]= 500 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂]:[COD]= 3.0 pH= 3.0	COD removal (all three antibiotics) = ~ 80%	Elmolla et al. (2010)
15.	Antibiotic fermentation wastewater	COD = 3279 mg/L TOC = 1296.3 mg/L Color = 3000 [H ₂ O ₂] = 150 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 120 mg/L pH = 4.0	Color removal = 66.6% COD removal = 72.4% after 60 min	Xing and Sun (2009)
17.	Ciprofloxacin	[Ciprofloxacin] = 10 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 2.5 mM [Fe(II)] = 2.0 mg/L pH = 2.8	Degradation = 80% in 1.8 min	Lima et al. (2014)
18.	Cefalexin	[Cefalexin] = 200 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 1 mM pH = 3.0 Current density = 6.66 mA/cm ²	TOC removal = 49% Mineralization = 100%	Estrada et al. (2012)
19.	Chloramphenicol	[Chloramphenicol] = 50 mg/L [GLDA] = 100 μmol/L [FeS ₂] = 100 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 1 mmol/L pH = 8.0	Degradation = 83.3%	Wu et al. (2016)
20.	Chlortetracycline	[Sludge] = 34 g/L [Fe(II)] = 30 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 30 mg/L pH = 3.0	Removal (ultrasonication process) = 67% Removal (fenton oxidation process) = 76% Removal (ferro-sonication) = 82%	Pulicharla et al. (2017)
21.	Ciprofloxacin, sulphamethoxazole, trimethoprim	[Ciprofloxacin]/[sulphamethoxazole]/[Trimethoprim] = 4.24 × 10 ⁻² mg·m ² W ⁻² L ⁻¹ [H ₂ O ₂] = 2.5 mM pH = 2.8	Removal = ~ 60% removal after 240 min	Lima et al. (2017)
22.	Clarithromycin, sulfamethoxazole	[Clarithromycin]/[sulfamethoxazole] = 100 ppb [H ₂ O ₂] = 50 mg/L [Fe(III)] = 5 mg/L pH = 4.0	Removal (clarithromycin) = 70% Removal (sulfamethoxazole) = 95%	Karaolia et al. (2014)
23.	Chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, dipyrone	[Chloramphenicol]/[ciprofloxacin]/[dipyrone] = 0.15 mM [H ₂ O ₂] = 22.5 mM [Fe(II)] = 2.25 mM pH = 3.5	Removal (chloramphenicol) = 52% Removal (ciprofloxacin) = 42% Removal (dipyrone) = 47% in 45 min	Giri and Golder (2015)
24.	Enoxacin	[Enoxacin] = 50 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 0.2 mmol/L Current intensity = 300 mA	Residual yields = 54% and 43% after 120 min Fluorine = 77%, nitrate = 5%, ammonia = 24% after 180 min	Annabi et al. (2016)
25.	Flumequine	[Flumequine] = 500 μg/L [Fe(II)] = 0.5 mmol/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 2.0 mmol/L	Degradation (Fenton) = 40% Degradation (photo-Fenton) = 94% after 60 min	Silva et al. (2013)

Table 5 (continued)

S. no.	Antibiotics	Reaction conditions	Results	References
26.	Levofloxacin	[Levofloxacin] = 200 mg/L [Na ₂ SO ₄] = 0.05 M [Fe(II)] = 1 mM pH = 3.0 Current intensity = 6.67 mA cm ⁻²	Removal = 100% in 120 min TOC removal = 60% after 360 min	Gong et al. (2016)
27.	Levofloxacin	[Levofloxacin] = 0.23 mM [Na ₂ SO ₄] = 0.05 M [Fe(II)] = 0.2 mM pH = 3.0 Current intensity = 300 mA	Mineralization = 100% TOC = 95% for 8 h	Barhoumi et al. (2015)
28.	Moxifloxacin	[Moxifloxacin] = 0.15 mM [Fe(II)] = 0.5 mM pH = 3.0	Several intermediates were formed for the degradation of moxifloxacin, which was confirmed by LC-MS analysis	Yahya et al. (2017)
29.	Norfloxacin	[Norfloxacin] = 100 mg/L [Fe ₀] = 100 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 10 mmol/L pH = 4.0	Degradation = 95% within 40 min Mineralization = 50%	Zhang et al. (2017)
30.	Norfloxacin	[Norfloxacin] = 0.25 mM [Na ₂ SO ₄] = 0.05 M [Fe(III)] = 0.1 mM pH = 3.0 Current intensity = 60 mA	Mineralization = 97.7% after 5 h	Özcan et al. (2016)
31.	Norfloxacin	[Norfloxacin] = 15 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 2.1 mmol/L pH = 7.0 (UV/H ₂ O ₂)	Degradation = 100% degradation Mineralization = 55% mineralization	Santos et al. (2015)
32.	Ofloxacin	[Ofloxacin] = 10 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 2 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 2.5 mg/L	Degradation = 100%	Michael et al. (2013)
33.	Oxacillin	[Oxacillin] = 203 µmol/L [Fe(II)] = 90 µmol/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 10 µmol/L	Mineralization = 100% after 5 min	Giraldo-Aguirre et al. (2017)
34.	Ofloxacin, trimethoprim	[Ofloxacin]/[trimethoprim] = 100 µg/L [Fe(II)] = 5 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 75 mg/L in solar light	Removal = 100%	Michael et al. (2012)
35.	Sulfasalazine	[Sulfasalazine] = 100 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 0.20 mM [H ₂ O ₂] = 16 mM	Removal = ~99.5% TOC removal = 82.4% COD removal = 41% in 60 min	Fan et al. (2011)
36.	Sulfamethoxazole	[Sulfamethoxazole] = 200 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 1 mM pH = 3.0	TOC removal = 80% (photo-electro-Fenton process) in 6 h Mineralization = 63% (electro-Fenton process) TOC removal = 25% (electro-Fenton process)	Wang et al. (2011)
37.	Sulfamethoxazole	[Sulfamethoxazole] = 50 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 2.6 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 120 mg/L	Toxicity reduction = 80% to 20%	Trovo et al. (2009)
38.	Sulfamethoxazole	[Sulfamethoxazole] = 200 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 10 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 300 mg/L pH = 2.8	Removal = 100%	Gonzalez et al. (2007)
39.	Sulfamethazine	[Sulfamethazine] = 50 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 40 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 600 mg/L	Degradation = 100% in 2 min	Moya et al. (2010)

Table 5 (continued)

S. no.	Antibiotics	Reaction conditions	Results	References
40.	Sulfamethazine	[Sulfamethazine] = 20 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 3.5–28 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 10–400 mg/L Current intensity = 2mWcm ⁻²	Mineralization = 70% after 120 min	Kitsiou et al. (2014)
41.	Sulfamethazine	[Sulfamethazine] = 20 mg/L [Ce–Fe-graphene] = 0.5 g/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 8 mM pH = 7.0	Degradation = 99% TOC removal = 73%	Wan et al. (2016)
42.	Sulfanilamide	[Sulfanilamide] = 0.6 mM [Na ₂ SO ₄] = 0.05 M [Fe(II)] = 0.20 mM pH = 3.0 Current intensity = 300 mA	Mineralization = 100%	Ghenymy et al. (2014)
43.	Sulfamonomethoxine	[Sulfamonomethoxine] = 4.53 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 0.49 mmol/L [Fe(II)] = 19.51 μmol/L pH = 4.0	Degradation = 98.5% COD removal = 99% after 120 min	Hui et al. (2012)
44.	Sulfonamide	[Sulfonamide] = 0.19 mM Fe(II):H ₂ O ₂ = 1.5 pH = 2.5	Degradation = 99.9% COD removal 64.7–70.7% in 15 min	Dehghani et al. (2013)
45.	Sulfamethoxazole, trimethoprim	[Sulfamethoxazole]/[trimethoprim] = 20 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂] (sulfamethoxazole) = 2.6 mM [H ₂ O ₂] (trimethoprim) = 3.0 mM [Fe(III)] = 0.5 mg/L pH = 5.0	Mineralization (sulfamethoxazole) = 70% Mineralization (trimethoprim) = 90%	Dias et al. (2014)
46.	Sulfamethoxazole, erythromycin, clarithromycin	[Substrate] = 100 μg/L [Fe(III)] = 5 mg/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 50 mg/L pH = 4.0	Removal = 100%	Karaolia et al. (2017)
47.	Swine wastewater (5 sulfonamide + 1 macrolide)	[Antibiotics] = 1 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 0.91 mmol/L, [H ₂ O ₂] = 1.37 mmol/L [Fe(II)]:[H ₂ O ₂] = 0.66, pH = 5.0	TOC removal = 40% As removal = 78%, Cu removal = 36% Pb removal = 18%, Toxicity removal = 25%	Ben et al. (2009)
48.	Tetracycline	[Tetracycline] = 20 mg/L [Fe ₃ O ₄ C] = 0.15 g/L, [H ₂ O ₂] = 3 mM pH = 3.0	Removal = 79% in 44 min Mineralization = 43.7% in 120 min	Kakavandi et al. (2016)
49.	Tetracycline	[Tetracycline] = 100 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 1.0 g/L, [H ₂ O ₂] = 150 mmol/L pH = 3.7	Removal = 93.6% in 60 min Mineralization = 31.8% after 60 min	Hou et al. (2016)
50.	Tetracycline	[Tetracycline] = 40 mg/L [Fe ₃ O ₄ void TiO ₂] = 0.25 g/L [H ₂ O ₂] = 0.377 M, pH = 3.0, 9.0	Degradation = 100% at pH 3.0 Degradation = 75% at pH 9 within 6 min	Du et al. (2017)
51.	Tetracycline	[Tetracycline] = 40 mg/L [Fe(II)] = 5 mg/L, [H ₂ O ₂] = 71.5 mg/L	Mineralization = 100%	Turbay et al. (2013)

applicable in a wide range of pH and can recycle iron in the Fenton reaction system.

Fenton oxidation process can efficiently remove a range of contaminants in water. For example, antibiotics such as ofloxacin, trimethoprim, sulfonamide and sulfamethoxazole could be completely using the Fenton reaction system. However, Fenton reactions process needs to be combined with other methods to achieve thorough mineralization. Significantly, most of the studies in the literature on removing contaminants by Fenton reaction processes are on the bench scale, and a very little is known on performing at a pilot scale with polluted water. It is important Fenton reaction system should be demonstrated by using contaminated water containing nitrate, bromide, and natural organic matter. These constituents of water scavenge $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals and thus decrease the efficiency of the advanced oxidation processes. Furthermore, pilot and field scale testing of the selected Fenton reaction is required to demonstrate the capabilities, possible limitation, and reaction conditions of Fenton reaction processes to produce drinking water from contaminated source water.

Acknowledgements Dr. Bhawana Jain (postdoctoral fellow, No. F.15-1/2013-14/PDFWM-2013-14-GE-CHH-18784 (SA-II)) is thankful to UGC, Delhi, India, for Research Project grants. Professor Hyunook Kim appreciates the financial support by Korea Ministry of Environment (MOE) (Project ID: 2015001790002). We thank reviewers for their comments, which improved this paper greatly.

References

- Acisli O, Khataee A, Karaca S, Karimi A, Dogan E (2017) Combination of ultrasonic and Fenton processes in the presence of magnetite nanostructures prepared by high energy planetary ball mill. *Ultrason Sonochem* 34:754–762. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2016.07.011>
- Adams C, Wang Y, Loftin K, Meyer M (2002) Removal of antibiotics from surface and distilled water in conventional water treatment processes. *J Environ Eng* 128:253–260. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9372\(2002\)128:3\(253\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(2002)128:3(253))
- Affam AC, Chaudhuri M (2013) Optimization of Fenton treatment of amoxicillin and cloxacillin antibiotics in aqueous solution. *Desalination Water Treat* 52:1878–1884. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2013.794015>
- Alalm MG, Tawfik A, Ookawara S (2015) Comparison of solar TiO_2 photocatalysis and solar photo-Fenton for treatment of pesticides industry wastewater: operational conditions, kinetics and costs. *J Water Process Eng* 8:55–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2015.09.007>
- Alaton IA, Dogruel S (2004) Pretreatment of penicillin formulation effluent by advanced oxidation processes. *J Hazard Mater* 112:105–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2004.04.009>
- Alaton IA, Dogruel S, Baykal E, Gerone G (2004) Combined chemical and biological oxidation of penicillin formulation effluent. *J Environ Manag* 73:155–163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2004.06.007>
- Aljuboury DDA, Palaniandy P, Abdul Aziz HB, Feroz S, Abu Amr SA (2017) Performance of the photocatalyst and Fenton processes to treat the petroleum wastewater—a review. *Glob Nest J* 19(3):396–411
- An T, Yang H, Li G, Song W, Cooper WJ, Nie X (2010) Kinetics and mechanism of advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) in degradation of ciprofloxacin. *Appl Catal B Environ* 94:288–294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2009.12.002>
- Annabi C, Fourcade F, Soutrel I, Geneste F, Floner D, Bellakhal N, Amrane A (2016) Degradation of enoxacin antibiotic by the electro-Fenton process: optimization, biodegradability improvement and degradation mechanism. *J Environ Manag* 165(1):96–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.09.018>
- Anquandah GAK, Sharma VK, Knight DA, Batchu SR, Gardinali PR (2011) Oxidation of trimethoprim by ferrate(VI): kinetics, products and antibacterial activity. *Environ Sci Technol* 45(24):10575–10581. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es202237g>
- Anumol T, Dagnino S, Vandervort DR, Snyder SA (2016) Transformation of polyfluorinated compounds in natural waters by advanced oxidation processes. *Chemosphere* 144:1780–1787. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.10.070>
- Augstin CA, Chaudhuri M, Mohammed K, Shamsul R (2014) Optimization of modified Fenton ($\text{FeGAC}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$) pretreatment of antibiotics. *Pert J Sci Technol* 22(1):239–254. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2013.794015>
- Ay F, Fikret K (2010) Advanced oxidation of amoxicillin by Fenton's reagent treatment. *J Hazard Mater* 179:622–627. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.03.048>
- Badawy MI, Wahaab RA, El-Kalliny AS (2009) Fenton biological treatment for the removal of some pharmaceuticals from industrial wastewater. *J Hazard Mater* 167:567–574. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.01.023>
- Bakker K (2012) Water security: research challenges and opportunities. *Science* 337:914–915. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1226337>
- Barbosa MO, Moreira NFF, Ribeiro AR, Pereira MFR, Silva AMT (2016) Occurrence and removal of organic micropollutants: an overview of the watch list of EU Decision 2015/495. *Water Res* 94:257–279. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.02.047>
- Barbusinski K (2005) Toxicity of industrial waste water treated by Fenton's reagent. *Pol J Environ Stud* 14:11–16
- Barbusinski K, Filipek K (2001) Toxicity of industrial waste water treated by Fenton's reagent. *Pol J Environ Stud* 10:207–212
- Barhoumi N, Labiadh L, Oturan MA, Oturan N, Gadri A, Ammar S, Brillas E (2015) Electrochemical mineralization of the antibiotic levofloxacin by electro-Fenton-pyrite process. *Chemosphere* 141:250–257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.08.003>
- Barhoumi N, Oturan N, Olvera-Vargas H, Brillas E, Gadri A, Ammar S, Oturan MA (2016) Pyrite as a sustainable catalyst in electro-Fenton process for improving oxidation of sulfamethazine. Kinetics, mechanism and toxicity assessment. *Water Res* 94:52–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.02.042>
- Bataineh H, Pestovsky O, Bakac A (2012) pH-induced mechanistic changeover from hydroxyl radicals to iron(IV) in the Fenton reaction. *Chem Sci* 3:1594–1599. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c2sc20099f>
- Bautista P, Mohedano AF, Casas JA, Zazo JA, Rodriguez JJ (2008) An overview of the application of Fenton oxidation to industrial wastewaters treatment. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 83:1323–1338. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.1988>
- Ben W, Quiang Z, Pan X, Chen M (2009) Removal of veterinary antibiotics from sequencing batch reactor (SBR) pretreated swine wastewater by Fenton's reagent. *Water Res* 43:4392–4402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.06.057>
- Benatti CT, Tavares CRG (2012) Fenton's process for the treatment of mixed waste chemicals. In: *Organic pollutants ten years after the Stockholm convention-Environmental and analytical update*, pp 247–270. <https://doi.org/10.5772/31225>

- Bielski BHI, Richter HW (1977) A study of the superoxide radical chemistry by stopped-flow radiolysis and radiation induced oxygen consumption. *J Am Chem Soc* 99(9):3019–3023. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00451a028>
- Blum KM, Andersson PL, Ahrens L, Wiberg K, Haglund P (2018) Persistence, mobility and bioavailability of emerging organic contaminants discharged from sewage treatment plants. *Sci Total Environ* 612:1532–1542. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.006>
- Bocos E, Oturan N, Pazos M, Sanromán MÁ, Oturan MA (2016) Elimination of radio contrast agent diatrizoic acid by photo-Fenton process and enhanced treatment by coupling with electro-Fenton process. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 23(19):19134–19144. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7054-x>
- Boczkaj G, Fernandes A (2017) Wastewater treatment by means of advanced oxidation processes at basic pH conditions: a review. *Chem Eng J* 320:608–633. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.03.084>
- Bouafia-Chergui S, Oturan N, Khalaf H, Oturan MA (2010) Parametric study on the eff of the ratios $[H_2O_2]/[Fe^{3+}]$ and $[H_2O_2]/[substrate]$ on the photo-Fenton degradation of cationic azo dye basic blue 41. *J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng* 45(5):622–629. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10934521003595746>
- Brillas E, Martínez-Huitle CA (2015) Decontamination of wastewaters containing synthetic organic dyes by electrochemical methods: an updated review. *Appl Catal B* 166–167:603–643. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2014.11.016>
- Brillas E, Sires I, Oturan MA (2009) Electro-Fenton process and related electrochemical technologies based on Fenton's reaction chemistry. *Chem Rev* 109:6570–6631. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr900136g>
- Brown KD, Kulis J, Thomson B, Chapman TH, Mawhinney DB (2006) Occurrence of antibiotics in hospital, residential, and dairy effluent, municipal wastewater and Rio Grande in Mexico. *Sci Total Environ* 366:772–783. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.10.007>
- Cai C, Zhang Z, Liu J, Shan N, Zhang H, Dionysiou DD (2016) Visible light-assisted heterogeneous Fenton with $ZnFe_2O_4$ for the degradation of orange II in water. *Appl Catal B Environ*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.09.056>
- Cao M, Wang L, Wang L, Chen J, Lu X (2013) Remediation of DDTs contaminated soil in a novel Fenton-like system with zero-valent iron. *Chemosphere* 90(8):2303–2308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.09.098>
- Chakma S, Moholkar VS (2014) Investigations in synergism of hybrid advanced oxidation processes with combinations of sonolysis + fenton process + UV for degradation of bisphenol A. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 53(16):6855–6865. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ie500474f>
- Chakma S, Moholkar VS (2015) Intensification of wastewater treatment using sono-hybrid processes: an overview of mechanistic synergism. *Indian Chem Eng* 57:359–381. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00194506.2015.1026948>
- Chen Y, Jin S, Liu J, Zhao B, Wang T (2013) Photo-Fenton reaction of supported cationic cyclopentadienyl iron complexes of aren and application as heterogeneous catalysts in photodegradation of dyes under visible light. *Inorganic Chimie Acta* 406:37–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ica.2013.07.005>
- Chen Q, Wu P, Li Y, Zhu N, Dang Z (2009) Heterogeneous photo-Fenton degradation of reactive brilliant orange X-GN over iron pillared montmorillonite under visible irradiation. *J Hazard Mater* 168:901–908. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.02.107>
- Cheng M, Zeng G, Huang D, Lai C, Xu P, Zhang C, Liu Y (2016) Hydroxyl radicals based advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) for remediation of soils contaminated with organic compounds: a review. *Chem Eng J* 284:582–598. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.09.001>
- Cizmas L, Sharma VK, Gray CM, McDonald TJ (2015) Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in water: occurrence, toxicity and risk. *Environ Chem Lett* 13(4):381–394. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-015-0524>
- Clarizia L, Russo D, Di Somma I, Marotta R, Andreozzi R (2017) Homogeneous photo-Fenton processes at near neutral pH: a review. *Appl Catal B Environ* 209:358–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.03.011>
- Costa RCC, Mourab FCC, Ardisson JD, Fabrisa JD, Lago RM (2008) Highly active heterogeneous Fenton-like systems based on Fe_0/Fe_3O_4 composites prepared by controlled reduction of iron oxides. *Appl Catal B Environ* 83:131–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2008.01.039>
- Cristovao RO, Goncalves C, Botelho CM, Martins RJE (2014) Chemical oxidation of finishing waste water by Fenton's reagent. *J Environ Chem Eng* 2:237–243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2013.12.023>
- Czapski G, Bielski BHI (1993) Absorption spectra of the OH and O-radicals in aqueous solutions. *Radiat Phys Chem* 41(3):505. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0969-806X\(93\)90012-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0969-806X(93)90012-J)
- Dehghani S, Jafari AJ, Farzadkia M, Gholami M (2013) Sulfonamide antibiotics reduction in aquatic environment by application of Fenton oxidation process. *J Environ Health Sci Eng* 10:29. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1735-2746-10-29>
- Descorme C (2017) Catalytic wastewater treatment: oxidation and reduction processes. Recent studies on chlorophenols. *Catal Today* 297:324–334. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2017.03.039>
- Dhakshinamoorthy A, Navalon S, Alvaro M, Garcia H (2012) Metal nanoparticles as heterogeneous Fenton catalysts. *Chem Sus Chem* 5(1):46–64. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201100517>
- Diao Z, Xu X, Jiang D, Li G, Liu J, Kong L, Zuo L (2017) Enhanced catalytic degradation of ciprofloxacin with FeS_2/SiO_2 microspheres as heterogeneous Fenton catalyst: kinetics, reaction pathways and mechanism. *J Hazard Mater* 327:108–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.12.045>
- Diao Y, Yan Z, Guo M, Wang X (2018) Magnetic multi-metal co-doped magnesium ferrite nanoparticles: an efficient visible light-assisted heterogeneous Fenton-like catalyst synthesized from saprolite laterite ore. *J Hazard Mater* 344:829–838. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.11.029>
- Dias IN, Souza BS, Pereira JHOS, Moreira FC, Dezotti M, Boaventura RAR, Vilar VJP (2014) Enhancement of the photo-Fenton reaction at near neutral pH through the use of ferrioxalate complexes: a case study on trimethoprim and sulfamethoxazole antibiotics removal from aqueous solutions. *Chem Eng J* 247:302–313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2014.03.020>
- Dindarsafa M, Khataee A, Kaymak B, Vahid B, Karimi A, Rahmani A (2017) Heterogeneous sono-Fenton-like process using martite nanocatalyst prepared by high energy planetary ball milling for treatment of a textile dye. *Ultrason Sonochem* 34:389–399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2016.06.016>
- Djowe AT, Nzali S, Njoyim ET, Laminsi S, Gaigneaux EM (2014) Thermal treatment of plasma-synthesized goethite improves Fenton-like degradation of orange II dye. *Environ Chem Lett* 12:219–224. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-016-0578-y>
- Du D, Shi W, Wang L, Zhang J (2017) Yolk shell structured $Fe_3O_4@void@TiO_2$ as photo-Fenton-like catalyst for the extremely efficient elimination of tetracycline. *Appl Catal B Environ* 200:484–492. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.07.043>
- Duan X, Sun H, Shao Z, Wang S (2018) Nonradical reactions in environmental remediation processes: uncertainty and challenges. *Appl Catal B Environ* 224:973–982. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.11.051>

- Duarte F, Maldonado-Hodar FJ, Perez-Cadenas AF, Madeira LM (2009) Fenton like degradation of azo dye orange II catalysed by transition metals on carbon aerogels. *Appl Catal B Environ* 85:139–147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2008.07.006>
- Durán A, Monteagudo JM, Sanmartín I, Carrasco A (2013) Solar photo-Fenton mineralization of antipyrine in aqueous solution. *J Environ Manag* 130:64–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.08.043>
- Elmolla ES, Chaudhuri M (2009a) Optimization of Fenton process for the treatment of amoxicillin, ampicillin, cloxacillin antibiotics in aqueous solution. *J Hazard Mater* 170:666–672. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.05.013>
- Elmolla ES, Chaudhuri M (2009b) Degradation of the antibiotics amoxicillin, ampicillin, cloxacillin in aqueous solution by the photo-Fenton process. *J Hazard Mater* 172:1476–1481. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.08.015>
- Elmolla ES, Chaudhuri M (2010a) Comparisons of different advanced oxidation processes for the treatment of antibiotic aqueous solution. *Desalination* 256:43–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2010.02.019>
- Elmolla ES, Chaudhuri M (2010b) Degradation of amoxicillin, ampicillin, cloxacillin antibiotics in aqueous solution by the UV/ZnO photocatalytic process. *J Hazard Mater* 173:445–449. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.08.104>
- Elmolla ES, Chaudhuri M (2012) The feasibility of using combined Fenton SBR for antibiotic wastewater treatment. *Desalination* 285:14–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2011.09.022>
- Elmolla ES, Chaudhuri M, Eltoukhy MM (2010) The use of artificial neural network (ANN) for modeling of COD removal from antibiotic aqueous solution by the Fenton process. *J Hazard Mater* 179:127–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.02.068>
- Eren Z (2012) Ultrasound as a basic and auxiliary process for dye remediation: a review. *J Environ Manag* 104:127–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.03.028>
- Espinosa JC, Navalón S, Álvaro M, García H (2016) Reduced graphene oxide as a metal-free catalyst for the light-assisted Fenton-like reaction. *Chem Cat Chem* 8(16):2642–2648. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201600364>
- Espinosa JC, Catalá C, Navalón S, Ferrer B, Álvaro M, García H (2018) Iron oxide nanoparticles supported on diamond nanoparticles as efficient and stable catalyst for the visible light assisted Fenton reaction. *Appl Catal B Environ* 226:242–251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.12.060>
- Espinoza C, Romero J, Villegas L, Cornejo-Ponce L, Salazar R (2016) Mineralization of the textile dye acid yellow 42 by solar photo-electro-Fenton in a lab-pilot plant. *J Hazard Mater* 319:24–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.03.003>
- Estrada AL, Li YY, Wang A (2012) Biodegradability enhancement of wastewater containing cefalexin by means of the electro Fenton oxidation process. *J Hazard Mater* 227–228:41–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.04.079>
- Exposito AJ, Monteagudo JM, Diaz I, Duran A (2016) Photo-Fenton degradation of a beverage industrial effluent: intensification with persulfate and the study of radicals. *Chem Eng J* 306:1203–1211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.08.048>
- Fan X, Hao H, Shen X, Chen F, Zhang J (2011) Removal and degradation pathway study of sulfasalazine with Fenton like reaction. *J Hazard Mater* 190:493–500. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.03.069>
- Faust BC, Hoigné J (1990) Photolysis of Fe(III)-hydroxy complexes as sources of OH radicals in clouds, fog and rain. *Atmos Environ Part A Gen Top* 24(1):79–89. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686\(90\)90443-Q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(90)90443-Q)
- Faust BC, Zepp RG (1993) Photochemistry of aqueous Iron(III)-polycarboxylate complexes: roles in the chemistry of atmospheric and surface waters. *Environ Sci Technol* 27(12):2517–2527. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es00048a032>
- Feng J, Hu X, Yue PL (2006) Effect of initial solution pH on the degradation of orange II using clay-based Fe nanocomposites as heterogeneous photo Fenton catalyst. *Water Res* 40:641–646. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.12.021>
- Feng L, Van Hullebusch ED, Rodrigo MA, Esposito G, Oturan MA (2013) Removal of residual anti-inflammatory and analgesic pharmaceuticals from aqueous systems by electrochemical advanced oxidation processes: a review. *Chem Eng J* 228:944–964. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2013.05.061>
- Feng M, Wang X, Chen J, Qu R, Sui Y, Cizmas L, Wang Z, Sharma VK (2016) Degradation of fluoroquinolone antibiotics by ferrate (VI): eff of water constituent and oxidized products. *Water Res* 103:48–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.07.014>
- Feng M, Wang Z, Dionysiou DD, Sharma VK (2018) Metal-mediated oxidation of fluoroquinolone antibiotics in water: a review on kinetics, transformation products, and toxicity assessment. *J Hazard Mater* 344:1136–1154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.08.067>
- Fenton HJH (1894) LXXIII.—oxidation of tartaric acid in presence of iron. *J Chem Soc Trans* 65:899. <https://doi.org/10.1039/ct8946500899>
- Fenton HJH (1896) XLI.—the constitution of a new dibasic acid, resulting from the oxidation of tartaric acid. *J Chem Soc Trans* 69:575. <https://doi.org/10.1039/ct8966900546>
- Fischbacher A, Von Sonntag C, Schmidt TC (2017) Hydroxyl radical yields in the Fenton process under various pH, ligand concentrations and hydrogen peroxide/Fe(II) ratios. *Chemosphere* 182:738–744. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.05.039>
- Gallard H, De Laat J (2000) Kinetic modelling of Fe(III)/H₂O₂ oxidation reactions in dilute aqueous solution using atrazine as a model organic compound. *Water Res* 34(12):3107–3116. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(00\)00074-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00074-9)
- Gallard H, De Laat J, Legube B (1999) Spectrophotometric study of the formation of iron(III)-hydroperoxy complexes in homogeneous aqueous solutions. *Water Res* 33(13):2929–2936. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(99\)00007-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(99)00007-X)
- Gan PP, Li SFY (2013) Efficient removal of rhodamine B using a rice hull-based silica supported iron catalyst by Fenton like process. *Chem Eng J* 229:351–363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2013.06.020>
- Ganzenko O, Trellu C, Papirio S, Oturan N, Huguenot D, van Hullebusch ED, Esposito G, Oturan MA (2017) Bioelectro-Fenton: evaluation of a combined biological—advanced oxidation treatment for pharmaceutical wastewater. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-8450-6>
- García-Rodríguez O, Bañuelos JA, Godínez LA, Arredondo Valdez HC, Zamudio E, Ramírez V, Rodríguez-Valadez FJ (2017) Iron Supported on Ion Exchange Resin as Source of Iron for Fenton's reagent: a Heterogeneous or a Homogeneous Fenton's reagent Generation? *Int J Chem Reactor Eng*. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ijcre-2017-0026>
- García-Segura S, Brillas E (2017) Applied photoelectrocatalysis on the degradation of organic pollutants in wastewaters. *J Photochem Photobiol C Photochem Rev* 31:1–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochemrev.2017.01.005>
- Gassie LW, Englehardt JD (2017) Advanced oxidation and disinfection processes for onsite net-zero greywater reuse: a review. *Water Res* 125:384–399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.08.062>
- Ghattas A, Fischer F, Wick A, Ternes TA (2017) Anaerobic biodegradation of (emerging) organic contaminants in the aquatic environment. *Water Res* 116:268–295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.02.001>

- Ghenymy AE, Rodriguez RM, Email EB, Oturan N, Oturan MA (2014) Electro-Fenton degradation of the antibiotic sulfanilamide with Pt/carbon-felt and BDD/carbon-felt cells. Kinetics, reaction intermediates and toxicity assessment. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 2(14):8368–8378. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-2773-3>
- Ghosh P, Samanta AN, Ray S (2011) Kinetics based on mechanism of COD reduction for industrial effluent in Fenton process. *Int J Chem Technol* 3(1):26–36. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijct.2011.26.36>
- Giraldo-Aguirre AL, Serna-Galvis A, Erazo-Erazo ED, Agredo JS, Ospina HG, Acosta OAF, Palma AT (2017) Removal of β -lactam antibiotics from pharmaceutical wastewaters using photo-Fenton process at near neutral pH. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-8420-z>
- Giri AS, Golder AK (2015) Decomposition of drug mixture in Fenton and photo Fenton processes: comparison to singly treatment, evolution of inorganic ions and toxicity assay. *Chemosphere* 127:254–261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.02.010>
- Gligorovski S, Strekowski R, Barbati S, Vione D (2015) Environmental implications of hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot\text{OH}$). *Chem Rev* 115(24):13051–13092. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr500310b>
- Golash N, Gogate PR (2012) Degradation of dichlorvos containing wastewaters using sonochemical reactor. *Ultrason Sonochem* 19:1051–1060. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasonch.2012.02.011>
- Goldstein S, Meyersteine D (1999) Comments on the mechanism of the “Fenton-like” reaction. *Acc Chem Res* 32:547–555. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ar9800789>
- Gong Y, Li J, Zhang Y, Zhang M, Tian X, Wang A (2016) Partial degradation of levofloxacin for biodegradability improvement by electro Fenton process using an activated carbon fiber cathode. *J Hazard Mater* 304:320–328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.10.064>
- Gonzalez O, Sans C, Esplugas S (2007) Sulfamethoxazole abatement by photo-Fenton toxicity, inhibition and biodegradability assessment of intermediates. *J Hazard Mater* 146:459–464. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.04.055>
- Gozzi F, Sirés I, Thiam A, de Oliveira SC, Junior AM, Brillas E (2017) Treatment of single and mixed pesticide formulations by solar photoelectro-Fenton using a flow plant. *Chem Eng J* 310:503–513. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.02.026>
- Gu L, Zhu N, Guo H, Huang S, Lou Z, Yuan H (2013) Adsorption and Fenton like degradation of naphthalene dye intermediate on sewage sludge derived porous carbon. *J Hazard Mater* 246–247:145–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.12.012>
- Gu S, Xie J, Li CM (2014) Hierarchically porous graphitic carbon nitride: large scale facile synthesis and its application toward photocatalytic dye degradation. *RSC Adv* 4:59436–59439. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RA10958A>
- Gulkowska A, Leunga HW, Soa MK, Taniyasub S, Yamashit N, Yeung LWY, Richardson BJ, Lei AP, Giesy JP, Lam PKS (2008) Removal of antibiotics from wastewater by sewage treatment facilities in Hong Kong and Shenzhen, China. *Water Res* 42:395–403. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.07.031>
- Hajji-Mohamad M, Darwano H, Duy SV, Sauvé S, Prévost M, Arp HPH, Dorner S (2017) The distribution dynamics and desorption behaviour of mobile pharmaceuticals and caffeine to combined sewer sediments. *Water Res* 108:57–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.10.053>
- He H, Zhou Z (2017) Electro-Fenton process for water and wastewater treatment. *Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol* 47:2100–2131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2017.1405673>
- Hirsch R, Ternes TA, Haberer K, Kratz KL (1999) Occurrence of antibiotics in the aquatic environment. *Sci Total Environ* 225:109–118. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697\(98\)00337-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(98)00337-4)
- Homem V, Alves A, Santos L (2010) Amoxicillin degradation at ppb levels by Fenton’s oxidation using design of experiments. *Sci Total Environ* 408:6272–6280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.08.058>
- Hou L, Wang L, Royer S, Zhang H (2016) Ultrasound assisted heterogeneous Fenton like degradation of tetracycline over a magnetite catalyst. *J Hazard Mater* 302:458–467. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.09.033>
- Hsu CA, Wen TN, Su YC, Ziang ZB, Chen CW, Shyr LF (2012) Biological degradation of anthraquinone and azo dyes by a novel laccase from *lentinus* sp. *Environ Sci Technol* 46(9):5109–5117. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es2047014>
- Huang C, Huang Y, Cheng H, Huang Y (2009) Kinetic study of an immobilized iron oxide for catalytic degradation of azo dye reactive black B with catalytic decomposition of hydrogen peroxide. *Catal Commun* 10(5):561–566. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2008.10.033>
- Hug SJ, Leupin O (2003) Iron-catalyzed oxidation of Arsenic(III) by oxygen and by hydrogen peroxide: pH-dependent formation of oxidants in the Fenton reaction. *Environ Sci Technol* 37(12):2734–2742. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es026208x>
- Hui SJ, Lan FJ, Hui SS, Qing PIY, Ke SM, Yan S (2012) Degradation of the antibiotic sulfamonomethoxine sodium in aqueous solution by photo-Fenton oxidation. *Environ Sci Technol* 57:558–564. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-011-4887-z>
- Isarain-Chávez E, Garrido JA, Rodríguez RM, Centellas F, Arias C, Cabot PL, Brillas E (2011) Mineralization of metoprolol by electro-Fenton and photoelectro-Fenton processes. *J Phys Chem A* 115(7):1234–1242. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp110753r>
- Jafari N, Kasra-Kermanshahi R, Soud MR, Mahvi AH, Gharavi S (2012) Degradation of a textile reactive azo dye by a combine biological-photocatalytic process: candidatropicalis Jks2-TiO₂/UV. *Iran J Environ Health Sci Eng* 9(33):1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1735-2746-9-33>
- Kakavandi B, Takdastan A, Jafaarzadeh N, Azizi M, Mirzaei A, Azari A (2016) Application of Fe₃O₄@C catalyzing heterogeneous UV-Fenton system for tetracycline removal with a focus on optimization by a response surface method. *J Photochem Photobiol A Chem* 314:178–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2015.08.008>
- Kalishwaralal K, Jeyabharathi S, Sundar K, Muthukumar A (2016) A novel one-pot green synthesis of selenium nanoparticles and evaluation of its toxicity in zebrafish embryos. *Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol* 44(2):471–477. <https://doi.org/10.3109/21691401.2014.962744>
- Kang S, Bokare AD, Park Y, Choi CH, Choi W (2017) Electron shuttling catalytic effect of mellitic acid in zero-valent iron induced oxidative degradation. *Catal Today* 282:65–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2016.03.009>
- Karalolia P, Michael I, Fernandez IC, Aguera A, Malato S, Ibanez PF, Kassinos DF (2014) Reduction of clarithromycin and sulfamethoxazole resistant enterococcus by pilot scale solar driven Fenton oxidation. *Sci Total Environ* 468–469:19–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.08.027>
- Karalolia P, Koradatou IM, Hapeshi E, Schwartz JT, Kassinos DF (2017) Investigation of the potential of membrane bioreactor followed by solar Fenton oxidation to remove antibiotic related microcontaminants. *Chem Eng J* 310:491–502. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.04.113>
- Kasiri MB, Aleboye H, Aleboye A (2008) Degradation of acid blue 74 using Fe-ZSM5 zeolite as a heterogeneous photo-Fenton catalyst. *Appl Catal B Environ* 84(1–2):9–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2008.02.024>
- Kassinos DF, Vasquez MI, Kummerer K (2011) Transformation products of pharmaceuticals in surface waters and waste water formed during photolysis and advanced oxidation processes:

- degradation, elucidation of byproducts and assessment of their biological potency. *Chemosphere* 85(5):693–709. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.06.082>
- Katsoyiannis IA, Ruettimann T, Hug SJ (2008) pH dependence of Fenton reagent generation and As(III) oxidation and removal by corrosion of zero valent iron in aerated water. *Environ Sci Technol* 42(19):7424–7430. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es800649p>
- Kaur R, Wani SP, Singh SP, Singh AK, Lal K (2012) Waste water production, treatment and use in India
- Khataee A, Gholami P, Vahid B, Joo SW (2016) Heterogeneous sono-Fenton process using pyrite nanorods prepared by non-thermal plasma for degradation of an anthraquinone dye. *Ultrason Sonochem* 32:357–370. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ulsono.2016.04.002>
- Kim H, Hwang YS, Sharma VK (2014) Adsorption of antibiotics and iopromide onto single-walled and multi-walled carbon nanotubes. *Chem Eng J* 255:23–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2014.06.035>
- Kitsiou V, Antoniadis A, Mantzavinos D, Poullos I (2014) Homogeneous photo-Fenton mineralization of the antibiotic sulfamethazine in water under UV-A visible and solar irradiation. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 89:1668–1674. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.4237>
- Klatte S, Schaefer H, Hempel M (2017) Pharmaceuticals in the environment—a short review on options to minimize the exposure of humans, animals and ecosystems. *Sustain Chem Pharm* 5:61–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scp.2016.07.001>
- Kralchevska RP, Prucek R, Kolarik J, Tucek J, Machala L, Filip J, Sharma VK, Zboril R (2016) Remarkable efficiency of phosphate removal: Ferrate(VI)-induced in situ sorption on core-shell nanoparticles. *Water Res* 103:83–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.07.021>
- Le C, Liang J, Wu J, Li P, Wang X, Zhu N, Wu P, Yang B (2011) Effective degradation of para-chloronitrobenzene through a sequential treatment using zero-valent iron reduction and Fenton oxidation. *Water Sci Technol* 64(10):2126–2131. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2011.803>
- Li Y, Lu Y, Zhu X (2006) Photo-Fenton discoloration of the azo dye X-3B over pillared bentonites containing iron. *J Hazard Mater* 132:196–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.07.090>
- Li J, Mailhot G, Wu F, Deng N (2012) Photodegradation of E2 in the presence of natural montmorillonite and the iron complexing agent ethylenediamine-N,N'-disuccinic acid. *Photochem Photobiol Sci*. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c2pp25159k>
- Li H, Pan Y, Wang Z, Chen S, Guo R, Chen J (2015) An algal process treatment combined with the Fenton reaction for high concentrations of amoxicillin and cefradine. *RSC Adv* 5:100775–100782. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA21508K>
- Li L, Song C, Huang Y, Zhou Y (2016) Investigation of BTEX removal efficiency using the electrolytic oxidation and Fenton's reaction. *J Water Chem Technol* 38:149–157. <https://doi.org/10.3103/S1063455X1603005X>
- Li X, Zhu K, Pang J, Tian M, Liu J, Rykov AI, Zheng M, Wang X, Zhu X, Huang Y, Liu B, Wang J, Yang W, Zhang T (2018) Unique role of Mossbauer spectroscopy in assessing structural features of heterogeneous catalysts. *Appl Catal B Environ* 224:518–532. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.11.004>
- Lima MJ, Leblebici ME, Dias MM, Lopes JCB, Silva CG, Silva AMT, Faria JL (2014) Continuous flow photo-Fenton treatment of ciprofloxacin in aqueous solution using homogeneous and magnetically recoverable catalyst. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 21:1116–11125. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-2515-6>
- Lima MJ, Silva CG, Silva AMT, Lopes JCB, Dias MM, Faria JL (2017) Homogeneous and heterogeneous photo-Fenton degradation of antibiotics using an innovative static mixer photoreactor. *Chem Eng J* 310:342–351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.04.032>
- Lin H, Oturan N, Wu J, Sharma VK, Zhang H, Oturan MA (2017a) Removal of artificial sweetener aspartame from aqueous media by electrochemical advanced oxidation processes. *Chemosphere* 167:220–227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.09.143>
- Lin H, Oturan N, Wu J, Zhang H, Oturan MA (2017b) Cold incineration of sucralose in aqueous solution by electro-Fenton process. *Sep Purif Technol* 173:218–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2016.09.028>
- Liu J, Yang W (2012a) Water sustainability for China and beyond. *Science* 337:649–650. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1219471>
- Liu J, Yang W (2012b) Water sustainability for China and beyond. *Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1219471>
- Liu C, Wu B, ChLiu C, Wu B, Chen X (2018) Sulfate radical-based oxidation for sludge treatment: A review. *Chem Eng J*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.10.162>
- Loannou L, Velegriaki T, Michael C, Mantzavinos D, Kassinos DF (2013) Sunlight, iron and radicals to tackle the resistant leftovers of bio treated winery wastewater. *Photochem Photobiol Sci* 12:664–670. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C2PP25192B>
- Lucas MS, Dias AA, Sampaio A, Amaral C, Peres J (2007) Degradation of a textile reactive Azo dye by a combined chemical-biological process: Fenton's reagent-yeast. *Water Res* 41(5):1103–1109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2006.12.013>
- Lyu L, Hu C (2017) Heterogeneous Fenton catalytic water treatment technology and mechanism. *Progr Chem* 29:981–999. <https://doi.org/10.7536/PC170552>
- Ma Y (2012) Short review: current trends and future challenges in the application of sono-Fenton oxidation for wastewater treatment. *Sustain Environ Res* 22(5):271–278
- Ma YS, Sung CF, Lin JG (2010) Degradation of carbofuran in aqueous solution by ultrasound and Fenton process: effect of system parameters and kinetic study. *J Hazard Mater* 178:320–325. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.01.081>
- Mandal T, Maity S, Dasgupta D, Datta S (2010) Advanced oxidation process and biotreatment: their roles in combined industrial wastewater treatment. *Desalination* 250(1):87–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2009.04.012>
- Matafonova G, Batoev V (2018) Recent advances in application of UV light-emitting diodes for degrading organic pollutants in water through advanced oxidation processes: a review. *Water Res* 132:177–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.12.079>
- Michael I, Hapeshi E, Michael C, Varela AR, Kyriakou S, Manaia CM, Kassinos DF (2012) Solar photo-Fenton process on the abatement of antibiotics at a pilot scale: degradation kinetics, ecotoxicity and phytotoxicity assessment and removal of antibiotic resistant enterococci. *Water Res* 46:5621–5634. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.07.049>
- Michael I, Hapeshi E, Acena J, Perez S, Petrovic M, Zapata A, Barcelo D, Malato S, Kassinos DF (2013) Light-induced catalytic transformation of ofloxacin by solar Fenton in various water matrices at a pilot plant: mineralization and characterization of major intermediate products. *Sci Total Environ* 461–462:39–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.04.054>
- Mirzaei A, Chen Z, Haghghat F, Yerushalmi L (2017) Removal of pharmaceuticals from water by homo/heterogeneous Fenton-type processes—a review. *Chemosphere* 174:665–688. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.02.019>
- Mohammadi AS, Yazdanbakhsh AR, Sardar M (2013) Chemical oxygen demand removal from synthetic wastewater containing non-beta lactam antibiotics using advanced oxidation process: a comparative study. *Arch Hyg Sci* 2(1):23–30. <http://jhygiene.muq.ac.ir/article-1-122-en.html>
- Morales-Pérez AA, Arias C, Ramírez Zamora R (2016a) Removal of atrazine from water using an iron photo catalyst supported on

- activated carbon. *Adsorpt* 22:48–58. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10450-015-9739-8>
- Morales-Pérez AA, Maravilla P, Solís-López M, Schouwenars R, Durán-Moreno A, Ramírez-Zamora R (2016b) Optimization of the synthesis process of an iron oxide nanocatalyst supported on activated carbon for the inactivation of *Ascaris* eggs in water using the heterogeneous Fenton-like reaction. *Water Sci Technol* 73(5):1000–1009. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2015.576>
- Moreira FC, Soler J, Alpendurada MF, Boaventura RAR, Brillas E, Vilar VJP (2016) Tertiary treatment of a municipal wastewater toward pharmaceuticals removal by chemical and electrochemical advanced oxidation processes. *Water Res* 105:251–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.08.036>
- Moussset E, Frunzo L, Esposito G, Van Hullebusch ED, Oturan N, Oturan MA (2016) A complete phenol oxidation pathway obtained during electro-Fenton treatment and validated by a kinetic model study. *Appl Catal B Environ* 180:189–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.06.014>
- Moussset E, Oturan N, Oturan MA (2018) An unprecedented route of $\cdot\text{OH}$ radical reactivity evidenced by an electrocatalytic process: ipso-substitution with per halogeno carbon compounds. *Appl Catal B Environ* 226:135–146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.12.028>
- Moya MP, Graells M, Castells G, Amigo J, Ortega E, Buhigas G, Perez LM, Mansilla HD (2010) Characterization of the degradation performance of the sulfamethazine antibiotic by photo-Fenton process. *Water Res* 44:2533–2540. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.01.032>
- Nidheesh PV, Olvera-Vargas H, Oturan N, Oturan MA (2017) Heterogeneous electro-Fenton process: principles and applications. In: Zhou M, Oturan MA, Sirés I (eds) *Electro-Fenton process: new trends and scale-up*. Handbook of Environmental Chemistr, vol 61. Springer, Singapore, pp 85–110. https://doi.org/10.1007/698_2017_72
- Nidheesh PV, Zhou M, Oturan MA (2018) An overview on the removal of synthetic dyes from water by electrochemical advanced oxidation processes. *Chemosphere* 197:210–227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.12.195>
- Olvera-Vargas H, Cocerva T, Oturan N, Buisson D, Oturan MA (2016a) Bioelectro-Fenton: a sustainable integrated process for removal of organic pollutants from water: application to mineralization of metoprolol. *J Hazard Mater* 319:13–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.12.010>
- Olvera-Vargas H, Oturan N, Buisson D, Oturan MA (2016b) A coupled Bio-EF process for mineralization of the pharmaceuticals Furosemide and Ranitidine: feasibility assessment. *Chemosphere* 155:606–613. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.04.091>
- Oturan MA, Aaron J-J (2014) Advanced oxidation processes in water/wastewater treatment: principles and applications: a review. *Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol* 44:2577–2641. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2013.829765>
- Oturan E, Oturan N, Oturan MA (2018) An unprecedented route of $[\text{rad}]\text{OH}$ radical reactivity evidenced by an electrocatalytic process: Ipso-substitution with perhalogenocarbon compounds. *Appl Catal B Environ*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.12.028>
- Oturan MA, Sirés I, Oturan N, Pérocheau S, Laborde J, Trévin S (2008) Sono-electro-Fenton process: a novel hybrid technique for the destruction of organic pollutants in water. *J Electroanal Chem* 624(1–2):329–332. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2008.08.005>
- Oturan N, Wu J, Zhang H, Sharma VK, Oturan MA (2013) Electrocatalytic destruction of the antibiotic tetracycline in aqueous medium by electrochemical advanced oxidation processes: effect of electrode materials. *Appl Catal B Environ* 140–141:92–97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2013.03.035>
- Ouiriemmi I, Karrab A, Oturan N, Pazos M, Rozales E, Gadri A, Sanromán MÁ, Ammar S, Oturan MA (2017) Heterogeneous electro-Fenton using natural pyrite as solid catalyst for oxidative degradation of vanillic acid. *J Electroanal Chem* 797:69–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2017.05.028>
- Özcan A, Atilir Özcan A, Demirci Y (2016) Evaluation of mineralization kinetics and pathway of norfl xacin removal from water by electro-Fenton treatment. *Chem Eng J* 304:518–526. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.06.105>
- Özdemir C, Öden MK, Sahinkaya S, Kalipçi E (2011) Color removal from synthetic textile wastewater by sono-Fenton process. *CLEAN Soil Air Water* 39(1):60–67. <https://doi.org/10.1002/clen.201000263>
- Pi L, Yang N, Han W, Xiao W, Wang D, Xiong Y, Zhou M, Hou H, Mao X (2018) Heterogeneous activation of peroxymonocarbonate by Co-Mn oxides for the efficient degradation of chlorophenols in the presence of a naturally occurring level of bicarbonate. *Chem Eng J* 334:1297–1308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.11.006>
- Pignatello JJ, Oliveros E, MacKay A (2006) Advanced oxidation processes for organic contaminant destruction based on the Fenton reaction and related chemistry. *Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol* 36:1–84. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643380500326564>
- Pliego G, Zazo JA, Garcia-Muñoz P, Munoz M, Casas JA, Rodriguez JJ (2015) Trends in the intensification of the Fenton process for wastewater treatment: an overview. *Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol* 36:1–84. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2015.1025646>
- Poerschmann J, Trommler U, Gorecki T (2010) Aromatic intermediate formation during oxidative degradation of bisphenol A by homogeneous sub stoichiometric Fenton reaction. *Chemosphere* 79:975–986. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.03.030>
- Prachi K, Anushree M (2009) Fungal dye decolorization: recent advances and future potential. *Environ Int* 35:127–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2008.05.010>
- Pulicharla R, Brar SK, Rouissi T, Auger S, Drogui P, Verma M, Surampalli RY (2017) Degradation of chlortetracycline in waste water sludge by ultrasonication, Fenton oxidation and ferro sonication. *UltrasonSonochem* 34:332–342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2016.05.042>
- Rachmilovich-Calis S, Masarwa A, Meyerstein N, Meyerstein DvE R (2009) New mechanistic aspects of the Fenton reaction. *Chem Eur J* 15:8303–8309. <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200802572>
- Ranjit PJD, Palanivelu K, Lee CS (2008) Degradation of 2,4-dichlorophenol in aqueous solution by sono-Fenton method. *Korean J Chem Eng* 25:112–117. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11814-008-0020-7>
- Rozas O, Contreras D, Mondaca MA, Moya MP, Mansilla HD (2010) Experimental design of Fenton and photo Fenton reactions for the treatment of ampicillin solutions. *J Hazard Mater* 177:1025–1030. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.01.023>
- Rush JD, Bielski BHJ (1985) Pulse radiolytic studies of the reactions of $\text{HO}_2/\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ with Fe(II)/Fe(III) ions. The reactivity of $\text{HO}_2/\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ with ferric ions and its implication on the occurrence of the Haber-Weiss reaction. *J Phys Chem* 89(23):5062–5066. <https://doi.org/10.1021/j100269a035>
- Salimi M, Esrafi A, Gholami M, Jonidi Jafari A, Rezaei Kalantary R, Farzadkia M, Kermani M, Sobhi HR (2017) Contaminants of emerging concern: a review of new approach in AOP technologies. *Environ Monit Assess* 189(8):414. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-017-6097-x>
- Sanchez PTA, Hernandez IL, Miranda VM, Lugo VL, De-oca RMGFM (2014) Waste water treatment of methyl methacrylate by Fenton's reagent and adsorption. *Catal Today* 220–222:39–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2013.09.006>

- Santos LVDS, Meireles AM, Lange LC (2015) Degradation of antibiotics norfloxacin by Fenton, UV and UV/H₂O₂. *J Environ Manag* 154:8–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.02.021>
- Sarria V, Parra S, Invernizzi M, Pulgarin C (2001) Photochemical-biological treatment of a real industrial biorecalcitrant waste water containing 5-amino-6-methyl-2-benzimidazolone. *Water Sci Technol* 44:93–101 PMID:11695489
- Schmeller DS, Loyau A, Bao K, Brack W, Chatzinotas A, De Vleeschouwer F, Friesen J, Gandois L, Hansson SV, Haver M, Le Roux G, Shen J, Teisserenc R, Vredenburg VT (2018) People, pollution and pathogens—global change impacts in mountain freshwater ecosystems. *Sci Total Environ* 622–623:756–763. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.006>
- Schrank SG, Jose HJ, Moreira RFP, Schroder HF (2005) Applicability of Fenton and H₂O₂/UV reaction in the treatment of tannery waste waters. *Chemosphere* 60:644–655. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.01.033>
- Schwarzenbach RP, Escher BI, Fenner K, Hofstetter TB, Johnson CA, Von Gunten U, Wehrli B (2006) The challenge of micropollutants in aquatic systems. *Science* 313:1072–1077. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1127291>
- Schwarzenbach RP, Egli T, Hofstetter TB, Von Gunten U, Wehrli B (2010) Global water pollution and human health. *Annu Rev Environ Resour* 35:109–136. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-envir-100809-125342>
- Serpone N, Artemev YM, Ryabchuk VK, Emeline AV, Horikoshi S (2017) Light-driven advanced oxidation processes in the disposal of emerging pharmaceutical contaminants in aqueous media: a brief review. *Curr Opin Green Sustain Chem* 6:18–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2017.05.003>
- Shannon MA, Bohn PW, Elimelech M, Georgiadis JG, Mrinas BJ, Mayes AM (2008) Science and technology for water purification in the coming decades. *Nature* 452:301–310. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06599>
- Sharma VK (2013) Oxidation of amino acids, peptides, and proteins. Wiley Inc, New Jersey
- Sharma VK, Feng M (2017) Water depollution using metal-organic frameworks-catalyzed advanced oxidation processes: a review. *J Hazard Mater*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.09.043>
- Sharma VK, Sohn M (2009) Aquatic arsenic: toxicity, speciation, transformations, and remediation. *Environ Int* 35:743–759. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2009.01.005>
- Sharma VK, McDonald TJ, Kim H, Garg VK (2015) Magnetic graphene-carbon nanotube iron nanocomposites as adsorbents and antibacterial agents for water purification. *Adv Colloid Interface Sci* 225:229–240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2015.10.006>
- Sharma VK, Johnson N, Cizmas L, McDonald TJ, Kim H (2016) A review of the influence of treatment strategies on antibiotic resistant bacteria and antibiotic resistance genes. *Chemosphere* 150:702–714. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.12.084>
- Shimizu A, Tokumura M, Nakajima K, Kawase Y (2012) Phenol removal using zero-valent iron powder in the presence of dissolved oxygen: roles of decomposition by the Fenton reaction and adsorption/precipitation. *J Hazard Mater* 201–201:60–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.11.009>
- Shriwas AK, Gogate PR (2011) Ultrasonic degradation of methyl parathion in aqueous solutions: intensification using additives and scale up aspects. *Sep Purif Technol* 79:1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2011.02.034>
- Sillanpää M, Neibi MC, Matilainen A (2018) Advanced oxidation processes for the removal of natural organic matter from drinking water sources: a comprehensive review. *J Environ Manag* 208:56–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.12.009>
- Silva CR, Maniero MG, Rath S, Guimaraes JR (2013) Degradation of flumequine by the Fenton and photo Fenton processes: evaluation of residual antimicrobial activity. *Sci Total Environ* 445–446:337–346. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.079>
- Sirés I, Brillas E, Oturan MA, Rodrigo MA, Panizza M (2014) Electrochemical advanced oxidation processes: today and tomorrow: a review. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 21(14):8336–8367. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-2783-1>
- Sousa JCG, Ribeiro AR, Barbosa MO, Pereira MFR, Silva AMT (2018) A review on environmental monitoring of water organic pollutants identified by EU guidelines. *J Hazard Mater* 344:146–162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.09.058>
- Steter JR, Brillas E, Sirés I (2018) Solar photoelectro-Fenton treatment of a mixture of parabens spiked into secondary treated wastewater effluent at low input current. *Appl Catal B Environ* 224:410–418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.10.060>
- Sum OS, Feng J, Hu X, Po L (2004) Pillared laponite clay-based Fe nanocomposites as heterogeneous catalyst for photo Fenton degradation of acid black 1. *Chem Eng Sci* 59:5269–5275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2004.09.032>
- Tayo LL, Caparanga AR, Doma BT, Liao C (2018) A Review on the removal of pharmaceutical and personal care products (PPCPs) using advanced oxidation processes. *J Adv Oxid Technol* 21(1):196–214. <https://doi.org/10.26802/jaots.2017.0079>
- Tekin H, Bilkay O, Ataberk SS, Baltas TH, Ceribasi IH, Sanin FD, Dilek FB, Yetis U (2006) Use of Fenton oxidation to improve the biodegradability of a pharmaceutical waste water. *J Hazard Mater* 136:258–265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.12.012>
- Trellu C, Mousset E, Pechaud Y, Huguenot D, van Hullebusch ED, Esposito G, Oturan MA (2016) Removal of hydrophobic organic pollutants from soil washing/flushing solutions: a critical review. *J Hazard Mater* 306:149–174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.12.008>
- Trovo AG, Nogueira RFP, Agüera A, Alba ARF, Sirtori C, Malato S (2009) Degradation of sulfamethoxazole in water by solar Fenton-Chemical and toxicological evaluation. *Water Res* 43:3922–3931. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.04.006>
- Trovo AG, Nogueira RFP, Agüera A, Alba ARF, Malato S (2011) Degradation of the antibiotics amoxicillin by photo-Fenton process-Chemical and toxicological assessment. *Water Res* 45(3):1394–1402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.10.029>
- Turbay EY, Jaen E, Graells M, Moya MP (2013) Enhanced photo Fenton process for tetracycline degradation using efficient hydrogen peroxide dosage. *J Photochem Photobiol A Chem* 267:11–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2013.05.008>
- Uribe IO, Mosquera-Corral A, Lema JM, Esplugas S (2015) Advanced technologies for water treatment and reuse. *AIChe J* 61(10):3146–3159. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.15013>
- Usman M, Hanna K, Haderlein S (2016) Fenton oxidation to remediate PAHs in contaminated soils: a critical review of major limitations and counter-strategies. *Sci Total Environ* 569–570:179–190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.06.135>
- Velichkova F, Delmas H, Julcour C, Koumanova B (2017) Heterogeneous Fenton and photo-Fenton oxidation for paracetamol removal using iron containing ZSM-5 zeolite as catalyst. *AIChe J* 63(2):397–854. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.15369>
- Vidal J, Huiliñir C, Santander R, Silva-Agüero J, Torres-Palma RA, Salazar R (2018) Effective removal of the antibiotic nafcillin from water by combining the photoelectro-Fenton process and anaerobic biological digestion. *Sci Total Environ* 624:1095–1105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.159>
- Vilardi G, Sebastiani D, Miliziano S, Verdone N, Di Palma L (2018) Heterogeneous nZVI-induced Fenton oxidation process to enhance biodegradability of excavation by-products. *Chem Eng J* 335:309–320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.10.152>
- Von Sonntag C (2008) Advanced oxidation processes: mechanistic aspects. *Water Sci Technol* 58:1015–1021. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2008.467>

- Von Sonntag C, Schuchmann HP (1997) Peroxy radicals in aqueous solutions. In: Alfassi ZB (ed) Peroxy radicals, Wiley, pp 173–234
- Vörösmarty CJ, McIntyre PB, Gessner MO, Dudgeon D, Prusevich A, Green P, Glidden S, Bunn SE, Sullivan CA, Liermann CR, Davies PM (2010) Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity. *Nature* 467:555–561. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09440>
- Walter MV, Vennes JW (1985) Occurrence of multiple-antibiotic resistant enteric bacteria in domestic sewage and oxidative lagoons. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 50:930–933
- Wan Z, Hu J, Wang J (2016) Removal of sulfamethazine antibiotics using Ce-Fe-graphene nanocomposite as catalyst by Fenton-like process. *J Environ Manag* 182:284–291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.07.088>
- Wang A, Li YY, Estrada AL (2011) Mineralization of antibiotics sulfamethoxazole by photoelectron-Fenton treatment using activated carbon fiber cathode and under UVA radiation. *Appl Catal B Environ* 102:378–386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2010.12.007>
- Wang H, Jiang H, Wang S, She W, He J, Liu H, Huang Y (2014) Fe₃O₄-MWCNT magnetic nanocomposites as efficient peroxidase mimic catalyst in Fenton-like reaction for water purification without pH limitation. *RSC Adv* 4:45809–45815. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RA07327D>
- Wang L, Cao M, Ai Z, Zhang L (2015) Design of a highly efficient and wide pH electro-Fenton oxidation system with molecular oxygen activated by ferrous-tetrapolyphosphate complex. *Environ Sci Technol* 49(5):3032–3039. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es505984y>
- Wang N, Zheng T, Zhang G, Wang P (2016) A review on Fenton-like processes for organic wastewater treatment. *J Environ Chem Eng* 4(1):762–787. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2015.12.016>
- Watkinson AJ, Murby EJ, Costanzo SD (2007) Removal of antibiotics in conventional and advanced wastewater treatment: implications for environmental discharge and wastewater recycling. *Water Res* 41:4164–4176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.04.005>
- Weller C, Horn S, Herrmann H (2013a) Effects of Fe(III)-concentration, speciation, excitation-wavelength and light intensity on the quantum yield of iron(III)-oxalato complex photolysis. *J Photochem Photobiol A Chem* 255:41–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2013.01.014>
- Weller C, Horn S, Herrmann H (2013b) Photolysis of Fe(III) carboxylato complexes: Fe(II) quantum yields and reaction mechanisms. *J Photochem Photobiol A Chem* 268:24–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2013.06.022>
- Werber JR, Osuji CO, Elimelech M (2016) Materials for next-generation desalination and water purification membranes. *Nat Rev Mater* 1(5):16018. <https://doi.org/10.1038/natrevmats.2016.18>
- World Health Organization (2017) A report on progress on drinking water: 2017 update and Sustainable Development Goal Baselines
- Wu D, Chen Y, Zhang Z, Feng Y, Liu Y, Fan J, Zhang Y (2016) Enhanced oxidation of chloramphenicol by GLDA-driven pyrite induced heterogeneous Fenton like reaction at alkaline condition. *Chem Eng J* 294:49–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.02.097>
- Xing ZP, Sun DZ (2009) Treatment of antibiotic fermentation wastewater by combined polyferric sulfate coagulation, Fenton and sedimentation process. *J Hazard Mater* 168:1264–1268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.03.008>
- Yahya MS, Beqqal N, Guessous A, Arhoutane MR, Kacemi KE (2017) Degradation and mineralization of moxifloxacin antibiotic in aqueous medium by electro-Fenton process: kinetic assessment and oxidation product. *Cog Chem* 3:1290021–1290032. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23312009.2017.1290021>
- Yalfani MS, Contreras S, Medina F, Sueiras J (2009) Phenol degradation by Fenton's process using catalytic in situ generated hydrogen peroxide. *Appl Catal B Environ* 89:519–526. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2009.01.007>
- Yuan Y, Lai B, Tang YY (2016) Combined Fe⁰/air and Fenton process for the treatment of dinitrodiazophenol (DDNP) industry wastewater. *Chem Eng J* 283:1514–1521. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.08.104>
- Zepp RG, Faust BC, Jürg H (1992) Hydroxyl radical formation in aqueous reactions (pH 3–8) of iron(II) with hydrogen peroxide: the photo-Fenton reaction. *Environ Sci Technol*. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es00026a011>
- Zhang G, Ji S, Xi B (2006) Feasibility study of treatment of amoxicillin wastewater with a combination of extraction, Fenton oxidation, reverse osmosis. *Desalination* 196:32–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2005.11.018>
- Zhang Y, He C, Sharma VK, Li X, Tian S, Xiong Y (2011a) A coupling process of membrane separation and heterogeneous Fenton-like catalytic oxidation for treatment of acid orange II-containing wastewater. *Sep Purif Technol* 80:45–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2011.04.004>
- Zhang Y, He C, Sharma V, Li X, Tian S, Xiong Y (2011b) A new reactor coupling heterogeneous Fenton-like catalytic oxidation with membrane separation for degradation of organic pollutants. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 86:1488–1494
- Zhang W, Gao H, He J, Yang P, Wang D, Ma T, Xia H, Xu X (2017) Removal of norfloxacin using coupled synthesized nano scale zero valent iron (nZVI) with H₂O₂ system: optimization of operating conditions and degradation pathway. *Sep Purif Technol* 172:158–167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2016.08.008>
- Zubir NA, Yacou C, Zhang X, Diniz da Costa JC (2014a) Optimization of graphene oxide-iron oxide nanocomposite in heterogeneous Fenton like oxidation of acid orange 7. *Environ Chem Eng* 2:1881–1888. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2014.08.001>
- Zubir NA, Yacou C, Motuzas J, Zhang X, Diniz da Costa JC (2014b) Structural and functional investigation of graphene oxide-Fe₃O₄ nanocomposites for the heterogeneous Fenton like reaction. *Sci Rep* 4:1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep04594>