



HAL
open science

Distribution trend of trace elements in digestate exposed to air: laboratory-scale investigations using DGT-based fractionation

Andreina Laera, Rémy Buzier, Gilles Guibaud, Giovanni Esposito, Eric D. van Hullebusch

► To cite this version:

Andreina Laera, Rémy Buzier, Gilles Guibaud, Giovanni Esposito, Eric D. van Hullebusch. Distribution trend of trace elements in digestate exposed to air: laboratory-scale investigations using DGT-based fractionation. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 2019, 238 (159-165), 10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.02.120 . hal-02053015

HAL Id: hal-02053015

<https://hal.science/hal-02053015>

Submitted on 28 Feb 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distribution trend of trace elements in digestate exposed to air: laboratory-scale investigations using DGT-based fractionation

Authors and affiliation

Andreina Laera^{a,b}, Rémy Buzier^{b*}, Gilles Guibaud^b, Giovanni Esposito^c, Eric D. van Hullebusch^d

^a University of Paris-Est, Laboratoire Géomatériaux et Environnement (EA 4508), UPEM, 77454 Marne-la-Vallée, France, andreina.laera@u-pem.fr or piedelibero89@gmail.com

^b University of Limoges, PEIRENE, Equipe Développement d'indicateurs ou prévision de la qualité des eaux, URA IRSTEA, 123 Avenue Albert Thomas, 87060 Limoges Cedex, France

^c University of Napoli "Federico II", Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering, via Claudio 21, 80125 Napoli, Italy


^d Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Université Paris Diderot, UMR 7154, CNRS, F-75005 Paris, France

*Corresponding author: remy.buzier@unilim.fr



Lab-scale digestate tank

Air exchange



Mobility during digestate management?



Highlights

- Distribution trend of 14 trace elements was studied in digestate under air exposure;
- DGT was used as trace elements fractionation tool to assess the labile fraction;
- Aeration promoted dissolution of Al, As, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo and Pb;
- Forced aeration promoted an increase of labile Al, As, Co, Mo, Ni, Sb, Se and W;
- Al, As, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn and Pb were mainly present as particulate despite aeration.

Distribution trend of trace elements in digestate exposed to air: laboratory-scale investigations using DGT-based fractionation

Authors and affiliation

Andreina Laera^{a,b}, Rémy Buzier^{b*}, Gilles Guibaud^b, Giovanni Esposito^c, Eric D. van Hullebusch^d

^a University of Paris-Est, Laboratoire Géomatériaux et Environnement (EA 4508), UPEM, 77454 Marne-la-Vallée, France, andreina.laera@u-pem.fr or piedelibero89@gmail.com

^b University of Limoges, PEIRENE, Equipe Développement d'indicateurs ou prévision de la qualité des eaux, URA IRSTEA, 123 Avenue Albert Thomas, 87060 Limoges Cedex, France

^c University of Napoli "Federico II", Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering, via Claudio 21, 80125 Napoli, Italy

^d Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Université Paris Diderot, UMR 7154, CNRS, F-75005 Paris, France

*Corresponding author: remy.buzier@unilim.fr

Abstract

1 The use of digestate as amendment for agricultural soils has already been proposed as an
2 alternative to mineral fertilizers or undigested organic matter. However, little information is
3 available concerning the effect of digestate atmospheric exposure on trace elements speciation
4 and, consequently, on their mobility and bio-accessibility when digestate is stored in open tanks

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

5 or handled before land spreading. In this study, we investigated at laboratory-scale the effect of
6 digestate aeration on the distribution of Al, As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se and
7 W using the diffusive gradients in thin films technique (DGT)-based fractionation. For this
8 purpose, experiments were performed to assess the variation in distribution between the labile,
9 soluble and particulate fractions over time in digested sewage sludge during passive and forced
10 aeration. Results showed that aeration promoted a dissolution of Al, As, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo
11 and Pb, suggesting a possible increase in their mobility that may likely occur during storage in
12 open tanks or handling before land spreading. Labile elements' fraction increased only during
13 forced aeration (except for Fe and Mn), suggesting that their short-term bio-accessibility can
14 increase only after significant aeration as the one assumed to occur when land spreading takes
15 place.

Keywords

Metals

Metalloids

Digested sewage sludge

Fractionation

Diffusive Gradients in Thin Films (DGT)

Speciation

1. Introduction

The use of digestate, a by-product of anaerobic digestion of organic residues (Möller and Müller, 2012), as amendment for agricultural soils and substitute of mineral fertilizers (Riva et al., 2016) is gaining importance as a result of the increasing use of biogas plants running on different organic feedstock (Scarlat et al., 2018). However, the presence of potentially hazardous trace elements (TEs) (*e.g.* cadmium (Cd), copper (Cu) and lead (Pb)) in digestate, may prevent its use in agriculture (Kupper et al., 2014; Tampio et al., 2016). The bio-accessibility of TEs not only depends on their total concentration but also on their speciation (Hooda, 2010). Therefore, screening of TEs speciation is required to assess the harm or benefit associated with digestate before land spreading (van Hullebusch et al., 2016).

According to the spreading season, digestate could be stored for several months (Plana and Noche, 2016) in open tanks (Boulamanti et al., 2013; Liebetrau et al., 2010). During storage in open tanks and handling before land spreading, digestate will be exposed to air. Such exposure will alter the anaerobic status of digestate which in turn may alter the speciation of TEs and consequently affect their mobility and bio-accessibility in the environment. Although no information is available, to the best of our knowledge, for digestate, Øygaard et al. (2007) demonstrated that atmospheric exposure impacts on TEs' distribution in municipal solid waste landfill leachates. Therefore, new investigations are needed to assess the potential impact of digestate aeration on TEs speciation for risk assessment before land application.

Total element content in digestate is commonly determined after solubilization (usually acid digestion) with conventional methods for TEs analysis in liquids such as ICP-MS (Dragicevic et al., 2018a) and ICP-OES (Cao et al. 2018). The mobility and bio-accessibility of TEs in digestate are usually studied using different techniques such as sequential extractions like the modified

1
2
3
4 38 Tessier method (Ortner et al., 2014) or extraction with deionized water only (Dragicevic et al.,
5
6 39 2018b). Alternatively, the diffusive gradients in thin films technique (DGT) can be used to screen
7
8
9 40 the presence of labile elements (*i.e.* the most readily bio-accessible form of TEs (Zhang and
10
11 41 Davison, 2015) into the environmental matrix. In particular, DGT-based fractionation was
12
13
14 42 recently validated for digestate matrix (Laera et al., 2019). Compared to conventional
15
16 43 fractionation techniques, DGT has the advantage of measuring the targeted elements *in situ*
17
18
19 44 without affecting the sample and speciation of TEs (Vrana et al., 2005). Moreover, DGT
20
21 45 technique increases the sensitivity of TEs monitoring compared to total acid-soluble
22
23 46 measurements (Laera et al., 2019).

24
25
26 47 Here, the effects of aeration of digested sewage sludge on mobility and bio-accessibility of
27
28
29 48 fourteen TEs were investigated to assess their fate before land spreading. The TEs investigated in
30
31 49 this study are either under EU regulation for application of sewage sludge in agriculture
32
33
34 50 (European Commission, 2016) (*i.e.* Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni and Pb), or selected based on previous studies
35
36 51 (Dragicevic et al., 2018b; Hammér and Kirchmann, 2015; Laera et al., 2019; Øygaard et al., 2007)
37
38
39 52 (*i.e.* Al, As, Co, Fe, Mn, Mo and Se). Antimony (Sb) and W were included because they could be
40
41 53 present in sewage sludge (Fu and Tabatabai, 1988; Healy et al., 2016; McBride, 2003) and
42
43
44 54 generate environmental issues due to their accumulation in plants (Arai, 2010; Charter et al.,
45
46 55 1995).

47
48
49 56 In this study, the conventional particulate/soluble fractionation indicating potential TEs' mobility
50
51 57 was implemented with a DGT-based fractionation procedure to monitor the most bio-accessible
52
53
54 58 species. Experiments were performed at laboratory-scale to assess the time variation of labile,
55
56
57 59 soluble and particulate TEs during passive and forced aeration of digestate. Results were
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

60 discussed assuming that the experimental work can mimic digestate oxidation during storage in
61 open tanks or handling before land spreading.

62 2. Material and methods

63 2.1. Digestate sample

64 Digested sewage sludge was collected from a municipal wastewater treatment plant located in
65 Limoges (France). The digestate derived from activated sludge treated by a mesophilic anaerobic
66 digestion process. About 18 L of sample was collected directly from a pipe before discharge in an
67 open storage tank. The sample was collected in a polypropylene (PP) bucket up to maximum
68 capacity and closed with a lid to limit sample exposure to open air. Once in the laboratory, the
69 sample was stored at 4°C for less than 24 hours before starting the experiment.

70 2.2. DGT preparation

71 We used Chelex-DGTs for cationic species (Al, Cd, Co, Cr (III), Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni and Pb) and
72 zirconia-DGTs (Zr-DGTs) for anionic species (As, Mo, Sb, Se and W). Each DGT consisted of a
73 binding gel (Chelex or Zr), a diffusive gel and a filter membrane enclosed in a piston type holder
74 (purchased from DGT Research, Lancaster, UK). Chelex binding gels were prepared according to
75 the procedure described by Zhang et al. (1998), whereas Zr binding gels were made according to
76 Devillers et al. (2016). Diffusive gels were standard polyacrylamide gels (15% acrylamide and
77 0.3% agarose-derived cross linker, 0.77 mm thick) prepared according to Zhang et al. (1998) and
78 filter membranes were made of cellulose acetate (0.2 µm pore size, 0.12 mm thickness,
79 Whatman, UK).

80 2.3. Experimental set-up

81 About 18 L of digested sludge were poured into a laboratory-scale PP tank placed under a fume
82 hood and continuously stirred with an overhead plastic propeller at 30 rpm (Figure S1) in order to
83 control experimental conditions. Stirring allows optimizing air transfer within the digestate and

1
2
3
4 84 therefore represents a “worst case scenario” compared to unstirred real scale tanks. A Tinytag
5
6 85 data logger (TG-4100, Gemini Data Loggers, UK) was used to record the temperature in the
7
8
9 86 sample every 10 min. The surface of the sample was exposed to air to promote oxidation of the
10
11 87 sample during 10 weeks. The surface to volume ratio varied from 0.39 dm^{-1} ($7.1 \text{ dm}^2:18 \text{ L}$) to
12
13
14 88 0.51 dm^{-1} ($7.1 \text{ dm}^2:14 \text{ L}$) during the experiment because of multiple sample collection (see
15
16 89 below). Therefore, passive aeration was progressively favored while the experiment continued.
17
18
19 90 Then, aeration was enhanced during 2 supplementary weeks by introducing 4 micro-bubble air
20
21 91 diffusers in the digested sludge. All diffusers were connected to air pumps (Newair or Optima)
22
23
24 92 having airflow rates from 60 to 200 L/h.
25
26
27 93 Labile TEs were sampled by deploying three DGTs probes composed either of Chelex or Zr for
28
29 94 24h in the digested sludge. We choose a 24h deployment because it was shown previously to be a
30
31
32 95 good compromise for the studied elements in digestate (Laera et al., 2019).
33
34
35 96 DGTs were deployed according to the following sequence (Figure S1): every day for the 6 first
36
37 97 consecutive days; once per week from week 2 to 10; twice per week for weeks 11 and 12. Blanks
38
39
40 98 DGT devices were also prepared in duplicate and treated alongside exposed devices every week.
41
42
43 99 After DGTs’ retrieval, we measured dissolved O_2 , redox potential (E_h) and pH. Additionally, we
44
45 100 collected an aliquot of sample to measure total and volatile solids (TS and VS), total and volatile
46
47
48 101 suspended solids (TSS and VSS) and soluble TEs. Additionally, we monitored sulfate (SO_4^{2-})
49
50 102 concentration.

53 103 2.4. Analytical procedures

56 104 2.4.1. Physicochemical analysis

58 105 pH and E_h were measured with a Mettler Toledo pH meter and a Radiometer electrode,
59
60
61 106 respectively. Dissolved oxygen was measured using a ProODO™ optical sensor (YSI). Each

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

107 sampling time, about 90 mL of sample was collected in duplicate to measure the total solids (TS),
108 volatile solids (VS), total suspended solids (TSS) and volatile suspended solids (VSS) according
109 to the French standard AFNOR NF T90-105 method.

110 The supernatant recovered during the TSS and VSS analysis was conserved to determine soluble
111 TEs (see section [2.4.2.](#)).

112 2.4.2. Sample treatment and trace elements analysis

113 Total elements' content was determined at the beginning and at the end of the experiment using 5
114 g of raw sample. Each sampling time, soluble elements' concentration was determined from the
115 supernatant recovered during TSS determination. Supernatants and raw samples in duplicate were
116 acid digested with 6 mL of 69% HNO₃ and 3 mL of 37% HCl in a microwave oven (Multiwave
117 GO, Anton Paar GmbH) at 180°C for 60 min.

118 TEs were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, Agilent 7700×)
119 except for Fe which was analyzed by microwave plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (MP-
120 AES, Agilent 4210). Blanks and quality controls at 5 and 10 µg/L were analyzed every 10
121 samples. The recovery was equal or above 86% for each element, except for Sb and W which was
122 equal or above 79% and 76%, respectively, among all analyses.

123 2.5. Element's fractionations calculation

124 The fractionation procedure is presented in Figure 1. Particulate elements' concentration was
125 calculated by subtracting the soluble to the initial total elements content.




Figure 1. Fractionation procedure adopted in this study to estimate total, soluble, particulate and labile elements' fractions.

After retrieval from the digested sludge, DGT samplers were rinsed with ultrapure water and disassembled to recover the binding gels and determine labile elements concentration. The accumulated mass (m) was determined following elution of binding gels in 2 mL of 1 M HNO_3 or 5×10^{-3} M NaOH and 0.5 M H_2O_2 for 24 hours for Chelex and Zr-binding gels, respectively (see Table S1 for elution yields). The concentration of labile TEs, C_{DGT} , was then derived using equation (1) based on Fick's first law (Zhang and Davison, 1995):

$$C_{\text{DGT}} = \frac{m \times \Delta_{\text{MDL}}}{D \times t \times A}, \quad \text{Eq. (1)}$$

where Δ_{MDL} is the thickness of the material diffusion layer (*i.e.* diffusive gel plus membrane, 0.89 mm), t is the time of DGT samplers' exposure in the sludge (24h), D is the coefficient of diffusion of the considered element and A is the geometric area of the DGT holder window (3.14 cm^2). D values were taken from literature (Table S2) and corrected for the average temperature recorded during each deployment using Stokes–Einstein relation (Zhang and Davison, 1999).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

140 The method's limits of detection and quantification (namely MLD and MLQ for total and soluble
141 elements or MLD_{DGT} and MLQ_{DGT} for labile elements) are displayed in Table S3 and S4.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

142 3. Results and discussion

143 3.1. Sample characterization

144 The characteristic of the digested sewage sludge (TS, VS and water concentration) are presented
145 in Figure S2. The results show that the water concentration and the VS content is nearly constant
146 throughout the experiment. In particular, the average water content was $96.2\% \pm 1.6$ and the
147 average VS content was $63.9\% \pm 1.3$. Moreover, the average pH of the digested sludge was $7.8 \pm$
148 0.3 and the E_h was below -50 mV, whatever the aeration of the sludge. The latter is shown in
149 Figure S3.


150 The total elements concentration in the digested sludge is shown in Table S5. Except for Cd, Mo
151 and Ni, the concentration of total elements is not significantly different ($p > 0.05$) at the beginning
152 and at the end of the experiment. For total Cd, Mo and Ni content the difference was significant
153 and could derive from an artifact caused by multiple sampling during the experiment if these
154 elements were not homogeneously distributed in the sludge.

155 3.2. Particulate and soluble concentrations of elements

156 Soluble concentrations of Cd, Ni, Sb, Se and W were below the method's limits of detection or
157 quantification (*i.e.* lower than 12, 721, 102, 1077 and 69 $\mu\text{g/L}$, respectively) during the whole
158 experiment and the impact of aeration on their distribution cannot be discussed. For the other
159 elements (Figure S4), three different trends were observed. An example of each trend is given in
160 Figure 2. Fe and Mn showed limited variations of their particulate and soluble concentrations
161 during the first 15 days of passive aeration. Then, their soluble concentrations doubled up to the
162 66th day of aeration with a limited influence on their particulate concentration. From the 76th day
163 of passive aeration and during the two weeks of forced aeration, the soluble concentration of Fe

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

164 and Mn rapidly doubled. This rapid release in solution generated a slight decrease in particulate
165 Fe (*i.e.* 4% less) and Mn (*i.e.* 5% less). Soluble concentrations of Al, Co, Cr, Cu, Mo and Pb were
166 below MLD or MLQ during most of the passive aeration sequence (Figure 2, Figure S4).
167 However, during forced aeration, the soluble concentration of these elements increased above the
168 detection limits and was followed by a decrease of their particulate concentration. In particular,
169 the soluble Mo concentration prevailed in its total content during forced aeration (Figure S4).
170 Finally, As displayed a slightly different behavior. Although its soluble concentration is nearly
171 constant during the first 22 days of passive aeration, a marked increase was observed from day
172 29. This increase is followed by a decrease of its particulate concentration. Unlike other elements,
173 forced aeration had no significant impact on As soluble concentration.



174





Figure 2. Examples of soluble (dashed line with circles) and particulate (bars) elements' concentration over time. The bold horizontal dashed line is the method limit of detection (MLD) or quantification (MLQ) for soluble elements whereas the vertical dashed line indicates the beginning of forced aeration.

Overall, aeration induces a release in solution of all quantified elements (*i.e.* Al, As, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo and Pb). This release was likely caused by direct oxidation of sulfur precipitates in presence of oxygen from the air (Fermoso et al., 2015). However, oxidation performed by indigenous microorganisms such as sulfur oxidizing bacteria (*i.e.* *Acidithiobacillus* species) (Jain and Tyagi, 1992) is not excluded, though this hypothesis needs further investigations. In both


1
2
3
4 185 cases, sulfide oxidation leads to metal sulfide precipitates dissolution (*e.g.* FeS, CoS, Cu₂S, PbS)
5
6 186 (Maharaj et al., 2018; Möller and Müller, 2012) as well as the release of sulfate. Indeed, a
7
8
9 187 significant increase of sulfate concentration was measured after the 57th days of passive aeration
10
11 188 and during forced aeration (Figure S5). These results are in agreement with the soluble sulfate in
12
13
14 189 sludge suspension found by Carbonell-Barrachina et al. (1999) under oxidizing conditions.
15
16 190 Regarding particulate As, it can be hypothesized that it is initially co-precipitated with Fe sulfides
17
18
19 191 (Savage et al., 2000) and consequently released in solution after their dissolution upon oxidation.
20
21 192 This is consistent with the slight increase of soluble Fe observed from the 29th day of passive
22
23
24 193 aeration.

27 194 3.3. DGT-labile elements concentration


29 195 Labile concentrations of Cd, Cr(III), Cu and Pb were lower than 0.02, 0.2, 2, 0.6 µg/L,
30
31
32 196 respectively, during the whole experiment. The labile concentrations of Mo, Sb and W were close
33
34 197 or below the MLD_{DGT} during most of the passive aeration experiment (Figure S5). Labile
35
36
37 198 concentrations of the other elements are given in Figure S5 and typical examples are displayed in
38
39 199 Figure 3. Labile Al, As, Co, Fe and Mn rapidly decreased during the first 3-5 days of passive
40
41
42 200 aeration and later their concentration remained rather constant until the 57th day of aeration at
43
44 201 least. Conversely, no initial decrease was observed for Ni and Se.

47 202 Under forced aeration, several elements (*i.e.* Al, Mo, Ni, Sb, Se and W) displayed a rapid
48
49
50 203 increase of their DGT-labile concentrations followed by a decrease, except for Mo and W. As and
51
52 204 Co slightly decreased immediately after forced aeration and their concentration increased again at
53
54
55 205 the 85th day. After 57 days of passive aeration Fe and Mn behavior differs from the other
56
57 206 elements since their labile concentrations continued to decrease, even under forced aeration.

1
 2
 3
 4 207 The decrease of labile Al at the beginning of passive aeration may be explained by the presence
 5
 6 208 of negatively charged hydroxide complexes (*e.g.* $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_4^-$) at $\text{pH } 7.8 \pm 0.3$ that are not efficiently
 7
 8
 9 209 sampled by Chelex-DGT (Panther et al., 2012). The increase of labile Al, As, Co, Ni after 57
 10
 11 210 days of aeration could be a direct consequence of their release from sulfide species as discussed
 12
 13
 14 211 in 3.2. In contrast, the decrease of Fe and Mn labile concentration is not associated with the
 15
 16 212 increase of their soluble fraction, especially at the end of the forced aeration, meaning that part of
 17
 18
 19 213 these soluble elements are DGT-inert (*e.g.* colloids such as Fe(II)-phosphate or strongly
 20
 21 214 complexed with organic functional groups such as thiol groups (Shakeri Yekta et al., 2014)).
 22
 23 215 Therefore, it can be concluded that oxidation converts a part of labile species of Fe and Mn into
 24
 25
 26 216 soluble non-labile species. Similarly, Øygard et al. (2007) showed a strong decrease of labile Fe
 27
 28 217 (determined with cation exchange SPE cartridge) during the exposition of leachate to oxygen,
 29
 30
 31 218 while particulate and colloidal Fe (*e.g.* iron oxides) increased.
 32
 33
 34 219 Conversely, the delay observed for the increase of labile As and Co concentration during forced
 35
 36 220 aeration let suppose slow mechanisms of conversion into labile form. Moreover, adsorption onto
 37
 38
 39 221 Fe/Mn colloids could have occurred.
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65



222



223

224

225

226

227

Figure 3. Examples of labile elements' concentration over time. The bold horizontal dashed line is the MLQ_{DGT} whereas the vertical dashed line indicates the beginning of forced aeration. The inset is an enlargement of the first 6 days of the experiment.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

228 3.4. Environmental impact of digestate aeration

229 In this study, performed at laboratory-scale in controlled conditions, it was reported that aeration
230 regime modifies TEs distribution among labile, soluble and particulate fractions. It is assumed
231 that the observed TEs' fractionation can help to anticipate phenomena related to air exposure
232 occurring on field during digestate management. Indeed, the passive aeration experiment could
233 show the phenomena that can be expected during the storage of digestate in open tanks. Usually,
234 the required storage time of digestate before land spreading may range from 90 days to 10
235 months depending on the country and digestate spreading season (Plana and Noche, 2016). The
236 variation on TEs' mobility observed during forced aeration is hypothesized to be similar to the
237 one occurring during digestate handling for land application since the contact between air and
238 digestate is significant.

239 Passive and forced aeration resulted both in a release in solution of Al, As, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn,
240 Mo and Pb. Therefore, aeration of digestate could increase mobility of TEs over time. Under
241 passive aeration, dissolution was slow during the first four weeks. Consequently, storage of
242 digestate in an open tank could increase only marginally TEs mobility provided the storage
243 duration is limited. However, dissolution increased significantly after approximately 30 days of
244 passive aeration for most elements. Such increase is likely correlated to the increase of the
245 surface to volume ratio (from 0.39 dm^{-1} to 0.45 dm^{-1} after 30 days of aeration) that controlled the
246 rate of aeration of the digestate during the experiment. Therefore, design of digestate storage tank
247 would be an important parameter to limit the increase of trace element mobility during storage. In
248 this context, digestate storage tank with low surface to volume ratio (*i.e.* important height) should
249 be favored. Forced aeration resulted in an important dissolution of all the quantified elements,
250 except for As. Therefore, it is assumed that TEs' mobility could be strongly increased during

1
2
3
4 251 digestate handling for land spreading. A “safety factor” which counts for TEs’ oxidation during
5
6 252 digestate handling should be considered for environmental risk assessment.
7
8
9
10 253 Alongside particulate/soluble fractions, DGT-labile elements were measured during this study.
11
12 254 DGT-labile species (*i.e.* free + weak complexes) are the most reactive species and would
13
14 255 represent the most readily bio-accessible fraction of TEs (Zhang and Davison, 2015). During
15
16
17 256 passive aeration, although soluble elements’ concentration increased, no correlated increase of
18
19 257 DGT-labile concentrations was found for Al, As, Co, Fe, Mn, and Se. Only DGT-labile Ni
20
21
22 258 showed a small delayed increase (≥ 60 days, within a factor 2). Therefore, storage of digestate in
23
24 259 an open tank could have no impact on the labile fraction of most of the studied TEs.
25
26
27 260 During forced aeration, except for Fe and Mn, all quantified labile elements rapidly increased.
28
29
30 261 Moreover, the bio-accessibility of labile elements could increase after land application depending
31
32 262 on the soils’ sorption capacity (Dragicevic et al., 2018b; Kabata-Pendias, 2004) and plants uptake
33
34
35 263 mechanisms (Lehto et al., 2006; Tack, 2010). Such hypothesis should be further studied for risk
36
37 264 assessment. It was also observed that labile Al, As, Co, Ni, Sb and Se decreased after one week
38
39
40 265 of forced aeration, therefore, it is not excluded that their bio-accessibility could remain unaltered
41
42 266 during digestate land application.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

267 **4. Conclusions**

268 In this work, the influence of aeration of sewage sludge digestate on the fractionation of fourteen
269 TEs (Al, As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se and W) was studied with a laboratory-
270 scale tank. Aeration promoted dissolution of all the quantified elements (*i.e.* Al, As, Co, Cr, Cu,
271 Fe, Mn, Mo and Pb), which was certainly due to oxidation of metal sulfide precipitates.
272 Therefore, it was assumed that the observed increase of TEs mobility due to aeration may likely
273 occur during storage in open tank or digestate handling before land application. However, this
274 dissolution did not promote an increase of DGT-labile concentrations during passive aeration.
275 Conversely, forced aeration promoted an increase of the labile Al, As, Co, Mo, Ni, Sb, Se and W.
276 Therefore, it can be assumed that passive aeration of digestate like in open storage tank would not
277 increase TEs bio-accessibility unless significant aeration such as during digestate handling for
278 land spreading takes place.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

279 **Conflict of interest**

280 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

281 **Acknowledgements**

282 Patrice Fondanèche is acknowledged for his assistance in the laboratory, especially during ICP-
283 MS and MP-AES analyses.

284 **Funding sources**

285 This work was supported by the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation
286 programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 643071.

287 **Appendix A. Supporting information**

288 The supporting information is available at the following link (to be mentioned).

References

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 289 Arai, Y., 2010. Arsenic and Antimony, in: Trace Elements in Soils. Wiley-Blackwell, pp. 381–
9
10 290 407. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444319477.ch16>
11
12
13
14 291 Boulamanti, A.K., Donida Maglio, S., Giuntoli, J., Agostini, A., 2013. Influence of different
15
16 292 practices on biogas sustainability. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 53, 149–161.
17
18 293 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2013.02.020>
19
20
21
22 294 Cao, W., Wang, M., Liu, M., Zhang, Z., Sun, Z., Miao, Y., Sun, C., Hu, C., 2018. The chemical
23
24 295 and dynamic distribution characteristics of iron, cobalt and nickel in three different
25
26 296 anaerobic digestates: Effect of pH and trace elements dosage. *Bioresource Technology* 269,
27
28
29 297 363–374. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.08.094>
30
31
32 298 Carbonell-Barrachina, A.A., Jugsujinda, A., Burlo, F., Delaune, R.D., Patrick Jr, W.H., 1999.
33
34 299 Arsenic chemistry in municipal sewage sludge as affected by redox potential and pH. *Water*
35
36
37 300 *Res.* 34, 216–224.
38
39
40 301 Charter, R.A., Tabatabai, M.A., Schafer, J.W., 1995. Arsenic, molybdenum, selenium, and
41
42 302 tungsten contents of distinct fertilizers and phosphate rocks¹. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*
43
44
45 303 26, 3051–3062. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00103629509369508>
46
47
48 304 Devillers, D., Buzier, R., Simon, S., Charriau, A., Guibaud, G., 2016. Simultaneous measurement
49
50 305 of Cr(III) and Cr(VI) in freshwaters with a single Diffusive Gradients in Thin Films device.
51
52
53 306 *Talanta* 154, 533–538. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2016.04.009>
54
55
56 307 Dragicevic, I., Eich-Greatorex, S., Sogn, T.A., Horn, S.J., Krogstad, T., 2018a. Use of high
57
58 308 metal-containing biogas digestates in cereal production – Mobility of chromium and
59
60
61 309 aluminium. *J. Environ. Manage.* 217, 12–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.03.090>
62

- 1
2
3
4 310 Dragicevic, I., Sogn, T.A., Eich-Greatorex, S., 2018b. Recycling of Biogas Digestates in Crop
5
6 311 Production—Soil and Plant Trace Metal Content and Variability. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.*
7
8
9 312 2, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2018.00045>
10
11
12 313 European Commission, 2016. Sewage Sludge [WWW Document]. URL
13
14 314 <http://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/sludge/index.htm> (accessed 10.2.18).
15
16
17
18 315 Fermoso, F.G., van Hullebusch, E.D., Guibaud, G., Collins, G., Svensson, B.H., Carliell-
19
20 316 Marquet, C., Vink, J.P.M., Esposito, G., Frunzo, L., 2015. Fate of Trace Metals in
21
22 317 Anaerobic Digestion, in: Guebitz, G.M., Bauer, A., Bochmann, G., Gronauer, A., Weiss, S.
23
24
25 318 (Eds.), *Biogas Science and Technology*. Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, pp.
26
27 319 171–195. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-21993-6_7
28
29
30
31 320 Fu, M.H., Tabatabai, M.A., 1988. Tungsten Content of Soils, Plants, and Sewage Sludges in
32
33 321 Iowa. *J. Environ. Qual.* 17, 146–148.
34
35 322 <https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq1988.00472425001700010024x>
36
37
38
39 323 Hamnér, K., Kirchmann, H., 2015. Trace element concentrations in cereal grain of long-term
40
41 324 field trials with organic fertilizer in Sweden. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosystems* 103, 347–358.
42
43 325 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-015-9749-7>
44
45
46
47 326 Healy, M.G., Fenton, O., Forrestal, P.J., Danaher, M., Brennan, R.B., Morrison, L., 2016. Metal
48
49 327 concentrations in lime stabilised, thermally dried and anaerobically digested sewage sludges.
50
51 328 *Waste Manag.* 48, 404–408. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2015.11.028>
52
53
54
55 329 Hooda, P.S., 2010. Assessing Bioavailability of Soil Trace Elements, in: *Trace Elements in Soils*.
56
57 330 pp. 227–265. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444319477.ch11>
58
59
60 331 Jain, D.K., Tyagi, R.D., 1992. Leaching of heavy metals from anaerobic sewage sludge by sulfur-

- 1
2
3
4 332 oxidizing bacteria. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 14, 376–383. <https://doi.org/10.1016/0141->
5
6 333 0229(92)90006-A
7
8
9
10 334 Kabata-Pendias, A., 2004. Soil-plant transfer of trace elements - An environmental issue.
11
12 335 *Geoderma* 122, 143–149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.01.004>
13
14
15 336 Kupper, T., Bürge, D., Bachmann, H.J., Güsewell, S., Mayer, J., 2014. Heavy metals in source-
16
17 separated compost and digestates. *Waste Manag.* 34, 867–874.
18 337
19
20 338 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.02.007>
21
22
23 339 Laera, A., Buzier, R., Guibaud, G., Esposito, G., van Hullebusch, E.D., 2019. Assessment of the
24
25 340 DGT technique in digestate to fraction twelve trace elements. *Talanta* 192, 204–211.
26
27
28 341 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2018.09.033>
29
30
31 342 Lehto, N.J., Davison, W., Zhang, H., Tych, W., 2006. Analysis of micro-nutrient behaviour in the
32
33 rhizosphere using a DGT parameterised dynamic plant uptake model. *Plant Soil* 282, 227–
34 343 238. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-005-5848-6>
35
36 344
37
38
39 345 Liebetrau, J., Clemens, J., Cuhls, C., Hafermann, C., Friehe, J., Weiland, P., Daniel-Gromke, J.,
40
41 346 2010. Methane emissions from biogas-producing facilities within the agricultural sector.
42
43 *Eng. Life Sci.* 10, 595–599. <https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.201000070>
44 347
45
46
47 348 Maharaj, B.C., Mattei, M.R., Frunzo, L., van Hullebusch, E.D., Esposito, G., 2018. ADM1 based
48
49 349 mathematical model of trace element precipitation/dissolution in anaerobic digestion
50
51 processes. *Bioresour. Technol.* 267, 666–676. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.06.099>
52 350
53
54
55 351 McBride, M.B., 2003. Toxic metals in sewage sludge-amended soils: Has promotion of
56
57 beneficial use discounted the risks? *Adv. Environ. Res.* 8, 5–19.
58 352
59
60 353 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1093-0191\(02\)00141-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1093-0191(02)00141-7)
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 354 Möller, K., Müller, T., 2012. Effects of anaerobic digestion on digestate nutrient availability and
5
6 355 crop growth: A review. *Eng. Life Sci.* 12, 242–257. <https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.201100085>
7
8
9
10 356 Ortner, M., Rachbauer, L., Somitsch, W., Fuchs, W., 2014. Can bioavailability of trace nutrients
11
12 357 be measured in anaerobic digestion? *Appl. Energy* 126, 190–198.
13
14 358 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.03.070>
15
16
17
18 359 Øygaard, J.K., Gjengedal, E., Røyset, O., 2007. Size charge fractionation of metals in municipal
19
20 360 solid waste landfill leachate. *Water Res.* 41, 47–54.
21
22 361 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2006.09.027>
23
24
25
26 362 Panther, J.G., Bennett, W.W., Teasdale, P.R., Welsh, D.T., Zhao, H., 2012. DGT measurement of
27
28 363 dissolved aluminum species in waters: Comparing chelex-100 and titanium dioxide-based
29
30 364 adsorbents. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 2267–2275. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es203674n>
31
32
33
34 365 Plana, P.V., Noche, B., 2016. A review of the current digestate distribution models: storage and
35
36 366 transport, in: 8Th International Conference on Waste Management and The Environment. pp.
37
38 367 345–357. <https://doi.org/10.2495/WM160311>
39
40
41
42 368 Repinc, S.K., Šket, R., Zavec, D., Mikuš, K.V., Fermoso, F.G., Stres, B., 2018. Full-scale
43
44 369 agricultural biogas plant metal content and process parameters in relation to bacterial and
45
46 370 archaeal microbial communities over 2.5 year span. *J. Environ. Manage.* 213, 566–574.
47
48 371 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.02.058>
49
50
51
52 372 Riva, C., Orzi, V., Carozzi, M., Acutis, M., Boccasile, G., Lonati, S., Tambone, F., D’Imporzano,
53
54 373 G., Adani, F., 2016. Short-term experiments in using digestate products as substitutes for
55
56 374 mineral (N) fertilizer: Agronomic performance, odours, and ammonia emission impacts. *Sci.*
57
58 375 *Total Environ.* 547, 206–214. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.12.156>
59
60
61

- 1
2
3
4 376 Savage, K.S., Tingle, T.N., O'Day, P.A., Waychunas, G.A., Bird, D.K., 2000. Arsenic speciation
5
6 377 in pyrite and secondary weathering phases, Mother Lode Gold District, Tuolumne County,
7
8
9 378 California. *Appl. Geochemistry* 15, 1219–1244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883->
10
11 379 2927(99)00115-8
12
13
14 380 Scarlet, N., Dallemand, J.F., Fahl, F., 2018. Biogas: Developments and perspectives in Europe.
15
16
17 381 *Renew. Energy* 129, 457–472. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.03.006>
18
19
20 382 Shakeri Yekta, S., Svensson, B.H., Björn, A., Skyllberg, U., Yekta, S.S., Svensson, B.H., Björn,
21
22 383 A., Skyllberg, U., 2014. Thermodynamic modeling of iron and trace metal solubility and
23
24 384 speciation under sulfidic and ferruginous conditions in full scale continuous stirred tank
25
26 385 biogas reactors. *Appl. Geochemistry* 47, 61–73.
27
28
29 386 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2014.05.001>
30
31
32
33 387 Tack, F.M.G., 2010. Trace elements: General soil chemistry, principles and processes, in: Hooda,
34
35 388 P. (Ed.), *Trace Elements in Soils*. Wiley-Blackwell, pp. 9–37.
36
37 389 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444319477.ch2>
38
39
40
41 390 Tampio, E., Salo, T., Rintala, J., 2016. Agronomic characteristics of five different urban waste
42
43 391 digestates. *J. Environ. Manage.* 169, 293–302.
44
45 392 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.01.001>
46
47
48
49 393 van Hullebusch, E.D., Guibaud, G., Simon, S., Lenz, M., Yekta, S.S., Feroso, F.G., Jain, R.,
50
51 394 Duester, L., Roussel, J., Guillon, E., Skyllberg, U., Almeida, C.M.R., Pechaud, Y., Garuti,
52
53 395 M., Frunzo, L., Esposito, G., Carliell-Marquet, C., Ortner, M., Collins, G., 2016.
54
55 396 *Methodological approaches for fractionation and speciation to estimate trace element*
56
57 397 *bioavailability in engineered anaerobic digestion ecosystems: An overview. Crit. Rev.*
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 398 Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 1324–1366. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2016.1235943>
5
6
7 399 Vrana, B., Allan, I.J., Greenwood, R., Mills, G.A., Dominiak, E., Svensson, K., Knutsson, J.,
8
9
10 400 Morrison, G., 2005. Passive sampling techniques for monitoring pollutants in water. *TrAC -*
11
12 401 *Trends Anal. Chem.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2005.06.006>
13
14
15 402 Zhang, H., Davison, W., 2015. Use of diffusive gradients in thin-films for studies of chemical
16
17
18 403 speciation and bioavailability. *Environ. Chem.* 12, 85–101. <https://doi.org/10.1071/EN14105>
19
20
21 404 Zhang, H., Davison, W., 1999. Diffusional characteristics of hydrogels used in DGT and DET
22
23 405 techniques. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 398, 329–340. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670\(99\)00458-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(99)00458-4)
24
25 406 4
26
27
28
29 407 Zhang, H., Davison, W., 1995. Performance Characteristics of Diffusion Gradients in Thin Films
30
31 408 for the in Situ Measurement of Trace Metals in Aqueous Solution. *Anal. Chem.* 67, 3391–
32
33 409 3400. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac00115a005>
34
35
36
37 410 Zhang, H., Davison, W., Knight, B., Mcgrath, S., 1998. In situ measurements of solution
38
39 411 concentrations and fluxes of trace metals in wells using DGT. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 32,
40
41 412 704–710. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es9704388>
42
43
44
45 413
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65