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# Speed of random walks, isoperimetry and compression of finitely generated groups 

Jérémie Brieussel and Tianyi Zheng


#### Abstract

We give a solution to the inverse problem (given a function, find a corresponding group) for large classes of speed, entropy, isoperimetric profile, return probability and $L_{p^{\prime}}$-compression functions of finitely generated groups of exponential volume growth. For smaller classes, we give solutions among solvable groups. As corollaries, we prove a recent conjecture of Amir on joint evaluation of speed and entropy exponents and we obtain a new proof of the existence of uncountably many pairwise non-quasi-isometric solvable groups, originally due to Cornulier and Tessera. We also obtain a formula relating the $L_{p}$-compression exponent of a group and its wreath product with the cyclic group for $p$ in $[1,2]$.


## 1 Introduction

An important topic in group theory is the description of asymptotic behaviors of geometric and probabilistic quantities, such as volume growth, isoperimetric profile, Hilbert and Banach space compression on the geometric side, and speed, entropy and return probability of random walks on the probabilistic side. The study of these quantities falls into three types of questions. First given a group, compute the associated functions. Secondly the inverse problem : given a function, find a group with this asymptotic behavior. Thirdly understand the relationship between these quantities and their interactions with other topics in group theory, such as amenability, Poisson boundaries, classification up to quasi-isometry, etc. This paper contributes to solve the second question for finitely generated groups of exponential volume growth.

The first solution to an inverse problem for a large class of functions concerned compression gaps for non-amenable groups. Arzhantseva, Drutu and Sapir proved that essentially any sublinear function is the upper bound of a Hilbert compression gap of width $\log ^{1+\epsilon}(x)$ of some non-amenable group ADS09. Their construction does not provide a solution to the other inverse problems, because non-amenability forces volume growth to be exponential, speed and entropy growth to be linear and return probability to decay exponentially.

In the amenable setting, a partial solution to the inverse problem is known for volume growth, entropy and speed. Bartholdi and Erschler have proved BE14b that for any regular function $f(n)$ between $n^{0.77}$ and $n$ there is a group with volume growth $e^{f(n)}$ up to multiplicative constant in front of the argument. This statement at the level of exponents was first obtained in Bri14. For any function between $\sqrt{n}$ and $n^{\gamma}$ for $\gamma<1$, there is a group and a finitely supported measure with this entropy up to multiplicative constant by Amir-Virag [AV12], see also Bri13] for a statement with precision $n^{o(1)}$. Amir and Virag also showed that for any function between $n^{\frac{3}{4}}$ and $n^{\gamma}, \gamma<1$, there is a group and a finitely
supported measure with this speed up to multiplicative constant. These examples are all permutational wreath products, based on groups acting on rooted trees.

This paper develops the construction of new families of groups, not related to rooted trees nor permutational wreath products, for which speed, entropy, return probability, isoperimetric profiles, Hilbert and some Banach space compression can all be computed.

Before stating our result, let us recall the usual definitions. Let $\Delta$ be a finitely generated group equipped with a generating set $T, \mu$ be a probability measure on $\Delta$. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with distribution $\mu$, then $W_{n}=X_{1} \ldots X_{n}$ is the random walk on $\Delta$ with step distribution $\mu$. Its law is the $n$-fold convolution power $\mu^{* n}$. Its speed (rate of escape) is the expectation

$$
L_{\mu}(n)=\mathbf{E}\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta}=\sum_{g \in \Delta}|g|_{\Delta} \mu^{* n}(g)
$$

where $|\cdot|_{\Delta}$ is the word distance on the Cayley graph $(\Delta, T)$. Its Shannon entropy is the quantity

$$
H_{\mu}(n)=H\left(W_{n}\right)=-\sum_{x \in \Delta} \mu^{* n}(x) \log \mu^{* n}(x)
$$

The pair $(\Delta, \mu)$ has Liouville property if the Avez asymptotic entropy $h_{\mu}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_{\mu}(n)}{n}$ is 0. By classical work of Avez Ave76, Derrienic Der76], Kaimanovich-Vershik KV83], this is equivalent to the fact that all bounded $\mu$-harmonic functions are constant.

The return probability is

$$
\mathbf{P}\left[W_{2 n}=e\right]=\mu^{*(2 n)}(e)
$$

where $e$ is the neutral element in $\Delta$. The $\ell^{p}$-isoperimetric profile is defined as

$$
\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mu}(v)=\inf \left\{\frac{\sum_{x, y \in \Delta}|f(x y)-f(x)|^{p} \mu(y)}{2 \sum_{x \in \Delta}|f(x)|^{p}}: f \in \ell^{p}(G), 1 \leq|\operatorname{supp}(f)| \leq v\right\}
$$

The compression of an embedding $\Psi$ of $\Delta$ into a Banach space $\mathfrak{X}$ is the function

$$
\rho_{\Psi}(t)=\inf \left\{\|\Psi(x)-\Psi(y)\|_{\mathfrak{X}}:\left|x^{-1} y\right|_{\Delta} \geq t\right\}
$$

The embedding is said to be uniform if $\rho_{\Psi}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq 1$ and equivariant if $\Psi$ is a 1-cocycle, see Section 6. The couple of functions $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ is an $\mathfrak{X}$-compression gap of $\Delta$ if any 1-Lipschitz embedding $\varphi: \Delta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ satisfies $\rho_{\varphi}(t) \leq g_{2}(t)$ for all $t \geq 1$ and there exists a 1 -Lipschitz embedding $\Psi: \Delta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ such that $\rho_{\Psi}(t) \geq g_{1}(t)$ for all $t \geq 1$. The $\mathfrak{X}$-equivariant compression gap is defined in the same manner, restricting to equivariant embeddings. Let $L_{p}=L_{p}([0,1], m)$ be the classical Lebesgue space. By [NP11, Theorem 9.1], when Delta is amenable, for all $p \geq 1,\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ is an $L_{p}$-compression gap of $\Delta$ if and only if it is an equivariant $L_{p}$-compression gap of $\Delta$.

Among these quantities, the compression gap is obviously independent of the choice of the measure $\mu$ and up to multiplicative constants, it is invariant under quasi-isometry. The $\ell^{p}$-isoperimetric profiles and return probability associated with symmetric probability measures of finite generating support are also known to be stable under quasi-isometry, see Pittet-Saloff-Coste [PSC00, but it is an open question regarding speed and entropy.

Denote $f(x) \simeq_{C} g(x)$ if $\frac{1}{C} g(x) \leq f(x) \leq C g(x)$ for all $x \geq 1$. We write $f(x) \simeq g(x)$ and call $f$ and $g$ equivalent if there exists $C$ with $f(x) \simeq_{C} g(x)$. Write $\log _{*}(x)=\log (x+1)$.

The groups we construct are diagonal products of lamplighter groups. Such a group is determined once are given a family of groups $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$, usually finite and satisfying some conditions, and a sequence $\left(k_{s}\right)$ of "scaling factors" -see Section 2 The case where the groups $\Gamma_{s}$ are expanders permits to show our main result.

Theorem 1.1. There exists a universal constant $C>1$. For any non-decreasing function $f:[1, \infty) \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ such that $f(1)=1$ and $x / f(x)$ is non-decreasing, there exists a group $\Delta$ of exponential volume growth equipped with a finite generating set $T$ and a finitely-supported symmetric probability measure $\mu$ such that

- the speed and entropy are $L_{\mu}(n) \simeq_{C} H_{\mu}(n) \simeq_{C} \sqrt{n} f(\sqrt{n})$,
- the $\ell^{p}$-isoperimetric profile $\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mu}(v) \simeq_{C}\left(\frac{f(\log (v))}{\log v}\right)^{p}$ for any $p \in[1,2]$,
- the return probability $-\log \left(\mu^{*(2 n)}(e)\right) \simeq_{C} w(n)$, where $w(n)$ is defined implicitly by $n=\int_{1}^{w(n)}\left(\frac{s}{f(s)}\right)^{2} d s$,
- $\left(\frac{1}{C \epsilon} \frac{n / f(n)}{\log _{*}^{1+\epsilon}(n / f(n))}, C \cdot 2^{p} \frac{n}{f(n)}\right)$ is an equivariant $L_{p}$-compression gap for $\Delta$ for any $p>$ $1, \epsilon>0$.

When the function $f$ is not asymptotically linear, i.e. $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) / x=0$, the group $\Delta$ can be chosen elementary amenable with asymptotic dimension one, $(\Delta, \mu)$ has the Liouville property, and the equivariant $L_{p}$-compression gap is also valid for $p=1$.

Since the constant $C$ is universal, this result is new even when $f$ is asymptotically linear.
The first statement asserts that any regular function between diffusive $\sqrt{n}$ and linear $n$ is the speed and entropy function of a random walk on a group. It improves on Amir-Virag AV12 by the range between diffusive and $n^{\frac{3}{4}}$ for speed, and by the range close to linear for speed and entropy. The constant in Theorem 1.1 is universal, whereas the constants in AV12 diverge when approaching linear behavior. Moreover, concerning speed and entropy we can find such a group $\Delta$ in the class of 4 -step solvable groups. This is the case when the groups $\Gamma_{s}$ are usual lamplighters over finite $d$-dimensionnal lattices with $d \geq 3$, see Theorem 3.8 and Example 3.3

Our result regarding possible speed functions should be compared with known constraints on speed functions. From subadditivity, $L_{\mu}(n+m) \leq L_{\mu}(n)+L_{\mu}(n)$ for any convolution walk on a group $\Delta$. By Lee-Peres LP13, there is a universal constant $c>0$ such that for any amenable group $\Delta$ equipped with a finite generating set $T$, for any symmetric probability measure $\mu$ on $G, L_{\mu}(n) \geq c \sqrt{p_{*} n}$, where $p_{*}=\min _{g \in T} \mu(g)$. On the other hand, we obtain that any function $g(n)$ such that $\frac{g(n)}{\sqrt{n}}$ and $\frac{n}{g(n)}$ are non-decreasing is equivalent to a speed function.

The third statement can be derived from the $\ell^{2}$-isoperimetric profile estimate in the second statement via the Coulhon-Grigor'yan theory [CG97], see section 4.2. For $p \in[1,2]$ any regular function between constant and $n^{-p}$ is equivalent to $\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mu} \circ \exp$ for some group $\Delta$, and any regular function between $n^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and linear $n$ is equivalent to $-\log \mu^{* n}(e)$. Again, this should be compared with known constraints for isoperimetric profile and return probability for groups with exponential volume growth. By Coulhon and Saloff-Coste CSC93, for any symmetric probability measure $\mu$ on $\Delta,-\log \mu^{* n}(e) \geq c n^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mu} \circ \exp (x) \geq c^{\prime} x^{-p}$, $p \in[1,2]$, where the constants $c, c^{\prime}$ depend on the volume growth rate of $(\Delta, T)$ and $p_{*}=$ $\min _{g \in T} \mu(g)$.

| Exponent of | $\mathbf{E}\left\|W_{n}\right\|_{\Delta}$ | $H\left(W_{n}\right)$ | $-\log \mu^{* 2 n}(e)$ | $\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mu} \circ \exp$ | $\alpha_{p}^{\#}(\Delta)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ expanders | $\frac{1+\theta}{2+\theta}$ | $\frac{1+\theta}{2+\theta}$ | $\frac{1+\theta}{3+\theta}$ | $\frac{-p}{1+\theta}$ | $\frac{1}{1+\theta}$ |
| $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ dihedral | $\frac{1+3 \theta}{2+4 \theta}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $-p$ | $\max \left\{\frac{1}{1+\theta}, \frac{2}{3}\right\}$ |

Figure 1: Exponents for sequences of parameters $k_{s}=2^{2 s}$ and $l_{s}=\operatorname{diam}\left(\Gamma_{s}\right) \simeq 2^{2 \theta s}$, where $\theta \in(0, \infty)$. The isoperimetric profile and compression exponent are all valid for $p \in[1,2]$. The compression for expanders is valid for $p \in[1, \infty)$.

From the result on $\ell^{1}$-isoperimetric profile we derive Corollary 4.7 that any sufficiently regular function above exponential is equivalent to a Følner functions. The result extends OO13, Corollary 1.5]. It also answers [Ers10, Question 5] positively that there exists elementary amenable groups with arbitrarily fast growing Følner function, while simple random walk on it has the Liouville property. Groups of subexponential volume growth and arbitrarily large Følner function were first constructed by Erschler Ers06].

When $f$ is not asymptotically linear, the fourth statement asserts that any unbounded non-decreasing sublinaer function $h(n)$ is equivalent to the upper bound of an equivariant $L_{p}$-compression gap of width $\log ^{1+\epsilon} h(n)$ of an amenable group. Recall that equivariant and non-equivariant compression are equivalent for amenable groups NP11. Regarding nonequivariant compression, it is an amenable analogue to Arzhantseva-Drutu-Sapir ADS09, and slightly improves on it as the width depends on the upper bound. It also provides other examples of amenable groups with poor compression, after Aus11, OO13 and BE14a. It also follows easily that any function below $\sqrt{x}$ is the upper bound of an equivariant $L_{p}$-compression gap of a non-amenable group, simply considering the direct product of an amenable solution with the free group on two generators. The equivariant compression of a non-amenable group is at most $\sqrt{x}$ and this bound is attained for free groups by GK04.

In order to obtain the equivariant $L_{p}$-compression gap with upper bound $x / f(x)$ bounded, we actually need to choose the family $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ among quotients of a Lafforgue lattice with strong Property (T) Laf08. In this case, we also obtain an upper bound on the compression exponent of $\Delta$ into any uniformly convex normed space, see Corollary 6.2 ,

The relationship between these five quantities is more easily understood at the level of exponents. The exponent of a function $f$ is $\lim \frac{\log f(n)}{\log n}$ when the limit exists. For a compression gap of width less than any power, the lower exponent of the upper bound coincides with the definition of the $\mathfrak{X}$-compression exponent introduced in Guentner-Kaminker GK04,

$$
\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}}^{*}(G)=\sup \left\{\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}}(\Psi): \Psi \text { is a Lipschitz map } G \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}\right\},
$$

where the compression exponent $\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}}(\Psi)$ of the map $\Psi: \Delta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is defined as

$$
\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}}(\Psi)=\sup \left\{\alpha \geq 0: \exists c>0 \text { s.t. } \rho_{\Psi}(t) \geq c t^{\alpha} \text { for all } t \geq 1\right\} .
$$

When $\mathfrak{X}$ is the classical Lebesgue space $L_{p}$, we write $\alpha_{p}^{*}(G)$ for the $L_{p}$-compression exponent. The equivariant compression exponent $\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\#}(G)$ is defined similarly, restricting to $G$-equivariant embeddings $\Psi$. When $G$ is amenable, $\alpha_{p}^{*}(G)=\alpha_{p}^{\#}(G)$, see NP11, Theorem 1.6].

The exponents when $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ are expanders or dihedral are given in Figure 1
When the quotient groups $\Gamma_{s}$ are dihedral, the resulting diagonal product $\Delta$ is 3 -step solvable.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\epsilon>0$.There exists a constant $C>0$. For any function $f$ such that $\frac{f(n)}{\log ^{1+\epsilon}(n) \sqrt{n}}$ and $\frac{n^{\frac{3}{4}}}{f(n)}$ are non-decreasing, there is a 3-step solvable group $\Delta$ and a finitelysupported symmetric probability measure such that the speed function is

$$
\mathbf{E}\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta} \simeq_{C} f(n)
$$

and the entropy and return probability satisfy

$$
\frac{1}{C} \sqrt{n} \leq H\left(W_{n}^{\Delta}\right) \leq C \sqrt{n} \log ^{2} n \text { and } \frac{1}{C} n^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq-\log \mu^{* n}(e) \leq C n^{\frac{1}{3}} \log ^{\frac{4}{3}} n
$$

The factor $\log ^{1+\epsilon} n$ is only technical. It follows from Proposition 3.11 that there is no gap isolating the diffusive behavior $\sqrt{n}$, but the analysis is simplified by this mild hypothesis.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 permit to solve a recent conjecture of Amir Ami15.
Corollary 1.3. For any $\theta \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ and $\gamma \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ satisfying

$$
\theta \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}(\theta+1)
$$

there exists a finitely generated group $G$ and a symmetric probability measure $\mu$ of finite support on $G$, such that the random walk on $G$ with step distribution $\mu$ has entropy exponent $\theta$ and speed exponent $\gamma$.

The case where both exponents belong to $\left[\frac{3}{4}, 1\right]$ was treated by Amir Ami15. Proposition 3.17 gives a precise statement regarding functions rather than exponents.

For the group $\Delta$ constructed with finite dihedral groups that appears in Theorem 1.2 , we estimate its $L_{p}$-compression exponent for $p \in[1, \infty)$, see Theorem 8.1 Explicit evaluation of compression exponents yields the following result. It answers [NP08, Question 7.6] positively within the class of finitely generated 3-step solvable groups. With certain choices of parameters, such groups also provide the first examples of amenable groups where the $L_{p}$-compression exponent, $p>2$, is strictly larger than the Hilbert compression exponent.

Theorem 1.4. For any $\frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1$, there exists a 3 -step solvable group $\Delta$ such that for any $p \in[1,2]$,

$$
\alpha_{p}^{*}(\Delta)=\alpha_{p}^{\#}(\Delta)=\alpha
$$

Further, there exists a 3 -step solvable group $\Delta_{1}$ such that for all $q \in(2, \infty)$,

$$
\alpha_{q}^{*}\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \geq \frac{3 q-4}{4 q-5}>\alpha_{2}^{*}\left(\Delta_{1}\right)=\frac{2}{3}
$$

In fact, both speed and compression exponents depend explicitly on the parameter sequences $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$, see Figure 1 for the usual choice of parameters.

As a corollary, we obtain a new proof of the following result of Cornulier-Tessera [CT13.
Corollary 1.5 (Cornulier-Tessera [CT13]). There exists uncountably many pairwise non quasi-isometric finitely generated 3-step solvable groups.

The original proof used asymptotic cones. Our method is completely different, using compression as quasi-isometry invariant. Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5 do not hold for 2-step solvable groups. By Baumslag Bau72], any finitely generated metabelian group
embeds into a finitely presented metabelian group, so there are countably many classes of isomorphism of metabelian groups.

The method we apply to estimate compression exponent of $\Delta$ in the dihedral case is very different from the case with expanders. To better understand the compression of $\Delta$, which is a diagonal product of groups $\Gamma_{s} \imath \mathbb{Z}$, a first step is to evaluate compression exponent of general wreath product $H \succ \mathbb{Z}$. This has been an object of intensive study [AGS06], NP08], ANP09, Tes11, CSV12. We develop a novel approach and derive the following explicit formula.

Theorem 1.6. Let $p \in[1,2], H$ be a finitely generated infinite group. Then the equivariant $L_{p}$-compression exponent of $H \backslash \mathbb{Z}$ is

$$
\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H \backslash \mathbb{Z})=\min \left\{\frac{\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)}{\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)+\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}, \alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)\right\}
$$

The groups we consider to prove Theorems 1.1 1.2 and 1.4 are diagonal products of lamplighter groups. Given a family of groups $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ all generated by the union of two finite groups $A$ and $B$, a factor of the diagonal product is the lamplighter group $\Gamma_{s} \mathfrak{Z}$ endowed with generating set consisting of the shift, a copy of the lamp subgroup $A$ at position 0 and a copy of the lamp subgroup $B$ at position $k_{s} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The diagonal product is the subgroup of the direct product generated by the diagonal generating set, see Section 2 It is parametrized by the sequence of groups $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ and the sequence of "scaling factors" $\left(k_{s}\right)$. When the groups $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ are chosen among quotients of a group $\Gamma$, the choice of parameters heuristically permits to interpolate between $\Gamma \imath \mathbb{Z}$ and the usual wreath product $(A \times B) \imath \mathbb{Z}$.

Metrically, placing the two types of generators apart essentially has the effect of rescaling the copies of $\Gamma_{s}$ by a factor $k_{s}$. Moreover, under suitable assumptions, the metric in the diagonal product is essentially the same as in a direct product.

The construction of diagonal products is reminiscent of the piecewise automata group of Erschler [Ers06] and the groups of Kassabov-Pak [KP13], which permit to obtain oscillating or "close to non-amenable" behaviors, but where more precise estimates are not known. The diagonal product with $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ dihedral groups was introduced in Bri15 to obtain the first examples of speed functions between $\sqrt{n}$ and $n^{\frac{3}{4}}$.

Organization of the paper:
The detailed construction of diagonal products is given in Section 2 A technical assumption on the family $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ and a list of examples satisfying it appears in 2.1 The essential estimate relating the metric of a diagonal product to that of a direct product is established in 2.2 under the assumption that the sequence $\left(k_{s}\right)$ is strictly doubling. In 2.3, we describe some metric spaces naturally embedded in the diagonal product. It will be used in sections 6 and 8 on compression.

Section 3 is devoted to the speed and entropy of random walks. We first treat in 3.1 the case, including expanders, where the groups $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ have uniform linear speed up to diameter. Theorem 3.8 gives the first point of Theorem [1.1] The case of dihedral groups is studied in 3.2, proving two thirds of Theorem 1.2 . Evaluation of speed and entropy of diagonal products relies on estimations of traverse time of the simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$, which are recalled in Appendix A. The joint prescription of speed and entropy of Corollary 1.3 is obtained in 3.3. Section 3 is not used further in the paper, and a reader not interested in speed or entropy can omit it.

Isoperimetric profiles and return probability are studied in Section 4 The second point of Theorem 1.1 is derived as Theorem4.6. It is proved together with Corollary 4.7regarding Følner functions in 4.1. The third point is derived as Theorem 4.8 in 4.2 using CoulhonGrigor'yan theory. Dihedral groups are treated in 4.3 finishing the proof of Theorem 1.2 A reader interested mainly in compression can formally omit Section 4 though the test functions of Proposition 4.4 will be used in Section 6

Obstructions for embeddings into Banach spaces are reviewed in Section 5. They are based on Poincaré inequalities on finite metric spaces embedded in the group. The classical spectral version stated in 5.1] will be used in Sections 6 and 7 Markov type inequalities of 5.2 will be used in Sections 7 and 8 and the Mendel-Naor metric cotype inequalities presented in 5.4 will be used in Section 8 .

In Section 6, we consider diagonal products where $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ are quotients of a Lafforgue lattice with strong Property $(T)$. We first establish in 6.1 an upper bound on compression exponent valid in any uniformly convex Banach space, and then in 6.2 derive the proof of the fourth part of Theorem [1.1, in the form of Theorem 6.11. This is done after three preliminary steps : first provide an upper bound when all quotients $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ are finite, secondly an upper bound when one of them is the whole group $\Gamma$. Thirdly an explicit 1-cocycle, related to isoperimetry, is constructed to get a lower bound.

Section 7 is devoted to Theorem [1.6. It requires none of previous Sections except for Poincaré and Markov type inequalities of Section [5, but it uses several facts about stable random walks on lamplighters over a segment, gathered in Appendix C. It also serves as a warm-up for Section 8 .

The compression of diagonal products with dihedral lamp groups is studied in Section 8. Theorem 1.4 is proved there, as well as some explicit bounds for $L_{p}$-compression $p>2$, stated in Theorem 8.1. As before, we first derive some upper bound using metric cotype of 5.4 and Markov type inequalities of 5.2 , then describe an explicit embedding into $L_{q} q \geq 2$. Section 8 formally uses only Sections 2 and 5 but is best understood reading also Sections 6 and 7

Finally we point out a few open questions in Section 9, Appendix B explains a natural approximation of regular functions by piecewise constant and linear functions. It is used repeatedly to prove Theorem [1.1 in Sections 3, 4and 6,

Acknowledgment. We thank Goulnara Arzhantseva and Yves Cornulier for interesting comments as well as Masato Mimura for a simplification in example 2.3 and pointing out the LEF property of Fact 2.10

## 2 The construction and metric structure

### 2.1 The construction with diagonal product

The wreath product of a group $\Gamma$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ is the group $\Gamma \imath \mathbb{Z}=\Gamma^{(\mathbb{Z})} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ where $\Gamma^{(\mathbb{Z})}$ is the set of functions $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Gamma$ with finite $\operatorname{support}(f)=\left\{j \in \mathbb{Z}: f(j) \neq e_{\Gamma}\right\}$. An element is represented by a pair $(f, i)$. We refer to $f$ as the lamp configuration and $i$ as the position of the cursor. The product rule is

$$
(f, i)(g, j)=(f(\cdot) g(\cdot-i), i+j)
$$

The neutral element is denoted as $(\boldsymbol{e}, 0)$ where $\operatorname{support}(\boldsymbol{e})$ is the empty set. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we denote by $\gamma \delta_{j}$ the function taking value $\gamma$ at $j$ and $e_{\Gamma}$ elsewhere.

Let $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{|A|}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{|B|}\right\}$ be two finite groups. Let $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ be a sequence of groups such that each $\Gamma_{s}$ is marked with a generating set of the form $A(s) \cup B(s)$ where $A(s)$ and $B(s)$ are finite subgroups of $\Gamma_{s}$ isomorphic respectively to $A$ and $B$. We fix the isomorphic identification and write $A(s)=\left\{a_{1}(s), \ldots, a_{|A|}(s)\right\}$ and similarly for $B(s)$.

Fix a sequence $\left(k_{s}\right)_{s>0}$ of strictly increasing integers. Take the wreath product $\Delta_{s}=$ $\Gamma_{s} \imath \mathbb{Z}$, and mark it with generating tuple $\mathcal{T}_{s}$

$$
\mathcal{T}_{s}=\left(\tau(s), \alpha_{1}(s), \ldots, \alpha_{|A|}(s), \beta_{1}(s), \ldots, \beta_{|B|}(s)\right)
$$

where $\tau(s)=(\boldsymbol{e},+1)$ and

$$
\alpha_{i}(s)=\left(a_{i}(s) \delta_{0}, 0\right), 1 \leq i \leq|A|, \beta_{i}(s)=\left(b_{i}(s) \delta_{k_{s}}, 0\right), 1 \leq i \leq|B|
$$

With slight abuse of notation, we use the symbol $\Delta_{s}$ to denote the marked group. Alternatively, the marked group $\Delta_{s}$ can be viewed as the projection

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi}_{s}: \mathbf{G}=\mathbb{Z} * A * B \rightarrow \Gamma_{s} \imath \mathbb{Z}
$$

where $\mathbf{G}$ is the free product of $\langle\boldsymbol{\tau}\rangle=\mathbb{Z},\left\langle\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq\right| A| \rangle \simeq A$ and $\left\langle\boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq\right| B\rangle \simeq B$ and the projection sends $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ to $\tau(s), \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}$ to $\alpha_{i}(s)$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}$ to $\beta_{i}(s)$.

The diagonal product $\Delta$ of the, possibly finite, sequence of marked groups $\left\{\Delta_{s}\right\}$ is the quotient group $\mathbf{G} / \cap_{s} \operatorname{ker}\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{s}\right)$, with the projection map $\boldsymbol{\pi}: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \Delta$. It is marked with generating tuple $\mathcal{T}=\left(\tau, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{|A|}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{|B|}\right)$. A word in $\mathcal{T}$ represents $e_{\Delta}$ if and only if the same word in $\mathcal{T}_{s}$ represents $e_{\Delta_{s}}$ for each $s$. We use $\pi_{s}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta_{s}$ to denote the projection from $\Delta$ to the component $\Delta_{s}$.

The group $\Delta$ is completely determined once are given the family of marked groups $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ and the sequence of distances $\left(k_{s}\right)$. An element $g$ of $\Delta$ is completely determined by the family of projections $\pi_{s}(g)=\left(f_{s}, i_{s}\right)$ and one immediately checks that the projection onto $\mathbb{Z}$ is independent of $s$. Therefore we write $\left(\left(f_{s}\right), i\right)$ for a typical element of $\Delta$, where $f_{s} \in \Gamma_{s}^{(\mathbb{Z})}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Assumption 2.1. Throughout the paper, we assume the following:

- $k_{0}=0$ and $\Gamma_{0}=A(0) \times B(0) \simeq A \times B$
- We call $\Gamma_{s} /[A(s), B(s)]^{\Gamma_{s}}$ the relative abelianization of $\Gamma_{s}$, where $[A(s), B(s)]^{\Gamma_{s}}$ is the normal closure of the subgroup generated by commutators $\left[a_{i}(s), b_{j}(s)\right]$. We assume that

$$
\Gamma_{s} /[A(s), B(s)]^{\Gamma_{s}} \simeq A(s) \times B(s) \simeq A \times B
$$

The first assumption is mainly for convenience of notations. It follows easily from Lemma 2.7 below that the marked group $(A \times B) \imath \mathbb{Z}$ with usual generating set $\left(k_{0}=0\right)$ is a quotient of $\Delta$ as soon as $\left(k_{s}\right)$ is unbounded.

The second assumption is non-trivial and restrictive. It requires that the relative abelianization, which is always a quotient of $A \times B$, is in fact isomorphic to $A \times B$. As we will see below, we can find interesting families of groups satisfying Assumption 2.1

Notation 2.2. Take a family $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ of quotients of an infinite group $\Gamma$, and parametrize the group $\Gamma_{s}$ by its diameter $l_{s}=\operatorname{diam}\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)$ with respect to the generating set $A(s) \cup B(s)$. Taking the value $l_{s}=\infty$ corresponds to the choice $\Gamma_{s}=\Gamma$, otherwise $l_{s}<\infty, \Gamma_{s}$ is a finite quotient group of $\Gamma$. We say that the sequences $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$ parametrize the diagonal product $\Delta$. Formally $\left(k_{s}\right)$ can take the value $\infty$, we make the convention that if $k_{s}=\infty$, then $\Delta_{s}$ is the trivial group $\Delta_{s}=\left\{e_{\Delta_{s}}\right\}$.

In this paper we will take a group $\Gamma$ and a family $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ of quotients of $\Gamma$ from the following list of specific examples.

Example 2.3. The groups $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ can be taken to form a family of expanders. For example we obtain the following sequence of finite groups from the Lafforgue super expanders. By Lafforgue Laf08, for any local field $F$, the group $S L(3, F)$ has Property $(T)$ in any uniformly convex Banach space $\mathfrak{X}$. A fortiori taking $F=\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[\left[X^{-1}\right]\right]$, this is also the case for the non-uniform lattice $\Lambda=S L\left(3, \mathbb{F}_{p}[X]\right)$, generated by the union of the two following finite subgroups:

$$
A=\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & X & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right\rangle \simeq \mathbb{F}_{p}^{2}, \text { and } B=\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} .
$$

There also exist positive constants $c, c_{1}$ such that the "congruence subgroups" $\Lambda_{m}=$ $S L\left(3, \mathbb{F}_{p}[X] /\left(X^{m}-1\right)\right)$ satisfy $c m-c_{1} \leq \log \left|\Lambda_{m}\right| \leq c m+c_{1}$ for all $m \geq 1$.

To make sure the second part of Assumption 2.1 holds, we take $\Gamma$ (resp. $\Gamma_{m}$ ) to be the diagonal product of $\Lambda$ (resp. $\Lambda_{m}$ ) with $A \times B$. Since $\Lambda$ is a quotient group of $\Gamma$ of index at most $|A||B|$, it follows from the hereditary properties (see BdlHV08, Section 1.7]) that $\Gamma$ has Property $(T)$ in any uniformly convex Banach space $\mathfrak{X}$. Since $\left\{\Gamma_{m}\right\}$ is a sequence of finite quotient groups of $\Gamma$, by [Laf08, Proposition 5.2], there exists a constant $\delta(\Gamma, \mathfrak{X})>0$ such that for any function $f: \Gamma_{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left|\Gamma_{m}\right|^{2}} \sum_{x, y \in \Gamma_{m}}\|f(x)-f(y)\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\delta(\Gamma, \mathfrak{X})} \frac{1}{\left|\Gamma_{m}\right|} \sum_{x \in \Gamma_{m}} \sum_{u \in A(m) \cup B(m)}\|f(x)-f(x u)\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\left\{\left(\Gamma_{m}, A(m) \cup B(m)\right)\right\}$ form a family of expanders in $\ell^{2}$ with spectral gap uniformly bounded from below by $\delta\left(\Gamma, \ell^{2}\right)$.

We will refer to this family $\left\{\Gamma_{m}\right\}$ as the Lafforgue super expanders, each $\Gamma_{m}$ is marked with generating set $A(m) \cup B(m)$. Note that by construction

$$
c m-c_{1} \leq \log \left|\Lambda_{m}\right| \leq \log \left|\Gamma_{m}\right| \leq c m+c_{1}+\log \left(3 p^{2}\right)
$$

From the inequality (1), by HLW06, Theorem 13.8] there exists constant $c_{2}>0$ depending only on $r$ and $\delta\left(\Gamma, \ell^{2}\right)$ such that the $\ell^{2}$-distortion satisfies

$$
c_{2} \log \left|\Gamma_{m}\right| \leq c_{\ell^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{m}\right) \leq \operatorname{diam}\left(\Gamma_{m}\right) \leq r \log \left|\Gamma_{m}\right|
$$

and by ADS09, Corollary 3.5], there exists $c_{3}>0$ depending only on $r$ and $\delta(\Gamma, \mathfrak{X})$ such that

$$
c_{3} \log \left|\Gamma_{m}\right| \leq c_{\mathfrak{X}}\left(\Gamma_{m}\right)
$$

See section 5 for the definition of distortion. Lafforgue super expanders are a crucial tool to study compression in arbitrary uniformly convex Banach spaces.

In most statements of this paper, it is sufficient to use the classical Property ( T ) rather than its strenghtenings in uniformly convex Banach spaces. Therefore we can also take $\Lambda=E L\left(3, \mathbb{F}_{p}(X, Y)\right)$ which has property $(\mathrm{T})$ by Ershov-Jaikin-Zapirain EJZ10 generated by the union of its finite subgroups

$$
A=\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & a & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), a \in\{1, X, Y\}\right\rangle \simeq \mathbb{F}_{p}^{3}, \text { and } B=\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}
$$

and with "congruence subgroups" $E L\left(3, \operatorname{Mat}_{m \times m}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right) \simeq S L\left(3 m, \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ the subgroup generated by the matrices $e_{i, j}(a)$ for $a \in \operatorname{Mat}_{m \times m}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ and $i \neq j$ in $\{1,2,3\}$, which are identity plus the marix with only non-zero entry $a$ in position $i, j$.

Examples 2.4. Some choices of families $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ permit to obtain diagonal products in the class of solvable groups.

1) an obvious choice is to take $\Gamma_{s}=D_{l_{s}}$ a finite dihedral group of size $2 l_{s}$ generated by two involutions with $A=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, B=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Gamma=D_{\infty}$. Then the diagonal product $\Delta$ is 3 -step solvable.
2) another possibility is to take $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \imath D_{\infty}^{k}$ the lamplighter on an ordinary $k$ dimensionnal lattice. We can take $A=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \imath(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{k}$ and $B=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \imath(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{k}$ in the obvious manner. The quotients $\Gamma_{s}=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \imath D_{m_{s}}^{k}$ are lamplighter over an ordinary discrete $k$-dimensionnal torus. The diagonal product $\Delta$ is 4 -step solvable.

To check that this example satisfies Assumption 2.1, we denote $\pi: D_{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ the abelianization $\pi(x)=a^{\epsilon(x)} b^{\eta(x)}$. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{A}: \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \imath D_{m}^{k} & \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \imath(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{k} \simeq A \\
(f, x) & \mapsto\left(f_{A}, a_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}(x)} \ldots a_{k}^{\epsilon_{k}(x)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
f_{A}\left(a_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}} \ldots a_{k}^{\epsilon_{k}}\right)=\prod_{x: \epsilon_{i}(x)=\epsilon_{i}, \forall i} f(x) .
$$

It is clear that $[A, B]^{\Gamma_{m}} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \pi_{A}$, and that we can proceed similarly for $B$. Finally, one can check that $\pi_{A} \times \pi_{B}$ projects onto $A \times B$.

Remark 2.5. The requirement that $\Gamma$ is a quotient of a free product of finite groups is crucial, but we can generalize our construction to more than two finite factors, positioned on an arithmetic progression of common difference $k_{s}$. This is straightforward for metric estimate, isoperimetry and compression, but it raises some technical questions regarding random walks, as the walk inherited on the lamps is not simple anymore. For simplicity, and by lack of relevant examples, we avoid this generality.

### 2.2 Description of the metric

We describe the metric structure of the Cayley graph $(\Delta, \mathcal{T})$.

### 2.2.1 Local coincidence in relative abelianizations

We will be able to estimate the metric in our diagonal products for sequences $\left(k_{s}\right)$ growing exponentially because different factors are largely independent. But the diagonal product differs from the usual direct product. For instance, the lamp configurations of relative abelianizations behave jointly.

Notation 2.6. Projection maps under Assumption 2.1
Let $\theta_{s}: \Gamma_{s} \rightarrow \Gamma_{s} /\left[A_{s}, B_{s}\right] \simeq A(s) \times B(s)$ denote the projection to the relative abelianization. The projection map extends point-wise to the lamp configuration function $f_{s}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Gamma_{s}$,

$$
\left(\theta_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)\right)(x)=\theta_{s}\left(f_{s}(x)\right)
$$

We call $\theta_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)$ the lamp configuration of the relative abelianization.

Let $\theta_{s}^{A}$ and $\theta_{s}^{B}$ denote the compositions of $\theta_{s}$ with the projection to $A(s)$ and $B(s)$ respectively. Then we have a decomposition of $\theta_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)$ into a commutative product of functions

$$
\theta_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)=\theta_{s}^{A}\left(f_{s}\right) \theta_{s}^{B}\left(f_{s}\right)
$$

For any element $g=\left(\left(f_{s}\right), i\right)$ in the diagonal product $\Delta$, all the relative abelianization lamp configurations are determined by the first one.

Lemma 2.7. Let $g=\left(\left(f_{s}\right), i\right)$ be an element in the diagonal product $\Delta$. Then under Assumption 2.1, any one abelianized function $\theta_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)$ is determined by $\theta_{0}\left(f_{0}\right)=f_{0}$ and viceversa. More precisely for any s

$$
\theta_{s}^{A}\left(f_{s}(x)\right)=\theta_{0}^{A}\left(f_{0}(x)\right) \text { and } \theta_{s}^{B}\left(f_{s}(x)\right)=\theta_{0}^{B}\left(f_{0}\left(x-k_{s}\right)\right)
$$

Proof. By induction on the word length of $g=\left(\left(f_{s}\right), i\right)$. Multiplying by a generator $\alpha_{j}$, $\theta_{s}^{A}\left(f_{s}(x)\right)$ is modified exactly at $x=i$, which also modifies accordingly $\theta_{0}^{A}\left(f_{0}(i)\right)$. Multiplying by a generator $\beta_{j}, \theta_{s}^{B}\left(f_{s}(x)\right)$ is modified exactly at $x=i+k_{s}$, which also modifies accordingly $\theta_{0}^{B}\left(f_{0}(i)\right)$.

### 2.2.2 Local finiteness of the diagonal product

Denote $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}}: \mathbf{G}=\mathbb{Z} * A * B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the projection on the first factor.
Definition 2.8. The range $\operatorname{Range}(w)$ of a representative word $w$ of an element in $\mathbf{G}$ is the collection of all $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)$ where $w^{\prime}$ is a prefix of $w$. It is a finite subinterval of $\mathbb{Z}$, the set of sites visited by the cursor. We will also denote Range $(w)$ its diameter.

For an element $g$ in $\Delta$ or in $\Delta_{s}$, we denote $\operatorname{Range}(g)$ the diameter of a minimal range interval of a word in G representing it, and $s_{0}(g)$ the maximal integer with $k_{s_{0}(g)} \leq \operatorname{Range}(g)$.

Denote $\Delta_{\leq s}=\mathbf{G} / \cap_{0 \leq s^{\prime} \leq s} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{s^{\prime}}\right)$ the diagonal product of the $s+1$ first factors, and $\pi_{\leq s}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta_{\leq s}$ the natural projection.
Fact 2.9. Under Assumption [2.1, for any $g \in \mathbf{G}$, the evaluation $\pi(g)$ in $\Delta$ is determined by $\pi_{\leq s_{0}(g)}(g)$.
Proof. If $k_{s}>\operatorname{Range}(g)$, then $f_{s}$ takes values in the generating set $A(s) \cup B(s)$. By Assumption 2.1, $f_{s}$ is determined by $\theta_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)$ so by $\theta_{0}\left(f_{0}\right)=f_{0}$ using lemma 2.7. So all $\pi_{s}(g)$ for $s>s_{0}(g)$ can be recovered from $\pi_{0}(g)$.

In particular, as the range is bounded above by the length, the above argument shows that the sequence of marked groups $\left(\Delta_{s}, \mathcal{T}_{s}\right)$ converges to $\left(\Delta_{0}, \mathcal{T}_{0}\right)$ and the sequence $\left(\Delta_{\leq s}, \mathcal{T}_{\leq s}\right)$ to $(\Delta, \mathcal{T})$ in the Chabauty topology.

We also record the following.
Fact 2.10. Assume $\left(k_{s}\right)$ is unbounded.
(i) If all the groups in the family $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ are elementary amenable (e.g. finite), then the diagonal product $\Delta$ is also elementary amenable.
(ii) If all the groups in the family $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ are locally embeddable into finite groups (e.g. finite), then the diagonal product $\Delta$ is also locally embeddable into finite groups.
(iii) If all the groups in the family $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ are finite, then the diagonal product $\Delta$ has asymptotic dimension 1.

Proof. We observe that when $\left(k_{s}\right)$ is unbounded, ker $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is locally included in a finite direct product of copies of the groups $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$. If $G$ is the subgroup generated by elements $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}$ in Ker $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}}$, then by the previous fact $G$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{\leq S}(G)$ where $S=\max _{1 \leq i \leq k} s_{0}\left(g_{i}\right)$. Moreover in each copy $\Delta_{s}$ with $s \leq S$ each element $g_{i}$ is described by a function $f_{i, s}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Gamma_{s}$ with finite support, and the group law induced is point-wise multiplication. This proves (i) and also (iii) as the asymptotic dimension of an extension is less than that of quotient plus that of kernel by BD06.

By Fact 2.9, the ball of radius $R$ in the Cayley graph of $\Delta$ depends only on the $R$-balls in $\Delta_{s}$ for $s$ below the maximal $s_{0}$ with $k_{s_{0}} \leq R$. Each $R$-ball in a group $\Gamma_{s}$ coincides with the $R$-ball of a finite group $H_{s}$, so the $R$-ball in $\Delta_{s}$ coincides with the $R$-ball in $H_{s}$ 亿 $\mathbb{Z} /(4 R+1) \mathbb{Z}$. A fortiori, the $R$-ball in $\Delta$ coincides with the $R$-ball of the diagonal product of these $s_{0}+1$ finite groups. This proves (ii).

### 2.2.3 Metric in one copy $\Delta_{s}$

In order to estimate the metric in the diagonal product, the sequence $\left(k_{s}\right)$ must grow exponentially fast. Therefore we make the

Assumption 2.11. Throughout the paper, we assume that the sequence $\left(k_{s}\right)$ is a sequence of strictly increasing even numbers such that $k_{s+1}>2 k_{s}$ for all $s$.

Exponential growth of the sequence $\left(k_{s}\right)$ is crucial for the second part of Lemma 2.13 below. We choose the factor 2 for simplicity. It could be replaced by any $m_{0}>1$, which would only modify our estimates by some multiplicative constants.

Definition 2.12. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $I_{j}^{s}=\left[\frac{j k_{s}}{2}, \frac{(j+1) k_{s}}{2}\right)$. We call essential contribution of the function $f_{s}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Gamma_{s}$ the quantity

$$
E_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)=\sum_{\left\{j: I_{j}^{s} \cap \operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, i\right) \neq \emptyset\right\}} k_{s} \max _{x \in I_{j}^{s}}\left(\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}}-1\right)_{+}
$$

where $(x)_{+}=\max \{x, 0\}$. In words, we partition the range into intervals of width $\frac{k_{s}}{2}$. Each of these intervals essentially contributes as $k_{s}$ times the maximal distance $\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}}-1$.

The essential contribution measures the contribution of the terms $f_{s}(x)$ of $\Gamma_{s}$-length more than 2 to the length of an element $\left(f_{s}, i\right)$ of $\Delta_{s}$. The range will take care of the contribution of terms of length less than 1.

Lemma 2.13. Let $\left(f_{s}, i\right)$ belong to $\Delta_{s}$. Then

$$
\max \left\{\frac{1}{8} E_{s}\left(f_{s}\right), \operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, i\right)\right\} \leq\left|\left(f_{s}, i\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \leq 9\left(E_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)+\operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, i\right)\right) .
$$

Let $\Delta$ be the diagonal product of $\left\{\Delta_{s}\right\}$. Under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.11 and if moreover $\theta_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)=\boldsymbol{e}$, then there is a word $\omega\left(f_{s}, i\right) \in \mathbf{G}$ of length less than the above upper bound such that

$$
\pi_{s^{\prime}}\left(\omega\left(f_{s}, i\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\left(f_{s}, i\right) & \text { if } s^{\prime}=s \\ (0, i) & \text { if } s^{\prime} \neq s\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The lower bound by the range is obvious.
Let $[x]$ denote the integer part of $x$. To get the lower bound by essential contribution, notice that in order to write $f_{s}(x)$, the cursor has to traverse at least $\left[\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} / 2\right]$ times between positions in $x-k_{s}$ and $x$ because a minimal representative word alternates elements of $A(s)$ and $B(s)$. So $x$ contributes at least $k_{s}\left[\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} / 2\right]$ to the length of a representative word $w$ of $\left(f_{s}, i\right)$.

If the intervals $\left[x-k_{s}, x\right]$ and $\left[x^{\prime}-k_{s}, x^{\prime}\right]$ are disjoint, the contributions of $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ must add up. Let $x_{j}^{s}$ achieve the maximum of $\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}}$ on the interval $I_{j}^{s}$. The separation condition is satisfied for a family of $x_{j}^{s}$ with the same congruence of $j$ modulo 4 . Therefore

$$
|w| \geq \max _{0 \leq a \leq 3}\left(\sum_{j \equiv a \bmod 4} k_{s}\left[\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} / 2\right]\right) \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} k_{s} \max _{I_{j}^{J}}\left[\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} / 2\right] \geq \frac{1}{8} E_{s}\left(f_{s}\right) .
$$

To get the upper bound, the generic strategy is the following. We partition the convex envelope of $\operatorname{supp}\left(f_{s}\right) \cup\{0, i\}$, of length less than $\operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, i\right)+k_{s}$, into its intersections with the intervals $I_{j}^{s}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. The elements of the partition are still denoted $I_{j}^{s}$. Let $J_{\max }$ (resp. $J_{\min }$ ) denote the maximum (resp. minimum) index of this partition, and let $w\left(f_{s}(x)\right.$ ) be a fixed minimal representative words for $f_{s}(x)$. We produce a representative word for $\left(f_{s}, i\right)^{-1}$ by the following strategy.

First apply a power $p_{1} \leq \operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, i\right)+k_{s}$ of the shift $\tau$ to move the cursor from $i$ to the rightmost point of the interval $I_{J_{\max }}^{s}$. Then for each integer $j$ from $J_{\text {max }}$ to $J_{\text {min }}$, produce a word $\omega_{j}$ that, taking the cursor from the rightmost point of $I_{j}^{s}$, erases all the words $w\left(f_{s}(x)\right)$ for $x \in I_{j}^{s}$ and eventually leaves the cursor at the rightmost point of $I_{j-1}^{s}$.

The description of $\omega_{j}$ is the following. The first run takes the cursor to $j \frac{k_{s}}{2}-k_{s}$ and then back, so that all the last letters of $f_{s}(x)$ for $x \in I_{j}^{s}$ can be deleted. More precisely, while the cursor is in $\left[j \frac{k_{s}}{2},(j+1) \frac{k_{s}}{2}\right)=I_{j}^{s}$ multiplying by $\alpha_{l}(s)$ at appropriate locations removes the last letter to those words $w\left(f_{s}(x)\right)$ ending with $a_{l}(s)$ and while the cursor is in $\left[j \frac{k_{s}}{2}-k_{s},(j+1) \frac{k_{s}}{2}-k_{s}\right)=I_{j-2}^{s}$ multiplying by $\beta_{l}(s)$ at appropriate locations removes the last letter to those words $w\left(f_{s}(x)\right)$ ending with $b_{l}(s)$. One run has length $3 k_{s}$ and cancels one letter in each of the words. The number of runs necessary to erase completely all the words is $\max _{x \in I_{j}^{s}}\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}}$. A last run takes the cursor from the rightmost point of $I_{j}^{s}$ to the rightmost point of $I_{j-1}^{s}$, except for $j=J_{\text {min }}$. Thus $\left|\omega_{j}\right| \leq 3 k_{s} \max _{x \in I_{j}^{s}}\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}}+\max \left(I_{j}^{s}\right)-\max \left(I_{j-1}^{s}\right)$ without last term for $j=J_{\text {min }}$.

Finally apply a power $p_{2} \leq \operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, i\right)+k_{s}$ of the shift $\tau$ to move the cursor from $\max \left(I_{J_{\text {min }}}^{s}\right)$ to 0 . All in all

$$
\omega\left(f_{s}, i\right)=\left(\tau^{p_{1}} \omega_{J_{\max }} \ldots \omega_{J_{\min }} \tau^{p_{2}}\right)^{-1}
$$

is a representative word of $\left(f_{s}, i\right)$ with length

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\omega\left(f_{s}, i\right)\right| & \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 3 k_{s} \max _{I_{j}^{I}}\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}}+\sum_{j=J_{\min }}^{J_{\max }} \max \left(I_{j}^{s}\right)-\max \left(I_{j-1}^{s}\right)+2 \operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, i\right)+2 k_{s} \\
& \leq 3 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} k_{s} \max _{I_{j}^{s}}\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}}+3 \operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, i\right)+2 k_{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the number of indices $j$ such that $I_{j}^{s}$ intersects the range of $\left(f_{s}, i\right)$ is less than
$\left[2 \operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, i\right) / k_{s}\right]+1$. Therefore

$$
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} k_{s} \max _{I_{j}^{x}}\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \leq E_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)+2 \operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, i\right)+k_{s}
$$

Generically, $E_{s}\left(f_{s}\right) \neq 0$ and then $k_{s} \leq E_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)$ and the two previous inequalities give the upper bound. In the non-generic case when $E_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)=0$, then $\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \leq 1$ for all $x$ and an obvious bound is $\left|\left(f_{s}, i\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \leq 3 \operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, i\right)$.

To get the second part of the lemma, observe first that if $E_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)=0$ and $\theta_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)=\boldsymbol{e}$ then $\left(f_{s}, i\right)=(\boldsymbol{e}, i)$ is just a translation, the conclusion holds trivially. In the generic case, we have to check that for each sub-word $\omega_{j}$ in the above procedure the lamp function $f_{s^{\prime}}^{\omega_{j}}$ of $\pi_{s^{\prime}}\left(\omega_{j}\right)$ is trivial.

First assume $s^{\prime}>s$. The cursor moves in the interval $I=\left[j \frac{k_{s}}{2}-k_{s},(j+1) \frac{k_{s}}{2}\right]$ of length $\frac{3}{2} k_{s}<2 k_{s}<k_{s^{\prime}}$ by Assumption 2.11 In this condition, multiplying by $\alpha_{l}$ contributes to $f_{s^{\prime}}^{\omega_{j}}(x)$ by $a_{l}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ at positions $x \in I$ and multiplying by $\beta_{l}$ contributes to $f_{s^{\prime}}^{\omega_{j}}(x)$ by $b_{l}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ at positions $x \in I+k_{s^{\prime}}$. These intervals are disjoint, so $f_{s^{\prime}}^{\omega_{j}}$ takes values in the generating set of $\Gamma_{s}$. Thus $f_{s^{\prime}}^{\omega_{j}}(x)=\theta_{s^{\prime}}\left(f_{s^{\prime}}^{\omega_{j}}\right)(x)=\theta_{s^{\prime}}^{A}\left(f_{s^{\prime}}^{\omega_{j}}\right)(x) \theta_{s^{\prime}}^{B}\left(f_{s^{\prime}}^{\omega_{j}}\right)(x)=e_{\Gamma_{s}}$, because $\theta_{s^{\prime}}^{A}\left(f_{s^{\prime}}^{\omega_{j}}\right)(x)=\theta_{0}^{A}\left(f_{0}^{\omega_{j}}\right)(x)=e$ and $\theta_{s^{\prime}}^{B}\left(f_{s^{\prime}}^{\omega_{j}}\right)(x)=\theta_{0}^{B}\left(f_{0}^{\omega_{j}}\right)\left(x+k_{s}-k_{s^{\prime}}\right)=e$ using lemma 2.7 and our hypothesis.

Now assume $s^{\prime}<s$. The generators $a_{l}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ were applied only when the cursor $i$ was in $I_{j}^{s}$. On the other hand the generators $\beta_{l}$ were applied only at points $i+k_{s} \in I_{j}^{s}$, that is when the cursor $i$ was in $I_{j-2}^{s}$. Then, as $k_{s^{\prime}} \in\left[0, \frac{k_{s}}{2}\right)$, by assumption 2.11, the element $b_{l}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ is applied only at locations $x=i+k_{s^{\prime}} \in I_{j-2}^{s}+\left[0, \frac{k_{s}}{2}\right) \subset I_{j-2}^{s} \cup I_{j-1}^{s}$. As the latter set is disjoint from $I_{j}^{s}$, the function $f_{s^{\prime}}^{\omega_{j}}$ again takes values in the generating set of $\Gamma_{s}$. We conclude as above.

### 2.2.4 Description of the metric in the diagonal product

Now we are ready to estimate metric in the diagonal product $\Delta$.
Proposition 2.14. Suppose the sequence $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ of marked groups satisfies Assumption 2.1, and the sequence $\left(k_{s}\right)$ of integers satisfies Assumption 2.11. For any element $g=\left(\left(f_{s}\right), i\right)$ in the diagonal product $\Delta$, the word distance in $(\Delta, \mathcal{T})$ satisfies

$$
\max _{s \geq 0}\left|\left(f_{s}, i\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \leq|g|_{\Delta} \leq 500 \sum_{0 \leq s \leq s_{0}(Z)}\left|\left(f_{s}, i\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} .
$$

Proof. The first inequality holds because $\Delta_{s}$ is a marked quotient of $\Delta$.
For the second inequality, let $\omega\left(f_{0}, i\right)$ be a minimal representative word of $\left(f_{0}, i\right)$. This is realized when the cursor moves across the range and at each site switches appropriately the $A$ and $B$ lamps. In particular, the word $\omega\left(f_{s}, i\right)$ has length $\left|\left(f_{0}, i\right)\right|_{\Delta_{0}} \leq 3 \operatorname{Range}\left(f_{0}, i\right)$. It represents an element $\left(\left(h_{s}\right), i\right)$ in $\Delta$ with $h_{0}=f_{0}$ and $\left|h_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \leq 2$ for all $x$ and $s$.

Then $g \omega\left(f_{0}, i\right)^{-1}=\left(\left(f_{s}^{\prime}\right), 0\right)$ with $f_{s}^{\prime}=f_{s} h_{s}^{-1}$ for all $s$. In particular $f_{0}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{e}$, thus $\theta_{s}\left(f_{s}^{\prime}\right)=\boldsymbol{e}$ for all $s$ by Lemma 2.7. Lemma 2.13 applies and we get

$$
g \omega\left(f_{0}, i\right)^{-1} \omega\left(f_{1}^{\prime}, 0\right)^{-1} \ldots \omega\left(f_{s_{0}(g)}^{\prime}, 0\right)^{-1}=e
$$

This is true in $\Delta_{\leq s_{0}(g)}$ hence in $\Delta$ by Fact 2.9. This shows that

$$
|g|_{\Delta} \leq\left|\omega\left(f_{0}, i\right)\right|+\sum_{1 \leq s \leq s_{0}(g)}\left|\omega\left(f_{s}^{\prime}, 0\right)\right| .
$$

We claim that $\operatorname{support}\left(f_{s}^{\prime}\right) \subset \operatorname{support}\left(f_{s}\right)$. This implies Range $\left(f_{s}^{\prime}, 0\right) \leq 2 \operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, i\right)$. Moreover $E_{s}\left(f_{s}^{\prime}\right) \leq 3 E_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)$ because $\left|f_{s}^{\prime}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \leq\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}}+2$ for all $x$ and $s$. Therefore we can conclude using Lemma 2.13 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\omega\left(f_{s}^{\prime}, 0\right)\right| & \leq 9\left(E_{s}\left(f_{s}^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}^{\prime}, 0\right)\right) \leq 27\left(E_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)+\operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, i\right)\right) \\
& \leq 54 \max \left(E_{s}\left(f_{s}\right), \operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, i\right)\right) \leq 432\left|\left(f_{s}, i\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The claim follows from Lemma 2.7. Indeed, if $f_{s}(x)=e_{\Gamma_{s}}$, then in particular

$$
e_{A}=\theta_{s}^{A}\left(f_{s}(x)\right)=\theta_{0}^{A}\left(f_{0}(x)\right)=\theta_{0}^{A}\left(h_{0}(x)\right)=\theta_{s}^{A}\left(h_{s}(x)\right)
$$

and

$$
e_{B}=\theta_{s}^{B}\left(f_{s}(x)\right)=\theta_{0}^{B}\left(f_{0}\left(x-k_{s}\right)\right)=\theta_{0}^{B}\left(h_{0}\left(x-k_{s}\right)\right)=\theta_{s}^{B}\left(h_{s}(x)\right)
$$

so $\theta_{s}\left(h_{s}(x)\right)=e_{A \times B}$. As $\left|h_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \leq 2$, this implies $h_{s}(x)=e_{\Gamma_{s}}$. Therefore $f_{s}^{\prime}(x)=e_{\Gamma_{s}}$ as well.

### 2.3 Metric spaces embedded in $\Delta$

In this section, we gather some elementary facts about embeddings of some metric spaces into the diagonal product $\Delta$. It will be used to obtain upper bounds on compression, in sections 6 and 8

### 2.3.1 Embedding a lamp group $\Gamma_{s}$

Fact 2.15. Each group $\Gamma_{s}$ embeds homothetically in the diagonal product $\Delta$ with ratio $k_{s}+1$, i.e. there is group homomorphism $\vartheta_{s}: \Gamma_{s} \rightarrow \Delta$ satisfying

$$
\left|\vartheta_{s}(\gamma)\right|_{\Delta}=\left(k_{s}+1\right)|\gamma|_{\Gamma_{s}} .
$$

Proof. Let $w=a_{i_{1}}(s) b_{i_{1}}(s) \ldots a_{i_{n}}(s) b_{i_{n}}(s)$ belong to $A(s) * B(s)$. Set

$$
\vartheta_{s}(w)=\tau^{\frac{k_{s}}{2}} \alpha_{i_{1}} \tau^{-k_{s}} \beta_{i_{1}} \tau^{k_{s}} \ldots \alpha_{i_{n}} \tau^{-k_{s}} \beta_{i_{n}} \tau^{k_{s}}
$$

The application $\vartheta_{s}: A(s) * B(s) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}=\mathbb{Z} * A * B$ induces an embedding $\vartheta_{s}: \Gamma_{s} \rightarrow \Delta$. Indeed by Lemma2.7, we easily check that if $w$ represents an element $\gamma$ in $\Gamma_{s}$, then $\vartheta_{s}(w)=\left(\left(f_{s}\right), 0\right)$ with

$$
f_{s}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma & \text { for } x=\frac{k_{s}}{2} \\
e_{\Gamma_{s}} & \text { for } x \neq \frac{k_{s}}{2}
\end{array} \text { and } f_{s^{\prime}}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\theta_{s}^{A}(\gamma) & \text { for } x=\frac{k_{s}}{2} \\
\theta_{s}^{B}(\gamma) & \text { for } x=\frac{k_{s}}{2}-k_{s^{\prime}} \\
e_{\Gamma_{s}} & \text { for other } x
\end{array} \text { for } s^{\prime} \neq s\right.\right.
$$

By construction, $\left|\vartheta_{s}(\gamma)\right|_{\Delta} \leq\left(k_{s}+1\right)|\gamma|_{\Gamma_{s}}$. Moreover, it is clear that if $w$ is a minimal representative of $\gamma$, then $\vartheta_{s}(w)$ is a minimal representative of $\pi_{s}\left(\vartheta_{s}(\gamma)\right)$ in the quotient $\Delta_{s}$. This proves the other inequality.

### 2.3.2 Embedding products with $\ell^{\infty}$-norm

Let us denote $\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}=[A(s), B(s)]^{\Gamma_{s}}$. By Assumption 2.1, $\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}$ is the same as $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Gamma_{s} \rightarrow A(s) \times\right.$ $B(s)$ ), it is a subgroup of $\Gamma_{s}$ of finite index $|A||B|$.

Given an integer $t \geq 0$, we consider

$$
\Pi_{s}^{t}=\left\{\left(\left(f_{s}\right), 0\right): \begin{array}{ll}
f_{s}(x) \in \Gamma_{s}^{\prime} & \text { for } x \in[0, t)  \tag{2}\\
f_{s}(x)=e_{\Gamma_{s}} & \text { for } x \notin[0, t) \\
f_{s^{\prime}}=\boldsymbol{e} & \text { for } s^{\prime} \neq s
\end{array}\right\}
$$

This is a subset of $\Delta$. Indeed, $\theta_{s}\left(f_{s}\right)=\boldsymbol{e}$ by choice of $\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}$, so $\theta_{0}\left(f_{0}\right)=\boldsymbol{e}$ by Lemma 2.7. Thus all such elements $\left(\left(f_{s}\right), 0\right)$ actually belong to $\Delta$ by Lemma 2.13. Clearly, $\Pi_{s}^{t}$ is a subgroup of $\Delta$ isomorphic to a direct product of $t$ copies of $\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}$.

$$
\Pi_{s}^{t} \simeq \prod_{t \in[0, t)} \Gamma_{s}^{\prime}
$$

By abuse of notation, we denote the elements of $\Pi_{s}^{t}$ simply by functions $f_{s}:[0, t) \rightarrow \Gamma_{s}^{\prime}$. The metric induced by $\Delta$ on $\Pi_{s}^{t}$ can be estimated via Lemma 2.13.
Lemma 2.16. For any $f_{s}$ in $\Pi_{s}^{t}$,

$$
\frac{1}{2} k_{s} \max _{[0, t)}\left|f_{\mathcal{S}}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \leq\left|f_{s}\right|_{\Delta} \leq 36 t \max _{[0, t)}\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}}
$$

In particular, diam $_{\Delta} \Pi_{s}^{t} \leq 36 t \operatorname{diam}_{\Gamma_{s}}\left(\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}\right) \leq 36 t l_{s}$, where $l_{s}=\operatorname{diam}\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\left|\left\{f_{s} \in \Pi_{s}^{t}:\left|f_{s}\right|_{\Delta} \geq \frac{1}{72} \operatorname{diam}_{\Delta}\left(\Pi_{s}^{t}\right)\right\}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|\Pi_{s}^{t}\right|
$$

The last statements imply that $\Pi_{s}^{t}$ satisfies the $\left(p ; \frac{\operatorname{diam}_{\Delta}\left(\Pi_{s}^{t}\right)}{72}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$-mass distribution condition (21), see section (5)

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.13. To get the lower bound, notice that as $f_{s}(x)$ belongs to $\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}$, we necessarily have $\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \geq 2$ when $f_{s}(x) \neq e_{\Gamma_{s}}$. To get the upper bound, observe that there are $\left[2 t / k_{s}\right]+1$ intervals $I_{j}^{s}$ intersecting $[0, t)$, so the essential contribution is at most

$$
E_{s}\left(f_{s}\right) \leq k_{s}\left(\left[\frac{2 t}{k_{s}}\right]+1\right) \max _{[0, t)}\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \leq(2 t+1) \max _{[0, t)}\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}}
$$

and the range is bounded by $t$.
To get the second part, observe that for more than half of functions $I_{j}^{s} \rightarrow \Gamma_{s}^{\prime}$ there exists $x \in I_{j}^{s}$ with $\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \geq \operatorname{diam}_{\Gamma_{s}}\left(\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}\right) / 2$. This holds for each $j$. Therefore, there exists a subset $A$ of $\Pi_{s}^{t}$ of size $|A| \geq\left|\Pi_{s}^{t}\right| / 2$ such that for each $f_{s} \in A$, more than half of the $\left[2 t / k_{s}\right]+1$ intervals $I_{j}^{s}$ intersecting $[0, t)$ satisfy $\max _{I_{j}^{s}}\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \geq \operatorname{diam}_{\Gamma_{s}}\left(\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}\right) / 2$. This implies for any $f_{s} \in A$

$$
\left|f_{s}\right|_{\Delta} \geq E_{s}\left(f_{s}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(\left[\frac{2 t}{k_{s}}\right]+1\right) k_{s} \operatorname{diam}_{\Gamma_{s}}\left(\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}\right) \geq \frac{t}{2} \operatorname{diam}_{\Gamma_{s}}\left(\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}\right) \geq \frac{1}{72} \operatorname{diam}_{\Delta}\left(\Pi_{s}^{t}\right)
$$

Example 2.17. When $\Gamma_{s}=D_{2 l_{s}}$ is a dihedral group of size $2 l_{s}$, then $\Gamma_{s}^{\prime} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{l_{s} / 2}$ is a cyclic group. Edges of $\mathbb{Z}_{l_{s} / 2}$ have length 4 in the $D_{2 l_{s}}$ metric. For $t=\frac{k_{s}}{2}$, Lemma 2.16 gives

$$
\left|f_{s}\right|_{\Delta} \simeq_{72} k_{s} \max _{\left[0, \frac{k_{s}}{2}\right)}\left|f_{s}(x)\right|_{\mathbb{Z}_{l_{s} / 2}}
$$

In particular, $\Pi_{s}^{t}$ is then a copy of the discrete torus $\mathbb{Z}_{l_{s} / 2}^{k_{s} / 2}$ with $l^{\infty}$-metric rescaled by $k_{s}$, embedded with bounded distortion in $\Delta$.

We fix a generating set for $\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}$ using the following classical lemma.
Lemma 2.18 (Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm). Let $(\Gamma, S)$ be a group marked with a finite generating set and $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow F$ be a surjective mapping to a finite group $F$. Then

1. there exists a set $C=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{|F|}\right\}$ of coset representatives

$$
\Gamma=\bigcup_{i=1}^{|F|}(\operatorname{Ker} \pi) a_{i}
$$

of length $\left|a_{i}\right|_{S} \leq \operatorname{diam}_{\pi(S)}(F)$.
2. The set $R=C S C^{-1} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \pi$ is a finite symmetric generating set of Ker $\pi$.
3. For any $\gamma \in \operatorname{Ker} \pi$,

$$
|\gamma|_{R} \leq|\gamma|_{S} \leq\left(2 \operatorname{diam}_{\pi(S)}(F)+1\right)|\gamma|_{R}
$$

For $\Gamma_{s}$ that satisfies Assumption 2.1 and $F=A(s) \times B(s)$, we fix a generating set $R(s)$ for $\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\Gamma_{s} \rightarrow A(s) \times B(s)\right)$ provided by the Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm. In this case $\operatorname{diam}(A(s) \times B(s))=2$. It follows from Lemma 2.18 that the inclusion map from $\left(\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}, R(s)\right)$ into $\left(\Gamma_{s}, A(s) \cup B(s)\right)$ is bi-Lipschitz $|\gamma|_{R(s)} \leq|\gamma|_{\Gamma_{s}} \leq\left. 5\right|_{\gamma(s)}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_{s}^{\prime}$ and that $|R(s)| \leq(|A||B|)^{5}$.

Consider the direct product

$$
H=\prod_{s \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{s} / 2}=\prod_{s \geq 1} \Pi_{s}^{k_{s} / 2}
$$

denote elements of $H$ as $\mathbf{h}=\left(h_{s}\right)$, where $h_{s}$ is a vector $h_{s}=\left(h_{s}(0), \ldots h_{s}\left(\frac{k_{s}}{2}-1\right)\right) \in$ $\left(\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{k_{s} / 2}$. Equip $H$ with a left invariant metric $l$,

$$
l_{s}(\mathbf{h})=\frac{k_{s}}{2} \max _{0 \leq j \leq k_{s} / 2-1}\left|h_{s}(j)\right|_{R_{s}}, l(\mathbf{h})=\sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} l_{s}(\mathbf{h}) .
$$

Proposition 2.19. Suppose $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ is a sequence of finite groups satisfying Assumption 2.1 and $\left(k_{s}\right)$ satisfies growth assumption 2.11. Let $\Delta$ be the diagonal product constructed with $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ and parameters $\left(k_{s}\right)$. Then $\Delta$ is elementary amenable, and there exists an embedding $\theta: H \rightarrow \Delta$ such that for every $\mathbf{h} \in H$,

$$
\max _{s \in \mathbb{N}} l_{s}(\mathbf{h}) \leq|\theta(\mathbf{h})|_{\Delta} \leq 45000 l(\mathbf{h})
$$

Proof. The group $\Delta$ is elementary amenable by Fact 2.10. By Proposition 2.14 and Lemma 2.16, we have for each $s \geq 0$

$$
|\theta(\mathbf{h})|_{\Delta} \geq\left|\pi_{s} \theta(\mathbf{h})\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \geq \frac{k_{s}}{2} \max _{\left[0, k_{s} / 2\right)}\left|h_{s}(j)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \geq \frac{k_{s}}{2} \max _{\left[0, k_{s} / 2\right)}\left|h_{s}(j)\right|_{R_{s}}=l_{s}(\mathbf{h})
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\theta(\mathbf{h})|_{\Delta} & \leq 500 \sum_{s \geq 0}\left|\pi_{s}(\theta(\mathbf{h}))\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \leq 500 \sum_{s \geq 0} 10 k_{s} \max _{\left[0, k_{s} / 2\right)}\left|h_{s}(j)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \\
& \leq 500 \cdot 10 \cdot 5 \sum_{s \geq 0} k_{s} \max _{\left[0, k_{s} / 2\right)}\left|h_{s}(j)\right|_{R_{s}}=25000 l(\mathbf{h}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left(k_{s}\right)$ satisfies growth assumption 2.11 it is clear that $H$ has at most exponential volume growth with respect to the length function $l$. By the general theorem of OlshanskiiOsin OO13, there exists an elementary amenable group $G$ equipped with a finite generating set $S$ such that $H$ embeds as a subgroup of $G$, and there exists a constant $c>0$ such that $c|h|_{S} \leq l(h) \leq|h|_{S}$ for all $h$. In general the group $G$ provided by the Olshanskii-Osin embedding is rather large compared to $H$. In the current setting, although the embedding $\theta: H \rightarrow \Delta$ is not necessarily bi-Lipschitz, the geometry of group $\Delta$ is in some sense controlled by $H$. In particular, we will show in Section 6 and 8 that if $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ is taken to be an expander family or finite dihedral groups and if the sequences $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(\operatorname{diam}_{R(s)}\left(\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ satisfy certain growth conditions, then Hilbert compression exponent of $\left(\Delta, d_{\Delta}\right)$ is the same as $(H, l)$,

$$
\alpha_{2}^{*}\left(\left(\Delta, d_{\Delta}\right)\right)=\alpha_{2}^{*}((H, l))
$$

## 3 Speed and entropy of random walk on $\Delta$

Recall that $\Delta$ denote the diagonal product of the sequence of marked groups $\left\{\Delta_{s}\right\}$, it is marked with generating tuple $\mathcal{T}=\left(\tau, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{|A|}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{|B|}\right)$. Let $U_{\alpha}$ and $U_{\beta}$ denote the uniform measure on the subgroups $A=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{|A|}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{|B|}\right\}$ respectively. Let $\mu$ denote the uniform measure on $\left\{\tau^{ \pm 1}\right\}$. For the convenience of speed calculation, we take the following specific "switch-walk-switch" step distribution on $\Delta$,

$$
q=\left(U_{\alpha} * U_{\beta}\right) * \mu *\left(U_{\alpha} * U_{\beta}\right)
$$

Note that in the construction of $\Delta$, since $\Gamma_{0}=A(0) \times B(0)$ and $k_{s}>0$ for all $s>0$, it follows that $\alpha_{i}$ commutes with $\beta_{j}$, therefore $U_{\alpha} * U_{\beta}$ is a symmetric probability measure on $\Delta$. Let $A_{1, s}, A_{2, s}, \ldots\left(B_{1, s}, B_{2, s}, \ldots\right.$ resp. $)$ be a sequence of independent r.v. with uniform distribution on $A(s)\left(B(s)\right.$ resp.). We will refer to $A_{1, s} B_{1, s} \ldots A_{t, s} B_{t, s}$ as a random alternating word of length $t$ in $A(s)$ and $B(s)$ starting with $A$.

We first describe what the random walk trajectory with step distribution $q$ looks like. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables uniform on $\{ \pm 1\}, S_{n}=X_{1}+\ldots+X_{n}$; $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2}, \ldots\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}, \ldots\right.$ resp.) a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with distribution $U_{\alpha}\left(U_{\beta}\right.$ resp.). Let $W_{n}$ denote the random variable on $\Delta$ given by

$$
W_{n}=\mathcal{A}_{1} \mathcal{B}_{1} \tau^{X_{1}} \mathcal{A}_{2} \mathcal{B}_{2} \ldots \mathcal{A}_{2 n-1} \mathcal{B}_{2 n-1} \tau^{X_{n}} \mathcal{A}_{2 n} \mathcal{B}_{2 n}
$$

then $W_{n}$ has distribution $q^{n}$. Each letter $\mathcal{A}$ can be written as $\mathcal{A}=\left(\left(f_{s}^{\mathcal{A}}\right), 0\right)$ where $f_{s}^{\mathcal{A}}(0)=$ $\mathcal{A}_{s}$, with $\mathcal{A}_{s}=a_{j}(s)$ if $\mathcal{A}=\alpha_{j}$, and $f_{s}^{\mathcal{A}}(x)=e_{\Gamma_{s}}$ for all $x \neq 0$; similarly $\mathcal{B}=\left(\left(f_{s}^{\mathcal{B}}\right), 0\right)$ where $f_{s}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(k_{s}\right)=\mathcal{B}_{s}$, with $\mathcal{B}_{s}=b_{j}(s)$ if $\mathcal{B}=\beta_{j}$, and $f_{s}^{\mathcal{B}}(x)=e_{\Gamma_{s}}$ for all $x \neq k_{s}$.

Now we rewrite $W_{n}$ into the standard form $\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right)$. Consider the projection to the copy $\Delta_{s}$, from the definition of generators $\alpha_{i}(s)$ and $\beta_{i}(s)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{s}^{W_{n}}(y) \\
& =\mathcal{A}_{1, s}^{\mathbf{1}_{\left\{S_{0}=y\right\}}} \mathcal{B}_{1, s}^{\mathbf{1}_{\left\{S_{0}=y-k_{s}\right\}}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2 j, s} \mathcal{A}_{2 j+1, s}\right)^{\mathbf{1}_{\left\{S_{j}=y\right\}}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2 j, s} \mathcal{B}_{2 j+1, s}\right)^{\mathbf{1}_{\left\{S_{j}=y-k_{s}\right\}}}\right) \mathcal{A}_{2 n, s}^{\mathbf{1}_{\left\{S_{n}=y\right\}}} \mathcal{B}_{2 n}^{\mathbf{1}_{\left\{S_{n}=y-k_{s}\right\}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $T(k, x, m)$ be the number of excursions of the simple random walk $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ away from $x$ that cross $x-k$ and are completed before time $m$. Then conditioned on $\left\{S_{k}\right\}_{0 \leq k \leq n}$, the distribution of $f_{s}^{W_{n}}(y)$ is the same as a random alternating word in $A(s)$ and $B \overline{(s)}$ of length $T\left(k_{s}, y, n\right)$ with an appropriate random letter added as prefix/suffix.

### 3.1 The case with linear speed in $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$

In this subsection we consider the case where speed of simple random walk on $\Gamma_{s}$ grows linearly up to some time comparable to the diameter $l_{s}$.
Definition 3.1. Let $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ be a sequence of finite groups where each $\Gamma_{s}$ is marked with a generating set $A(s) \cup B(s)$. Let $\eta_{s}=U_{A(s)} * U_{B(s)} * U_{A(s)}$ where $U_{A(s)}, U_{B(s)}$ are uniform distribution on $A(s), B(s)$. We say $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ satisfies $\left(\sigma, T_{s}\right)$-linear speed assumption if in each $\Gamma_{s}$,

$$
L_{\eta_{s}}(t)=\mathbf{E}\left|X_{t}^{(s)}\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \geq \sigma t \text { for all } t \leq T_{s}
$$

where $X_{t}^{(s)}$ has distribution $\eta_{s}^{* t}$.
Recall that since $X_{t}^{(s)}$ is random walk on a transitive graph, by AV12, Proposition 8],

$$
\max \left\{H\left(X_{t}^{(s)}\right), 1\right\} \geq \frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{E}\left|X_{t}^{(s)}\right|_{\Gamma_{s}}\right)^{2}
$$

Note that if $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ satisfies Assumption [2.1] $\eta_{s}$ projects onto the uniform distribution on $A(s) \times B(s)$, thus $H\left(X_{t}^{(s)}\right) \geq H\left(X_{1}^{(s)}\right) \geq \log (|A|\|B\|) \geq \log 4$. Therefore in this case $\left(\sigma, T_{s}\right)$-linear speed assumption implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(X_{t}^{(s)}\right) \geq \sigma^{\prime} t \text { for all } t \leq T_{s}, \text { where } \sigma^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\sigma}{4}\right)^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

One important class of examples that satisfies the linear speed assumption consists of expander families.
Example 3.2. On $\Gamma_{s}=\langle A(s), B(s)\rangle, A(s) \simeq A, B(s) \simeq B$, let $d=|A(s)|+|B(s)|-2$, $v_{s}$ be the uniform probability measure on $A(s) \cup B(s)$. Suppose there exists $\delta>0$ such that the spectral gap $\lambda\left(\Gamma_{s}, v_{s}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\lambda\left(\Gamma_{s}, v_{s}\right)=\inf _{f: \Gamma_{s} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \neq c}\left\{\frac{\sum_{u, v \in \Gamma_{s}}|f(u)-f(u v)|^{2} \nu_{s}(v)}{\frac{1}{\left|\Gamma_{s}\right|} \sum_{u, v \in \Gamma_{s}}|f(u)-f(v)|^{2}}\right\} \geq \delta
$$

for all $s$, that is $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ forms a family of $d$-regular $\delta$-expanders in $\ell^{2}$. Then $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ satisfies $\left(\sigma, c_{0} \log \left|\Gamma_{s}\right|\right)$-linear speed assumption with with constants $\sigma, c_{0}>0$ only depending on $\delta$ and $|A|,|B|$. We reproduce the proof of this fact for completeness, see HLW06, Theorem 3.6].

By standard comparison of Dirichlet forms,

$$
\lambda\left(\Gamma_{s}, \eta_{s}\right)=\hat{\delta} \geq \frac{\delta}{|A||B|}
$$

From the spectral gap we have

$$
\left|\mathbf{P}\left(X_{t}^{(s)}=x\right)-\frac{1}{\left|\Gamma_{s}\right|}\right| \leq e^{-\hat{\delta} t} .
$$

Then for $t<\frac{1}{\hat{\delta}} \log \left|\Gamma_{s}\right|, \gamma=\frac{\hat{\delta}}{2 \log d}$,

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(X_{t}^{(s)} \in B(e, \gamma t)\right) \leq d^{\gamma t}\left(e^{-\hat{\delta} t}+\frac{1}{\left|\Gamma_{s}\right|}\right) \leq 2 \exp ((\gamma \log d-\hat{\delta}) t)=2 e^{-\hat{\delta} t / 2}
$$

Therefore $\mathbf{E}\left|X_{t}^{(s)}\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \geq \gamma t\left(1-2 e^{-\hat{\delta} t / 2}\right)$. We conclude that $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ satisfies $\left(\sigma, c_{0} \log \left|\Gamma_{s}\right|\right)$ linear speed assumption with $\sigma=\min \left\{\frac{\hat{\delta}}{4 \log d}, \frac{\hat{\delta}}{2 \log 4}\right\}, c_{0}=1 / \hat{\delta}$.

The lamplighter groups over $\mathbb{Z}^{d}, d \geq 3$, are the first examples of solvable groups where simple random walk has linear speed, see Kaimanovich-Vershik [KV83]. The following examples satisfying the linear speed assumption are analogues of finite quotients of $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \imath \mathbb{Z}^{d}$.

Example 3.3. Let $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \imath D_{\infty}^{d}, d \geq 3$ as in the 2 nd item of Example 2.4 marked with generating subgroups $A=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \imath\left\langle a_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq d\right\rangle, B=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \imath\left\langle b_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq d\right\rangle$. Fix an increasing sequence $n_{s} \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\left.\Gamma_{s}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right\} D_{2 n_{s}}^{d}$. Then $\Gamma_{s}$ is a finite quotient of $\Gamma$, let $A(s), B(s)$ denote the projection of $A$ and $B$ to $\Gamma_{s}$. There exists constant $\sigma_{d}>0$ only depending on $d$ such that $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ satisfies $\left(\sigma_{d},\left(2 n_{s}\right)^{d}\right)$-linear speed assumption. A proof of this fact is included in Lemma C. 4 in the Appendix.

### 3.1.1 Bounds on speed and entropy in one copy

In the upper bound direction, we will use the trivial bound that in each lamp group,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{s}^{W_{n}}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \leq \min \left\{2 T\left(k_{s}, x, n\right)+\mathbf{1}_{\{L(x, n)>0\}}+\mathbf{1}_{\left\{L\left(x-k_{s}, n\right)>0\right\}}, \operatorname{diam}\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that we set the parameter $l_{s}=\operatorname{diam}\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)$.
Lemma 3.4. There exists an absolute constant $C>0$ such that for all $s \geq 0$,

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{s \leq s_{0}\left(W_{n}\right)\right\}}\right] \leq \begin{cases}C n^{\frac{1}{2}} \min \left\{\frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k_{s}}, l_{s}\right\} & \text { if } k_{s}^{2} \leq n \\ C\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}}+k_{s}\right) e^{-\frac{k_{s}^{2}}{8 n}} & \text { if } k_{s}^{2}>n\end{cases}
$$

Proof. From the metric upper estimate in Lemma 2.13 ,

$$
\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \leq 9\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} k_{s} \max _{x \in I_{j}^{s}}\left|f_{s}^{W_{n}}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}}+\mathcal{R}_{n}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{n}=\#\left\{S_{k}: 0 \leq k \leq n\right\}$ is the size of the range of simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$ and $I_{j}^{s}=\left[j \frac{k_{s}}{2},(j+1) \frac{k_{s}}{2}\right)$. Observe that for each $x \in I_{j}^{s}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(k_{s}, x, n\right) \leq T\left(\frac{k_{s}}{2}, j \frac{k_{s}}{2}, n\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

because each excursion from $x$ to the left that crosses $x-k_{s}$ must contain an excursion from $j \frac{k_{s}}{2}$ to the left that crosses $(j-1) \frac{k_{s}}{2}$. Apply (4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} & \leq 9 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} k_{s} \max _{x \in I_{j}^{s}}\left\{2 T\left(k_{s}, x, n\right)\right\}+11\left(\mathcal{R}_{n}\right) \\
& \leq 11\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} k_{s} T\left(\frac{k_{s}}{2}, j \frac{k_{s}}{2}, n\right)+\mathcal{R}_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma A. 1

$$
\mathbf{E} T\left(\frac{k_{s}}{2}, j \frac{k_{s}}{2}, n\right) \leq \frac{2 C n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k_{s}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(j k_{s} / 2\right)^{2}}{2 n}\right) .
$$

The size of range of simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$ satisfies

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\mathcal{R}_{n} \geq x\right) \leq \mathbf{P}\left(\max _{0 \leq k \leq n}\left|S_{k}\right| \geq \frac{x}{2}\right) \leq 4 \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{8 n}\right) .
$$

Recall that by definition of $s_{0}(Z)$ in subsection [2.2.2]

$$
\left\{s \leq s_{0}\left(W_{n}\right)\right\} \subseteq\left\{\mathcal{R}_{n} \geq k_{s}\right\} .
$$

Summing up,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}\left[\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{s \leq s_{0}\left(W_{n}\right)\right\}}\right] \\
& \leq 11 k_{s} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{E} T\left(\frac{k_{s}}{2}, j \frac{k_{s}}{2}, n\right)+11 \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\mathcal{R}_{n}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\mathcal{R}_{n} \geq k_{s}\right\}}\right] \\
& \leq 11 k_{s} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{C n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k_{s}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(j k_{s} / 2\right)^{2}}{2 n}\right)+C^{\prime} n^{\frac{1}{2}}-e^{-\frac{k^{2}}{8 n}} \\
& \leq \begin{cases}C^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{n}{k_{s}}+n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) & \text { if } k_{s}^{2} \leq n \\
C^{\prime \prime}\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}}+k_{s}\right) e^{-\frac{k_{2}^{2}}{8 n}} & \text { if } k_{s}^{2}>n .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $k_{s}^{2} \leq n$, since $\left|f_{s}^{W_{n}}(y)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}}$ can't exceed the diameter of $\Gamma_{s}$, together with Lemma 2.13] we have a second upper bound

$$
\mathbf{E}\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \leq 10 l_{s} \mathbf{E}\left(\mathcal{R}_{n}+k_{s}\right) \leq C l_{s} n^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Combine these bounds we obtain the statement.

Now we turn to the lower bound direction.
Lemma 3.5. Suppose $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ satisfies $\left(\sigma, c_{0} l_{s}\right)$-linear speed assumption. Then there exists an absolute constant $C>0$ such that for $s$ with $k_{s}^{2} \leq n$,

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}}\right) \geq \frac{\sigma}{C} \min \left\{\frac{n}{k_{s}}, c_{0} n^{\frac{1}{2}} l_{s}\right\},
$$

and

$$
H\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}\right) \geq \frac{\sigma^{\prime}}{C} \min \left\{\frac{n}{k_{s}}, c_{0} n^{\frac{1}{2}} l_{s}\right\}
$$

Proof. We use a weaker lower bound for the metric,

$$
\left|\left(f_{s}, z\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \geq \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|f_{s}(y)\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} .
$$

Apply the $\left(\sigma, c_{0} l_{s}\right)$-linear speed assumption of Definition 3.1 we have

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}}\right) \geq \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|f_{s}^{W_{n}}(y)\right|\right) \geq \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{E}\left[\sigma \min \left\{T\left(k_{s}, y, n\right), c_{0} l_{s}\right\}\right]
$$

Then by Lemma A.2 there exists constant $c>0$, for $k_{s} \leq c^{2} n^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[\min \left\{T\left(k_{s}, y, n\right), c_{0} l_{s}\right\}\right] \geq \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\frac{c \sqrt{n}}{4 k}, c_{0} l_{s}\right\} \mathbf{P}\left(L\left(y, \frac{n}{2}\right)>0\right)
$$

Summing up over $y$,

$$
\sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{E}\left[\min \left\{T\left(k_{s}, y, n\right), c_{0} l_{s}\right\}\right] \geq \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\frac{c \sqrt{n}}{4 k_{s}}, c_{0} l_{s}\right\} \mathbf{E} \mathcal{R}_{n / 2}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{n / 2}$ is the size of the range of simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$ up to $n / 2$. Since $\mathbf{E} \mathcal{R}_{n} \simeq n^{\frac{1}{2}}$, it follows there exists constant $C>1$ such that for $s$ with $k_{s}^{2} \leq n$,

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}}\right) \geq \frac{\sigma}{C} \min \left\{\frac{n}{k_{s}}, c_{0} n^{\frac{1}{2}} l_{s}\right\}
$$

Concerning entropy, we condition by the traverse time function (see for instance AV12 for the basic properties of entropy)

$$
\begin{aligned}
H\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}\right) & \geq H\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}} \mid T\left(k_{s}, \cdot, n\right)\right)=\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{E} H\left(X_{T\left(k_{s}, z, n\right)}^{(s)}\right) \\
& \geq \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{E}\left[\sigma^{\prime} \min \left\{T\left(k_{s}, z, b\right), c_{0} l_{s}\right\}\right] \geq \frac{\sigma^{\prime}}{C} \min \left\{\frac{n}{k_{s}}, c_{0} n^{\frac{1}{2}} l_{s}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

using (3) and the same computation.

### 3.1.2 Speed and entropy estimates in the diagonal product $\Delta$

Recall Assumption 2.11 that $\left(k_{s}\right)$ grows exponentially. In the diagonal product $\Delta$, by the metric upper estimate in Proposition 2.14 and speed upper estimates in Lemma 3.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}\left(\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta}\right) \leq \mathbf{E}\left(500 \sum_{s \leq s_{0}\left(W_{n}\right)}\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}}\right) \leq \sum_{s \leq s_{0}(n)} C\left(\min \left\{\frac{n}{k_{s}}, n^{\frac{1}{2}} l_{s}\right\}+n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
s_{0}(n)=\min \left\{s: k_{s}^{2} \geq n\right\}
$$

Indeed, denote $x_{s}=\frac{k_{s}}{\sqrt{n}}$ growing exponentially, then

$$
\sum_{s>s_{0}(n)}\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}}+k_{s}\right) e^{-\frac{k_{s}^{2}}{8 n}} \leq n^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{x_{s} \geq 1}\left(1+x_{s}\right) e^{-\frac{x_{s}^{2}}{8}} \leq C n^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

In the lower bound direction, suppose $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ satisfies $\left(\sigma, c_{0}\right)$-linear speed assumption 3.1. then by the metric lower estimate in Proposition 2.14 and Lemma 3.5,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}\left(\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta}\right) \geq \max _{s} \mathbf{E}\left(\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}}\right) \geq \frac{\sigma}{C} \max _{s \leq s_{0}(n)} \min \left\{\frac{n}{k_{s}}, n^{\frac{1}{2}} c_{0} l_{s}\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To understand the upper bound (6), divide the collection of $\Delta_{s}$ with $s \leq s_{0}(n)$ into two subsets.
(i) Let $s_{1}(n)$ denote the index

$$
s_{1}(n)=\max \left\{s \geq 0: n^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq k_{s} l_{s}\right\}
$$

Then the contribution of these $s \leq s_{1}(n)$ to the sum is bounded by

$$
\sum_{s \leq s_{1}(n)} \mathbf{E}\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \leq C n^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{s \leq s_{1}(n)}\left(l_{s}+1\right)
$$

(ii) The contribution of $s \in\left(s_{1}(n)+1, s_{0}(n)\right]$ to the sum is bounded by

$$
\sum_{s=s_{1}(n)+1}^{s_{0}(n)} \mathbf{E}\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \leq C \sum_{s=s_{1}(n)+1}^{s_{0}(n)}\left(\frac{n}{k_{s}}+n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

Combine these parts, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}\left(\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta}\right) \leq 2 C\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{s=0}^{s_{1}(n)} l_{s}+\sum_{s=s_{1}(n)+1}^{s_{0}(n)} \frac{n}{k_{s}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.6. Suppose $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ satisfies $\left(\sigma, c_{0} l_{s}\right)$-linear speed assumption and $\operatorname{diam}\left(\Gamma_{s}\right) \leq$ $C_{0} l_{s}$. Suppose there exists a constant $m_{0}>1$ such that

$$
k_{s+1}>2 k_{s}, l_{s+1} \geq m_{0} l_{s} \text { for all } s
$$

Let

$$
s_{0}(n)=\min \left\{s: k_{s}^{2} \geq n\right\}, s_{1}(n)=\max \left\{s \geq 0: n^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq k_{s} l_{s}\right\}
$$

then

$$
\frac{\sigma c_{0}}{2 C}\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}} l_{s_{1}(n)}+\frac{n}{k_{s_{1}(n)+1}}\right) \leq \mathbf{E}\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta} \leq \frac{4 C}{1-1 / m_{0}}\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}} l_{s_{1}(n)}+\frac{n}{k_{s_{1}(n)+1}}\right)
$$

The same bounds hold for the entropy $H\left(W_{n}^{\Delta}\right)$ with $\sigma$ replaced by $\sigma^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\sigma}{4}\right)^{2}$ and $C$ replaced by a constant $C^{\prime}>0$ that only depends on the size of generating sets $|A|+|B|$.
Proof. The lower bound is a direct consequence of (77). For the upper bound, apply (8) and note that because of the assumption on growth of $k_{s}, l_{s}$, the sums satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{s \leq s_{1}(n)} l_{s} & \leq l_{s_{1}(n)} \frac{1}{1-1 / m_{0}} \\
\sum_{s \geq s_{1}(n)+1} \frac{1}{k_{s}} & \leq \frac{2}{k_{s_{1}(n)+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the entropy, by Ers03, Lemma 6 ], there is $C^{\prime}$ depending only on the exponential rate of volume growth in $\Gamma$, which can't exceed $\log (|A|+|B|)$, such that $H\left(W_{n}^{\Delta}\right) \leq C^{\prime} \mathbf{E}\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta}$, giving the upper bound. Lemma 3.5 gives the lower bound (7).

### 3.1.3 Possible speed and entropy functions

Example 3.7. If $\Gamma_{s}$ is an family as in Examples 3.2 or 3.3 and $k_{s}=2^{\beta s+o(s)}$ and $l_{s}=$ $2^{\iota s+o(s)}$, with $\beta, \iota \in[1, \infty)$, a direct application of Proposition 3.6 shows that the speed and entropy exponents are

$$
\lim \frac{\log \mathbf{E}\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta}}{\log n}=\lim \frac{\log H\left(W_{n}^{\Delta}\right)}{\log n}=\frac{\beta+2 \iota}{2 \beta+2 \iota}=1-\frac{1}{2\left(1+\frac{\iota}{\beta}\right)}
$$

which can take any value in $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.
Theorem 3.8. There exists universal constants $c, C>0$ such that the following holds. For any function $\varrho:[1, \infty) \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ such that $\frac{\varrho(x)}{\sqrt{x}}$ and $\frac{x}{\varrho(x)}$ are non-decreasing, there exists a group $\Delta$ and a symmetric probability measure $q$ of finite support on $\Delta$ such that

$$
c \varrho(n) \leq \mathbf{E}\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta} \leq C \varrho(n) \text { and } c \varrho(n) \leq H\left(W_{n}^{\Delta}\right) \leq C \varrho(n)
$$

Moreover, the group $\Delta$ can be chosen 4-step solvable.
Proof. The choice of a family of $\Gamma_{s}$ as in Example 3.2 or 3.3 guarantees the existence of $C_{1}>1$ such that for all $x \geq 1$, there is $\Gamma_{s}$ of diameter $l_{s}$ with $\frac{x}{C_{1}} \leq l_{s} \leq C_{1} x$.

As $\varrho$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{\frac{1}{2}, 1}$, Corollary B. 3 provides two sequences $\left(k_{s}\right)$ of integers and $\left(l_{s}\right)$ among diameters of $\Gamma_{s}$ with $k_{s+1} \geq m_{0} k_{s}$ and $l_{s+1} \geq m_{0} l_{s}$ for all $s$ such that the function

$$
\bar{\varrho}(x)=x^{\frac{1}{2}} l_{s}+\frac{x}{k_{s+1}}, \text { for }\left(k_{s} l_{s}\right)^{2} \leq x \leq\left(k_{s+1} l_{s+1}\right)^{2}
$$

satisfies $\bar{\varrho}(x) \simeq_{2 m_{0} C_{1}^{5}} \varrho(x)$ for all $x$.
Combining with Proposition 3.6, the diagonal product $\Delta$ associated to these sequences has a speed and entropy satisfying

$$
\frac{\sigma c_{0}}{4 m_{0} C C_{1}^{5}} \varrho(x) \leq \mathbf{E}\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta} \leq \frac{4 m_{0} C C_{1}^{5}}{1-\frac{1}{m_{0}}} \varrho(x)
$$

For $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ as in Example 3.3, the group $\Delta$ is 4-step solvable.
Note that when the speed is linear, the last term of the sequence $\left(l_{s}\right)$ is infinite, thus the last quotient $\Gamma_{s}$ is in fact the whole group $\Gamma$. In our examples, $\Gamma$ is either $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \zeta D_{\infty}^{d}$ for $d \geq 3$ or a lattice in $S L(3, F)$. In the latter case, the finite diagonal product $\Delta$ is non amenable. When the speed is diffusive, the last term of the sequence $\left(k_{s}\right)$ is infinite, the group $\Delta$ is a diagonal product of finitely many groups $\Delta_{s}$ where the lamp groups $\Gamma_{s}$ are finite.

### 3.2 The case of $\Delta$ with dihedral groups

In this subsection we focus on the case where $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ is taken to be a sequence of finite dihedral groups. Since the unlabelled Cayley graph of $D_{2 l}$ is the same as a cycle of size $2 l$, simple random walk on $D_{2 l}$ can be identified with simple random walk on the cycle of size $2 l$. Consider simple random walk on the cycle as projection of simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$, then the classical Gaussian bounds on simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$ imply that there exists constants $c, C>0$ such that for all $1 \leq t \leq l_{s}^{2}, 1 \leq x \leq t^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \exp \left(-C x^{2}\right) \leq \mathbf{P}\left(\left|X_{t}^{(s)}\right|_{D_{2 l_{s}}} \geq x t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leq C \exp \left(-c x^{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{t}^{(s)}$ is a random alternating word in $\{e, a(s)\}$ and $\{e, b(s)\}$.

Lemma 3.9. Suppose $\Gamma_{s}=D_{2 l_{s}}$. There exists an absolute constant $C>0$ such that in each $\Delta_{s}$,

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{s \leq s_{0}\left(W_{n}\right)\right\}}\right] \leq \begin{cases}C \min \left\{n^{\frac{3}{4}} k_{s}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log ^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{s}, n k_{s}^{-1}, n^{\frac{1}{2}} l_{s}\right\} & \text { if } k_{s}^{2}<n \\ C\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}}+k_{s}\right) e^{-\frac{k_{s}^{2}}{8 n}} & \text { if } k_{s}^{2} \geq n\end{cases}
$$

Proof. From the upper bounds in Lemma 3.4 which is valid for any choice of $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$, the only bound we need to show here is that if $k_{s} \leq n^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}\left[\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{s \leq s_{0}\left(W_{n}\right)\right\}}\right] \leq C n^{\frac{3}{4}} k_{s}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log ^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{s} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove this, note that the collection $\left(\left|f_{s}^{W_{n}}(z)\right|_{D_{\infty}}\right)_{z \in I_{j}^{s}}$ as a vector is stochastically dominated by the random vector

$$
\left(\left|X_{T\left(k / 2, j k_{s} / 2, n\right)}(z)\right|_{D_{\infty}}+\mathbf{1}_{\{L(n, z)>0\}}+\mathbf{1}_{\{L(n, z-k)>0\}}\right)_{z \in I_{j}^{s}}
$$

where $\left\{X_{t}(z)\right\}$ is a sequence of independent random alternating words in $A(s)$ and $B(s)$ of length $t$. Then $\max _{z \in I_{j}^{s}}\left|f_{s}^{W_{n}}(z)\right|_{D_{\infty}}$ is stochastically dominated by

$$
\max _{z \in I_{j}^{s}}\left|X_{T\left(k / 2, j k_{s} / 2, n\right)}(z)\right|_{D_{\infty}}+1_{\left\{L\left(n, I_{j}^{s}\right)>0\right\}}+1_{\left.\left\{L\left(n, I_{j-2}^{s}\right)>0\right)\right\}}
$$

Plug in the metric estimate in Lemma 2.13

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{E}\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{s \leq s_{0}\left(W_{n}\right)\right\}} \\
& \leq 9 k_{s}\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{E} \max _{z \in I_{j}^{s}}\left|X_{T\left(k / 2, j k_{s} / 2, n\right)}(z)\right|_{D_{\infty}}\right)+11 \mathbf{E}\left[\mathcal{R}_{n} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\mathcal{R}_{n} \geq k_{s}\right\}}\right] \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

From the upper bound in (9), since $\max _{z \in I_{j}^{s}}\left|X_{t}(z)\right|$ is maximum of $k_{s} / 2$ i.i.d. random variables,

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\max _{z \in I_{j}^{s}}\left|X_{t}(z)\right| \leq x t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \geq\left(1-c_{1} \exp \left(-c_{2} x^{2}\right)\right)^{\left|I_{j}^{s}\right|}
$$

Then

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[\max _{z \in I_{j}^{s}}\left|X_{T\left(k / 2, j k_{s} / 2, n\right)}(z)\right|| | T\left(k / 2, j k_{s} / 2, n\right)\right] \leq C_{1} T\left(k / 2, j k_{s} / 2, n\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log ^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{s}
$$

where $C_{1}$ depends on $c_{1}, c_{2}$. Apply Lemma A. 1 ,

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[\max _{z \in I_{j}^{s}}\left|X_{T\left(k / 2, j k_{s} / 2, n\right)}(z)\right|\right] \leq C_{2}\left(\frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k_{s}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(j k_{s} / 2\right)^{2}}{2 n}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log ^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{s}
$$

Plug the estimates in (11) and summing up over $j$, we obtain (10), because of the Gaussian tail, the main contribution comes from $1 \leq j \leq n^{\frac{1}{2}} / k_{s}$.

Lemma 3.10. Suppose $\Gamma_{s}=D_{2 l_{s}}$. There exists an absolute constant $c>0$ such that in each $\Delta_{s}$, for $n \geq c k_{s}^{2}$,

$$
\mathbf{E}\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \geq c \min \left\{n^{\frac{3}{4}} k_{s}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log ^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{s}, n k_{s}^{-1}, n^{\frac{1}{2}} l_{s}\right\} .
$$

Proof. For each $z \in I_{j}^{s}=\left[\frac{j}{2} k_{s}, \frac{j+1}{2} k_{s}\right)$, the traverse time satisfies $T\left(k_{s}, z, n\right) \geq T\left(2 k_{s}, \frac{j+1}{2} k_{s}, n\right)$. So

$$
\mathbf{E} \max _{z \in I_{j}^{s}}\left|f_{s}^{W_{n}}(z)\right|_{D_{2 l_{s}}} \geq \mathbf{E} \max _{z \in I_{j}^{s}}\left|X_{T\left(2 k_{s}, \frac{j+1}{2} k_{s}, n\right)}^{s}(z)\right|_{D_{2 l_{s}}}
$$

where $\left\{X_{t}(z)\right\}$ is a sequence of independent random alternating words in $A(s)$ and $B(s)$ of length $t$.

For any $t \geq 1$ and $1 \leq x \leq t^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\left|X_{t}(z)\right|_{D_{2 l_{s}}} \geq \min \left\{x t^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{l_{s}}{2}\right\}\right) \geq c_{1} e^{-c_{2} x^{2}}
$$

By independence, this implies the existence of $c>0$ with

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\max _{z \in I_{j}^{s}}\left|X_{t}(z)\right|_{D_{2 l_{s}}} \geq \min \left\{c \log ^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{s} t^{\frac{1}{2}}, c t, \frac{l_{s}}{2}\right\}\right) \geq c
$$

Using Lemma 2.13 and Lemma A.2, for some $c>0$ and for all $n \geq c k_{s}^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\left|\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} & \geq \frac{k_{s}}{4} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{E} \max _{z \in I_{j}^{s}}\left|X_{T\left(2 k_{s}, \frac{j+1}{2} k_{s}, n\right)}^{s}(z)\right|_{D_{2 l_{s}}} \\
& \geq c k_{s} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \min \left\{n^{\frac{1}{4}} k_{s}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log ^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{s}, n^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{s}^{-1}, \frac{l_{s}}{2}\right\} P_{0}\left(L\left(\frac{j+1}{2} k_{s}, \frac{n}{2}\right)>0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} P_{0}\left(L\left(\frac{j+1}{2} k_{s}, \frac{n}{2}\right)>0\right) \geq \mathbf{E} \mathcal{R}_{\frac{n}{2}} / k_{s} \geq c \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k_{s}}$.
Proposition 3.11. Suppose $\Gamma_{s}=D_{2 l_{s}}$ and there exists $m_{0}>1$ such that $k_{s+1}>2 k_{s}$, $l_{s+1}>m_{0} l_{s}$ for all $s$. Define

$$
t_{1}(n)=\max \left\{s: \frac{l_{s}^{2} k_{s}}{\log k_{s}}<n^{\frac{1}{2}} \text { and } l_{s} k_{s}<n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} .
$$

Then in the diagonal product $\Delta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{C}\left(l_{t_{1}(n)}+\min \left\{n^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\frac{\log k_{t_{1}(n)+1}}{k_{t_{1}(n)+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k_{t_{1}(n)+1}}\right\}\right) \leq \mathbf{E}\left(\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2 C n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1-1 / m_{0}}\left(l_{t_{1}(n)}+\min \left\{n^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\frac{\log k_{t_{1}(n)+1}}{k_{t_{1}(n)+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k_{t_{1}(n)+1}}\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.12. The bounds here are more complicated than the linear case because in Lemma 3.9 and 3.10 we have to consider minimum over three quantities. If we further assume that $l_{s} \geq \log k_{s}$ for all $s$, then the bounds simplify to

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta}\right) \simeq_{C m_{0}} n^{\frac{1}{2}} l_{t_{1}(n)}+n^{\frac{3}{4}}\left(\frac{\log k_{t_{1}(n)+1}}{k_{t_{1}(n)+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.14 and the third line of Lemma 3.9

$$
c \max _{s \leq s_{0}(n)} \mathbf{E}\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \leq \mathbf{E}\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta} \leq C \sum_{s \leq s_{0}(n)} \mathbf{E}\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta_{s}}
$$

The choice of $t_{1}(n)$ implies that

$$
\min \left\{n^{\frac{3}{4}} k_{s}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log ^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{s}, n k_{s}^{-1}, n^{\frac{1}{2}} l_{s}\right\}= \begin{cases}n^{\frac{1}{2}} l_{s} & \forall s \leq t_{1}(n) \\ \min \left\{n^{\frac{3}{4}} k_{s}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log ^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{s}, n k_{s}^{-1}\right\} & \forall s>t_{1}(n)\end{cases}
$$

Using Lemma 3.9 and 3.10 and the exponential growth of $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$ gives the proposition.
Example 3.13. Let $k_{s}=2^{\beta s}$ and $l_{s}=2^{\iota s}$, with $\beta>1, \iota>0$, and $\Gamma_{s}=D_{2 l_{s}}$. Proposition 3.11 implies with this choice of parameters,

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta}\right) \simeq n^{\frac{3 \iota+\beta}{4 \iota+2 \beta}}(\log n)^{\frac{\iota}{2 \iota+\beta}}
$$

Theorem 3.14. There exists universal constants $c, C>0$ such that the following holds. For any continuous function $\varrho:[1, \infty) \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ satisfying $\varrho(1)=1$ and $\frac{\varrho(x)}{x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log ^{1+\epsilon} x}, \frac{x^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\varrho(x)}$ non-decreasing for some $\epsilon>0$, there exists a 3-step solvable group $\Delta$ with dihedral groups $\Gamma_{s}$ and a symmetric probability measure $q$ of finite support on $\Delta$ such that

$$
c \varrho(n) \leq \mathbf{E}\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta} \leq C \varrho(n)
$$

Remark 3.15. The lower condition on $\varrho(x)$ is only technical. There is no gap that would isolate diffusive behaviors among 3 -step solvable groups. Indeed, it easily follows from Lemma 3.9 and 3.10 that for any $\varrho(x)$ such that $\frac{\varrho(x)}{\sqrt{x}}$ tends to infinity, there is a group $\Delta$ with dihedral $\Gamma_{s}$ such that $c n^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \mathbf{E}\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta} \leq C \varrho(n)$ for all $n$.

Proof. By Corollary B.3, we can find two sequences $\left(\kappa_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$ satisfying $\log \kappa_{s} \leq l_{s}$ such that $\bar{\varrho}(x)$ and $\varrho(x)$ agree up to multiplicative constants.

Let us set $\kappa_{s}=\left(\frac{k_{s}}{\log k_{s}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $\log k_{s} \simeq \log \kappa_{s} \leq l_{s}^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}$. By Corollary 3.11, the diagonal product $\Delta$ with dihedral groups $\Gamma_{s}=D_{2 l_{s}}$ and sequence $\left(k_{s}\right)$ satisfies for any $\left(l_{s} \kappa_{s}\right)^{4} \leq n \leq$ $\left(l_{s+1} \kappa_{s+1}\right)^{4}$

$$
c \bar{\varrho}(x)=c\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}} l_{s}+\frac{n^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\kappa_{s+1}}\right) \leq \mathbf{E}\left|W_{n}\right|_{\Delta} \leq C\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}} l_{s}+\frac{n^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\kappa_{s+1}}\right)=C \bar{\varrho}(x) .
$$

The group $\Delta$ clearly has a trivial third derived subgroup.
Proposition 3.16. There exists two constants $c, C>0$ such that on any diagonal product $\Delta$ with dihedral $\Gamma_{s}$ satisfying Assumption 2.11, the entropy of the switch-walk-switch random walk satisfies

$$
c \sqrt{n} \leq H\left(W_{n}^{\Delta}\right) \leq C \sqrt{n} \log ^{2} n
$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.14, the entropy of random walk on $\Delta$ is related to the entropy on the factors $\Delta_{s}$ by the following

$$
\max _{s \geq 0} H\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right) \leq H\left(\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}\right), S_{n}\right) \leq H\left(S_{n}\right)+\sum_{s \leq s_{2}(n)} H\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}\right)
$$

where $s_{0}\left(W_{n}\right) \leq s_{2}(n)=\max \left\{s: k_{s} \leq n\right\}$ and $H\left(S_{n}\right) \simeq \log n$. The lower bound comes from the first factor $H\left(f_{0}^{W_{n}}, S_{n}\right)$ which is the usual random walk on lamplighter group with finite lamps.

Denote $T_{s}^{n}=T\left(k_{s}, \cdot, n\right)$ the traverse time function and $\left[\operatorname{supp} T_{s}^{n}\right]$ the convex envelope of its support. Using conditional entropy (see for instance KV83] or AV12] for usual properties of conditional entropy)

$$
\begin{align*}
H\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}\right) & \leq H\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}} \mid T_{s}^{n}\right)+H\left(T_{s}^{n}\right) \\
& \leq H\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}} \mid T_{s}^{n}\right)+H\left(T_{s}^{n} \mid\left[\operatorname{supp} T_{s}^{n}\right]\right)+H\left(\left[\operatorname{supp} T_{s}^{n}\right]\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The convex envelope $\left[\operatorname{supp} T_{s}^{n}\right]$ is included in $\operatorname{Range}\left(W_{n}\right)$, therefore $H\left(\left[\operatorname{supp} T_{s}^{n}\right]\right) \simeq \log n$ and $\mathbf{E}\left|\left[\operatorname{supp} T_{s}^{n}\right]\right| \leq C \sqrt{n}$. As for all $z, 0 \leq T_{s}^{n}(z) \leq n$, we deduce that

$$
H\left(T_{s}^{n} \mid\left[\operatorname{supp} T_{s}^{n}\right]\right) \leq \mathbf{E}\left[\left|\left[\operatorname{supp} T_{s}^{n}\right]\right|\right] \log n \leq C \sqrt{n} \log n
$$

As each $f_{s}^{W_{n}}(z)$ is distributed as an independent sample of a random walk on $\Gamma_{s}$ of length $T\left(k_{s}, z, n\right)$, we have

$$
H\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}} \mid T_{s}^{n}\right)=\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{E} H\left(X_{T\left(k_{s}, z, n\right)}^{(s)}\right)
$$

The groups $\Gamma_{s}$ being dihedral $H\left(X_{t}^{(s)}\right) \leq \log t$, therefore

$$
H\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}} \mid T_{s}^{n}\right) \leq \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{E} \log T\left(k_{s}, z, n\right) \leq C \sqrt{n} \log n
$$

Obviously $n \geq k_{s_{0}\left(W_{n}\right)}$ so finally piling up the inequalities

$$
H\left(\left(f_{s}^{W_{n}}\right), S_{n}\right) \leq C \log (n)(1+2 \sqrt{n} \log n+\log n)
$$

### 3.3 Joint evaluation of speed and entropy

Using the idea of Amir Ami15 of taking direct product of two groups, we can combine the speed and entropy estimates on $\Delta$, together with the results of Amir-Virag AV12 to show the following result concerning the joint behavior of growth of entropy and speed.

Recall that for symmetric probability measure $\mu$ on $G$ with finite support, entropy and speed satisfies

$$
\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{1}{4} L_{\mu}(n)\right)^{2}-1 \leq H_{\mu}(n) \leq(v+\varepsilon) L_{\mu}(n)+\log n+C
$$

where $v$ is the volume growth rate of $(G, \operatorname{supp} \mu)$ and $C>0$ is an absolute constant, Ers03, AV12, AV12.

Proposition 3.17. Let $f, h: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be two functions such that $h(1)=1$ and

- either $\frac{f(n)}{n^{\frac{3}{4}}}$ and $\frac{n^{1-\epsilon}}{f(n)}$ are non-decreasing for some $\epsilon>0$ and

$$
h(n) \leq f(n) \leq \sqrt{\frac{n h(n)}{\log n}}
$$

- or $\frac{h(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log ^{2} n}$ and $\frac{n^{\frac{3}{4}}}{f(n)}$ are non-decreasing and

$$
h(n) \leq f(n) \leq \sqrt{n h(n)}
$$

Then there exists a constant $C>0$ depending only on $\epsilon>0$, a finitely generated group $G$ and a symmetric probability measure $\mu$ of finite support on $G$ such that

$$
L_{\mu}(n) \simeq_{C} f(n) \text { and } H_{\mu}(n) \simeq_{C} h(n)
$$

As a corollary, we derive the Corollary 1.3 regarding joint entropy and speed exponents (Conjecture 3 in Ami15).

Proof of Corollary 1.3. If $\theta \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, take functions $h(n)=\max \left\{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log ^{2} n, n^{\theta}\right\}, f(n)=$ $\max \left\{n^{\gamma}, h(n)\right\}$. Note that in this case $\gamma<1$, the pair of functions $f$ and $h$ is covered by one of the cases in Proposition 3.17, the statement follows.

If $\theta=1$, in this case $\gamma=1$ as well, we can take $G$ to be any finitely generated group which admits a symmetric probability measure $\mu$ of finite support such that $(G, \mu)$ has linear entropy growth.

Proof. We follow Amir's approach in Ami15 to take direct product of two appropriate groups such that one would control the speed function and the other would control the entropy function.

In the first case where both $f(n) / n^{\frac{3}{4}}$ and $n^{1-\epsilon} / f(n)$ are non-decreasing, consider the direct product of the following two groups. By AV12], there exists a group $G_{1}=\mathbb{Z} \imath_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{M}_{m}$ and a step distribution $q_{1}$ on $G_{1}$ such that

$$
L_{q_{1}}(n) \simeq f(n) \text { and } H_{q_{1}}(n) \simeq \frac{f(n)^{2}}{n} \log (n+1)
$$

By Theorem 3.8, there exists a group $\Delta$ and step distribution $q_{2}$ on $\Delta$ such that

$$
L_{q_{2}}(n) \simeq H_{q_{2}}(n) \simeq h(n)
$$

Then on the direct product $G_{1} \times \Delta$ with step distribution $q_{1} \otimes q_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{q_{1} \otimes q_{2}}(n) \simeq \max \left\{L_{q_{1}}(n), L_{q_{2}}(n)\right\} \simeq f(n) \\
& H_{q_{1} \otimes q_{2}}(n) \simeq \max \left\{H_{q_{1}}(n), H_{q_{2}}(n)\right\} \simeq \max \left\{\frac{f(n)^{2}}{n} \log (n+1), h(n)\right\}=h(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the second case where $f(n) /\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log ^{2} n\right)$ and $n^{\frac{3}{4}} / f(n)$ are non-decreasing, by Theorem 3.14 and Proposition 3.16, there exists group $\Delta_{1}$ and step distribution $q_{1}^{\prime}$ on $\Delta_{1}$ such that

$$
L_{q_{1}^{\prime}}(n) \simeq f(n), \text { and } \frac{1}{C} n^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq H_{q_{1}^{\prime}}(n) \leq C n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log ^{2} n
$$

By Theorem 3.8, there exists a group $\Delta_{2}$ and step distribution $q_{2}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
L_{q_{2}^{\prime}}(n) \simeq H_{q_{2}^{\prime}}(n) \simeq h(n)
$$

Then on the direct product $\Delta_{1} \times \Delta_{2}$ with step distribution $q_{1}^{\prime} \otimes q_{2}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{q_{1}^{\prime} \otimes q_{2}^{\prime}}(n) & \simeq \max \left\{L_{q_{1}^{\prime}}(n), L_{q_{2}^{\prime}}(n)\right\} \\
H_{q_{1}^{\prime} \otimes q_{2}^{\prime}}(n) & \simeq \max \left\{H_{q_{1}^{\prime}}(n), H_{q_{2}^{\prime}}(n)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.18. This method permits to prove Corollary 1.3 but can't handle functions that oscillate cross the $n^{\frac{3}{4}}$ borderline. The problem can be reduced to finding extremal examples where the speed follows a prescribed function while entropy growth is as slow as possible. The Amir-Virag result covers the case where speed grows at least like $n^{\frac{3}{4}}$. The construction of diagonal product $\Delta$ is not designed to achieve such a goal.

## 4 Isoperimetric profiles and return probability

In this section we consider the isoperimetric profiles and return probability of $\Delta$ when $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ are taken to be expanders or dihedral groups. For convenience of calculation, we take the switch-or-walk measure $\mathfrak{q}=\frac{1}{2}(\mu+\nu)$ on $\Delta$ where $\mu$ is the simple random walk measure on the base $\mathbb{Z}, \mu\left(\tau^{ \pm 1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$, and $\nu$ is uniform on $\left\{\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j}: 1 \leq i \leq|A|, 1 \leq j \leq|B|\right\}$. Let $\mathfrak{q}_{s}$ be the projection of $\mathfrak{q}$ to the quotient $\Delta_{s}$.

### 4.1 Isoperimetric profiles

We first recall some background information. Given a symmetric probability measure $\phi$ on $G$, the $p$-Dirichlet form associated with $(G, \phi)$ is

$$
\mathcal{E}_{p, \phi}(f)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in G}|f(x y)-f(x)|^{p} \phi(y)
$$

and the $\ell^{p}$-isoperimetric profile $\Lambda_{p, G, \phi}:[1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{p, G, \phi}(v)=\inf \left\{\mathcal{E}_{p, \phi}(f):|\operatorname{support}(f)| \leq v,\|f\|_{p}=1\right\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The most important ones are the $\ell^{1}$ and $\ell^{2}$-isoperimetric profiles. Using an appropriate discrete co-area formula, $\Lambda_{1, \phi}$ can equivalently be defined by

$$
\Lambda_{1, G, \phi}(v)=\inf \left\{|\Omega|^{-1} \sum_{x, y} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega}(x) \mathbf{1}_{G \backslash \Omega}(x y) \phi(y):|\Omega| \leq v\right\}
$$

If we define the boundary of $\Omega$ to be the set

$$
\partial \Omega=\{(x, y) \in G \times G: x \in \Omega, y \in G \backslash \Omega\}
$$

and set $\phi(\partial \Omega)=\sum_{x \in \Omega, x y \in G \backslash \Omega} \phi(y)$ then

$$
\Lambda_{1, G, \phi}(v)=\inf \{\phi(\partial \Omega) /|\Omega|:|\Omega| \leq v\}
$$

When $\phi$ a symmetric measure supported by a finite generating set $S, \Lambda_{1, G, \phi}(v)$ is closely related to the Følner function $\mathrm{F}_{G, S}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined as

$$
\operatorname{Føl}_{G, S}(r)=\min \left\{|\Omega|: \Omega \subset G, \frac{\left|\partial_{S} \Omega\right|}{|\Omega|}<\frac{1}{r}\right\},
$$

where $\left|\partial_{S} \Omega\right|=\{x \in \Omega: \exists u \in S, x u \notin \Omega\}$. Namely, let $p_{*}=\min \{\phi(u): u \in S, u \neq i d\}$, then

$$
\Lambda_{1, G, \phi}^{-1}(1 / r) \leq \mathrm{F}_{G, S}(r) \leq \Lambda_{1, G, \phi}^{-1}\left(p_{*} / r\right)
$$

where $\Lambda_{1, G, \phi}^{-1}$ is the generalized inverse of $\Lambda_{1, G, \phi}$.
We will repeatedly use the following two facts.
For any $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2$, the isoperimetric profiles $\Lambda_{p, G, \phi}$ and $\Lambda_{q, G, \phi}$ are related by Cheeger type inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0} \Lambda_{p, G, \phi}^{q / p} \leq \Lambda_{q, G, \phi} \leq C_{0} \Lambda_{p, G, \phi} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}, C_{0}$ are absolute constants, see [LS88, [SCZ15, Proposition 2.8].
Let $H$ be a quotient group of $G, \bar{\phi}$ be the projection of $\phi$ on $H$, then by Tes13, Proposition 4.5], for all $1 \leq p<\infty$,

$$
\Lambda_{p, G, \phi} \geq \Lambda_{p, H, \bar{\phi}}
$$

### 4.1.1 Isoperimetric profiles of one factor

Let $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ be a family of expanders, as in Example 2.3. Let $\nu=\nu_{s}$ be the uniform distribution on $A(s) \cup B(s)$ in $\Gamma_{s}$. Denote $h\left(\Gamma_{s}, \nu\right)$ the Cheeger constant

$$
h\left(\Gamma_{s}, \nu\right)=\inf \left\{\Lambda_{1, \Gamma_{s}, \nu}(v): v \leq \frac{\left|\Gamma_{s}\right|}{2}, v<\infty\right\}
$$

In one copy $\Delta_{s}=\Gamma_{s} \imath \mathbb{Z}$, we establish the following lower bound of $\ell^{p}$-isoperimetric profile of $\Delta_{s}$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $p \in[1,2], \Gamma_{s}$ be a finite group marked with generating subgroups $A(s), B(s)$. Let $\mathfrak{q}_{s}$ be the uniform distribution on $\left\{\tau^{ \pm 1}\right\} \cup A(s) \cup B(s)$ in $\Delta_{s}$. Then there exists an absolute constant $C>1$ such that the following is true.

- (Slow phase) for $1 \leq v \leq 2^{k_{s} / 2}$,

$$
\Lambda_{p, \Delta_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}}(v) \geq \frac{\left(\log _{2} v\right)^{-p}}{C(|A(s)|+|B(s)|)}
$$

- (Fast, then slow down) for $\left|\Gamma_{s}\right|^{r} \leq v \leq\left|\Gamma_{s}\right|^{r+1}, r \geq k_{s}$,

$$
\Lambda_{p, \Delta_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}}(v) \geq \frac{h\left(\Gamma_{s}, \nu\right)^{p}}{C(|A(s)|+|B(s)|) r^{p}}
$$

Remark 4.2. By monotonicity of the profile function $\Lambda_{p, \Delta_{s}, q_{s}}$, we have that

$$
\Lambda_{p, \Delta_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}}(v) \geq \frac{h\left(\Gamma_{s}, \nu\right)^{p}}{C(|A(s)|+|B(s)|) k_{s}^{p}}, \text { for } v \in\left(2^{k_{s} / 2},\left|\Gamma_{s}\right|^{k_{s}}\right)
$$

Proof. We prove a lower bound for the $\ell^{1}$-isoperimetric profile $\Lambda_{1, \Delta_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}}$ and use Cheeger inequality (14) to derive a lower bound on $\Lambda_{p, \Delta_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}}$. Given $r \geq 1$, consider the product of $\Gamma_{s}$ in the zero-section over the segment $[0, r]$, namely

$$
\Pi_{r}=\prod_{x \in[0, r]}\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)_{x}
$$

We now construct a product kernel $\zeta_{r}$ on $\Pi_{r}$ and discuss its $\ell^{1}$-isoperimetry.

Phase I: in the first phase $r<k_{s} / 2$. Let $\eta_{x}$ denote the uniform measure on the finite subgroup $A_{s} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ in the copy $\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)_{x}$. Let $\zeta_{r}$ denote the product kernel

$$
\zeta_{r}=\eta_{0} \otimes \ldots \otimes \eta_{r}
$$

Then $\zeta_{r}$ is indeed the uniform measure on the subgroup $\prod_{x \in[0, r]}\left(A_{s}\right)_{x}$ in the zero section of $\Delta_{s}$, it follows that

$$
\Lambda_{1, \Delta_{s}, \zeta_{r}}(v) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text { for all } v \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(2^{r+1}\right)
$$

Phase II: in the second phase $r>k_{s}$. Let $\nu_{x}$ denote the uniform measure on the generating set $A(s) \cup B(s)$ in the copy $\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)_{x}$. Let $\zeta_{r}$ denote the product kernel

$$
\zeta_{r}=\nu_{0} \otimes \ldots \otimes \nu_{r}
$$

As a transition kernel, $\zeta_{r}$ changes every copy $\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)_{x}$ independently according to $\nu_{x}$. By BH97, Theorem 1.1], the Cheeger constant of $\zeta_{r}$ on $\Pi_{r}$ satisfies

$$
h\left(\Pi_{r}, \zeta_{r}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{6}} h\left(\Gamma_{s}, \nu\right) .
$$

In other words, for $r>k_{s}$

$$
\Lambda_{1, \Delta_{s}, \zeta_{r}}(v) \geq \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{6}} h\left(\Gamma_{s}, \nu\right) \text { for all } v \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|\Gamma_{s}\right|^{r+1} .
$$

By Cheeger inequality (14), for $p \in[1,2]$,

$$
\Lambda_{p, \Delta_{s}, \zeta_{r}}(v) \geq c_{0} \Lambda_{1, \Delta_{s}, \zeta_{r}}(v)^{p} .
$$

Now we go back to the simple random walk kernel $\mathfrak{q}$. By construction of the transition kernel $\zeta_{r}$ in both cases, the metric estimate in Lemma 2.13 implies every element $g$ in the support of $\zeta_{r}$ satisfies

$$
|g|_{\Delta_{s}} \leq 40 r
$$

By the standard path length argument (see [PSC00, Lemma 2.1]), we have comparison of Dirichlet forms on $\Delta_{s}$ that

$$
2(|A|+|B|) \mathcal{E}_{p, \Delta_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}} \geq \frac{1}{(40 r)^{p}} \mathcal{E}_{p, \Delta_{s}, \zeta_{r}}
$$

It follows that

- for $r<\frac{k_{s}}{2}$,

$$
\Lambda_{p, \Delta_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}}(v) \geq \frac{c_{0}}{2(|A|+|B|)(40 r)^{p}} \text { for all } v \leq 2^{r}
$$

- for $r>k_{s}$,

$$
\Lambda_{p, \Delta_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}}(v) \geq \frac{c_{0} h\left(\Gamma_{s}, \nu\right)^{p}}{2(|A|+|B|)(80 \sqrt{6} r)^{p}} \text { for all } v \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|\Gamma_{s}\right|^{r+1}
$$

When $\Gamma_{s}=\Gamma$ is an infinite group, we have the following bound.
Lemma 4.3. Let $p \in[1,2], \Gamma_{s}=\Gamma$ be an infinite group marked with generating subgroups $A, B$. Let $\nu$ be the uniform distribution on $A \cup B$. Then there exists an absolute constant $C>1$ such that the following is true.

- for $1 \leq v \leq 2^{k_{s} / 2}$,

$$
\Lambda_{p, \Delta_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}}(v) \geq \frac{\left(\log _{2} v\right)^{-p}}{C(|A(s)|+|B(s)|)}
$$

- for $v>2^{k_{s} / 2}$,

$$
\Lambda_{p, \Delta_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}}(v) \geq \frac{h(\Gamma, \nu)^{p}}{C(|A(s)|+|B(s)|) k_{s}^{p}} .
$$

Proof. The first item is the same as in proof of Lemma 4.1. For the second item, consider the copy of $\Gamma$ at 0 and regard $\nu$ as a measure supported on the subgroup $(\Gamma)_{0}$, then

$$
C(|A(s)|+|B(s)|) k_{s}^{p} \mathcal{E}_{p, \Delta_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}} \geq \mathcal{E}_{p, \Gamma, \nu}
$$

and the result follows.

### 4.1.2 Isoperimetric profile of the diagonal product

First we put together isoperimetric estimates on the copies $\Delta_{s}$ to describe isoperimetric profile of the diagonal product $\Delta$. Let us denote $\ell_{s}=\log \left|\Gamma_{s}\right|$. Mind the difference with the diameter $l_{s}$ of $\Gamma_{s}$. For a family of expanders, these two quantities differ only by multiplicative constants depending only on the volume growth and the spectral gap of $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$.

Proposition 4.4. Suppose $\left\{\left(\Gamma_{s}, A(s) \cup B(s)\right)\right\}$ with $A(s) \simeq A, B(s) \simeq B$ is a family of groups with Cheeger constant $h\left(\Gamma_{s}, \nu_{s}\right) \geq \delta>0$, where $\nu_{s}$ is uniform on $A(s) \cup B(s)$. Suppose $\left\{k_{s}\right\}$ satisfies growth assumption 2.11. Let $\Delta$ be the diagonal product constructed with $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ and parameters $\left\{k_{s}\right\}$, and $\mathfrak{q}$ be the uniform measure on $\left\{\tau^{ \pm 1}\right\} \cup A \cup B$ in $\Delta$.

There exists an absolute constant $C>1$ such that the following estimates hold for any $s \geq 0, p \in[1,2]$.

1. For volume $v \in\left[e^{k_{s} \ell_{s}}, e^{k_{s+1} \ell_{s}}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}(v) & \geq \frac{1}{|A|+|B|}\left(\frac{\delta \ell_{s}}{C \log v}\right)^{p} \\
\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}\left(v^{\frac{\sum_{j \leq s} \ell_{j}}{\ell_{s}}}\right) & \leq\left(\frac{C \ell_{s}}{\log v}\right)^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. For volume $v \in\left[e^{k_{s+1} \ell_{s}}, e^{k_{s+1} \ell_{s+1}}\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}(v) \geq \frac{1}{|A|+|B|}\left(\frac{\delta}{C k_{s+1}}\right)^{p} \\
& \Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}(v) \leq\left(\frac{C}{k_{s+1}}\right)^{p} \text { if } v \geq \exp \left(\left(\sum_{j \leq s} \ell_{j}\right) k_{s+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The upper bounds are valid without the requirement of positive Cheeger constant.
Proof. Let $U_{r}^{\Delta}=\left\{\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right): \operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, z\right) \subset[-r, r]\right\}$ and take a function supported on the subset $U_{r}^{\Delta}$,

$$
\varphi_{r}\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right)=\left(1-\frac{|z|}{r}\right) \mathbf{1}_{U_{r}^{\Delta}}\left(\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right)\right)
$$

Let $U_{r}^{\Delta}(0)=\left\{\left(\left(f_{s}\right), 0\right): \operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, 0\right) \subset[-r, r]\right\}$, then $U_{r}^{\Delta}$ can be viewed as the product of $U_{r}^{\Delta}(0)$ and the interval $[-r, r]$. To compute the Rayleigh quotient of the function $\varphi_{r}$, first note that $\varphi_{r}\left(Z \alpha_{i}\right)=\varphi_{r}\left(Z \beta_{j}\right)=\varphi_{r}(Z)$ for all $Z \in \Delta$ and $\alpha_{i} \in A, \beta_{j} \in B$. For the generator $\tau$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right) \in U_{r}^{\Delta}}\left|\varphi_{r}\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z+1\right)-\varphi_{r}\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right)\right|^{p} & =\frac{1}{r^{p}}(2 r)\left|U_{r}^{\Delta}(0)\right| \\
\sum_{\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right) \in U_{r}^{\Delta}} \varphi_{r}\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right)^{p} & =\sum_{z \in[-r, r]}\left(1-\frac{|z|}{r}\right)^{p}\left|U_{r}^{\Delta}(0)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{\mathcal{E}_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}\left(\varphi_{r}\right)}{\left\|\varphi_{r}\right\|_{p}^{p}} \sim \frac{1+p}{2 r^{p}}
$$

For the size of support of $\varphi_{r}$,

$$
\left|\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{r}\right| \leq \prod_{k_{s} \leq 2 r}\left|\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{r}^{s}\right| \leq \prod_{k_{s} \leq 2 r}\left|\Gamma_{s}\right|^{r}=e^{r \sum_{k_{s} \leq 2 r} \ell_{s}}
$$

In the first interval $v \in\left[e^{k_{s} \ell_{s}}, e^{k_{s+1} \ell_{s}}\right)$, let

$$
r=\frac{\log v}{\ell_{s}}
$$

and test function $\varphi_{r}^{\Delta}$ gives the upper bound on $\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}$ stated. For the lower bound on $\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}$, consider the projection to the quotient $\Delta_{s}$. Then from the first item in Lemma 4.1 we have for $v \in\left[e^{k_{s} \ell_{s}}, e^{k_{s+1} \ell_{s}}\right)$,

$$
\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}(v) \geq \Lambda_{p, \Delta_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}}(v) \geq \frac{1}{|A|+|B|}\left(\frac{\delta}{C k_{s}}\right)^{p}
$$

In the second interval $v \in\left[e^{k_{s+1} \ell_{s}}, e^{k_{s+1} \ell_{s+1}}\right]$, first consider the projection to the quotient $\Delta_{s+1}$. The second item in Lemma 4.1 provides

$$
\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\Gamma_{s+1}\right|^{k_{s+1}}\right) \geq \Lambda_{p, \Delta_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\Gamma_{s+1}\right|^{k_{s+1}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{|A|+|B|}\left(\frac{\delta}{C k_{s+1}}\right)^{p}
$$

In the upper bound direction, note that the right end point in the first interval gives

$$
\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}\left(\exp \left(\left(\sum_{j \leq s} \ell_{j}\right) k_{s+1}\right)\right) \leq\left(\frac{C}{k_{s+1}}\right)^{p}
$$

The statement follows from monotonicity of $\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}$.

Example 4.5. A direct application of Proposition 4.4 shows that when $k_{s}=2^{\beta s}$ and $\ell_{s}=2^{\iota s}$ with $\beta, \iota>0$, then for $p \in[1,2]$

$$
\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}(v) \simeq(\log v)^{-\frac{p}{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}}
$$

and the exponent $\frac{p}{1+\frac{t}{\beta}}$ can take any value in $(0, p)$.
We allow the sequence $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$ to take the value $\infty$, the bounds are still valid. In our convention, $k_{s+1}=\infty$ means $\Delta_{s+1}$ is trivial, in this case we only use the first item in Proposition 4.4 which covers $v \in\left[e^{k_{s} \ell_{s}}, \infty\right)$. The bounds in Proposition 4.4 are good when $\left\{\ell_{s}\right\}$ grows at least exponentially. In particular, from these estimates of isoperimetric profiles we deduce that $\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}} \circ \exp$ can follow a prescribed function satisfying some log-Lipschitz condition.

Theorem 4.6. There exists universal constants $c, C>0$ such that for any $p \in[1,2]$ and for any non-decreasing function $\varrho(x)$ such that $\frac{x^{p}}{\varrho(n)}$ is non-decreasing, there is a group $\Delta$ such that

$$
\forall v \geq 3, \frac{c}{\varrho(\log v)} \leq \Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}(v) \leq \frac{C}{\varrho(\log v)}
$$

Proof. We write $\varrho(x)=\left(\frac{x}{f(x)}\right)^{p}$ with $f(x)$ between 1 and $x$. The sets $K=\mathbb{Z}_{+} \cup\{\infty\}$ and $L=\left\{\log \left|\Gamma_{m}\right|, m \geq 1\right\} \cup\{\infty\}$ where $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ are groups in the family of Examples 2.3 satisfy the assumptions of Proposition B.2. So we can find sequences $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$ taking values in $K$ and $L$ such that the function defined by $\tilde{f}(x)=l_{s}$ on $\left[k_{s} l_{s}, k_{s+1} l_{s}\right]$ and $\tilde{f}(x)=\frac{x}{k_{s+1}}$ on [ $\left.k_{s+1} l_{s}, k_{s+1} l_{s+1}\right]$ satisfies $\tilde{f}(x) \simeq_{m_{0} C_{1}^{5}} f(x)$. Since the infinite group $\Gamma$ in Example 2.3 has Property $(T)$, there exists a constant $\delta>0$ such that the Cheeger constants $h\left(\Gamma_{s}, \nu_{s}\right) \geq \delta$ for all $s \geq 1$.

We use Proposition 4.4 to evaluate the profile of the group $\Delta$ associated to these sequences. The lower bounds show that for all $x \geq 1$

$$
\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}} \circ \exp (x) \geq\left(\frac{\delta \tilde{f}(x)}{C x}\right)^{p} \geq \frac{c \delta^{p}}{\varrho(x)}
$$

As $\sum_{j \leq s} \ell_{j} \leq \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{m_{0}}} \ell_{s}$, making the change of variable $x=\left(1-\frac{1}{m_{0}}\right) y=\log v$, the first upper bound shows that

$$
\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}} \circ \exp (y) \leq\left(\frac{C l_{s}}{\log v}\right)^{p}=\left(\frac{C \tilde{f}\left(\left(1-\frac{1}{m_{0}}\right) y\right)}{\left(1-\frac{1}{m_{0}}\right) y}\right)^{p} \leq\left(\frac{C \tilde{f}(y)}{y}\right)^{p} \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\varrho(x)}
$$

for $\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{m_{0}}} k_{s} \ell_{s} \leq y \leq \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{m_{0}}} k_{s+1} \ell_{s}$. The second upper bound shows that

$$
\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}} \circ \exp (y) \leq\left(\frac{C}{k_{s+1}}\right)^{p}=\left(\frac{C \tilde{f}\left(k_{s+1} l_{s}\right)}{k_{s+1} l_{s}}\right)^{p}=\left(\frac{C \tilde{f}\left(\left(1-\frac{1}{m_{0}}\right) y\right)}{\left(1-\frac{1}{m_{0}}\right) y}\right)^{p} \leq \frac{C^{\prime \prime}}{\varrho(y)}
$$

for $\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{m_{0}}} k_{s+1} \ell_{s} \leq y \leq \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{m_{0}}} k_{s+1} \ell_{s+1}$. We used the fact that $\tilde{\varrho}(x)$ is constant on the interval $\left[k_{s+1} \ell_{s}, k_{s+1} \ell_{s+1}\right]$.

We derive the following corollary regarding Følner functions from Theorem 1.1. The definition of Følner function is recalled in the beginning of Section 4. We use the convention that on a non-amenable group $G$, if $1 / r \leq \inf \frac{|\partial S|}{|S|}$, then $\mathrm{F}_{G, S}(r)=\infty$.

Corollary 4.7. There exists an universal constant $C>1$. Let $g:[1, \infty) \rightarrow[1, \infty]$ be any non-decreasing function with $g(1)=1$ and $\frac{\log (g(x))}{x}$ non-decreasing. Then there exists a group $\Delta$ marked with finite generating set $T$ such that

$$
g(r / C) \leq F \varnothing l_{\Delta, T}(r) \leq g(C r)
$$

Further, when range of $g$ is contained in $[1, \infty)$, the group $\Delta$ constructed is elementary amenable and there exists a symmetric probability measure $q$ with finite generating support on $\Delta$ such that $(\Delta, q)$ is Liouville.
Proof. Let $p_{*}=\min \{q(u): u \in \mathcal{T}, u \neq i d\}$, then $p_{*} \geq \frac{1}{2(|A|+|B|)}$. Recall that by definition of the Følner function

$$
\Lambda_{1, \Delta, q}^{-1}(1 / r) \leq \mathrm{F}_{\square} \mathrm{l}_{\Delta, \mathcal{T}}(r) \leq \Lambda_{1, \Delta, q}^{-1}\left(p_{*} / r\right)
$$

By Proposition 4.6 exists a universal constants $C>0$ such that for any function $\varrho(x)$ between 1 and $x$, there is a group $\Delta$ such that

$$
\forall v \geq 3, \frac{1}{C \varrho(\log v)} \leq \Lambda_{1, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}(v) \leq \frac{C}{\varrho(\log v)}
$$

In particular, in the construction of $\Delta$ we can choose $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ from Lafforgue's expanders as in Example 2.3, where $|A|=2,|B|=r_{0}$ for some fixed $r_{0}$. Therefore

$$
\exp \left(\varrho^{-1}(r / C)\right) \leq \mathrm{F}_{\varnothing} \mathrm{l}_{\Delta, \mathcal{T}}(r) \leq \exp \left(\varrho^{-1}\left(C r_{0} r\right)\right)
$$

Since $\varrho$ is any function between 1 and $x$, the statement about Følner function follows.
When the range of $g$ is in $[1, \infty)$, the group $\Delta$ in the proof of Proposition4.6 is constructed with an infinite sequence finite groups $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ and $\left\{k_{s}\right\}$ satisfying growth assumption (2.11). By Fact 2.10, $\Delta$ is elementary amenable. Apply Theorem 3.6, we have that $L_{q}(\mu)$ and $H_{q}(\mu)$ has sub-linear growth, thus $(\Delta, q)$ is Liouville.

### 4.2 Return probability of simple random walk on $\Delta$

By Theorem 4.6 with $p=2$, we have that $\Lambda_{2, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}} \circ \exp$ can follow a prescribed function satisfying some log-Lipschitz condition. Now we turn the $\ell^{2}$-isoperimetric profile estimates into return probability bounds using the Coulhon-Grigor'yan theory. Let $\mu$ be a symmetric probability measure on a group $G$. Between discrete time random walk and continuous time random walk, we have, see PSC00, Section 3.2],

$$
\mu^{(2 n+2)}(e) \leq 2 h_{2 n}^{\mu}(e) \text { and } h_{4 n}^{\mu}(e) \leq e^{-2 n}+\mu^{(2 n)}(e)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{t}^{\phi}=e^{-t} \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{k}}{k!} \phi^{(k)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the function $\psi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[1,+\infty)$ implicitly by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\int_{1}^{\psi(t)} \frac{d v}{v \Lambda_{2, G, \mu}(v)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by Cou96, Proposition II.1], we have

$$
\mu^{(2 n+2)}(e) \leq \frac{8}{\psi(8 n)}
$$

In the current context, it is convenient to do a change of variable in (16), set $v=\exp (s)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\int_{1}^{w(t)} \frac{d s}{\Lambda_{2, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}} \circ \exp (s)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If in addition $\Lambda_{2, G, \mu} \circ \exp$ is doubling, namely $\Lambda_{2, G, \mu} \circ \exp (2 s) \geq c \Lambda_{2, G, \mu} \circ \exp (s)$ for all $s>1$, then by [BPS12, Proposition 2.3], $w^{\prime}(t)$ is doubling with the same constant. Apply CG97, Theorem 3.2],

$$
\mu^{(2 n)}(e) \geq \frac{1}{\exp \circ \psi(8 n / c)}-e^{-2 n}
$$

Combine the upper and lower bounds, if $\Lambda_{2, G, \mu} \circ \exp (2 s) \geq c \Lambda_{2, G, \mu} \circ \exp (s)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\log \mu^{(2 n)}(e) \simeq_{C} w(2 n) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with constant $C>0$ only depending on the doubling constant $c$.
Theorem 4.8. There exists universal constants $c, C>0$ such that the following is true. Let $\gamma:[1, \infty) \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ be any function such that $\frac{\gamma(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}}$ and $\frac{n}{\gamma(n)}$ are non-decreasing. Then there is a group $\Delta$ such that

$$
\forall t \geq 1, c \gamma(t) \leq-\log \left(\mathfrak{q}^{(2 t)}\left(e_{\Delta}\right)\right) \leq C \gamma(t)
$$

Proof. Given such a function $\gamma:[1, \infty) \rightarrow[1, \infty)$, it is strictly increasing and continuous, define $\varrho:[1, \infty) \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ by

$$
\varrho(x)=\frac{1}{x} \gamma^{-1}(x) .
$$

From the assumption on $\gamma$ we have $\gamma(1)=1$ and $a^{\frac{1}{3}} \gamma(x) \leq \gamma(a x) \leq a \gamma(x)$ for any $a, x \geq 1$. Thus

$$
a \gamma^{-1}(x) \leq \gamma^{-1}(a x) \leq a^{3} \gamma^{-1}(x)
$$

and therefore

$$
\varrho(x) \leq \varrho(a x) \leq a^{2} \varrho(x)
$$

which satisfies the assumption of Proposition 4.6 with $p=2$.
By Proposition 4.6, there exists universal constants $c, C>0$ such that there is a group $\Delta$, for all $v \geq 3$,

$$
\frac{c}{\varrho(\log v)} \leq \Lambda_{\Delta, \mathfrak{q}}(v) \leq \frac{C}{\varrho(\log v)}
$$

Note that since $\varrho(2 x) \leq 4 \varrho(x)$, it follows that for all $s>0$,

$$
\Lambda_{\Delta, \mathfrak{q}} \circ \exp (2 s) \geq \frac{c}{4 C} \Lambda_{\Delta, \mathfrak{q}} \circ \exp (s)
$$

In particular, the function $\Lambda_{\Delta, \mathfrak{q}} \circ \exp :(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is doubling at infinity, then by BPS12, Lemma 2.5], the solution $w(t)$ to (17) satisfies

$$
\Lambda_{\Delta, \mathfrak{q}} \circ \exp \circ w(t) \leq \frac{w(t)}{t} \leq D \Lambda_{\Delta, \mathfrak{q}} \circ \exp \circ w(t)
$$

where $D$ is a constant that only depends on the doubling constant $c / 4 C$. Plug in the estimate of $\Lambda_{\Delta, \mathfrak{q}}$, we have

$$
\frac{c}{\varrho(w(t))} \leq \frac{w(t)}{t} \leq \frac{D C}{\varrho(w(t))}
$$

By definition of $\varrho$,

$$
\gamma(t) \varrho(\gamma(t))=t
$$

note that $x \varrho(x)$ is strictly increasing, therefore

$$
c^{\frac{1}{3}} \gamma(t) \leq \gamma(c t) \leq w(t) \leq \gamma(D C t) \leq D C \gamma(t)
$$

Since the constants $c, C, D$ are universal, from (18) provided by the Coulhon-Grigor'yan theory, we conclude that

$$
\forall t \geq 1, c^{\prime} \gamma(t) \leq-\log \left(\mathfrak{q}^{(2 t)}\left(e_{\Delta}\right)\right) \leq C^{\prime} \gamma(t)
$$

where $c^{\prime}, C^{\prime}>0$ are universal constants.

Example 4.9. When $k_{s}=2^{\beta s}$ and $l_{s}=2^{\iota s}$ with $\beta>1, \iota>0$, the $L^{2}$-profile given in Example 4.5 turns to return probability

$$
-\log \left(\mathfrak{q}^{(2 t)}\left(e_{\Delta}\right)\right) \simeq t^{\frac{\beta+\iota}{3 \beta+\iota}},
$$

where the exponent can take any value in $\left(\frac{1}{3}, 1\right)$.

### 4.3 The case of dihedral groups

In this subsection we estimate decay of return probability of simple random walk on $\Delta$ where $\Gamma_{s}=D_{2 l_{s}}$ are dihedral groups. We show that in this case the return exponent of simple random walks is $\frac{1}{3}$. Obtaining more precise estimates requires further work.
Proposition 4.10. There exists an absolute constant $C>0$ such that the following holds. Let $\Delta$ be the diagonal product constructed with $\Gamma_{s}=D_{2 l_{s}}$ and parameters $\left\{k_{s}\right\}$ satisfying Assumption (2.11), then

$$
\frac{1}{C} n^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq-\log \mathfrak{q}^{(2 n)}\left(e_{\Delta}\right) \leq C n^{\frac{1}{3}} \log ^{\frac{4}{3}} n
$$

Proof. Since $\Delta$ projects onto $\Delta_{0} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$ $\mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
\mathfrak{q}^{(2 n)}\left(e_{\Delta}\right) \leq \mathfrak{q}_{0}^{(2 n)}\left(e_{\Delta_{0}}\right)
$$

The lower bound on $-\log \mathfrak{q}^{(2 n)}\left(e_{\Delta}\right)$ follows from the decay of return probability on $\Delta_{0}$, see PSC02,

$$
\mathfrak{q}_{0}^{(2 n)}\left(e_{\Delta_{0}}\right) \leq \exp \left(-\frac{1}{C} n^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)
$$

In the other direction we construct a test function on $\Delta$. First take a test function $\psi_{r}$ on $D_{2 l_{s}}$

$$
\psi_{r}(g)=\max \left\{1-\frac{|g|_{D_{2 l_{s}}}}{r}, 0\right\}, \text { for } 1 \leq r \leq l_{s}
$$

Recall that the set $U_{r}^{\Delta}$ is defined as $U_{r}^{\Delta}=\left\{\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right): \operatorname{Range}\left(f_{s}, z\right) \subset[-r, r]\right\}$. Let $\mathcal{S}(r)=\left\{s: k_{s} \leq r, l_{s} \geq r^{2}\right\}$ and take

$$
\Psi_{r}^{\Delta}\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right)=\left(1-\frac{|z|}{r}\right) \mathbf{1}_{U_{r}}\left(\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right)\right) \prod_{s \in \mathcal{S}(r)} \prod_{x \in\left[-r+k_{s}, r\right]} \psi_{r^{2}}\left(f_{s}(x)\right)
$$

Depending on the sequences $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$, the set $\mathcal{S}(r)$ might be empty, in which case we recover the test function of Proposition 4.4. (Recall the notation $\ell_{s}=\log \left|D_{2 l_{s}}\right|=\log 2 l_{s}$.) As in the proof of Proposition 4.4, we have

$$
\frac{\sum_{Z \in \Delta}\left(\Psi_{r}^{\Delta}(Z)-\Psi_{r}^{\Delta}(Z \tau)\right)^{2}}{\left\|\Psi_{r}^{\Delta}\right\|_{2}^{2}} \leq \frac{C_{1}}{r^{2}}
$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sum_{Z \in \Delta}\left(\Psi_{r}^{\Delta}(Z)-\Psi_{r}^{\Delta}(Z \alpha)\right)^{2}}{\left\|\Psi_{r}^{\Delta}\right\|_{2}^{2}} & \leq|\mathcal{S}(r)| \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}(r)} \frac{\sum_{g \in D_{2 l_{s}}}\left(\psi_{r^{2}}(g a(s))-\psi_{r^{2}}(g)\right)^{2}}{\left\|\psi_{r^{2}}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(D_{2 l_{s}}\right)}^{2}} \\
& \leq C_{1}|\mathcal{S}(r)|^{2} r^{-4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The same estimates holds for $\beta$ with $a(s)$ replaced by $b(s)$. Since $\left\{k_{s}\right\}$ satisfies the growth assumption (2.11), we have $|\mathcal{S}(r)| \leq \log _{2} r$, therefore

$$
\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\Delta, \mathfrak{q}}\left(\Psi_{r}^{\Delta}\right)}{\left\|\Psi_{r}^{\Delta}\right\|_{2}^{2}} \leq C_{1} r^{-2}
$$

The support of function $\Psi_{r}^{\Delta}$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{supp} \Psi_{r}^{\Delta}\right| & \leq(2 r+1)\left(\prod_{s: k_{s} \leq r, l_{s}<r^{2}}\left(2 l_{s}\right)^{4 r}\right)\left(\prod_{s: k_{s} \leq r, l_{s}>r^{2}}\left(2 r^{2}\right)^{4 r}\right) \\
& \leq(2 r+1)\left(2 r^{2}\right)^{4 r \log _{2} r}
\end{aligned}
$$

From these test functions we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{2, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}(v) \leq C_{1}^{\prime} \frac{(\log \log v)^{4}}{\log ^{2} v} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Coulhon-Grigor'yan theory, we conclude that there exists absolute constant $C$,

$$
\mathfrak{q}^{(2 n)}\left(e_{\Delta}\right) \geq \exp \left(-C n^{\frac{1}{3}} \log ^{\frac{4}{3}}(2 n)\right)
$$

Remark 4.11. To get an estimate for $\Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}, p \in[1,2]$, note that by projecting onto $\Delta_{0}$, we have

$$
\Lambda_{1, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}(v) \geq \Lambda_{1, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}_{0}}(v) \geq \frac{1}{C \log v}
$$

and in the proof above we have an upper bound (19) for $\Lambda_{2, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}$. By Cheeger inequality (14), we have

$$
\frac{1}{C_{p}} \frac{1}{\log ^{p} v} \leq \Lambda_{p, \Delta, \mathfrak{q}}(v) \leq C_{p} \frac{(\log \log v)^{2 p}}{\log ^{p} v}
$$

## 5 Review of obstructions for embeddings

We first recall the standard definition of distortion of a map between metric spaces. Given an injective map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between two metric spaces $\left(X, d_{X}\right)$ and $\left(Y, d_{Y}\right)$, the distortion of $f$ measures quantitatively how far away $f$ is from being a homothety,

$$
\operatorname{distortion}(f)=\left(\sup _{u, v \in X, u \neq v} \frac{d_{Y}(f(u), f(v))}{d_{X}(u, v)}\right)\left(\sup _{u, v \in X, u \neq v} \frac{d_{X}(u, v)}{d_{Y}(f(u), f(v))}\right)
$$

When $f$ is $C$-Lipschitz, the first sup is bounded by $C$, we often focus on the second term. The expansion ratio of $f$ is defined to be

$$
\operatorname{ratio}(f)=\inf _{u, v \in X, u \neq v} \frac{d_{Y}(f(u), f(v))}{d_{X}(u, v)}
$$

The smallest distortion with which $X$ can be embedded in $Y$ is denoted by $c_{Y}(X)$,

$$
c_{Y}(X)=\inf \{\text { distortion }(f): f: X \hookrightarrow Y\}
$$

To connect with uniform embedding of an infinite group $G$, it is well known that a sequence of finite metric spaces $\left(X_{k}, d_{k}\right)$ embedded in the group $G$ can provide obstruction for good embedding of the whole space. See e.g. Arzhantseva-Drutu-Sapir ADS09 and Austin Aus11. We quote a special case of the Austin Lemma.

Lemma 5.1. [The Austin Lemma Aus11]/
Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a metric space. Let $\Gamma$ be a finitely generated infinite group equipped with a finite generating set $S$, let d denote the word distance on the Cayley graph $(\Gamma, S)$. Suppose that we can find a sequence of finite graphs $\left(X_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right)$ where $\sigma_{n}$ is a 1-discrete metric on $X_{n}$, and embeddings $\vartheta_{n}: X_{n} \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ such that there are constant $C, L \geq 1, \delta>0$ that are independent of $n$,

- $\operatorname{diam}\left(X_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- there exists a sequence of positive reals $\left(r_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{L} r_{n} \sigma_{n}(u, v) \leq d\left(\vartheta_{n}(u), \vartheta_{n}(v)\right) \leq L r_{n} \sigma_{n}(u, v), \text { for all } u, v \in X_{n}, n \geq 1
$$

moreover, $r_{n} \leq C \operatorname{diam}\left(X_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right)^{\beta}$ for all $n \geq 1$;

- distortion of $\left(X_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right)$ into $\mathfrak{X}$ is large in the sense that

$$
c_{\mathfrak{X}}\left(X_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right) \geq \delta \operatorname{diam}\left(X_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right)^{\eta} .
$$

Then

$$
\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}}^{*}(\Gamma, d) \leq 1-\frac{\eta}{1+\beta} .
$$

The second assumption in Lemma 5.1requires that under the embedding $\vartheta_{k}$, the induced metric $d_{(G, S)}$ only dilates $d_{k}$ with uniformly bounded distortion. This point-wise assumption is rather restrictive. In what follows we will present some bounds that are more flexible.

The term "Poincaré inequalities" in the context of metric embeddings was first systematically used in Linial-Magen-Naor LMN02. It is a key ingredient for many existing lower bounds for distortion of finite metric spaces. We review the basic idea now. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}, d_{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ be a finite metric space, $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{u, v}\right), \mathbf{b}=\left(b_{u, v}\right)$ where $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$ be two nonzero arrays of non-negative real numbers. A $p$-Poincaré type inequality for $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is an inequality of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{M}} a_{u, v} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u), f(v))^{p} \leq C \sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{M}} b_{u, v} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u), f(v))^{p} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The infimum of the constant $C$ such that the inequality holds for all nontrivial $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is known as the $\mathfrak{X}$-valued Poincaré constant associated with $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$,

$$
P_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, p}(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{X})=\sup \frac{\sum_{u, v} a_{u, v} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u), f(v))^{p}}{\sum_{u, v} b_{u, v} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u), f(v))^{p}},
$$

where the sup is taken over all $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ such that $\sum_{u, v} a_{u, v} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u), f(v))^{p} \neq 0$. It follows from definition of the Poincaré constant that

$$
\left(\inf _{u, v \in \mathcal{M}, u \neq v} \frac{d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u), f(v))^{p}}{d_{\mathcal{M}}(u, v)^{p}}\right)\left(\sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{M}} a_{u, v} d_{\mathcal{M}}(u, v)^{p}\right) \leq P_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, p}(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{X})\left(\sum_{u, v} b_{u, v} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u), f(v))^{p}\right)
$$

that is the expansion ratio of $f$ satisfies

$$
\inf _{u, v \in \mathcal{M}, u \neq v} \frac{d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u), f(v))^{p}}{d_{\mathcal{M}}(u, v)^{p}} \leq P_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, p}(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{X})\left(\frac{\sum_{u, v} b_{u, v} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u), f(v))^{p}}{\sum_{u, v} a_{u, v} d_{\mathcal{M}}(u, v)^{p}}\right) .
$$

To relate to compression function, we need an extra ingredient that resembles a mass distribution assumption. We say that the array a satisfies $(p ; l, c)$-mass distribution condition if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{d \mathcal{M}(u, v) \geq l} a_{u, v} d_{\mathcal{M}}(u, v)^{p}}{\sum_{d_{\mathcal{M}}(u, v)} a_{u, v} d_{\mathcal{M}}(u, v)^{p}} \geq c \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

in words, $c$-fraction of the total a array sum is from vertices at least $l$ apart. Under this additional assumption, for any $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$, there exists $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$ with $d_{\mathcal{M}}(u, v) \geq l$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{f}(l) \leq d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u), f(v)) \leq \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{M}) P_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, p}(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{X})^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{\sum_{u, v} b_{u, v} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u), f(v))^{p}}{c \sum_{u, v} a_{u, v} d_{\mathcal{M}}(u, v)^{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This compression upper bound is very useful. In practice, to apply this we need to choose the arrays $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ and obtain a good Poincaré inequality of the form (20). This is not an easy task in general. In what follows we review some special cases. These settings have been investigated extensively in literature, thus established results are available for application to metric embeddings.

### 5.1 Poincaré inequalities in the classical form

Pioneered by work of Enflo [Enf69], it is well known that spectral gap of certain Markov operators on a finite metric space $(X, d)$ can be used to show lower bound for distortion of embedding of $(X, d)$ into Hilbert spaces. This method appeared in Linial-Magen [LM00, Newman-Rabinovich NR03 and was extended in Grigorchuk-Nowak GN12, JolissaintValette JV14] and Mimura Mim15]. Interested readers may also consult Chapter 13.5 in the book [P16] for a nice introduction to this topic.

Let $\left(\mathcal{M}, d_{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ be a finite metric space, $K: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow[0,1]$ a Markov transition kernel kernel on $\mathcal{M}$. Suppose $K$ is reversible with respect to stationary distribution $\pi$. The most familiar Poincaré inequality for such a finite Markov chain takes the following form: for $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\sum_{u, v}|f(u)-f(v)|^{2} \pi(u) \pi(v) \leq C \sum_{u, v}|f(u)-f(v)|^{2} K(u, v) \pi(u)
$$

The reciprocal of the Poincaré constant is known as the spectral gap,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(K)=\inf _{f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \neq c}\left\{\frac{\sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{M}}|f(u)-f(v)|^{2} K(u, v) \pi(v)}{\sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{M}}|f(u)-f(v)|^{2} \pi(u) \pi(v)}\right\} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the Poincaré constant is often referred to as the relaxation time of $K$. Mixing times of finite Markov chains have been a very active research area in the past decades. For a great variety of Markov chains good estimates of their spectral gaps are known, examples can be found in SC97], LPW09]. Note that the same Poincaré inequality holds for Hilbert space valued functions $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, this fact can be checked by eigenbasis expansion. In some examples, based on the $\ell^{2}$-Poincaré inequality, one can apply Matoušek extrapolation (see Mat97] and the version in NS11) to obtain useful Poincaré inequalities for $\ell^{p}$-valued functions.

In the setting of inequality (20), having variance of $f$ on the left side of the inequality and Dirichlet form on the right side corresponds to taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{u, v}=\pi(u) \pi(v) \text { and } b_{u, v}=\pi(u) K(u, v) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M}, K, \mathfrak{X})$ of the Markov operator $K$ on $Y$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{p}(\mathcal{M}, K, \mathfrak{X})=\frac{1}{P_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, p}(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{X})} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ are specified by (24). When $\mathfrak{X}$ is a Hilbert space and $p=2$, this definition agrees with the standard variational formula of the spectral gap.

We now formulate an analogue of Lemma 5.1. Since the bound relies crucially on the $\mathfrak{X}$-valued Poincaré constants $1 / \lambda_{p}\left(X_{n}, K_{n}, \mathfrak{X}\right)$ of the Markov operator $K_{n}$ on $X_{n}$, we refer to it as the spectral method for bounding compression functions.

Lemma 5.2. Let $G$ be an infinite group equipped with a metric $d$ and $p \in[1, \infty)$. Let $X_{n}$ be a sequence of finite subsets in $G$ and $K_{n}$ be reversible Markov kernels on $X_{n}$ with stationary distribution $\pi_{n}$. Suppose there exists a constant $c \in(0,1)$ and an increasing sequence $\left\{l_{n}\right\}$ such that the array $\mathbf{a}_{n}$ defined as $\mathbf{a}_{n}(u, v)=\pi(u) \pi(v)$ satisfies $\left(p ; l_{n}, c\right)$-mass distribution condition (21).

Let $f: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a 1-Lipschitz uniform embedding. Then the compression function of $f$ satisfies

$$
\rho_{f}\left(l_{n}\right) \leq \operatorname{diam}_{d}\left(X_{n}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{p}\left(X_{n}, K_{n}, \mathfrak{X}\right)}\left(\frac{\sum_{u, v \in X_{n}} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u), f(v))^{p} K_{n}(u, v) \pi_{n}(v)}{c \sum_{u, v \in X_{n}} d(u, v)^{p} \pi(u) \pi(v)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Proof. Equip $X_{n}$ with the metric induced by the metric $d$ on $G$, the inequality follows from (22).

Example 5.3. Consider the special case where $X_{n}$ is a sequence of finite subgroups in $G$ and $d$ is a left invariant metric on $G$, e.g. the word metric. Take $\mu_{n}$ to be a symmetric probability measure on $X_{n}$ and $K_{n}(u, v)=\mu_{n}\left(u^{-1} v\right)$. Then the Markov chain with transition kernel $K_{n}$ is the random walk on $X_{n}$ with step distribution $\mu_{n}$. It is reversible with respect to the uniform distribution $U_{n}$ on $X_{n}$. In this case, because of transitivity, the mass distribution condition is easily satisfied, namely

$$
\sum_{v: d(u, v) \geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{diam}_{d}\left(X_{n}\right)} U_{n}(v) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text { for every } u \in X_{n}
$$

It follows that $\mathbf{a}_{n}=\left(U_{n}(u) U_{n}(v)\right)$ satisfies $\left(p, \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{diam}_{d}\left(X_{n}\right), \frac{1}{2}\right)$-mass distribution condition, and the bound in Lemma 5.2 simplifies to

$$
\rho_{f}\left(\frac{\operatorname{diam}_{d}\left(X_{n}\right)}{2}\right) \leq\left(\frac{2^{p+2} \sum_{u, v \in X_{n}} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u), f(v))^{p} K_{n}(u, v) \pi_{n}(v)}{\lambda_{p}\left(X_{n}, K_{n}, \mathfrak{X}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

### 5.2 Markov type inequalities

The notion of the Markov type of a metric space was introduced by K. Ball in Bal92. It has found important applications in metric geometry. In [MN02, Linal, Magen and Naor pointed out that the basic assumption of this concept can be viewed as Poincaré inequalities. The Markov type method for bounding compression exponent was first introduced by Naor and Peres in NP08 and later significantly extended in NP11.

Definition 5.4. [K. Ball [Bal92]] Given a metric space ( $\mathfrak{X}, d_{\mathfrak{X}}$ ) and $p \in[1, \infty)$, we say that $\mathfrak{X}$ has Markov-type $p$ if there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for every stationary reversible Markov chain $\left\{Z_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, every mapping $f:\{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ and every time $t \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E} d_{\mathfrak{X}}\left(f\left(Z_{t}\right), f\left(Z_{0}\right)\right)^{p} \leq C^{p} t \mathbf{E} d_{\mathfrak{X}}\left(f\left(Z_{1}\right), f\left(Z_{0}\right)\right)^{p} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The least such constant $C$ is called the Markov-type $p$ constant of $\mathfrak{X}$ and is denoted by $M_{p}(\mathfrak{X})$.

Theorem 2.3 in Naor-Peres-Sheffield-Schramm NPSS06 implies the following results for the classical Lebesgue spaces $L_{p}$. For $p \in(1,2]$, the space $L_{p}$ has Markov type $p$ and $M_{p}\left(L_{p}\right) \leq \frac{8}{\left(2^{p+1}-4\right)^{1 / p}} ;$ and for every $p \in[2, \infty), L_{p}$ has Markov type 2 and $M_{2}\left(L_{p}\right) \leq$ $4(p-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. See NPSS06 for more examples of metric spaces of known Markov type.

In the setting of (20), the inequality (26) in the definition of Markov type $p$ can be viewed as a Poincaré inequality with

$$
a_{u, v}=K^{t}(u, v) \pi(u) \text { and } b_{u, v}=K(u, v) \pi(u)
$$

where $K$ is the transition kernel of a reversible Markov chain on state space $\mathcal{M}$ of $n$ points, and $\pi$ is its stationary distribution. The Poincaré inequality provided by (26) reads

$$
\sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{M}} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u), f(v))^{p} K^{t}(u, v) \pi(u) \leq M_{p}^{p}(\mathfrak{X}) t \sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{M}} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u), f(v)) K(u, v) \pi(u)
$$

for all functions $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$. Note that the notion of Markov type is very powerful, if $\mathfrak{X}$ has Markov type $p$, then the inequality above is valid for any finite state space $\mathcal{M}$ and any reversible Markov transition kernel $K$ on $\mathcal{M}$.

Now we examine the mass distribution condition. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}, d_{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ be a finite metric space, and $K$ be a reversible Markov kernel on $\mathcal{M}$ with stationary distribution $\pi$. Let $\left\{Z_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ be a stationary Markov chain on $\mathcal{M}$ with transition kernel $K$. At time $t$, set

$$
\gamma(t)^{p}=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_{\pi}\left[d_{\mathcal{M}}\left(Z_{t}, Z_{0}\right)^{p}\right]
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}_{\pi}\left[d_{\mathcal{M}}\left(Z_{t}, Z_{0}\right)^{p} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{d_{\mathcal{M}}\left(Z_{t}, Z_{0}\right)>\gamma(t)\right\}}\right] & =\mathbf{E}_{\pi}\left[d_{\mathcal{M}}\left(Z_{t}, Z_{0}\right)^{p}\right]-\mathbf{E}_{\pi}\left[d_{\mathcal{M}}\left(Z_{t}, Z_{0}\right)^{p} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{d_{Y}\left(Z_{t}, Z_{0}\right) \leq \gamma(t)\right\}}\right] \\
& \geq \mathbf{E}_{\pi}\left[d_{\mathcal{M}}\left(Z_{t}, Z_{0}\right)^{p}\right]-\gamma(t)^{p}=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_{\pi}\left[d_{\mathcal{M}}\left(Z_{t}, Z_{0}\right)^{p}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, the array a satisfies $\left(p ;\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_{\pi}\left[d_{\mathcal{M}}\left(Z_{t}, Z_{0}\right)^{p}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$-mass distribution condition where a is defined by $a_{u, v}=K^{t}(u, v) \pi(u)$. From the inequality (22) we derive the following upper bound on compression function.

Lemma 5.5. Let $G$ be an infinite group equipped with a metric d. Let $f: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a 1-Lipschitz uniform embedding. Assume that $\mathfrak{X}$ has Markov type $p$.

Let $X_{n}$ be a sequence of finite sets of $G, K_{n}$ be a reversible Markov kernel on $X_{n}$ with stationary distribution $\pi_{n}$. Let $\left\{Z_{t}^{(n)}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ be a stationary Markov chain on $X_{n}$ with transition kernel $K_{n}$. Then for any $t_{n} \in \mathbb{N}$, the compression function of $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{f}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_{\pi_{n}}\left[d\left(Z_{t_{n}}^{(n)}, Z_{0}^{(n)}\right)^{p}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \\
& \leq\left(2 M_{p}^{p}(\mathfrak{X}) t_{n} \operatorname{diam}_{(G, d)}\left(X_{n}\right)^{p} \frac{\mathbf{E}_{\pi_{n}}\left[d_{\mathfrak{X}}\left(f\left(Z_{1}^{(n)}\right), f\left(Z_{0}^{(n)}\right)\right)^{p}\right]}{\mathbf{E}_{\pi_{n}}\left[d\left(Z_{t_{n}}^{(n)}, Z_{0}^{(n)}\right)^{p}\right]}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 5.6. This upper bound on the compression function is in the same spirit as the argument of Naor and Peres in Section 5 of NP11. The difference is that in NP11 the authors considered random walks on the infinite group $G$ starting at identity and $f$ is taken to be a 1-cocycle on $G$, then Markov type inequality for 1-cocycles was applied to bound the compression function. One restriction for such an approach is that the step distribution of the random walk needs to have finite $p$-moment. While in the finite subsets, in principle one can experiment with any reversible transition kernel and choose the best one available. Examples that illustrate this point can be found in Subsection 7.1.

### 5.3 Comparing spectral and Markov type methods

It is interesting to compare the classical Poincaré inequalities and the ones from Markov type method. Suppose in the infinite group $G$, we have chosen a sequence of subsets $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ and reversible Markov kernels $K_{n}$ on $X_{n}$. With this sequence $\left\{\left(X_{n}, K_{n}\right)\right\}$ we compare the results given by the two methods. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a metric space of Markov type $p$ and $f: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a 1-Lipschitz embedding from $(G, d)$ to ( $\mathfrak{X}, d_{\mathfrak{X}}$ ). To compare terms in the bounds of Lemma 5.2 and 5.5 first note that

$$
\mathbf{E} d_{\mathfrak{X}}\left(f\left(Z_{1}^{(n)}\right), f\left(Z_{0}^{(n)}\right)\right)^{p}=\sum_{u, v \in X_{n}} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u), f(v))^{p} K_{n}(u, v) \pi_{n}(u)
$$

Now we choose $t_{n}$ to be the comparable to the Poincare constant $P_{p}\left(X_{n}, K_{n}, \mathfrak{X}\right)$ (it corresponds to relaxation time when $\mathfrak{X}$ is a Hilbert space and $p=2$ ). Suppose in addition that $\pi_{n}$ satisfies the $\left(p ; \theta \operatorname{diam}\left(X_{n}\right), c\right)$-mass distribution condition, then essentially the difference in the two bounds comes from the ratio

$$
\frac{\operatorname{diam}_{(G, d)}\left(X_{n}\right)^{p}}{\mathbf{E} d\left(Z_{t_{n}}^{(n)}, Z_{0}^{(n)}\right)^{p}}
$$

Thus if there is a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that for $t_{n} \simeq P_{p}\left(X_{n}, K_{n}, \mathfrak{X}\right)$,

$$
\mathbf{E} d_{X_{n}}\left(Z_{t_{n}}^{(n)}, Z_{0}^{(n)}\right)^{p} \geq c_{1}^{p} \operatorname{diam}_{(G, d)}\left(X_{n}\right)^{p}
$$

then up to some multiplicative constants, the two methods give the same compression upper bound.

It is important in applications that the choice of the sequence of finite subsets $X_{n}$ and Markov kernels $K_{n}$ is flexible. For example, in order to use Poincaré inequalities to obtain an upper bound on the compression function of uniform embedding $f$ from $G$ into a Hilbert space, the subsets $X_{n}$ should be chosen to capture some worst distorted elements in the group under $f$, and the Markov kernel $K_{n}$ on $X_{n}$ should be chosen so that

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda\left(K_{n}\right)}\left(\sum_{u, v \in X_{n}} d_{\mathcal{H}}(f(u), f(v))^{2} K_{n}(u, v) \pi_{n}(v)\right)
$$

is as small as possible. That is, $K_{n}$ needs to achieve a balance between spectral gap and Dirichlet form $\mathcal{E}_{K_{n}}(f)$. This point will be the guideline for the choice of $\left(X_{n}, K_{n}\right)$ in the examples we treat.

### 5.4 Metric cotype inequalities

The notion of type and cotype plays a central role in the local theory of Banach spaces. The classical linear notion of type and cotype is defined as follows. A Banach space $\mathfrak{X}$ is said to have (Rademacher) type $p>0$ if there exists a constant $T>0$ such that for every $n$ and every $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathfrak{X}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} x_{j}\right\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^{p} \leq T^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|x_{j}\right\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^{p},
$$

where $\mathbb{E}$ is the expectation with respect to uniform distribution on $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right) \in\{-1,1\}^{n}$. A Banach space $\mathfrak{X}$ is said to have (Rademacher) cotype $q>0$ if there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for every $n$ and every $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathfrak{X}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} x_{j}\right\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^{q} \geq \frac{1}{C^{q}} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|x_{j}\right\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^{q} .
$$

Given a Banach space $\mathfrak{X}$, define

$$
p_{\mathfrak{X}}=\sup \{p: \mathfrak{X} \text { has type } p\}, q_{\mathfrak{X}}=\inf \{q: \mathfrak{X} \text { has cotype } q\} .
$$

The space $\mathfrak{X}$ is said to be of nontrivial type if $p_{\mathfrak{X}}>1$, and it is of nontrivial cotype if $q_{\mathfrak{X}}<\infty$.

Mendel and Naor MN08 introduced the nonlinear notion of metric cotype. By MN08, Definition 1.1], $\left(\mathfrak{X}, d_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ has metric cotype $q$ with constant $\Gamma$ if for every integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an even integer $m$, such that for every $f: \mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}} d_{\mathfrak{X}}\left(f\left(u+\frac{m}{2} \mathbf{e}_{j}\right), f(u)\right)^{q} \pi(u) \leq \Gamma^{q} m^{q} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}} \mathbb{E}\left[d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u+\varepsilon), f(u))^{q}\right] \pi(u)
$$

where $\pi$ is the uniform distribution on $\mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{E}$ is the expectation taken with respect with uniform distribution on $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right) \in\{-1,0,1\}^{n}$, and $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{j}\right\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Mendel and Naor proved in MN08] that for a Banach space $\mathfrak{X}$ and $q \in[2, \infty)$, $\mathfrak{X}$ has metric cotype $q$ if and only if it has Rademacher cotype $q$. As a key step, they established the following sharp estimate, which we will refer to as the metric cotype inequality.

Theorem 5.7. [Theorem 4.2 MN08] Let $\pi$ be the uniform distribution on $\mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}$ and $\sigma$ be the uniform distribution on $\{-1,0,1\}^{n}$. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a Banach space of Rademacher type $p>1$ and cotype $q \in[2, \infty)$. Then for every $f: \mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{\mathfrak{X}}\left(f\left(u+\frac{m}{2} \mathbf{e}_{j}\right), f(u)\right)^{q} \pi(u) \\
& \leq\left(5 \max \left\{C(\mathfrak{X}) m, n^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\}\right)^{q} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}} \sum_{\varepsilon \in\{-1,0,1\}^{n}} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(f(u+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}), f(u))^{q} \sigma(\varepsilon) \pi(u),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C(\mathfrak{X})>0$ is a constant that only depends on the cotype constant and $K_{q}$-convexity constant of $\mathfrak{X}$.

This metric cotype inequality can be viewed as a Poincaré inequality with rather unusual choice of arrays $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}$, namely

$$
a_{u, v}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \pi(u) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{v=u+\frac{m}{2} \mathbf{e}_{j}\right\}} \text { and } b_{u, v}=\sum_{\varepsilon \in\{-1,0,1\}^{n}} \pi(u) \mathbf{1}_{\{v=u+\varepsilon\}} \sigma(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})
$$

then the Poincaré constant is bounded by

$$
P_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, 2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}, \mathfrak{X}\right) \leq\left(5 \max \left\{C(\mathfrak{X}) m n^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}}, n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}\right)^{2}
$$

It captures a subtle comparison between a transition kernel that moves far in one fiber and another kernel that moves by $\pm 1$ across the whole product.

Among many applications of metric cotype, such inequalities provides sharp lower bound for the distortion of embeddings of the $\ell^{\infty}$ integer lattice $[m]_{\infty}^{n}$ into Banach spaces of nontrivial type and cotype $q$, see Theorem 1.12 in [MN08]. In Section 8 we will apply these metric cotype inequalities in the study of compression of diagonal product $\Delta$ constructed with dihedral groups, exactly because of the presence of $l^{\infty}$-lattices of growing side length in the group.

## 6 Compression of $\Delta$ with embedded expanders

In this section we consider compression of the diagonal product $\Delta$ constructed with $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ chosen to be certain families of expanders. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a Banach space, a map $\Psi: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is called $G$-equivariant if there exists an action $\tau$ of $G$ on $\mathfrak{X}$ by affine isometries and a vector $v \in \mathfrak{X}$ such that $\Psi(g)=\tau(g) v$ for all $x \in G$. Such a map is called a 1-cocycle, see CTV07.

A couple of functions $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ is an equivariant- $\mathfrak{X}$-compression gap of $G$ if any 1Lipschitz $G$-equivariant embedding $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ satisfies $\rho_{\varphi}(t) \leq g_{2}(t)$ for all $t \geq 1$ and there exists a 1-Lipschitz $G$-equivariant embedding $\Psi: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ such that $\rho_{\Psi}(t) \geq g_{1}(t)$ for all $t \geq 1$.

We address the question regarding possible $L_{p}$-compression exponents of finitely generated amenable groups.
Proposition 6.1. For any $\gamma \in[0,1]$, there exists a finitely generated elementary amenable group $\Delta$ such that for all $p \geq 1$,

$$
\alpha_{p}^{\#}(\Delta)=\gamma
$$

This result follows from a more precise result about equivariant compression gap of the diagonal product group $\Delta$, see Theorem 6.11. We will see that when the lamp groups $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ are chosen to be expanders, single copies of these lamp groups provide sufficient obstruction for embedding. In some sense this case can be viewed as an amenable analogue of ADS09.

### 6.1 An upper bound in any uniformly convex Banach spaces

In this subsection we take $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ as a subsequence in the Lafforgue super expanders $\left\{\Gamma_{m}\right\}$ described in Example2.3. By Fact 2.15, each group $\Gamma_{s}$ embeds homotheticaly in the diagonal product $\Delta$ with ratio $k_{s}+1$, i.e. there is group homomorphism $\vartheta_{s}: \Gamma_{s} \rightarrow \Delta$ satisfying

$$
\left|\vartheta_{s}(\gamma)\right|_{\Delta}=\left(k_{s}+1\right)|\gamma|_{\Gamma_{s}}
$$

From these distortion estimates and the embeddings $\vartheta_{s}: \Gamma_{s} \hookrightarrow \Delta$, we immediately derive an upper bound on compression function of $\Delta$ into $\mathfrak{X}$ by Lemma 5.2.

Lemma 6.2. Let $\Delta$ be the diagonal product with parameters $\left(k_{s}\right)$ and lamp groups $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ chosen as a subsequence of Lafforgue super expanders in Example 2.3, $\operatorname{diam}\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)=l_{s}$. Then for any uniformly convex Banach space $\mathfrak{X}$, there exists a constant $\delta=\delta(\Gamma, \mathfrak{X},|A|+|B|)>0$ such that the compression function of any 1-Lipschitz embedding $\Psi: \Delta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ satisfies

$$
\rho_{\Psi}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(k_{s}+1\right) l_{s}\right) \leq 4 \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(k_{s}+1\right)
$$

Proof. Take $X_{s}=\vartheta_{s}\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)$ and $K_{s}(u, v)=\nu_{s}\left(\vartheta_{s}^{-1}\left(u^{-1} v\right)\right)$ where $\nu_{s}$ is uniform on the generating set $A(s) \cup B(s)$. To apply Lemma 5.2 note that $\operatorname{diam}_{d_{\Delta}}\left(X_{s}\right)=\left(k_{s}+1\right) l_{s}$, the Poincaré constant $P_{2}\left(X_{s}, K_{s}, \mathfrak{X}\right) \leq 1 / \delta$ by Lafforgue's result (1), where $\delta$ is a constant only depending on $\Gamma, \mathfrak{X}$ and $|A|+|B|$. Since $\Psi$ is 1 -Lipschitz with respect to $|\cdot|_{\Delta}$,

$$
\sum_{u, v \in X_{s}} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(\Psi(u), \Psi(v))^{2} K_{s}(u, v) \pi_{s}(u) \leq\left(k_{s}+1\right)^{2}
$$

Since $\pi_{s}$ is the uniform distribution on the subgroup $X_{s}$, the upper bound on $\rho_{\Psi}$ then follows from the Poincaré inequalities (11) in Example 5.3 with $p=2$.

### 6.2 Compression gap of embedding of $\Delta$ into $L_{p}$

In this subsection we focus on the case with $L_{p}, p \geq 1$, as target spaces for embedding.

### 6.2.1 Upper bound when $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ are expanders

When the target space is $L_{p}, p \geq 1$, a more precise piecewise upper bound of the compression gap can be obtained. Recall that a symmetric probability measure $\mu$ on a group $G$ defines a Markov transition kernel $K(u, v)=\mu\left(u^{-1} v\right)$ which is reversible with respect to the uniform distribution on $G$. Its $\ell^{2}$-spectral gap $\lambda(G, \mu)=\lambda(G, K)$ is defined as in (23).

Proposition 6.3. Let $\Delta$ be the diagonal product with parameters $\left(k_{s}\right)$ and lamp groups $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ expanders where diam $\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)=l_{s}<\infty$. Suppose $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ satisfies Assumption 2.1] and

$$
\lambda\left(\Gamma_{s}, \nu_{s}\right) \geq \delta>0 \text { for all } s \text { with } l_{s}<\infty
$$

where $\nu_{s}$ is uniform on $A(s) \cup B(s)$. Then there exists a constant $C_{0}$ depending only on $|A|,|B|$ such that for any 1-Lipschitz embedding $\Psi: \Delta \rightarrow L_{p}$, the compression function of $\Psi$ satisfies for all $s \geq 1$ with $k_{s}, l_{s}<\infty$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho_{\Psi}\left(\frac{1}{2} x\right) \leq C(\delta, p) \frac{x}{l_{s}}, \text { if } x \in\left[k_{s} l_{s}, k_{s+1} l_{s}\right] \\
\text { where } C(\delta, p)= \begin{cases}C_{0} \delta^{-\frac{1}{p}} & \text { if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\
C_{0} p \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text { if } p>2\end{cases} \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 6.4. If $k_{s+1}, l_{s+1}<\infty$, then by monotonicity of the compression function, the bound extends to the interval $\left[k_{s+1} l_{s}, k_{s+1} l_{s+1}\right]$, namely, for $x \in\left[k_{s+1} l_{s}, k_{s+1} l_{s+1}\right]$,

$$
\rho_{\Psi}\left(\frac{1}{2} x\right) \leq \rho_{\Psi}\left(\frac{1}{2} k_{s+1} l_{s+1}\right) \leq C(\delta, p) k_{s+1}
$$

If $l_{s+1}=\infty$, the situation is different, we need to have information regarding compression of the infinite group $\Gamma$. See Lemma 6.6.

Proof. Consider the subgroup $\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}=[A(s), B(s)]^{\Gamma_{s}}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\Gamma_{s} \rightarrow A(s) \times B(s)\right)$. Take the symmetric generating set $R(s)$ for $\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}$ using the Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm in Lemma [2.18] where $F=A(s) \times B(s), S=A(s) \cup B(s)$. Then the inclusion map from ( $\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}, R(s)$ ) into $\left(\Gamma_{s}, A(s) \cup B(s)\right)$ is bi-Lipschitz, $|\gamma|_{R(s)} \leq|\gamma|_{\Gamma_{s}} \leq 5|\gamma|_{R}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_{s}^{\prime}$. Let $\mu_{s}$ be the uniform distribution on $R(s)$. It is known that if there is a $(C, C)$-quasi isometric map $\psi:(G, S) \rightarrow(H, T)$ and image $\psi(G)$ is $R$ dense in $H$, then the Poincaré constant of ( $H, \nu$ ) is comparable to the Poincaré constant of ( $G, \mu$ ) with constants only depending on $C, R,|S|,|T|$, where $\mu$ ( $\nu$ resp.) is the uniform distribution on $S \cup S^{-1}\left(T \cup T^{-1}\right.$ resp.), see the proof of CSC95, Proposition 4.2 ] or PSC00, Theorem 1.2]. In the current situation, since the inclusion map ( $\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}, R(s)$ ) into ( $\Gamma_{s}, A(s) \cup B(s)$ ) is a (5,5)-quasi-isometry, and $\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}$ is 2 -dense in $\Gamma_{s}$, there exists a constant $c_{0}$ only depending on $|A|$ and $|B|$ such that the spectral gap of $\mu_{s}$ satisfies

$$
\lambda\left(\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}, \mu_{s}\right)=\tilde{\delta} \geq c_{0} \delta
$$

Let $t \in\left[k_{s}, k_{s+1}\right]$, consider the direct product $\Pi_{s}^{t}$ of $t$ copies of $\Gamma_{s}^{\prime}$ in the factor $\Delta_{s}$ at site $0,1, \ldots, t-1$. By Subsection 2.3, $\Pi_{s}^{t}$ is an embedded subgroup of $\Delta$, denote such an embedding by $\theta_{s}: \Pi_{s}^{t} \hookrightarrow \Delta$. On $\Pi_{s}^{t}$, take the product kernel $\zeta_{t}=\left(\mu_{s}\right)_{0} \otimes \ldots \otimes\left(\mu_{s}\right)_{t-1}$. By tensorizing property of classical Poincaré inequalities, we have that for any function $f: \Pi_{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\sum_{u, v \in \Pi_{t}}|f(u)-f(v)|^{2} \pi_{\Pi_{s}^{t}}(u) \pi_{\Pi_{s}^{t}}(v) \leq \tilde{\delta}^{-1} \sum_{u, v \in \Pi_{t}}|f(u)-f(v)|^{2} \pi_{\Pi_{t}}(u) \zeta_{t}\left(u^{-1} v\right) .
$$

By Matousek's extrapolation lemma for Poincaré inequalities Mat97, see the version in [NS11, Lemma 4.4], it follows that for any $f: \Pi_{t} \rightarrow \ell^{p}$,

- if $1 \leq p \leq 2$,

$$
\sum_{u, v \in \Pi_{s}^{t}}\|f(u)-f(v)\|_{p}^{p} \pi_{\Pi_{s}^{t}}(u) \pi_{\Pi_{s}^{t}}(v) \leq \tilde{\delta}^{-1} \sum_{u, v \in \Pi_{s}^{t}}\|f(u)-f(v)\|_{p}^{p} \pi_{\Pi_{s}^{t}}(u) \zeta_{t}\left(u^{-1} v\right) ;
$$

- if $p>2$,

$$
\sum_{u, v \in \Pi_{s}^{t}}\|f(u)-f(v)\|_{p}^{p} \pi_{\Pi_{s}^{t}}(u) \pi_{\Pi_{s}^{t}}(v) \leq(2 p)^{p} \tilde{\delta}^{-p / 2} \sum_{u, v \in \Pi_{s}^{t}}\|f(u)-f(v)\|_{p}^{p} \pi_{\Pi_{s}^{t}}(u) \zeta_{t}\left(u^{-1} v\right) .
$$

Let $\varphi: \Delta \rightarrow \ell^{p}$ be a 1-Lipschitz uniform embedding of $\Delta$ with respect to word metric $|\cdot|_{\Delta}$. Apply Lemma 5.2 to the subset $\theta_{s}\left(\Pi_{t}\right)$ equipped with kernel $\zeta_{t} \circ \theta_{s}^{-1}$, with mass distribution condition satisfied by Lemma [2.16] we have

$$
\rho_{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{2} t l_{s}\right) \leq c(\tilde{\delta}, p) t
$$

where the constant $c(\tilde{\delta}, p)$ is given by

$$
c(\tilde{\delta}, p)= \begin{cases}4\left(2 / \tilde{\delta}^{\frac{1}{p}}\right. & \text { if } 1 \leq p \leq 2  \tag{28}\\ 4 \cdot 2^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{\delta}^{-\frac{1}{2}} p & \text { if } p>2 .\end{cases}
$$

From the standard fact that $L_{p}$ is $(1+\varepsilon)$-finitely presentable in $l_{p}$, see for example in the proof of JV14, Theorem 1.1], we conclude that for for any 1-Lipschitz uniform embedding
$\Psi: \Delta \rightarrow L_{p}$ the same bound holds,

$$
\rho_{\Psi}\left(\frac{1}{2} t l_{s}\right) \leq c(\tilde{\delta}, p) t
$$

### 6.2.2 Upper bound with an infinite group $\Gamma_{s}$ having strong property $(T)$

Next we consider the case where $\Gamma_{s}=\Gamma$ is an infinite group (it corresponds to $l_{s}=\infty$ ). Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete group equipped with finite generating set $S$ and $\mathfrak{X}$ be a Banach space. A linear isometric $\Gamma$-representation on $\mathfrak{X}$ is a homomorphism $\varrho: \Gamma \rightarrow O(\mathfrak{X})$, where $O(\mathfrak{X})$ denotes the groups of all invertible linear isometries of $\mathfrak{X}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{X}{ }^{\varrho(\Gamma)}$ the closed subspace of $\Gamma$-fixed vectors. When $\mathfrak{X}$ is uniformly convex, by BFGM07, Proposition 2.6] the subspace of $\mathfrak{X}^{\varrho(\Gamma)}$ is complemented in $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}=\mathfrak{X}^{\varrho(\Gamma)} \oplus \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(\varrho)$, and the decomposition is canonical.

Definition 6.5. Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete group equipped with finite generating set $S$, $\mathfrak{X}$ be a uniformly convex Banach space.

- Following BFGM07, we say that $\Gamma$ has Property $\left(F_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ if any action of $\Gamma$ on $\mathfrak{X}$ by affine isometries has a $\Gamma$-fixed point.
- We say $\Gamma$ has Property $\left(T_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ if there exists a constant $\varepsilon>0$ such that for any representation $\varrho: \Gamma \rightarrow O(\mathfrak{X})$,

$$
\max _{s \in S}\|\varrho(s) v-v\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \geq \varepsilon\|v\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \text { for all } v \in \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(\varrho) .
$$

The maximal $\varepsilon$ with this property is called the $\mathfrak{X}$-Kazhdan constant of $\Gamma$ with respect to $S$ and is denoted by $\kappa_{\mathfrak{X}}(\Gamma, S)$.

By [BFGM07, Theorem 1.3], Property $\left(F_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ implies Property $\left(T_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ in any Banach space $\mathfrak{X}$.

Lemma 6.6. Let $\Delta$ be the diagonal product with parameters $\left(k_{s}\right)_{s \leq \mathfrak{s}_{0}}$ and lamp groups $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}_{s \leq \mathfrak{s}_{0}}$, where $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}=\Gamma$ is an infinite group marked with generating subgroups $A, B$. Suppose $\mathfrak{X}$ is a uniformly convex Banach space and $\Gamma$ has Property $\left(F_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$. Then for any equivariant 1-Lipschitz embedding $\Psi: \Delta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$, the compression function of $\Psi$ satisfies

$$
\rho_{\Psi}(x) \leq \frac{2}{\kappa_{\mathfrak{X}}(\Gamma, A \cup B)}\left(k_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}+1\right), \text { for all } x \in\left[k_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}+1, \infty\right] .
$$

Proof. Since the embedding $\vartheta_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}: \Gamma \hookrightarrow \Delta$ is a homothety with $\left|\vartheta_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}(\gamma)\right|_{\Delta}=\left(k_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}+1\right)|\gamma|_{\Gamma}$, $\psi=\Psi \circ \vartheta_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is a $\left(k_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}+1\right)$-Lipschitz equivariant embedding. Consider $\tilde{\psi}=\frac{\psi}{k_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}+1}$. Since $\tilde{\psi}$ equivariant, it is a 1 -cocycle with respect to some representation $\varrho: \Gamma \rightarrow \underset{\sim}{O}(\mathfrak{X})$. Since $\Gamma$ has Property $\left(F_{\mathfrak{X}}\right), H^{1}(\Gamma, \varrho)=Z^{1}(\Gamma, \varrho) / B^{1}(\Gamma, \varrho)$ vanishes, it follows that $\tilde{\psi}$ is a 1-coboundary, that is there exists $v \in \mathfrak{X}$ such that

$$
\tilde{\psi}(g)=\varrho(g) v-v
$$

We may take $v$ in the complement $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(\varrho)$, Then by Property $\left(T_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$,

$$
\max _{s \in A \cup B}\|\varrho(s) v-v\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \geq \kappa\|v\|_{\mathfrak{X}}, \text { where } \kappa=\kappa_{\mathfrak{X}}(\Gamma, A \cup B) .
$$

Since $\tilde{\psi}$ is 1 -Lipschitz, we have $\kappa\|v\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \leq \max _{s \in A \cup B}\|\tilde{\psi}(s)\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \leq 1$, it follows that for any $g \in \Gamma$,

$$
\|\tilde{\psi}(g)\|_{\mathfrak{X}}=\|\varrho(g) v-v\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \leq\|\varrho(g) v\|_{\mathfrak{X}}+\|v\|_{\mathfrak{X}}=2\|v\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \leq 2 / \kappa .
$$

Now we get back to $\Psi$. Since $\Psi \circ \vartheta_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}=\left(k_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}+1\right) \tilde{\psi}$, and $\left|\vartheta_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}(\gamma)\right|_{\Delta}=\left(k_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}+1\right)|\gamma|_{\Gamma}$, we deduce from $\|\tilde{\psi}(g)\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \leq 2 / \kappa$ that

$$
\rho_{\Psi}(x) \leq \frac{2}{\kappa_{\mathfrak{X}}(\Gamma, A \cup B)}\left(k_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}+1\right) \text {, for all } x \in\left[k_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}+1, \infty\right] .
$$

Remark 6.7. In practice, we use the bound in Lemma 6 .6 for the interval $\left[\left(k_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}+1\right) l_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}-1}, \infty\right]$, because for smaller length $x$, the copies $\Gamma_{s}$ with $s \leq \mathfrak{s}_{0}-1$ provide better upper bounds.

Property $\left(F_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ is very strong. By Bader- Furman-Gelander-Monod BFGM07, Theorem B] and standard Hereditary properties (BdIHV08, Section 2.5]), the lattice $\Gamma$ in Example 2.3 has Property $F_{L_{p}}$ for all $1<p<\infty$.

When we specialize to Lebesgue spaces $L_{p}, p \in(1, \infty)$, the $p$-Kazhdan constant can be estimated in terms of the Kazhdan constant in Hilbert space, via the explicit Mazur map.

Fact 6.8. [follows from [BFGM07], [Maz29]]
Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete group equipped with finite generating set S, suppose $\Gamma$ has Kazhdan property $\left(T_{L_{2}}\right)$. Then for $p>2, \kappa_{L_{p}}(\Gamma, S) \geq \frac{1}{p 2^{p / 2}} \kappa_{L_{2}}(\Gamma, S)$; for $1<p<2, \kappa_{L_{p}}(\Gamma, S) \geq$ $2^{-\frac{p+2}{p}} \kappa_{L_{2}}^{2 / p}(\Gamma, S)$.
Proof. Let $\varrho: \Gamma \rightarrow O\left(L_{p}\right)$ be a $\Gamma$-representation in $L_{p}$, take any unit vector $f$ in the complement $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(\varrho)$. Let $M_{p, q}: L_{p} \rightarrow L_{q}$ be the Mazur map

$$
M_{p, q}(f)=\operatorname{sign}(f)|f|^{p / q} .
$$

By BFGM07, Lemma 4.2], the conjugation $U \mapsto M_{p, 2} \circ U \circ M_{2, p}$ sends $O\left(L_{p}\right)$ to $O\left(L_{2}\right)$. Define $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow O\left(L_{2}\right)$ by $\pi(g)=M_{p, 2} \circ \varrho(g) \circ M_{2, p}$. By definition of the Mazur map, we have $\left\|M_{p, 2}(f)\right\|_{2}^{2}=\|f\|_{p}^{p}=1$.

Consider first the case $p>2$. From Maz29

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left||a|^{\frac{2}{p}} \operatorname{sign}(a)-|b|^{\frac{2}{p}} \operatorname{sign}(b)\right| \leq 2|a-b|^{\frac{2}{p}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have for $u, v \in L_{2},\left\|M_{2, p}(u)-M_{2, p}(v)\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq 2^{p}\|u-v\|_{2}^{2}$. Note that $M_{p, 2}$ maps $L_{p}^{\rho(\Gamma)}$ onto $L_{2}^{\pi(\Gamma)}$, therefore for any unit vector $f \in \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(\varrho)$, we have

$$
\inf _{v \in L_{2}^{\pi(\Gamma)}}\left\|M_{p, 2}(f)-v\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2^{p}} \inf _{h \in L_{p}^{(T \Gamma)}}\|f-h\|_{p}^{p} \geq \frac{1}{2^{p}}
$$

That is the projection of $M_{p, 2}(f)$ to $\left(L_{2}^{\pi(\Gamma)}\right)^{\perp}$ has $L_{2}$-norm at least $2^{-p / 2}$. By [Maz29]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left||a|^{p / 2} \operatorname{sign}(a)-|b|^{p / 2} \operatorname{sign}(b)\right| \leq \frac{p}{2}|a-b|\left(|a|^{\frac{p}{2}-1}+|b|^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\right), \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\pi(s) u-u\|_{2}^{2} & =\int|\varrho(s) f|^{p / 2} \operatorname{sign}(\varrho(s) f)-\left.|f|^{p / 2} \operatorname{sign}(f)\right|^{2} d m \\
& \leq \int\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{2}|\varrho(s) f-f|^{2}\left(|\varrho(s) f|^{\frac{p}{2}-1}+|f|^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\right)^{2} d m \\
& \leq\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{2}\left(\int|\varrho(s) f-f|^{p} d m\right)^{2 / p}\left(\int\left(|\varrho(s) f|^{\frac{p}{2}-1}+|f|^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{p-2}} d m\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

The last step uses Hölder inequality. By triangle inequality in $L_{\frac{p}{p-2}}$ and $\varrho(s) \in O\left(L_{p}\right)$,

$$
\left(\int\left(|\varrho(s) f|^{\frac{p}{2}-1}+|f|^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{p-2}} d m\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \leq 4\|f\|_{p}^{p-2}=4
$$

Let $u^{\prime}$ be the projection of $u$ to $L_{2}^{\prime}(\pi)$, then

$$
\max _{s \in S}\|\pi(s) u-u\|_{2}=\max _{s \in S}\left\|\pi(s) u^{\prime}-u^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \geq \kappa_{L_{2}}(\Gamma, S)\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \geq 2^{-p / 2} \kappa_{L_{2}}(\Gamma, S)
$$

Combine with (31),

$$
1 \leq \frac{2^{p}}{\kappa_{L_{2}}(\Gamma, S)^{2}} \max _{s \in S}\|\pi(s) u-u\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{p 2^{p / 2}}{\kappa_{L_{2}}(\Gamma, S)}\right)^{2} \max _{s \in S}\|\varrho(s) f-f\|_{p}^{2}
$$

We conclude that $\kappa_{L_{p}}(\Gamma, S) \geq \frac{1}{p 2^{p / 2}} \kappa_{L_{2}}(\Gamma, S)$.
In the case $1<p<2$, rewrite (29) into

$$
|a-b|^{p} \leq\left. 2^{p}| | a\right|^{p / 2} \operatorname{sign}(a)-\left.|b|^{p / 2} \operatorname{sign}(b)\right|^{2},
$$

we deduce that the projection of $M_{p, 2}(f)$ to $\left(L_{2}^{\pi(\Gamma)}\right)^{\perp}$ has $L_{2}$-norm at least $2^{-p / 2}$. Apply (29),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\pi(s) u-u\|_{2}^{2} & =\int|\varrho(s) f|^{p / 2} \operatorname{sign}(\varrho(s) f)-\left.|f|^{p / 2} \operatorname{sign}(f)\right|^{2} d m \\
& \leq \int 4|\varrho(s) f-f|^{p} d m=4\|\varrho(s) f-f\|_{p}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\kappa_{L_{p}}(\Gamma, S) \geq 2^{-\frac{p+2}{p}} \kappa_{L_{2}}^{2 / p}(\Gamma, S)$.

### 6.2.3 Basic test functions and 1-cocycles on $\Delta$

The discussion in this subsection is valid for any choice of $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ that satisfies Assumption 2.1. The 1-cocycle constructed using the basic test functions will be useful in later sections as well.

First recall some basic test functions on $\Delta$ constructed in Subsection4.1.2 They capture the feature that in each copy of $\Gamma_{s}$ in $\Delta_{s}$, the generators $a_{i}(s)$ and $b_{i}(s)$ are kept distance $k_{s}$ apart. In the group $\Delta$, for $r \geq 2$, define subset $U_{r}$ as

$$
U_{r}=\{Z \in \Delta: \text { Range }(Z) \subseteq[-r, r]\}
$$

Recall that Range $(Z)$ is defined in subsection 2.2.2, it is the minimal interval of $\mathbb{Z}$ visited by the cursor of a path representing $Z$. Take a function supported on the subset $U_{r}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{r}\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right)=\max \left\{0,1-\frac{|z|}{r}\right\} \mathbf{1}_{U_{r}}\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be the switch-or-walk measure $\mathfrak{q}=\frac{1}{2}(\mu+\nu)$ on $\Delta$ where $\nu$ is the uniform measure on $\left\{\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j}: 1 \leq i \leq|A|, 1 \leq j \leq|B|\right\}$ and $\mu$ is the simple random walk measure on the base $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mu\left(\tau^{ \pm 1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$. We have seen in the proof of Proposition 4.4 that for $p=2$,

$$
\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\Delta, \mathfrak{q}}\left(\varphi_{r}\right)}{\left\|\varphi_{r}\right\|_{2}^{2}} \sim \frac{3}{2 r^{2}}
$$

Define $\varphi_{1}$ to be the indicator function of the identity $e_{\Delta}$,

$$
\varphi_{1}=\mathbf{1}_{e_{\Delta}}
$$

Motivated by Tessera's embedding in [Tes11, Section 3], given a non-decreasing function $\gamma: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $\gamma(0)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\gamma)=\sum_{t=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma(t)}\right)^{2}<\infty \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

we define a 1-cocycle $b_{\gamma}: \Delta \rightarrow \oplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \ell^{2}(\Delta) \subset L_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\gamma}(Z)=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma(j)} \frac{\varphi_{2^{j}}-\tau_{Z} \varphi_{2^{j}}}{\mathcal{E}_{\Delta, \mathfrak{q}}\left(\varphi_{2^{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{g}$ denote right translation of functions, $\tau_{g} \varphi(h)=\varphi\left(h g^{-1}\right)$.
Lemma 6.9. The 1-cocycle $b_{\gamma}: \Delta \rightarrow L_{2}$ defined in (34) is $\sqrt{2 C(\gamma)}$-Lipschitz. Suppose in addition there exists $m_{0} \geq 2$ such that $k_{s+1} \geq m_{0} k_{s}, l_{s+1} \geq m_{0} l_{s}$ for any $s \geq 1$. Then there is a constant $C\left(m_{0}\right)>0$ depending only on $m_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{b_{\gamma}}(x) \geq C\left(m_{0}\right) \frac{x / l_{s}}{\gamma\left(\log _{2}\left(x / l_{s}\right)\right)}, \text { if } x \in\left[k_{s} l_{s}, k_{s+1} l_{s}\right) \\
& \rho_{b_{\gamma}}(x) \geq C\left(m_{0}\right) \frac{k_{s+1}}{\gamma\left(\log _{2} k_{s+1}\right)}, \text { if } x \in\left[k_{s+1} l_{s}, k_{s+1} l_{s+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Clearly $\left\|b_{\gamma}(Z)\right\|=1$ when $Z$ is a generator in $A \cup B$ and $\left\|b_{\gamma}(Z)\right\|=\sqrt{2 C(\gamma)}$ when $Z=\tau$ is the generator of the cyclic base $\mathbb{Z}$.

The 1-cocycle $b_{\gamma}$ captures the size of $\operatorname{Range}(Z)$. Denote $Z=\left(\left(f_{s}\right), i\right)$ and observe that if Range $(Z)>2^{j+2}$, then

$$
\left(\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{2^{j}}\right) \cap\left(\operatorname{supp} \tau_{Z} \varphi_{2^{j}}\right)=\emptyset
$$

Indeed, either there exists $\iota \notin\left[-2^{j+1}, 2^{j+1}\right]$ and $s \leq s_{0}(Z)$ with $f_{s}(\iota) \neq e_{\Delta_{s}}$ and then this also holds for all elements of $\operatorname{supp} \tau_{Z} \varphi_{2^{j}}$ and none of $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{2^{j}}$, or $|i|>2^{j+1}$ and then the projections on the base of the two supports are disjoint. Therefore

$$
\frac{\left\|\varphi_{2^{j}}-\tau_{Z} \varphi_{2^{j}}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{\mathcal{E}_{\Delta, \mathfrak{q}}\left(\varphi_{2^{j}}\right)}=\frac{2\left\|\varphi_{2^{j}}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{\mathcal{E}_{\Delta, \mathfrak{q}}\left(\varphi_{2^{j}}\right)} \sim \frac{4 \cdot 2^{2 j}}{3}
$$

By construction of $b_{\gamma}$, this implies if $\operatorname{Range}(Z)>2^{j+2}$,

$$
\left\|b_{\gamma}(Z)\right\|_{2} \geq \frac{2^{j}}{\sqrt{3} \gamma(j)}
$$

By Definition 2.8, for $Z$ with Range $(Z)=r \in\left[k_{s}, k_{s+1}\right)$, we have $s_{0}(Z) \leq s$.
Denote $Z=\left(\left(f_{s}\right), i\right)$, then by Lemma 2.13

$$
\left|\left(f_{s}, i\right)\right|_{\Delta_{s}}=\left|\pi_{s}(Z)\right|_{\Delta_{s}} \leq 18(r+1) l_{s}
$$

because at most $2 r / k_{s}+1$ intervals contribute to the essential contribution. By Proposition 2.14, the word distance of $Z$ to $e_{\Delta}$ is bounded

$$
|Z|_{\Delta} \leq 500.18(r+1)\left(l_{0}+\ldots+l_{s}\right) \leq \frac{9000(r+1) l_{s}}{1-1 / m_{0}}
$$

It follows that

$$
\rho_{b_{\gamma}}\left(\frac{9000(r+1) l_{s}}{1-1 / m_{0}}\right) \geq \frac{r}{8 \gamma\left(\log _{2} r\right)}
$$

To write it into the first inequality stated, note that since $b_{\gamma}$ is equivariant, $\rho_{b_{\gamma}}$ is subadditive.
The second bound follows from the first bound evaluated at $x=k_{s+1} l_{s}$ and the monotonicity of the compression function $\rho_{b_{\gamma}}$.

Remark 6.10. The function $t / \gamma \circ \log (t)$ with $\gamma$ satisfying (33) does not satisfy any a priori majoration by a sublinear function. More precisely, [Tes11, Proposition 8] implies that for any increasing sublinear function $h: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, there exists a nondecreasing function $\gamma$ satisfying (33), a constant $c>0$ and an increasing subsequence of integers $n_{i}$, such that

$$
\frac{k_{n_{i}}}{\gamma \circ \log _{2}\left(k_{n_{i}}\right)} \geq \operatorname{ch}\left(k_{n_{i}}\right) \text { for all } i
$$

It follows that the upper bound in Proposition 6.3 cannot be improved.

### 6.2.4 Possible compression gap of embedding into $L_{p}$

Comparing Lemma 6.9 to Proposition 6.3, we see that the 1-cocycle $b_{\gamma}$ defined in (34) is almost optimal in the sense that it matches up with the compression upper bound up to the factor sequence $1 / \gamma \circ \log _{2}\left(k_{s}\right)$. The following result is an analogue of ADS09, Theorem 5.5 (II)] in our setting.

Theorem 6.11. There exists absolute constants $\delta>0, C>0$ such that the following holds. Let $\rho(x)$ be any non-decreasing function such that $\frac{x}{\rho(x)}$ is non-decreasing. Then there exists a finitely generated group $\Delta$ such that $\left(\frac{1}{C \varepsilon} \frac{\rho}{\log (1+\rho)^{(1+\varepsilon) / 2}}, C p 2^{p / 2} \rho\right)$ is an equivariant $L_{p^{-}}$ compression gap of $\Delta$ for any $p>1$.

Further if $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x)=\infty$, the group $\Delta$ constructed is elementary amenable, and $\left(\frac{1}{C \varepsilon} \frac{\rho}{\log (1+\rho)^{(1+\varepsilon) / 2}}, C \rho\right)$ is an equivariant $L_{1}$-compression gap of $\Delta$.

Proof. We write $\rho(x)=\frac{x}{f(x)}$ with $f(x)$ between 1 and $x$. The sets $K=\mathbb{Z}_{+} \cup\{\infty\}$ and $L=\left\{\operatorname{diam} \Gamma_{m}, m \geq 1\right\} \cup\{\infty\}$ of diameters of Lafforgue expanders from Example 2.3 satisfy the assumptions of Proposition B.2. So we can find sequences $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$ taking values in $K$
and $L$ such that the function defined by $\tilde{f}(x)=l_{s}$ on $\left[k_{s} l_{s}, k_{s+1} l_{s}\right]$ and $\tilde{f}(x)=\frac{x}{k_{s+1}}$ on $\left[k_{s+1} l_{s}, k_{s+1} l_{s+1}\right]$ satisfies $\tilde{f}(x) \simeq{ }_{m_{0} C_{1}^{5}} f(x)$. Then $\tilde{\rho}(x)=\frac{x}{\tilde{f}(x)} \simeq{ }_{m_{0} C_{1}^{5}} \rho(x)$. Let $\Delta$ be the diagonal product associated to these sequences.

By Proposition 6.3 and Lemma 6.6, any 1-Lipschitz equivariant embedding $\Psi: \Delta \rightarrow L_{p}$ satisfies for all $x$

$$
\rho_{\Psi}(x) \leq C \tilde{\rho}(2 x) \leq 2 m_{0} C_{1}^{5} C \rho(x)
$$

where by Fact 6.8

$$
\left.C \leq \max \left\{C(\delta, p), \frac{2}{\kappa_{L_{p}}(\Gamma)}\right)\right\} \leq \max \left\{C^{\prime} p, C^{\prime \prime} p 2^{p / 2}\right\}
$$

This gives the upper bound of the compression gap. For $p=1$, Lemma 6.6 does not hold, so the upper bound is valid only on the condition that all the diameters $l_{s}$ are finite, which is satisfied when $\rho$ is unbounded, or equivalently $f$ is not asymptotically linear.

The lower bound is given by the 1-cocyle $b_{\gamma}: \Delta \rightarrow \ell^{2}$ of Lemma 6.9 with $\gamma(x)=C_{\epsilon} x^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}$, where $C_{\epsilon} \sim \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ is such that $\sqrt{2 C(\gamma)}=1$. For all $x$

$$
\rho_{b_{\gamma}}(x) \geq C_{\epsilon} C\left(m_{0}\right) \frac{\tilde{\rho}(x)}{\log _{2}(\tilde{\rho}(x))^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}} \geq \frac{1}{C \epsilon} \frac{\rho(x)}{\log (1+\rho(x))^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}}
$$

Since $\ell^{2}$ embeds isometrically in $L_{p}$ for all $p \geq 1$, see Lemma 2.3 in [NP08], it is also an $L_{p}$-compression lower bound.

## $7 \quad L_{p}$-compression of wreath product $H \backslash \mathbb{Z}$

In general the case with $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ chosen be to finite groups other than expanders is more involved. Since our main object $\Delta$ is a diagonal product of a sequence of wreath products, it is instructive to understand compression of uniform embedding of a single wreath product $H \geqslant \mathbb{Z}$.

In NP08, Naor and Peres proved that if $\alpha_{2}^{\#}(H)=\frac{1}{2 \beta^{*}(H)}$, where $\beta^{*}(H)$ is the supremum of upper speed exponent of symmetric random walk of bounded support on $H$, then ( $\mathbb{N P 0 8}$, Corollary 1.3])

$$
\alpha_{2}^{\#}(H \succ \mathbb{Z})=\frac{2 \alpha_{2}^{\#}(H)}{2 \alpha_{2}^{\#}(H)+1}
$$

Further in NP11 which significantly extends the method in NP08], the $L_{p}$-compression exponent of $\mathbb{Z} \imath \mathbb{Z}$ was determined ( $[\mathbf{N P 1 1}$, Theorem 1.2$]$ ), for every $p \geq 1$,

$$
\alpha_{p}^{\#}(\mathbb{Z} \imath \mathbb{Z})=\max \left\{\frac{p}{2 p-1}, \frac{2}{3}\right\} .
$$

In [NP11] Naor and Peres also proved the following result when the base group is of polynomial volume growth at least quadratic. Let $H$ be a nontrivial finitely generated amenable group and $\Gamma$ a group of polynomial volume growth. Suppose the volume growth rate of $\Gamma$ is at least quadratic, then for every $p \in[1,2]$ ([NP11, Theorem 3.1])

$$
\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H \imath \Gamma)=\min \left\{\frac{1}{p}, \alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)\right\}
$$

One central idea in these works is the Markov type method that connects compression exponents of $G$ to speed exponent of certain random walks on $G$. In this subsection we apply the spectral method as in subsection 5.1 to obtain a generalization of the aforementioned results of Naor and Peres. The technique will be useful in the study of the diagonal product $\Delta$ with dihedral groups.

Theorem 7.1. Let $p \in[1,2], H$ be a finitely generated infinite group. Then the equivariant $L_{p}$-compression exponent of $H \imath \mathbb{Z}$ is

$$
\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H \backslash \mathbb{Z})=\min \left\{\frac{\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)}{\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)+\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}, \alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)\right\}
$$

Remark 7.2. The lower bound on $\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H / \mathbb{Z})$ is covered by [NP08, Theorem 3.3]. We will prove the upper bound. Note that there is an interesting dichotomy of the type of obstruction that $H \succ \mathbb{Z}$ observes, depending on $\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H), p \in(1,2]$.

1. When $\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)>\frac{1}{p}$, then the sequence of subsets $X_{n}$ as defined in the proof of Proposition 7.3 captures distorted elements under the embedding,

$$
\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H \backslash \mathbb{Z})=\frac{\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)}{\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)+\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}
$$

2. When $\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H) \leq \frac{1}{p}$, then one single copy of $H$ already provide sufficient distortion,

$$
\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H \succ \mathbb{Z})=\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)
$$

### 7.1 Upper bound of compression function of $H \backslash \mathbb{Z}$

Let $\Psi: H \succ \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be an equivariant embedding of $G=H \succ \mathbb{Z}$ into metric space $\left(\mathfrak{X}, d_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$. Recall that the group $H$ is naturally identified with the lamp group over site 0 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i: H \hookrightarrow G \\
& h \rightarrow\left(h \delta_{0}, 0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the embedding $\Psi$ induces an equivariant embedding of $H$ into $\mathfrak{X}$ we denote it by $\psi_{H}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{H}(h)=\Psi \circ i(h)=\Psi\left(\left(h \delta_{0}, 0\right)\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote the compression function of $\psi_{H}: H \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ by $\rho_{\psi_{H}}$. Since distortion of the inclusion $\operatorname{map} i$ is $1,|h|_{H}=|i(h)|_{G}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Psi}(t) \leq \rho_{\psi_{H}}(t) \text { for all } t \geq 1 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now explain how to apply the spectral method to derive a second upper bound on $\rho_{\Psi}$ when $\Psi$ is a uniform embedding of $G$ into $L_{p}, p \in(1,2]$. The novelty here is in the choice of Markov kernels on lamplighter graphs.

Proposition 7.3. There exists a constant $C>0$ such that for any $p \in(1,2]$ and any 1Lipschitz equivariant embedding $\Psi: G \rightarrow L_{p}$ of $G=H \imath \mathbb{Z}$ into $L_{p}$, the compression function $\rho_{\Psi}$ of $\Psi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Psi}(t) \leq C\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(2 \rho_{\psi_{H}} \circ \tau^{-1}(t)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \log ^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(2 \rho_{\psi_{H}} \circ \tau^{-1}(t)\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{H}$ is the induced embedding of the subgroup $H$ into $L_{p}$ as in (35) and the function $\tau: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is defined as

$$
\tau(x)=2^{\frac{1}{p-1}} x\left(\rho_{\psi_{H}}(x)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}
$$

Proof. By definition of the compression function $\rho_{\psi_{H}}$, for every $n \geq 1$, there exists an element $h_{n} \in H$ such that $\left|h_{n}\right|_{H} \geq n$ and

$$
\left\|\psi_{H}\left(h_{n}\right)-\psi_{H}\left(e_{H}\right)\right\|_{p} \leq 2 \rho_{\psi_{H}}(n)
$$

Take $X_{n}$ to be a finite subset in $G$ defined by

$$
X_{n}=\left\{(f, z): z \in\left[0, m_{n}-1\right], \begin{array}{c}
f(x) \in\left\{e_{H}, h_{n}\right\} \text { for } x \in\left[0, m_{n}-1\right] \\
f(x)=e_{H} \text { for } x \notin\left[0, m_{n}-1\right]
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The length $m_{n}$ will be determined later. Note that $X_{n}$ has the structure of a lamplighter graph, namely let $\mathcal{L}_{m_{n}}$ be lamplighter graph over segment $\left[0, m_{n}-1\right]$ defined in Appendix C] then there is a bijection

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{n}: \mathcal{L}_{m_{n}} & \rightarrow X_{n} \\
\sigma_{n}(f, x) & =(\tilde{f}, x) \text { where } \tilde{f}(z)=h_{n}^{f(z)}
\end{aligned}
$$

In Appendix C we defined a Markov transition kernel $\mathfrak{p}_{m_{n}}$ on $\mathcal{L}_{m_{n}}$ that moves on the base segment with a Cauchy-like step distribution $\zeta_{m_{n}}$. On $X_{n}$, take the Markov kernel $K_{n}$ to be $\mathfrak{p}_{m_{n}} \circ \sigma_{n}^{-1}$, that is

$$
K_{n}(u, v)=\mathfrak{p}_{m_{n}}\left(\sigma_{n}^{-1}(u), \sigma_{n}^{-1}(v)\right) .
$$

Denote by $\pi_{n}$ the stationary distribution of $K_{n}$ on $X_{n}, \pi_{n}=U_{m_{n}} \circ \sigma_{n}^{-1}$. Under the bijection $\sigma_{n}$, the Poincaré inequality that $\Psi \circ \sigma_{n}: \mathcal{L}_{m_{n}} \rightarrow L_{p}$ satisfies as in Lemma C. 1 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u, v \in X_{n}}\|\Psi(u)-\Psi(v)\|_{p}^{p} \pi_{n}(u) \pi_{n}(v) \leq C m_{n} \log m_{n} \sum_{u, v \in X_{n}}\|\Psi(u)-\Psi(v)\|_{p}^{p} K_{n}(u, v) \pi_{n}(u) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we deduce an upper bound on $\rho_{\Psi}$ from Poincaré inequalities (38) by applying Lemma 5.2. Because of equivariance of $\Psi$, for any $u \in G$,

$$
\left\|\Psi\left(u \cdot\left(h \delta_{0}, 0\right)\right)-\Psi(u)\right\|_{p}=\left\|\Psi\left(\left(h \delta_{0}, 0\right)\right)-\Psi\left(e_{G}\right)\right\|_{p}=\left\|\psi_{H}(h)-\psi_{H}\left(e_{H}\right)\right\|_{p}
$$

Recall that $K_{n}$ moves as a "switch-walk-switch" transition kernel, by Hölder inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\sum_{u, v \in X_{n}} \| \Psi(u)-\Psi(v)\right) \|_{p}^{p} K_{n}(u, v) \pi_{n}(u) \\
& \leq 2 \cdot 3^{p-1}\left\|\psi_{H}\left(e_{H}\right)-\psi_{H}\left(h_{n}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& +3^{p-1} \sum_{(f, z) \in X_{n}} \sum_{y \in\left[0, m_{n}-1\right]}\left\|\Psi((f, z))-\Psi\left((f, z) \cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_{H}, y\right)\right)\right\|_{p}^{p} \zeta_{m_{n}}(z, y) \pi_{n}((f, z)) \\
& \leq 3^{p}\left[\left(2 \rho_{\psi_{H}}(n)\right)^{p}+\sum_{z, y \in\left[0, m_{n}-1\right]}|z-y|^{p} \zeta_{m_{n}}(z, y) \mathcal{C}_{m_{n}}(z)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{m_{n}}(z)$ denotes the stationary distribution of $\zeta_{m_{n}}$. The last step used the choice that $\left\|\psi_{H}\left(h_{n}\right)-\psi_{H}\left(e_{H}\right)\right\|_{p} \leq 2 \rho_{\psi_{H}}(n)$ and the assumption that $\Psi$ is 1-Lipschitz. From the explicit formula that defines $\zeta_{m_{n}}$, we have for $p>1$,

$$
\sum_{z, y \in\left[0, m_{n}-1\right]}|z-y|^{p} \zeta_{m_{n}}(z, y) \mathcal{C}_{m_{n}}(z) \leq C m_{n}^{p-1}
$$

Set

$$
m_{n}=\left\lceil\left(2 \rho_{\psi_{H}}(n)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right\rceil
$$

so that the two terms in the $L_{p}$-energy upper bound are comparable, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sum_{u, v \in X_{n}} \| \Psi(u)-\Psi(v)\right) \|_{p}^{p} K_{n}(u, v) \pi_{n}(u) \leq 3^{p}(1+C) m_{n}^{p-1} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the explicit lamplighter structure, one checks that $\operatorname{diam}_{G}\left(X_{n}\right)=\left(2+\left|h_{n}\right|_{H}\right) m_{n}$, for any $u \in X_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v \in X_{n}} 1_{\left\{d_{G}(u, v) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left|h_{n}\right|_{H}+2\right) m_{n}\right\}} \pi_{n}(v) \geq \frac{1}{5} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Apply (38),(39),(40) in Lemma 5.2, we have

$$
\rho_{\Psi}\left(\frac{\left(2+\left|h_{n}\right|_{H}\right) m_{n}}{2}\right) \leq\left(C^{\prime} m_{n}^{p-1} \cdot m_{n} \log m_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Since $\left|h_{n}\right|_{H} \geq n$, it follows that there exists $u, v \in X_{n}, d_{G}(u, v) \geq \frac{1}{2}(n+1) m_{n}$,

$$
\|\Psi(u)-\Psi(v)\|_{p} \leq C^{\prime} m_{n} \log ^{\frac{1}{p}} m_{n}
$$

Note that by definition of $\tau, \tau(n)=\frac{1}{2} n\left(2 \rho_{\psi_{H}}(n)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$, with the choice of $m_{n}=\left\lceil\left(2 \rho_{\psi_{H}}(n)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right\rceil$, the statement follows from rewriting the inequality

$$
\rho_{\Psi}\left(\frac{1}{2} n m_{n}\right) \leq C^{\prime} m_{n} \log ^{\frac{1}{p}} m_{n}
$$

The Markov type method can also be applied to this situation, it actually yields more general results. We presented the proof for $L_{p}$-compression of $G$ with $p \in(1,2]$ using the Poincaré inequalities because spectral gap considerations motivate the choice of $\alpha$-stable walk on the base with $\alpha=1$. Now we explain how to apply Markov type method. Let $\left(\mathfrak{X}, d_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ be a metric space of Markov type $p, p>1, \Psi: H \backslash \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a 1-Lipschitz equivariant embedding. Make the same choice of a sequence of finite subsets $X_{n}$ and $K_{n}$ as in the proof of Proposition 7.3 with

$$
m_{n}=\left\lceil\left(2 \rho_{\psi_{H}}(n)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right\rceil
$$

Let $Z_{t}$ denote a Markov chain on $\mathcal{L}_{m_{n}}$ with transition kernel $\mathfrak{p}_{m_{n}}$, then $\widetilde{Z}_{t_{\tilde{\sim}}}=\sigma_{n}\left(Z_{t}\right)$ is a Markov chain on $X_{n}$ with transition kernel $K_{n}$. Run the Markov chain $Z_{t}$ up to time
$t_{n}=m_{n} \log m_{n}$. To apply Lemma [5.5, we need a lower bound for $\mathbf{E}_{\pi_{n}}\left[d_{G}\left(\widetilde{Z}_{t_{n}}, \widetilde{Z}_{0}\right)^{p}\right]$. The bijection $\sigma_{n}: \mathcal{L}_{m_{n}} \rightarrow X_{n}$ induces a metric on $\mathcal{L}_{m_{n}}$ by

$$
d_{\sigma_{n}}(u, v)=d_{G}\left(\sigma_{n}(u), \sigma_{n}(v)\right)
$$

Direct inspection shows that this metric $d_{\sigma_{n}}$ coincide with the metric $d_{\mathbf{w}_{n}}$ with $\mathbf{w}_{n}=$ $\left(1,\left|h_{n}\right|_{H}\right)$ introduced in Appendix C. By Lemma C.2, we have that for $t_{n}=m_{n} \log m_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}_{\pi_{n}}\left[d_{G}\left(\widetilde{Z}_{t_{n}}, \widetilde{Z}_{0}\right)^{p}\right] & =\mathbf{E}_{U_{\alpha, m_{n}}}\left[d_{\mathbf{w}_{n}}\left(Z_{t_{n}}, Z_{0}\right)^{p}\right] \\
& \geq \mathbf{E}_{U_{\alpha, m_{n}}}\left[d_{\mathbf{w}_{n}}\left(Z_{t_{n}}, Z_{0}\right)\right]^{p} \\
& \geq\left(c\left(1+\left|h_{n}\right|_{H}\right) m_{n}\right)^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the proof of Proposition 7.3 we checked that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}_{\pi_{n}}\left[d_{\mathfrak{X}}\left(\Psi\left(\widetilde{X}_{1}\right), \Psi\left(\widetilde{X}_{0}\right)\right)^{p}\right] & =\sum_{u, v \in X_{n}} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(\Psi(u), \Psi(v))^{p} K_{n}(u, v) \pi_{n}(v) \\
& \leq 3^{p}\left[\left(2 \rho_{\psi_{H}}(n)\right)^{p}+C m_{n}^{p-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $m_{n}=\left\lceil\left(2 \rho_{\psi_{H}}(n)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right\rceil$ and plug in these estimates into Lemma 5.5, we have

$$
\rho_{\Psi}\left(\frac{c}{2}\left(1+\left|h_{n}\right|_{H}\right) m_{n}\right) \leq C^{\prime} M_{p}(\mathfrak{X}) m_{n} \log ^{\frac{1}{p}} m_{n}
$$

Recall that $\left|h_{n}\right|_{H} \geq n$, therefore

$$
\rho_{\Psi}\left(\frac{c}{2} n m_{n}\right) \leq C^{\prime} M_{p}(\mathfrak{X}) m_{n} \log ^{\frac{1}{p}} m_{n} .
$$

The result given by the Markov type method is recorded in the following proposition. By [NPSS06], $L_{p}$ space with $p>2$ has Markov type 2. Proposition 7.4 applies to $L_{p}$ with $p>2$ as well, therefore is more general than Proposition 7.3 .

Proposition 7.4. There exists a constant $C>0$ such that the following holds. Let $\left(\mathfrak{X}, d_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ be a metric space of Markov type $p, p>1$ and $\Psi: H \imath \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a 1-Lipschitz equivariant embedding. The compression function $\rho_{\Psi}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Psi}(t) \leq C\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} M_{p}(\mathfrak{X})\left(2 \rho_{\psi_{H}} \circ \tau^{-1}(t)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \log ^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(2 \rho_{\psi_{H}} \circ \tau^{-1}(t)\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{H}$ the induced embedding of the subgroup $H$ into $\mathfrak{X}$ as in (35), $M_{p}(\mathfrak{X})$ is the Markovtype $p$ constant of $\mathfrak{X}$, and the function $\tau: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is defined as

$$
\tau(x)=\frac{1}{C} x\left(2 \rho_{\psi_{H}}(x)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} .
$$

## $7.2 \quad L_{p}$-compression exponent of $H \succ \mathbb{Z}$

For the lower bound in the $L_{p}$-compression gap of $H \imath \mathbb{Z}, p \in[1,2]$, we use the embedding constructed in [NP08, Theorem 3.3]. An explicit description of an equivariant embedding
is included here as a warm-up for Section 8, Given a good equivariant embedding $\varphi$ of the group $H$ into $L_{p}$,

$$
\left\|\varphi\left(h_{1}\right)-\varphi\left(h_{2}\right)\right\|_{p} \geq \rho_{-}\left(d_{H}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)\right),
$$

we exhibit an embedding of $G=H \imath \mathbb{Z}$ into $L_{p}$ with $\varphi$ as building blocks. Recall that for an element $(f, z) \in G$, the word distance is given by

$$
|(f, z)|_{G}=|\omega|+\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}|f(x)|_{H},
$$

where $\omega$ is a path of shortest length that starts at 0 , visits every point $x$ in the support of $f$, and ends at $z$. We refer to such a path a traveling salesman path for $(f, z)$. The embedding of $G$ into $L_{p}$ consists of two parts: part I captures the length of $\omega$ and part II embeds the lamp configurations $\{f(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}$ using the embedding of $H$ into $L_{p}$.

Part I: this part is essentially the same as an embedding of $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \imath \mathbb{Z}$ into $L_{p}$. Consider the following sequence of functions on $G=H \imath \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n}((f, z))=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\operatorname{supp}_{\left.f \subseteq\left[-2^{n}, 2^{n}\right]\right\}}\right.} \max \left\{1-\frac{|z|}{2^{n}}, 0\right\} . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if $g \in G$ is in the support of $\phi_{n}$, then

$$
\left|\omega_{g}\right| \leq 4 \cdot 2^{n},
$$

where $\omega_{g}$ denote a traveling salesman path for $g$. Also

$$
\left(\operatorname{supp} \phi_{n}\right)\left(\operatorname{supp} \phi_{n}\right)^{-1} \subseteq \operatorname{supp} \phi_{n+1} .
$$

As in Tes11, given a non-decreasing function $\gamma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $\gamma(1)=1$ and $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma(t)}\right)^{p}=$ $C_{p}(\gamma)<\infty$, take a cocycle $b_{\gamma}: G \rightarrow L_{p}$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\gamma}(g)=\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma(n)}\left(\frac{\tau_{g} \phi_{n}-\phi_{n}}{\mathcal{E}_{p}\left(\phi_{n}\right)^{1 / p}}\right), \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{g}$ denotes the right translation of a function $\phi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\tau_{g} \phi(u)=\phi\left(u g^{-1}\right) .
$$

Because of the normalization in the definition of $b_{\gamma}$, one readily checks that $b_{\gamma}$ is $\left(2 C_{p}(\gamma)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-}$ Lipschitz.

Given $g=(f, x) \in G$, we say a path $\omega$ on $\mathbb{Z}$ is a traveling salesman path for $g$ if it starts at 0 , visits every $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $f(z) \neq e_{H}$ and end at $x$. Let $\omega_{g}$ be a shortest traveling salesman path for $g$. Suppose $\left|\omega_{g}\right|>2^{n+3}$, then $g \notin\left(\operatorname{supp} \phi_{n}\right)\left(\operatorname{supp} \phi_{n}\right)^{-1}$, it follows that in this case

$$
\frac{\left\|\tau_{g} \phi_{n}-\phi_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}}{\mathcal{E}_{p}\left(\phi_{n}\right)}=\frac{2\left\|\phi_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}}{\mathcal{E}_{p}\left(\phi_{n}\right)} \geq\left(2^{n}\right)^{p} .
$$

Putting the components together, we have that

$$
\left\|b_{\gamma}(g)\right\|_{p} \geq \frac{\left|\omega_{g}\right|}{8 \gamma\left(\log _{2}\left|\omega_{g}\right|\right)}
$$

Part II: Let $\varphi: H \rightarrow L_{p}$ be a 1-Lipschitz equivariant embedding of $H$ into $L_{p}$. Define a $\operatorname{map} \Xi_{\varphi}: G \rightarrow L_{p}$ as

$$
\Xi_{\varphi}((f, z))=\bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(f(x))
$$

Since the map $\Xi_{\varphi}$ factors through the projection $G \rightarrow \oplus_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} H$ and $\varphi$ is equivariant, it follows that $\Xi_{\varphi}$ is a cocycle. By construction, $\Xi_{\varphi}$ is 1 -Lipschitz and

$$
\left\|\Xi_{\varphi}((f, z))\right\|_{p}^{p}=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}\|\varphi(f(x))\|_{p}^{p}
$$

Combine the two parts, define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi: G \rightarrow L_{p} \\
& \Phi(g)=b_{\gamma}(g) \bigoplus \Xi_{\varphi}(g) \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have $\Psi$ is $\left(2 C_{p}(\gamma)\right)^{1 / p}$-Lipschitz and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi(g)\|_{p}^{p} & =\left\|b_{\gamma}(g)\right\|_{p}^{p}+\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}\|\varphi(f(x))\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \geq \frac{\left|\omega_{g}\right|^{p}}{8 \gamma\left(\log _{2}\left|\omega_{g}\right|\right)}+c \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho_{-}\left(|f(x)|_{H}\right)^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $p \in(1,2]$. The bounds (35), (36) and the embedding constructed above provide rather detailed information about the $L_{p}$-compression gap of $G=H \backslash \mathbb{Z}$ in terms of $L_{p^{-}}$ compression gap of $H$. We now derive the formula relating the $L_{p}$-compression exponents of $G$ and $H$ stated in Theorem 7.1 .

Proof of Theorem 7.1. We first treat the case $p \in(1,2]$. Let $\Psi: G \rightarrow L_{p}$ be a 1-Lipschitz equivariant embedding of $G=H \succ \mathbb{Z}$ into $L_{p}$ and $\psi_{H}$ its induced embedding $H \hookrightarrow L_{p}$. From (36), it is always true that $\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H \succ \mathbb{Z}) \leq \alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)$. Now we apply Proposition 7.3 to prove the upper bound. By definition of compression exponent, there exists constant $C=C\left(\psi_{H}\right)>0$ and an increasing sequence $n_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\rho_{\psi_{H}}\left(n_{i}\right) \leq C n_{i}^{\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)}
$$

Along the sequence $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$, by Proposition 7.3,

$$
\rho_{\Psi}\left(n_{i}\right) \leq C_{1}(C, p) n_{i}^{\frac{p \alpha}{p-1+\alpha p}} \log ^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(n_{i}^{\frac{p \alpha}{p-1+\alpha p}}\right)
$$

where $\alpha=\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)$ and $C_{1}(C, p)$ is a constant depending on $C$ and $p$. Therefore

$$
\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H \succ \mathbb{Z}) \leq \frac{\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)}{\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)+\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}
$$

We have proved the upper bound.
Note that if $\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)=0$, then $\alpha_{p}^{\#}(G)=0$. Thus in the lower bound direction, we consider the case $\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)>0$. For any $0<\varepsilon<\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)$, let $\varphi: H \rightarrow L_{p}$ be a 1-Lipschitz equivariant embedding such that

$$
\rho_{\varphi}(t) \geq(c t)^{\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)-\varepsilon}
$$

and set $\gamma(n)=\log ^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{p}}(1+n)$. Take the embedding $\Phi: G \rightarrow L_{p}$ as defined in (44), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi(g)\|_{p}^{p} & =\left\|b_{\gamma}(g)\right\|_{p}^{p}+\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}\|\varphi(f(x))\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \geq \frac{\left|\omega_{g}\right|^{p}}{8 \log ^{1+\varepsilon}\left(1+\left|\omega_{g}\right|\right)}+\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(c|f(x)|_{H}\right)^{\left(\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)-\varepsilon\right) p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Such an embedding $\Phi$ is analyzed in [NP08, from the proof of [NP08, Theorem 3.3], after sending $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have in the case $\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H) \leq \frac{1}{p}, \alpha_{p}(\Phi) \geq \alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)$; in the case $\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)>\frac{1}{p}$,

$$
\alpha_{p}^{\#}(\Phi) \geq \frac{\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)}{\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)+1-1 / p}
$$

Finally when $p=1$, from $\rho_{\Psi}(t) \leq \rho_{\psi_{H}}(t)$ and the explicit embedding $\Phi: G \rightarrow L_{1}$ given a good embedding $\varphi: H \rightarrow L_{1}$, we deduce that

$$
\alpha_{1}^{\#}(G)=\alpha_{1}^{\#}(H)
$$

## 8 Compression of $\Delta$ with dihedral groups

Throughout this section, $\Delta$ denotes a diagonal product with $\Gamma_{s}=D_{2 l_{s}}$. In Aus11, Section 5], Austin remarked that compression upper bounds from classical Poincaré inequalities and Markov type inequalities can be viewed as related to random walks, and it would be interesting to find examples of finitely generated amenable groups for which obstructions genuinely unrelated to inequalities concerning random walks are needed. Austin conjectured that a group with a sequence of cubes $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}, \ell^{\infty}\right)$ embedded would be a candidate for such type of obstructions. In some sense our construction of diagonal product $\Delta$ with dihedral groups realizes this idea. Because of the presence of $\ell^{\infty}$-cubes of growing sizes in $\Delta$, we apply deep results of Mendel-Naor MN08 to estimate distortion of these finite subsets. The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 8.1. Let $\Delta$ be the diagonal product with $\Gamma_{s}=D_{2 l_{s}}$ and parameters $\left(k_{s}\right)$, set

$$
\theta:=\limsup _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log l_{s}}{\log k_{s}}
$$

Assume that $\left(k_{s}\right)$ satisfies growth assumption 2.11. Then
(i) for $p \in[1,2]$,

$$
\alpha_{p}^{*}(\Delta)=\max \left\{\frac{1}{1+\theta}, \frac{2}{3}\right\}
$$

(ii) for $q \in[2, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\alpha_{q}^{*}(\Delta)=\frac{1}{1+\theta} \quad \text { if } 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{q} \\
\max \left\{\frac{\theta+1-\frac{2}{q}}{\left(2-\frac{1}{q}\right) \theta+1-\frac{2}{q}}, \frac{2}{3}\right\} \leq \alpha_{q}^{*}(\Delta) \leq \frac{2 \theta+1-\frac{2}{q}}{3 \theta+1-\frac{2}{q}} \quad \text { if } \theta>\frac{1}{q}
\end{array}
$$

When $p \in[1,2]$, the upper bound on $\alpha_{p}^{*}(\Delta)$ is a consequence of the Mendel-Naor metric cotype inequality cited in Subsection 5.4 in the lower bound direction we construct an explicit embedding $\Delta \rightarrow \ell^{2}$. The case of $p \in(2, \infty)$ is more involved. The proof is completed in Subsection 8.4

Since $\Delta$ is 3 -step solvable, in particular it is amenable, by [NP11, Theorem 1.6],

$$
\alpha_{p}^{*}(\Delta)=\alpha_{p}^{\#}(\Delta) \text { for all } p \in[1, \infty)
$$

### 8.1 Upper bounds on compression

We first explain why it's necessary to examine the distortion in a block of side length $k_{s}$ in $\Delta_{s}$. For notational convenience, assume that $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$ are multiples of 4. As in Subsection 2.3, consider the subset $\Pi_{s}^{k_{s} / 2}$ of $\Delta$ defined as in (2). Note that $\Pi_{s}^{k_{s} / 2}$ is isomorphic to the direct product of $k_{s} / 2$ copies of $D_{2 l_{s}}^{\prime} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{l_{s} / 2}$, denote by $\vartheta_{s}: \mathbb{Z}_{l_{s} / 2}^{k_{s} / 2} \rightarrow \Pi_{s}^{k_{s} / 2}$ the isomorphism. Write elements of $\Pi_{s}^{k_{s} / 2}$ as vectors $u=\left(u(0), \ldots, u\left(k_{s}-1\right)\right), u(j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{l_{s} / 2}$.

Now consider the induced metric on $\Pi_{s}^{k_{s} / 2}$ of the word metric $d_{\Delta}$ on $\Delta$, then by Lemma 2.16. we have that for $u \in \Pi_{s}^{k_{s} / 2}$,

$$
|u|_{\Delta} \simeq_{72} k_{s} \max _{0 \leq j \leq k_{s} / 2-1}|u(j)|_{\mathbb{Z} / l_{s} \mathbb{Z}}
$$

Therefore the induced metric $|\cdot|_{\Delta}$ on $\Pi_{s}^{k_{s} / 2}$ can be viewed as the $\ell^{\infty}$ metric being dilated by $k_{s}$.

Let $[m]_{\infty}^{k}$ denote the set $\{0,1, \ldots, m\}^{k}$ equipped with the metric induced by $\ell^{\infty}$,

$$
d_{\infty}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\max _{0 \leq j \leq k-1}\left|x_{j}-x_{j}^{\prime}\right|, x=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right)
$$

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a Banach space of nontrivial type and cotype $q$, then by MN08, Theorem 1.12], there exists a constant $c(\mathfrak{X}, q)$ depending only on $\mathfrak{X}$ and $q$ such that the distortion of embedding of $[m]_{\infty}^{k}$ into $\mathfrak{X}$ satisfies

$$
c_{\mathfrak{X}}\left([m]_{\infty}^{k}\right) \geq c(\mathfrak{X}, q)\left(\min \left\{k^{\frac{1}{q}}, m\right\}\right)
$$

We now explain how to apply this distortion lower bound and the Austin lemma to derive an upper bound on $\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}}^{*}(\Delta)$. Define

$$
m_{s}=\left\lfloor\min \left\{k_{s}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \frac{1}{4} l_{s}\right\}\right\rfloor
$$

and consider $\left\{0,1, \ldots, m_{s}\right\}$ as elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{l_{s}}$. The grid $\left[m_{s}\right]_{\infty}^{k_{s}}$ is embedded in the group $\Delta$ via the map $\vartheta_{s}$. Let $\theta:=\lim \sup _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log l_{s}}{\log k_{s}}$, suppose $\theta \in(0, \infty)$. For any $\epsilon>0$, select a subsequence $s_{n}$ such that $l_{s_{n}} \geq C_{\epsilon} k_{s_{n}}^{\theta-\epsilon}$ along this subsequence. To apply Lemma 5.1 using the sequence of finite metric spaces $\left(\left[m_{s_{n}}\right]_{\infty}^{k_{s_{n}}}, d_{\infty}\right)$, we check that
$\bullet \operatorname{diam}_{d_{\infty}}\left(\left[m_{s_{n}}\right]_{\infty}^{k_{s_{n}}}\right)=m_{s_{n}}+1$,

- the word distance on $\Delta$ relates to the metric $d_{\infty}$ on $\left[m_{s_{n}}\right]_{\infty}^{k_{s_{n}}}$ by

$$
d_{\Delta}\left(\vartheta_{s_{n}}(u, o), \vartheta_{s_{n}}\left(u^{\prime}, 0\right)\right) \simeq_{72} k_{s_{n}} d_{\infty}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
k_{s_{n}} \leq C_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{diam}_{d_{\infty}}\left(\left[m_{s_{n}}\right]_{\infty}^{k_{s_{n}}}\right)\right)^{\min \left\{q, \frac{1}{\theta-\epsilon}\right\}}
$$

- the distortion of $\left[m_{s_{n}}\right]_{\infty}^{k_{s_{n}}}$ satisfies

$$
c_{\mathfrak{X}}\left(\left[m_{s_{n}}\right]_{\infty}^{k_{s_{n}}}\right) \geq c(\mathfrak{X}, q) m_{s_{n}} \geq \frac{c(\mathfrak{X}, q)}{2} \operatorname{diam}_{d_{\infty}}\left(\left[m_{s_{n}}\right]_{\infty}^{k_{s_{n}}}\right) .
$$

Then by Lemma 5.1, we have that if $\mathfrak{X}$ is a Banach space of nontrivial type and cotype $q$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}}^{*}(\Delta) \leq 1-\frac{1}{1+\min \left\{q, \frac{1}{\theta}\right\}}=\max \left\{\frac{1}{1+\theta}, \frac{q}{1+q}\right\} . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the $\mathfrak{X}$-distortion of the grid $\left[m_{s_{n}}\right]_{\infty}^{k_{s_{n}}}$ selected is comparable to its $d_{\infty}$-diameter. Unlike the case with $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ taken to be expanders, the size of $m_{s}$ is constrained by $k_{s}^{\frac{1}{q}}$. We will see in Subsection 8.2 that when $q_{\mathfrak{X}}>2$ and $\mathfrak{X}$ is of Markov type $p<2$, this upper bound on $\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}}^{*}(\Delta)$ can be improved. In the rest of this subsection we give a more detailed description of distorted elements and derive an upper bound for the compression functions.

### 8.1.1 A first upper bound using metric cotype

Proposition 8.2. Let $\vartheta_{s}$ and $\Pi_{s}^{k_{s} / 2}$ be introduced as above, suppose $\mathfrak{X}$ is a Banach space of nontrivial type and cotype $q$. Then there exists a constant $C=C(\mathfrak{X}, q)$ such that for any 1-Lipschitz equivariant embedding $\varphi: \Delta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$,

$$
\rho_{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{8} k_{s} \min \left\{l_{s}, k_{s}^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\}\right) \leq C(\mathfrak{X}, q) k_{s} .
$$

This proposition improves on (45) as it applies to functions. Its proof will also be useful to "locate" the obstructions and derive afterwards a better upper bound.

Proof. Take $m \leq l_{s} / 4$ and consider $0, \ldots, m+1$ as elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{l_{s} / 2}$. By MN08, Lemma 6.12], for each $\epsilon>0, \mathbb{Z}_{2 m}^{n}$ equipped with $\ell^{\infty}$ metric embeds with distortion $1+6 \epsilon$ into $[m+1]_{\infty}^{(\lceil 1 / \epsilon\rceil+1) n}$. Take $\epsilon=1$ and fix a 1-Lipschitz embedding

$$
\psi_{s}: \mathbb{Z}_{2 m}^{k_{s} / 2} \rightarrow[m+1]_{\infty}^{k_{s}}
$$

with distortion $c\left(\psi_{s}\right) \leq 8$. Let $\tilde{d}_{\Delta}$ be the induced metric by $d_{\Delta}$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{2 m}^{k_{s} / 2}$

$$
\tilde{d}_{\Delta}(u, v)=d_{\Delta}\left(\vartheta_{s} \circ \psi_{s}(u), \vartheta_{s} \circ \psi_{s}(v)\right)
$$

and $\tilde{\varphi}$ be the induced embedding

$$
\tilde{\varphi}=\varphi \circ \vartheta_{s} \circ \psi_{s}: \mathbb{Z}_{2 m}^{k_{s} / 2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}
$$

Let $U_{s}$ be the uniform measure on $\mathbb{Z}_{2 m}^{k_{s} / 2}$ and $\sigma_{s}$ be the uniform measure on $\{-1,0,1\}^{k_{s} / 2}$. Let $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{k_{s} / 2-1}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{k_{s} / 2}$. Since $\mathfrak{X}$ is a $K$-convex Banach space of cotype $q$, then by the metric cotype inequality in [MN08, Theorem 4.2] (reviewed in subsection5.4),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=0}^{k_{s} / 2-1} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 m}^{k_{s} / 2}}\left\|\tilde{\varphi}(u)-\tilde{\varphi}\left(u+m \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)\right\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^{q} U_{s}(u) \\
& \leq \Omega^{p} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 m}^{k_{s} / 2}} \sum_{\varepsilon \in\{-1,0,1\}^{k_{s} / 2}}\left\|\tilde{\varphi}(u)-\tilde{\varphi}\left(u+\sum_{j=0}^{k_{s} / 2-1} \varepsilon_{j} \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)\right\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^{q} \sigma(\varepsilon) U_{s}(u), \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\Omega=5 \max \left\{C(\mathfrak{X}, q) m,\left(\frac{k_{s}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\} .
$$

and $C(\mathfrak{X}, q)$ is a constant that only depends on the cotype constant and $K_{q}$-convexity constant of $\mathfrak{X}$.

Since $\varphi$ is 1-Lipschitz, by Lemma 2.16 we have

$$
\left\|\tilde{\varphi}(u, 0)-\tilde{\varphi}\left(u+\sum_{j=0}^{k_{s} / 2-1} \varepsilon_{j} \mathbf{e}_{j}, 0\right)\right\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \leq \tilde{d}_{\Delta}\left(u+\sum_{i=0}^{k_{s} / 2-1} \varepsilon_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}, u\right) \leq 72 k_{s} .
$$

Plug in (46),

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{k_{s} / 2-1} \sum_{u \in(\mathbb{Z} / 2 m \mathbb{Z})^{k_{s} / 2}}\left\|\tilde{\varphi}(u)-\tilde{\varphi}\left(u+m \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)\right\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^{q} U_{s}(u) \leq\left(5 \max \left\{C(\mathfrak{X}) m, k_{s}^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\}\right)^{q}\left(72 k_{s}\right)^{q} .
$$

It follows there exists $u \in(\mathbb{Z} / 2 m \mathbb{Z})^{k_{s} / 2}$ and $j_{0} \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{s} / 2-1\right\}$ such that

$$
\left\|\tilde{\varphi}(u)-\tilde{\varphi}\left(u+m \mathbf{e}_{j_{0}}\right)\right\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \leq 360 \max \left\{C(\mathfrak{X}) m k_{s}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}, k_{s}\right\} .
$$

To obtain the upper bound on $\rho_{\varphi}$, choose $m=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{4} \min \left\{l_{s}, k_{s}^{\frac{1}{\varphi}}\right\}\right\rfloor$. By Lemma 2.16,

$$
\tilde{d}_{\Delta}\left(u, u+m \mathbf{e}_{j_{0}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} m k_{s}
$$

it follows that

$$
\rho_{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{2} k_{s} m\right) \leq 360 C(\mathfrak{X}) k_{s} .
$$

Remark 8.3. Since $L_{1}$ has trivial type, embeddings $\varphi: \Delta \hookrightarrow L_{1}$ is not covered by the lemma. However it is true that there exists constant $C>0$ such that for $\varphi: \Delta \rightarrow L_{1}$ a 1-Lipschitz embedding, then

$$
\rho_{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{C} k_{s} \min \left\{l_{s}, k_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}\right) \leq C k_{s}
$$

To see this, as pointed out in MN08, Remark 7.5], since $L_{1}$ equipped with the metric $\sqrt{\|x-y\|_{1}}$ is isomorphic to a subset of Hilbert space, MN08, Theorem 4.2] applied to Hilbert space gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^{k_{s} / 2-1} \sum_{u \in u \in(\mathbb{Z} / 2 m \mathbb{Z})^{k_{s} / 2}}\left\|\tilde{\varphi}(u)-\tilde{\varphi}\left(u+m \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)\right\|_{L_{1}} U_{s}(u) \\
\leq & C^{2} \max \left\{m^{2}, k_{s}\right\} \sum_{u \in u \in(\mathbb{Z} / 2 m \mathbb{Z})^{k_{s} / 2}} \sum_{\varepsilon \in\{-1,0,1\}^{k_{s}}}\left\|\tilde{\varphi}(u)-\tilde{\varphi}\left(u+\sum_{j=0}^{k_{s}-1} \varepsilon_{j} \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)\right\|_{L_{1}} \sigma(\varepsilon) U_{s}(u),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the stated bound.

### 8.2 A more refined upper bound when $\mathfrak{X}$ has cotype $>2$

In this section we develop an improvement of the compression upper bound in Proposition 8.2. The idea is that when $q_{\mathfrak{X}}>2$, we can further apply the Markov type method to find obstruction in lamplighter graphs with elements in blocks of side length $k_{s}$ considered as lamp configurations. The argument is similar to the one for $H \backslash \mathbb{Z}$ in Subsection 7.1.

Let $\varphi: \Delta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be an equivariant 1-Lipschitz embedding of the group $\Delta$ into $\mathfrak{X}$, assume that $\mathfrak{X}$ is a Banach space of cotype $q$ and nontrivial type. From the proof of Proposition 8.2, we have that there exists an element $h_{0}^{s}=\vartheta_{s}\left(\frac{l_{s}}{2} \mathbf{e}_{j_{0}}, 0\right)$ satisfying $\left|h_{0}^{s}\right|_{\Delta} \geq \frac{1}{4} k_{s} l_{s}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi\left(h_{0}^{s}\right)-\varphi\left(e_{\Delta}\right)\right\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \leq C(\mathfrak{X}, q) \max \left\{l_{s} k_{s}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}, k_{s}\right\} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The element $h_{0}^{s}$ is in the zero section of $\Delta_{s}$ and it is supported at site $j_{0}$ in the interval $\left[0, k_{s}-1\right)$. Let $h_{j}^{s}$ denote the translation of $h_{0}^{s}$ to the block $\left[j k_{s},(j+1) k_{s}\right)$,

$$
h_{j}^{s}(x)=h_{0}^{s}\left(x-j k_{s}\right) .
$$

Consider the following subset (not a subgroup) in $\Delta_{s}$

$$
L_{m}^{s}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc} 
& f_{s} \upharpoonright_{\left[j k_{s},(j+1) k_{s}\right)} \in\left\{\mathbf{0}, h_{j}^{s} \upharpoonright_{\left[j k_{s},(j+1) k_{s}\right)}\right\}, 0 \leq j \leq m-1 \\
& \operatorname{supp} f_{s} \subseteq\left[0, m k_{s}\right) \\
z \in\left\{0, k_{s}, \ldots,(m-1) k_{s}\right\}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Again $L_{m}^{s}$ can be naturally embedded in $\Delta$, we identify it with its embedded image and consider $L_{m}^{s}$ as a subset of $\Delta$. The subset $L_{m}^{s}$ has the structure of a lamplighter graph over a segment, the lamp configuration is divided into blocks of side length $k_{s}$, in each block it's either identically zero or coincide with $h_{j}^{s}$. As explained in Subsection 7.1, we can apply the Markov type method to derive a lower bound for distortion of $L_{m}^{s}$.

Proposition 8.4. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a Banach space of cotype $q$ and Markov type $p$ such that $2<$ $q<\infty, p>1$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for any 1-Lipschitz equivariant embedding $\varphi: \Delta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$,

- if $l_{s} \leq k_{s}^{\frac{1}{q}}$,

$$
\rho_{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{4} k_{s} l_{s}\right) \leq C(\mathfrak{X}, q) k_{s}
$$

- if $l_{s}>k_{s}^{\frac{1}{4}}$,

$$
\rho_{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{2 C} k_{s} l_{s}\left(l_{s} k_{s}^{-\frac{1}{q}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right) \leq C\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} M_{p}(\mathfrak{X}) C(\mathfrak{X}, q) k_{s}\left(l_{s} k_{s}^{-\frac{1}{q}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \log ^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(l_{s} k_{s}^{-\frac{1}{q}}\right) .
$$

Proof. The case where $l_{s} \leq k_{s}^{\frac{1}{c}}$ is covered by Proposition 8.2]
In the case where $l_{s}>k_{s}^{\frac{1}{4}}$, we apply the Markov type method. Let $L_{m}^{s}$ be defined as above, there is a natural bijection $\sigma_{m}^{s}$ between the lamplighter graph $\mathcal{L}_{m}$ over the segment $\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ and $L_{m}^{s}$, explicitly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{m}^{s}: \mathcal{L}_{m} \rightarrow L_{m}^{s}, \\
& \sigma_{m}^{s}(u, x)=\left(f^{u}, m x\right) \text { where } f^{u} \upharpoonright_{\left[j k_{s},(j+1) k_{s}\right)}=\left(h_{j}^{s}\right)^{u(j)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathfrak{p}_{m}$ be the lamplighter kernel on $\mathcal{L}_{m}$ defined in Appendix C. Under the bijection $\sigma_{m}^{s}$, let $K_{m}^{s}=\mathfrak{p}_{m} \circ\left(\sigma_{m}^{s}\right)^{-1}$ be the corresponding Markov kernel on $L_{m}^{s}$. Now we run the Markov chain with transition kernel $K_{m}^{s}$ up to time $t=m \log m$. Lemma 5.5 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\varphi}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_{\pi} d_{\Delta}\left(Z_{t}, Z_{0}\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \leq\left(2 M_{p}^{p}(\mathfrak{X}) t \operatorname{diam}_{\Delta}\left(L_{m}^{s}\right)^{p} \frac{\mathbf{E}_{\pi} d_{\mathfrak{X}}\left(\varphi\left(Z_{1}\right), f\left(Z_{0}\right)\right)^{p}}{\mathbf{E}_{\pi} d_{\Delta}\left(Z_{t}, Z_{0}\right)^{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi$ is the stationary distribution of $K_{m}^{s}$ and $Z_{t}$ is a stationary Markov chain on $L_{m}^{s}$ with transition kernel $K_{m}^{s}$.

Now we estimate the quantities that appear in the inequality. Let $d_{\Delta}$ be the metric on $\mathcal{L}_{m}$ induced by word metric on $\Delta$,

$$
d_{\Delta}(u, v)=d_{\Delta}\left(\sigma_{m}^{s}(u), \sigma_{m}^{s}(v)\right),
$$

then direct inspection shows that there exists an absolute constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{C} d_{\mathbf{w}}(u, v) \leq d_{\Delta}(u, v) \leq C d_{\mathbf{w}}(u, v), \mathbf{w}=\left(k_{s}, k_{s} l_{s}\right)
$$

It follows that $\operatorname{diam}_{\Delta}\left(L_{m}^{s}\right) \leq 2 C\left(k_{s}+k_{s} l_{s}\right) m$. By Lemma C.3 we have that for $t=$ $m \log m$,

$$
\mathbf{E}_{\pi_{n}}\left[d_{\Delta}\left(Z_{t}, Z_{0}\right)^{p}\right] \geq\left(c\left(k_{s}+k_{s} l_{s}\right) m\right)^{p} .
$$

For the other term, using Lemma C.2 and (47) when $l_{s}^{q}>k_{s}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}_{\pi}\left[d_{\mathfrak{X}}\left(\varphi\left(Z_{1}\right), \Psi\left(Z_{0}\right)\right)^{p}\right] & =\sum_{u, v \in X_{n}} d_{\mathfrak{X}}(\varphi(u), \varphi(v))^{p} K_{n}(u, v) \pi_{n}(v) \\
& \leq 3^{p}\left[C k_{s}^{p} m^{p-1}+\left(C(\mathfrak{X}) l_{s} k_{s}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\right)^{p}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

With the choice

$$
m=\left\lceil\left(l_{s} k_{s}^{-\frac{1}{q}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right\rceil
$$

(48) implies

$$
\rho_{\Psi}\left(\frac{1}{2 C} k_{s} l_{s} m\right) \leq C\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} M_{p}(\mathfrak{X}) C(\mathfrak{X}, q) k_{s}\left(l_{s} k_{s}^{-\frac{1}{q}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \log ^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(l_{s} k_{s}^{-\frac{1}{q}}\right) .
$$

The upper bound on compression function immediately yields the following upper bound on compression exponent.
Corollary 8.5. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a Banach space of cotype $q$ and Markov type $p$ with $2<q<\infty$ and $p>1$. Let $\Delta$ be the diagonal product with $\Gamma_{s}=D_{2 l_{s}}$,

$$
\theta:=\limsup _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log l_{s}}{\log k_{s}}
$$

Then

$$
\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\#}(\Delta) \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{1+\theta} & \text { if } \theta \leq \frac{1}{q} \\ \frac{p \theta+p-1-\frac{p}{q}}{(2 p-1) \theta+p-1-\frac{p}{q}} & \text { if } \theta>\frac{1}{q}\end{cases}
$$

### 8.3 Explicit construction of embedding into $L_{q}, q \geq 2$

We construct an embedding of $\Delta$ into $L_{q}$ in two parts, similar to the map in Subsection 7.2
Recall the 1-cocycle constructed in Subsection 6.2.3. Fix a choice of increasing function $\gamma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $\gamma(1)=1, C(\gamma)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma(n)^{-2}<\infty$. Let $b_{\gamma}: \Delta \hookrightarrow L_{2}$ be the 1-cocycle defined by (34) with $p=2$ using the basic test functions.

A standard embedding of finite dihedral groups into Euclidean space is the following. The (unlabelled) Cayley graph of $D_{2 l}$ is the same as a cycle of length $2 l$. One can simply embed it as vertices of a regular $2 l$-gon in the plane. For each element $\gamma \in D_{2 l}$, fix a word of minimal length in $a$ and $b$ such that the word represents $\gamma$ and starts with letter $a$. Let $k(\gamma)$ be the length of such a chosen word, $k_{a}(\gamma)\left(k_{b}(\gamma)\right.$ resp.) be the number of occurrence of $a$ ( $b$ resp.) in this word. Take $\theta_{l}: D_{2 l} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ as

$$
\theta_{l}(\gamma)=\frac{1}{2 \sin (\pi / 2 l)}\left(\cos \left(\frac{\pi k(\gamma)}{l}\right), \sin \left(\frac{\pi k(\gamma)}{l}\right)\right)
$$

It is clear that $\theta_{l}$ is 1 -Lipschitz and equivariant. We also consider maps to vertices of $l$-gons. Let $\theta_{l}^{(a)}: D_{2 l} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be the map given by

$$
\theta_{l}^{(a)}(\gamma)=\frac{1}{2 \sin (\pi / l)}\left(\cos \left(\frac{2 \pi k_{a}(\gamma)}{l}\right), \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi k_{a}(\gamma)}{l}\right)\right) .
$$

The map $\theta_{l}^{(b)}: D_{2 l} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is defined in the same way with $k_{a}(\gamma)$ replaced by $k_{b}(\gamma)$. Since $\left|k_{a}(\gamma)-k_{b}(\gamma)\right| \leq 1$ for any element $\gamma \in D_{2 l}$, by definition of $\theta_{l}^{(a)}, \theta_{l}^{(b)}$ we have

$$
\left\|\theta_{l}^{(a)}(\gamma)-\theta_{l}^{(b)}(\gamma)\right\|_{2} \leq 1
$$

Recall the classical fact that $\ell^{2}$ embeds isometrically in $L_{q}$ for all $q \geq 1$, see AK06, Proposition 6.4.2]. To construct embeddings to $L_{q}$, for each $q>2$ fix an isometric embedding $i_{q}: \ell^{2} \rightarrow L_{q}$, and set $b_{\gamma, q}=i_{q} \circ b_{\gamma}$, similarly, $\theta_{l, q}^{(a)}=i_{q} \circ \theta_{l}^{(a)}, \theta_{l, q}^{(b)}=i_{q} \circ \theta_{l}^{(b)}$.

Direct inspection of $\theta_{l}^{(a)}, \theta_{l}^{(b)}$ shows the following.
Fact 8.6. For all $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in D_{2 l_{s}}$,

$$
\left\|\theta_{l_{s}, 2}^{(a)}\left(\gamma \gamma^{\prime}\right)-\theta_{l_{s}, 2}^{(a)}(\gamma)\right\|_{2}=\left\|\theta_{l_{s}, 2}^{(a)}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\theta_{l_{s}, 2}^{(a)}\left(e_{D_{2 l_{s}}}\right)\right\|_{2} .
$$

The same equality holds with a replaced by $b$.

Now we introduce a weight function. Let $w_{s}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be the function defined as

$$
w_{s}(y)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} & \text { for } y \leq-\frac{1}{2} k_{s} \text { or } y \geq \frac{3}{2} k_{s} \\ \frac{|y|}{k_{s}} & \text { for }-\frac{1}{2} k_{s}<y<k_{s} \\ 1-\frac{y-k_{s}}{k_{s}} & \text { for } k_{s} \leq y<\frac{3}{2} k_{s}\end{cases}
$$

It is the simplest piecewise linear function taking value $1 / 2$ outside $\left[-k_{s} / 2,3 k_{s} / 2\right], 0$ in 0 and 1 in $k_{s}$. For $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, write $\tau_{x} w$ for the translation of $w_{s}$ by $x$,

$$
\tau_{x} w_{s}(y)=w_{s}(y-x)
$$

Define the $\operatorname{map} \Phi_{s, q}: \Delta_{s} \rightarrow L_{q}=\bigoplus_{y \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(L_{q}\right)_{y}$ by setting for each $y \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\Phi_{s, q}\left(f_{s}, z\right)\right](y)=k_{s}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\left(\tau_{z} w_{s}\right)(y) \theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(a)}\left(f_{s}(y)\right)+\left(1-\left(\tau_{z} w_{s}\right)(y)\right) \theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(b)}\left(f_{s}(y)\right)\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

In words, at each site $y$, the image of $f_{s}(y)$ is a linear combination of $\theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(a)}$ and $\theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(b)}$ with the weights depending on the relative position between $y$ and the cursor.

Finally define an embedding $\Phi_{\gamma, q}: \Delta \rightarrow L_{q}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\gamma, q}\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right)=\left(\bigoplus_{s=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma(s)} \Phi_{s, q}\left(f_{s}, z\right)\right)\right) \bigoplus b_{\gamma, q}\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bigoplus$ is direct sum in $L_{q}$.
We now check some basic properties of the map $\Phi_{\gamma, q}$.
Lemma 8.7. Let $\gamma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a function such that $\gamma(1)=1, C(\gamma)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma(n)^{-2}<\infty$. The map $\Phi_{\gamma, q}: \Delta \rightarrow L^{q}, q \geq 2$ defined in (50) C-Lipschitz with $C$ only depending on $C(\gamma)$.

Proof. It suffices to check that for any $u=\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right) \in \Delta$ and $s \in\{\tau, \alpha, \beta\}$ a generator, the increment $\left\|\Phi_{\gamma, p}(u s)-\Phi_{\gamma, p}(u)\right\|_{q}$ is bounded by $C$.

For the generator $\alpha,\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right) \alpha=\left(\left(f_{s}^{\prime}\right), z\right)$ where $f_{s}^{\prime}(y)=f_{s}^{\prime}(y)$ for all $y \neq z$ and $f_{s}^{\prime}(z)=f_{s}(z) a(s)$. Recall that by definitions, the weight function $w_{s}$ satisfies $\tau_{z} w_{s}(z)=0$, and the map $\theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(b)}$ satisfies $\theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(b)}(\gamma a(s))=\theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(b)}(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in D_{2 l_{s}}$. Then by (49),

$$
\Phi_{s, q}\left(\left(f_{s}, z\right) \alpha\right)=\Phi_{s, q}\left(\left(f_{s}, z\right)\right)
$$

Therefore in the embedding (50),

$$
\left\|\Phi_{\gamma, q}(u \alpha)-\Phi_{\gamma, q}(u)\right\|_{q}=\left\|b_{\gamma}(u \alpha)-b_{\gamma}(u)\right\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{2 C(\gamma)}
$$

the last inequality uses the fact that the 1 -cocycle $b_{\gamma}$ is Lipschitz, see subsection 6.2.3. Similarly, since $\tau_{z} w_{s}\left(z+k_{s}\right)=1$ and $\theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(a)}(\gamma b(s))=\theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(a)}(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in D_{2 l_{s}}$, we have $\left\|\Phi_{\gamma, q}(u \beta)-\Phi_{\gamma, q}(u)\right\|_{q}=\left\|b_{\gamma}(u \beta)-b_{\gamma}(u)\right\|_{2}^{s, q}$ as well. For the generator $\tau$,

$$
\Phi_{s, q}(u \tau)=\left(k_{s}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(w_{s}(y-z-1) \theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(a)}\left(f_{s}(y)\right)+\left(1-w_{s}(y-z-1)\right) \theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(b)}\left(f_{s}(y)\right)\right)\right)_{y \in \mathbb{Z}}
$$

Then

$$
\left\|\Phi_{s, q}(u \tau)-\Phi_{s, q}(u)\right\|_{q}^{q}=\sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} k_{s}^{q-1}\left|w_{s}(y-z-1)-w_{s}(y-z)\right|^{q}\left\|\theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(a)}\left(f_{s}(y)\right)-\theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(b)}\left(f_{s}(y)\right)\right\|_{q}^{q}
$$

Recall that $\left\|\theta_{l_{s}}^{(a)}(\gamma)-\theta_{l_{s}}^{(b)}(\gamma)\right\|_{2} \leq 1$ for all $\gamma \in D_{2 l_{s}}, w_{s}(y-z) \neq w_{s}(y-z-1)$ only if $y-z \in\left[-\frac{k_{s}}{2}, \frac{3 k_{s}}{2}\right]$, and in this interval $\left|w_{s}(y-z)-w_{s}(y-z-1)\right|=\frac{1}{k_{s}}$. Therefore

$$
\left\|\Phi_{s, q}(u \tau)-\Phi_{s, q}(u)\right\|_{q}^{q} \leq 2 k_{s} k_{s}^{q-1}\left(\frac{1}{k_{s}}\right)^{q}=2
$$

Summing up in the embedding (50),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Phi_{\gamma, q}(u \tau)-\Phi_{\gamma, q}(u)\right\|_{q}^{q} & =\sum_{s} \frac{1}{\gamma(s)^{q}}\left\|\Phi_{s}(u \tau)-\Phi_{s}(u)\right\|_{q}^{q}+\left\|b_{\gamma}(u \tau)-b_{\gamma}(u)\right\|_{2}^{q} \\
& \leq 2 C(\gamma)+(2 C(\gamma))^{\frac{q}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of the presence of the weight function $w_{s}$, the embedding $\Phi_{\gamma, q}: \Delta \rightarrow L_{q}$ fails to be equivariant. But the increment $\left\|\Phi_{\gamma, q}(u v)-\Phi_{\gamma, q}(u)\right\|_{q}$ is actually comparable to $\left\|\Phi_{\gamma, q}(v)\right\|_{q}$.
Lemma 8.8. There exists a constant $c>0$ depending only on $C(\gamma)$ such that for $q \geq 2$,

$$
\left\|\Phi_{\gamma}(u v)-\Phi_{\gamma}(u)\right\|_{q} \geq c\left\|\Phi_{\gamma}(v)\right\|_{q}
$$

Proof. By the formula (49) that defines $\Phi_{s, q}, \Phi_{s, q}(u v)$ is
$\left(k_{s}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(w_{s}\left(y-z-z^{\prime}\right) \theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(a)}\left(f_{s}(y) f_{s}^{\prime}(y-z)\right)+\left(1-w_{s}\left(y-z-z^{\prime}\right)\right) \theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(b)}\left(f_{s}(y) f_{s}^{\prime}(y-z)\right)\right)\right)_{y \in \mathbb{Z}}$.
Then by the triangle inequality and Fact 8.6,

$$
\left\|\Phi_{s, q}(u v)-\Phi_{s, q}(u)\right\|_{q} \geq\left\|\Phi_{s, q}(v)\right\|_{q}-\left(k_{s}^{q-1} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|w_{s}(y-z)-w_{s}\left(y-z-z^{\prime}\right)\right|^{q}\left\|\theta_{l_{s}}^{(a)}\left(f_{s}(y)\right)-\theta_{l_{s}}^{(b)}\left(f_{s}(y)\right)\right\|_{2}^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

Since $\left\|\theta_{l_{s}}^{(a)}(\gamma)-\theta_{l_{s}}^{(b)}(\gamma)\right\|_{2} \leq 1$ for all $\gamma$, and

$$
\sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|w_{s}(y-z)-w_{s}\left(y-z-z^{\prime}\right)\right|^{q} \leq\left(\frac{1}{k_{s}}\right)^{q} \min \left\{\left|z^{\prime}\right|, 2 k_{s}\right\}
$$

we have $\left\|\Phi_{s, q}(u v)-\Phi_{s, q}(u)\right\|_{2} \geq\left\|\Phi_{s, q}(v)\right\|_{2}-2^{\frac{1}{q}}$. Using $(a-b)_{+}^{q} \geq(a / 2)^{q}-b^{q}$ for $a, b \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Phi_{\gamma, q}(u v)-\Phi_{\gamma, q}(u)\right\|_{q}^{q} & \geq \sum_{s} \frac{1}{\gamma(s)^{q}}\left(\left\|\Phi_{s, q}(v)\right\|_{q}-2^{\frac{1}{q}}\right)_{+}^{q}+\left\|b_{\gamma, q}(v)\right\|_{q}^{q} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4 C(\gamma)}\left(\sum_{s} \frac{1}{\gamma(s)^{q}}\left(\frac{1}{2^{q}}\left\|\Phi_{s, q}(v)\right\|_{q}^{q}-2\right)\right)+\left\|b_{\gamma, q}(v)\right\|_{q}^{q} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2^{2+q} C(\gamma)} \sum_{s} \frac{1}{\gamma(s)^{q}}\left\|\Phi_{s, q}(v)\right\|_{q}^{q}+\left\|b_{\gamma, q}(v)\right\|_{q}^{q}-\frac{1}{2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2^{2+q} C(\gamma)}\left\|\Phi_{\gamma, q}(v)\right\|_{q}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 8.4 Compression exponent $\alpha_{p}^{*}(\Delta)$

In this subsection we estimate the $L_{p}$-compression exponent of $\Delta$. Recall that for $p \in[1,2]$, $L_{p}$ has Markov type $p$ and cotype 2; and for $p \in(2, \infty), L_{p}$ has Markov type 2 and cotype $p$, see [NPSS06] and references therein.

## Proof of Theorem 8.1. upper bound in (i)

For the upper bound on $\alpha_{p}^{*}(\Delta), p \in[1,2]$, when $\theta=0$ the bound is trivially true. Assume now $\theta \in(0, \infty)$, for any $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, take a subsequence $\left\{s_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$
\frac{\log l_{s_{i}}}{\log k_{s_{i}}}>\theta-\varepsilon
$$

Since the cotype of $L_{p}$ is 2 for $p \in[1,2]$, along this subsequence of $\left(k_{s_{i}}, l_{s_{i}}\right)$ apply Proposition 8.2 and the Remark after it for $L_{1}$, we obtain the upper bound after sending $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The argument also extends to $\theta=\infty$.
upper bound in (ii)
The upper bound on $\alpha_{q}^{*}(\Delta)=\alpha_{q}^{\#}(\Delta)$ is covered by Corollary 8.5,
lower bound in (ii)
In the case $\theta \leq \frac{1}{q}, q \geq 2$, simply take the 1-cocyle $b_{\gamma}: \Delta \rightarrow \ell^{2}$ defined in (34) using the basic test functions (32), with $\gamma(n)=n^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$. Then Lemma 6.9 implies that the compression exponent of $\Delta$ satisfies

$$
\alpha_{q}^{*}(\Delta) \geq \alpha_{2}^{*}(\Delta) \geq \liminf _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log k_{s}}{\log \left(k_{s} l_{s}\right)} \geq \frac{1}{1+\theta}
$$

Now we focus on the case $\theta>\frac{1}{q}$. Consider the explicit embedding $\Phi_{\gamma, q}: \Delta \rightarrow L_{q}$ defined in (50) with $\gamma$ taken to be $\gamma(n)=(1+n)^{-\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}$. By Lemma 8.7, $\Phi_{\gamma, q}$ is Lipschitz. By Lemma 8.8. there exists a constant $c=c(C(\gamma))$ such that for any $u, v \in \Delta$,

$$
\left\|\Phi_{\gamma, q}(u v)-\Phi_{\gamma, q}(u)\right\|_{q} \geq c\left\|\Phi_{\gamma, q}(v)\right\|_{q}
$$

Let $v=\left(\left(f_{s}\right), z\right)$ be an element of $\Delta$. At each site $y$, from definition of $\theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(a)}, \theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(b)}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(\tau_{z} w_{s}\right)(y) \theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(a)}\left(f_{s}(y)\right)+\left(1-\left(\tau_{z} w_{s}\right)(y)\right) \theta_{l_{s}, q}^{(b)}\left(f_{s}(y)\right)\right\|_{q} & \geq \frac{1}{\sin \left(\pi / l_{s}\right)} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2 l_{s}}\left(\left|f_{s}(y)\right|_{D_{2 l_{s}}}-1\right)_{+}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\pi}\left(\left|f_{s}(y)\right|_{D_{2 l_{s}}}-1\right)_{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the explicit formula (49) that defines $\Phi_{s, q}$,

$$
\left\|\Phi_{s, q}\left(f_{s}, z\right)\right\|_{q}^{q} \geq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{1}{\pi} k_{s}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \max _{y \in I_{j}^{s}}\left(\left|f_{s}(y)\right|_{D_{2 l_{s}}}-1\right)_{+}\right)^{q}
$$

In what follows we write $R=|\operatorname{Range}(v)|$, and $\tilde{f}_{s}(y)=\left(\left|f_{s}(y)\right|_{D_{2 l_{s}}}-1\right)_{+}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Phi_{\gamma, q}(v)\right\|_{q}^{q} & \geq \sum_{s \leq s_{0}(v)} \frac{1}{\gamma(s)^{q}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{1}{\pi} k_{s}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \max _{y \in I_{j}^{s}} \tilde{f}_{s}(y)\right)^{q}+\left\|b_{\gamma}(v)\right\|_{2}^{q} \\
& \geq \sum_{s \leq s_{0}(v)} \frac{1}{\gamma(s)^{q}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{1}{\pi} k_{s}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \max _{y \in I_{j}^{s}} \tilde{f}_{s}(y)\right)^{q}+\left(\frac{c R}{\gamma \circ \log _{2}(R)}\right)^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last step used Lemma 6.9 and the fact that since $k_{s+1} \geq 2 k_{s}$ for all $s, s_{0}(v) \leq \log _{2} R$. Note that in the factor $\Delta_{s}$, the number of intervals $I_{j}^{s}$ with $\max _{x \in I_{j}^{s}} \tilde{f}_{s}(y) \neq 0$ is bounded from above by $2 R / k_{s}$. Therefore by Hölder inequality,

$$
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \max _{y \in I_{j}^{s}} \tilde{f}_{s}(y)^{q} \geq\left(\frac{2 R}{k_{s}}\right)^{1-q}\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \max _{y \in I_{j}^{s}} \tilde{f}_{s}(y)\right)^{q}=2 R k_{s}^{-1} \ell(s)^{q}, \quad \text { where } \ell(s)=\frac{k_{s} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \max _{y \in I_{j}^{s}} \tilde{f}_{s}(y)}{2 R} .
$$

Consider the following three cases.

- if $0 \leq \ell(s) \leq R^{\frac{1}{q}}$, then

$$
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{1}{2} k_{s}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \max _{y \in I_{j}^{s}} \tilde{f}_{s}(y)\right)^{q}+(c R)^{q} \geq(c R)^{q} \geq(c / 3)^{q}(R+2 R \ell(s))^{\frac{q^{2}}{q+1}}
$$

- if $R^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \ell(s) \leq R^{1-\frac{1}{q}} k_{s}^{\frac{2}{q}-1}$, then it's necessary that $R \leq k_{s_{z}}$. From the metric description in Subsection 2.2.3, $R \leq k_{s}$ implies $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \max _{y \in I_{j}^{s}} \tilde{f}_{s}(y) \leq 1$. Then in this case

$$
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{1}{2} k_{s}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \max _{y \in I_{j}^{s}} \tilde{f}_{s}(y)\right)^{q}+(c R)^{q} \geq(c R)^{q} \geq(c / 3)^{q}(R+2 R \ell(s))^{q}
$$

- if $\ell(s)>R^{1-\frac{1}{q}} k_{s}^{\frac{2}{q}-1}$, from the second item we only need to consider $R>k_{s}$. Recall that $\ell(s) \leq l_{s} \leq C_{\varepsilon} k_{s}^{\theta+\varepsilon}$. It follows that $R \leq\left(C_{\varepsilon} k_{s}^{\theta+\varepsilon+1-\frac{2}{q}}\right)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}$. Then in this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{1}{2} k_{s}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \max _{y \in I_{j}^{s}} \tilde{f}_{s}(y)\right)^{q}+(c R)^{q} & \geq \frac{1}{2^{q-1}} k_{s}^{q-1} R k_{s}^{-1} \ell(s)^{q} \geq c_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(R \ell(s))^{q \gamma(\varepsilon)} \\
\text { where } \gamma(\varepsilon) & =\frac{\theta+\varepsilon+1-\frac{2}{q}}{\left(2-\frac{1}{q}\right)(\theta+\varepsilon)+1-\frac{2}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last inequality, we used $\ell(s)>R^{1-\frac{1}{q}} k_{s}^{\frac{2}{q}-1}$ and $R \leq\left(C_{\varepsilon} k_{s}^{\theta+\varepsilon+1-\frac{2}{q}}\right)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}$.
Note that since $\theta>\frac{1}{q}$, when $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small, $\gamma(\varepsilon)<\frac{q}{q+1}$, thus the worst case is represented by the third item, we have

$$
\left\|\Phi_{\gamma, q}(v)\right\|_{q}^{q} \geq \sum_{s \leq s_{0}(v)} \frac{c_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{\gamma(s)^{q}}(R+R \ell(s))^{q \gamma(\varepsilon)}
$$

Recall that by the metric estimate Proposition 2.14,

$$
|v|_{\Delta} \leq 500\left(R+\sum_{s \leq s_{0}(v)} k_{s} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \max _{y \in I_{j}^{s}} \tilde{f}_{s}(y)\right)=500\left(R+\sum_{s \leq s_{0}(v)} 2 R \ell(s)\right)
$$

and $s_{0}(v) \leq \log _{2} R$ by Assumption 2.11. Combine with Lemma 8.8, we have for any $u \in \Delta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Phi_{\gamma, q}(u)-\Phi_{\gamma, q}(u v)\right\|_{2} & \geq \frac{c^{\prime}}{\log _{2}^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}(R)} \max _{s \leq s_{0}(v)}(R+R \ell(s))^{\gamma(\varepsilon)} \\
& \geq \frac{c^{\prime}}{\log _{2}^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}(R)}\left(\frac{|v|_{\Delta}}{1000 \log _{2}(R)}\right)^{\gamma(\varepsilon)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c^{\prime}>0$ is a constant depending on $\theta$ and $\epsilon$. Sending $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we conclude that when $\theta \in\left(\frac{1}{q}, \infty\right]$,

$$
\alpha_{q}^{*}(\Delta) \geq \frac{\theta+1-\frac{2}{q}}{\left(2-\frac{1}{q}\right) \theta+1-\frac{2}{q}}
$$

Note that the formula is simplified when $q=2$, namely $\alpha_{2}^{*}(\Delta) \geq \frac{2}{3}$. Combine with the fact that $\alpha_{q}^{*}(\Delta) \geq \alpha_{2}^{*}(\Delta)$ we obtain the statement.
lower bound in (i)
Since $\ell^{2}$ embeds isometrically in all $L_{p}, p \geq 1$, it follows that

$$
\alpha_{p}^{*}(\Delta) \geq \alpha_{2}^{*}(\Delta) \geq \max \left\{\frac{1}{1+\theta}, \frac{2}{3}\right\}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 8.1

Example 8.9. [Proof of Theorem 1.4
Consider the construction of $\Delta$ with $\Gamma_{s}=D_{2 l_{s}}$, the parameters $\left\{k_{s}\right\},\left\{l_{s}\right\}$ are chosen to be $k_{s}=2^{\beta s}, l_{s}=2^{\iota s}$ with $\beta>1, \iota \geq 0$. Then $\theta=\iota / \beta$.

For $p \in[1,2]$, Theorem 8.1 implies that

$$
\alpha_{p}^{*}(\Delta)=\max \left\{\frac{1}{1+\theta}, \frac{2}{3}\right\}
$$

which can take any value in $\left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right]$.
For $q>2, \theta>\frac{1}{q}$ the upper and lower bound in Theorem 8.1 don't match up. But in some region of parameters we can still compare it to Hilbert compression exponent. For $\theta \in\left(\frac{1}{q}, 1\right)$, we have

$$
\alpha_{q}^{*}(\Delta) \geq \frac{\theta+1-\frac{2}{q}}{\left(2-\frac{1}{q}\right) \theta+1-\frac{2}{q}}>\alpha_{2}^{*}(\Delta)=\max \left\{\frac{1}{1+\theta}, \frac{2}{3}\right\}
$$

In particular, we can take $\theta=\frac{1}{2}$, then the corresponding diagonal product $\Delta_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\alpha_{q}^{*}\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \geq \frac{3 q-4}{4 q-5}>\alpha_{2}^{*}\left(\Delta_{1}\right)=\frac{2}{3}
$$

## 9 Discussion and some open problems

The groups of Theorem 1.1 are diagonal products of lamplighter groups. In particular they contain many torsion elements and admit many quotients.

Problem 9.1. Find solutions to the inverse problems for speed, entropy, return probability, isoperimetric profile or compression in the class of torsion-free groups or in the class of simple groups.

In Theorem 3.8, we imposed the regularity assumption on $\varrho$ that $\varrho(n) / \sqrt{n}$ is nondecreasing. This is not always satisfied, it is possible to construct examples of groups where the speed function is roughly constant over certain long time intervals. The following question asks if this regularity assumption can be dropped.

Problem 9.2. Let $\varrho:[1, \infty) \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ be a non-decreasing subadditive function satisfying $\varrho(x) \geq \sqrt{x}$ for all $x$. Is there a group $G$ and a symmetric probability measure $\mu$ of finite support on $G$ such that

$$
L_{\mu}(n) \simeq \varrho(n) ?
$$

Proposition 3.17 only partially answers the question what joint behavior of speed and entropy can occur. Further, the question of possible joint behavior of speed, entropy and return probability, even restricting to group of exponential volume growth, is wide open, see Ami15, Question 6]. Solving the following problem would be a step in this direction.

Problem 9.3. Find an open set $\mathcal{O}$ in $(0,1)^{3}$ such that for any point $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{O}$, there exists a finitely generated group $G$ and a symmetric probability measure of finite support on $G$, such that $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is the exponent of $\left(L_{\mu}(n), H_{\mu}(n),-\log \mu^{(2 n)}(e)\right)$.

In Gou14, Gournay showed that if a simple random walk on $G$ satisfies that for some $C>0, \gamma \in(0,1), \phi^{(2 n)}(e) \geq \exp \left(-C n^{\gamma}\right)$, and an off-diagonal decay bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{(2 n)}(g) \leq C \phi^{(2 n)}(e) \exp \left(-\frac{C|g|^{2}}{n}\right) \text { for all } g \in G, n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the Hilbert compression exponent $\alpha_{2}^{\#}(G) \geq 1-\gamma$. The off-diagonal decay assumption (51) is difficult to check in general. We illustrate a family of examples where it is not valid. In Table 1, take the diagonal product $\Delta$ with parameters $\left(k_{s}=2^{2 s}\right)$ and $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ expanders with $\operatorname{diam}\left(\Gamma_{s}\right) \simeq 2^{2 \theta}$. When $\theta>1$, we have

$$
-\log q^{(2 n)}(e) \simeq n^{\frac{1+\theta}{3+\theta}}, \alpha_{2}^{*}(\Delta)=\frac{1}{1+\theta}<1-\frac{1+\theta}{3+\theta}
$$

Therefore we deduce from Gou14, Theorem 1.4] that in this case simple random walk on $\Delta$ fails the off-diagonal upper bound (51). On the other hand, we have the strict inequality

$$
\alpha_{2}^{*}(\Delta)=\frac{1}{1+\theta}>\frac{1}{2+\theta}=\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma}
$$

showing the gap is far from the lower bound of Gou14, Theorem 1.1]. A better understanding of the relation between return probability and compression remains open.

Problem 9.4. Let $G$ be a finitely generated infinite group such that for some $\gamma \in(0,1)$, simple random walk satisfies $\phi^{(2 n)}(e) \geq \exp \left(-C n^{\gamma}\right)$ for some $C>0$. Find the sharp lower bound for $\alpha_{2}^{\#}(G)$ in terms of $\gamma$ and explicit examples where the bound is sharp.

In Theorem 7.1 we give an explicit formula that relates equivariant $L_{p}$-compression exponents of $H \imath \mathbb{Z}$ and $H$ when $p \in[1,2]$. Less is known about compression exponent of embeddings into $L_{p}$ with $p>2$. In particular, the following problem is open.

Problem 9.5. For $p>2$, is there an explicit formula that connects equivariant compression exponents $\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H \backslash \mathbb{Z})$ and $\alpha_{p}^{\#}(H)$ ?

The problem of determining $\alpha_{p}^{\#}(\Delta)$ for $\Delta$ constructed with dihedral groups as discussed in Section 8 is related to the previous problem.

Problem 9.6. Determine the equivariant compression exponent $\alpha_{p}^{\#}(\Delta), p>2$, where $\Delta$ is the diagonal product constructed with dihedral groups $\left\{D_{2 l_{s}}\right\}$.

## A Some auxiliary facts about excursions

In this section we recall some classical facts about local time and excursions of standard simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\left\{S_{k}\right\}$ denote the standard simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$, starting at $S_{0}=0$. Let $L(x, n)$ denote the local time of the random walk at site $x$,

$$
L(x, n)=\#\left\{k: 0<k \leq n, S_{k}=x\right\}
$$

The distribution of $L(x, n)$ is known explicitly ( Rév13, Theorem 9.4]), for $x=0,1,2, \ldots$

$$
P_{0}(L(x, n)=m)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2^{n-m+1}}\binom{n-m+1}{(n+x) / 2} & \text { if } n+x \text { if even }, \\ \frac{1}{2^{n-m}}\binom{n-m}{(n+x-1) / 2} & \text { if } n+x \text { if odd. }\end{cases}
$$

Let $\rho_{0}=0$ and $\rho_{m}=\min \left\{j>\rho_{m-1}: S_{j}=0\right\}$. Then $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}-\rho_{1}, \ldots$ record the time duration of the excursions from 0 , they form a sequence of i.i.d random variables with distribution

$$
P_{0}\left(\rho_{1}>2 n\right)=P_{0}\left(S_{2 n}=0\right) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi n}}
$$

The chance that an excursion from 0 crosses $k$ is ([Rév13, Theorem 9.6])

$$
P_{0}\left(\max _{0 \leq i \leq \rho_{1}} S_{i} \geq k\right)=\frac{1}{2 k}, k=1,2, \ldots
$$

For $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\rho_{1}(x)=\min \left\{j>0: S_{j}=x\right\}$ denote the first time the random walk visits $x, T(k, x, n)$ be the number of excursions away from $x$ that cross $x-k$ and are completed before time $n$. We need estimates on the moments $E_{0}\left[T(k, x, n)^{q}\right], 0<q \leq 1$.
Lemma A.1. There exists constant $C>0$ such that for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
E_{0}[T(k, x, n)] \leq \frac{C \sqrt{n}}{k} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 n}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\rho_{m}(x)=\min \left\{j>\rho_{m-1}(x): S_{j}=x\right\}$ be the $m$-th time the random walk visits $x$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{0}[T(k, x, n)] & \leq \sum_{j \geq 0} E_{0}\left[T\left(k, x, \rho_{2^{j}+1}(x)\right) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\rho_{2^{j}}(x) \leq n<\rho_{2^{j}+1}(x)\right\}}\right] \\
& \leq \sum_{j \geq 0} E_{0}\left[T\left(k, x, \rho_{2^{j}+1}(x)\right) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\rho_{2^{j}}(x) \leq n\right\}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Conditioned on the event $\left\{\rho_{2^{j}}(x) \leq n\right\}$, the random variable $T\left(k, x, \rho_{2^{j}+1}(x)\right)$ is stochastically dominated by a binomial random variable with parameter $2^{j+1}$ and $\frac{1}{2 k}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \geq 0} E_{0}\left[T\left(k, x, \rho_{2^{j}+1}(x)\right) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\rho_{2^{j}}(x) \leq n\right\}}\right] & \leq \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{2^{j+1}}{2 k} P_{0}\left(\rho_{2^{j}}(x) \leq n\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{2^{j+1}}{2 k} P_{0}\left(\rho_{1}(x) \leq n\right) P_{0}\left(L(n, 0) \geq 2^{j}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 k} P_{0}\left(\rho_{1}(x) \leq n\right) E_{0}(4 L(n, 0))
\end{aligned}
$$

Plug in the estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{0}\left(\rho_{1}(x)\right. & \leq n)=P_{0}\left(\max _{0 \leq t \leq n} S_{t} \geq|x|\right) \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 n}\right), \\
E_{0}(L(n, 0)) & =\sum_{t=0}^{n} P_{0}\left(S_{t}=0\right) \leq C n^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain the statement.

Lemma A.2. There exists a constant $c>0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}, k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $k \leq c^{2} n^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$
P_{0}\left(T(k, x, n) \geq \frac{c \sqrt{n}}{4 k}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} P_{0}(L(x, n / 2) \geq 1)
$$

Proof. Conditioned on the event $\left\{\rho_{1}(x)=t\right\}, 0 \leq t<n$, the distribution of $T(k, x, n)$ is the same as $T(k, 0, n-t)$. Therefore for any $m>0$,

$$
P_{0}(T(k, x, n) \geq m) \geq P_{0}(T(k, 0, n / 2) \geq m) P_{0}(L(x, n / 2) \geq 1) .
$$

Now we show that there exists a constant $c>0$ such that for $k \leq c^{2} n^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$
P_{0}\left(T(k, 0, n / 2) \geq \frac{c \sqrt{n}}{4 k}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} .
$$

Note that

$$
\{T(k, 0, n / 2) \geq m\} \supset\left\{\rho_{\left\lfloor c n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\rfloor}(0) \leq \frac{n}{2}\right\} \cap\left\{T\left(k, 0, \rho_{\left\lfloor c n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\rfloor}\right) \geq m\right\}
$$

For ease of notation, in what follows write $l=\left\lfloor c n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\rfloor$. Since $\rho_{l}(0)$ is sum of $l$ i.i.d. random variables with distribution

$$
P_{0}\left(\rho_{1}>2 t\right)=P_{0}\left(S_{2 t}=0\right) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}
$$

by classical theory of sum of i.i.d. $\alpha$-stable variables (here $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$ ), there exists constant $C=C(\alpha)$ such that

$$
P_{0}\left(\rho_{l}(0) \geq t\right) \leq C \frac{l}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}
$$

Therefore

$$
P_{0}\left(\rho_{l}(0) \geq \frac{n}{2}\right) \leq \sqrt{2} C c
$$

For the other term, $T\left(k, 0, \rho_{l}\right)$ is binomial with parameters $l$ and $1 / 2 k$, therefore by Bernstein inequality (see for example [Theorem 2.3 Revesz]),

$$
P_{0}\left(T\left(k, 0, \rho_{l}\right) \leq \frac{c n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{4 k}\right) \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{l}{4^{3} k}\right)
$$

Then

$$
P_{0}\left(T(k, 0, n / 2) \geq \frac{c \sqrt{n}}{4 k}\right) \geq 1-\sqrt{2} C c-2 \exp \left(-\frac{c n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{4^{3} k}\right)
$$

Choose $c$ sufficiently small so that $\sqrt{2} C c \leq 1 / 4, \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4^{3} c}\right) \leq 1 / 8$, we obtain the statement.

## B Approximation of functions

For $p_{1}, p_{2} \geq 0$, consider the following space $\mathcal{C}_{p_{1}, p_{2}}$ of continuous functions between $x^{p_{1}}$ and $x^{p_{2}}$,

$$
\mathcal{C}_{p_{1}, p_{2}}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
f \text { is continuous, } f(1)=1 \\
f:[1, \infty) \rightarrow[1, \infty): & \frac{f(x)}{p^{p_{1}}} \text { is non-decreasing } \\
\frac{x^{2}}{f(x)} \text { is non-increasing }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Equivalently, $\mathcal{C}_{p_{1}, p_{2}}$ is the set of functions with $f(1)=1$ satisfying

$$
a^{p_{1}} f(x) \leq f(a x) \leq a^{p_{2}} f(x) \text { for all } a, x \geq 1 .
$$

We aim to approximate functions in $\mathcal{C}_{p_{1}, p_{2}}$ up to multiplicative constants by piecewise extremal functions.

Given two unbounded sequences $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$ of real numbers, possibly finite with last value infinity, define the function

$$
\tilde{f}(x)=\tilde{f}_{\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)}(x)= \begin{cases}l_{s} & \text { for } k_{s} l_{s} \leq x \leq k_{s+1} l_{s}  \tag{52}\\ \frac{x}{k_{s+1}} & \text { for } k_{s+1} l_{s} \leq x \leq k_{s+1} l_{s+1}\end{cases}
$$

Similarly define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}(x)=\bar{f}_{\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)}(x)=l_{s}+\frac{x}{k_{s+1}} \text { for } k_{s} l_{s} \leq x \leq k_{s+1} l_{s+1} . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2: Approximation of functions in $\mathcal{C}_{0,1}$ by functions piecewise constant and linear, as in the proof of Lemma B. 1 .

Lemma B.1. For any $f$ in $\mathcal{C}_{0,1}$ and for any $m_{0}>1$, there exists two sequences $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$ of real numbers, possibly finite with last value infinity, such that $k_{s+1} \geq m_{0} k_{s}$ and $l_{s+1} \geq m_{0} l_{s}$ for all s, the functions defined above satisfy

$$
\tilde{f}(x) \simeq_{m_{0}} f(x) \text { and } \bar{f}(x) \simeq_{2 m_{0}} f(x)
$$

Moreover if for some $\alpha>\alpha_{0}>0$ the function $\frac{f(x)}{\log ^{\alpha}(x)}$ is non-decreasing, it is possible to find such functions with sequences $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$ satisfying $\log k_{s} \leq l_{s}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}}$ for all $s$.

Proof. The proof is best understood looking at Figure 2. Observe that by construction, $\tilde{f}(x)$ is continuous and non-decreasing, which is not necessarily true for $\bar{f}(x)$. By induction, assume $k_{s}, l_{s}$ already known with $\tilde{f}\left(k_{s} l_{s}\right)=l_{s}=f\left(k_{s} l_{s}\right)$. The hypothesis on $f$ gives that $l_{s} \leq f(x) \leq \frac{x}{k_{s}}$ for all $x \geq k_{s} l_{s}$.

We consider the minimal $y \geq m_{0}^{2} k_{s} l_{s}$ such that $m_{0} l_{s} \leq f(y) \leq \frac{y}{m_{0} k_{s}}$. Assume first that such a $y$ exists. By continuity of $f$, there are two cases.

Case I: if $f(y)=\frac{y}{m_{0} k_{s}}$, set $k_{s+1}=m_{0} k_{s}$ and $l_{s+1}=\frac{y}{m_{0} k_{s}} \geq m_{0} l_{s}$, then for all $k_{s} l_{s} \leq$ $x \leq k_{s+1} l_{s+1}=y$,

$$
\frac{x}{m_{0} k_{s}} \leq \tilde{f}(x) \leq \frac{x}{k_{s}},
$$

and the same inequalities hold for $f$ by sublinearity.
Case II: if $f(y)=m_{0} l_{s}$, set $l_{s+1}=m_{0} l_{s}$ and $k_{s+1}=\frac{y}{m_{0} l_{s}} \geq m_{0} k_{s}$, then for all $k_{s} l_{s} \leq$ $x \leq k_{s+1} l_{s+1}=y$

$$
l_{s} \leq \tilde{f}(x) \leq m_{0} l_{s}
$$

and the same inequalities hold for $f$ (non-decreasing).
If such a $y$ does not exist, there are again two cases. Either $f(x) \geq \frac{x}{m_{0} k_{s}}$ for all $x \geq k_{s} l_{s}$, then set $k_{s+1}=m_{0} k_{s}$ and $l_{s+1}=\infty$ so $\tilde{f}(x)=\frac{x}{m_{0} k_{s}}$ for all $x \geq k_{s} l_{s}$, generalizing case I. Or $f(x) \leq m_{0} l_{s}$ for all $x \geq k_{s} l_{s}$, then set $k_{s+1}=\infty$ so $\tilde{f}(x)=l_{s}$ for all $x \geq k_{s} l_{s}$, generalizing case II.

Concerning the function $\bar{f}$, a routine inspection (of the intervals [ $k_{s} l_{s}, k_{s+1} l_{s}$ ] and $\left[k_{s+1} l_{s}, k_{s+1} l_{s+1}\right.$ ] in both cases) shows that $\tilde{f}(x) \leq \bar{f}(x) \leq 2 \tilde{f}(x)$ for any $x$.

To check the last statement, it is sufficient to check that $f\left(m_{0} l_{s} \exp \left(\left(m_{0} l_{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}}\right)\right) \geq m_{0} l_{s}$ so in case II we take $l_{s+1}=m_{0} l_{s}$, get $y \leq l_{s+1} \exp \left(l_{s+1}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}}\right)$ and $k_{s+1}=\frac{y}{l_{s+1}} \leq \exp \left(l_{s+1}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}}\right)$. Our assumptions give

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(l_{s+1} \exp \left(l_{s+1}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}}\right)\right) & \geq f\left(k_{s} l_{s}\right)\left(\frac{\log \left(l_{s+1} \exp \left(l_{s+1}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}}\right)\right)}{\log \left(k_{s} l_{s}\right)}\right)^{\alpha} \\
& \geq l_{s}\left(\frac{\left(m_{0} l_{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}}}{\log k_{s}+\log l_{s}}\right)^{\alpha} \\
& \geq l_{s} m_{0}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{0}}}\left(\frac{l_{s}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}}}{l_{s}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}}+\log l_{s}}\right)^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the last parenthesis tends to 1 as $l_{s}$ tends to infinity.

Proposition B.2. Let $C_{1}>0$ and $K, L \subset[1, \infty]$ such that for any $x$ in $[1, \infty]$, there exists $k \in K$ and $l \in L$ with $k \simeq_{C_{1}} x$ and $l \simeq_{C_{1}} x$. For any $f$ in $\mathcal{C}_{0,1}$ and for any $m_{0}>1$, there exists two sequences $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$ taking values in $K$ and $L$ respectively such that $k_{s+1} \geq m_{0} k_{s}$ and $l_{s+1} \geq m_{0} l_{s}$ for all $s$, the functions defined in (52) and (53) satisfy

$$
\tilde{f}(x) \simeq_{m_{0} C_{1}^{5}} f(x) \text { and } \bar{f}(x) \simeq_{2 m_{0} C_{1}^{5}} f(x)
$$

Moreover if for some $\alpha>\alpha_{0}>0$ the function $\frac{f(x)}{\log ^{\alpha}(x)}$ is non-decreasing, it is possible to find such functions with sequences $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$ satisfying $\log k_{s} \leq l_{s}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}}$ for all $s$.

Proof. We apply Lemma B. 1 with $m_{0}^{\prime}>C_{1}^{2} m_{0}$, and obtain two sequences $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$ of real numbers satisfying $k_{s+1} \geq m_{0} C_{1}^{2} k_{s}$ and $l_{s+1} \geq m_{0} C_{1}^{2} l_{s}$. The hypothesis on $K, L$ permits to find two sequences $\left(k_{s}^{\prime}\right),\left(l_{s}^{\prime}\right)$ with $k_{s}^{\prime} \simeq_{C_{1}} k_{s}$ and $l_{s}^{\prime} \simeq_{C_{1}} l_{s}$. The choice of $m_{0}^{\prime}$ guarantees that $k_{s+1}^{\prime} \geq m_{0} k_{s}^{\prime}$ and $l_{s+1}^{\prime} \geq m_{0} l_{s}^{\prime}$.

Denote $\tilde{f}^{\prime}$ and $\bar{f}^{\prime}$ the functions defined by (52) and (53) with the sequences $\left(k_{s}^{\prime}\right),\left(l_{s}^{\prime}\right)$. It is sufficient to check that $\tilde{f}^{\prime}(x) \simeq_{C_{1}^{3}} \tilde{f}(x)$.

When $k_{s}^{\prime} l_{s}^{\prime} \leq x \leq k_{s+1}^{\prime} l_{s}^{\prime}$, then $\tilde{f}^{\prime}(x)=l_{s}^{\prime} \simeq_{C_{1}} l_{s}$. On the other hand, $\frac{k_{s} l_{s}}{C_{1}^{2}} \leq x \leq$ $C_{1}^{2} k_{s+1} l_{s}$ so

$$
\frac{l_{s}}{C_{1}^{2}}=\frac{\tilde{f}\left(k_{s} l_{s}\right)}{C_{1}^{2}} \leq \tilde{f}\left(\frac{k_{s} l_{s}}{C_{1}^{2}}\right) \leq \tilde{f}(x) \leq \tilde{f}\left(C_{1}^{2} k_{s+1} l_{s}\right) \leq C_{1}^{2} \tilde{f}\left(k_{s+1} l_{s}\right)=C_{1}^{2} l_{s}
$$

Thus $\tilde{f}^{\prime}(x) \simeq_{C_{1}^{3}} \tilde{f}(x)$.

When $k_{s+1}^{\prime} l_{s}^{\prime} \leq x \leq k_{s+1}^{\prime} l_{s+1}^{\prime}$, set $x=\lambda k_{s+1}^{\prime} l_{s}^{\prime}+(1-\lambda) k_{s+1}^{\prime} l_{s+1}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\tilde{f}^{\prime}(x)=\lambda l_{s}^{\prime}+(1-\lambda) l_{s+1}^{\prime} \simeq_{C_{1}} \lambda l_{s}+(1-\lambda) l_{s+1} .
$$

On the other hand, $x \simeq_{C_{1}^{2}} \lambda k_{s+1} l_{s}+(1-\lambda) k_{\sim}^{s+1} l_{s+1}$ so $\tilde{f}(x) \simeq_{C_{1}^{2}} \tilde{f}\left(\lambda k_{s+1} l_{s}+(1-\right.$ $\left.\lambda) k_{s+1} l_{s+1}\right)=\lambda l_{s}+(1-\lambda) l_{s+1}$. Thus $\tilde{f}^{\prime}(x) \simeq_{C_{1}^{3}} \tilde{f}(x)$.

Corollary B.3. Let $C_{1}>0$ and $K, L \subset[1, \infty]$ such that for any $x$ in $[1, \infty]$, there exists $k \in K$ and $l \in L$ with $k \simeq C_{C_{1}} x$ and $l \simeq \simeq_{C_{1}} x$. For any $\varrho$ in $\mathcal{C}_{p_{1}, p_{2}}$ and for any $m_{0}>1$, there exists two sequences $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$ taking values in $K$ and $L$ respectively such that $k_{s+1} \geq m_{0} k_{s}$ and $l_{s+1} \geq m_{0} l_{s}$ for all $s$, the function defined by

$$
\bar{\varrho}(x)=x^{p_{1}} l_{s}+\frac{x^{p_{2}}}{k_{s+1}} \text { for }\left(k_{s} l_{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{2}-p_{1}}} \leq x \leq\left(k_{s+1} l_{s+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{2}-p_{1}}}
$$

satisfies

$$
\bar{\varrho}(x) \simeq_{2 m_{0} C_{1}^{5}} \varrho(x)
$$

Moreover if for any $\alpha>\alpha_{0}>0$ the function $\frac{\varrho(x)}{x^{p_{1} \log ^{\alpha}(x)}}$ is non-decreasing, it is possible to find such functions with sequences $\left(k_{s}\right),\left(l_{s}\right)$ satisfying $\log k_{s} \leq l_{s}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}}$ for all $s$.

Proof. The application $T_{p_{1}, p_{2}}: \mathcal{C}_{0,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{p_{1}, p_{2}}$ given by $T_{p_{1}, p_{2}} f(x)=x^{p_{1}} f\left(x^{p_{2}-p_{1}}\right)$ is a bijection. Take $f=T_{p_{1}, p_{2}}^{-1} \varrho$, apply Proposition B.2 and set $\bar{\varrho}=T_{p_{1}, p_{2}} \bar{f}$.

## C Stable walks on lamplighter over a segment

Let $I_{m}$ be a subgraph of one dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}$ with vertex set $\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}, m>1$. Consider the lamplighter graph $\mathcal{L}_{m}$ over the segment $I_{m}$, formally $\left\{(f, x): f: I_{m} \rightarrow\{0,1\}, x \in I_{m}\right\}$ is the vertex set of $\mathcal{L}_{m}$, and an edge connects $(f, x)$ and $\left(f^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)$ if $f \equiv f^{\prime}$ and $x \sim x^{\prime}$ in $I_{m}$, or $x=x^{\prime}, f \neq f^{\prime}$ and $f$ differs from $f^{\prime}$ only at site $x$. Random walks on lamplighter graphs have been studied in Ers03, PR04, see also references therein.

Random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$ driven by step distribution

$$
\nu_{\alpha}(x) \simeq \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{1+\alpha}}, \alpha \in(0,2)
$$

is often referred to as a symmetric $\alpha$-stable like walks on $\mathbb{Z}$. By abuse of terminology, we call it an $\alpha$-stable walk. General theory regarding heat kernel estimates of $\alpha$-stable walks on volume doubling graphs is available, see CK08 and references therein. Connection between $\alpha$-stable walks and Markov type method for bounding compression exponent was first introduced in NP11, where $p$-stable walk on $\mathbb{Z}$ is used to determine $L_{p}$-compression exponent of $\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{Z}, p>1$. For our purpose, we focus on the case of stable walk of index $\alpha=1$ on the base graph.

On $I_{m}$, define transition kernel

$$
\zeta_{m}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{c_{x, x^{\prime}}}{\sum_{x^{\prime} \in I_{m}} c_{x, x^{\prime}}}, x, x^{\prime} \in I_{m}
$$

where

$$
c_{x, x^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{1+\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{2}}
$$

Then $\zeta_{m}$ is a Markov transition kernel on $I_{m}$ with stationary distribution $\mathcal{C}_{m}(z)=\frac{\sum_{x^{\prime} \in I_{m}} c_{x, x^{\prime}}}{\sum_{x, x^{\prime} \in I_{m}} c_{x, x^{\prime}}}$. One readily checks that $\frac{1}{5 m} \leq \mathcal{C}_{m}(x) \leq \frac{5}{m}$ for all $x \in I_{m}$.

Now consider a random walk on the lamplighter graph $\mathcal{L}_{m}$ with transition $\zeta_{m}$ on the base. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{m}$ be the transition kernel in $\mathcal{L}_{m}$ such that for $x \neq x^{\prime}, \mathfrak{p}_{m}\left((f, x),\left(f^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{4} \zeta_{m}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ if $f(z)=f^{\prime}(z)$ for all $z \notin\left\{x, x^{\prime}\right\}$; for $x=x^{\prime}, \mathfrak{p}_{m}\left((f, x),\left(f^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{m}(x, x)$ if $f(z)=f^{\prime}(z)$ for all $z \neq x$. In words, in each step the walker first randomize the lamp configuration at the current location, then moves according to the transition kernel $\zeta_{m}$, and randomize the lamp at the arrival location. This Markov chain is reversible with stationary distribution

$$
U_{m}(f, x)=2^{-m} \mathcal{C}_{m}(x) .
$$

From the upper bound on relaxation time in PR04, Theorem 1.2], we have

$$
T_{r e l}\left(\mathcal{L}_{m}, \mathfrak{p}_{m}\right)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}\left(\mathcal{L}_{m}, \mathfrak{p}_{m}\right)} \leq \max _{x, y \in I_{m}} \mathbf{E}_{x} \tau_{y}
$$

where $\tau_{y}=\min \left\{t: X_{t}=y\right\}, X_{t}$ denotes the Markov chain on $\mathcal{L}_{m}$ with transition kernel $\mathfrak{p}_{m}$. Note that although in the statement of [PR04, Theorem 1.2], it is assumed that the Markov chain on the base is lazy simple random walk on a transitive graph, the coupling argument that proves the relaxation time upper bound is completely general, it applies to any reversible Markov chain on the base graph. The quantity $\max _{x, y \in I_{m}} \mathbf{E}_{x} \tau_{y}$ is known as the maximal hitting time of the chain $\mathfrak{p}_{m}$. By AF02, Lemma 4.1],

$$
\max _{x, y \in I_{m}} \mathbf{E}_{x} \tau_{y}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{z, z^{\prime} \in I_{m}} c_{z, z^{\prime}}\right) \max _{x, y} R_{x, x^{\prime}}
$$

where $R_{x, x^{\prime}}$ denotes the effective resistance between vertices $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ in the electric network on $I_{m}$ with edge conductances $c_{z, z^{\prime}}$ between pair of vertices $z, z^{\prime}$. Estimates of effective resistance of $\alpha$-stable walks follow from classical methods. For the particular transition kernel $\zeta_{m}$ with $\alpha=1$, there exists a constant $C>0$

$$
R_{x, x^{\prime}} \leq C \log \left|x-x^{\prime}\right|
$$

see for example CFG09, Appendix B.2]. We conclude that for the Markov chain with transition kernel $\mathfrak{p}_{m}$ on $\mathcal{L}_{m}$,

$$
\lambda_{2}\left(\mathcal{L}_{m}, \mathfrak{p}_{m}\right) \geq \frac{c}{m \log m}
$$

Equivalently, we have the following Poincaré inequality: for any function $f: \mathcal{L}_{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{L}_{m}}(f(u)-f(v))^{2} U_{m}(u) U_{m}(v) \leq \frac{2 m \log m}{c} \sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{L}_{m}}(f(u)-f(v))^{2} \mathfrak{p}_{m}(u, v) U_{m}(u)
$$

By Matousek's extrapolation lemma for Poincaré inequalities, see Mat97] and NS11, Lemma 4.4], we deduce the following $l_{p}$-Poincaré inequalities.

Lemma C.1. In the setting introduced above, there exists an absolute constant $C>0$ such that for any $f: \mathcal{L}_{m} \rightarrow \ell^{p}$,

- if $1 \leq p \leq 2$,

$$
\sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{L}_{m}}\|f(u)-f(v)\|_{p}^{p} U_{m}(u) U_{m}(v) \leq C m \log m \sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{L}_{m}}\|f(u)-f(v)\|_{p}^{p} U_{m}(u) \mathfrak{p}_{m}(u, v)
$$

- if $p>2$,

$$
\sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{L}_{m}}\|f(u)-f(v)\|_{p}^{p} U_{m}(u) U_{m}(v) \leq(C m \log m)^{\frac{p}{2}}(2 p)^{p} \sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{L}_{m}}\|f(u)-f(v)\|_{p}^{p} U_{m}(u) \mathfrak{p}_{m}(u, v) .
$$

Now we introduce a distance function on $\mathcal{L}_{m}$. Let $\mathbf{w}=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{2}^{+}$, in the lamplighter graph $\mathcal{L}_{m}$, let $w(e)=w_{1}$ if the edge $e$ connects $(f, x)$ and $\left(f, x^{\prime}\right)$ where $x \sim x^{\prime}$ (edges of first type); $w(e)=w_{2}$ if the edge $e$ connects $(f, x)$ and $\left(f^{\prime}, x\right)$ where $f, f^{\prime}$ only differs at site $x$ (edges of second type). Define $d_{\mathbf{w}}$ to be distance on $\mathcal{L}_{m}$

$$
d_{\mathbf{w}}(u, v)=\min \left\{\sum_{e \in P} w(e): P \text { is a path in } \mathcal{L}_{m} \text { connecting } u, v\right\} .
$$

From definition of $\mathfrak{p}_{m}$, it is straightforward to check that the following.
Lemma C.2. There exists constant $C>0$ such that for all $p>1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{L}_{m}} d_{\mathbf{w}}(u, v)^{p} U_{m}(u) \mathfrak{p}_{m}(u, v) & \leq C\left(w_{2}^{p}+\frac{1}{p-1} w_{1}^{p} m^{p-1}\right), \\
\sum_{d_{\mathbf{w}}(u, v) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(w_{2}+w_{1}\right) m} d_{\mathbf{w}}(u, v)^{p} U_{m}(u) U_{m}(v) & \geq \frac{1}{C}\left(w_{2}+w_{1}\right) m .
\end{aligned}
$$

These ingredients allow us to carry out the Poincaré inequality method to upper bound $L_{p}$-compression function of $H \imath \mathbb{Z}$, it can also be used in the study of the diagonal product $\Delta$ with dihedral groups. Alternatively, we may apply the Markov type method. To this end, the following speed lower estimate is needed.

Lemma C.3. Let $X_{t}$ be a stationary Markov chain on $\mathcal{L}_{m}$ with transition kernel $\mathfrak{p}_{m}$ reversible with stationary measure $U_{m}$. Then there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\mathbf{E}_{U_{m}}\left[d_{\mathbf{w}}\left(X_{t}, X_{0}\right)\right] \geq c\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right) \frac{t}{\log _{*} t} \text { for all } 1 \leq t \leq m \log m .
$$

Proof. Let $S_{[0, t]}=\left\{S_{n}, 0 \leq n \leq t\right\}$ denote the sites visited by the induced random walk $\left\{S_{t}\right\}$ on $I_{m}$. Since in each step the chain randomizes the lamp at the current and new locations, and any path in the graph $\mathcal{L}_{m}$ that connects $X_{0}$ to $X_{t}$ must visit all the sites where the lamp configurations of $X_{0}$ and $X_{t}$ differ, we have for any $u \in \mathcal{L}_{m}$,

$$
\mathbf{E}_{u}\left[d_{\mathbf{w}}\left(X_{t}, X_{0}\right)\right] \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right) \mathbf{E}_{u}\left[\left|S_{[0, t]}\right|\right]
$$

Thus the question is reduced to the range of the $\zeta_{m}$-random walk on the base $I_{m}$. Methods to estimate expected size of range of random walk go back to Dvoretzky and Erdos [DE51. Here we include a straightforward adaptation of the argument in [NP08, Lemma 6.3] for completeness.

In what follows $\mathbb{E}$ means taking expectation with the law of random walk $S_{n}$ on $I_{m}$ with step distribution $\zeta_{m}$. For any $k \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, denote by $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ the first $k$ elements of $I_{m}$ that are visited by the random walk $S_{n}$. Let

$$
Y_{k}(t)=\left|\left\{0 \leq n \leq t: S_{n} \in\left\{V_{1}, \ldots V_{k}\right\}\right\}\right| .
$$

Note that $\left\{Y_{k}(t)<t+1\right\}=\left\{\left|S_{[0, t]}\right|>k\right\}$. For any starting point $z \in I_{m}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}_{z}\left[Y_{k}(t)\right]=\sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}_{z}\left[\left|\left\{0 \leq n \leq t: S_{n}=V_{l}\right\}\right|\right] \leq k \sum_{n=0}^{t} \max _{x \in I_{m}} \mathbb{P}_{x}\left(S_{n}=x\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}_{z}\left(\left|S_{[0, t]}\right|\right) & \geq k \mathbb{P}_{z}\left(\left|S_{[0, t]}\right|>k\right) \geq k\left(1-\frac{\mathbb{E}_{z}\left[Y_{k}(t)\right]}{t+1}\right) \\
& \geq k\left(1-\frac{k \sum_{n=0}^{t} \max _{x \in I_{m}} \mathbb{P}_{x}\left(S_{n}=x\right)}{t+1}\right) . \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

The argument in CK08, Theorem 3.1] implies that the chain $\left(I_{m}, \zeta_{m}\right)$ satisfies a Nash inequality that there exists an absolute constant $C>0$,

$$
\theta\left(\|u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \leq C \mathcal{E}_{\zeta_{m}}(u, u) \text { for all } u: I_{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text { where } \theta(r)=r^{2}
$$

This Nash inequality implies on-diagonal decay upper bound, see DSC96,

$$
\mathbb{P}_{x}\left(S_{l}=x\right) \leq \frac{c_{2}}{l} \text { for all } l \leq m, x \in I_{m}
$$

For $l>m$, by monotonicity $\mathbf{P}_{x}\left(S_{l}=x\right) \leq \mathbf{P}_{x}\left(S_{m}=x\right) \leq c_{2} l^{-1}$. It follows that for $k \in$ $\{1, \ldots, m\}$,

$$
\sum_{l=0}^{k \log k} \max _{x \in I_{m}} \mathbb{P}_{x}\left[S_{l}=x\right] \leq c_{3} \log k
$$

Together these estimates imply that

$$
\mathbf{E}_{u}\left[d_{\mathbf{w}}\left(X_{k \log _{*} k}, X_{0}\right)\right] \geq c\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right) k \text { for any } k \in\{1, \ldots, m\}, u \in \mathcal{L}_{m}
$$

The same method can be used to estimate speed of random walks on lamplighter graphs over other choices of the base graph.

Lemma C.4. Let $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ l $D_{\infty}^{d}, d \geq 3$ as in the 2nd item of Example 2.4, marked with generating subgroups $A=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \imath\left\langle a_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq d\right\rangle, B=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \zeta\left\langle b_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq d\right\rangle$. Fix an increasing sequence $n_{s} \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Gamma_{s}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ 〕 $D_{2 n_{s}}^{d}$ be a finite quotient of $\Gamma$. Let $A(s)$, $B(s)$ denote the projection of $A$ and $B$ to $\Gamma_{s}$. There exists a constant $\sigma_{d}>0$ only depending on $d$ such that $\left\{\Gamma_{s}\right\}$ satisfies $\left(\sigma_{d},\left(2 n_{s}\right)^{d}\right)$-linear speed assumption.

Proof. Let $\bar{A}(s)=\left\langle a_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq d\right\rangle, \bar{B}(s)=\left\langle b_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq d\right\rangle$. Consider a random alternating word $X_{t}^{(s)}$ in $A(s)$ and $B(s)$ of length $t$, let $\bar{X}_{t}^{(s)}$ be its projection to $D_{2 n_{s}}^{d}$. In words, if the last letter in $X_{t}^{(s)}$ is a random element in $A(s)$, then to get to $X_{t+1}^{(s)}$, the lamp configuration in the neighborhood $\bar{X}_{t}^{(s)} \bar{B}(s)$ is randomized, and the walker on the base $D_{2 n_{s}}^{d}$ is multiplied by a random element in $\bar{B}(s)$. Similarly if $X_{t}^{(s)}$ ends with $B(s)$, then the next move is
uniform in $A(s)$. From this description, we have that the lamps over the sites visited by $\bar{X}_{t}^{(s)}$ are randomized,

$$
\left|X_{2 t}^{(s)}\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \geq \frac{1}{8}\left|\mathcal{R}_{[[0,2 t]]}^{(s)}\right|,
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{[[0,2 t]]}^{(s)}=\left\{x \in D_{2 n_{s}}^{d}: \bar{X}_{2 l}^{(s)}=x\right.$ for some $\left.0 \leq l \leq t\right\}$. By comparing $\left\{\bar{X}_{2 l}^{(s)}\right\}$ to standard simple random walk on $D_{2 n_{s}}^{d}$, we have that there exists a constant $C_{d}>0$ such that

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\bar{X}_{2 l}^{(s)}=e\right) \leq C_{d}(2 l)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \text { for all } 1 \leq l \leq 2 n_{s}^{2}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=0}^{\left(2 n_{s}\right)^{d}} \mathbf{P}\left(\bar{X}_{2 l}^{(s)}=e\right) & \leq 1+\sum_{l=1}^{2 n_{s}^{2}} C_{d}(2 l)^{-\frac{d}{2}}+\left(2 n_{s}\right)^{d}\left(4 n_{s}^{2}\right)^{-d / 2} \\
& \leq 2 C_{d}+2
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate $\mathbf{E}\left|\mathcal{R}_{[[0,2 t]]}^{(s)}\right|$, we apply the argument as in Lemma C.3. For $t \leq\left(2 n_{s}\right)^{d}$, in the inequality (54) choose $k=\frac{t}{4 C_{d}+4}$, we conclude that there exists constant $\sigma_{d}>0$,

$$
\mathbf{E}\left|X_{2 t}^{(s)}\right|_{\Gamma_{s}} \geq \sigma_{d} t
$$
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