

Quantum D_4 Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy and quantum singularity theory

Ann Du Crest de Villeneuve, Paolo Rossi

▶ To cite this version:

Ann Du Crest de Villeneuve, Paolo Rossi. Quantum D_4 Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy and quantum singularity theory. 2019. hal-02022209

HAL Id: hal-02022209 https://hal.science/hal-02022209

Preprint submitted on 17 Feb 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

QUANTUM D_4 DRINFELD-SOKOLOV HIERARCHY AND QUANTUM SINGULARITY THEORY

ANN DU CREST DE VILLENEUVE AND PAOLO ROSSI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we compute explicitly the double ramification hierarchy and its quantization for the D_4 Dubrovin-Saito cohomological field theory obtained applying the Givental-Teleman reconstruction theorem to the D_4 Coxeter group Frobenius manifold, or equivalently the D_4 Fan-Jarvis-Ruan-Witten cohomological field theory (with respect to the non-maximal diagonal symmetry group $\langle J \rangle = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$). We then prove its equivalence to the corresponding Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy, which was known to coincide with the D_4 Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy. Our techniques provide hence an explicit quantization of the D_4 Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy. Moreover, since the DR hierarchy is well defined for partial CohFTs too, our approach immediately computes the DR hierarchies associated to the invariant sectors of the D_4 CohFT with respect to folding of the Dynkin diagram, the B_3 and G_2 Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Acknowledgments	3
1. Drinfeld-Sokolov D_4 hierarchy	3
1.1. Matrix Lax equations of type D_4	3
1.2. Salar Lax pairs	4
1.3. Bi-Hamiltonian structure	6
1.4. Tau structure	7
1.5. Explicit tau-symmetric Hamiltonian densities	8
1.6. Explicit first Poisson structure	11
2. Classical double ramification hierarchy for the D_4 Dubrovin-Saito CohFT	12
2.1. Double ramification hierarchy	13
2.2. Integrable systems of DR type	13
2.3. D_4 Dubrovin-Saito CohFT	14
2.4. D_4 double ramification hierarchy	15
2.5. B_3 and G_2 double ramification hierarchies	17
3. Quantum double ramification hierarchy for the D_4 Dubrovin-Saito CohFT	18
3.1. Quantum double ramification hierarchy	18
3.2. Quantum systems of DR type and the quantum D_4 hierarchy	19
References	20

INTRODUCTION

In [Dub99], based on the results of K. Saito [Sai81, Sai83a, Sai83b] and in particular on his theory of primitive forms, Dubrovin constructed a generically semisimple Frobenius manifold structure on the space of orbits of any finite, irreducible Coxeter group or, via the relation of these with simple hypersurface singularities, on the space of miniversal deformations of any polynomial $W : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ with an isolated critical point at the origin.

Although in general these Frobenius manifolds are not semisimple at the origin, it was proved in [Mil14] that, for singularities of type ADE, using Givental-Teleman theory [Giv01, Tel12] at a nearby semisimple point and then shifting the result back to the origin, yields a well defined conformal cohomological field theory [KM94] which we refer to as the Dubrovin-Saito CohFT of the singularity.

In [FJR07, FJR13] Fan, Jarvis and Ruan, inspired by ideas of Witten, introduced another construction associating a certain moduli space of decorated curves and, through a virtual fundamental class on it, a conformal CohFT to a certain class of quasi-homogeneous polynomials with an isolated critical point at the origin, together with the choice of admissible symmetry group.

In case the symmetry group is the full automorphism group of the polynomial G_{max} , in a typical instance of mirror symmetry, there is an isomorphism between the FJRW CohFT and the Dubrovin-Saito CohFT of the transposed singularity [FJR13]. When the symmetry group is smaller the mirror partner to the FJRW theory is in general not known, but for the case of ADE singularities the only admissible groups are always maximal, with the exception of singularities of type D_{2n} for which however the isomorphism with the Dubrovin-Saito theory still holds.

The case of D_4 is in may ways the most subtle among the ADE singularities. For instance the general method of proof for the mirror symmetry result of [FJR13] did not work in the D_4 case because of the specific form of the CohFT and its phase space. Indeed the proof for the mirror theorem was completed in [FFJMR10]. In essence the complication (specifically the appearance of the so called broad sectors of the phase space, which complicate the description of the CohFT) originates from the peculiar symmetry of the D_4 singularity. This is apparent from the corresponding Dynkin diagram, indeed the most symmetric of the ADE diagrams.

In this paper we focus on the example of the D_4 Dubrovin-Saito CohFT, or the FJRW CohFT of the singularity $D_4: W = x^3 + xy^2$ with symmetry group $\langle J_1 \rangle$ where $J_1(x, y) = (e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{3}}x, e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{3}}y)$ and approach it from the point of view of the associated integrable hierarchies.

It is well known that, given a semisimple CohFT one can associate to it the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy [DZ05], an integrable hierarchy of Hamiltonian PDEs which controls the intersection theory of the CohFT with psi classes on the moduli space of stable curves. It was proved in [GM05, FGM10] that the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy of the *ADE* CohFTs are the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies associated to the corresponding *ADE* semisimple algebras.

The double ramification hierarchy is newer construction, introduced in [Bur15, BR16b], associating a quantum integrable system to a CohFT (there is no need for semisimplicity in this case, and in fact the construction even works in the classical limit for partial CohFTs).

This construction, inspired by ideas from symplectic field theory [EGH00, FR11, Ros10], uses intersection theory of the CohFT with the double ramification cycle, Hodge classes and psi classes, and its relation with the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy is the object of a conjecture (the strong DR/DZ equivalence conjecture of [BDGR18, BGR17]) which predicts that, given a semisimple CohFT (so that the DZ side is well defined), the classical limit of the DR hierarchy and the DZ hierarchy coincide after a specific change of coordinates in the phase space of the system.

This conjecture has been proved for several specific CohFTs [BDGR18, BDGR16b, BR18] and, in particular, in [BG16, BDGR18], for the A_N Dubrovin-Saito CohFTs (which are nothing but the (N + 1)-spin CohFTs) for $N \leq 5$.

In this paper we show that the classical double ramification hierarchy of the D_4 CohFT coincides with the D_4 Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy (with no need of coordinate change in this case) and hence, via the results of [GM05], with the Duborinv-Zhang hierarchy. Moreover we compute the quantum double ramification hierarchy which hence provides an explicit (closed formulas) quantization of the D_4 Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy.

Finally we exploit the particularly symmetric nature of the D_4 CohFT, which possesses both a \mathbb{Z}_2 and a \mathbb{Z}_3 symmetry, to consider its invariant parts as two partial CohFTs, for which the classical DR hierarchy gives rise to the B_3 and G_2 Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies, and thanks to the results of [LRZ15] we deduce that the partial CohFT potentials are tau functions of the corresponding DR hierarchy.

Acknowledgments. We would like to express our gratitude to A. Buryak as well as to M. Cafasso and V. Roubtsov for useful discussions. A. du Crest de Villeneuve also thanks the University of Angers, France, the University of Bourgogne, France and the European Marie Skodowska-Curie action 'IPaDEGAN' for offering them the best working conditions while working on the present paper.

1. Drinfeld-Sokolov D_4 hierarchy

In [DS84], Drinfeld and Sokolov described how to associate a hierarchy of integrable PDEs to any semi-simple Lie algebra through its associated affine Kac–Moody algebra. In the most general case, the hierarchy is constructed as an infinite sequence of matrix Lax equations (zerocurvature equations). In the same article, for simple Lie algebras of types A_n , B_n and C_n , the authors also provided a scalar Lax pair along with a bi-Hamiltonian representation using pseudo-differential operators. For the D_n case however, Drinfeld and Sokolov represented one part of the hierarchy with a scalar Lax pair and bi-Hamiltonian representation ([DS84], pp. 2019–2021), this part we call the positive flows of the hierarchy, while the remaining part we call the negative flows.

In [LWZ10], the authors produced a complete picture of the hierarchy of D_n type (positive and negative flows) in terms of scalar Lax pairs and bi-Hamiltonian representation. To do so, they introduced a new kind of pseudo-differential operators called *of the second type* (while the traditional ones are called *of the first type*). Roughly speaking, the operators of the second type are allowed to contain not only infinitely many nonzero terms in negative degree, but also infinitely many nonzero terms in positive degrees, along with considerations of gradation to ensure the well-definedness of the product of operators. In that same article [LWZ10], the authors also described the tau-symmetric bi-Hamiltonian structure (in the sense of [DZ05]) of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of D_n type.

In what follows, we briefly review the original Drinfeld–Sokolov reduction for the D_4 case, for it entirely defines the hierarchy [DS84]. Then we follow the approach of [LWZ10] to define the scalar Lax pairs of the positive and negative flows of the hierarchy. Finally, we describe and compute the tau-symmetric bi-Hamiltonian structure of D_4 .

1.1. Matrix Lax equations of type D_4 . Let us briefly explain what these positive and negative flows are in terms of Lie algebras. Type D_4 is the Dynkin diagram of the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(8)$. We denote by

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}(8) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}]$$

the loop algebra of $\mathfrak{o}(8)$. We choose generators $\{e_i, f_i, h_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 4\}$ of \mathfrak{g} as in [DS84] (also as in [LWZ10]). We define the principal gradation $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}^k$ with deg $e_i = -\deg f_i = 1$ and deg $h_i = 0$. We use the notation $\mathfrak{g}^{>0} = \prod_{k>0} \mathfrak{g}^k$ and similarly for $\mathfrak{g}^{<0}$. We denote $\Lambda =$ $\sum_{i=0}^{4} e_i \in \mathfrak{g}^1$ the principal cyclic element of \mathfrak{g} . Then it is well known [Kos59, Kac78, DS84] that the principal Heisenberg subaglebra Ker(Λ) admits the following decomposition:

$$\operatorname{Ker}(\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\text{odd}}} \mathbb{C} \cdot \Lambda_k \oplus \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\text{odd}}} \mathbb{C} \cdot \Gamma_k, \qquad \Lambda_k \in \mathfrak{g}^k, \Gamma_k \in \mathfrak{g}^{3k}.$$

(See [DS84] for the expression of Λ_k, Γ_k .) Let us denote by \mathfrak{b} (resp. \mathfrak{n}) the negative Borel (resp. negative nilpotent) subalgebra of $\mathfrak{o}(8)$. The starting point of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy is a matrix-valued differential operator of the form

(1.1)
$$\mathscr{L} = \partial_x + \Lambda + q(x), \qquad q \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{b}).$$

For any function $S \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{n})$, the operator $\tilde{\mathscr{L}} = e^{\mathrm{ad}_S} \mathscr{L}$ also has the form of Equation (1.1); we say that \mathscr{L} and $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}$ are gauge equivalent. In [DS84], the authors proved the fundamental property that there exists a (non unique) function $U \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{g}^{<0})$ such that the operator $\mathscr{L}_0 = e^{\mathrm{ad}_U} \mathscr{L}$ has the form

$$\mathscr{L}_0 = \partial_x + \Lambda + H(x), \qquad H \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \operatorname{Ker}(\Lambda) \cap \mathfrak{g}^{<0}).$$

(Note that \mathscr{L} and \mathscr{L}_0 are not gauge equivalent). The Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of type D_4 is then defined as the two sequences of matrix Lax equations:

(1.2)
$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial t_k} = \left[-\left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U} \Lambda_k \right)^{\geq 0}, \mathscr{L} \right],$$

(1.3)
$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \hat{t}_k} = \left[\left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U} \Gamma_k \right)^{\geq 0}, \mathscr{L} \right],$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\text{odd}}$, where $(\cdot)^{\geq 0}$ denotes the projection onto the subspace $\mathfrak{g}^{\geq 0}$. These equations eventually take the form of evolutionary PDEs on the coordinates of the matrix q. Changing the representative of \mathscr{L} in its gauge equivalence class amounts to changing coordinates. They do not depend on the choice of the function U. The flows with respect to the variable t_k (1.2) are those we call the positive flows, while those with respect to the variables \hat{t}_k (1.3) are the negative flows.

1.2. Salar Lax pairs. We will start by describing the scalar Lax pairs of the positive flows (1.2). We follow the formal algebraic approach to pseudo-differential operators as in [LWZ10]. We start by setting indeterminates s_k^1, \ldots, s_k^4 , with $k \ge 0$ and denote $s_0^{\alpha} = s^{\alpha}$. Define a formal derivation

$$\partial_x = \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \sum_{k \ge 0} s_{k+1}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_k^{\alpha}},$$

so that $s_{k+1}^{\alpha} = \partial_x(s_k^{\alpha})$ acting on the ring of generalized differential polynomials

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_s = \mathbb{C}[[s^*]][s^*_{>0}][[\varepsilon]],$$

where s^* denotes s^1, \ldots, s^4 . In what follows we will mostly drop the index in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_s$, simply writing $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ when no confusion can arise. We define a gradation $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \bigoplus_{k \ge 0} \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{[k]}$ by setting deg $s_k^{\alpha} = k$ and deg $\varepsilon = -1$. Changes of coordinates $s^{\alpha} \to \widetilde{s}^{\alpha}$ will be described by transformations of the form

$$\widetilde{s}^{\alpha}(s_{\geq 0}^{*};\varepsilon) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{[0]}, \qquad 0 \neq \det\left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{s}^{\alpha}|_{\varepsilon=0}}{\partial s^{\beta}}\right)_{\alpha,\beta=1,\dots,4},$$

called *Miura transforms* and should be seen as maps from $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_s$ to $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\widetilde{s}}$. We refer to [Ros17] for more details on generalized differential polynomials. As usual, we define the ring of pseudo-differential operators that, here, we call of the first type (following the vocabulary of [LWZ10]),

(1.4)
$$\mathcal{D}^{-} = \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{m} a^{k} \partial_{x}^{k} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, a^{k} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{[0]} \right\}$$

The product of two pseudo-differential operators of the first type is defined by, for any $a, b \in \mathcal{D}^$ and any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

(1.5)
$$a\partial_x^n \circ b\partial_x^m = \sum_{k \ge 0} \binom{n}{k} ab_k \varepsilon^k \partial_x^{n+m-k}, \qquad \binom{n}{k} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}{k!},$$

where $b_k = \partial_x^k(b)$, and extended by linearity. Notice that we added a factor ε^k to be coherent with the introduction of generalized differential polynomials. For any operator $X = \sum_{k \leq m} a^k \partial_x^k$, we call the positive part (respectively the negative part) of X the operator $X_+ = \sum_{k \geq 0} a^k \partial_x^k$ (respectively $X_- = \sum_{k < 0} a^k \partial_x^k$).

As already defined by Drinfeld and Sokolov [DS84], the scalar Lax operator of the hierarchy of type D_4 has the form¹

(1.6)
$$L = \partial_x^6 + \partial_x^{-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^3 \left(s^{\mu} \partial_x^{2\mu-1} + \partial_x^{2\mu-1} s^{\mu} \right) + \partial_x^{-1} \varrho \partial_x^{-1} \varrho,$$

where $(\varrho)^2 = s^4$. As expected, $L \in \mathcal{D}^-$. The operator L satisfies the additional condition that $L^*\partial_x = \partial_x L$, where the formal adjoint of an operator is defined by $(a\partial_x^k)^* = (-\partial_x)^k a$. In [LWZ10], the authors give the following proposition.

Proposition 1.1. There exists a unique pseudo-differential operator $P \in \mathcal{D}^-$, called the 6-th root of L, of the form

$$P = \partial_x + \sum_{k < 0} p^k \partial_x^k$$

such that $P^6 = L$. The operator P satisfies [P, L] = 0 and

(1.7)
$$P^*\partial_x + \partial_x P = 0$$

Moreover, Equation (1.7) is equivalent to the condition that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\text{odd}}_+$, the free term of the operator $(P^k)_+$ vanishes, i.e. $(P^k)_+(1) = 0$.

The above proposition implies that the following equations are well defined:

(1.8)
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_k} = \left[\left(P^k \right)_+, L \right], \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{\text{odd}}$$

These equation first appeared in [DS84], they coincide with Equations (1.2). Eventually, they give evolutionary PDEs on the functions s^1, \ldots, s^4 .

Scalar Lax pairs of the negative flows. We now describe the scalar Lax pairs for the negative flows (1.3). As mentioned above, we need to introduce the pseudo-differential operators of the second type, following [LWZ10]. First of all, we extend the additive group \mathcal{D}^- of pseudo-differential operators of the first type into the additive group

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a^k \partial_x^k \ \middle| \ a^k \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{[0]} \right\}.$$

¹Since the coordinate s^4 is treated differently then the others, we use letters μ, ν for coordinates s^1, s^2, s^3 and letters α, β for coordinates s^1, s^2, s^3 and s^4 .

We use on $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{[0]}$ the gradation induced by deg $s_k^{\alpha} = k$ and denote $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{[0]} = \bigoplus_{k \ge 0} \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_k^{[0]}$. We say that an operator $X \in \mathcal{D}^- \subset \mathcal{D}$ is homogeneous of degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if

$$X = \sum_{\ell \le k} a^{\ell} \partial_x^{\ell}, \qquad a^{\ell} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{k-\ell}^{[0]}.$$

We denote by \mathcal{D}_k the additive subgroup of \mathcal{D}^- of homogeneous operators of the first type of degree k. Then $\mathcal{D} = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{D}_k$. Similarly, for any $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define the additive subgroup $\mathcal{D}_{(d)}^+ = \prod_{k \geq d} \mathcal{D}_k$. Now we define the additive subgroup

$$\mathcal{D}^+ = \bigcup_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{D}^+_{(d)}$$

The elements of \mathcal{D}^+ are the so-called *pseudo-differential operators of the second type*. For these operators, we allow infinitely many nonzero terms of negative powers, as well as infinitely many nonzero terms of positive powers of ∂_x . In the end, the spaces \mathcal{D}^- and \mathcal{D}^+ can be described as

$$\mathcal{D}^{-} = \left\{ \sum_{k \le m} \sum_{i \ge 0} a^{k,i} \partial_x^k \ \middle| \ a^{k,i} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_i^{[0]} \right\} \qquad \mathcal{D}^{+} = \left\{ \sum_{k \ge m} \sum_{i \ge m-k} a^{k,i} \partial_x^k \ \middle| \ a^{k,i} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_i^{[0]} \right\}$$

Both are complete topological rings. Also, we define the subgroup

$$\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{b}} = \mathcal{D}^{-} \cap \mathcal{D}^{+},$$

whose elements are called *bounded operators*. Since for any $X \in \mathcal{D}_k$, $Y \in \mathcal{D}_\ell$, their product satisfies $X \circ Y \in \mathcal{D}_{k+\ell}$, it follows that the product (1.5) in \mathcal{D}^- can be extended to \mathcal{D}^+ to form a ring. The following proposition will be important for our computations [LWZ10].

Proposition 1.2. There exists a unique operator $Q \in D^+$, called the square root of L, of the form

(1.9)
$$Q = \partial_x^{-1} \varrho + \sum_{k \ge 0} Q_m \circ \partial_x$$

such that $Q^2 = L$. Here, $Q_m \in \mathcal{D}^b$, is homogeneous of degree 2m and satisfies $Q_m^* = Q_m$. Moreover, the operator Q satisfies

(1.10)
$$Q^*\partial_x + \partial_x Q = 0, \qquad Q^*_+(\varrho) = -\sum_{m\geq 0} \partial_x Q_m(\varrho) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x L_+(1).$$

Notice that in the above proposition, $Q_{+}^{*}(\varrho)$ denotes the evaluation of the differential operator Q_{+}^{*} at the function ϱ . Thanks to this proposition, the following equations are well defined (we rewrite Equation (1.8) for reasons of clarity):

(1.11)
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_k} = \left[\left(P^k \right)_+, L \right], \qquad \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{t}_k} = \left[\left(Q^k \right)_+, L \right], \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{\text{odd}}.$$

Finally, we can state the following theorem ([LWZ10], Theorem 4.11), which gives the complete picture of the scalar Lax pairs representation of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of type D_4 .

Theorem 1.3. The flows (1.2), (1.3) of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of type D_4 coincide with the flows of Equation (1.11)

1.3. **Bi-Hamiltonian structure.** Here we give the two compatible Poisson brackets and Hamiltonian densities for the first Hamiltonian structure of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of type D_4 . They were given in [DS84], Proposition 8.3. To do so, we need to introduce the

operator $\tilde{L} = \partial_x \circ L$ (denoted \mathcal{L} in [LWZ10]), which we write down using coordinates v^{α} , namely,

(1.12)

$$\tilde{L} = \partial_x^7 + \sum_{\mu=1}^3 \left(s^{\mu} \partial_x^{2\mu-1} + \partial_x^{2\mu-1} s^{\mu} \right) + \varrho \partial_x^{-1} \varrho$$

$$= \partial_x^7 + \sum_{\mu=1}^3 \left(v^{\mu} \partial_x^{2\mu-1} + \tilde{v}^{\mu} \partial_x^{2\mu-2} \right) + \varrho \partial_x^{-1} \varrho,$$

and we set $v^4 = s^4 = (\varrho)^2$. The coordinates \tilde{v}^{α} are related to the coordinates v^{α} via the condition $\tilde{L}^* + \tilde{L} = 0$ (see Equation (1.21)). In turns, the coordinates v^{α} are related to the coordinates s^{α} via a Miura transform by identifying the two expressions of \tilde{L} .

We call *local functionals*, the elements of the quotient space

$$\Lambda = \mathcal{A} / \left(\operatorname{Im}(\partial_x) \oplus \mathbb{C}[[\varepsilon]] \right).$$

Given a differential polynomial $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, we denote by $\overline{f} = \int f dx$ its class in $\widehat{\Lambda}$. For a local functional $\overline{f} \in \widehat{\Lambda}$ in formal variables v_*^* , we can define its variational derivatives by

$$\frac{\delta \overline{f}}{\delta v^{\alpha}} = \sum_{k \ge 0} (-1)^k \partial_x^k \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial v_k^{\alpha}} \right) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}.$$

It is well known that if $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is such that f(0) = 0 (no constant term), then $f \in \text{Im}(\partial_x)$ if and only if $\frac{\delta \overline{f}}{\delta v^{\alpha}} = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ (see e.g. [GKMZ70], Lemma 2). In particular, the variational derivatives are well defined on $\widehat{\Lambda}$. Next we define the variational differential (or variational derivative w.r.t. \widetilde{L}) by

$$\frac{\delta\overline{f}}{\delta\widetilde{L}} = \frac{\delta\overline{f}}{\delta v^4} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mu=1}^3 \left(\frac{\delta\overline{f}}{\delta v^{\mu}}\partial_x^{-2\mu} + \partial_x^{-2\mu}\frac{\delta\overline{f}}{\delta v^{\mu}}\right) \in \mathcal{D}^-.$$

In [DS84], Drinfeld and Sokolov gave the following Poisson brackets: Let two local functionals $\overline{f}, \overline{g} \in \widehat{\Lambda}$ and their variational differentials $X = \frac{\delta \overline{f}}{\delta \widetilde{L}}, Y = \frac{\delta \overline{g}}{\delta \widetilde{L}}$, then

$$\{\overline{f},\overline{g}\}_1 = \varepsilon^{-1} \int \operatorname{res} X \left[(\partial_x Y_+ \tilde{L})_- - (\tilde{L}Y_+ \partial_x)_- - (\partial_x Y_- \tilde{L})_+ + (\tilde{L}Y_- \partial_x)_+ \right] dx, \{\overline{f},\overline{g}\}_2 = \varepsilon^{-1} \int \operatorname{res} X \left[(\tilde{L}Y)_+ \tilde{L} - \tilde{L}(Y\tilde{L})_+ \right] dx.$$

These brackets are compatible in the sense that for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, the map $\lambda\{\cdot, \cdot\}_1 + \mu\{\cdot, \cdot\}_2$ still satisfies Jacobi's identity. In [LWZ10], the authors proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. The hierarchy (1.11) admits the following bi-Hamiltonian representation: for any local functional $\overline{f} \in \widehat{\Lambda}$,

(1.13)
$$\frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial t_k} = \{\overline{f}, H_{k+6}\}_1 = \{\overline{f}, H_k\}_2, \qquad \frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial \hat{t}_k} = \{\overline{f}, \hat{H}_{k+2}\}_1 = \{\overline{f}, \hat{H}_k\}_2,$$

where the Hamiltonian functionals are given by

$$H_k = \frac{6}{k} \int \operatorname{res} P^k dx, \qquad \hat{H}_k = \frac{2}{k} \int \operatorname{res} Q^k dx$$

1.4. Tau structure. Finally, we present the tau structure (in the sense of [DZ05]) of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of type D_4 . The first step is to define the so-called topological variables: for $\mu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $p \ge 0$,

$$t_p^{\mu} = \frac{6\Gamma\left(p+1+\frac{2\mu-1}{6}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2\mu-1}{6}\right)} t_{6p+2\mu-1}, \qquad t_p^4 = \frac{2\Gamma\left(p+\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \hat{t}_{2p+1}.$$

In particular, $t_0^1 = t_1 = x$. In the same fashion, we define the following Hamiltonian densities:

(1.14)
$$h_{\mu,p-1} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2\mu-1}{6}\right)}{6\Gamma\left(p+1+\frac{2\mu-1}{6}\right)} \operatorname{res} P^{6p+2\mu-1}, \qquad h_{4,p-1} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{2\Gamma\left(p+\frac{3}{2}\right)} \operatorname{res} Q^{2p+1}, \\ = 6^p \prod_{j=0}^p (2\mu-1+6j)^{-1} \operatorname{res} P^{6p+2\mu-1}, \qquad = 2^p \prod_{j=0}^p (1+2j)^{-1} \operatorname{res} Q^{2p+1}.$$

We denote by $\overline{h}_{\alpha,p} = \int h_{\alpha,p} dx$ the associated functionals. Then the Hamiltonian equations (1.13) read

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_p^{\alpha}} = \{\overline{f}, \overline{h}_{\alpha, p}\}_1 = \left(p + \frac{1}{2} + \mu_{\alpha}\right)^{-1} \{\overline{f}, \overline{h}_{\alpha, p-1}\}_2,$$

where μ_{α} 's are the spectrum of the underlying Frobenius manifold [Dub96, DLZ08]; they read $\mu_{\nu} = \frac{2\nu-4}{6}$, for $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and $\mu_4 = 0$. These Hamiltonian densities satisfy the so-called tau symmetry [DZ05], [LWZ10]: for any $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $p, q \ge 1$,

(1.15)
$$\frac{\partial h_{\alpha,p-1}}{\partial t_q^{\beta}} = \frac{\partial h_{\beta,q-1}}{\partial t_p^{\alpha}}.$$

If we define differential polynomials $\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}$ via $\partial_x \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q} = \partial_{t_q^\beta} h_{\alpha,p-1}$, then we can find integration constants such that they satisfy $\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q} = \Omega_{\beta,q;\alpha,p}$. This is a sufficient condition to define the tau function τ of the hierarchy by setting [DZ05]

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log \tau}{\partial t_n^{\alpha} \partial t_q^{\beta}} = \Omega_{\alpha, p; \beta, q}$$

1.5. Explicit tau-symmetric Hamiltonian densities. In this section, we give the explicit fomulae for the Hamiltonian densities $h_{\alpha,-1}$, $h_{\alpha,0}$, for $1 \leq \alpha \leq 4$, and $h_{1,1}$. As proven in [BG16], even $\overline{h}_{1,1}$ (and the Poisson structure) suffices to confirm the equivalence with the double ramification hierarchy (see Section 2). Our computations will be expressed in a special set of coordinates called *normal* and defined by

$$\widetilde{u}^{\alpha} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} h_{\beta,-1}$$

(summation over $1 \le \beta \le 4$ is implicit) where the matrix $(\eta^{\alpha\beta})$ is given by (see e.g. [LRZ15])

$$(\eta^{\alpha\beta}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

By Equation (1.14), the normal coordinates read, for $\mu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\widetilde{u}^{\mu} = \frac{6}{7 - 2\mu} \operatorname{res} P^{7 - 2\mu}, \qquad \widetilde{u}^4 = 2 \operatorname{res} Q.$$

Because of the form of the operator Q (1.9), its residue is straightforwardly res $Q = \rho$, which means that $\tilde{u}^4 = 2\rho = 2\sqrt{s^4}$. For the remaining normal coordinates, we compute the residues in the coordinates s^{α} and then invert the system. We find

(1.16)
$$\begin{cases} s^{1} = \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{u}^{1} + \frac{1}{12}\widetilde{u}^{2}\widetilde{u}^{3} + \frac{1}{216}\left(\widetilde{u}^{3}\right)^{3} + \left(-\frac{1}{8}\left(\widetilde{u}^{3}_{1}\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{6}\widetilde{u}^{2}_{2} - \frac{1}{9}\widetilde{u}^{3}\widetilde{u}^{3}_{2}\right)\varepsilon^{2} + \frac{23}{90}\widetilde{u}^{3}_{4}\varepsilon^{4}, \\ s^{2} = \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{u}^{2} + \frac{1}{8}\left(\widetilde{u}^{3}\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{u}^{3}_{2}\varepsilon^{2}, \\ s^{3} = \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{u}^{3}, \\ s^{4} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\widetilde{u}^{4}\right)^{2}. \end{cases}$$

(We have performed the substitution $\partial_x^k(f) \to (\varepsilon/\sqrt{2})^k \partial_x^k(f)$.) This agrees with the expressions found in [LRZ15], p. 751. Then the Hamiltonian densities $h_{\alpha,0}$ are given by, for $\mu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$h_{\mu,0} = \frac{6}{(2\mu - 1)(2\mu + 5)} \operatorname{res} P^{2\mu + 5}, \qquad h_{4,0} = \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{res} Q^3$$

For the computation res Q^3 we write

$$\operatorname{res} Q^{3} = \operatorname{res} QL = \operatorname{res} \left(\partial_{x}^{-1} \varrho L\right) + \operatorname{res} \left(\sum_{m \ge 0} Q_{m} \partial_{x} L\right),$$
$$= \operatorname{res} \left(\partial_{x}^{-1} \varrho L\right) + \operatorname{res} \left(\sum_{m \ge 0} Q_{m} \varrho \partial_{x}^{-1} \varrho\right),$$

where in the last equation we used the fact that $\partial_x L = \tilde{L} = \tilde{L}_+ + \rho \partial_x^{-1} \rho$. To compute the rightmost term, we write $Q_m = \sum_{k \leq 2m} q^{m,k} \partial_x^k$, then

$$\operatorname{res}\left(\sum_{m\geq 0}Q_{m}\varrho\partial_{x}^{-1}\varrho\right) = \operatorname{res}\left(\sum_{m\geq 0}\sum_{k\leq 2m}q^{m,k}\partial_{x}^{k}\varrho\partial_{x}^{-1}\varrho\right)$$
$$= \operatorname{res}\left(\sum_{m\geq 0}\sum_{k\leq 2m}q^{m,k}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k}\binom{k}{\ell}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\ell}\varrho_{\ell}\partial_{x}^{k-1-\ell}\varrho\right)$$
$$= \sum_{m\geq 0}\sum_{k\leq 2m}q^{m,k}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{k}\varrho_{k}\varrho = \varrho\sum_{m\geq 0}Q_{m}(\varrho).$$

In the above equations, all matters of convergence are resolved by the grading of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ and the fact that $Q \in \mathcal{D}^+$. Now thanks to Equation (1.10), it follows that

$$\sum_{m \ge 0} Q_m(\varrho) = \frac{1}{2} L_+(1) = \sum_{\mu=1}^3 s_{2\mu-2}^{\mu} \frac{\varepsilon^{2\mu-2}}{2^{\mu-1}}$$

Using $\widetilde{u}^4 = 2\varrho$, we finally find that

$$h_{4,0} = \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{res} \left(\partial_x^{-1} \widetilde{u}^4 L \right) + \frac{1}{3} \widetilde{u}^4 \sum_{\mu=1}^3 s_{2\mu-2}^{\mu} \frac{\varepsilon^{2\mu-2}}{2^{\mu-1}}.$$

We find:

$$\begin{split} h_{1,0} &= \left(\frac{1}{12} \left(\widetilde{u}^2\right)^2 + \frac{1}{6} \widetilde{u}^1 \widetilde{u}^3 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\widetilde{u}^4\right)^2\right) + \left(\frac{1}{72} \widetilde{u}^3 \left(\widetilde{u}^3_1\right)^2 + \frac{1}{3} \widetilde{u}^1_2 + \frac{1}{216} \left(\widetilde{u}^3\right)^2 \widetilde{u}^3_2\right) \varepsilon^2 + \left(\frac{1}{216} \left(\widetilde{u}^3_2\right)^2 + \frac{1}{72} \widetilde{u}^3_1 \widetilde{u}^3_3 + \frac{1}{180} \widetilde{u}^3 \widetilde{u}^3_4\right) \varepsilon^4 + \frac{1}{840} \widetilde{u}^3_6 \varepsilon^6 \\ h_{2,0} &= \left(\frac{1}{6} \widetilde{u}^1 \widetilde{u}^2 - \frac{1}{72} \left(\widetilde{u}^2\right)^2 \widetilde{u}^3 + \frac{1}{648} \widetilde{u}^2 \left(\widetilde{u}^3\right)^3 + \frac{1}{24} \widetilde{u}^3 \left(\widetilde{u}^4\right)^2\right) + \left(-\frac{1}{24} \left(\widetilde{u}^2_1\right)^2 + \frac{1}{24} \widetilde{u}^3 \widetilde{u}^2_1 \widetilde{u}^3_1 + \frac{1}{72} \widetilde{u}^2 \left(\widetilde{u}^3_1\right)^2 + \frac{1}{8} \left(\widetilde{u}^4_1\right)^2 - \frac{1}{18} \widetilde{u}^2 \widetilde{u}^2_2 + \frac{1}{72} \left(\widetilde{u}^3\right)^2 \widetilde{u}^2_2 + \frac{1}{54} \widetilde{u}^2 \widetilde{u}^3 \widetilde{u}^3_2 + \frac{1}{6} \widetilde{u}^4 \widetilde{u}^4_2\right) \varepsilon^2 + \left(\frac{1}{12} \widetilde{u}^2_2 \widetilde{u}^3_2 + \frac{5}{72} \widetilde{u}^3_1 \widetilde{u}^3_3 + \frac{1}{18} \widetilde{u}^2_1 \widetilde{u}^3_3 + \frac{1}{36} \widetilde{u}^3 \widetilde{u}^4_4 + \frac{2}{135} \widetilde{u}^2 \widetilde{u}^3_4\right) \varepsilon^4 + \frac{1}{72} \widetilde{u}^2_6 \varepsilon^6 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} h_{3,0} &= \left(\frac{1}{12}\left(\tilde{u}^{1}\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{216}\left(\tilde{u}^{2}\right)^{3} + \frac{1}{432}\left(\tilde{u}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{233280}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}\right)^{6} + \frac{1}{24}\tilde{u}^{2}\left(\tilde{u}^{4}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{144}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}\right)^{2}\left(\tilde{u}^{4}\right)^{2}\right) + \\ &\left(\frac{5}{432}\tilde{u}^{3}\left(\tilde{u}^{2}_{1}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{108}\tilde{u}^{3}\tilde{u}^{1}_{1}\tilde{u}^{3}_{1} + \frac{1}{36}\tilde{u}^{2}\tilde{u}^{2}_{1}\tilde{u}^{3}_{1} + \frac{1}{1296}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}\right)^{3}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{1}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{12}\tilde{u}^{4}\tilde{u}^{3}\tilde{u}^{4}_{1} + \frac{5}{144}\tilde{u}^{3}\left(\tilde{u}^{4}_{1}\right)^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{216}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}\right)^{2}\tilde{u}^{1}_{2} + \frac{1}{54}\tilde{u}^{2}\tilde{u}^{3}\tilde{u}^{2}_{2} + \frac{1}{108}\left(\tilde{u}^{2}\right)^{2}\tilde{u}^{3}_{2} + \frac{1}{3888}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}\right)^{4}\tilde{u}^{3}_{2} + \frac{1}{6}\left(\tilde{u}^{4}\right)^{2}\tilde{u}^{3}_{2} + \frac{1}{18}\tilde{u}^{3}\tilde{u}^{4}\tilde{u}^{4}_{2}\right)\varepsilon^{2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{5}{2592}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{1}\right)^{4} + \frac{13}{720}\left(\tilde{u}^{2}_{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{55}{3888}\tilde{u}^{3}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{1}\right)^{2}\tilde{u}^{2}_{2} + \frac{29}{1080}\tilde{u}^{1}_{2}\tilde{u}^{3}_{2} + \frac{67}{15552}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}\right)^{2}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{13}{240}\left(\tilde{u}^{4}_{2}\right)^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{30}\tilde{u}^{3}\tilde{u}^{3}_{1} + \frac{31}{1080}\tilde{u}^{2}_{1}\tilde{u}^{3}_{3} + \frac{11}{1080}\tilde{u}^{1}_{1}\tilde{u}^{3}_{3} + \frac{49}{7776}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}\right)^{2}\tilde{u}^{3}_{1}\tilde{u}^{3}_{3} + \frac{31}{360}\tilde{u}^{4}\tilde{u}^{4}_{3} + \frac{1}{60}\tilde{u}^{3}\tilde{u}^{3}_{4} + \frac{2}{15}\tilde{u}^{2}\tilde{u}^{2}_{4} \\ &+ \frac{1}{1296}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}\right)^{3}\tilde{u}^{3}_{4} + \frac{2}{45}\tilde{u}^{4}\tilde{u}^{4}_{4}\right)\varepsilon^{4} + \left(\frac{1129}{1129}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}\right)^{3} + \frac{168880}{1360}\tilde{u}^{3}_{1}\tilde{u}^{2}_{3}\tilde{u}^{3}_{3} + \frac{1}{120}\tilde{u}^{3}\tilde{u}^{3}_{3}^{3}\right)^{2} + \frac{19}{1620}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{3}\right)^{2}\tilde{u}^{3}_{4} \\ &+ \frac{29}{2160}\tilde{u}^{3}\tilde{u}^{3}_{2}\tilde{u}^{3}_{4} + \frac{13}{1944}\tilde{u}^{3}\tilde{u}^{3}_{1}\tilde{u}^{3}_{5} + \frac{11}{1080}\tilde{u}^{3}_{1}\tilde{u}^{3}_{3}\right)\varepsilon^{8} + \frac{7}{118800}\tilde{u}^{3}_{1}\tilde{u}^{2}_{6}\right)\varepsilon^{6} \\ h_{4,0} &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{u}^{1}\tilde{u}^{4} + \frac{1}{12}\tilde{u}^{2}\tilde{u}^{3}\tilde{u}^{4} + \frac{1}{126}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}\right)^{3}\tilde{u}^{4}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{24}\tilde{u}^{4}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{1}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\tilde{u}^{2}\tilde{u}^{3}\tilde{u}^{3}_{4} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{u}^{3}\tilde{u}^{3}_{4}\right)\varepsilon^{4} \\ h_{4,0} &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{u}^{1}\tilde{u}^{4} + \frac{1}{12}\tilde{u}^{2}\tilde{u}^{3}\tilde{u}^{4} + \frac{1}{24}\left(\tilde{u}^{3}\right)^{2}\tilde{u}^{2}\right)\varepsilon^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{4}\tilde{u}^{3}$$

Finally, the density $h_{1,1}$ is given by

$$h_{1,1} = \frac{36}{91} \operatorname{res} P^{13}.$$

We find:

$$\begin{split} (1.17) \\ h_{1,1} &= \left(\frac{1}{12}\widetilde{u}^{1} \left(\widetilde{u}^{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{12} \left(\widetilde{u}^{1}\right)^{2} u^{3} - \frac{1}{108} \left(\widetilde{u}^{2}\right)^{3} \widetilde{u}^{3} + \frac{1}{432} \left(\widetilde{u}^{2}\right)^{2} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}\right)^{3} + \frac{1}{326592} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}\right)^{7} + \frac{1}{4}\widetilde{u}^{1} \left(\widetilde{u}^{4}\right)^{2} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{12}\widetilde{u}^{2}\widetilde{u}^{3} \left(\widetilde{u}^{4}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{144} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}\right)^{3} \left(\widetilde{u}^{4}\right)^{2} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{6} \left(\widetilde{u}^{1}_{1}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{3}\widetilde{u}^{1}_{2} u^{1} - \frac{5}{72} \left(\widetilde{u}^{2}_{1}\right)^{2} \widetilde{u}^{2} + \frac{5}{24} \left(\widetilde{u}^{1}_{1}\right)^{2} \widetilde{u}^{2} - \frac{1}{18}\widetilde{u}^{2} \left(\widetilde{u}^{2}\right)^{2} \right)^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{54} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}_{1}\right)^{2} \left(\widetilde{u}^{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{72} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}_{1}\right)^{2} \widetilde{u}^{1} u^{3} + \frac{19}{216} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{1} u^{2} \widetilde{u}^{3} + \frac{1}{36} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{2} \left(\widetilde{u}^{2}\right)^{2} u^{3} + \frac{1}{54} \left(\widetilde{u}^{2}_{1}\right)^{2} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{72} \widetilde{u}^{1}_{1} \widetilde{u}^{3}^{3} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}\right)^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{18} \left(\widetilde{u}^{1}_{1}\right)^{2} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}\right)^{3} + \frac{1}{52} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{1} \widetilde{u}^{1} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}\right)^{2} + \frac{7}{716} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{2} \widetilde{u}^{2} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}\right)^{2} + \frac{7}{7176} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}_{1}\right)^{2} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}\right)^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{1888} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{2} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}\right)^{3} + \frac{1}{52} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{1} \widetilde{u}^{1} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}\right)^{2} + \frac{7}{716} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{1} \widetilde{u}^{2} \widetilde{u}^{3} \left(\widetilde{u}^{4}\right)^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{18} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}_{1}\right)^{2} \left(\widetilde{u}^{4}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{12} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{2} \widetilde{u}^{3} \left(\widetilde{u}^{4}\right)^{2} \right) \varepsilon^{2} + \left(\frac{7}{4} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{1} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{1} \widetilde{u}^{3}_{1} + \frac{7}{716} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{1} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}\right)^{2} \left(\widetilde{u}^{4}\right)^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{18} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}_{1}\right)^{2} \left(\widetilde{u}^{4}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{12} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{2} \widetilde{u}^{3} \left(\widetilde{u}^{4}\right)^{2} \varepsilon^{2} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}\right)^{2} \varepsilon^{2} + \left(\frac{7}{4} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{1} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{2} \widetilde{u}^{3}_{1} + \frac{7}{716} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{1} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{2} \left(\widetilde{u}^{4}\right)^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{18} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}_{1}\right)^{2} \left(\widetilde{u}^{4}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{12} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{2} \widetilde{u}^{3} \left(\widetilde{u}^{3}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{316} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{1} \widetilde{u}^{3}_{2} \widetilde{u}^{3} \\ &+ \frac{1}{16} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{1} \widetilde{u}^{3} \widetilde{u}^{3} + \frac{1}{16} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{1} \widetilde{u}^{3} \widetilde{u}^{3} + \frac{1}{16} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{1} \widetilde{u}^{3} \widetilde{u}^{3} \\ &+ \frac{1}{16} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{1} \widetilde{u}^{3} \widetilde{u}^{3} + \frac{1}{16} \widetilde{u}^{3}_{1} \widetilde{u}^{3} \widetilde{u}^{3} \\ &+ \frac{1}{16} \widetilde{u}^{2}_{1} \widetilde{u}^{3} \widetilde{u}^{$$

1.6. Explicit first Poisson structure. Finally, we compute the components of the first Poisson structure given by, for two local functionals $\overline{f}, \overline{g} \in \widehat{\Lambda}$ and their variational differentials $X = \frac{\delta \overline{f}}{\delta L}, Y = \frac{\delta \overline{g}}{\delta L}$,

(1.18)
$$\{\overline{f},\overline{g}\}_1 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int \operatorname{res} X \left[(\partial_x Y_+ \tilde{L})_- - (\tilde{L}Y_+ \partial_x)_- - (\partial_x Y_- \tilde{L})_+ + (\tilde{L}Y_- \partial_x)_+ \right] dx.$$

Working with normal coordinate \tilde{u}_*^* , we aim to express this bracket through an Hamiltonian operator $K_{\tilde{u}}^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{k\geq 0} K_k^{\alpha\beta} \partial_x^k$, where $K_k^{\alpha\beta} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{u}}^{[-k+1]}$, via

$$\{\overline{f},\overline{g}\}_1 = \int \frac{\delta\overline{f}}{\delta\widetilde{u}^{\alpha}} K_{\widetilde{u}}^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\delta\overline{g}}{\delta\widetilde{u}^{\beta}}$$

Under a Miura transformation $\widetilde{u}_*^* = \widetilde{u}_*^*(u_*^*, \varepsilon)$, the Hamiltonian operator transforms accoring to $K_{\widetilde{u}}^{\alpha\beta} = (L^*)^{\alpha}_{\mu} \circ K_u^{\mu\nu} \circ L_{\nu}^{\beta}$, where $(L^*)^{\alpha}_{\mu} = \sum_{s \ge 0} \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}^{\alpha}}{\partial u_s^{\mu}} \partial_x^s$, $L_{\nu}^{\beta} = \sum_{s \ge 0} (-\partial_x)^s \circ \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}^{\beta}}{\partial u_s^{\nu}}$. When clear from the context which formal variables are being used, as usual, we will drop the corresponding index in $K_u^{\alpha\beta}$ or $K_{\widetilde{u}}^{\alpha\beta}$ and simply write $K^{\alpha\beta}$. We use Dirac's notation of the components $K^{\alpha\beta}$ of the bracket and rewrite the above expression in the equivalent form

(1.19)
$$\{\overline{f},\overline{g}\}_1 = \iint \frac{\delta\overline{f}(x)}{\delta\widetilde{u}^{\alpha}(x)} \{\widetilde{u}^{\alpha}(x),\widetilde{u}^{\beta}(y)\}_1 \frac{\delta\overline{g}(y)}{\delta\widetilde{u}^{\beta}(y)} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y,$$

where

(1.20)
$$\{\widetilde{u}^{\alpha}(x), \widetilde{u}^{\beta}(y)\}_{1} := \sum_{k \ge 0} K_{k}^{\alpha\beta}(x)\delta^{(k)}(x-y).$$

In Equation (1.20), the expression " $\{\tilde{u}^{\alpha}(x), \tilde{u}^{\beta}(y)\}_{1}$ " really is only a notation and does not mean that we evaluate the bracket on the pair of functions ($\tilde{u}^{\alpha}(x), \tilde{u}^{\beta}(y)$). However, one can evaluate the bracket on the pair of functions ($\tilde{u}^{\alpha}(z)\delta(x-z), \tilde{u}^{\beta}(z)\delta(y-z)$) (sometimes called the *coordinate functionals* in physics literature) and find that, almost tautologically,

$$\begin{split} \{\widetilde{u}^{\alpha}(z)\delta(x-z),\widetilde{u}^{\beta}\delta(y-z)\}_{1} &= \iint \frac{\delta\widetilde{u}^{\alpha}(z)\delta(x-z)}{\delta\widetilde{u}^{\mu}(z)} \sum_{k\geq 0} K_{k}^{\mu\nu}\delta^{(k)}(z-z') \frac{\delta\widetilde{u}^{\alpha}(z')\delta(x-z')}{\delta\widetilde{u}^{\mu}(z')} \mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}z'\\ &= \sum_{k\geq 0} K_{k}^{\alpha\beta}(x)\delta^{(k)}(x-y). \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\{\widetilde{u}^{\alpha}(x),\widetilde{u}^{\beta}(y)\}_{1} = \{\widetilde{u}^{\alpha}(z)\delta(x-z),\widetilde{u}^{\beta}\delta(y-z)\}_{1},\$$

where in the above equation, the left-hand side is purely notational, while the right-hand side can actually be computed.

Now the Poisson bracket (1.18) is given in terms of the variational differentials, so that we first need to compute those of the coordinate functionals. To do so, we need to transform the normal coordinates \tilde{u}^{α} into the coordinates v^{α} of Equation (1.12). The coordinates \tilde{v}^{α} are related to the v^{α} 's by the condition $\tilde{L}^* + L = 0$; they read

(1.21)
$$\begin{cases} \tilde{v}^1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} v_1^1 \varepsilon - \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}} v_3^2 \varepsilon^3 + \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}} v_5^3 \varepsilon^5, \\ \tilde{v}^2 = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}} v_1^2 \varepsilon - \frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}} v_3^3 \varepsilon^3, \\ \tilde{v}^3 = \frac{5}{2\sqrt{2}} v_1^3 \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Then by identifying the two operators in Equation (1.18) and inverting Equation (1.16), we find the following Miura transformation from the normal coordinates \tilde{u}^{α} to the coordinates v^{α} :

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{u}^{1} = v^{1} - \frac{1}{6}v^{2}v^{3} + \frac{7}{216}(v^{3})^{3} + \left(\frac{1}{12}(v_{1}^{3})^{2} - \frac{5}{12}v_{2}^{2} + \frac{11}{36}v^{3}v_{2}^{3}\right)\varepsilon^{2} + \frac{89}{90}v_{4}^{3}\varepsilon^{4}, \\ \widetilde{u}^{2} = v^{2} - \frac{1}{4}(v^{3})^{2} - \frac{3}{2}v_{2}^{3}\varepsilon^{2}, \\ \widetilde{u}^{3} = v^{3}, \\ \widetilde{u}^{4} = 2\sqrt{v^{4}}. \end{cases}$$

Now recall that the variational differentials are given by

$$\frac{\delta F(z)}{\delta \tilde{L}(z)} = \frac{\delta F(z)}{\delta v^4(z)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^3 \left(\frac{\delta F(z)}{\delta v^{\mu}(z)} \partial_z^{-2\mu} + \partial_z^{-2\mu} \frac{\delta F(z)}{\delta v^{\mu}(z)} \right) \in \mathcal{D}^-.$$

It follows that the variational differentials of the coordinates functionals are given by, for $\mu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

(1.22)
$$\frac{\delta \widetilde{u}^{\mu}(z)\delta(x-z)}{\delta \widetilde{L}(z)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{3} \left(\frac{\delta \widetilde{u}^{\mu}(z)\delta(x-z)}{\delta v^{\nu}(z)} \partial_{z}^{-2\nu} + \partial_{z}^{-2\nu} \frac{\delta \widetilde{u}^{\mu}(z)\delta(x-z)}{\delta v^{\nu}(z)} \right),$$

(1.23)
$$\frac{\delta \widetilde{u}^4(z)\delta(x-z)}{\delta \widetilde{L}(z)} = \frac{\delta \left(2\sqrt{v^4(z)}\delta(x-z)\right)}{\delta v^4(z)} = \frac{2}{u^4(z)}\delta(x-z)$$

Let us denote, for $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$,

$$X^{\alpha}(z) := \frac{\delta \, \tilde{u}^{\alpha}(z)\delta(x-z)}{\delta \tilde{L}(z)}, \qquad Y^{\alpha}(z) := \frac{\delta \, \tilde{u}^{\alpha}(z)\delta(y-z)}{\delta \tilde{L}(z)}$$

As we can see in Equations (1.22) and (1.23), for any $\mu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have $Y^{\mu}(z)_{+} = 0$, and $Y^{4}(z)_{-} = 0$. It follows that

$$\{\widetilde{u}^4(x), \widetilde{u}^4(y)\}_1 = 2\delta'(x-y)$$

(Note that this computation does not depend on the integer n of D_n , meaning that similarly, in the D_n case, $\{\tilde{u}^n(x), \tilde{u}^n(y)\}_1 = 2\delta'(x-y)$.) It is easy to see that for any $\mu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\{\tilde{u}^{\mu}(x), \tilde{u}^4(y)\}_1 = 0$. The remaining components are computed in a similar fashion, this time using

$$\{\widetilde{u}^{\mu}(x), \widetilde{u}^{\nu}(y)\}_{1} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int \operatorname{res} X^{\mu}(z) \left[\left(\widetilde{L}(z)Y^{\nu}(z)\partial_{z} \right)_{+} - \left(\partial_{z}Y^{\nu}(z)\widetilde{L}(z) \right)_{+} \right] \mathrm{d}z,$$

for $\mu, \nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Now we equivalently write ∂_x^k instead of $\delta^{(k)}(x - y)$, for their action is identical. We write down the components $\{\tilde{u}^{\alpha}(x), \tilde{u}^{\beta}(y)\}_1$ in the following matrix:

(1.24)
$$K_{\tilde{u}}^{\mathrm{DS}} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{6}\widetilde{u}_{2}^{3}\partial_{x} + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{u}_{1}^{3}\partial_{x}^{2} + \frac{1}{3}\widetilde{u}^{3}\partial_{x}^{3}\right)\varepsilon^{2} + \frac{4}{15}\partial_{x}^{5}\varepsilon^{4} & 0 & 6\partial_{x} & 0\\ 0 & 6\partial_{x} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \eta\partial_{x} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$$

2. Classical double ramification hierarchy for the D_4 Dubrovin-Saito CohFT

In this section we compute the double ramification hierarchy for the D_4 Dubrovin-Saito or Fan-Jarvis-Ruan-Witten cohomological field theory (the latter with respect to the non-maximal diagonal symmetry group $\langle J \rangle = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$) in the framework of homogeneous integrable systems of double ramification type. This means that we will find the unique dispersive deformation of double ramification type, according to [BDGR16b], of the principal hierarchy associated to the Frobenius manifold for the D_4 Coxeter group [Dub99], compatible with the natural grading of this homogeneous CohFT. Next we will show that this D_4 DR hierarchy coincides with the D_4 Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy described in the previous section. Indeed, in [GM05, FGM10] (see also [LRZ15]) the authors proved that the D_4 Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy is the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy of the aforementioned D_4 CohFT and, as proven in [BG16], for a hierarchy of DR type to coincide with a DZ hierarchy with the same dispersionless (i.e. genus 0) limit, it is enough that their Hamiltonian operators and Hamiltonians $\overline{h}_{1,1}$ coincide, which is what we will prove.

2.1. Double ramification hierarchy. We will not spell here the full definition of the classical double ramification hierarchy and its quantization, referring the reader to the papers [Bur15, BR16a, BR16b, BDGR18], or the review [Ros17], instead.

The construction has, as input, a cohomological field theory $c_{g,n}: V^{\otimes n} \to H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$ on the moduli space of stable curves $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, with metric η on the vector space V and unit $e_1 \in V$ (see [KM94] for the definition) and, from its intersection theory, produces an integrable system of tau-symmetric Hamiltonian PDEs with Hamiltonian densities $g_{\alpha,d} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_u$, $1 \leq \alpha \leq N$, $d \geq -1$ where only even powers of ε appear, and Hamiltonian operator $K_u^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_x$.

The densities $g_{\alpha,d}$ satisfy the property $\frac{\partial g_{\alpha,d+1}}{\partial u^1} = g_{\alpha,d}$ with, in particular, $g_{\alpha,-1} = \eta_{\alpha\mu}u^{\mu}$. As remarked in [BDGR16b], an integrable system with this property and the above Poisson structure automatically possesses a tau-structure given by $h_{\alpha,d} = \frac{\delta \overline{g}_{\alpha,d+1}}{\delta u^1}$, with normal coordinates given by $\widetilde{u}^{\alpha} = \eta^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\delta \overline{g}_{\mu,0}}{\delta u^1}$, $1 \leq \alpha \leq N$.

In case the vector space V is graded, with deg $e_1 = 0$, the cohomological field theory is homogeneous if there exists $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the maps $c_{g,n} : V^{\otimes n} \to H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$ and $\eta : V^{\otimes 2} \to \mathbb{C}$ have degree

$$\deg c_{g,n} = \delta(g-1), \qquad \deg \eta = -\delta, \qquad \delta \in \mathbb{C}, \quad 1 \le \alpha \le \dim V.$$

In this case the formal variables u_k^{α} and ε acquire a grading too and the Hamiltonian densities of the resulting DR hierarchy are homogeneous:

$$|u_k^{\alpha}| = 1 - \deg e_{\alpha}, \qquad |\varepsilon| = \frac{1-\delta}{2}, \qquad |g_{\alpha,d}| = d + 3 - \delta - |u^{\alpha}|.$$

This grading $|\cdot|$ is not related to the differential grading deg(\cdot) on $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\widehat{\Lambda}$ introduced in section 1.2.

The DR hierarchy coincides with the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy [DZ05, BPS12a, BPS12b] in genus 0 (i.e. its dispersionless limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ is the principal hierarchy of the corresponding Frobenius manifold, see [DZ05]) and the two hierarchies are conjectured to be equivalent up to a Miura transformation preserving the tau-structure. This is the strong DR/DZ equivalence conjecture of [BDGR18], which has been proven for several CohFTs but is open in general. In this paper we will prove the conjecture for the D_4 Dubrovin-Saito CohFT.

2.2. Integrable systems of DR type. Let $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ denote the Poisson structure associated to the Hamiltonian operator $\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_x$. For a local functional $\overline{h} \in \widehat{\Lambda}_N^{[0]}$ consider the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{h}}: \widehat{\mathcal{A}}[[z]] \to \widehat{\mathcal{A}}[[z]]$ defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\overline{h}} = \partial_x \circ (D-1) - z\{\cdot, \overline{h}\}, \qquad D := \sum_{k \ge 0} u_k^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k^{\alpha}} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}.$$

Suppose there exist $N = \dim V$ solutions $g_{\alpha}(z) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{[0]}[[z]], \alpha = 1, \ldots, N$, to $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{h}}g_{\alpha}(z) = 0$ with initial conditions $g_{\alpha}(z=0) = \eta_{\alpha\mu}u^{\mu}$. Then a new vector of solutions in the same class can be

found by the following transformation

(2.1)
$$g_{\alpha}(z) \mapsto a^{\mu}_{\alpha}(z)g_{\mu}(z) + b_{\alpha}(z),$$

where $a^{\mu}_{\alpha}(z) = \delta^{\mu}_{\alpha} + \sum_{i>0} a^{\mu}_{\alpha,i} z^i \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ and $b_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{i>0} b_{\alpha,i} z^i \in \mathbb{C}[[z]].$

The following result from [BDGR16b] will constitute the main technical tool in our computation the DR hierarchy of the D_4 CohFT.

Theorem 2.1 ([BDGR16b]). Assume that $\overline{h} \in \widehat{\Lambda}^{[0]}$ has the following properties:

(a) there exist N independent solutions $g_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{p \ge 0} g_{\alpha,p-1} z^p \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{[0]}[[z]], \ \alpha = 1, \dots, N,$ to the equation

(2.2)
$$\mathcal{D}_{\overline{h}}g_{\alpha}(z) = 0$$

with the initial conditions $g_{\alpha}(z=0) = \eta_{\alpha\mu}u^{\mu}$,

(b)
$$\frac{\delta h}{\delta u^1} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + \partial_x^2 r, \qquad r \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{[-2]}.$$

Then, up to a transformation of type (2.1), we have

(i)
$$g_{1,0} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + \partial_x^2 (D-1)^{-1} r,$$

(ii) $\overline{g}_{1,1} = \overline{h},$
(iii) $\{\overline{g}_{\alpha,p}, \overline{g}_{\beta,q}\} = 0, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, N, \quad p, q \ge -1,$
(iv) $\{g_{\alpha,p}, \overline{g}_{\beta,0}\} = \partial_x \frac{\partial g_{\alpha,p+1}}{\partial u^{\beta}}, \quad \beta = 1, \dots, N, \quad p \ge -1,$
(v) $\frac{\partial g_{\alpha,p}}{\partial u^1} = g_{\alpha,p-1}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, N, \quad p \ge -1,$

hence in particular \overline{h} is part of an integrable tau-symmetric hierarchy.

We call a system of densities originating from an Hamiltonian $\overline{h} = \overline{g}_{1,1}$ as in the theorem above an *integrable system of double ramification type*. As proved in [BDGR16b], the DR hierarchy of any given cohomological field theory, is always and integrable system of DR type. This fact implies in particular that the entire hierarchy of DR Hamiltonian densities can be reconstructed from $\overline{g}_{1,1} \in \widehat{\Lambda}^{[0]}$ alone by means of the DR recursion equation [BR16a]

(2.3)
$$\partial_x (D-1)g_{\alpha,d+1} = \{g_{\alpha,d}, \overline{g}_{1,1}\}, \quad g_{\alpha,-1} = \eta_{\alpha\mu}u^{\mu}, \quad 1 \le \alpha \le N, d \ge -1$$

The above result can sometimes be used to effectively compute the Hamiltonian $\overline{g}_{1,1}$ itself, starting from a limited amount of information on the CohFT, as we will show in the next section.

2.3. D_4 **Dubrovin-Saito CohFT.** In [Dub99], based on the work of K. Saito [Sai81, Sai83a, Sai83b], Dubrovin constructs a structure of Frobenius manifold [Dub96] on the space of orbits of any finite irreducible Coxeter group. This space is biholomorphic to the space of miniversal unfoldings of the corresponding simple hypersurface singularity and the Frobenius structure is given by the Milnor ring multiplicative structure and the residue pairing at the corresponding deformation. The resulting Frobenius manifold is generically semisimple and conformal, so Givental-Teleman [Giv01, Tel12] (see also [PPZ15]) theory can be applied to produce a uniquely defined homogeneous cohomological field theory.

We are interested in this construction for the case of the D_4 simple singularity, $W = x^3 + xy^2$. The resulting CohFT $c_{g,n}^{D_4}$ has phase space $V = \langle e_1, \ldots, e_4 \rangle$ with deg $e_1 = 0$, deg $e_2 = \deg e_4 = \frac{1}{3}$, deg $e_3 = \frac{2}{3}$, deg $c_{g,n}^{D_4} = \delta = \frac{2}{3}$. In genus 0 the corresponding Frobenius structure can described by the Frobenius potential (see for instance [LRZ15])

$$F = \frac{t^{1}(t^{2})^{2}}{12} + \frac{(t^{1})^{2}t^{3}}{12} - \frac{(t^{2})^{3}t^{3}}{216} + \frac{(t^{2})^{2}(t^{3})^{3}}{1296} + \frac{(t^{3})^{7}}{1632960} + \frac{t^{1}(t^{4})^{2}}{4} + \frac{t^{2}t^{3}(t^{4})^{2}}{24} + \frac{(t^{3})^{3}(t^{4})^{2}}{432},$$

which, in particular, gives the metric

(2.5)
$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{6} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{1}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that this CohFT was proved to be isomorphic to the quantum singularity theory of Fan-Jarvis-Ruan-Witten [FJR07, FJR13] for the simple singularity $W = x^3 + xy^2$, with respect to the non-maximal diagonal symmetry group $\langle J \rangle = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ [FFJMR10].

2.4. D_4 double ramification hierarchy. In [GM05, FGM10] it was proved that the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy for the Dubrovin-Saito CohFT associated to a Coxeter group coincides with the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy of the corresponding semisimple Lie algebra. As explained above, the $\varepsilon \to 0$ limit of both the DZ and DR hierarchies of any (semisimple) CohFT coincides with the principal hierarchy of the Frobenius manifold which is, consequently, completely determined, thanks to the recursion equation (2.3), by the Hamiltonian $\overline{g}_{1,1}^{[0]} = \overline{g}_{1,1}|_{\varepsilon=0}$.

To compute the latter in the D_4 case we can use (see [DZ05]) the dilaton equation $\overline{g}_{1,1}^{[0]} = \int (D-2)(F(t^*)|_{t^*=u^*})dx$, to obtain

(2.6)
$$\overline{g}_{1,1}^{[0]} = \int \left[\frac{u^1 (u^2)^2}{12} + \frac{(u^1)^2 u^3}{12} - \frac{(u^2)^3 u^3}{108} + \frac{(u^2)^2 (u^3)^3}{432} + \frac{(u^3)^7}{326592} + \frac{u^1 (u^4)^2}{4} + \frac{u^2 u^3 (u^4)^2}{12} + \frac{(u^3)^3 (u^4)^2}{144} \right] dx.$$

Moreover, thanks to homogeneity of the CohFT, we know that, for any $k \ge 0$,

(2.7)
$$|u_k^1| = 1, \qquad |u_k^2| = |u_k^4| = \frac{2}{3}, \qquad |u_k^3| = \frac{1}{3}, \qquad |\varepsilon| = \frac{1}{6}, \qquad |\overline{g}_{1,1}| = \frac{7}{3}$$

Notice here how all the variables have positive degree, guaranteeing the polynomiality of $\overline{g}_{1,1}$ and, in fact, of all the Hamiltonian densities $g_{\alpha,d}$, $1 \leq \alpha \leq N$, $d \geq -1$.

Theorem 2.2. The double ramification hierarchy for the D_4 Dubrovin-Saito cohomological field theory is the integrable hierarchy with Hamiltonian operator

$$K_u^{\rm DR} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 6\partial_x & 0\\ 0 & 6\partial_x & 0 & 0\\ 6\partial_x & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\partial_x \end{pmatrix}$$

and Hamiltonian densities defined by equation (2.3), where

$$\begin{split} \overline{g}_{1,1} &= \int \left[\left(\frac{1}{12} u^1 \left(u^2 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{12} \left(u^1 \right)^2 u^3 - \frac{1}{108} \left(u^2 \right)^3 u^3 + \frac{1}{432} \left(u^2 \right)^2 \left(u^3 \right)^3 + \frac{1}{326592} \left(u^3 \right)^7 + \frac{1}{4} u^1 \left(u^4 \right)^2 \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{12} u^2 u^3 \left(u^4 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{144} \left(u^3 \right)^3 \left(u^4 \right)^2 \right) + \left(-\frac{1}{6} \left(u^1_1 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{24} u^2 \left(u^2_1 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{72} \left(u^3 \right)^2 \left(u^2_1 \right)^2 \right. \\ &- \frac{1}{108} \left(u^3 \right)^2 u^1_1 u^3_1 - \frac{1}{27} u^2 u^3 u^2_1 u^3_1 - \frac{1}{108} \left(u^2 \right)^2 \left(u^3_1 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2592} \left(u^3 \right)^4 \left(u^3_1 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{36} \left(u^4 \right)^2 \left(u^3_1 \right)^2 \right. \\ &- \frac{1}{4} u^4 u^2_1 u^4_1 - \frac{1}{9} u^3 u^4 u^3_1 u^4_1 - \frac{1}{8} u^2 \left(u^4_1 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{24} \left(u^3 \right)^2 \left(u^4_1 \right)^2 \right) \varepsilon^2 + \left(-\frac{35}{46656} u^3 \left(u^3_1 \right)^4 \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{144} \left(u^3_1 \right)^2 u^1_2 + \frac{5}{216} u^2_1 u^3_1 u^2_2 + \frac{1}{48} u^3 \left(u^2_2 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{54} u^3 u^2_1 u^3_2 + \frac{5}{216} u^2 u^2_2 u^3_2 + \frac{7}{7776} \left(u^3 \right)^3 \left(u^3_2 \right)^2 \right. \\ &+ \frac{5}{72} u^3_1 u^4_1 u^4_2 + \frac{5}{72} u^4 u^3_2 u^4_2 + \frac{1}{16} u^3 \left(u^4_2 \right)^2 \right) \varepsilon^4 + \left(\frac{1}{486} \left(u^3_1 \right)^2 \left(u^3_3 \right)^2 + \frac{13}{5832} u^3 \left(u^3_2 \right)^3 - \frac{1}{112} \left(u^3_2 \right)^2 \right. \\ &- \frac{13}{1512} u^3_3 u^3_3 - \frac{1}{972} \left(u^3 \right)^2 \left(u^3_3 \right)^2 - \frac{3}{112} \left(u^4_3 \right)^2 \right) \varepsilon^6 + \left(-\frac{5}{1728} u^3_2 \left(u^3_3 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{1728} u^3 \left(u^3_4 \right)^2 \right) \varepsilon^8 \\ &- \frac{1}{77776} \left(u^3_5 \right)^2 \varepsilon^{10} \right] dx \end{split}$$

Proof. As explained above we know that $g_{1,1}|\varepsilon = 0$ is given by equation (2.6) and that, by definition, only even powers of ε appear in the Hamiltonian densities of any DR hierarchy.

Now remark from (2.7) that all the variables have positive degree, guaranteeing the polynomiality of $\overline{g}_{1,1}$ and, in fact, of all the Hamiltonian densities $g_{\alpha,d}$, $1 \leq \alpha \leq N$, $d \geq -1$. In particular, since $|\varepsilon| = \frac{1}{6}$ and in each monomials there are as many *x*-derivatives as powers of ε , we see that any term where the power of ε is bigger than 10 is either trivial or a total *x* derivative.

One can then verify, by direct computation, that up to rescaling of the variable ε , there exist a unique local functional $\overline{g}_{1,1} \in \widehat{\Lambda}^{[0]}$ such that: $g_{1,1}|_{\varepsilon=0}$ is given by (2.6), contains only even powers of ε , $|\overline{g}_{1,1}| = \frac{7}{3}$ and $\overline{g}_{1,1}$ is of double ramification type. In practice one writes down the most general polynomial deformation of (2.6) with the given degree and notices that imposing conditions (a) and (b) of theorem 2.1 determines, up to rescalings of ε , all the coefficients.

Finally, to determine the correct normalization of ε , it is sufficient to compute the coefficient of a single monomial of $\overline{g}_{1,1}$ containing ε . We can do this by recalling (see for instance [BDGR18]) that, for any CohFT, $\operatorname{Coef}_{(u_1^1)^2 \varepsilon^2} \overline{g}_{1,1} = -\frac{\dim V}{24}$.

Recall that the DR hierarchy possesses a natural tau-structure given by the Hamiltonian densities $h_{\alpha,d} = \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\alpha,d+1}}{\delta u^1}$. The normal coordinates for this tau structures are $\tilde{u}^{\alpha} = \eta^{\alpha \mu} \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\mu,0}}{\delta u^1}$. Explicitly one obtains

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{u}^{1} = u^{1} + \left(\frac{1}{36} \left(u_{1}^{3}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{36} u^{3} u_{2}^{3}\right) \varepsilon^{2} + \frac{1}{45} u_{4}^{3} \varepsilon^{4} \\ \widetilde{u}^{2} = u^{2} \\ \widetilde{u}^{3} = u^{3} \\ \widetilde{u}^{4} = u^{4} \end{cases}$$

Applying this change of coordinates one obtains the Hamiltonian operator

$$K_{\widetilde{u}}^{\text{DR}} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} \widetilde{u}^3 \partial_x^3 + \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{u}_1^3 \partial_x^2 + \frac{1}{6} \widetilde{u}_2^3 \partial_x \right) + \varepsilon^4 \frac{4}{15} \partial_x^5 & 0 & 6\partial_x & 0 \\ 0 & 6\partial_x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\partial_x \end{pmatrix},$$

(9.0)

which coincides with (1.24), and the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{split} \bar{g}_{1,1} &= \int \left[\left(\frac{1}{12} \tilde{u}^{1} \left(\tilde{u}^{2} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{12} \left(\tilde{u}^{1} \right)^{2} \tilde{u}^{3} - \frac{1}{108} \left(\tilde{u}^{2} \right)^{3} \tilde{u}^{3} + \frac{1}{432} \left(\tilde{u}^{2} \right)^{2} \left(\tilde{u}^{3} \right)^{3} + \frac{1}{326592} \left(\tilde{u}^{3} \right)^{7} + \frac{1}{4} \tilde{u}^{1} \left(\tilde{u}^{4} \right)^{2} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{12} \tilde{u}^{2} \tilde{u}^{3} \left(\tilde{u}^{4} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{144} \left(\tilde{u}^{3} \right)^{3} \left(\tilde{u}^{4} \right)^{2} \right) + \left(-\frac{1}{6} \left(\tilde{u}^{1}_{1} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{24} \tilde{u}^{2} \left(\tilde{u}^{2}_{1} \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{72} \left(\tilde{u}^{3} \right)^{2} \left(\tilde{u}^{2}_{1} \right)^{2} \right. \\ &- \frac{1}{108} \left(\tilde{u}^{3} \right)^{2} \tilde{u}^{1} \tilde{u}^{3}_{1} - \frac{1}{27} \tilde{u}^{2} \tilde{u}^{3} \tilde{u}^{2} \tilde{u}^{1}_{1} - \frac{5}{432} \left(\tilde{u}^{2} \right)^{2} \left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{1} \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{216} \tilde{u}^{1} \tilde{u}^{3} \left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{1} \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{2592} \left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{1} \right)^{4} \left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{1} \right)^{2} \\ &- \frac{5}{144} \left(\tilde{u}^{4} \right)^{2} \left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{1} \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{4} \tilde{u}^{4} \tilde{u}^{2}_{1} \tilde{u}^{4}_{1} - \frac{1}{9} \tilde{u}^{3} \tilde{u}^{4} \tilde{u}^{3}_{1} \tilde{u}^{4}_{1} - \frac{1}{8} \tilde{u}^{2} \left(\tilde{u}^{4}_{1} \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{24} \left(\tilde{u}^{3} \right)^{2} \left(\tilde{u}^{4}_{1} \right)^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{432} \left(\tilde{u}^{2} \right)^{2} \tilde{u}^{3} \tilde{u}^{3}_{2} - \frac{1}{216} \tilde{u}^{1} \left(\tilde{u}^{3} \right)^{2} \tilde{u}^{3}_{2} - \frac{1}{144} \tilde{u}^{3} \left(\tilde{u}^{4} \right)^{2} \tilde{u}^{3}_{2} \right) \epsilon^{2} + \left(-\frac{1}{1458} \tilde{u}^{3} \left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{1} \right)^{4} + \frac{1}{144} \left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{1} \right)^{2} \tilde{u}^{1}_{2} \\ &+ \frac{5}{216} \tilde{u}^{2} \tilde{u}^{3}_{1} \tilde{u}^{2}_{2} + \frac{1}{48} \tilde{u}^{3} \left(\tilde{u}^{2} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{36} \tilde{u}^{4} \tilde{u}^{3}_{2} \tilde{u}^{2}_{2} + \frac{7}{7776} \left(\tilde{u}^{3} \right)^{2} \left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{1} \right)^{2} \tilde{u}^{3}_{2} + \frac{1}{54} \tilde{u}^{3} \tilde{u}^{2} \tilde{u}^{2}_{2} + \frac{5}{16} \tilde{u}^{2} \tilde{u}^{2}_{2} \tilde{u}^{2}_{2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{5}{5184} \left(\tilde{u}^{3} \right)^{3} \left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{2} \right)^{2} + \frac{5}{72} \tilde{u}^{3} \tilde{u}^{3}_{1} \tilde{u}^{4}_{2} + \frac{5}{72} \tilde{u}^{4} \tilde{u}^{3}_{2} \tilde{u}^{3}_{2} \right) \epsilon^{4} + \left(\frac{5}{15552} \left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{1} \right)^{2} \left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{3} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{1458} \tilde{u}^{3} \left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{2} \right)^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{112} \left(\tilde{u}^{2}_{3} \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{1296} \left(\tilde{u}^{3}_{1} \right)^{3} \tilde{u}^{3}_{3} - \frac{1}{1888} \tilde{u}^{3} \tilde{u}^{3} \tilde{u}^{3}_{3} \tilde{u}^{3}_{3} - \frac{1}{1512} \tilde{u}^{3} \tilde{u}^{3}_{3} \right)^{2}$$

which, up to a ∂_x -exact term, agrees with (1.17).

Corollary 2.3. For the D_4 Dubrovin-Saito cohomological field theory, the double ramification hierarchy written in normal coordinates coincides with the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy which is the D_4 Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy.

Proof. We have established by direct computation that $K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DZ}} = K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DR}}$ and $\overline{h}_{1,1} = \overline{g}_{1,1}$. It was proved in [BG16] that this is sufficient for DZ or DR hierarchies of a CohFT with the same genus 0 part to coincide at all genera. The identification of the DZ hierarchy of the D_4 Dubrovin-Saito CohFT with the D_4 Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy was proved in [GM05, FGM10].

2.5. B_3 and G_2 double ramification hierarchies. In [FFJMR10] it is proved that the D_4 Dubrovin-Saito CohFT and the FJRW theory of the singularity $D_4 : W = x^3 + xy^2$ with symmetry group $\langle J_1 \rangle$ where $J_1(x, y) = (e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{3}}x, e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{3}}y)$ and of the singularity $D_4^T : W = x^3y + y^2$ with symmetry group $G_{\text{max}} = \langle J_2 \rangle$ where $J_2(x, y) = (e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{6}}x, e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{2}})$ are all isomorphic.

In [LRZ15] it was shown that the FJRW theory for $(D_4, \langle J_1 \rangle)$ and (D_4^T, G_{max}) carry are invariant with respect to the action of further symmetry groups \mathbb{Z}_3 and \mathbb{Z}_2 respectively.

Via the isomorphism and using our presentation from section 2.3 for the Dubrovin-Saito CohFT, we can express the action of the generators of these symmetries on the phase space V in the following way:

(2.9)
$$\mathbb{Z}_{2}: \begin{cases} e_{1} \mapsto e_{1} \\ e_{2} \mapsto e_{2} \\ e_{3} \mapsto e_{3} \\ e_{4} \mapsto -e_{4} \end{cases}, \qquad \mathbb{Z}_{3}: \begin{cases} e_{1} \mapsto e_{1} \\ e_{2} \mapsto -\frac{1}{2}e_{2} - \frac{3}{2}e_{4} \\ e_{3} \mapsto e_{3} \\ e_{4} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}e_{2} - \frac{1}{2}e_{4} \end{cases}$$

In fact one can directly verify that the corresponding actions on the coordinates (t^1, \ldots, t^4) leave the Frobenius potential (2.4) unchanged and, by unicity of the Givental-Teleman reconstruction, this symmetry is inherited by the Dubrovin-Saito CohFT at all genera.

It was proved in [LRZ15] that the restriction of a CohFT with a finite symmetry to the invariant subspace of V is a partial CohFT (i.e. a system of linear maps satisfying all the axioms of a CohFT with the exception of the gluing axiom at non-separating nodes). The authors then remark that, although the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy cannot be directly constructed for partial CohFTs, the two restrictions of the D_4 Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy to the invariant sectors with respect to the two above symmetries give well defined integrable systems, isomorphic respectively to the B_3 and G_2 Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies.

In contrast, in [BDGR18] it was shown how that the double ramification hierarchy construction works for partial CohFTs too. All this then proves the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. The double ramification hierarchy associated to the restriction of the D_4 Dubrovin-Saito CohFT to the invariant subspace with respect to the \mathbb{Z}_2 action described in (2.9) is the integrable hierarchy with Hamiltonian operator

$$K_u^{\mathrm{DR}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 6\partial_x \\ 0 & 6\partial_x & 0 \\ 6\partial_x & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and Hamiltonian densities defined by equation (2.3), where $\overline{g}_{1,1}$ is obtained from the D_4 Hamiltonian (2.8) by imposing $u_k^4 = 0$. It is equivalent to the B_3 Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy.

The double ramification hierarchy associated to the restriction of the D_4 Dubrovin-Saito CohFT to the invariant subspace with respect to the \mathbb{Z}_3 action described in (2.9) is the integrable hierarchy with Hamiltonian operator

$$K_u^{\rm DR} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 6\partial_x \\ 6\partial_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and Hamiltonian densities defined by equation (2.3), where $\overline{g}_{1,1}$ is obtained from the D_4 Hamiltonian (2.8) by imposing $u_*^2 = u_*^4 = 0$. It is equivalent to the G_2 Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy.

3. Quantum double ramification hierarchy for the D_4 Dubrovin-Saito CohFT

3.1. Quantum double ramification hierarchy. In [BR16b] Buryak's original definition of the integrable hierarchy associated to a CohFT was upgraded to include a quantization of the classical double ramification hierarchy. It consists of a system of quantum differential polynomials $G_{\alpha,d} \in (\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{\hbar})^{[\leq 0]}$, $1 \leq \alpha \leq N$, $d \geq -1$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{\hbar} = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}[[\hbar]]$ and deg $\hbar = -2$, such that $G_{\alpha,d}|_{\hbar=0} = g_{\alpha,d}$. Similarly we define $\widehat{\Lambda}^{\hbar} = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{\hbar}/(\operatorname{Im}\partial_x \oplus \mathbb{C}[[\varepsilon, \hbar]])$ and the images $\overline{G}_{\alpha,d} = \int G_{\alpha,d}dx$ of $G_{\alpha,d}$ in this quotient commute with respect to a star product that canonically quantizes the Hamiltonian operator $K^{\mathrm{DR}} = \eta \partial_x$, i.e.

$$[\overline{G}_{\alpha,p},\overline{G}_{\beta,q}] = 0, \qquad 1 \le \alpha, \beta \le N, \quad p,q \ge -1$$

where, for any $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{\hbar}$ and $\overline{g} \in \widehat{\Lambda}^{\hbar}$, $[\overline{f}, \overline{g}] = \int [f, \overline{g}] dx$ and

with

(3.2)
$$C_{j}^{a_{1},\dots,a_{n}} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1+\sum a_{i}-j)}\widetilde{C}_{j}^{a_{1},\dots,a_{n}}, & \text{if } j = n-1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \pmod{2}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

(3.3)
$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{Li}_{-d_{i}}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1+\sum d_{i}} \widetilde{C}_{j}^{d_{1},\dots,d_{k}} \operatorname{Li}_{-j}(z), \qquad \operatorname{Li}_{-d}(z) := \sum_{k \ge 0} k^{d} z^{k}$$

In case the CohFT is homogeneous of degree deg $c_{g,n} = \delta(g-1)$, then we have the following grading for the quantum DR hierarchy:

$$|u_k^{\alpha}| = 1 - \deg e_{\alpha}, \qquad |\varepsilon| = \frac{1-\delta}{2}, \qquad |\hbar| = 2-\delta, \qquad |G_{\alpha,d}| = d+3-\delta - |u^{\alpha}|$$

In light of the results of section 2, the DR quantization procedure can be applied to obtain a quantization of the D_4 Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy, similarly to what was achieved in [BG16] for the A_n Gelfand-Dickey hierarchies, for $n \leq 4$.

3.2. Quantum systems of DR type and the quantum D_4 hierarchy. In [BDGR16b] the quantum version of Theorem 2.1 was also proved. We recall it here as, again, it will be applied to compute the D_4 quantum DR hierarchy. Let us consider the quantum Hamiltonian system defined by a Hamiltonian $\overline{H} \in (\widehat{\Lambda}^{\hbar})^{[\leq 0]}$ with respect to the standard quantum commutator introduced above. Consider the operator $\mathcal{D}^{\hbar}_{\overline{H}} : \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{\hbar}[[z]] \to \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{\hbar}[[z]]$ defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\overline{H}}^{\hbar}f(z) = \partial_x \circ (D-1) - \frac{z}{\hbar}[\cdot, \overline{H}], \qquad D := \sum_{k \ge 0} u_k^{\alpha} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} + 2\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \hbar}$$

We warn the reader that we have upgraded the definition of the operator D. Suppose there exist N solutions $G_{\alpha}(z) \in (\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{\hbar})^{[\leq 0]}[[z]], \alpha = 1, \ldots, N$, to $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{H}}^{\hbar}G_{\alpha}(z) = 0$ with the initial conditions $G_{\alpha}(z=0) = \eta_{\alpha\mu}u^{\mu}$. Then a new vector of solutions can be found by the following transformation

(3.4)
$$G_{\alpha}(z) \mapsto A^{\mu}_{\alpha}(z)G_{\mu}(z) + B_{\alpha}(z),$$

where $A^{\mu}_{\alpha}(z) = \delta^{\mu}_{\alpha} + \sum_{i>0} A^{\mu}_{\alpha,i} z^i \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ and $B_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{i>0} B_{\alpha,i}(\varepsilon,\hbar) z^i \in \mathbb{C}[[\varepsilon,\hbar,z]].$

Theorem 3.1 ([BDGR16b]). Assume that $\overline{H} \in (\widehat{\Lambda}^{\hbar})^{[\leq 0]}$ has the following properties:

(a) there exist N independent solutions $G_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{p \ge 0} G_{\alpha,p-1} z^p \in (\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{\hbar})^{[\leq 0]}[[z]], \alpha = 1, \ldots, N,$ to the equation

(3.5)
$$\mathcal{D}^{\hbar}_{\overline{H}}G_{\alpha}(z) = 0$$

with the initial conditions $G_{\alpha}(z=0) = \eta_{\alpha\mu}u^{\mu}$, $\delta \overline{H} = 1$

(b)
$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta u^1} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + \partial_x R + c(\varepsilon, \hbar), \qquad R \in (\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{\hbar})^{[\leq -1]}, \quad c(\varepsilon, \hbar) \in \mathbb{C}[[\varepsilon, \hbar]].$$

(c) $\overline{G}_{1,1} = \overline{H}.$

Then, up to a transformation of type (3.4), we have

(i)
$$\overline{G}_{1,0} = \int \left(\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}u^{\mu}u^{\nu}\right) dx,$$

(ii) $[\overline{G}_{\alpha,p}, \overline{G}_{\beta,q}] = 0, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, N, \quad p, q \ge -1,$
(iii) $\frac{1}{\hbar}[G_{\alpha,p}, \overline{G}_{\beta,0}] = \partial_x \frac{\partial G_{\alpha,p+1}}{\partial u^{\beta}}, \quad \beta = 1, \dots, N, \quad p \ge -1,$
(iv) $\frac{\partial G_{\alpha,p}}{\partial u^1} = G_{\alpha,p-1}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, N, \quad p \ge -1,$

Again, we call a system of densities like the one described in the theorem above a *quantum* integrable system of double ramification type and we recall from [BDGR16b] that the quantum

DR hierarchy of any CohFT is of this type. In particular one has the quantum version of equation 2.3,

(3.6)
$$\partial_x (D-1)G_{\alpha,d+1} = \frac{1}{\hbar} [G_{\alpha,d}, \overline{G}_{1,1}], \qquad G_{\alpha,-1} = \eta_{\alpha\mu} u^{\mu}, \qquad 1 \le \alpha \le N, d \ge -1.$$

The following theorem is readily proved by direct computation along the line of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.2. The quantum double ramification hierarchy for the D_4 Dubrovin-Saito cohomological field theory is the quantum integrable hierarchy with quantum commutator given by (3.1) and quantum Hamiltonian densities defined by equation (3.6), where

$$\overline{G}_{1,1} = \overline{g}_{1,1} + i\hbar \int \left[-\frac{13u^3\varepsilon^4}{9072} + \left(-\frac{1}{540} \left(u^3 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{108} \left(u_1^3 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{135} \left(u_2^3 \right)^2 \right) \varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{432} \left(u^3 \right)^3 + \frac{1}{144} u^3 \left(u_1^3 \right)^2 - \frac{u^1}{6} \right] dx$$

Proof. Since the D_4 Dubrovin-Saito CohFT is homogeneous with $\delta = \frac{2}{3}$, all variables have positive degrees given by (2.7) and $|\hbar| = \frac{4}{3}$. Since $|\overline{G}_{1,1}| = \frac{7}{3}$ the quantum correction to the classical DR Hamiltonian $\overline{g}_{1,1}$ is a polynomial and imposing the conditions of theorem 3.1 determine it uniquely up to a normalization constant for \hbar . The latter is fixed by recalling that, by definition (see [BR16b]), for any CohFT we have $\operatorname{Coef}_{u^1i\hbar}\overline{G}_{1,1} = -\frac{1}{24} \dim V$.

References

- [Bur15] A. Buryak, *Double ramification cycles and integrable hierarchies*, Communications in Mathematical Physics 336 (2015), no. 3, 1085–1107.
- [BG16] A. Buryak, J. Guere, Towards a description of the double ramification hierarchy for Witten's r-spin class, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées 106 (2016), no. 5, 837–865.
- [BDGR18] A. Buryak, B. Dubrovin, J. Guéré, P. Rossi, *Tau-structure for the Double Ramification Hierarchies*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, October 2018, Volume 363, Issue 1, pp 191–260.
- [BDGR16b] A. Buryak, B. Dubrovin, J. Guéré, P. Rossi, Integrable systems of double ramification type, arXiv:1609.04059v1.
- [BGR17] A. Buryak, J. Guéré, P. Rossi, DR/DZ equivalence conjecture and tautological relations, with A. Buryak, J. Gur, to appear in Geometry & Topology, arXiv:1705.03287.
- [BPS12a] A. Buryak, H. Posthuma, S. Shadrin, On deformations of quasi-Miura transformations and the Dubrovin-Zhang bracket, Journal of Geometry and Physics 62 (2012), no. 7, 1639–1651.
- [BPS12b] A. Buryak, H. Posthuma, S. Shadrin, A polynomial bracket for the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchies, Journal of Differential Geometry 92 (2012), no. 1, 153–185.
- [BR16a] A. Buryak, P. Rossi, *Recursion relations for double ramification hierarchies*, Communications in Mathematical Physics 342 (2016), no. 2, 533–568.
- [BR16b] A. Buryak, P. Rossi, *Double ramification cycles and quantum integrable systems*, Letters in Mathematical Physics 106 (2016), no. 3, 289–317.
- [BR18] A. Buryak, P. Rossi, *Extended r-spin theory in all genera and the discrete KdV hierarchy*, arXiv:1806.09825.
- [DLZ08] B. Dubrovin, S.-Q. Liu, and Y. Zhang. Frobenius manifolds and central invariants for the Drinfeld-Sokolov bihamiltonian structures. Adv. Math., 219(3):780837, 2008.
- [DS84] V. G. Drinfeld and V. V. Sokolov, Lie algebras and equations of Kortewegde Vries type, J. Soviet Math., 24:81180, 1984.
- [Dub96] B. Dubrovin, Geometry of 2D topological field theories, in Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol 1620. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (1996).
- [Dub99] B. Dubrovin, Differential geometry of the space of orbits of a Coxeter group, Surveys in Differential Geometry, Vol. IV (1999), p. 181–212.
- [DZ05] B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang, Normal forms of hierarchies of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Gromov-Witten invariants, a new 2005 version of arXiv:math/0108160, 295 pp.
- [EGH00] Y. Eliashberg, A. Givental and H. Hofer, Introduction to symplectic field theory, GAFA 2000 Visions in Mathematics special volume, part II, 560-673, 2000.
- [FR11] O. Fabert, P. Rossi, String, dilaton and divisor equation in Symplectic Field Theory, International Mathematics Research Notices 2011, no. 19, 4384-4404.
- [FFJMR10] H. Fan, A. Francis, T. J. Jarvis, E. Merrell, and Y. Ruan, Witten's D₄ Integrable Hierarchies Conjecture, Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B, to appear.

- [FJR07] H. Fan, T. Jarvis, Y. Ruan, The Witten equation and its virtual fundamental cycle, arXiv:0712.4025.
- [FJR13] H. Fan, T. Jarvis, Y. Ruan, The Witten equation, mirror symmetry, and quantum singularity theory, Ann. of Math. 178 (2013), 1–106.
- [FGM10] E. Frenkel, A. Givental, T. Milanov, Soliton equations, vertex operators, and simple singularities, Funct. Anal. Other Math. 3, 47–63 (2010).
- [Giv01] A. Givental, Semisimple Frobenius structures at higher genus, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2001, no. 23, 1265–1286 (2001).
- [GKMZ70] C. S. Gardner, M. D. Kruskal, R. M. Miura, and N. J. Zabusky. Korteweg-de Vries Equation and Generalizations. V. Uniqueness and Nonexistence of Polynomial Conservation Laws. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 11 (3):952960, 1970.
- [GM05] A. Givental, T. Milanov, Simple singularities and integrable hierarchies, in The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry, 173–201, Progress in Mathematics 232, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005.
- [Kac78] V. G. Kac, Infinite-dimensional algebras, Dedekind's η -functions, classical Mbius function and the very strange formula., Advances in Mathematics 30 (1978), no. 2, 85–136.
- [Kos59] B. Kostant, The Principle Three-Dimensional Subgroup and the Betti Numbers of a Complex Simple Lie Group., American Journal of Mathematics (1959), 973–1032.
- [KM94] M. Kontsevich, Yu. Manin, Gromov-Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry, Communications in Mathematical Physics 164 (1994), no. 3, 525–562.
- [LRZ15] S.-Q. Liu, Y. Ruan, Y. Zhang, BCFG Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies and FJRW-Theory, Inventiones Mathematicae 201 (2015), no. 2, 711–772.
- [LWZ10] S.-Q. Liu, C.-Z. Wu, and Y. Zhang. On the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies of D type, International Mathematics Research Notices (2011), no. 8, 1952–1996.
- [Mil14] T. Milanov, Analyticity of the total ancestor potential in singularity theory, Adv. Math. 255, 217–241 (2014).
- [PPZ15] R. Pandharipande, A. Pixton, D. Zvonkine, *Relations on* $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ via 3-spin structures, Journal of the American Mathematical Society 28 (2015), no. 1, 279–309.
- [Ros10] P. Rossi, Integrable systems and holomorphic curves, Proceedings of the Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2009, 34–57, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2010.
- [Ros17] P. Rossi, Integrability, quantization and moduli spaces of curves, SIGMA 13 (2017), 060, 29 pages.
- [Sai81] K. Saito, Primitive forms for a universal unfolding of a function with an isolated critical point, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math., 28(3):775–792 (1982), 1981.
- [Sai83a] K. Saito, The higher residue pairings $K_F^{(k)}$ for a family of hypersurface singular points, in Singularities, Part 2 (Arcata, Calif., 1981), volume 40 of Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., pages 441–463. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.
- [Sai83b] K. Saito, Period mapping associated to a primitive form, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 19(3):1231– 1264, 1983.
- [Tel12] C. Teleman, *The structure of 2D semi-simple field theories*, Inventiones Mathematicae 188 (2012), no. 3, 525–588.

A. DU CREST DE VILLENEUVE:

LAREMA, UMR6093 CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ D'ANGERS, 49000 ANGERS, FRANCE E-mail address: ducrest@math.univ-angers.fr

P. Rossi:

IMB, UMR5584 CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ DE BOURGOGNE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, F-21000 DIJON, FRANCE *E-mail address*: paolo.rossi@u-bourgogne.fr