

## **Additional file 2: List of eligible studies identified by subject experts**

1. Barber JR, Crooks KR, Fristrup KM. The costs of chronic noise exposure for terrestrial organisms. *Trends in ecology & evolution*. 2010;25(3):180-189. DOI: 10.1016/j.tree.2009.08.002
2. Barrett D. Traffic-Noise Impact Study for Least Bell's Vireo Habitat Along California State Route. 1981;1559. DOI: 8310.3141/1559-01
3. Bee MA, Swanson EM. Auditory masking of anuran advertisement calls by road traffic noise. *Animal behaviour*. 2007;74:1765-1776. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2007.03.019
4. Bermudez-Cuamatzin E, Rios-Chelen AA, Gil D, Garcia CM. Strategies of song adaptation to urban noise in the house finch: syllable pitch plasticity or differential syllable use?. *Behaviour*. 2009;146:1269-1286. DOI: 10.1163/156853909X423104
5. Brumm H. Anthropogenic Noise: Implications for Conservation. *Encyclopedia of Animal Behavior*. 2010;89-93. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-08-045337-8.00289-8
6. Brumm H. The impact of environmental noise on song amplitude in a territorial bird. *Journal of animal ecology*. 2004;73(3):434-440. DOI: 10.1111/j.0021-8790.2004.00814.x
7. Brumm H, Slabbekoorn H. Acoustic communication in noise. *Advances in the study of behavior*, vol 35. 2005;35:151-209. DOI: 10.1016/S0065-3454(05)35004-2
8. Caorsi VZ, Both C, Cechin S, Antunes R, Borges-Martins M. Effects of traffic noise on the calling behavior of two Neotropical hylid frogs. *Plos one*. 2017;12(8). DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0183342
9. Cartwright LA, Taylor DR, Wilson DR, Chow-Fraser P. Urban noise affects song structure and daily patterns of song production in Red-winged Blackbirds (*Agelaius phoeniceus*). *Urban ecosystems*. 2014;17(2):561-572. DOI: 10.1007/s11252-013-0318-z
10. Coffin AW. From roadkill to road ecology: A review of the ecological effects of roads. *Journal of transport geography*. 2007;15(5):396-406. DOI: 10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2006.11.006
11. Costello RA, Symes LB. Effects of anthropogenic noise on male signalling behaviour and female phonotaxis in Oecanthus tree crickets. *Animal behaviour*. 2014;95:15-22. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.05.009
12. Da Silva A, Samplonius JM, Schlicht E, Valcu M, Kempenaers B. Artificial night lighting rather than traffic noise affects the daily timing of dawn and dusk singing in common European songbirds. *Behavioral ecology*. 2014;25(5):1037-1047. DOI: 10.1093/beheco/aru103
13. Fernández-Juricic E, Poston R, De Collibus K, Morgan T, Bastain B, Martin C, Jones K, Treminio R. Microhabitat selection and singing behavior patterns of male house finches *carpodacus mexicanus* in urban parks in a heavily urbanized landscape in the western u.s. *Urban habitats*. 3(1):49-69.
14. Forman RTT, Alexander LE. Roads and their major ecological effects. *Annual review of ecology and systematics*. 1998;29:207. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.29.1.207

15. Forman RTT, Deblinger RD. The ecological road-effect zone of a Massachusetts (USA) suburban highway. *Conservation biology*. 2000;14(1):36-46. DOI: 10.1046/j.1523-1739.2000.99088.x
16. Francis CD, Ortega CP, Cruz A. Noise Pollution Changes Avian Communities and Species Interactions. *Current biology*. 2009;19(16):1415-1419. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2009.06.052
17. Fuller RA, Warren PH, Gaston KJ. Daytime noise predicts nocturnal singing in urban robins. *Biology letters*. 2007;3(4):368-370. DOI: 10.1098/rsbl.2007.0134
18. Habib L, Bayne EM, Boutin S. Chronic industrial noise affects pairing success and age structure of ovenbirds *Seiurus aurocapilla*. *Journal of applied ecology*. 2007;44(1):176-184. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2006.01234.x
19. Hage SR, Jiang TL, Berquist SW, Feng J, Metzner W. Ambient noise causes independent changes in distinct spectro-temporal features of echolocation calls in horseshoe bats. *Journal of experimental biology*. 2014;217(14):2440-2444. DOI: 10.1242/jeb.102855
20. Halfwerk W, Holleman LJM, Lessells CM, Slabbekoorn H. Negative impact of traffic noise on avian reproductive success. *Journal of applied ecology*. 2011;48(1):210-219. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2010.01914.x
21. Halfwerk W, Slabbekoorn H. A behavioural mechanism explaining noise-dependent frequency use in urban birdsong. *Animal behaviour*. 2009;78(6):1301-1307. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2009.09.015
22. Herrera-Montes MI, Aide TM. Impacts of traffic noise on anuran and bird communities. *Urban ecosystems*. 2011;14(3):415-427. DOI: 10.1007/s11252-011-0158-7
23. Le Roux DS, Waas JR. Do long-tailed bats alter their evening activity in response to aircraft noise?. *Acta chiropterologica*. 2012;14(1):111-120. DOI: 10.3161/150811012X654321
24. Lengagne T. Traffic noise affects communication behaviour in a breeding anuran, *Hyla arborea*. *Biological conservation*. 2008;141(8):2023-2031. DOI: 10.1016/j.biocon.2008.05.017
25. Leonard ML, Horn AG. Ambient noise and the design of begging signals. *Proceedings of the royal society b-biological sciences*. 2005;272(1563):651-656. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2004.3021
26. Lohr B, Wright TF, Dooling RJ. Detection and discrimination of natural calls in masking noise by birds: estimating the active space of a signal. *Animal behaviour*. 2003;65:763-777. DOI: 10.1006/anbe.2003.2093
27. Lukanov S, Simeonovska-Nikolova D, Tzankov N. Effects of traffic noise on the locomotion activity and vocalization of the Marsh Frog, *Pelophylax ridibundus*. *North-western journal of zoology*. 2014;10(2):359-364.
28. Luo JH, Clarin BM, Borissov IM, Siemers BM. Are torpid bats immune to anthropogenic noise?. *Journal of experimental biology*. 2014;217(7):1072-1078. DOI: 10.1242/jeb.092890

29. Luther D, Gentry K. Sources of background noise and their influence on vertebrate acoustic communication. *Behaviour*. 2013;150(43382):1045-1068. DOI: 10.1163/1568539X-00003054
30. Mason JT, McClure CJW, Barber JR. Anthropogenic noise impairs owl hunting behavior. *Biological conservation*. 2016;199:29-32. DOI: 10.1016/j.biocon.2016.04.009
31. Mendes S, Colino-Rabanal VJ, Peris SJ. Bird song variations along an urban gradient: The case of the European blackbird (*Turdus merula*). *Landscape and urban planning*. 2011;99(1):51-57. DOI: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2010.08.013
32. Morley EL, Jones G, Radford AN. The importance of invertebrates when considering the impacts of anthropogenic noise. *Proceedings of the royal society b-biological sciences*. 2014;281(1776). DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2013.2683
33. Nemeth E, Brumm H. Blackbirds sing higher-pitched songs in cities: adaptation to habitat acoustics or side-effect of urbanization?. *Animal behaviour*. 2009;78(3):637-641. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2009.06.016
34. Newport J, Shorthouse DJ, Manning AD. The effects of light and noise from urban development on biodiversity: Implications for protected areas in Australia. *Ecological management & restoration*. 2014;15(3):204-214. DOI: 10.1111/emr.12120
35. Patricelli GL, Blickley JL. Avian communication in urban noise: Causes and consequences of vocal adjustment. *Auk*. 2006;123(3):639-649. DOI: 10.1642/0004-8038(2006)123[639:ACIUNC]2.0.CO;2
36. Pohl NU, Leadbeater E, Slabbekoorn H, Klump GM, Langemann U. Great tits in urban noise benefit from high frequencies in song detection and discrimination. *Animal behaviour*. 2012;83(3):711-721. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2011.12.019
37. Pohl NU, Slabbekoorn H, Klump GM, Langemann U. Effects of signal features and environmental noise on signal detection in the great tit, *Parus major*. *Animal behaviour*. 2009;78(6):1293-1300. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2009.09.005
38. Proppe DS, Sturdy CB, St Clair CC. Anthropogenic noise decreases urban songbird diversity and may contribute to homogenization. *Global change biology*. 2013;19(4):1075-1084. DOI: 10.1111/gcb.12098
39. Quinn JL, Whittingham MJ, Butler SJ, Cresswell W. Noise, predation risk compensation and vigilance in the chaffinch *Fringilla coelebs*. *Journal of avian biology*. 2006;37(6):601-608. DOI: 10.1111/j.2006.0908-8857.03781.x
40. Rabin L, McCowan B, Hooper S, Owings D. Anthropogenic Noise and its Effects on Animal Communication: An Interface Between Comparative Psychology and Conservation Biology. *International Journal of Comparative Psychology*. 2003;16(2).
41. Reijnen R, Foppen R, Meeuwesen H. The effects of traffic on the density of breeding birds in Dutch agricultural grasslands. *Biological conservation*. 1996;75(3):255-260. DOI: 10.1016/0006-3207(95)00074-7
42. Reijnen R, Foppen R, Veenbaas G. Disturbance by traffic of breeding birds: Evaluation of the effect and considerations in planning and managing road corridors. *Biodiversity and conservation*. 1997;6(4):567-581. DOI: 10.1023/A:1018385312751

43. Ryals BM, Dooling RJ, Westbrook E, Dent ML, MacKenzie A, Larsen ON. Avian species differences in susceptibility to noise exposure. *Hearing research*. 1999;131(43132):71-88. DOI: 10.1016/S0378-5955(99)00022-2
44. Sabet SS, Van Dooren D, Slabbekoorn H. Son et lumiere: Sound and light effects on spatial distribution and swimming behavior in captive zebrafish. *Environmental pollution*. 2016;212:480-488. DOI: 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.02.046
45. Samarra FIP, Klappert K, Brumm H, Miller PJO. Background noise constrains communication: acoustic masking of courtship song in the fruit fly *Drosophila montana*. *Behaviour*. 2009;146:1635-1648. DOI: 10.1163/156853909X463713
46. Schaub A, Ostwald J, Siemers BM. Foraging bats avoid noise. *Journal of experimental biology*. 2008;211(19):3174-3180. DOI: 10.1242/jeb.022863
47. Schmidt R, Morrison A, Kunc HP. Sexy voices - no choices: male song in noise fails to attract females. *Animal behaviour*. 2014;94:55-59. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.05.018
48. Schroeder J, Nakagawa S, Cleasby IR, Burke T. Passerine Birds Breeding under Chronic Noise Experience Reduced Fitness. *Plos one*. 2012;7(7). DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0039200
49. Shannon G, Angeloni LM, Wittemyer G, Fristrup KM, Crooks KR. Road traffic noise modifies behaviour of a keystone species. *Animal behaviour*. 2014;94:135-141. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.06.004
50. Shirley MDF, Armitage VL, Barden TL, Gough M, Lurz PWW, Oatway DE, South AB, Rushton SP. Assessing the impact of a music festival on the emergence behaviour of a breeding colony of Daubenton's bats (*Myotis daubentonii*). *Journal of zoology*. 2001;254:367-373. DOI: 10.1017/S0952836901000863
51. Siemers BM, Schaub A. Hunting at the highway: traffic noise reduces foraging efficiency in acoustic predators. *Proceedings of the royal society b-biological sciences*. 2011;278(1712):1646-1652. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2010.2262
52. Slabbekoorn H, den Boer-Visser A. Cities change the songs of birds. *Current biology*. 2006;16(23):2326-2331. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.10.008
53. Slabbekoorn H, Ripmeester EAP. Birdsong and anthropogenic noise: implications and applications for conservation. *Molecular ecology*. 2008;17(1):72-83. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03487.x
54. Spellerberg IF. Ecological effects of roads and traffic: a literature review. *Global ecology and biogeography*. 1998;7(5):317-333. DOI: 10.1046/j.1466-822x.1998.00308.x
55. Sun JWC, Narins PA. Anthropogenic sounds differentially affect amphibian call rate. *Biological conservation*. 2005;121(3):419-427. DOI: 10.1016/j.biocon.2004.05.017
56. Swaddle JP, Francis CD, Barber JR, Cooper CB, Kyba CCM, Dominoni DM, Shannon G, Aschehoug E, Goodwin SE, Kawahara AY, Luther D, Spoelstra K, Voss M, Longcore T. A framework to assess evolutionary responses to anthropogenic light and sound. *Trends in ecology & evolution*. 2015;30(9):550-560. DOI: 10.1016/j.tree.2015.06.009

57. Tremblay MA, St Clair CC. Factors affecting the permeability of transportation and riparian corridors to the movements of songbirds in an urban landscape. *Journal of applied ecology*. 2009;46(6):1314-1322. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2009.01717.x
58. Troianowski M, Melot G, Lengagne T. Multimodality: A way to cope with road traffic noise? The case of European treefrog (*Hyla arborea*). *Behavioural processes*. 2014;107:88-93. DOI: 10.1016/j.beproc.2014.08.007
59. Troianowski M, Mondy N, Dumet A, Arcanjo C, Lengagne T. Effects of traffic noise on tree frog stress levels, immunity, and color signaling. *Conservation biology*. 2017;31(5):1132-1140. DOI: 10.1111/cobi.12893
60. Tressler J, Smotherman MS. Context-dependent effects of noise on echolocation pulse characteristics in free-tailed bats. *Journal of comparative physiology a-neuroethology sensory neural and behavioral physiology*. 2009;195(10):923-934. DOI: 10.1007/s00359-009-0468-x
61. Underhill JE, Angold PG. Effects of roads on wildlife in an intensively modified landscape. *Dossiers environnement*. 2000;8(1):21-39. DOI: 10.1139/a00-003
62. Warren PS, Katti M, Ermann M, Brazel A. Urban bioacoustics: it's not just noise. *Animal behaviour*. 2006;71:491-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2005.07.014
63. Wood WE, Yezerinac SM. Song sparrow (*Melospiza melodia*) song varies with urban noise. *Auk*. 2006;123(3):650-659. DOI: 10.1642/0004-8038(2006)123[650:SSMMSV]2.0.CO;2
64. Wysocki LE, Dittami JP, Ladich F. Ship noise and cortisol secretion in European freshwater fishes. *Biological conservation*. 2006;128(4):501-508. DOI: 10.1016/j.biocon.2005.10.020
65. Wysocki LE, Ladich F. Effects of noise exposure on click detection and the temporal resolution ability of the goldfish auditory system. *Hearing research*. 2005;201(43132):27-36. DOI: 10.1016/j.heares.2004.08.015