

# Monolith weak affinity chromatography for $\mu$ g-protein-ligand interaction study

Lucile Lecas, Jérôme Randon, Alain Berthod, Vincent Dugas, Claire

Demesmay

### ► To cite this version:

Lucile Lecas, Jérôme Randon, Alain Berthod, Vincent Dugas, Claire Demesmay. Monolith weak affinity chromatography for  $\mu g$ -protein-ligand interaction study. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 2019, 166, pp.164-173. 10.1016/j.jpba.2019.01.012 . hal-02015987

## HAL Id: hal-02015987 https://hal.science/hal-02015987v1

Submitted on 21 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0731708518323021 Manuscript\_5d7ed9695c146d7a181832075c315519

1	Monolith weak affinity chromatography for $\mu$ g-protein-ligand					
2	interaction study					
3						
4						
5 6 7	Lucile Lecas, Jérôme Randon, Alain Berthod, Vincent Dugas, Claire Demesmay*					
8 9 10	Université de Lyon, CNRS, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Institut des Sciences Analytiques UMR 5280, 5 rue de la Doua, F-69100 VILLEURBANNE, France					
11	E-mail adresses:					
12	lucile.lecas@isa-lyon.fr					
13	jerome.randon@isa-lyon.fr					
14	alain.berthod@univ-lyon1.fr					
15	vincent.dugas@univ-lyon1.fr					
16	* <u>Corresponding author</u> :					
17	Tel.: +33437423552					
18	Email address: demesmay@univ-lyon1.fr					
19						
20	Declarations of interest: none					

- 21 Abstract
- 22

23 Affinity monolith columns of 375 nL (effective length 8.5 cm, internal diameter 75µm) were 24 developed for protein-ligand affinity investigations needing only 3 µg of human serum albumin 25 (HSA). To promote specific interactions and avoid non-specific ones, different combinations of 26 monolithic supports and bio-functionalization pathways were evaluated. Silica and 27 glycidylmethacrylate based monoliths were *in-situ* synthesized and grafted with HSA. Two direct 28 grafting methods epoxy-amine and Schiff Base plus the streptavidin-biotin method were compared. 29 The columns were evaluated by frontal analysis with ligands of known affinity for HSA. It is shown 30 that a classical capillary electrophoresis instrument equipped with an external pressure device can be 31 used to do weak affinity chromatography at low pressure (less than 1.2 MPa) in a fully automated 32 way and with very low reagent consumption. The grafting pathways were compared in terms of (i) 33 total and active amounts of immobilized protein, (ii) non-specific interactions, (iii) protein 34 denaturation. According to these criteria, the organic monoliths combined with the streptavidin-35 biotin approach provided the best results. This immobilization pathway led to the highest active protein content (40 pmol of HSA per 8.5-cm column) with less than 10% non-specific interactions and 36 37 84% protein activity. The target grafting step lasts only 10 min and is UV-monitored, the UV 38 breakthrough curve giving the exact amount of bound protein. This novel approach was validated by 39 K<sub>d</sub> measurements of 3 known ligands of HSA. Streptavidin generic monolith columns could be stored at 4°C for 3 months maintaining activity. µg of a biotin modified sensitive protein could be attached 40 41 to a stable streptavidin monolith for immediate interaction studies avoiding stability problems. This 42 development was subsequently extended to another protein of higher pharmaceutical interest: the 43 N-terminal domain of HSP90. Affinity was measured for two known ligands and determined  $K_d$  values 44 were in accordance with the literature, proving that our technique is applicable to other proteins.

45

46 Keywords: weak affinity chromatography, miniaturization, monoliths, protein-ligand interaction,

47 HSA, HSP90

#### 48 **1. Introduction**

49

50 Understanding and quantifying biomolecular interactions is at the very heart of life sciences. From a 51 fundamental point of view, it allows for a better understanding of biological processes. Biomedical 52 interactions are also needed for health applications, such as drug discovery applied to the 53 development of new therapeutic molecules [1]. Recently, weak affinity chromatography (WAC) has 54 been introduced as a chromatographic technique where biomolecules such as proteins are immobilized on the stationary phase [2,3]. WAC has been increasingly used to study interactions in 55 56 biological systems [2-5]. The chromatographic retention of a ligand injected in WAC is linked to the 57 protein-ligand interaction strength. Hence, WAC can be used to obtain fundamental and/or 58 mechanistic information in a variety of fields such as: (i) chiral separations [6,7], (ii) fragment 59 screening in fragment-based drug discovery [3], (iii) selection of site-selective probe for use in the 60 high-throughput screening of drug binding to protein [8] and (iv) thorough thermodynamic and 61 kinetic studies of protein-ligand interaction [9,10]. A study reported that the data obtained by WAC 62 were coherent with what the more sophisticated NMR and Thermal Shift Assay techniques were 63 producing [5]. Since running cost is an important factor, WAC appears to be very competitive for 64 biomolecular interaction studies [3].

65

The WAC studies were mainly performed using "classical" columns with an internal diameters of 4.6 66 67 mm or 2.1 mm, a length varying from one to 20 cm, and using 5 µm silica particles with wide 30 nm 68 pore size [4,8,9,11-14]. Few studies were also conducted with silica monoliths at classical scale 69 (columns with a 4.6 mm i.d and with lengths ranging from a few centimeters [6] to a few millimeters 70 [15]), or with organic polymer monoliths (4.6 mm i.d., length from a few centimeters [16] to a few 71 millimeters [7]). The immobilization of the target protein onto the stationary phase allows for a 72 significant decrease of the amount of protein needed for the interaction studies compared to what 73 was needed with the *in vitro* solution studies. However, the preparation of a "classical" affinity 74 column can require up to a few dozen milligrams of the target protein. This amount is still significant. 75 Obtaining milligram amounts of stable and functionally folded proteins can be highly challenging. A 76 first attempt to reduce the amount of protein needed in the sub-milligram range was described by 77 the group of Ohlson who prepared 500 µm i.d. silica particles affinity columns [17]. Further down-78 sizing the column diameter should allow using even less target protein ( $\mu$ g for 75 $\mu$ m i.d.) but cannot 79 be straightforwardly achieved. The packing of 75 µm i.d. capillary columns is very difficult so 80 monolithic columns appear to be a viable alternative. Monoliths can be synthesized *in-situ* leading to chromatographic capillary columns with high permeability. After the bare monolith synthesis, the
protein grafting step has to be in-situ performed by flowing the protein solution.

83 The numerous bio-functionalization pathways of chromatographic supports with a target protein 84 have been recently reviewed [18]. The simplest pathway is the direct covalent coupling of the 85 protein onto an epoxy ring via the reaction of the primary amine of a lysine side chain of the protein. 86 This grafting way has drawbacks: it is time-consuming (48 to 72 h) due to the low reactivity of the 87 epoxy moleties [7,16]. Among the reviewed grafting methods, the Schiff base method stands out [7,16,19] as this method should produce 1.5 to 4 time higher grafting densities than the epoxy 88 89 method for similar reaction times [7,16]. Although the epoxy and Schiff base grafting methods have 90 proved to work well for model proteins such as HSA and few soluble proteins [3], they are less usable 91 with fragile proteins (that may partially denaturate during the time consuming grafting step) or with 92 proteins available in scarce amount (a great excess of protein is consumed). Therefore, we intended 93 to develop an alternative method overcoming such drawbacks and to compare it with the previously 94 reported methods. It relies on the instantaneous capture of the biotinylated-target protein onto a streptavidin-modified support. The streptavidin-biotin interaction ( $K_d=10^{-15}$  M [20]) should be strong 95 96 enough to support dynamic affinity studies under mild conditions.

97 In this study, silica-based and organic-based monolithic nano-columns were prepared, investigated 98 and compared in frontal analysis mode for nano-WAC applications. Human Serum Albumin (HSA) was 99 used as model target protein and grafted according to three different immobilization pathways, 100 namely: the epoxy-amine, Schiff base and streptavidin-biotin methods. The immobilization pathways 101 were considered in term of (i) amount of total and active protein per column, (ii) secondary non-102 specific interactions and (iii) protein activity conservation. K<sub>d</sub> were measured and compared to the 103 literature in order to validate this new approach. For the streptavidin-biotin grafting path the stability 104 of the columns was investigated in screening and storage conditions. After the development with 105 HSA as target model, nano-columns were grafted with the N-terminal domain of HSP90, in order to 106 confirm the applicability of our technique to another protein, and the K<sub>d</sub> of three fragments (ligands) 107 were measured.

108

#### **2. Material and methods**

#### 110 **2.1. Reagents and chemicals**

Ethylene dimethacrylate (EDMA, 97%), glycidyl methacrylate (GMA, 98%), 1-propanol, 1,4butanediol, (3-methacryloxypropyl)-trimethoxysilane (γ-MAPS), tetramethoxysilane (TMOS),
methytrimethoxysilane (MTMS), urea, polyethylene glycol (PEG, MW=10,000), methanol (HPLC

114 grade), triethylamine (TEA), sodium periodate, lithium hydroxide, dipotassium hydrogen phosphate 115 (K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>), o-phosphoric acid, dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), acetic acid, coomassie brilliant blue G (CBB), 116 (3-glycidyloxypropyl)trimethoxysilane (GPTMS), sodium cyanoborohydride, ibuprofen (racemic), 117 warfarin (racemic), furosemide, adenosine 5'-diphosphate sodium salt, n,n-diethylvanillamide, 3-118 hydroxy-4-methoxybenzyl alcohol, magnesium chloride (MgCl<sub>2</sub>), human serum albumin (HSA, fatty 119 acid free, globulin free,  $\geq$ 99%), streptavidin (from *streptomyces avidinii*, affinity purified,  $\geq$  13 U.mg<sup>-1</sup> 120 of protein), were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (L'Isle d'Abeau Chesne, France). Ammonia solution 121 (28-30 %) was from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Sulfuric acid (18 M) was from VWR (Fontenay-122 sous-bois, France). All aqueous solutions were prepared using >18 M $\Omega$  deionized water. Preparation 123 of the phosphate buffer was done by dissolving 1.17 g of  $K_2$ HPO<sub>4</sub> in 100 mL of ultrapure water and pH 124 was adjusted to 7.4 with ortho-phosphoric acid. An EZ-LinkNHS-PEG4-Biotinylation Kit was purchased 125 from Thermo Scientific (VWR). HSP90 protein was produced by a partner laboratory (Institut de 126 Biologie et Chimie des Protéines, Lyon France). The N-terminal fragment of HSP90 (9-236) was 127 expressed in E.coli (BL21) with a histidine tag and purified by a monoQ column. Protein samples were delivered at 6.7 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup> in PBS buffer and stored at -80°C before use. 128

129

#### 130 **2.2. Instrumentation**

131 Liquid flow into capillary columns was driven by pressure gradient using the microfluidic flow 132 controller MFCS-EZ100 system (Fluigent, Villejuif, France). Inlet pressure values are reported to 133 specify the liquid flow conditions (outlet pressure was always set up at atmospheric pressure). An LC 134 pump L-6000 (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was used to rinse the freshly prepared capillaries in 135 pressure mode (3 MPa). Nano-LC experiments (frontal weak affinity chromatography experiments) 136 were carried out with a capillary electrophoresis Agilent HP3D CE system (Agilent Technologies, 137 Waldbronn, Germany) equipped with external pressure nitrogen supply allowing to work up to 1.2 138 MPa. System control and data acquisition were carried out using the Chemstation software (Agilent).

139

#### 140 **2.3. Capillary monolith syntheses**

141

#### 2.3.1 Epoxy-functionalized silica monoliths

Polyimide-coated fused-silica capillaries (75 μm i.d.) were purchased from Polymicro Technologies
(Molex, Phoenix, Arizona, USA). The silica monolith preparation was extensively described [21].
Briefly, a 18 mL mixture of TMOS/MTMS (85/15, v/v) was added to 40 mL 0.01 M acetic acid solution
containing 1.9 g PEG and 4.05 g urea. The sol mixture was stirred at 0°C for 30 min. Then, the
temperature was raised to 40°C and the mixture was loaded into 15-cm 75-μm i.d. fused-silica

capillary pieces. Next, the partially filled capillaries (approximately 10 cm on the total length of 15 cm), were end-plugged and kept at 40°C overnight for gelification. Mesopores were formed by urea decomposition through gently raising the capillary temperature up to 120°C maintained for 4 h. After cooling, the monoliths were thoroughly washed with methanol. GPTMS surface functionalization was done by flushing monoliths with a solution containing 5 % of GPTMS and 2.5 % of TEA in methanol/water (95/5, v/v). The reaction was conducted for 3 h at room temperature. Monoliths were then rinsed with methanol for 30 min (0.7 MPa).

154

#### 2.3.2 Glycidyl methacrylate monoliths

155 Fused-silica capillaries with UV transparent coating (TSH, 75-µm i.d.) were purchased from Polymicro 156 Technologies (Molex). A pre-treatment procedure was carried out in order to ensure a strong 157 covalent attachment of monoliths to capillary walls. Capillaries were flushed with a 5 % (v/v) solution 158 of y-MAPS in methanol/water (95/5, v/v) with 2.5 % TEA for 1 h at 0.7 MPa. Next, they were rinsed 159 with methanol for 15 min at 0.7 MPa and dried at room temperature under nitrogen stream. A 160 polymerization mixture adapted from [22] was prepared by mixing 0.9 mL GMA, 0.3 mL EDMA, 1.05 161 mL 1-propanol, 0.6 mL 1,4-butanediol and 0.15 mL ultra-pure water. Prior to UV irradiation, potential 162 oxygen in the solution was removed by 15 min ultrasonication, and 12 mg of the initiator 2,2-163 azobisisobutyronitrile were added under magnetic stirring. The pre-treated capillary was then filled 164 with the polymerization mixture under 0.1 MPa  $N_2$  pressure. The photopolymerization reaction was 165 performed in a Bio-link UV cross-linker (VWR International, France) under 365 nm UV light for a total 166 energy of 6 J.cm<sup>-2</sup>. In order to localize the monolith inside the silica capillary, a PEEK tubing (380 μm 167 i.d.) was used as a mask to cover non-irradiated areas. After polymerization, the 8.5-cm monolithic 168 column segment of the 15-cm capillary was rinsed with methanol for 1 h using a LC pump in pressure 169 mode (3 MPa) to remove any unreacted reagents.

170

171 **2.4.** Protein immobilization protocols

#### 172

## 2.4.1 Direct coupling methods

#### 173 *2.4.1.1 Epoxy method*

Proteins can be directly immobilized onto epoxy-functionalized monolithic columns via reactions involving primary amines (-NH<sub>2</sub>), such as the lysine side-chains, or the amino-termini of proteins. This grafting was carried out by circulating a 2 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup> HSA solution in phosphate buffer (67 mM, pH 7.4) for 48 h at 0.7 MPa. The column was then rinsed with phosphate buffer solution and stored at 4°C prior to use.

179 2.4.1.2 Schiff base method

180 The Schiff base method consists in the reaction of aldehyde reactive surface groups of the solid 181 support with the amino-groups of the protein. Aldehyde reactive moieties are classically obtained by 182 oxidation of diol functions. First, the epoxy groups of the epoxy-functionalized silica or GMA-co-183 EDMA monoliths were hydrolyzed into diols flowing 1 M sulfuric acid for 2 h at 0.7 MPa. After rinsing 184 all residual acid, the diol-monolith was oxidized to aldehyde using a 0.12 M NalO<sub>4</sub> solution at pH 5.5. 185 Then a 2 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup> HSA and 8 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup> NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN solution in 67 mM pH 6 phosphate buffer was percolated through the column for 48 h at 0.7 MPa and room temperature. Sodium 186 187 cyanoborohydride reduces imine groups, a necessary treatment in order to get reliable immobilization. After immobilization, the column was flushed with sodium borohydride (2.5 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>, 188 189 phosphate buffer 67 mM pH 8 (2 h, 0.7 MPa) to reduce residual aldehydes. The HSA affinity columns 190 were then rinsed with phosphate buffer and stored at 4°C. The same protocol was used to evaluate the impact of protein concentration (1 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup> and 0.5 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup> HSA were also tested) and grafting 191 192 duration (12 h instead of 48 h was tested) on final protein content.

193

194

#### 2.4.2 Streptavidin-biotin method

195 The streptavidin-biotin method relies on the instantaneous capture of the biotinylated-target protein 196 onto a streptavidin-modified monolith. The affinity of the streptavidin-biotin interaction is so high (in the femtomolar range,  $K_d=10^{-15}$  M [20]) that this coupling may be considered as covalent. 197 Streptavidin was grafted on the organic monolith following the Schiff base method described above 198 using a 1 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup> streptavidin solution. Coupling of biotin to HSA was done following the instructions 199 200 of the Thermo EZ-Link NHS-PEG4-Biotinylation Kit. Being a small molecule, biotin (M.W. 244 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>) 201 can be attached to many proteins without altering their biological activities [23]. The molar ratio of 202 NHS-PEG<sub>4</sub>-biotin to protein was adjusted to obtain the desired level of incorporation. Biotin 203 incorporation (1.7 biotin/protein molecule) was estimated using the HABA assay. All excess of biotin 204 reagent was removed using a desalting column. The concentration of the resulting biotin-HSA 205 solution was calculated with the solution's absorbance at 280 nm ( $\epsilon_{HSA}$  = 0.5982 Lg<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>-1</sup>). Finally, the 206 biotin-HSA solution was loaded through the streptavidin immobilized column using the Agilent CE 207 system at 1.2 MPa pressure, monitoring UV absorbance at 230 and 280 nm. The percolation was 208 stopped when saturation of the support was reached, i.e. the biotin-HSA reached the detector 209 (observation of a plateau at 230 and 280 nm). The breakthrough curve was used to estimate the 210 quantity of captured protein on the streptavidin immobilized support. Similar protocol was used for the HSP90 protein. Biotin incorporation was 2.8 biotin/HSP90 N-ter and resulting biotinylated protein 211 concentration was calculated using  $\varepsilon_{HSP90}$ = 0.5822 L.g<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>-1</sup>. 212

#### 214 **2.5. Columns evaluation**

215 Affinity columns were evaluated by frontal chromatography using a capillary electrophoresis system 216 (S.1). The experiments were conducted using the CE system in the so-called "short-end" injection 217 mode. The system was exclusively operated in the pressurization mode by applying external pressure 218 (no voltage applied). The inlet of the capillary column is simply immersed in the solution to be 219 infused and the external pressure forces the liquid to flow inside the capillary column. The detection 220 was achieved "on-column" (in an empty section of the 10-cm capillary located just after the 221 monolith), with a diode array detector operated in a multi-wavelength mode. The effective length 222 was 8.5 cm. Experiments were conducted under controlled room temperature (25°C). After every 223 analysis, the capillary column was rinsed with 20 column volumes of phosphate buffer to eliminate 224 the infused compounds.

225

#### 226 227

## **2.5.1** Determination of the number of actives sites (B<sub>act</sub>) and protein-ligand dissociation constant (K<sub>a</sub>) by in-capillary frontal analysis

The total number of actives sites (B<sub>act</sub>) and the protein-ligand dissociation constant (K<sub>d</sub>) were evaluated by frontal analysis [8]. Different ligands of known affinity for HSA (Fig. 1) were selected to characterize the columns : warfarin, furosemide and ibuprofen [6,11,24].

231

232

Fig.1.

233 10 mM stock solutions of the probe ligands were prepared in DMSO to ensure complete 234 solubilization. Solutions of increasing ligand concentration (5, 7, 10 and 20 µM) were prepared in phosphate buffer (67 mM K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.4) and percolated at 1.2 MPa (about 240 nL.min<sup>-1</sup> or 0.1 cm.s<sup>-1</sup> 235 236 <sup>1</sup> for 8.5-cm length columns). The flow rate, F, was calculated using a non-retained solute or the 237 plateau of residual DMSO. Compounds were monitored at their characteristic wavelength (DMSO 214 nm, warfarin 308 nm, furosemide 280 nm, and ibuprofen 230 nm). The accurate determination 238 239 of the saturation time, t<sub>plateau</sub>, viz inflection point of the breakthrough curves, was determined using 240 the 1<sup>st</sup> derivative of the UV-signal. For each ligand concentration, [L], the breakthrough curve is used 241 to determine the amount of ligand captured by the HSA affinity support, q, using eq. 1:

- 242
- 243
- 244

$$q = \Delta t \times F \times [L] \tag{Eq.1}$$

245  $\Delta t$  being the time difference between dead time, t<sub>0</sub>, and saturation time, t<sub>plateau</sub>.

The total number of active sites on the affinity column,  $B_{act}$ , and the dissociation equilibrium constant,  $K_d$ , of the HSA-ligand complex were determined according to eq. 2 after plotting 1/q versus 1/[L]:

249

250 
$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{k_d}{B_{act}} \times \frac{1}{[L]} + \frac{1}{B_{act}}$$
(Eq.2)

251

B<sub>act</sub> was first determined in pmol and then converted to pmol.cm<sup>-1</sup> and nmol.mL<sup>-1</sup> using the monolith
 density (300 and 320 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup> respectively for silica and organic monolith).

#### 254 2.5.2 Evaluation of non-specific interactions

255 Non-specific interactions were quantified by frontal analysis using a ligand concentration of 10 µM in 256 phosphate buffer (67 mM  $K_2$ HPO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.4) on monolithic columns before the protein immobilization 257 step. For each support, the amount of probe ligands captured by non-specific interaction was 258 determined according to Eq.1. For non-specific interactions, the breakthrough volume is the same at 259 all concentrations and the amount of ligand captured is directly proportional to the concentration. 260 The contribution of non-specific interactions to total retention was also expressed as the fraction of 261 ligand captured by non-specific interactions compared to the total amount captured by frontal 262 affinity chromatography on the same monolith after the protein immobilization step (at the same 263 ligand concentration).

#### 264

#### **2.5.3** Determination of the total amount of immobilized protein B<sub>tot</sub>

In order to thoroughly characterize the influence of the immobilization process on the protein activity, the active amount of HSA, B<sub>act</sub>, has to be compared to the total amount of immobilized protein, B<sub>tot</sub>. So different methods were designed to determine *in-situ* the total amount of target protein immobilized according to the protein grafting process.

269

For monoliths bio-functionalized with the streptavidin-biotin coupling method, the UV-monitoring of
the breakthrough curve of biotin-HSA at 230 and 280 nm during the dynamic grafting step allowed
the direct quantification of biotin-HSA captured by the monolith.

273

For affinity monoliths prepared with the Schiff base method, the Amino Density Estimation by Colorimetric Assay (ADECA) method was adapted to micro-format and hydrodynamic mode from results previously obtained in a static mode on macro-monoliths synthesized in batch [25]. The online modified ADECA method use the Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) dye and allows for *in-situ* determinations of the total amount of protein grafted inside miniaturized capillary column, 279 independently of its activity. The experiments were conducted using the CE system in the short-end 280 injection mode using external pressuring device. The monolith is initially flushed with ultrapure water 281 to remove the phosphate buffer, and a 10% methanol-5% acetic acid solution to protonate all amino 282 groups, both at 1.2 MPa for 10 min. Then, a 100 mg.L<sup>-1</sup> CBB in 10 % v/v methanol-5 % v/v acetic acid 283 solution is loaded under a 1.2 MPa pressure monitoring the corresponding breakthrough curve at 284 260 nm. Eq. 1 was used to determine the amount of captured CBB and the quantity of immobilized 285 protein was calculated taking into account the 103 amino acids, distributed between lysine, histidine 286 and arginine, in the HSA sequence. The rinsing step was performed by flushing the column with a 287 50/50 1 M ammonia/methanol solution at 1.2 MPa for 10 min.

288

#### **3. Results and discussion**

Two types of monolithic capillary supports were investigated for the development of miniaturized weak affinity experiments: silica and organic monoliths. Both types were first *in-situ* synthesized in 75 µm i.d. capillary and then bio-functionalized with HSA as model target protein. Depending on the type of monolith, several bio-functionalization pathways were considered: the direct "epoxy" and "Schiff base" methods and the "streptavidin-biotin" coupling method (Fig. 2).

295

296

Fig.2.

For the streptavidin-biotin coupling method described in this paper, streptavidin was grafted on the surface and biotin was attached to HSA. Then, due to the rapid and complete streptavidin-biotin interaction, the capture of the biotin-protein was monitored *in-situ* by UV spectrophotometry during its breakthrough percolation.

301

The HSA immobilized organic and silica monoliths were evaluated in terms of unwanted non-specific interactions and specific interactions (affinity), with the goal to minimize the former and to enhance the latter. Considering the high permeability of monoliths, the use of an expensive nano-LC set-up was put aside and all experiments were conducted in frontal affinity chromatography using a capillary electrophoresis system, operated in the pressurized mode (maximum external pressure of 1.2 MPa) in the short-end mode.

308

#### 309 **3.1. Affinity Silica monoliths**

A first attempt to downsize weak affinity chromatography at nanoscale was made with silica monoliths that present similar chemical and textural features to that of silica particles used in the literature. Bare silica monoliths were *in-situ* synthesized according to a previously described sol-gel protocol [21]. Such monolithic capillary columns have 2  $\mu$ m through-pores with 8 nm mesopores giving a surface area of 480 m<sup>2</sup>.g<sup>-1</sup> with a 1.5  $\mu$ m skeleton diameter giving a permeability of 5.10<sup>-14</sup> m<sup>2</sup> (determined by Darcy's law). For comparison, classical 3-5  $\mu$ m silica particles have larger mesopores, specific areas between 100 and 300 m<sup>2</sup>.g<sup>-1</sup>, and permeabilities two orders of magnitude lower.

317

318 Only the two direct epoxy-amine and the Schiff base bio-functionalization processes of Fig. 2 were 319 initially considered with silica monolithic columns. Indeed, the streptavidin-biotin coupling is not 320 amenable with 8 nm nanopores. Bare silica monoliths were silanized with 321 glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane (GPTMS) leading to epoxy-functionalized silica monoliths. Epoxy-322 ring opening was achieved in acidic medium to form diol groups that were oxidized into aldehyde 323 groups. The silica monoliths were evaluated at different stages of modification in terms of non-324 specific and specific affinity interactions.

325

#### 326

#### 3.1.1 Non-specific interactions on silica monoliths.

327 Characterization of non-specific interactions was estimated through adsorption of three HSA-specific 328 ligands: warfarin, furosemide and ibuprofen, at a unique concentration of 10 µM. Their adsorption 329 on silica monolith surfaces was done at four different stages of modification: (i) bare silica monolith, 330 (ii) epoxy-modified silica monolith, (iii) diol monolith after the epoxy-ring opening, and (iv) reduced-331 aldehyde monolith. Fig. 3 shows the absorbed amounts of three ligands on the 8.5 cm effective length 75 µm i.d. capillaries, expressed in pmol.cm<sup>-1</sup>, obtained on bare monolith and at the different 332 333 stages of modification. The epoxy-activated silica monoliths present the highest level of non-specific 334 interactions, probably linked to the less hydrophilic character of the glycidoxypropyl group 335 introduced during the silanization step. Hydrolysis of the epoxy-ring into diol groups significantly 336 decreases the amount of non-specifically adsorbed ligands but the level remains twice higher than on 337 bare silica (Fig. 3). The oxidation of the diol moieties into aldehydes, followed by their reduction by 338 NaBH<sub>4</sub> to polar hydroxyl groups does not significantly decrease non-specific interactions.

339

Fig.3.

340

Since the log D values at pH=7.4 of these three negatively charged ligands, respectively around -1, 0.8 and 1 for furosemide, warfarin and ibuprofen [26], do not match the non-specific interaction levels, hydrophobicity is not the only contributing factor. This significant level highlights that non-specific interactions should not be neglected on silica affinity monoliths and that reference columns have to be prepared to quantify their contribution to overall retention. When the Schiff base method is used, diol columns can be used instead of a reduced-aldehyde ones as non-specific interactions are of the 347 same order of magnitude for both column types (Fig. 3). Diol columns are easier-to-synthesize 348 references. If such a strategy, evaluation of non-specific interactions without any protein 349 immobilized onto the surface, is usually recommended by several groups (Hage, Ohlson) it may not 350 be fully representative of the final affinity column. Indeed, once proteins are grafted onto the 351 support, the resulting surface is partially covered by the targets, thus probably limiting ligand 352 accessibility to the underlying support. However, the grafting of another protein is not a satisfactory 353 alternative at it may lead itself to other non-specific interactions (from electrostatic to hydrophobic 354 ones).

- 355
- 356

#### 3.1.2 Affinity on HSA-silica monoliths.

357 The epoxy-method is known to proceed through the quick adsorption of the protein onto the surface 358 followed by a slower covalent links formation between epoxy and amino residues of biomolecules 359 [27]. Adsorption of a protein onto a hydrophobic surface is known to partially denature it i.e. to alter 360 its biological activity [28]. Thus the epoxy method was not further investigated on the epoxy silica 361 and HSA-affinity silica monolithic columns were exclusively prepared though the Schiff base method. 362 The performances of these affinity columns were assessed in frontal affinity chromatography (FAC) 363 with the three ligands. These ligands target the two main interaction sites of HSA (Sudlow sites IIA 364 and IIIA) [6,11]. For each solute, the breakthrough volume increased when the infused concentration 365 decreased, which is characteristic of affinity. Both the experimental  $K_d$  values and the total amount of 366 active binding sites (B<sub>act</sub>) of immobilized target proteins were determined by plotting the reciprocal 367 of the amount of captured ligand (1/q) versus the reciprocal of the ligand concentration (1/[L]) (Eq. 368 2). The values are reported in Table 1.

369

Grafting	Columns	Binding	Compound	K <sub>d</sub> in literature	Calculated K <sub>d</sub>	Active HSA content (B <sub>act</sub> )		
method	tested	site	-	(μM)	(μM)	(pmol.cm <sup>-1</sup> )	(nmol.mL <sup>-1</sup> )	
			Warfarin	2 – 7	20 ±8	10 ±2	212 ±47	
Schiff	n=3	IIA	Furosemide	5 – 50	97 ±36	32 ±7	680 ±150	
Naje		IIIA	Ibuprofen	0.3 – 25	116 ±43	32 ±7	680 ±150	

370**Table 1.** Experimental  $K_d$  values and active HSA-content for silica monoliths bio-functionalized371with HSA via the Schiff base method. Frontal analysis experiments implemented on silica372monolithic columns (effective length = 8.5 cm, 75  $\mu$ m i.d.). Relative standard deviations for the373three experiments.

374

The fact that the three ligands exhibit a measurable affinity for the HSA-column indicates that the two HSA binding sites are active. However, the experimental K<sub>d</sub> values obtained in this work (Table 1) are slightly higher than those reported in the literature [24] (Fig. 1). The high surface area of the silica monolith (480 m<sup>2</sup>.g<sup>-1</sup>) did not produce a higher number active sites  $B_{act}$  compared to the number of sites found on silica particles with only 100 m<sup>2</sup>.g<sup>-1</sup> [15]. The relative small size of the monolith mesopores (8 nm) combined to the high total monolith porosity may account for this relatively low active site density. The native HSA protein is quite large with a hydrodynamic radius of 3.5 nm likely to produce steric hindrances in mesopores that make most of the surface area. In addition, the small phase ratio  $V_s/V_m$  of silica monoliths, that are about 90 % porous, is less favorable to binding than that of silica particles with only 70-80 % total porosity.

385 Considering the relative amounts of solutes captured at the same concentration (10  $\mu$ M 386 concentration) on the HSA-silica monoliths and on reduced-aldehyde monoliths, non-specific 387 interactions make a substantial contribution to the overall retention (respectively 7%, 18% and 41% 388 for ibuprofen, furosemide and warfarin at 10 µM). This level of non-specific interactions to overall 389 retention is similar to what was observed by several authors on silica particles [15]. The difference in 390 active HSA-content ( $B_{act}$ ) obtained for the same IIA binding site with two different ligands (Table 1) suggests that these values could be partially biased by non-specific interactions that are more 391 392 important for warfarin. Considering (i) the relatively high part of non-specific interactions and (ii) the 393 impossibility to enlarge the mesopore diameter of our silica monoliths to increase access to the pore 394 volume, further investigation was focused on organic monoliths.

395

#### **396 3.2. Glycidyl methacrylate affinity monoliths**

Among the great diversity of organic monoliths [18], the GMA ones were selected for the simplicity and rapidity of their photochemically induced synthesis leaving directly available epoxy reactive groups at their surface. They were *in-situ* synthesized in UV-transparent capillaries according to a described protocol [22]. UV-masks allow for an easy selection of monolith length and location in the capillary. This monolith had a specific area of 4 m<sup>2</sup>.g<sup>-1</sup> and a permeability of  $10^{-13}$  m<sup>2</sup>.

All the protein immobilization pathways described in Fig. 2 were possible with the GMA monoliths.
The systematic non-specific interaction study was done in order to estimate the benefits of each
pathway with respect to affinity measurement on GMA-based monolith.

- 405
- 406

#### 3.2.1 Non-specific interactions on organic GMA monoliths

407 Possible non-specific interactions were investigated at the different stages of the monolith 408 elaboration: (i) as-synthesized, (ii) after the epoxy-ring opening to form diols, (iii) after diol oxidation 409 into aldehyde and reduction of the aldehyde with NaBH<sub>4</sub>, and (iv) after the covalent grafting of 410 streptavidin. Fig. 4 presents the non-specific adsorption of the three ligands at each step.

The highest adsorption value in Fig. 4 (0.43 pmol.cm<sup>-1</sup>) is lower than the adsorption values on silica 412 413 monolith (Fig. 3). Clearly, organic monoliths, at all elaboration stages, show less non-specific 414 interactions than silica monoliths. The dramatically lower amounts of ligands adsorbed onto GMA 415 and GMA-modified monoliths compared to silica monoliths may be due to the much lower surface 416 area of the organic monoliths. Among the GMA-based monoliths, epoxy monolith exhibits the 417 highest level of non-specific interactions. As expected, the conversion of epoxy into diol groups led to 418 a significant decrease in non-specific interactions that remain quite identical after oxidation. (Fig. 4). 419 After the grafting of streptavidin, the level of non-specific interaction slightly increases, thus 420 demonstrating that the grafting of a protein onto the surface is not a better alternative to hide the 421 underlying surface and may lead to small non-specific interactions.

422

423

#### 3.2.2 Affinity on GMA-based monoliths

424 Frontal affinity chromatography was used to characterize the immobilized-HSA activity and 425 accessibility with respect to the three HSA immobilization pathways. The K<sub>d</sub> values and amount of 426 active binding sites B<sub>act</sub> are gathered in Table 2. An illustration of the affinity experiments conducted 427 on a streptavidin-biotin monolith (breakthrough curves and plot of 1/q vs 1/[L]) is given in S.2. For 428 HSA-columns prepared through the Schiff base and streptavidin-biotin methods, the total HSA 429 content was in-situ determined. The colorimetric ADECA method was implemented to quantify the 430 total amount (Btot) of HSA immobilized onto the aldehyde monolith via the Schiff base method. The 431 total amount of biotin-HSA captured on the streptavidin-column was directly quantified through the 432 breakthrough curves (S.2). The ratio between active and total HSA contents gives the HSA activity 433 yield.

434

Grafting	Columns tested	Binding site	Compound	Calculated K <sub>d</sub>	Active HSA content (B <sub>act</sub> )		Total HSA content (B <sub>tot</sub> )		Activity
method				(μM)	(pmol.cm <sup>-1</sup> )	(nmol.mL <sup>-1</sup> )	(pmol.cm <sup>-1</sup> )	(nmol.mL <sup>-1</sup> )	yield
		IIA	Warfarin	17 ±9	5 ±1	103 ±27	Not determined		
Ероху	n=3		Furosemide	24 ±13	4.0 ±0.8	91 ±24			ions)
		IIIA	Ibuprofen	21 ±11	2.6 ±0.5	59 ±15	(ingii non-	101137	
		IIA	Warfarin	11 ±5	1.9 ±0.5	43 ±11	2.4 ±0.8	54 ±18	71%
Schiff base	n=13		Furosemide	17 ±3	1.7 ±0.6	38 ±14			
		IIIA	Ibuprofen	12 ±1	1.5 ±0.4	34 ±9			
	n=13	IIA	Warfarin	13 ±4	4 ±1	91 ±22	4.9 ±0.6	111 ±14	84%
Streptavidin			Furosemide	18 ±7	4.2 ±0.8	95 ±18			
		IIIA	Ibuprofen	16 ±4	4.0 ±0.5	91 ±12			

435**Table 2.** Experimental  $K_d$  values, active HSA-content ( $B_{act}$ ) and total HSA-content ( $B_{tot}$ ) for GMA-436based monoliths bio-functionalized with HSA via different immobilization pathways. Frontal

analysis experiments implemented on monolithic columns (effective length = 8.5 cm, 75 μm i.d.).
Relative standard deviations for the n experiments.

The K<sub>d</sub> values obtained for the three test ligands are in good agreement with previously reported
values and show that the two binding sites of immobilized HSA are active whatever the grafting
method used.

Another important point is the relative amount of ligand captured by non-specific versus that
captured by specific interactions. The level of non-specific interactions (at 10µM) ranges from 16 to
34 % (epoxy), 0 to 8 % (Schiff base) and 0 to 7 % (streptavidin monoliths). These results show that
GMA-modified monoliths present a significantly lower contribution of non-specific interaction than
their silica counterparts.

448

439

#### 449 *3.2.2.1 Epoxy method*

450 Regarding the active sites content (B<sub>act</sub>), different values were obtained for the two HSA binding sites. Whereas about 4-5 pmol.cm<sup>-1</sup> of active sites is measured on the site IIA, only about 2.5 pmol.cm<sup>-1</sup> of 451 452 actives sites is afforded for the site IIIA (n=3) (Table 2). This difference may be partially ascribe to 453 non-specific interactions on the epoxy-monolith and/or to a partial loss of activity of the Sudlow site 454 IIIA consecutive to the HSA immobilization process as already observed on silica [9]. Several attempts 455 were made to reduce or suppress non-specific interactions on epoxy monoliths using different post-456 grafting reactions. Post-grafting modification proposed in the literature were tested unsuccessfully: 457 the monoliths were treated with tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane (Tris) (0.1 M, pH 8.6, 48 h), 458 cysteine (1 M, 48 h), or ethanolamine (1 M, 15 h) [7,16]. FTIR spectroscopy was used to follow the 459 reaction of epoxy groups. No reduction of the intensity of the C-O vibration band of the epoxy at 907 cm<sup>-1</sup> was observed, which confirms the lack of reactivity of Tris and ethanolamine at room 460 461 temperature. Increasing the temperature to 60°C was effective in opening the epoxy groups but it 462 was not practical due to obvious protein stability issues. Conversely, the reaction of epoxy groups 463 with cysteine was efficient by totally eliminating non-specific interactions at room temperature, but 464 it also suppressed all HSA-specific interactions with the ligands. According to the literature, cysteine may form a disulfide bond with the free <sup>34</sup>Cysteine of HSA impairing all activity of the binding sites by 465 466 oxidation cascade [29]. Despite the simplicity of the direct grafting of HSA onto poly(GMA-co-EDMA) 467 monoliths, this modification pathway was no further investigated due to excessive non-specific 468 interactions and potential partial deactivation of site IIIA.

469

470 3.2.2.2 Schiff base and streptavidin-biotin method

Very coherent active sites B<sub>act</sub> were obtained with the three ligands on affinity monoliths prepared by immobilization of HSA using the Schiff base method or the streptavidin-biotin coupling (Table 2). This accurate determination of B<sub>act</sub> is probably related to the very low level of non-specific interactions (in the low percent range). Also, the concordant values obtained for the two sites indicate that no partial deactivation of the IIIA site occurs with these two bio-functionalization methods. This outlines the proper accessibility of immobilized proteins with equivalent activities for the two binding sites (IIA and IIIA).

478

There is more than twice less HSA on the Schiff base monoliths *viz* 1.7 pmol.cm<sup>-1</sup> (n=13) compared to the streptavidin-biotin one with 4 pmol.cm<sup>-1</sup> (n=13) (Table 2). Also, the amount of active HSA, ratio  $(B_{act})/(B_{tot})$  is 84% for the streptavidin monolith, slightly better than the 71% for the Schiff base monoliths. Assuming that reduced-aldehyde monoliths bind the same amount of streptavidin than of HSA (these two proteins have similar features in terms of mass, hydrophobicity and isoelectric point), it was estimated that one tetramer of streptavidin binds to two biotin-HSA.

485

486

#### 3.2.3 Grafting duration and protein consumption considerations

487 Optimization of the protein immobilization concerns the reaction time and the quantity of target 488 protein used for the immobilization step. In the literature, grafting durations with the Schiff base method range from 3 to 6 days (0.5 mL.min<sup>-1</sup>) for HSA concentrations of 5 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup> [6,7,16]. Our 489 protocol uses a twice to ten times less concentrated HSA solution (2 down to 0.5 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>) and the 490 491 grafting time is six to ten times faster completed in 48h then downed to 12 h in dynamic mode at a linear velocity of about 0.06 cm.s<sup>-1</sup>. No significant difference in active HSA content was observed 492 493 between the different columns, validating the grafting under flow conditions (dynamic mode) [4,9]. 494 Another real advantage, beside time and protein mass savings, is the greatly reduced risk of loss of 495 protein activity and/or denaturation.

496 The streptavidin-biotin coupling process is faster than the two other modes which is a great advantage with proteins of low stability. The coupling of biotinylated-target protein can be achieved 497 in few minutes, e.g. about 15 min with a 1 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup> protein concentration and a 8.5 cm effective 498 499 length 75 µm i.d. column at a percolation pressure of 1.2 MPa. This coupling can be applied just 500 before the affinity experiments: the column is installed in the CE cartridge, grafted in dynamic mode 501 (under UV monitoring) and ready to use. Last but not least, the UV monitoring during the grafting 502 step of the biotin-protein onto the streptavidin support allows for (i) minimizing the protein 503 consumption to a few pmol per centimeter of capillary column, and (ii) the exact determination of

the amount of grafted protein which is a tremendous asset for the quality control of each column

505 before its use.

506

507

#### 3.2.4 Stability of the streptavidin-biotin affinity columns

508 Stability of the bio-functionalized columns is of great concern. Indeed, screening affinity of unknown 509 ligands against a specific target protein implies preserving the protein activity during the screening 510 process as well as the protein content constant during successive analyses. Long-term stability and 511 storage conditions must be also examined.

512 3.2.4.1 Stability of streptavidin-functionalized monolithic columns

513 The stability of streptavidin-functionalized columns was not considered so far. So, it was checked if it 514 is possible to prepare and to stock streptavidin-functionalized columns for a long time at 4°C before 515 their coupling with the biotinylated-target protein.

516 Six streptavidin-functionalized columns synthesized through the Schiff base method were prepared. 517 Three were coupled with biotin-HSA and used immediately. The other three were stored at 4°C for 518 three months. The columns stored at 4°C kept their trapping ability intact as per biotin-HSA content 519 after 3 months. Thus, the streptavidin-functionalized columns can be prepared in advance and stored 520 for at least three months before use, knowing that protein coupling is rapid and easy and can be 521 done freshly before the affinity study.

522 3.2.4.2 Stability of affinity-HSA columns (prepared by the streptavidin-biotin coupling)

The stability of HSA-affinity columns may be a critical parameter especially in the case of a screening campaign as it will determine the useful lifetime of the column. The stability of the HSA affinity columns was evaluated in phosphate buffer (67 mM, pH=7.4) using frontal analysis with warfarin to determine the remaining amount of active protein (B<sub>act</sub>) under various temperature conditions, namely: (i) at 4°C i.e. in storage conditions, (ii) at room temperature in static mode and (iii) at room temperature in dynamic mode (screening conditions).

529

At 4°C, no variation of the active HSA content (B<sub>act</sub>) was observed within 3 months, thus indicating that affinity columns can be prepared in advance and used later. At room temperature and in dynamic conditions, the column being continuously flushed with buffer at 0.06 cm.s<sup>-1</sup>, 80% of active HSA were remaining after 24 hours and around 550 column volumes. 64% remained after 80 hours of extensive use or over 1800 column volumes. In order to assess if the partial loss of activity was due to protein stability or to the sustained released of poorly or unbound HSA, the same experiment was carried out in static mode. After 80 h of storage at room temperature in static mode, the remaining activity was only 55%. This result indicates that exposure to room temperature is responsible for the
loss of activity and that there is no loss of protein by leaching.

539

#### 540 **3.3. HSP90 affinity columns**

541 As HSA affinity columns gave promising results with organic monolith grafted by the streptavidin-542 biotin approach, affinity columns were then prepared by the same method with another soluble protein: the N-terminal domain of HSP90. Grafted quantity of HSP90 (5.8±0.7 pmol.cm<sup>-1</sup>, n=11 543 columns) is similar to HSA (4.9±0.6 pmol.cm<sup>-1</sup>, Table 2). Two known ligands were studied by frontal 544 545 affinity chromatography to validate the technique on a different protein: adenosine diphosphate 546 (ADP,  $K_d$ =10-15  $\mu$ M [30]) and n,n-diethylvanillamide ( $K_d$ =790  $\mu$ M [30]). Specific interactions were 547 detected for both ligands and calculated dissociation constants (14±2  $\mu$ M and 150±70  $\mu$ M 548 respectively for ADP and n,n-diethylvanillamide) were in the literature range. It may be noted that the weaker the affinity, the higher the incertitude on K<sub>d</sub> determination. Specific affinity was also 549 550 detected for a third ligand, 3-hydroxy-4-methoxybenzyl alcohol and the affinity was estimated at 551 about 40  $\mu$ M (no reference K<sub>d</sub> was found in the literature). It seems therefore possible to graft 552 different proteins with the streptavidin-biotin approach while maintaining their specific binding 553 capacity.

554

#### 555 **4. Conclusion**

556 Results obtained during this evaluation of two types of monoliths, a silica based and an organic 557 glycidyl methacrylate based one, and different ways of protein grafting led us to the following 558 conclusions: silica based monoliths can load a higher amount of active protein, but a high level of 559 non-specific interactions is noted when interacting with ligands. The silica grafting of reactive 560 moieties requires a first step that is silanization with glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane. It is thought 561 that this silanization step is responsible, at least partly, for the non-specific interactions. Non-specific 562 hydrophobic interactions with the propyl linker are possible. Due to a higher adsorption and a lower 563 permeability on silica monoliths, it seems better for our purpose to work with GMA monoliths.

GMA monoliths may be directly grafted with proteins. However, some residual epoxy moieties are left over after target grafting. These reactive epoxy groups cannot be efficiently suppressed under reaction conditions compatible with the grafted protein stability. Protein grafting using the Schiff base method or the streptavidin-biotin method produced the best organic monoliths: negligible nonspecific interactions and high active protein content. The very low protein consumption is another significant advantage for the streptavidin-biotin method. 570 The method requires a first step that is attaching biotin to the target protein, which is 571 straightforward. This said the method presents several advantages over the Schiff base method: (i) it 572 binds up to twice more active sites allowing targeting lower affinity ligands. (ii) The streptavidin 573 column can be loaded in a few minutes with the target protein just prior its use thus limiting stability 574 issues. (iii) For each column, the exact amount of biotinylated-target protein captured during the 575 grafting step is exactly known, which is a major asset for quality control. (iv) The amount of bound 576 protein (a few ten picomoles per column) is just what is needed. (v) The method does not denature 577 the protein giving an activity rate passing 80%. Such streptavidin-biotin grafting way is generic, as it 578 may be implemented to any other biotinylated target. Indeed, it has been shown that this method 579 was successfully applied to the N-terminal domain of HSP90.

As an example of the benefits of 8.5 cm effective length 75 μm i.d. miniaturized monolith columns modified by the streptavidin-biotin method, the grafting requires only 40 pmol of the target protein (3 μg for a 70 kDa protein). It is achieved in about 10 min with a pressure drop of 1.2 MPa giving a flow rate of 240 nL.min<sup>-1</sup> and a 1 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup> protein concentration. The great monolith permeability of these nano-columns can be operated in a very simple and automated way with the external pressure device of a common capillary electrophoresis system using its in-situ UV detection avoiding all nano-LC problems with high pressure particle-filled columns.

In the frame of screening process (using zonal affinity chromatography analysis coupled to mass spectrometry), it will be possible to screen mixtures of about 10-20 compounds in one analysis of about 30 min, which gives around 48 runs/day i.e. around 500-1000 compounds/day (namely an entire fragment library in the fragment-based drug discovery (FDBB) approach). Thus, boosting the fragment screening step using a generic method (applicable to any biotinylated proteins) is of great interest in FBDD.

593

#### 594 Funding

595 This work was partially funded by Servier laboratories.

596

#### 597 **References**

598

599 [1] Hans-Joachim Böhm, Gisbert Schneider, Raimund Mannhold, Hugo Kubinyi, Gerd Folkers,
 600 Protein-Ligand Interactions: From Molecular Recognition to Drug Design, Wiley-VCH, H.-J.
 601 Böhm and G. Schneider, Weinheim, 2003.

- 602 [2] N.W.C. Chan, D.F. Lewis, P.J. Rosner, M.A. Kelly, D.C. Schriemer, Frontal affinity
  603 chromatography-mass spectrometry assay technology for multiple stages of drug discovery:
  604 applications of a chromatographic biosensor, Anal. Biochem. 319 (2003) 1–12.
  605 doi:10.1016/S0003-2697(03)00193-3.
- E. Meiby, H. Simmonite, L. le Strat, B. Davis, N. Matassova, J.D. Moore, M. Mrosek, J. Murray,
  R.E. Hubbard, S. Ohlson, Fragment screening by weak affinity chromatography: comparison
  with established techniques for screening against HSP90, Anal. Chem. 85 (2013) 6756–6766.
  doi:10.1021/ac400715t.
- 610 [4] M.J. Yoo, J.E. Schiel, D.S. Hage, Evaluation of affinity microcolumns containing human serum
  611 albumin for rapid analysis of drug–protein binding, J. Chromatogr. B. 878 (2010) 1707–1713.
  612 doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2010.04.028.
- 613 [5] S. Ohlson, M.-D. Duong-Thi, Fragment screening for drug leads by weak affinity 614 chromatography (WAC-MS), Methods. (2018). doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2018.01.011.
- 615 [6] R. Mallik, D.S. Hage, Development of an affinity silica monolith containing human serum
  616 albumin for chiral separations, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 46 (2008) 820–830.
  617 doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2007.03.017.
- E.L. Pfaunmiller, M. Hartmann, C.M. Dupper, S. Soman, D.S. Hage, Optimization of human serum albumin monoliths for chiral separations and high-performance affinity chromatography,
  J. Chromatogr. A. 1269 (2012) 198–207. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2012.09.009.
- [8] M.L. Conrad, A.C. Moser, D.S. Hage, Evaluation of indole-based probes for high-throughput
  screening of drug binding to human serum albumin: analysis by high-performance affinity
  chromatography, J. Sep. Sci. 32 (2009) 1145–1155. doi:10.1002/jssc.200800567.
- B. Loun, D.S. Hage, Characterization of thyroxine—albumin binding using high-performance
  affinity chromatography, J. Chromatogr. B. Biomed. Sci. App. 579 (1992) 225–235.
  doi:10.1016/0378-4347(92)80386-5.
- [10] Y. Liang, J. Wang, F. Fei, H. Sun, T. Liu, Q. Li, X. Zhao, X. Zheng, Binding kinetics of five drugs to
  beta2-adrenoceptor using peak profiling method and nonlinear chromatography, J.
  Chromatogr. A. 1538 (2018) 17–24. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2018.01.027.
- [11] K.S. Joseph, A.C. Moser, S. Basiaga, J.E. Schiel, D.S. Hage, Evaluation of alternatives to warfarin
  as probes for sudlow site I of human serum albumin characterization by high-performance
  affinity chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1216 (2009) 3492–3500.
  doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2008.09.080.
- K. Zheng, M. Podariu, C. Bi, D.S. Hage, Development of enhanced capacity affinity
  microcolumns by using a hybrid of protein cross-linking/modification and immobilization, J.
  Chromatogr. A. 1400 (2015) 82–90. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2015.04.051.
- R. Matsuda, J. Anguizola, K.S. Hoy, D.S. Hage, Analysis of drug-protein interactions by highperformance affinity chromatography: interactions of sulfonylurea drugs with normal and
  glycated human serum albumin, Methods Mol. Biol. Clifton NJ. 1286 (2015) 255–277.
  doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-2447-9 21.
- [14] M. Strandh, H.S. Andersson, S. Ohlson, Weak Affinity Chromatography, in: Affin. Chromatogr.,
  Humana Press, New Jersey, 2000: pp. 7–23. doi:10.1385/1-59259-041-1:7.
- [15] M.J. Yoo, D.S. Hage, Evaluation of silica monoliths in affinity microcolumns for high-throughput
  analysis of drug-protein interactions, J. Sep. Sci. 32 (2009) 2776–2785.
  doi:10.1002/jssc.200900346.
- [16] R. Mallik, T. Jiang, D.S. Hage, High-performance affinity monolith chromatography:
  development and evaluation of human serum albumin columns, Anal. Chem. 76 (2004) 7013–
  7022. doi:10.1021/ac049001q.
- [17] M.-D. Duong-Thi, M. Bergström, T. Fex, S. Svensson, S. Ohlson, R. Isaksson, Weak affinity
  chromatography for evaluation of stereoisomers in early drug discovery, J. Biomol. Screen. 18
  (2013) 748–755. doi:10.1177/1087057113480391.

- [18] C. Acquah, C.K.S. Moy, M.K. Danquah, C.M. Ongkudon, Development and characteristics of
  polymer monoliths for advanced LC bioscreening applications: A review, J. Chromatogr. B.
  1015–1016 (2016) 121–134. doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2016.02.016.
- [19] H.S. Kim, R. Mallik, D.S. Hage, Chromatographic analysis of carbamazepine binding to human
  serum albumin, J. Chromatogr. B. 837 (2006) 138–146. doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2006.03.062.
- M. Ozawa, T. Ozawa, M. Nishio, K. Ueda, The role of CH/π interactions in the high affinity
  binding of streptavidin and biotin, J. Mol. Graph. Model. 75 (2017) 117–124.
  doi:10.1016/j.jmgm.2017.05.002.
- R. El Debs, V. Dugas, C. Demesmay, Photografting as a versatile, localizable, and single-step
   surface functionalization of silica-based monoliths dedicated to microscale separation
   techniques., J. Sep. Sci. 36 (2013) 993–1001. doi:10.1002/jssc.201200878.
- [22] A. Bruchet, V. Dugas, C. Mariet, F. Goutelard, J. Randon, Improved chromatographic
   performances of glycidyl methacrylate anion-exchange monolith for fast nano-ion exchange
   chromatography, J. Sep. Sci. 34 (2011) 2079–2087. doi:10.1002/jssc.201100180.
- 666 [23] G.T. Hermanson, Chapter 11 (Strept)avidin–Biotin Systems, in: G.T. Hermanson (Ed.),
  667 Bioconjugate Tech. Third Ed., Academic Press, Boston, 2013: pp. 465–505. doi:10.1016/B978-0668 12-382239-0.00011-X.
- 669 [24] M.D. Shortridge, D.S. Hage, G.S. Harbison, R. Powers, Estimating protein-ligand binding affinity
  670 using high-throughput screening by NMR, J. Comb. Chem. 10 (2008) 948–958.
  671 doi:10.1021/cc800122m.
- [25] C. Faye, J. Chamieh, T. Moreau, F. Garnier, K. Faure, V. Dugas, C. Demesmay, O. VandenabeeleTrambouze, In situ characterization of antibody grafting on porous monolithic supports, Anal.
  Biochem. 420 (2012) 147–154. doi:10.1016/j.ab.2011.09.016.
- [26] Y.W. Alelyunas, L. Pelosi-Kilby, P. Turcotte, M.-B. Kary, R.C. Spreen, A high throughput dried
  DMSO LogD lipophilicity measurement based on 96-well shake-flask and atmospheric pressure
  photoionization mass spectrometry detection, J. Chromatogr. A. 1217 (2010) 1950–1955.
  doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2010.01.071.
- 679 [27] C. Mateo, V. Grazú, B.C.C. Pessela, T. Montes, J.M. Palomo, R. Torres, F. López-Gallego, R.
  680 Fernández-Lafuente, J.M. Guisán, Advances in the design of new epoxy supports for enzyme
  681 immobilization-stabilization, Biochem. Soc. Trans. 35 (2007) 1593–1601.
  682 doi:10.1042/BST0351593.
- [28] J.E. Butler, Solid Supports in Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay and Other Solid-Phase
   Immunoassays, Methods. 22 (2000) 4–23. doi:10.1006/meth.2000.1031.
- K. Oettl, R.E. Stauber, Physiological and pathological changes in the redox state of human serum albumin critically influence its binding properties, Br. J. Pharmacol. 151 (2007) 580–590.
  doi:10.1038/sj.bjp.0707251.
- 688[30]R. Buratto, D. Mammoli, E. Chiarparin, G. Williams, G. Bodenhausen, Exploring weak ligand-689protein interactions by long-lived NMR states: improved contrast in fragment-based drug690screening, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed Engl. 53 (2014) 11376–11380. doi:10.1002/anie.201404921.
- 691





#### Schiff base method



#### Streptavidin-biotin method





