

# CRELD1 is an evolutionarily-conserved maturational enhancer of ionotropic acetylcholine receptors

Manuela d'Alessandro, Magali Richard, Christian Stigloher, Vincent Gache, Thomas Boulin, Janet Richmond, Jean-Louis Bessereau

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Manuela d'Alessandro, Magali Richard, Christian Stigloher, Vincent Gache, Thomas Boulin, et al.. CRELD1 is an evolutionarily-conserved maturational enhancer of ionotropic acetylcholine receptors. eLife, 2018, 7, 10.7554/eLife.39649 . hal-02009626

HAL Id: hal-02009626

https://hal.science/hal-02009626

Submitted on 16 Nov 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.







# CRELD1 is an evolutionarily-conserved maturational enhancer of ionotropic acetylcholine receptors

Manuela D'Alessandro<sup>1</sup>, Magali Richard<sup>1†</sup>, Christian Stigloher<sup>1‡</sup>, Vincent Gache<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Boulin<sup>1</sup>, Janet E Richmond<sup>2</sup>, Jean-Louis Bessereau<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS UMR 5310, INSERM U 1217, Institut NeuroMyoGène, Lyon, France; <sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, United States

**Abstract** The assembly of neurotransmitter receptors in the endoplasmic reticulum limits the number of receptors delivered to the plasma membrane, ultimately controlling neurotransmitter sensitivity and synaptic transfer function. In a forward genetic screen conducted in the nematode *C. elegans*, we identified *crld-1* as a gene required for the synaptic expression of ionotropic acetylcholine receptors (AChR). We demonstrated that the CRLD-1A isoform is a membrane-associated ER-resident protein disulfide isomerase (PDI). It physically interacts with AChRs and promotes the assembly of AChR subunits in the ER. Mutations of *Creld1*, the human ortholog of *crld-1a*, are responsible for developmental cardiac defects. We showed that *Creld1* knockdown in mouse muscle cells decreased surface expression of AChRs and that expression of mouse *Creld1* in *C. elegans* rescued *crld-1a* mutant phenotypes. Altogether these results identify a novel and evolutionarily-conserved maturational enhancer of AChR biogenesis, which controls the abundance of functional receptors at the cell surface.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39649.001

\*For correspondence: jean-louis.bessereau@univ-lyon1.fr

Present address: <sup>†</sup>Laboratoire TIMC-IMAG, UMR 5525, CNRS, Université Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France; <sup>‡</sup>Imaging Core Facility, Biocenter of the University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 25

Received: 12 July 2018 Accepted: 05 November 2018 Published: 07 November 2018

Reviewing editor: Oliver Hobert, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Columbia University, United States

© Copyright D'Alessandro et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

#### Introduction

The total amount or neurotransmitter receptors synthesized within a neuron or a muscle cell determines the size of the receptor pool that can be delivered to the plasma membrane and, ultimately, the responsiveness of the cell to specific transmitters. Assembly of multiple subunits into mature receptors in the ER seems to be an inefficient and limiting step in the synthesis of ligand-gated ion channels (LGCI) belonging to the Cys-loop superfamily of receptors (*Crespi et al., 2018*; *Fu et al., 2016*; *Herguedas et al., 2013*; *Jacob et al., 2008*).

This family, which was initially defined based on nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (AChRs), also includes GABA<sub>A</sub>, glycine and serotonin 5-HT3 receptors. They are made of five identical or homologous subunits arranged around a fivefold pseudo-symmetrical axis (*Albuquerque et al., 2009*; *Cecchini and Changeux, 2015*; *Du et al., 2015*; *Hassaine et al., 2014*; *Miller and Aricescu, 2014*; *Morales-Perez et al., 2016*). Each subunit has a large amino-terminal extracellular region, a transmembrane domain containing four alpha-helical segments (M1-M4), and a variable hydrophilic cytoplasmic loop between M3 and M4. Extracellular regions tightly interact to form a doughnut-like structure containing the ligand binding sites. Upon ligand binding, receptor rearrangements cause the opening of a central ion channel lined by the M2 segments contributed by each of the five subunits. The assembly of such large pentameric complexes (250–300 kDa), each containing twenty transmembrane domains, is challenging for the cellular machinery. For example, the half-life of the AChR assembly is 90 min whereas the influenza hemagglutinin takes only 7–10 min to form homotrimers (*Wanamaker et al., 2003*). In muscle cells, only 30% of the synthesized alpha-subunits of AChRs are



assembled into heteromeric receptors and in neurons, a pool of immature receptors is readily detectable in intracellular compartments (*Arroyo-Jim nez et al., 1999*; *Henderson and Lester, 2015*). Similarly, only 25% of synthesized GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors are assembled into mature receptors (*Gorrie et al., 1997*; *Wanamaker et al., 2003*).

Early work on AChRs in muscle cells showed that pentamerization was sequential and intermediate complexes containing only two or three subunits were identified (Colombo et al., 2013). Interactions with general chaperone proteins and components of the ER biosynthetic machinery, such as BiP (Blount and Merlie, 1991), calnexin (Gelman et al., 1995; Wanamaker and Green, 2005) and ERp57/Protein disulfide-isomerase A3 (Wanamaker and Green, 2007), assist correct folding and protect immature intermediates from ER-associated degradation (ERAD). Other proteins such as RIC3, NACHO, and members of the LY6 prototoxin family more specifically control the assembly or the stoichiometry of particular AChR subtypes. Interestingly, modulating AChR assembly in the ER has important impacts on cell homeostasis. Nicotine, the main tobacco component responsible for smoking addiction (Picciotto and Mineur, 2014; Subramaniyan and Dani, 2015), was demonstrated to bind early AChR assembly intermediates in the ER and promote receptor maturation by acting as a pharmacological chaperone. This effect partly accounts for the upregulation of AChR expression caused by chronic exposure to nicotine in the brain (Sallette et al., 2005; Henderson and Lester, 2015). Nicotine was also recently shown to protect dopaminergic neurons from mild ER stress partly by promoting the export of AChRs and consequently diminishing the unfolded protein response (UPR) (Srinivasan et al., 2016). In contrast, mutations impairing the export of  $\alpha_4\beta_2$  AChRs from the ER were associated with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Richards et al., 2011).

Despite the pathological and physiological importance of AChR biogenesis at early steps, this process still remains poorly characterized. Genetic screens in model organisms represent a valid tool to further elucidate this biosynthetic pathway because they are able to identify relevant factors irrespective of their abundance or the stability of their biochemical interactions with other components of the pathway. Here we used *C. elegans* as a model organism to dissect the genes involved in AChR biosynthesis. ACh is the main excitatory neurotransmitter in *C. elegans* and at least 30 genes encode AChR subunits (*Holden-Dye et al., 2013*). Two types of ionotropic AChRs, heteromeric levamisole-sensitive AChRs (L-AChRs) and homomeric nicotine-sensitive AChRs (N-AChRs), are present at neuromuscular junctions (*Richmond and Jorgensen, 1999*). L-AChRs can be activated by the nematode-specific cholinergic agonist levamisole, which causes hypercontraction of *C. elegans* body-wall muscles (BWMs) and death of wild-type worms at high concentrations (*Fleming et al., 1997*; *Lewis et al., 1980*). Genetic screens for complete resistance to levamisole have identified the structural subunits of the receptor, including three α subunits (LEV-8, UNC-38, and UNC-63) and two non-α subunits (LEV-1 and UNC-29). The second type of receptor, N-AChR, is activated by nicotine and is insensitive to levamisole.

To identify factors involved in the biogenesis of L-AChRs, we performed a genetic screen for mutants with decreased sensitivity to levamisole and identified *crld-1*, the ortholog of the vertebrate genes *Creld1* and *Creld2* (Cystein-Rich with EGF-Like Domains). *Creld1* is widely expressed in humans, with the highest levels in adult heart, brain and skeletal muscle (*Rupp et al., 2002*). Missense mutations in human CRELD1 are linked to atrioventricular septal defects (AVSD) (*Robinson et al., 2003*) and in mice *Creld1*-/- embryos die at embryonic day 11.5 with several defects including heart development defects (*Mass et al., 2014*). Allelic interactions between CRELD1 and VEGFA (vascular endothelial growth factor-A) contribute to AVSD (*Redig et al., 2014*) and it was proposed that CRELD1 is required for VEGF-dependent proliferation of endocardial cells by promoting expression of NFATc1 target genes (*Mass et al., 2014*). CRELD2 was identified as an ER stress-inducible gene. The protein seems to predominantly localize to the ER and Golgi apparatus, but some reports suggested that it might also be secreted when overexpressed (*Oh-hashi et al., 2011*). Interestingly, the function of CRELD proteins at the neuromuscular junction has not been previously investigated.

Here we demonstrate that *crld-1* is required for AChR biogenesis and behaves as a maturational enhancer by promoting the assembly of L-AChR subunits in the ER.



#### Results

# Disruption of the evolutionarily conserved gene *crld-1* confers partial resistance to the cholinergic agonist levamisole

To identify genes regulating the activity of L-AChRs in *C. elegans*, we used *Mos1*-mediated insertional mutagenesis (*Boulin and Bessereau*, *2007*; *Williams et al.*, *2005*) and screened for mutants with only partially decreased sensitivity to levamisole, because screens for complete resistance are likely saturated. Such mutants completely paralyze on high levamisole concentrations within a few hours but subsequently adapt within 12–16 hr and recover motility in contrast to the wild type (*Gally et al.*, *2004*; *Lewis et al.*, *1980*). We isolated two independent strains containing a *Mos1* insertion in the *F09E8.2* locus (*Figure 1A,B*), which we tentatively named *crld-1* because it is the sole *C. elegans* gene encoding proteins of the CRELD family (*Rupp et al.*, *2002*). *Crld-1* generates two transcripts, *crld-1a* and *crld-1b*, by alternative splicing of the last exons. Surprisingly, resistance to levamisole of the *kr133* mutants, that contain a *Mos1* insertion in the fourth exon shared by both transcripts, was less pronounced than in *kr132* mutants, which contain a *Mos1* insertion in the last *crld-1a*-specific exon. RT-PCR analysis of the *crld-1(kr133)* transcripts revealed that cryptic splice donor sites present in the *Mos1 kr133* transposon were used at low frequency to generate inframe mRNAs (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1*). Therefore, *kr133* is likely to be a hypomorphic mutation.


To fully inactivate *crld-1*, we used the *tm3993* allele, which contains a deletion of the first three exons, and we also engineered a null allele by inserting a 2.8 kb HySOG dual selection cassette in the first *crld-1* coding exon (*kr297*). None of the *crld-1* mutants exhibited a grossly abnormal phenotype. Specifically, locomotion remained coordinated and only a slight decrease of the thrashing frequency in liquid could be detected in *tm3993* mutants but not in *Mos1* alleles. The most dramatic phenotype was a decreased sensitivity to levamisole since almost 100% of the *crld-1* mutant animals fully adapted overnight to 1 mM levamisole while all the wild-type animals were paralyzed (*Figure 1C*).

The *C. elegans* CRLD-1A and -1B are predicted to be 356 and 310 amino acid proteins, respectively, containing a signal peptide, a N-terminal region rich in glutamic acid and tryptophan residues called a DUF3456 or WE domain (*Finn et al., 2016*; *Mass et al., 2014*; *Rupp et al., 2002*) and 3 EGF-like domains (*Figure 1B*). CRLD-1 isoforms differ at their C-terminus: CRLD-1A ends with two predicted transmembrane domains whereas CRLD-1B ends with a KDEL sequence, which is an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) retention signal (*Figure 1B*). This modular organization was highly conserved among CRELD proteins throughout evolution (*Rupp et al., 2002*). Interestingly in vertebrates such as fish, mouse and human, the transmembrane and the non-transmembrane CRELD proteins are encoded by two distinct genes, *Creld1* and *Creld2* (*Maslen et al., 2006*; *Rupp et al., 2002*), respectively.

Since the *kr132* mutation was predicted to only impair the *crld-1a* transcript, we tested whether the transmembrane CRLD-1A was specifically required for normal levamisole sensitivity or whether both isoforms were necessary. First, we knocked-in the GFP-coding sequence just after the predicted signal peptide in the *crld-1* locus. The resulting allele *crld-1*(*kr298::gfp*) had wild-type sensitivity to levamisole and provided a means to visualize the expression pattern of both CRLD-1 isoforms (*Figure 1C*). Second, we used the Co-CRISPR technique (*Arribere et al., 2014*) to generate isoform specific mutants. We replaced the splicing acceptor site of exon *-9a* and *-9b* of *crld-1*(*kr298::gfp*) with a stop codon in order to suppress the expression of *crld-1a* and *crld-1b* isoforms, respectively (*Figure 1A*). Interestingly, *crld-1a*-specific mutants were as resistant to levamisole as *crld-1*(*tm3993*) while *crld-1b*-specific mutants were indistinguishable from the wild type (*Figure 1C*). To confirm that CRLD-1A was necessary and sufficient for levamisole sensitivity, we independently expressed either *crld-1a* or *crld-1b* cDNAs in body-wall muscle and observed that the A isoform could rescue the levamisole sensitivity of *tm3993* null mutants, while the B isoform could not (*Figure 1D*).

Altogether, these data demonstrate that the transmembrane isoform CRLD-1A was the only isoform required for levamisole sensitivity and might act cell-autonomously to regulate L-AChR functional expression in body-wall muscle.





**Figure 1.** CRLD-1A isoform is sufficient for L-AChR expression based on sensitivity to levamisole. (A) Structure of the *crld-1* locus, which generates two isoforms (*crld-1a* and *crld-1b*) by alternative splicing of the last exon (exon 9a and exon 9b). The different Mos1 transposon insertions and the mutant alleles are indicated. *kr303* and *kr308* mutations specifically express only *crld-1b* and *crld-1a*, respectively. HySOG = hygromycinB miniSOG dual selection cassette (length = 2.8 kb). The green box indicates the position of the GFP sequence inserted in the first exon of *crld-1* to generate the *gfp-Figure 1 continued on next page* 



Figure 1 continued

crld-1(kr298) knock-in allele. (B) Domain organization of CRLD-1A and CRLD-1B. SP = signal peptide, WE domain = tryptophan (W) and glutamic acid (E) enriched domain, EGF = Epidermal Growth Factor-like domain, EGF Ca<sup>2+</sup> = Ca<sup>2+</sup>binding epidermal growth factor-like domain,

TM = transmembrane domain, KDEL = Lys-Asp-Glu-Leu ER retention signal. ( $\mathbf{C}$ ) crld-1 is necessary for wild-type sensitivity to levamisole. Gray bars indicate the percentage of moving animals after overnight exposure to 1 mM levamisole, and black bars indicate the percentage of paralyzed animals. Experiments were repeated three times, n = number of animals tested. p = 0.2465, ns = not significant, \*\*\*p < 0.001, after Bonferroni correction, Fisher exact probability test. ( $\mathbf{D}$ ) Body wall muscle expression of crld-1a but not crld-1b rescues levamisole sensitivity in creld-1(tm3993) mutants. Gray bars indicate the percentage of moving animals after overnight exposure to 1 mM levamisole, and black bars indicate the percentage of paralyzed animals. Two independent transgenic lines were tested for each condition. Experiments were repeated four times, n = number of animals tested. p = 0.2504 and 0.5369, ns = not significant, \*\*\*p < 0.001, after Bonferroni correction, Fisher exact probability test.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39649.002

The following figure supplement is available for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. - Characterization of the kr133 mutant allele.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39649.003

## CRLD-1A and CRLD-1B are ubiquitously expressed and localize to the ER

Analysis of *crld-1* expression using *gfp-crld-1* knock-in strains indicated that CRLD-1 was a ubiquitous protein (*Figure 2A–C*), as suggested initially by the expression of GFP from a *crld-1* transcriptional reporter in a multicopy transgene (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1A*). CRLD-1A and CRLD-1B were expressed in most, if not all cells including body-wall muscles, neurons, pharynx, hypodermis, seam cells, intestine and gonad (*Figure 2A–C*). In every cell type GFP-CRLD-1 had a reticular pattern.

We focused our analysis on body-wall muscles and found that the transmembrane CRLD-1A isoform localized to a perinuclear network highly reminiscent of ER localization. CRLD-1B distribution was similar, yet the perinuclear localization was less intense and a punctate pattern was superimposed onto the network distribution (*Figure 2A*). As expected *crld-1(kr298::gfp)* animals expressing both *crld-1a* and *crld-1b* isoforms tagged with *gfp* displayed a combination of the two patterns (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1B*).

To confirm that CRLD-1A and -1B did localize in the ER, we expressed a TagRFP-T fused to the ER retention signal KDEL in the muscle cells of gfp-crld-1 knock-in animals. Both isoforms co-localized with the TagRFP-T-KDEL (**Figure 2D**). Interestingly, the TagRFP-T-KDEL had a punctate distribution very similar to CRELD-1B, suggesting that CRELD-1B is indeed retrieved to the ER by a KDEL-dependent mechanism. Conversely the Golgi marker  $\alpha$ -Mannosidasell-TagRFP-T did not colocalize with either CRLD-1 isoforms (**Figure 2E**). These data demonstrated that both CRLD-1 isoforms primarily localized to the ER. The fact that CRLD-1A is likely to be in the ER membrane and CRLD-1B is likely in the ER lumen might account for the partially different distribution of the two isoforms within the muscle ER.

#### CRLD-1 is required for cell surface expression of L-AChRs

The resistance of *crld-1* mutants to levamisole suggested that *crld-1* was required for the proper expression of functional L-AChRs at NMJs. To test this hypothesis, we used immunofluorescence to characterize cholinergic NMJs (*Figure 3A*). The number of cholinergic boutons, stained by the vesicular acetylcholine transporter UNC-17, was similar in the wild type and in *crld-1(tm3993)* mutants. In contrast, we observed an obvious decrease of synaptic L-AChRs stained by anti-UNC-38 antibodies in *crld-1(tm3993)* as compared to the wild type (*Figure 3A*). To quantify L-AChR content at NMJs we used a knock-in strain in which the red fluorescent protein TagRFP is fused to the essential L-AChR subunit UNC-29 (*Richard et al., 2013*). We found that the fluorescence intensity of L-AChRs present at the ventral nerve cord was decreased by 85% in *crld-1* mutant as compared to the wild type (*Figure 3F,G*). This could be due to reduced expression of L-AChRs by the muscle cells or to a redistribution of the receptors outside of the synapse. To discriminate between these hypotheses, we recorded the electrophysiological response of body-wall muscle to pressure-ejected levamisole and found a 65% decrease in the response of *crld-1(tm3993)* mutants compared to the wild type (*Figure 3B*). These results suggested that disrupting *crld-1* impairs surface expression of functional L-AChRs.






Figure 2. CRLD-1 is ubiquitously expressed and localizes in the ER of BWMs. (A) Distribution of GFP-CRLD-1A (left) and GFP-CRLD-1B (right) in muscle cells of *gfp-crld-1* isoform-specific knock-in worms. (B) Localization of CRLD-1A (left) and CRLD-1B (right) in the pharynx and in the lateral ganglion (encircled in yellow). The middle panel shows a schematic representation of the locations of neurons and ganglia in the head, adapted from: http://www.wormatlas.org/ver1/MoW\_built0.92/nervous\_system.html. (C) Localization of CRLD-1A (left) and CRLD-1B (right) in the epithelial seam cells of *gfp-crld-1* isoform-specific knock-in worms. Dashed lines, seam cell outlines. (D) Expression of the ER marker TagRFP-T::KDEL in *gfp-crld-1a* (left) and *gfp-crld-1b* (right) isoform-specific knock-in strains. TagRFP-T::KDEL displays a reticular pattern throughout the cytoplasm surrounding the nucleus that colocalizes with both CRLD-1A and CRLD-1B signals. (E) CRLD-1A and CRLD-1B from *gfp-crld-1a* (left) and *gfp-crld-1b* (right) knock-in animals do not colocalize with a Golgi-resident TagRFP-T-tagged Mannosidase II protein (MANS::TagRFP-T). In (D) and (E), the *Pmyo-3* promoter was used for expression of both TagRFP-T::KDEL and MANS::TagRFP-T in body wall muscles. In all panels, scale bars equal 10 μm.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39649.004

The following figure supplement is available for figure 2:

Figure supplement 1. - CRLD-1 expression pattern.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39649.005






Figure 3. CRLD-1 is required for surface expression of L-AChRs. (A) L-AChR expression is decreased at NMJs of crld-1(tm3993) mutants, whereas presynaptic differentiation is unaffected. L-AChRs are labeled using anti-UNC-38. Cholinergic boutons are labeled using an anti-vesicular acetylcholine transporter UNC-17 (VAChT) antibody. DNC = dorsal nerve cord, VNC = ventral nerve cord. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m. (B) Response to pressure-ejection of levamisole in voltage-clamped ventral BWMs is reduced in crld-1(tm3993). Data indicate mean  $\pm$  SEM; WT: 269  $\pm$  10 pA, n = 6 animals; crld-1(tm3993): Figure 3 continued on next page



#### Figure 3 continued

 $108 \pm 14$  pA, n = 5 animals; p = 0.0043. Mann-Whitney test. (C) GABA<sub>A</sub>R expression is unaffected at NMJs of crld-1(tm3993) mutants compared to wild type. GABA<sub>A</sub>R are labeled using anti-UNC-49 antibodies. Cholinergic boutons are labeled using anti-UNC-17 (VAChT) antibodies. DNC = dorsal nerve cord, VNC = ventral nerve cord. Scale bars  $10 \, \mu m$ . (D) Electrophysiological response of body-wall muscle cells to pressure-ejection of GABA in crld-1 (tm3993) mutant is similar to the wild type. Data indicate mean  $\pm$  SEM; WT:  $1821 \pm 115$  pA, n = 6 animals; crld-1(tm3993):  $1826 \pm 270$  pA, n = 5 animals; p = 0.6277, n = 10 not significant. Mann-Whitney test. (E) Response to pressure-ejection of nicotine in body wall muscles is unaffected in crld-1(tm3993). Data indicate mean  $\pm$  SEM; WT:  $922 \pm 76$  pA, n = 4 animals; crld-1(tm3993):  $1289 \pm 199$  pA, n = 5 animals; p = 0.1905, p = 0.1905

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39649.006

To test if *crld-1* was required for the expression or function of other ligand-gated ion channels in muscle, we immuno-stained the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor UNC-49 and found no difference in intensity and localization between *crld-1(tm3993)* mutants and the wild type (*Figure 3C*). To quantify GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, we used a knock-in strain in which TagRFP is fused to the N-terminus of the GABA<sub>A</sub>R subunit UNC-49 and we found no difference between *crld-1* mutant and wild type (*Figure 3H,I*). Accordingly, responses to pressure-ejected GABA were indistinguishable between *crld-1(tm3993)* mutants and the wild type (*Figure 3D*). Similarly, the response to pressure-ejection of nicotine, which activates ACR-16-containing N-AChRs, was not significantly modified in *crld-1(tm3993)* mutants (*Figure 3E*).

Altogether these data showed that *crld-1* disruption impacts the expression of functional L-AChRs independently from NMJ formation but does not affect the expression of other ligand-gated ion channels at the NMJ.


#### CRLD-1 stabilizes unassembled L-AChR subunits in the ER

The reduction of L-AChR at the NMJ of *crld-1(tm3993)* mutants might result from decreased synthesis or from intracellular retention of receptors. To distinguish between these hypotheses we quantified the overall amount of UNC-29 L-AChR subunit by western blot analysis. UNC-29 expression was decreased by approximately 50% in *crld-1(tm3993)* mutants as compared to the wild type (*Figure 4A*). To determine whether decreased L-AChR levels were due to defects at transcriptional or post-transcriptional steps, we quantified the levels of three mRNAs coding for L-AChR subunits and did not detect significant differences between the wild type and *crld-1(tm3993)* mutants (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1*).

Since CRLD-1 is an ER-resident protein, it might be involved in the assembly of the L-AChR subunits into a mature pentameric receptor or it might promote exit from the ER after assembly. To address this question, we analyzed UNC-29 levels in an *unc-63(kr13)* null mutant background: in the absence of the obligatory AChR subunit UNC-63, the remaining unassembled subunits, such as UNC-29, are retained in the ER and can be readily detected by western blot analysis (*Figure 4A*) (*Eimer et al., 2007; Richard et al., 2013*). Therefore, if CRLD-1 is required in the ER for stability and/or assembly of L-AChR subunits, UNC-29 levels will be decreased in a *crld-1;unc-63* double mutant as compared to the *unc-63* single mutant. By contrast, if CRLD-1 is required for the stability of L-AChRs after they exit the ER, UNC-29 levels will not be decreased in *crld-1;unc-63* animals. We found that UNC-29 levels are strongly decreased in *crld-1;unc-63* double mutant compared to single mutants, suggesting that CRLD-1 is important in the ER for the stability of unassembled subunits (*Figure 4A*).

To confirm that remaining receptors in *crld-1* mutants were properly trafficked to the Golgi after ER assembly, we analyzed the glycosylation patterns of UNC-29 using endoglycosidase H (EndoH) and N-glycosidase F (PNGaseF) (*Richard et al., 2013*). Nascent sugar side chains synthesized in the ER are cleaved by EndoH and PNGaseF, whereas mature N-glycosylations present on proteins that have already passed the *cis*-Golgi become resistant to EndoH. Consistently, UNC-29 subunits were EndoH sensitive in an *unc-63(kr13)* mutant background. By contrast, the digestion profile of UNC-29





**Figure 4.** CRLD-1 is required for the stability of unassembled L-AChR subunits. (A) L-AChR expression is reduced in *crld-1(tm3993)* mutants. Levels of unassembled UNC-29 L-AChR subunits detected in *unc-63(kr13)* are further decreased in *unc-63(kr13);crld-1(tm3993)* double mutants. UNC-29 levels were quantified by western blot using anti-UNC-29 antibodies and normalized to tubulin levels. Significance is indicated compared to the wild type. The significance between *unc-63(kr13);crld-1(tm3993)* and *crld-1(tm3993)* is indicated by an horizontal line. Five independent experiments were quantified (mean ± SEM). p=0.6825, ns (not significant); \*\*p=0.0079; after Bonferroni correction, Mann-Whitney test. TUB = tubulin. (B) Remaining L-AChRs exit the ER in *crld-1(tm3993)*. Treatments with EndoH or N-Glycosidase F (PNGase) were performed on protein extracts of mixed-stage animals before SDS-PAGE analysis. Black arrowheads indicate glycosylated forms resistant to EndoH, gray arrowheads indicate glycosylated forms partially resistant to EndoH, and white arrowheads indicate deglycosylated forms sensitive to EndoH. (C) CRLD-1 interacts with UNC-29 subunit of L-AChR in vivo. gfp-crld-1(kr298) animals were crossed with *rfp-unc-29(kr208)* or *rfp-unc-49(kr306)* to co-express CRLD-1 with RFP-tagged AChR or GABA<sub>A</sub>R subunits, respectively. Immunoprecipitation of GFP-CRLD-1 using GFP-Trap beads co-immunoprecipitated RFP-UNC-29, but not UNC-49-RFP. As a control, GFP-CRLD alone was not immunoprecipitated by anti-RFP antibody. A vertical line indicates that the lanes are not adjacent in the gel. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39649.007

The following figure supplement is available for figure 4:

Figure supplement 1. - Measurement of L-AChR subunit mRNA levels.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39649.008

in *crld-1(tm3993)* was similar to the wild type, suggesting that the subunits that were not degraded in *crld-1(tm3993)* were properly assembled into functional receptors that could traffic to the plasma membrane (*Figure 4B*).

We then tested if CRLD-1 and L-AChRs could physically interact. Using immunoprecipitation experiments on total worm extracts, we found that endogenous GFP-CRLD-1 could indeed co-immunoprecipitate the RFP-tagged UNC-29 L-AChR subunit. By contrast, GFP-CRLD-1 could not immunoprecipitate the RFP-tagged UNC-49 GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor (*Figure 4C*).

Altogether, these data suggested that CRELD-1 promotes L-AChR assembly in the ER through physical interaction with individual subunit(s) or L-AChR assembly intermediates.



#### C. elegans CRLD-1 exhibits a PDI activity required for L-AChR assembly

It was recently shown that human CRELD2 is a putative protein disulphide isomerase (PDI) (Hartley et al., 2013). PDIs catalyze thiol-disulphide oxidation, reduction and isomerisation. They are critical for the correct formation of disulphide bonds or for the re-arrangement of incorrect bonds. These reactions involve CXXC amino-acid motifs in which cysteines are engaged in mixed disulphide complexes between the enzyme and the substrate. Mutation of the C-terminal cysteine in the active CXXC site generates a substrate trapping mutant by stabilizing covalent enzyme-substrate intermediate complexes (Jessop et al., 2007). Sequence comparison between human CRELD proteins and C. elegans CRLD-1 identified a conserved C<sub>27</sub>XXC<sub>30</sub> motif in the N-terminal region of the CRLD-1 WE domain (Figure 5A).

Using a combination of genome engineering techniques, we introduced the C30A mutation in the previously generated *gfp-crld-1* knock-in (*Figure 5A*) to generate a potential substrate trapping mutant. GFP-CRLD-1(C30A) was ubiquitously expressed and displayed a localization pattern similar to the wild-type GFP-CRLD-1, yet its function was impaired based on the partial levamisole resistance of mutant animals (*Figure 5B* and *Figure 2—figure supplement 1C*). We then used a biochemical approach to test if CRLD-1 had a PDI-like activity in *C. elegans*. Total worm lysate proteins from both *gfp-crld-1(kr298)* (wild type) and *gfp-crld-1(kr302)* (C30A mutant) were separated by SDS-PAGE under reducing and non-reducing conditions. GFP-CRLD-1 was revealed by western blot analysis using an anti-GFP antibody. Under non-reducing conditions we detected high molecular weight species containing the mutated GFP-CRLD-1(C30A) that were absent in the non-mutated GFP-CRLD-1 (*Figure 5C*). These high-molecular weight complexes were resolved under reducing conditions. Altogether these data demonstrated that GFP-CRLD-1(C30A) behaved as a substrate trapping protein, strongly suggesting that CRLD-1 contains PDI activity required for proper synthesis of L-AChR.

#### Creld1 function is conserved across evolution


In mouse, the orthologous gene of *Crld-1a* is *Creld1*. To test for functional conservation between these two genes, we expressed a mouse *Creld1* cDNA in *C. elegans* body-wall muscle and found that the murine construct could rescue the levamisole sensitivity of the *crld-1(kr297)* null mutant (*Figure 6—figure supplement 1*). These data suggested that the function of *Creld1* was conserved across evolution and we decided to extend our analysis to mammalian systems.

CRELD1 was reported to be expressed in human muscle tissue (Rupp et al., 2002). We confirmed the expression of CRELD1 proteins and transcripts in murine C2C12 myoblasts by Western blot and qPCR. These cells can be differentiated into myotubes and provide an established model to analyze AChR expression. We then stably transformed these cells with vectors expressing small hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) against mouse Creld1 to achieve long-term knockdown of Creld1 (Figure 6A,B). To test if CRELD1 was required for proper assembly of AChR in mouse muscle cells, we quantified the total amount and the surface fraction of AChRs after Creld1 knock-down. Specifically, differentiated cells were incubated with biotin-α Bungarotoxin (α BT), receptors were then solubilized, pulled-down with streptavidin and quantified by western blot. As compared to control cells (shScramble), shCreld1 caused a 50% decrease of AChR expression and further decreased the ratio of surface vs total AChR by 50% (Figure 6A-E). These changes were due neither to a transcriptional down-regulation of AChR $\alpha$  nor to a delay in differentiation since we found by qPCR that the mRNA levels of both  $AChR\alpha$  subunit and Myogenin (marker of differentiation) were not affected by Creld1 downregulation (Figure 6F-G and Figure 6-figure supplement 2). These results were highly consistent with what was found in the nematode and showed that CRELD1 is a limiting factor for AChR expression in mammals.

#### **Discussion**

CRLD-1 was identified in a genetic screen for mutants partially resistant to the cholinergic agonist levamisole. This gene is evolutionarily conserved and our results show that the membrane-associated isoform of CRLD-1 (CRLD1-A in *C. elegans*, CRELD1 in mouse) is cell-autonomously required in both nematode and mammalian muscle cells to promote the surface expression of AChRs. We propose





**Figure 5.** CRLD-1 displays putative PDI-like activity. (A) ClustalO alignment of *C. elegans* CRLD-1 with orthologous CRLD proteins from nematodes, fly, and vertebrates. The conserved CXXC motif present in the WE domain is boxed. Identical residues conserved in all species are highlighted in dark gray. The position of the cysteine residue that was mutated to generate the C30A mutation in *gfp-crld-1(C30A)* knock-in worms is indicated by an arrow. (B) Animals expressing the C30A mutation in the *crld-1* gene display partial levamisole resistance. Gray bars indicate the percentage of moving animals after overnight exposure to 1 mM levamisole, and black bars indicate the percentage of paralyzed animals. Experiments were repeated three *Figure 5 continued on next page* 



Figure 5 continued

times, n = number of animals tested. \*\*\*p<0.001, after Bonferroni correction, Fisher exact probability test. (C) The substrate-trapping CRLD-1 C30A mutant formed high molecular weight mixed disulphide complexes that were resolved under reducing conditions. In contrast, wild-type CRLD-1 did not form higher molecular weight complexes with putative substrate proteins. Total protein extract from gfp-crld-1(kr298) wild-type and gfp-crld-1(kr302) C30A mutant worms were separated by SDS-PAGE followed by Western blot analysis for GFP to detect CRLD-1.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39649.009

that this protein could act in the ER as a maturational enhancer to stabilize unassembled AChR subunits and to promote AChR assembly.

#### **CRLD-1A membrane topology**


The transmembrane isoform CRLD-1A (ortholog of vertebrate CRELD1) contains two conserved transmembrane regions located at the C-terminus of the protein. Bioinformatic analysis of human CRELD1 suggests that both N- and C-termini reside in the extracellular spaces with a short intervening cytoplasmic loop (*Rupp et al., 2002*). In contrast, it was recently proposed that murine CRELD1 is localized at the ER membranes with the C- and N-termini facing the cytoplasm, based on differential sensitivity to proteases after partial cell permeabilization (*Mass et al., 2014*). Analysis of CRLD-1 in *C. elegans* suggests that CRLD-1A is an intrinsic ER-membrane protein with the C- and N-termini facing the ER lumen.

First, CRLD-1A and CRLD-1B isoforms are identical except for their short C-terminal regions (70 and 25 amino acids, respectively). Bioinformatic analyses identify a signal peptide at the N-terminus of CRLD-1, which predicts that the N-terminal region of CRLD-1 is translocated into the ER lumen. This is fully consistent with the localization of CRLD-1B, which behaves as a luminal ER protein. Second, over the course of our experiments, we overexpressed in muscle cells CRLD-1A fused to GFP at its N-terminus and we detected fluorescence at the plasma membrane of muscle cells, probably because some protein could escape the ER-retention machinery. In these transgenic worms, we injected fluorescently-labeled anti-GFP antibodies into the pseudo-coelomic cavity, a means to label cell-surface exposed epitopes (*Gottschalk and Schafer, 2006*) and we could stain the GFP at the muscle cell surface (MD, unpublished observation). Both results strongly suggest that the CRLD-1 N-terminal region, which represents most or the whole protein in CRLD-1A or -1B, respectively, localizes within the exoplasmic compartment. This is in full agreement with our results indicating the N-terminal region of CRLD-1 contains PDI activity, which most likely functions in the ER lumen. Since mouse *Creld1* cDNA rescues *C. elegans crld-1* mutants, it seems reasonable to propose that both mouse and nematode proteins have the same topology.

# CRLD-1 might act as a L-AChR maturational enhancer through chaperone and PDI functions

We found by substrate trapping experiments that CRLD-1 displays PDI activity. This PDI function is conserved through evolution, since human CRELD2 also has PDI activity. The PDI function of CRELD proteins relies on conserved CXXC motifs in the WE domain. These CXXC motifs are a common feature of thiol/disulphide oxidoreductases (*Hartley et al., 2013*). CRLD-1 has several CXXC motifs but we selected a conserved amino-terminal CXXC motif to generate a substrate-trapping mutant, similar to those characterized for mammalian *Creld2* (*Hartley et al., 2013*). The CXXA mutation does not totally impair the function of CRLD-1, although the mutant protein behaves as a *bona fide* substrate-trapping protein based on biochemical experiments. The residual activity of the protein could be explained by the activity of other CXXC sites present in the CRLD-1 protein. However, we do not favor this hypothesis because the CXXC motifs that are present more C-terminally localize in predicted EGF domains and the cysteines are likely engaged in structural disulphide bonds that stabilize EGF domains. Consistently, Hartley et al. demonstrated that the carboxy-terminal CXXC motifs of CRELD2 do not possess isomerase activity. Therefore, the residual activity of CXXA CRLD-1 mutants might indicate that CRLD-1 also behaves as a chaperone that stabilizes partially assembled AChRs, as shown for other PDIs (*Hatahet and Ruddock, 2007*).





**Figure 6.** Creld1 knockdown leads to reduced AChR expression at the plasma membrane *in vitro*. (A–E) Measurement of mouse CRELD1 and AChR α subunit protein levels. C2C12 cells expressing shRNA against *Creld1* (*shCreld1*) or scrambled (*shScramble*) sequences were differentiated for 5 days and then subjected to surface labeling with αBT-biotin for AChRα. N.T. = non transfected cells. Streptavidin precipitates (surface) and total lysates were separated by SDS/PAGE and probed for indicated proteins (**A and C**). CRELD1 protein levels were reduced by 75% in cells expressing *shCreld1* as compared to *shScramble* (**B**). Quantitation of total AChRα levels (**D**) and of the surface to total AChRα ratio (**E**) in *shScramble* (100%) and *shCreld1* cells from n = 5 independent experiments. Error bars, SEM; \*\*p=0,0079, Mann-Whitney test. (**F–G**) Measurement of mouse *Creld1* and *AChRα* subunit mRNA levels. C2C12 cells expressing *shScramble* or *shCreld1* were differentiated for 5 days and then subjected to RNA extraction. Quantitative real-time PCR measurements of mRNA levels for *Creld1* (**F**) and *AChRα* subunit (**G**). *Creld1* mRNA is decreased in *shCreld1* cells compared to *shScramble* cells, whereas *AChRα* subunit mRNA is not significantly decreased in *shCreld-1* cells. Mean ± SEM is shown in six independent experiments. \*p=0,0411; p=0,4740, ns (not significant), Mann–Whitney test.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39649.010

The following figure supplements are available for figure 6:

Figure 6 continued on next page



Figure 6 continued

Figure supplement 1. Mouse Creld1 rescues levamisole-sensitivity of crld-1 mutant worms.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39649.011

Figure supplement 2. Knocking down Creld1 does not impact Myogenin transcriptional levels.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39649.012

#### CRELD1 and CRELD2 are evolutionarily-conserved ER resident proteins

CRELD proteins are highly conserved across species. Similarity is not restricted to the genetically mobile EGF domains but also to the conserved WE domain, which contains a high content of tryptophan and glutamic acid residues (*Rupp et al., 2002*). Most vertebrate genomes contain two separate paralogous genes, *Creld1* and *Creld2*. CRELD1 is an integral membrane protein containing two transmembrane segments in its carboxy-terminal region, while CRELD2 is secreted in the exoplasmic compartment and contains the ER-retention motif RDEL at its C-terminus. In *C. elegans*, and likely in *Drosophila*, there is only one gene, *crld-1*, coding for both CRELD versions. Two transcripts are generated by alternative splicing of the last exons and code for two proteins, namely CRLD-1A, which ends with two transmembrane domains and is similar to vertebrate CRELD1, and CRLD-1B, which ends with a KDEL sequence and is similar to vertebrate CRELD2.

Although the two CRLD-1 isoforms are nearly identical and expressed in the same cells in C. elegans we demonstrated that they are not redundant. First, the two isoforms localize in the ER in muscle cells, yet CRLD-1A has a more pronounced perinuclear localization and CRLD-1B has a more punctate pattern, in agreement with the predicted localization of CRLD-1A in the ER membrane and CRLD-1B in the ER lumen. Second, the transmembrane isoform CRLD-1A is the only isoform necessary and sufficient to regulate L-AChR biogenesis in muscle cells. CRLD-1A can interact, directly or indirectly, with AChR subunits in the ER based on co-immunoprecipitation experiments. This might involve an interaction of the transmembrane regions of CRLD-1A with AChR subunits. Alternatively, targeting the luminal domain of CRLD-1 to the ER membrane might favor the interaction with AChR subunits by increasing the apparent concentration of CRLD-1 at the membrane. It is also possible that CRLD-1A might be recruited to specific chaperoning domains of the ER membrane where AChRs are assembled. Interestingly, CRELD2 was suggested to behave as a negative regulator of  $\alpha$ 4 $\beta$ 2 AChR expression during nicotine-induced up-regulation (*Hosur et al., 2009*). Whether CRELD1 and CRELD2 have antagonistic functions in some cellular contexts remains to be investigated.

# Specific requirement of general protein synthesis factors for L-AChR biogenesis

From this work, CRLD-1 seems to support a very specific function since its disruption severely impairs the expression of the heteromeric L-AChR but does not affect the synthesis of homomeric N-AChRs nor of GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. However, CRLD-1 is also expressed in *C. elegans* cells that do not synthesize L-AChRs. It is therefore extremely likely that CRLD-1 is involved in the biogenesis of additional proteins that remain to be identified. Since *C. elegans crld-1* null mutants are viable and display no obvious abnormal phenotypes, it suggests that pathways redundant to CRLD-1 can compensate for *crld-1* inactivation, maybe by using other members of the PDI family. If this is the case, the apparent specificity of CRLD-1 for L-AChR synthesis would rather arise from the intrinsic characteristics of AChR folding and assembly. Because these steps were shown in other species to be slow and inefficient, defects in factors required in the ER for protein biogenesis might be more difficult to compensate for in the case of L-AChRs, hence providing justification to use L-AChR expression as a sensitive proxy to identify new components along its biogenesis pathway.

Accordingly, previous genetic screens in *C. elegans* identified auxiliary proteins absolutely required for receptor biosynthesis, namely RIC-3, UNC-50, and UNC-74. RIC-3 is required for assembly of all AChRs in the ER, including L-AChRs and N-AChRs (*Boulin et al., 2008*; *Halevi et al., 2002*; *Jospin et al., 2009*). It is conserved in flies and mammals and can either promote or inhibit the expression of AChRs and 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptors in heterologous systems (*Millar and Harkness, 2008*). UNC-50 is orthologous to GMH1, a protein conserved from yeast to humans, which interacts with a guanine nucleotide exchange factor of the small G protein Arf. In *C. elegans* UNC-50 localizes to the Golgi and promotes the targeting of L-AChRs to the plasma membrane, thereby preventing their



degradation in lysosomes (*Abiusi et al., 2017*; *Eimer et al., 2007*). UNC-74 is a predicted thioredoxin homologous to TMX-3 (*Boulin et al., 2008*). It is necessary for L-AChR expression, but its detailed function remains uncharacterized. Screens for mutants with only partially decreased sensitivity to levamisole also identified the gene *emc-6* that is required for the assembly of AChR but also GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits (*Richard et al., 2013*). The EMC-6 protein is part of the EMC complex. Initially identified in yeast (*Jonikas et al., 2009*), the EMC has been extremely well conserved throughout evolution (*Wideman, 2015*). Interestingly, EMC subunits were shown to be required in the ER for the synthesis of multi-pass transmembrane proteins in *Drosophila* (*Satoh et al., 2015*), suggesting that the EMC might be chaperoning transmembrane proteins at early steps. A recent study performed in both yeast and human cells demonstrates that the EMC complex initiates client interaction cotranslationally and remains associated after completion of translation. This prevents premature degradation and promotes recruitment of substrate-specific and general chaperones (*Shurtleff et al., 2018*). We can hypothesize that CRLD-1A acts downstream the EMC complex to assist the maturation of the L-AChR in the ER.

#### CRELD1 displays a conserved function in the regulation of AChR

All the genes identified in *C. elegans* as being involved in L-AChR synthesis are conserved in mammals, yet their requirement for AChR biogenesis has not been systematically tested. RIC-3 mostly regulates neuronal α7 AChRs and might interfere with α4β2 AChR expression (*Alexander et al., 2010*; *Dau et al., 2013*). A mutation in the human gene *UNC50* was recently associated with arthrogryposis, a severe fetal disease that can be caused by impairment of neurotransmission at the NMJ. This suggested that the UNC-50 ortholog might also be required in humans for muscle AChR expression (*Abiusi et al., 2017*). CRLD-1A has been widely conserved during evolution and here we demonstrate that its function was also conserved. First, murine *Creld1* controls the expression of AChR in mouse muscle cells in a very similar way as in *C. elegans*. Second, the mouse *Creld1* gene can rescue the defects of *crld-1* mutants, suggesting that the molecular mechanisms that we analyzed in detail in nematodes are relevant for CRELD1 function in mammals. Mutations in *CRELD1*, the human ortholog of CRLD-1A, are linked to atrioventricular septal defects, which represent more than 7% of all congenital heart defects in human. The molecular mechanisms that we identified may trigger novel research directions to elucidate the physiopathology of these diseases.

Altogether, our results indicate that the early steps of AChR biogenesis rely on factors that could ultimately be targeted to modify AChR expression without altering the entire protein biosynthesis machinery. Hence, CRELD1 potentially represents a novel target to modulate AChR levels in pathological contexts such as congenital myasthenic syndromes and possibly chronic exposure to nicotine, which causes increased AChR expression in the brain of cigarette smokers.

#### Materials and methods

#### Key resources table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Gene (C. elegans)	crld-1, F09E8.2	this paper	WormBase ID: WBGene00 008624	See Results, Disruption of the evolutionarily conserved gene crld-1 confers partial resistance to the cholinergic agonist levamisole.
Gene (M. musculus)	Creld1, cysteine rich with EGF like domains 1	PMID:12137942, PMID: 25328912, PMID: 24697899	GeneID: 171508	

Continued on next page



(species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Strain, strain background C. elegans)	EN13	doi:10.1534 /genetics. 104.038265	WormBase ID:WBVar 00088264	Strain background: N2
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	ZZ29	PMID:3668616	WormBase ID: WBV ar00275223	Strain background: N2
Strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> )	EN208	PMID:24896188	WormBase ID: WBV ar02125731	Strain background: N2
Strain, strain background C. elegans)	CB407	PMID:10377345	WormBase ID:WBVar00143186	Strain background: N2
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN296: unc-49(kr296:: tagRFP)	this paper		Strain background: N2. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN132: creld-1 (kr132::Mos1)	this paper		Strain background: N2. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN133: crld-1 (kr133::Mos1)	this paper		Strain background: N2. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN2169: crld-1(tm3993)	PMID:23173093	WormBase ID: WBVar00 252554	Strain background: N2. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN297: crld-1 (kr297::HySOG)	this paper		Strain background: N2. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN298: crld-1 (kr298::GFP)	this paper		Strain background: N2. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN302: crld-1 (kr302::GFPC30A)	this paper		Strain background: N2. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN303: crld-1b (kr303::GFP)	this paper		Strain background: N2. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN308: crld-1a (kr308::GFP)	this paper		Strain background: N2. See M and M, Strains and Genetics



Reagent type (species)	Designation	Source or	14	Additional Co.
or resource Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	Designation EN2097: crld-1 (tm3993);unc-29 (kr208::tagRFP)	reference this paper	Identifiers	Additional information  Strain background: EN2169, EN308. See M and M, Strains and
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN4059: crld-1 (tm3993);unc-49 (kr296::tagRFP)	this paper		Genetics  Strain background: EN2169, EN296. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN2544: crld-1 (tm3993); krEx870 [Pmyo-3::crld-1b cDNA; myo-2::gfp]	this paper		Strain background: EN2169. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN2545: crld-1(tm3993); krEx870[Pmyo-3 ::crld-1b cDNA; myo-2::gfp]	this paper		Strain background: EN2169. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN2546: crld-1(tm3993); krEx870[Pmyo-3:: crld-1b cDNA; myo-2::gfp]	this paper		Strain background: EN2169. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN2548: crld-1(tm3993); krEx871[Pmyo-3:: crld-1a cDNA; myo-2::gfp]	this paper		Strain background: EN2169. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN2549: crld-1(tm3993); krEx871[Pmyo-3:: crld-1a cDNA; myo-2::gfp]	this paper		Strain background: EN2169. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN2550: crld-1(tm3993); krEx871[Pmyo-3:: crld-1a cDNA; myo-2::gfp]	this paper		Strain background: EN2169. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN3790:crld-1(kr297); krEx1277[Pmyo-3:: mouse-creld-1 cDNA; myo-2::gfp]	this paper		Strain background: EN297. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN3791:crld-1 (kr297); krEx1277 [Pmyo-3::mouse- creld-1 cDNA; myo-2::gfp]	this paper		Strain background: EN297. See M and M, Strains and Genetics

Continued on next page



Continued on ne				
Antibody	Cy3- labeled goat anti-rabbit	Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories		(1:1000)
Antibody	anti-VAChT/ UNC-17	PMID: 15457263		(1:1000)
Antibody	anti- UNC-49	PMID: 12684444		(1:500)
Antibody	anti- UNC-38	PMID: 19794415		(1:500)
Recombinant DNA reagent	MSF037586 -3-CU6(OS262215) shRNA against mouse Creld1 (plasmid)	this paper	GeneCopoeia	(gccttggctac tttgaggc) See M and M, Cell Culture and Western Blot
Cell line (M. musculus)	C2C12 mouse myoblasts	ali:	RRID:CVCL_0188	/
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	GFP);krEx1249 [Pmyo-3:: TagRFP-T::KDE;, rol-6(su1006) panneuronal DsRed2]	this paper		Strain background: EN308. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN3478: crld-1a(kr308::GFP); krEx1246 [Pmyo-3::MANS:: TagRFP-T (pMR61), rol-6(su1006, panneuronal DsRed2 (pCB101)]	this paper		Strain background: EN308. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN3504: crld-1b(kr303::GFP); krEx1250 [Pmyo-3:: tagRFP-T::KDEL (pMR68), rol-6 (su1006, panneuronal DsRed2 (pCB101)]	this paper		Strain background: EN308. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN3465: crld-1b(kr303:: GFP);krEx1245 [Pmyo-3::MANS:: TagRFP-T (pMR61), rol-6(su1006, panneuronal DsRed2 (pCB101)]	this paper		Strain background: EN303. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	EN1700: crld-1(kr132: :Mos1);krEx456 [pTB208; punc- 122::gfp]	this paper		Strain background: EN132. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
or resource Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	Designation  EN3793: crld-1(kr297); krEx1277[Pmyo-3:: mouse-creld-1 cDNA; myo-2::gfp]	this paper	Identifiers	Additional information  Strain background: EN297. See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Reagent type (species)	Bedonation	Source or	Ldon't Com	Additional information



(species)	Designation	Source or	Identifiers	Additional information
Or resource	Designation  A499 labeled	reference		
Antibody	A488-labeled goat anti- mouse	Molecular Probes	Cat. No.: A32723	(1:500)
Antibody	A488-labeled goat anti-rat	Molecular Probes	Cat. No.: A-11006	(1:1000)
Antibody	anti-Creld1	Abcam	Cat. No.: ab140346	(1:500)
Antibody	purified mouse Anti-Acetylcholine Receptor alpha	BD Transduction Laboratories	Cat. No.: 610989	(1:500)
Antibody	anti GAPDH	Merck	Cat. No.: MAB374	(1:10000)
Antibody	Goat anti- Mouse IgG (H + L) Secondary Antibody, HRP	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat. No.: 62–6520	(1:3000)
Antibody	Goat anti- Rabbit IgG (H + L) Secondary Antibody, HRP	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat. No.: 65-6120	(1:3000)
Antibody	anti-UNC-29	PMID:23431131		(1:1000)
Antibody	anti-TUBULIN	Sigma	Cat. No.:T9026- 2ML	(1:1000)
Antibody	mouse anti-GFP	Roche	Cat. No.: 1181 4460001	(1:1000)
Antibody	RFP mouse monoclonal	ThermoFisher Scientific	Cat. No.:MA5- 15257	(1:1000)
Recombinant DNAreagent	pTB205: Pmyo-3:: crld-1a cDNA	this paper		See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Recombinant DNA reagent	pTB206: Pmyo-3:: crld-1b cDNA	this paper		See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Recombinant DNA reagent	pTB208: 4,6 kb genomic fragment containing crld-1 and upstream regulatory regions fused to SL2-GFP	this paper		See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Recombinant DNA reagent	pMR61: Pmyo-3::RFP::MANS	PMID:23431131		
Recombinant DNA reagent	pMR68: Pmyo-3::RFP::KDEL	PMID:23431131		
Recombinant DNA reagent	pMD20: Pmyo-3:: mouse-creld-1 cDNA.	this paper		See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Recombinant DNA reagent	pMD1: Pcrld-1:: HySOG-crld-1:: unc-54 3'UTR.	this paper		See M and M, Strains and Genetics

Continued on next page



Reagent type (species)	Decimation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Recombinant DNA reagent	pHZ34: Pcrld-1 ::GFP-creld-1::	this paper	Identifiers	See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Recombinant DNA reagent	unc-54 3'UTR.  pMD3: this plasmid was created on the basis of pHZ34; the C30A point mutation (TGC > GCT) was introduced in the sequence of crld-1 gene.	this paper		See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Recombinant DNA reagent	pMD5: (1 st I-Scel sgRNA)	this paper		See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Recombinant DNA reagent	pMD7: (2nd I-Scel sgRNA)	this paper		See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Recombinant DNA reagent	pPT02	PMID: 28280212		
Recombinant DNA reagent	pMD8: (dpy-10 sgRNA)	PMID:25161212, this paper		See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Recombinant DNA reagent	pMD10: (exon9a sgRNA)	this paper		See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Recombinant DNA reagent	pMD11: (exon9b sgRNA)	this paper		See M and M, Strains and Genetics
Commercial assay or kit	TURBO DNA free kit, Ambion	Fisher,	Cat. No.:AM1907	
Commercial assay or kit	iScript cDNA synthesis kit	BioRad	Cat. No.:1708891	
Chemical compound, drug	αBT-biotin	Molecular probes/fisher	Cat. No.:B1196	
Chemical compound, drug	Streptavidin (Sepharose Bead Conjugate)	Cell Signaling technology/ ozyme	Cat. No.:3419S	
Chemical compound, drug	levamisole	Sigma	Cat. No.:L9756 (100	G)

#### Strains and genetics

C. elegans strains were cultured as described previously (**Brenner**, 1974) and kept at 20°C, unless indicated otherwise. The following mutations were used in this study: LG I: unc-29(x29), unc-63 (kr13); LG IV: crld-1(kr132, kr133, tm3993, kr297).

Strains, expression constructs, transgenic animals and generation of knock-in worms are listed and described below.

#### List of strains

The following mutant alleles and transgenes were used in this study:

LGI: unc-63(kr13), unc-29(x29), unc-29(kr208::tagRFP) (Richard et al., 2013);



LGIII: unc-49(e407); unc-49(kr296::tagRFP)

LGIV: creld-1(kr132::Mos1), crld-1(kr133::Mos1), crld-1(tm3993); crld-1(kr297::HySOG), crld-1 (kr298::GFP), crld-1(kr302::GFP-C30A), crld-1b(kr303::GFP), crld-1a(kr308::GFP);

LGV: acr-16(ok789).

The following transgenic lines were created for this study:

Extrachromosomal array in crld-1(tm3993): krEx870[Pmyo-3::crld-1b cDNA; myo-2::gfp], krEx871 [Pmyo-3::crld-1a cDNA; myo-2::gfp].

Extrachromosomal array in crld-1(kr297): krEx1277[Pmyo-3::mouse-creld-1 cDNA; myo-2::gfp].

Extrachromosomal array in crld-1(kr132 :: Mos1): krEx456[pTB208; punc-122::gfp].

Extrachromosomal array in crld-1b(kr303::GFP): krEx1245 [Pmyo-3::MANS::TagRFP-T (pMR61), rol-6(su1006, panneuronal DsRed2 (pCB101)], krEx1250 [Pmyo-3::tagRFP-T::KDEL (pMR68), rol-6 (su1006, panneuronal DsRed2 (pCB101)].

Extrachromosomal array in crld-1a(kr308::GFP): krEx1246 [Pmyo-3::MANS::TagRFP-T (pMR61), rol-6(su1006, panneuronal DsRed2 (pCB101)], krEx1249 [Pmyo-3::TagRFP-T::KDEL (pMR68), rol-6 (su1006, panneuronal DsRed2 (pCB101)].

#### C. elegans germline transformation

Transformation was performed by microinjection of DNA mixture in the gonad of young adults. The total DNA concentration of the injection mix was normalized at 100 ng/ $\mu$ L using 1kb + ladder (Invitrogen). The following plasmids were used for *C. elegans* germline transformation:

- pTB205: Pmyo-3::crld-1a cDNA
- pTB206: Pmyo-3::crld-1b cDNA
- pTB208: 4,6 kb genomic fragment containing crld-1 and upstream regulatory regions fused to SL2-GFP
- pMR61: Pmyo-3::RFP::MANS (Richard et al., 2013)
- pMR68: Pmyo-3::RFP::KDEL (Richard et al., 2013)
- pMD20: Pmyo-3::mouse-creld-1 cDNA.

#### Generation of deletion and single-copy insertion alleles by MegaTIC

The final *gfp-crld-1(kr298)* knock-in was generated using the MegaTIC technique, this protocol consists of 2 steps (Ji, T., Ibanez-Cruceyra, P., D'Alessandro, M., Bessereau JL, in preparation).

In the first step, the crld-1(kr297) molecular null allele was generated by using the MosTIC technique as previously described (Robert et al., 2009). 49 nucleotides coding for crld-1 and starting from the ATG of crld-1 were replaced by the HySOG cassette, that contains both positive (hygromycin B) and negative (miniSOG, a fluorescent protein engineered to produce singlet oxygen upon blue light illumination) selection markers flanked by two meganuclease I-Scel target sites. The pMD1 vector was injected as a rescue template into a strain containing the kr133 Mos1 insertion in the fourth exon of crld-1 gene. In pMD1, a 1,5-kilobase (kb) left crld-1 homology sequence and a 4 kb right homology sequence flank the HySOG cassette. kr297 knock-in allele was identified using positive selection of worms containing the HySOG cassette, therefore selecting worms resistant to hygromycin B.

In the second step, the HySOG cassette was excised by meganuclease-induced chromosomal breaks on each I-Sce-I site in the presence of pHZ34 as a repair template. pHZ34 contains gfp fused to the 5' of the *crld-1* gene. In pHZ34, a 1,5-kilobase (kb) left *crld-1* homology sequence and a 4 kb right homology sequence flank the *gfp. Gfp-crld-1(kr298)* knock-ins were identified based on their resistance to blue light illumination, followed by PCR analysis.

#### Generation of single-copy insertion mutant allele by combining Co-CRISPR and MegaTIC

The gfp-crld-1(kr302) knock-in containing the C30A point mutation was generated using a combination of Co-CRISPR and MegaTIC techniques. The starting point was the excision of the HySOG cassette from the crld-1(kr297) by using sgRNAs against each I-Sce-I site and in presence of the pMD3 repair template. pMD3 contains gfp fused to the 5' of the crld-1 gene and the mutation TGC >GCT (C30A point mutation). In pMD3, a 1,5-kilobase (kb) left crld-1 homology sequence and a 4 kb right homology sequence flank the gfp. We chose dpy-10 as a co-conversion marker to introduce a



dominant mutation causing a visible Rol/Dpy phenotype(Arribere et al., 2014). Rol/Dpy F1 worms were preselected for negative selection by blue light illumination and confirmed by PCR analysis.

#### Generation of single-copy insertion mutant allele by Co-CRISPR

The gfp-crld-1(kr298) knock-in was injected with sgRNAs against the splicing acceptor site of either exon 9a or exon 9b of crld-1 gene. Linear repair templates with short (≈30–40 bases) homology arms (Paix et al., 2014) were injected as a rescue template into gfp-crld-1(kr298). The linear repair templates contained Pmel restriction site followed by a STOP codon in place of the AG splicing acceptor site of either exon 9a or exon 9b. Dpy-10 was used as a co-conversion marker to introduce a dominant mutation causing a visible Rol/Dpy phenotype (Arribere et al., 2014). Engineered worms were identified by PCR.

The following plasmids were used for generation of deletion and single-copy insertion alleles:

- pCFJ601: Peft-3::Mos1 transposase::tbb-2 3'UTR, Addgene #34874.
- pMA122: Phsp16-41::peel-1::tbb-2 3'UTR. Addgene #34873.
- pDD162: Peft-3::Cas-9::tbb-2 3'UTR, Addgene #47549.
- pPD118.33: Pmyo-2::GFP.
- pHZ34: Pcrld-1::GFP-creld-1::unc-54 3'UTR. crld-1 promoter and gene were amplified from genomic DNA and, by PCR fusion, gfp was inserted before the first exon of crld-1.
- pMD1: Pcrld-1::HySOG-crld-1::unc-54 3'UTR. A fragment of 3379 nucleotides containing
  HySOG (hygromycinB miniSOG dual selection cassette) flanked on each side by I-Scel sites,
  was inserted in pHZ34 vector between Pstl and Bsp1407l sites. 763 nucleotides, encompassing
  the first exon of crld-1 gene fused to the gfp gene, were removed. Different fragments were
  assembled using isothermal assembly (Gibson et al., 2009).
- pMD3: this plasmid was created on the basis of pHZ34. Using Gibson cloning the C30A point mutation (TGC >GCT) was introduced in the sequence of *crld-1* gene.
- pMD5 (1 st I-Scel sgRNA): This vector was created on the basis of pPT02(El Mouridi et al., 2017). The pPT02 vector contains a C. elegans U6 promoter and 3' UTR (based on Friedland et al., 2013) and two restriction sites (Pmel and SexAl) to linearize the vector, followed by the invariant sgRNA scaffold sequence (T. Boulin lab.). To generate the sgRNA expression vector pMD5, the protospacer sequence was inserted between the U6 promoter and the sgRNA scaffold, using Pmel and SexAl sites of pPT02. The protospacer contained the sequence of the 5' I-Sce-I site flanking the HySOG cassette in pMD1 and was synthetized by Sigma: AATTGCAAATCTAAATGTTTgACCCTGCAGGTAGGGATAACGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAA TAGC.
- pMD7 (2nd I-Scel sgRNA): This vector was created on the basis of pPT02. The protospacer sequence was inserted between the U6 promoter and the sgRNA scaffold, using Pmel and SexAl sites of pPT02. The protospacer contained the 3' I-Sce-I site flanking the HySOG cassette in pMD1 and was synthetized by Sigma: AATTGCAAATCTAAATGTTTgAGGGATAA-CAGGGTAATCGCGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGC
- pMD8 (dpy-10 sgRNA): This vector was created on the basis of pPT02. The protospacer sequence was inserted between the U6 promoter and the sgRNA scaffold, using Pmel and SexAl sites of pPT02. The protospacer was synthetized by Sigma: AATTGCAAATCTAAATG TTTgCTACCATAGGCACCACGAGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGC
- pMD10 (exon9a sgRNA): this vector was created on the basis of pPT02. The protospacer sequence was inserted between the U6 promoter and the sgRNA scaffold, using Pmel and SexAl sites of pPT02. The protospacer was synthetized by Sigma:

#### AATTGCAAATCTAAATGTTTGATCAGGAGATGCTGAACCAGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGC

The linear templates used as repair template contained Pmel restriction site (GTTTAAC) followed by a STOP codon (TAA) in place of the AG splicing acceptor site of exon 9a and was also synthetized by Sigma:

CACCCAGTTCCAATTTCCTCTATTCACCATGGTTCGTTTAAACTAACATCTCCTGATCGCCCGTTCATGCCAATCGACCAGC

• pMD11 (exon9b sgRNA): this vector was created on the basis of pPT02. The protospacer sequence was inserted between the U6 promoter and the sgRNA scaffold, using Pmel and SexAl sites of pPT02. The protospacer was synthetized by Sigma:

GCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAACTTGCTTTCAAGGCTGCAAATcAAACATTTAGATTTGCAATT



The linear templates used as repair template contained Pmel restriction site (GTTTAAC) followed by a STOP codon (TAA) in place of the AG splicing acceptor site of exon 9b and was also synthetized by Sigma:

CAATAAAATGTAGAATATTTTCATTTTTTCAAAATTTGCGTTTAAACTAACCTTGAAAGCAACGGAACAGCAAGCTCATGAAGATG

#### Levamisole assay

Assays for levamisole sensitivity after overnight exposure were performed as previously described in (*Richard et al., 2013*). Tetramisole hydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in water and added to 55 °C-equilibrated nematode growth medium (NGM) agar at the concentration of 1 mM just before plates were poured. Levamisole-containing plates were seeded with OP50 *Escherichia coli*. Young adult worms were put on plates containing levamisole, animals were left overnight at 20°C, and paralyzed animals were then scored.

#### **Quantitative Real-Time PCR assays**

Quantitative Real-Time PCR assays were performed as previously described (*Richard et al., 2013*). In *C. elegans*, total RNA was isolated from a synchronized population of worms using the RNeasy Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. In C2C12, myoblasts were cultured in 35 mm dishes and differentiated for 6 days before total RNA extraction using Trizol reagent (ThermoFisher). All samples were treated with DNase (Fermentas). First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 200 ng of total RNA using an oligo(dT) primer and a Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Fermentas) at 42°C for 1 hr. Quantitative PCR was performed using LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche). A relative quantification model was used to evaluate the relative expression ratio of the target genes and RNA levels were normalized to three housekeeping genes (*cdc-42*, *pmp-3*, and *Y45F10D.4* for *C.elegans* RNAs; *HPRT1*, *CycloB* and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*Gapdh*), for mouse RNAs).

#### Electrophysiology

Electrophysiological methods were performed as previously described by (*Richmond and Jorgensen, 1999*) and (*Richard et al., 2013*).

#### Immunocytochemical staining

Worms were prepared by the freeze-crack method described previously (*Gendrel et al., 2009*). Methanol/acetone fixation was used for all staining conditions. The antibodies were used at the following dilutions: anti-UNC-38, 1:500 (*Gendrel et al., 2009*); anti-UNC-49, 1:500 (*Gally and Bessereau, 2003*); anti-VAChT/UNC-17, 1:1000 (*Gally et al., 2004*); the secondary antibodies were used at the following dilutions: Cy3-labeled goat anti-rabbit (1:1,000; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories), A488-labeled goat anti-mouse (1:500; Molecular Probes), and A488-labeled goat anti-rat (1:1,000; Molecular Probes). Incubation conditions were the following: anti-UNC-38 and anti-UNC-49 overnight at 4°C, anti-UNC-17 for 1 hr at room temperature. Secondary antibodies were incubated together for 3 hr at room temperature.

#### Cell culture and western blot

C2C12 cell lines were differentiated in myotubes and RT-PCR with primers specific for murine muscle was performed for cell identity confirmation. The cells were subjected to MycoAlert Mycoplasma detection kit from Lonza (LT07-318). C2C12 myoblasts were plated on Matrigel (Corning)-coated 35 mm dishes and grown in Hyclone DMEM (GE healthcare) containing 4.5 g/l glucose, supplemented with 15% FBS (PAA) and penicillin/streptomycin. Cells were maintained at 37°C in a saturated humidity atmosphere containing 5% CO2. For the differentiation of C2C12 myoblasts, fetal bovine serum was replaced by 2% horse serum (Biowest) when myoblasts reached 70–80% confluence. Cells were differentiated for 6 days.

*Sh-Creld1* and control myoblast lines were obtained by selecting puromycin-resistant clones of C2C12 myoblasts, respectively transfected with shRNA clone set against mouse *Creld1* or scrambled control clone (GeneCopoeia).



#### Western blot in C2C12 cells

C2C12 cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (Abcam) supplemented with Complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and passed through a needle (Qiagen) to disrupt DNA. Protein concentration was measured with a DC Protein Assay according to manufacturer instructions (Bio-Rad). 30  $\mu$ g of sample were boiled in 40  $\mu$ l sample buffer and were loaded on 4-12% pre-cast gel (Biorad) and fast-transferred into nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad). Membrane was blocked with blocking buffer (5% Non Fat Dry Milk, 0.002% Tween in PBS). Incubation with primary antibodies was done overnight in blocking buffer at 4 C. After three washes with PBS- Tween 0.002%, membranes were incubated with secondary antibodies coupled with HRP for 1 hr at room temperature. Proteins were visualized using LumiLight reagents (Roche).

Western blot membranes were probed with rabbit antibody anti-Creld1 (1:500 dilution; Abcam), mouse commercial antibody anti- $\alpha$ AchR (1:500 dilution; BD Transduction Laboratories), mouse commercial anti-GAPDH (1:10000 dilution, Merck Millipore), and secondary HRP-conjugated goat antirabbit or goat anti-mouse antibody (1:3000 dilution; ThermoFisher Scientific).

#### Surface labeling

For surface labeling of AChR, C2C12 cells were incubated with 200 nM  $\alpha$ BT-biotin (Invitrogen) in PBS for 30 min on ice. Cells were intensively washed in PBS after  $\alpha$ BT-biotin and lysed in RIPA lysis buffer (as described above). Streptavidin-agarose (Cell Signaling Technology) was used to recover biotinylated proteins. Quantitation of the Western blots was done by using the ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (Bio-Rad).

#### Biochemistry in C. elegans

Protein extraction and Western blotting were performed as described (*Richard et al., 2013*); and coimmunoprecipitation of *C. elegans* extracts are described in (*Tu et al., 2015*). Further details are provided below.

C. elegans Protein extraction and Western Blotting

Mixed-stage populations were collected from OP50-seeded NGM plates. Worms were rinsed three times with NaCl and allowed to sediment on ice. Pellets were solubilized in Laemmli buffer with 2% (vol/vol)  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol, boiled at 90°C for 10 min and centrifuged for 5 min at 15,700  $\times$  g. For glycosylation profiles, samples were treated with denaturation buffer for 10 min at 90°C, incubated with endoglycosidase H (EndoH; New England Biolabs) or PNGaseF (New England Biolabs) for 1 hr at 37°C, and subsequently treated with Laemmli buffer as described above. Membranes were imaged with a LAS4000 (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) luminescence detector and band intensity-quantified with ImageJ software.

Western blot membranes were probed with affinity-purified rabbit antibody anti-UNC-29 (1:1000 dilution; custom antibody), mouse commercial antibody anti-TUBULIN (1:1000 dilution; Sigma), mouse commercial anti-GFP (1:1000 dilution, Roche), and secondary HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit or goat anti-mouse antibody (1:3000 dilution; ThermoFisher Scientific) and revealed with Lumi-Light reagents (Roche).

#### Co-immunoprecipitation of C. elegans extracts

A mixed stage population of worms (5 mL) was frozen at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  until use. For extraction, worm pellets were ground under liquid nitrogen and thawed in an equal volume of ice-cold worm lysis buffer (WLB: 50 mM HEPES, 50 mM KCl, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2% Triton X-100, 2 mM PMSF and one tablet of complete Protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) in 10 mL) (*Tu et al., 2015*). The suspension was rotated gently for two hours at 4°C and centrifuged at 15,000 g for 20 min at 4°C to remove worm debris. The supernatant was diluted to a final concentration of 0.2% Triton X-100 with WLB. A prewashed 50  $\mu$ L of anti-GFP-Trap-A beads (Chromotek, gta-100) was added and incubated overnight at 4°C with gentle rotation. The anti-GFP Trap A beads were collected by centrifugation at 1,000 g for 3 min at 4°C. The beads were washed three times with washing buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.7, 50 mM NaCl) without Triton X-100. The immunoprecipitated proteins were eluted in Laemmli buffer with beta-mercaptoethanol, boiled for 10 min at 95°C, centrifuged for 10 min at 15,700  $\times$  g, separated by running 4–20% gradient Precise Protein Gel (ThermoScientifc, 25224) and analysed further by Western blotting. The primary anti-GFP mouse monoclonal antibody (Roche) and



anti-RFP mouse monoclonal antibody (ThermoFisher Scientific, MA5-15257) were used at a 1:1000 dilution. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-mouse (K4000, Dako) was used as a secondary antibody at a 1:50 dilution.

### Identification of putative mixed disulphides using substrate-trapping mutants

Worm extracts were prepared as described in the protocol of co-immunoprecipitation with the exception that 200 mM NEM (N-Ethylmaleimide) were added to the WLB buffer during protein extraction of samples in order to assay under not reducing conditions. Moreover sample tested under not reducing conditions were eluted in Laemmli buffer without beta-mercaptoethanol.

#### Microscopy and fluorescence quantification

Animals were mounted on 2% agarose pads, anaesthetized with 5 ml of M9 buffer containing 100 mM sodium azide and examined with either a Leica 5000B microscope equipped with a spinning disk CSU10 (Yokogawa) and a Coolsnap HQ2 camera, or a Nikon Eclipse Ti equipped with a spinning disk CSUX1-A1 (Yokogawa) and an Evolve EMCCD camera. Image analysis was performed with ImageJ.

For the quantitative analysis of GABA $_A$ R fluorescence, and L-AChR fluorescence in living worms, young adult animals were mounted on 2% agarose pads and immobilized using polybead microspheres (0.1 mm diameter, Polyscience, 00876–15) in M9 buffer. Quantification of synaptic GABA $_A$ R or L-AChR was achieved as described previously (**Tu et al., 2015**).

#### **Acknowledgements**

We thank Hong Zhan for constructs, J Rand for the anti-UNC-17 antibodies, the *Caenorhabditis* Genetic Center (which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs, P40 OD010440) and Dr. Shohei Mitani for strains. MD was supported by the AFM, MR was supported by the Association pour la Recherche contre le Cancer, CS was supported by a fellowship within the Postdoctoral Program of the German Academic Exchange Service, a Long-Term Postdoctoral Fellowship of the Human Frontier Science Program and the European Molecular Biology Organization, TB was supported by INSERM. This work was supported by the AFM-Téléthon (Grant MyoNeurALP), the Fondation pour la Recherche sur le Cerveau "Operation Espoir en tête 2013' and the Programme Avenir Lyon Saint-Etienne.

#### Additional information

#### **Funding**

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
Association Française contre les Myopathies	Post-doctoral Fellowship 16451	Manuela D'Alessandro
European Molecular Biology Organization	Long term Post-doctoral fellowship	Christian Stigloher
Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale	Junior Grant	Thomas Boulin
Association Française contre les Myopathies	Myoneuralp	Jean-Louis Bessereau
Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst	Postdoctoral Program of the German Academic Exchange Service	Christian Stigloher
Fédération pour la Recherche sur le Cerveau	Operation Espoir en tête 2013	JeanLouis Bessereau
Fondation ARC pour la Re- cherche sur le Cancer	4th year PhD program 2011	Magali Richard
Human Frontier Science Program	Long-Term Fellowship	Christian Stigloher



The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

#### **Author contributions**

Manuela D'Alessandro, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Project administration; Magali Richard, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration; Christian Stigloher, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology; Vincent Gache, Investigation, Methodology; Thomas Boulin, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Writing—review and editing; Janet E Richmond, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing—review and editing; Jean-Louis Bessereau, Conceptualization, Resources, Data curation, Formal analysis, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Validation, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Project administration, Writing—review and editing

#### **Author ORCIDs**

Manuela D'Alessandro (i) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2194-2836 Magali Richard (i) http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3165-3218 Christian Stigloher (i) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6941-2669 Vincent Gache (i) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2928-791X Thomas Boulin (i) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1734-1915 Jean-Louis Bessereau (i) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3088-7621

#### **Decision letter and Author response**

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39649.016 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39649.017

#### **Additional files**

#### Supplementary files

- Source data 1. Source data related to *Figure 1, Figure 3, Figure 4, Figure 5* and *Figure 6*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39649.013
- Transparent reporting form

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39649.014

#### Data availability

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in the manuscript and supporting files.

#### References

Abiusi E, D'Alessandro M, Dieterich K, Quevarec L, Turczynski S, Valfort AC, Mezin P, Jouk PS, Gut M, Gut I, Bessereau JL, Melki J. 2017. Biallelic mutation of UNC50, encoding a protein involved in AChR trafficking, is responsible for arthrogryposis. *Human Molecular Genetics* 26:3989–3994. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddx288, PMID: 29016857

Albuquerque EX, Pereira EF, Alkondon M, Rogers SW. 2009. Mammalian nicotinic acetylcholine receptors: from structure to function. *Physiological Reviews* 89:73–120. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00015.2008, PMID: 19126755

Alexander JK, Sagher D, Krivoshein AV, Criado M, Jefford G, Green WN. 2010. Ric-3 promotes alpha7 nicotinic receptor assembly and trafficking through the ER subcompartment of dendrites. *Journal of Neuroscience* 30: 10112–10126. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6344-09.2010, PMID: 20668195

Arribere JA, Bell RT, Fu BX, Artiles KL, Hartman PS, Fire AZ. 2014. Efficient marker-free recovery of custom genetic modifications with CRISPR/Cas9 in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* 198:837–846. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.114.169730, PMID: 25161212

Arroyo-Jim nez MM, Bourgeois JP, Marubio LM, Le Sourd AM, Ottersen OP, Rinvik E, Fairén A, Changeux JP. 1999. Ultrastructural localization of the alpha4-subunit of the neuronal acetylcholine nicotinic receptor in the rat substantia nigra. The Journal of Neuroscience 19:6475–6487. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.19-15-06475.1999, PMID: 10414976



- **Blount P**, Merlie JP. 1991. BIP associates with newly synthesized subunits of the mouse muscle nicotinic receptor. The Journal of Cell Biology **113**:1125–1132. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.113.5.1125, PMID: 2040645
- Boulin T, Bessereau JL. 2007. Mos1-mediated insertional mutagenesis in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature Protocols* 2:1276–1287. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2007.192, PMID: 17546024
- Boulin T, Gielen M, Richmond JE, Williams DC, Paoletti P, Bessereau JL. 2008. Eight genes are required for functional reconstitution of the Caenorhabditis elegans levamisole-sensitive acetylcholine receptor. PNAS 105: 18590–18595. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0806933105, PMID: 19020092
- Brenner S. 1974. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 77:71-94. PMID: 4366476
- Cecchini M, Changeux JP. 2015. The nicotinic acetylcholine receptor and its prokaryotic homologues: Structure, conformational transitions & allosteric modulation. Neuropharmacology 96:137–149. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2014.12.006, PMID: 25529272
- Colombo SF, Mazzo F, Pistillo F, Gotti C. 2013. Biogenesis, trafficking and up-regulation of nicotinic ACh receptors. Biochemical Pharmacology 86:1063–1073. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2013.06.023, PMID: 23830821
- Crespi A, Colombo SF, Gotti C. 2018. Proteins and chemical chaperones involved in neuronal nicotinic receptor expression and function: an update. *British Journal of Pharmacology* **175**:1869–1879. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.13777, PMID: 28294298
- Dau A, Komal P, Truong M, Morris G, Evans G, Nashmi R. 2013. RIC-3 differentially modulates α4β2 and α7 nicotinic receptor assembly, expression, and nicotine-induced receptor upregulation. *BMC Neuroscience* **14**:47. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-14-47, PMID: 23586521
- Du J, Lu W, Wu S, Cheng Y, Gouaux E. 2015. Glycine receptor mechanism elucidated by electron cryomicroscopy. Nature 526:224–229. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14853, PMID: 26344198
- Eimer S, Gottschalk A, Hengartner M, Horvitz HR, Richmond J, Schafer WR, Bessereau JL. 2007. Regulation of nicotinic receptor trafficking by the transmembrane Golgi protein UNC-50. The EMBO Journal 26:4313–4323. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601858, PMID: 17853888
- El Mouridi S, Lecroisey C, Tardy P, Mercier M, Leclercq-Blondel A, Zariohi N, Boulin T. 2017. Reliable CRISPR/Cas9 Genome Engineering in Caenorhabditis elegans Using a Single Efficient sgRNA and an Easily Recognizable Phenotype. G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics 7:1429–1437. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.117.040824
- Finn RD, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Mistry J, Mitchell AL, Potter SC, Punta M, Qureshi M, Sangrador-Vegas A, Salazar GA, Tate J, Bateman A. 2016. The Pfam protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. *Nucleic Acids Research* **44**:D279–D285. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1344
- Fleming JT, Squire MD, Barnes TM, Tornoe C, Matsuda K, Ahnn J, Fire A, Sulston JE, Barnard EA, Sattelle DB, Lewis JA. 1997. Caenorhabditis elegans levamisole resistance genes lev-1, unc-29, and unc-38 encode functional nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 17:5843–5857. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.17-15-05843.1997, PMID: 9221782
- Friedland AE, Tzur YB, Esvelt KM, Colaiácovo MP, Church GM, Calarco JA. 2013. Heritable genome editing in C. elegans via a CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Nature Methods* **10**:741–743. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2532, PMID: 23817069
- Fu YL, Wang YJ, Mu TW. 2016. Proteostasis Maintenance of Cys-Loop Receptors. Advances in Protein Chemistry and Structural Biology 103:1–23. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apcsb.2015.11.002, PMID: 26920686
- Gally C, Bessereau JL. 2003. GABA is dispensable for the formation of junctional GABA receptor clusters in Caenorhabditis elegans. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 23:2591–2599. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-07-02591.2003, PMID: 12684444
- Gally C, Eimer S, Richmond JE, Bessereau JL. 2004. A transmembrane protein required for acetylcholine receptor clustering in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature 431:578–582. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02893, PMID: 15457263
- Gelman MS, Chang W, Thomas DY, Bergeron JJ, Prives JM. 1995. Role of the endoplasmic reticulum chaperone calnexin in subunit folding and assembly of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 270:15085–15092. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.270.25.15085, PMID: 7797492
- Gendrel M, Rapti G, Richmond JE, Bessereau JL. 2009. A secreted complement-control-related protein ensures acetylcholine receptor clustering. *Nature* 461:992–996. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08430, PMID: 197 94415
- Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang RY, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, Smith HO. 2009. Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nature Methods* **6**:343–345. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth. 1318, PMID: 19363495
- Gorrie GH, Vallis Y, Stephenson A, Whitfield J, Browning B, Smart TG, Moss SJ. 1997. Assembly of GABAA receptors composed of alpha1 and beta2 subunits in both cultured neurons and fibroblasts. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 17:6587–6596. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.17-17-06587.1997, PMID: 9254671
- Gottschalk A, Schafer WR. 2006. Visualization of integral and peripheral cell surface proteins in live Caenorhabditis elegans. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* **154**:68–79. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2005.11.016, PMID: 16466809
- Halevi S, McKay J, Palfreyman M, Yassin L, Eshel M, Jorgensen E, Treinin M. 2002. The C. elegans ric-3 gene is required for maturation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *The EMBO Journal* 21:1012–1020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/21.5.1012, PMID: 11867529
- Hartley CL, Edwards S, Mullan L, Bell PA, Fresquet M, Boot-Handford RP, Briggs MD. 2013. Armet/Manf and Creld2 are components of a specialized ER stress response provoked by inappropriate formation of disulphide



- bonds: implications for genetic skeletal diseases. *Human Molecular Genetics* **22**:5262–5275. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddt383, PMID: 23956175
- Hassaine G, Deluz C, Grasso L, Wyss R, Tol MB, Hovius R, Graff A, Stahlberg H, Tomizaki T, Desmyter A, Moreau C, Li XD, Poitevin F, Vogel H, Nury H. 2014. X-ray structure of the mouse serotonin 5-HT3 receptor. *Nature* 512:276–281. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13552, PMID: 25119048
- Hatahet F, Ruddock LW. 2007. Substrate recognition by the protein disulfide isomerases. FEBS Journal 274: 5223–5234. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2007.06058.x, PMID: 17892489
- Henderson BJ, Lester HA. 2015. Inside-out neuropharmacology of nicotinic drugs. Neuropharmacology 96:178–193. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2015.01.022
- **Herguedas B**, Krieger J, Greger IH. 2013. Receptor heteromeric assembly-how it works and why it matters: the case of ionotropic glutamate receptors. *Progress in Molecular Biology and Translational Science* **117**:361–386. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-386931-9.00013-1, PMID: 23663975
- Holden-Dye L, Joyner M, O'Connor V, Walker RJ. 2013. Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors: a comparison of the nAChRs of Caenorhabditis elegans and parasitic nematodes. *Parasitology International* **62**:606–615. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2013.03.004, PMID: 23500392
- Hosur V, Leppanen S, Abutaha A, Loring RH. 2009. Gene regulation of alpha4beta2 nicotinic receptors: microarray analysis of nicotine-induced receptor up-regulation and anti-inflammatory effects. *Journal of Neurochemistry* 111:848–858. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2009.06373.x, PMID: 19732285
- Jacob TC, Moss SJ, Jurd R. 2008. GABA(A) receptor trafficking and its role in the dynamic modulation of neuronal inhibition. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 9:331–343. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2370, PMID: 183 82465
- Jessop CE, Chakravarthi S, Garbi N, Hämmerling GJ, Lovell S, Bulleid NJ. 2007. ERp57 is essential for efficient folding of glycoproteins sharing common structural domains. *The EMBO Journal* **26**:28–40. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601505, PMID: 17170699
- Jonikas MC, Collins SR, Denic V, Oh E, Quan EM, Schmid V, Weibezahn J, Schwappach B, Walter P, Weissman JS, Schuldiner M. 2009. Comprehensive characterization of genes required for protein folding in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Science* 323:1693–1697. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1167983, PMID: 1 9325107
- Jospin M, Qi YB, Stawicki TM, Boulin T, Schuske KR, Horvitz HR, Bessereau JL, Jorgensen EM, Jin Y. 2009. A neuronal acetylcholine receptor regulates the balance of muscle excitation and inhibition in Caenorhabditis elegans. *PLoS Biology* **7**:e1000265. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000265, PMID: 20027209
- Lewis JA, Wu CH, Berg H, Levine JH. 1980. The genetics of levamisole resistance in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* **95**:905–928. PMID: 7203008
- Maslen CL, Babcock D, Robinson SW, Bean LJ, Dooley KJ, Willour VL, Sherman SL. 2006. CRELD1 mutations contribute to the occurrence of cardiac atrioventricular septal defects in Down syndrome. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A 140:2501–2505. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.31494, PMID: 17036335
- Mass E, Wachten D, Aschenbrenner AC, Voelzmann A, Hoch M. 2014. Murine Creld1 controls cardiac development through activation of calcineurin/NFATc1 signaling. *Developmental Cell* 28:711–726. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2014.02.012, PMID: 24697899
- Millar NS, Harkness PC. 2008. Assembly and trafficking of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (Review). Molecular Membrane Biology 25:279–292. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/09687680802035675, PMID: 18446614
- Miller PS, Aricescu AR. 2014. Crystal structure of a human GABAA receptor. Nature 512:270–275. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13293, PMID: 24909990
- **Morales-Perez CL**, Noviello CM, Hibbs RE. 2016. X-ray structure of the human α4β2 nicotinic receptor. *Nature* **538**:411–415. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19785, PMID: 27698419
- Oh-hashi K, Kunieda R, Hirata Y, Kiuchi K. 2011. Biosynthesis and secretion of mouse cysteine-rich with EGF-like domains 2. FEBS Letters 585:2481–2487. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2011.06.029, PMID: 21729698
- Paix A, Wang Y, Smith HE, Lee CY, Calidas D, Lu T, Smith J, Schmidt H, Krause MW, Seydoux G. 2014. Scalable and versatile genome editing using linear DNAs with microhomology to Cas9 Sites in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 198:1347–1356. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.114.170423, PMID: 25249454
- Picciotto MR, Mineur YS. 2014. Molecules and circuits involved in nicotine addiction: The many faces of smoking.

  Neuropharmacology 76:545–553. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2013.04.028, PMID: 23632083
- Redig JK, Fouad GT, Babcock D, Reshey B, Feingold E, Reeves RH, Maslen CL. 2014. Allelic interaction between creld1 and vegfa in the pathogenesis of cardiac atrioventricular septal defects. AIMS Genetics 1:1–19. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3934/genet.2014.1.1#sthash.jksuJTeC.dpuf, PMID: 25328912
- Richard M, Boulin T, Robert VJ, Richmond JE, Bessereau JL. 2013. Biosynthesis of ionotropic acetylcholine receptors requires the evolutionarily conserved ER membrane complex. PNAS 110:E1055–E1063. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1216154110, PMID: 23431131
- Richards CI, Srinivasan R, Xiao C, Mackey ED, Miwa JM, Lester HA. 2011. Trafficking of alpha4\* nicotinic receptors revealed by superecliptic phluorin: effects of a beta4 amyotrophic lateral sclerosis-associated mutation and chronic exposure to nicotine. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **286**:31241–31249. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.256024, PMID: 21768117
- Richmond JE, Jorgensen EM. 1999. One GABA and two acetylcholine receptors function at the C. elegans neuromuscular junction. *Nature Neuroscience* 2:791–797. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/12160, PMID: 10461217



- Robert VJ, Katic I, Bessereau JL. 2009. Mos1 transposition as a tool to engineer the Caenorhabditis elegans genome by homologous recombination. *Methods* 49:263–269. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2009.02.013, PMID: 19250968
- Robinson SW, Morris CD, Goldmuntz E, Reller MD, Jones MA, Steiner RD, Maslen CL. 2003. Missense mutations in CRELD1 are associated with cardiac atrioventricular septal defects. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* 72:1047–1052. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/374319, PMID: 12632326
- Rupp PA, Fouad GT, Egelston CA, Reifsteck CA, Olson SB, Knosp WM, Glanville RW, Thornburg KL, Robinson SW, Maslen CL. 2002. Identification, genomic organization and mRNA expression of CRELD1, the founding member of a unique family of matricellular proteins. Gene 293:47–57. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(02)00696-0, PMID: 12137942
- Sallette J, Pons S, Devillers-Thiery A, Soudant M, Prado de Carvalho L, Changeux JP, Corringer PJ. 2005. Nicotine upregulates its own receptors through enhanced intracellular maturation. *Neuron* 46:595–607. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.03.029, PMID: 15944128
- Satoh T, Ohba A, Liu Z, Inagaki T, Satoh AK. 2015. dPob/EMC is essential for biosynthesis of rhodopsin and other multi-pass membrane proteins in Drosophila photoreceptors. eLife 4:e06306. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.06306
- Shurtleff MJ, Itzhak DN, Hussmann JA, Schirle Oakdale NT, Costa EA, Jonikas M, Weibezahn J, Popova KD, Jan CH, Sinitcyn P, Vembar SS, Hernandez H, Cox J, Burlingame AL, Brodsky JL, Frost A, Borner GH, Weissman JS. 2018. The ER membrane protein complex interacts cotranslationally to enable biogenesis of multipass membrane proteins. eLife 7:e37018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37018, PMID: 29809151
- Srinivasan R, Henley BM, Henderson BJ, Indersmitten T, Cohen BN, Kim CH, McKinney S, Deshpande P, Xiao C, Lester HA. 2016. Smoking-Relevant nicotine concentration attenuates the unfolded protein response in dopaminergic neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience* 36:65–79. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2126-15. 2016, PMID: 26740650
- Subramaniyan M, Dani JA. 2015. Dopaminergic and cholinergic learning mechanisms in nicotine addiction. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1349:46–63. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12871, PMID: 26301866
- Tu H, Pinan-Lucarré B, Ji T, Jospin M, Bessereau JL. 2015. C. elegans punctin clusters GABA(A) receptors via neuroligin binding and UNC-40/DCC recruitment. *Neuron* 86:1407–1419. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.05.013, PMID: 26028575
- Wanamaker CP, Christianson JC, Green WN. 2003. Regulation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptor assembly. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 998:66–80. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1254.009, PMID: 14592864
- Wanamaker CP, Green WN. 2005. N-linked glycosylation is required for nicotinic receptor assembly but not for subunit associations with calnexin. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 280:33800–33810. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M501813200, PMID: 16091366
- Wanamaker CP, Green WN. 2007. Endoplasmic reticulum chaperones stabilize nicotinic receptor subunits and regulate receptor assembly. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 282:31113–31123. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M705369200, PMID: 17728248
- Wideman JG. 2015. The ubiquitous and ancient ER membrane protein complex (EMC): tether or not? F1000Research 4:624. DOI: https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6944.1, PMID: 26512320
- Williams DC, Boulin T, Ruaud AF, Jorgensen EM, Bessereau JL. 2005. Characterization of Mos1-mediated mutagenesis in Caenorhabditis elegans: a method for the rapid identification of mutated genes. *Genetics* 169: 1779–1785. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.104.038265, PMID: 15654093