

# Nanoplanktonic diatoms are globally overlooked but play a role in spring blooms and carbon export

Karine Leblanc, Bernard Queguiner, Frederic Diaz, Veronique Cornet, Mónica Michel-Rodriguez, Xavier Durrieu de Madron, Chris Bowler, Shruti Malviya, Melilotus Thyssen, Gérald Grégori, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Karine Leblanc, Bernard Queguiner, Frederic Diaz, Veronique Cornet, Mónica Michel-Rodriguez, et al.. Nanoplanktonic diatoms are globally overlooked but play a role in spring blooms and carbon export. Nature Communications, 2018, 9 (1), pp.953. 10.1038/s41467-018-03376-9. hal-02006275

# HAL Id: hal-02006275 https://hal.science/hal-02006275v1

Submitted on 18 Feb 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	
2	Nanoplanktonic diatoms are globally overlooked but play a role in spring blooms and carbon
3	export
5	chport
4	
5	Article format
6	Karine Leblanc* <sup>1</sup> , Bernard Quéguiner <sup>1</sup> , Frédéric Diaz <sup>1</sup> , Véronique Cornet <sup>1</sup> , Mónica
7	Michel-Rodriguez <sup>1</sup> , Xavier Durrieu de Madron <sup>2</sup> , Chris Bowler <sup>3</sup> , Shruti Malviya <sup>3,4</sup> , Melilotus
8	Thyssen <sup>1</sup> , Gérald Grégori <sup>1</sup> , Mathieu Rembauville <sup>5</sup> , Olivier Grosso <sup>1</sup> , Julie Poulain <sup>6</sup> , Colomban
9	de Vargas <sup>7</sup> , Mireille Pujo-Pay <sup>5</sup> , Pascal Conan <sup>5</sup>
10	*Corresponding author: karine.leblanc@univ-amu.fr
11	<sup>1</sup> Aix-Marseille Université, Université de Toulon, CNRS, IRD, MIO, UM110, F-13288, Marseille
12	<sup>2</sup> Université de Perpignan Via Domitia, CNRS UMR 5110, Centre d'Etude et de Formation sur les
13	Environnements Méditerranéens, F-66860 Perpignan
14	<sup>3</sup> Ecole Normale Supérieure, PSL Research University, Institut de Biologie de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure
15	(IBENS), CNRS UMR 8197, INSERM U1024, 46 rue d'Ulm, F-75005 Paris, France
16	<sup>4</sup> Simons Centre for the Study of Living Machines, National Centre for Biological Sciences, Tata Institute of
17	Fundamental Research, UAS-GKVK Campus, Bellary Road, Bangalore 560065, India
18	<sup>3</sup> Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, UMR/621, Laboratoire d'Océanographie Microbienne,
19	Observatoire Océanologique, F-66650, Banyuls/mer
20	<sup>6</sup> CEA- Institut de Biologie François Jacob, Genoscope, 2 rue Gaston Crémieux, 91057 Evry France
21	CNRS, UMR 7144, Station Biologique de Roscoff, Place Georges Teissier, 29680 Roscoff, France
22	
23	Abstract

Diatoms are one of the major primary producers in the ocean, responsible 24 annually for ~20% of photosynthetically fixed CO<sub>2</sub> on Earth. In oceanic models, they are 25 typically represented as large (>20 µm) microphytoplankton. However, many diatoms 26 27 belong to the nanophytoplankton (2-20 µm) and a few species even overlap with the picoplanktonic size-class (<2 µm). Due to their minute size and difficulty of detection 28 29 they are poorly characterized. Here, we describe a massive spring bloom of the smallest known diatom (Minidiscus) in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. Analysis of Tara 30 Oceans data, together with literature review, reveals a general oversight of the 31 significance of these small diatoms at the global scale. We further evidence that they can 32 reach the sea-floor at high sinking rates, implying the need to revise our classical binary 33 vision of pico- and nanoplanktonic cells fueling the microbial loop while only 34 microphytoplankton sustain secondary trophic levels and carbon export. 35

36 Introduction

The widely accepted characteristics of spring blooms in marine systems are that highly 37 38 turbulent front regions and eutrophic areas generally result in the proliferation of diatoms along an ecological succession sequence, which then favours coccolithophores and finally 39 dinoflagellates as stratification and oligotrophy increase<sup>1</sup>. Another textbook view of the 40 functioning of these high primary productivity events is that they contribute 41 disproportionately to the export of carbon to the ocean interior. This concept is explicit in 42 Legendre and Lefèvre's bifurcation model<sup>2</sup> which states that large microalgal blooms often 43 result in increased sinking of phytoplanktonic cells or faecal pellet production, while smaller 44 45 organisms are preferentially shifted towards the microbial loop, thereby reducing the fraction available for export<sup>2</sup>. Phytoplankton communities are typically characterized using bulk 46 47 measurements, satellite data and model outputs that do not yet allow a fine scale understanding of specific floristic successions. Since the nature of the organisms composing 48 49 the bloom events has dramatic effects on both higher trophic levels and biogeochemical export fluxes, it is crucial to refine our understanding of their succession determinism. 50

51 For eutrophic regions, a three-stage typical spring bloom diatom succession was proposed 52 by Margalef<sup>1</sup> and modified by Guillard and Kilham<sup>3</sup>. It involves a first sequence after upwelling or strong mixing that is dominated by fast-growing small species (>10 µm) 53 belonging to the Thalassiosira, Chaetoceros or Skeletonema genera, followed next by the 54 appearance of a larger number of medium-sized Chaetoceros species, often forming long 55 chains. Last, as nutrients are consumed, species more adapted to oligotrophic environments 56 57 then thrive, such as large Rhizosolenia and Hemiaulus species, often associated with nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria. The rate of succession can then be modulated by loss rates of 58 diatoms from the euphotic zone, through diffusion, sinking and grazing<sup>3</sup>. At the global scale, 59 centric diatoms such as Rhizosolenia, Chaetoceros and Thalassiosira represent a little under 60 50% of total diatom biomass<sup>4</sup>, tending to confirm this general diatom succession. However, 61 deviations from Margalef's typical diatom bloom scenario<sup>1</sup>, with very small (<5 µm) diatom 62 63 species developing into quasi-monospecific blooms have been reported on occasion, mostly in mid- and high-latitude well-mixed environments<sup>5-10</sup>. These phenomena are depicted as 64 anomalous, and as a consequence, the large-scale distribution and significance of these minute 65 diatom species are still not widely recognized. 66

67 Here, we present data relative to the 2013 spring bloom in the Northwestern 68 Mediterranean Sea, evidencing the massive development of the small diatom genus 69 *Minidiscus*, which overlaps both the pico- and nano-size fractions with diameters ranging

from <2 to 5 µm. We show that this tiny diatom accumulated in extremely high numbers (10<sup>6</sup> 70 cells L<sup>-1</sup>) over a deep convection area following a particularly intense winter mixing event 71 which extended down to the sea bottom  $(2,400 \text{ m})^{11}$ . Thanks to a trait-based modelling 72 approach, we attempt to determine which key biological factors favour the proliferation of 73 such nano-sized diatom blooms and propose that top-down control mechanisms (selective 74 grazing, viral or bacterial lysis) may exert a specific control on the development of larger cells, 75 opening an ecological niche for small diatoms to succeed. We then extend our results to a 76 global scale, using metabarcoding data from the Tara Oceans survey<sup>12</sup>, showing that 77 78 Minidiscus ranked in the top 20 most abundant diatom genera, although it has rarely been described in phytoplankton process studies. We propose, as hinted by several other authors 79 80 but still not integrated into the classical view, that nanoplanktonic diatom blooms may be 81 more frequent than currently appreciated in open ocean and coastal areas perturbed by 82 turbulence following vernal mixing or frontal stirring but that their tiny dimensions and dynamics have prevented both adequate sampling and observation until now. Last, we look 83 84 for evidence of the potential impact of these diatoms in carbon export, using our Mediterranean case study, data from Tara Oceans, and from literature reviews, and question 85 whether we should revise the classical view that lower-end nanoplankton-sized cells are 86 entirely recycled through the microbial loop and do not contribute in any significant way to 87 carbon export to the deep ocean, as was already suggested for picophytoplankton<sup>13</sup>. We thus 88 highlight these tiny, elusive diatom species as being of potential global significance in 89 productive environments and for carbon export. 90

#### 91 **Results**

#### 92 Spring bloom of nano-sized diatoms in the Mediterranean Sea

93 The Gulf of Lions in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea is the hotspot for the recurrent deep-water formation during winter, due to significant autumnal and winter heat losses caused 94 by strong Northern winds, and the presence of a cyclonic gyre enclosing dense water in the 95 middle of the basin<sup>14</sup>. An intense convection event occurred during the Deep Water 96 Experiment (DeWeX) in 2013, leading to mixing of the water column from the surface to the 97 seafloor at 2,400 m depth<sup>15</sup>. This massive overturn induced fertilization of the surface waters 98 99 with upwelled nutrients and triggered a large phytoplankton spring bloom (Fig. 1a,b). Such an annual event is well known and recurrently observed, both from space<sup>16,17</sup> and from field 100 campaigns<sup>18</sup>. However, the nature of the phytoplankton bloom in this area is poorly 101

102 characterized, even though it has been estimated to contribute approximately 15% of the
 103 primary production in the Mediterranean Sea<sup>16</sup>.

104 In such a turbulent eutrophic environment, small- to medium-sized chain-forming diatom 105 genera are usually expected to proliferate first upon alleviation of light limitation, followed by 106 larger species as nutrients are consumed. However, phytoplankton determination during the 107 DeWeX spring bloom revealed a different diatom community, with a massive accumulation dominated by at least two species of the smallest known centric diatom genus Minidiscus (M. 108 trioculatus and M. comicus). They belong to the very small end of the nanophytoplankton size 109 fraction (~2-5 µm diameter in our observations) even though they are reported to extend to the 110 pico-size fraction with known minimum diameters for M. trioculatus and M. comicus of 1.5 111 and 1.9  $\mu$ m respectively<sup>19</sup>. These species reached very high abundances (4-6 million cells L<sup>-1</sup> 112 at several stations in April - Leg 2) and were the dominant diatoms over a large region (17 out 113 of 32 stations) of the study area (Figs. 2a,b and Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2). Their 114 abundance peaked mainly in the centre of the convection region at around 42°N, 5°E and in 115 an anticyclonic eddy south of the study region around 40.5°N, 6°E, where abundances ranged 116 117 from 5,000 to 425,000 cells L<sup>-1</sup>. Meanwhile, microphytoplanktonic diatoms (>20 µm) were only observed closer to the Gulf of Lions plateau in winter and in the northeastern region 118 between the coast of France and Corsica in spring, but never exceeded 17,000 cells L<sup>-1</sup>, a low 119 value for a spring bloom. *Minidiscus* was not observed at any site during February (leg 1), 120 which suggests an earliest bloom initiation in March. Increased biogenic silica (BSi) in the 121 122 areas where Minidiscus was absent was associated with typical larger diatoms such as Pseudo-nitzschia spp., Leptocylindrus spp., Cylindrotheca spp. and other large Thalassiosira 123 124 spp. during winter and mainly to Guinardia delicatula and Chaetoceros spp. during spring (Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2). At a few stations during April (leg 2), the small pennate 125 Nitzschia bicapitata (~10 µm) was also observed in elevated concentrations in association 126 with Minidiscus, but the abundances of the latter were always at least 1 or 2 orders of 127 magnitude higher. BSi concentrations associated with the densest Minidiscus bloom area were 128 elevated for the Mediterranean Sea (1 µmol L<sup>-1</sup>) and particulate Si:C ratios (0.07-0.10) were 129 close to Brzezinski's values for small diatoms<sup>20</sup>. An estimation of the growth rates required to 130 produce such an accumulation yields a minimum approximate net rate of 0.3 d<sup>-1</sup> (for an 131 average of 805,000 cells L<sup>-1</sup>) between early March and mid-April starting from a seed 132 population of 10-100 cells L<sup>-1</sup>. This is higher than the rate of 0.13 d<sup>-1</sup> measured *in situ* during a 133 134 more moderate *Minidiscus* spring bloom observed in the Norwegian basin in 2012<sup>10</sup>, which

could be explained by the lower temperature ( $\sim 6-7^{\circ}$  C) compared to our study area ( $\sim 13^{\circ}$  C)<sup>21</sup>. 135 However, if the Minidiscus bloom grew over a shorter period of time, for instance over a 136 week, growth rates would have been much higher and closer to 2 d<sup>-1</sup>. The unexpected very 137 small size structure of this phytoplankton community was confirmed by an automated flow 138 cytometer installed on an inflow of surface water pumped continuously at 3 m depth. It 139 evidenced that the massive spring bloom in April was almost entirely dominated by 140 nanophytoplankton (Supplementary Fig. 3). Observations by optical microscopy revealed that 141 the Minidiscus bloom co-existed with even larger numbers of undetermined nanoflagellates 142 and cryptophytes, all smaller than 20 µm, while abundances of larger cells were about three 143 orders of magnitude lower at most sites (Supplementary Fig. 3). 144

145 A further unusual feature was the overall dominance of diatoms by *Minidiscus* during this bloom. At all sites where Minidiscus was observed, it represented on average 92% of total 146 diatom abundance (Table 1). Notwithstanding, conclusions drawn on abundance are difficult 147 to transpose to biogeochemical relevance, in particular at both ends of the size spectrum 148 where abundance and biomass are no longer closely related. To assess whether this 149 150 numerically abundant bloom was an important contributor to total phytoplankton biomass, we converted abundance data to carbon (C) content, using an average biovolume of 18 µm<sup>3</sup> and 151 subsequent Si and C content estimate of ~0.8 pmol C cell<sup>-1</sup> and 0.08 pmol Si cell<sup>-1</sup>, 152 consistently with the data given in Brzezinski (1985)<sup>20</sup> for another similar sized species (24 153 μm<sup>3</sup>), but about 3-fold higher than the quotas predicted using standard allometric 154 approaches<sup>22,23</sup>. *Minidiscus* biomass was then compared to measured Particulate Organic 155 Carbon (POC) and BSi concentrations in the same samples. While Minidiscus was not the 156 dominant contributor to C biomass given its small size, the high abundances of other 157 nanoplankton groups and the variable content of dead material in POC, it still reaches 158 between 18 and 26% of total POC at stations 74 and 99 (Table 1), located close to the centre 159 of the convection area (42°N, 5°E). At station 74, Minidiscus constituted the bulk of in situ 160 161 BSi stocks (99.4%) on one occasion on April 19th, whereas its relative contribution decreased to 36% by the end of April at the same location (Table 1). 162

163 This locally significant contribution is surprising given that the genus *Minidiscus* has 164 never been documented to form such intense blooms in the Mediterranean Sea. *Minidiscus* is 165 in fact absent from many taxonomic books for this basin, and appeared for the first time in an 166 inventory of the Catalan Sea only in 1992<sup>24</sup>. *M. comicus* was only recently observed in the 167 same region of the northwestern Mediterranean during spring<sup>25</sup>, and in nearby regions of the

Gulf of Naples<sup>26</sup>, while *M. trioculatus* was considered to be rare. *Minidiscus* was observed for 168 169 the first time in April 2012 at a coastal bi-monthly time-series site located on the Gulf of Lions coastal shelf in the Bay of Marseille (SOMLIT), at very low baseline abundances. 170 Higher abundances were reported in May and July 2013 following our study (Pers. Comm. B. 171 Beker). The only other report of such massive nano-sized diatom bloom in the Mediterranean 172 Sea was that of the small centric diatom Thalassiosira partheneia (<8 um) in the 173 Almeria-Oran front<sup>6</sup>, where it accumulated in a thin layer at depth up to  $\sim 10$  million cells L<sup>-1</sup> 174 with a similar calculated net growth rate of 0.2 d<sup>-1</sup>. 175

The nutrient concentrations at stations sampled during winter revealed that the amount of 176 nutrients upwelled to the euphotic layer was dependent on the area covered by the convection 177 event, while nutrient stoichiometry was dependent on the vertical extent of the convection 178 depth<sup>11</sup>. From a hierarchical ascendant classification analysis of stations sampled during 179 winter (DeWeX cruise leg1), we found that highly convective regions were characterized in 180 the surface layer (0-50 m) by high H<sub>4</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations (7.7  $\mu$ M and 8.4  $\mu$ M, 181 respectively), while weakly convective regions showed much lower nutrient content (2.1 µM 182 Si and 2.7 µM N, respectively)<sup>11</sup>. In parallel, the Si:N nutrient ratio prior to the spring bloom 183 in the surface layer was 15% higher in the case of high convection compared to low 184 convection or no mixing at all (Fig. 3). High convection events mixing deep water masses 185 with the surface layer thus seem necessary to supply enough Si to the surface relative to N and 186 P to sustain a high bloom situation dominated by diatoms<sup>27</sup>. The dominance of *Minidiscus* 187 188 during DeWeX could thus partly be attributed to their higher efficiency with respect to larger diatoms for taking up high silicic acid concentrations, which were preferentially upwelled 189 compared to nitrate<sup>28</sup>. In the absence of physiological rate measurements during the cruise, we 190 rely on the following 0D modelling simulation (not coupled to physical forcings) to test which 191 bottom-up or top-down processes could best explain the preferential development of 192 nano-sized diatoms over larger species. 193

Biogeochemical models are often based on two main numerical representations of biota, the Plankton Functional Type (PFT) models that are now widely used<sup>29</sup> and the trait-based models grounded on the Reynolds' C-S-R classification<sup>30</sup> built on Margalef's mandala<sup>1</sup>. On one hand, PFT-based models only depict diatoms as a single large phytoplankton box connected to the Si cycle and are therefore inherently unable to reproduce different life strategies and traits within diatom community. On the other hand, the C-S-R models can be applied in the present context as an adapted tool to represent a spectrum of different strategies

of development among diatoms. Each of these strategists is characterized by a specific 201 202 ecological niche, defined by a combination of nutrient and light variables, which are the major factors impacting diatom survival strategies<sup>31</sup>. We used a simple C-S-R trait-based model 203 representing four different types of strategists to attempt to understand the reasons underlying 204 the occurrence of the massive bloom of Minidiscus evidenced during DeWeX in 2013. 205 Among the four considered strategists, two diatom types were differentiated. Owing to its 206 morphological and physiological traits, Minidiscus was considered in the present model as a 207 colonist genus (i.e., a C-strategist). This group is characterized by a high growth rate, a small 208 209 size and a round shape (Figs. 4 and 5). The other diatom type, characterized by higher growth rates, larger sizes and elongated shapes, such as Chaetoceros, groups light-stress tolerant 210 211 ruderal species (i.e., R-strategists). Using conditions based on the measured light and nutrient levels prevailing prior to the 2013 spring bloom<sup>27</sup>, the results of our trait-based model show a 212 213 large and rapid dominance of R-strategist diatoms (Supplementary Fig. 4 and 5), which is not in line with the observations of the Minidiscus bloom. Given the nature of our model, this 214 215 result suggests that some processes occurring in the field other than bottom-up factors may prevent the bloom of R-strategists, such as for instance a sharp increase in their mortality rate, 216 which in turn may favour the emergence of C-strategists (i.e., Minidiscus) by escaping 217 predation. When higher mortality rates are applied to the R-strategists, the model correctly 218 simulates a rapid dominance of C-strategists. Although the numerical model is unable to 219 determine which exact process is driving the prevalence of C-strategists over R-strategists in 220 the field, it nonetheless offers a plausible hypothesis that a selective top-down control on 221 larger diatoms is necessary given each strategist's defined niche and environmental 222 parameters matching the DeWeX dataset. In situ, viral lysis, attacks by various pathogens<sup>32</sup>, 223 differential sensitivity to mixing, preferential grazing as well as larger differences in the traits 224 225 between *Minidiscus* and others diatom genera are some of the known processes that may exert control on abundance of large diatoms. A transient lower vulnerability or accessibility of 226 Minidiscus to grazers may also be an indirect mechanism involving its success during DeWeX. 227 228 It is known that *Minidiscus* can produce some protruding organic threads depending on turbulence conditions<sup>33</sup> and that these threads would increase its vulnerability to copepod 229 grazing<sup>34</sup>. Unfortunately, these delicate threads are only preserved up to 3 months in 230 glutaraldehyde and dissolve in less than 15 days in Lugol<sup>34</sup>, which made us unable to confirm 231 232 their presence. The upscaling of mortality rates for large diatoms in the model is further supported by the observations made on the zooplankton community during DeWeX<sup>35</sup>. The 233 234 deep convection zone where Minidiscus prevailed was characterized by high abundances of

large herbivorous genera (such as Centropages, Calanus) while smaller grazers (e.g., 235 Microsetella, Oncae) were much less abundant. Trophic pathways of phytoplankton 236 community through the zooplankton food-web determined using stable isotopes during 237 DeWeX, also revealed that the nanoplankton size-class made the largest contribution to 238 zooplankton biomass during winter while the high convective area was characterized by the 239 largest contribution of microplankton to zooplankton biomass during spring<sup>36</sup>. Hence, an 240 ecological framework for nanoplanktonic diatom blooms can be postulated from this simple 241 242 trait-based model and supported by observation. Small diatoms would be likely dominant during the early bloom phase in both low and high convective areas but in the latter case only 243 a strong top-down control on R-strategists (by viral/bacterial pathogenesis, parasites or 244 245 grazing) would allow nano-sized species such as *Minidiscus* to reach the observed high bloom intensities. 246

#### 247 The case for large-scale oversight of nano-diatoms

The genus *Minidiscus*, composed of only a few reported species, was initially described 248 in 1973 in the Norwegian Sea<sup>37</sup>. It is considered a cosmopolitan genus<sup>38</sup>, having been 249 250 observed in all oceanic basins, including northern and southern polar environments (Fig. 6). However, this genus goes frequently unnoticed because it is easily overlooked in conventional 251 252 microscopy, and is often misidentified and/or systematically under-sampled by net hauls due to inappropriate mesh sizes. When discrete water sampling is adequate, pico- and nano-sized 253 254 diatoms may be enumerated using light microscopy but can only be determined to the genus and species levels using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Fig. 5), a difficulty that has 255 already been emphasized for *Minidiscus*<sup>9,38,55-56</sup>. Only a few other studies have documented 256 significant bloom events of nano-sized diatoms. Blooms of Minidiscus spp. have for instance 257 been reported during a 14-year survey in Monterey Bay, a coastal region characterized by 258 strong upwelling events, an ecological situation similar to that of the DeWeX study<sup>9</sup>, as well 259 as in other eutrophic areas of the Subarctic Pacific<sup>5</sup>, Norwegian Basin<sup>10</sup>, and Antarctic 260 Peninsula<sup>55,57</sup>. In the Mediterranean Sea, a massive bloom (~10 million cells L<sup>-1</sup>) of the 261 nano-sized diatom Thalassiosira partheneia (<8 µm) was reported near the Gibraltar Strait<sup>6</sup>. 262 Large spring blooms of the tiny pennate diatom Nanoneis hasleae ( $2 \times 5 \mu m$ ) have also been 263 reported on a few occasions in the North Atlantic and may be similarly overlooked on a 264 global scale<sup>58,59</sup>. Nano-planktonic biomineralizing algae, including diatoms, Parmales 265 (siliceous plate-bearing phytoplankton  $<5 \mu m$ ) and coccolithophores were also found to have 266 been largely underestimated in the Southern Ocean<sup>60</sup>. 267

It therefore seems possible that Minidiscus together with other nano-planktonic sized 268 diatoms, may be responsible for occasional massive blooms, which may go undetected due to 269 either collection or identification biases. This is further exemplified in the global diatom 270 database compiled during the MAREDAT project<sup>4</sup>, which presented close to 10,000 unique 271 georeferenced locations and 607 reported diatom species since the 1930s, in which nano-sized 272 genera such as Minidiscus, Minutocellus, Cyclotella, Lennoxia or Nanofrustulum are 273 completely absent. This absence suggests that, if adequately sampled at all, they were either 274 confused with similar looking species such as small Thalassiosira species, counted as 275 undetermined species, or simply not even recognized as diatoms. 276

#### 277 Tara Oceans metabarcoding data

278 The advent of high-throughput sequencing now allows unprecedented access to pico- and nano-plankton, as they can be detected even at low levels in filtered samples, thus 279 circumventing both sampling and observational biases. Analysis of the metabarcoding-based 280 descriptions of eukaryotic plankton by Tara Oceans<sup>61,62</sup> indeed reveals the predominance of 281 nano-sized diatoms such as Minidiscus (Fig. 7) and Minutocellus (Supplementary Fig. 6). 282 283 Their ubiquitous biogeographical distribution confirms and significantly extends previous observations, in particular regarding open ocean systems, as previous biogeography described 284 in the literature evidenced Minidiscus mostly in coastal environments (Fig. 6). Significantly, 285 Minidiscus is in the top 20 most abundant diatom genera (Supplementary Fig. 7) even though 286 it has never been described as a major bloom-forming species<sup>62,63</sup>. Supporting our previous 287 observations made during DeWeX, the distributions from the Tara Oceans data show that the 288 relative abundance of *Minidiscus* was the highest in the Mediterranean Sea, followed by the 289 Southern Ocean and the North Atlantic Ocean (Supplementary Fig. 8a). Furthermore, the data 290 reveals that *Minidiscus* is not only abundant at the surface but also in samples taken from 291 292 deep chlorophyll maxima (DCM) contributing to what is known as the shade flora which benefits from the best ratio between sufficient light and upward limiting nutrient fluxes 293 (Supplementary Fig. 8b). Size-class fractionations further confirmed that Minidiscus and 294 Minutocellus were mostly represented in the smaller size-fractions, but also occurred in larger 295 size classes probably due to cell aggregation (Supplementary Fig. 8c). A recent study has also 296 transferred two Thalassosira species to the Minidiscus genus after fine structure examination 297 and molecular sequence comparisons<sup>64</sup>, illustrating the difficulty of correct identification in 298 this size-class. These recent changes have been included in the present Tara Oceans data 299 analysis, but do not significantly change the global scale picture. However, while sequence 300

information is available from *M. trioculatus* cultures, this is not yet the case for *M. comicus* 301 302 implying that Tara Oceans data underestimates Minidiscus abundances. Also recently, Minutocellus has been shown to be a symbiotic species of the foraminifera Pararotalia 303 *calcariformata* in the Mediterranean Sea<sup>65</sup>, which is another factor explaining why they may 304 be overlooked in phytoplankton samples and why they are more important in the large 305 size-fraction of the Tara Oceans samples than Minidiscus (Supplementary Fig. 7c). These 306 results, together with previous observations, build a strong case for a large-scale oversight of 307 these genera, together with many other nano-sized diatom species in the marine realm. 308

#### 309 Contribution of nano-planktonic diatoms to carbon export

In order to examine the impact of small-sized diatoms to carbon export to depth, we 310 collected samples from a deep sediment trap (2,400 m) moored at 42°N, 4.5°E from the end 311 of March to the end of May 2013 during the DeWeX study, below the main area where 312 Minidiscus reached ~6 million cells L<sup>-1</sup>. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) observations 313 of samples from the three sediment trap samples collected during that period revealed the 314 presence at high abundances of both *M. trioculatus* and *M. comicus* frustules (Table 2, Figs. 315 316 5e-h). Due to the low representativity of SEM counts (small area imaged and heterogeneous nature of the collected material), accurate Minidiscus flux calculations were not attempted. 317 However, given the time-lag between surface observations and sediment trap collection of 318 Minidiscus, about one month assuming a bloom initiation in early March, a rough estimate 319 indicates that a minimum sinking rate of 80 meters d<sup>-1</sup> is likely, which is in the range of 320 literature values for phytoplankton aggregates<sup>66,67</sup>. It was also inferred from the vertical 321 322 distribution and temporal evolution of particle concentrations in the water column during the DeWeX cruises<sup>68</sup> that particulate matter was primarily composed of aggregates with settling 323 rates of  $\sim 1 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$  (i.e., 86 m d<sup>-1</sup>), which supports the previous hypothesis of a rapid sinking 324 325 of Minidiscus as phytoplanktonic aggregates. Unidentifiable clumped aggregates were observed in SEM but could not be discriminated with certainty between faecal pellets or 326 phyto-aggregates due to the long-term storage of these samples between sampling and 327 observation (4 years). It was already noted using culture collections of M. trioculatus that 328 single cells growing exponentially became aggregated in compact clusters during stationary 329 phase<sup>56</sup>. It is further known that these species are able to grow thin chitin threads protruding 330 from the strutted processes of the valves, a feature considered unique to Thalassiosiroids<sup>69</sup> and 331 modulated by turbulence<sup>33</sup>. Finally, *M. comicus* is known to form small colonies of 2-3 cells 332 connected with extruded threads<sup>56</sup>. Clearly, these features could if present, increase 333

334 aggregation and sinking rates significantly<sup>33,34</sup>.

At least three other studies carried out at both northern and southern high latitudes have 335 revealed high abundances and even a dominance of Minidiscus in sediment traps. The first 336 study was carried out in relatively shallow sediment traps (100 to 200 m) during a temporal 337 survey from 1985 to 1987 in a Vancouver Island inlet where abundant contributions of both M. 338 chilensis and M. trioculatus were noted in spring and winter<sup>70</sup>. Other studies carried out near 339 the Antarctic Peninsula reported high abundances of *M. chilensis* in surface waters<sup>55,57</sup>, and 340 the annual survey conducted in 1998 in the Bransfield Strait revealed massive M. chilensis 341 fluxes in 1,000 m deep trap samples, representing up to 87% of total diatom flux and 342 associated to elevated BSi flux (~150 mg Si m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>55</sup>. 343

In support of the hypothesis of a rapid sinking of nano-diatoms, Tara Oceans data show 344 that both *Minidiscus* and *Minutocellus* cells are consistently present in multiple mesopelagic 345 samples collected at around 700 m depth (Supplementary Fig. 8c) while, on average, diatoms 346 represent up to 46% of photosynthetic read abundance at 700 m. Furthermore, with respect to 347 samples collected in the photic zone, these small diatoms are present at higher proportions 348 349 with respect to other diatom genera, e.g., Minidiscus is the eighth most abundant diatom genus in mesopelagic samples and the 21st most abundant in photic zone samples 350 (Supplementary Fig. 7). The conclusion from these multiple observations is therefore that 351 small nano-sized diatoms are able to contribute to deep-sea carbon injection, even though this 352 353 compartment is not usually considered to fuel export.

#### 354 **Discussion**

Minidiscus was described for the first time in 1973<sup>37</sup> and has traditionally been 355 considered a rare genus, but the results reported here show on the contrary that it ranks among 356 the most abundant diatom genera in the global ocean. The most likely explanation for this 357 apparent discrepancy is that traditional collection and identification methods were unable to 358 detect and taxonomically resolve such small-sized species. Flow cytometric analyses do not 359 resolve diatom taxonomy and only detect these small diatoms as undetermined 360 nanoplanktonic eukaryotes, while the diagnostic pigments approach<sup>71</sup> usually attributes all 361 fucoxanthin to the microphytoplankton size-class, which is obviously erroneous for the 362 DeWeX case study. Much like the application of flow cytometry was required to detect the 363 364 significance of *Prochlorococcus* in the global ocean<sup>72</sup>, the advent of improved genomic sequencing performed on a global scale and systematic coupling of faster SEM techniques to 365

regular optical microscopy should help to further resolve this diatom size class in coming years. But clearly, quantitative physiological rates will be needed to improve model parameterizations.

Diatom cell sizes, as well as their Si/C cellular quotas, are key variables controlling their 369 sinking fluxes<sup>73,74</sup>. In the North Atlantic in 1989-1990, a nearly two-fold reduction in carbon 370 371 flux to deep sediment traps occurred during two successive years following the spring diatom bloom<sup>7</sup>. In 1990, the spring bloom was dominated by the tiny pennate Nanoneis hasleae 372 forming a bloom as large as 50,000 km<sup>2</sup> visible from space<sup>59</sup>, while it was dominated by a 373 large size chain-forming Chaetoceros assemblage in the previous year<sup>7</sup>. This was one of the 374 first studies to document a direct effect of the community structure within the same PFT on 375 376 the modulation of carbon export fluxes to depth. This scenario was consistent with the classical opposition between small solitary diatoms carrying no protective spines correlated to 377 slower sinking rates and faster C remineralization (through either bacterial lysis or grazing) in 378 the water column compared to larger chain-forming and/or spiny cells, prone to aggregation 379 and fast sinking. The data collected during the DeWeX spring bloom from deep sediment 380 381 traps adds, however, a further aspect to this scenario, demonstrating that they are able to sink out of the surface layer in aggregated form at high sinking rates contrary to the common 382 assertion that they are more likely to be entirely remineralized within the microbial loop in the 383 water column. There is, therefore, a need to better constrain sedimentation rates and 384 aggregation processes from different sizes of diatoms. This focus probably needs to be 385 386 extended to other nanoeukaryotic groups, such as Parmales, another elusive siliceous scale-bearing phytoplankton of 2-6 µm diameter, whose importance has likely been 387 underestimated<sup>60</sup>. Nano-diatoms and Parmales are ballasted by their mineral casings, which 388 should lead to a different fate in the water column with respect to other non-siliceous 389 390 organisms of the same size-class. The possibility for picoplankton to escape the microbial loop and fuel export was already demonstrated by sediment trap data<sup>13</sup> but to date it has not 391 been considered extensively. We further propose that the diatom group represented in models 392 as a single PFT should be redefined to better include various life strategies of survival and 393 394 growth, such as in trait-based models, and that the nanophytoplankton group also needs to be connected to the siliceous pathway. 395

Another outcome of the modelling study is that traits of diatoms concerning their relationships to predators need to be further explored. Size-selective predation, which can include zoosporic parasitism<sup>32</sup>, rather than competition for resources may be a major selective

pressure for diatoms, driving their ecological properties<sup>3</sup>. At least two other studies reporting 399 spring bloom abundances of *Minidiscus* sp. in the Subarctic Pacific<sup>5</sup> and in the Norwegian 400 basin<sup>10</sup> displayed similar context and pointed towards the same explanation. Both studies 401 argued that despite generous supplies of nutrients, usually favorable to the growth of large 402 cells, the net accumulation of small-sized diatoms could be a result of selective grazing 403 pressure on larger cells and possibly linked to a lack of seed populations from coastal 404 environments during the initial stages of the bloom. None of these studies, including ours, 405 were able to precisely determine the interspecific predator-prey relationships between 406 407 zooplankton groups and distinct diatom species. Indeed, determining trophic links in the natural environment remains a major challenge and constitutes a bottleneck in our 408 409 understanding of marine planktonic ecosystems. Understanding of accessibility, vulnerability, prey-induced biases in the predator perception and trophic relations with organisms other than 410 411 copepods is still in its infancy and needs to be scaled up. The same is true about symbiotic interactions such as the one recently discovered between Minutocellus and a foraminifera. The 412 413 advent of improved genomic sequencing and systematic coupling of faster SEM techniques to regular optical microscopy should help to further resolve this diatom size class in coming 414 years. 415

Altogether, these observations challenge the common assumption that small (defined as >10  $\mu$ m) to large-sized chain-forming diatoms are the usual initial bloomers in frontal areas and turbulent environments following winter fertilization<sup>2</sup>. We propose that *Minidiscus* and other overlooked minute diatom taxa (<5  $\mu$ m) may be occasional major contributors to spring blooms in turbulent nutrient-rich environments of various coastal and offshore oceanic regions and that, upon aggregation, they may also contribute significantly to carbon export.

## 422 **METHODS**

#### 423 Satellite products

424 Satellite-derived surface chlorophyll concentration а was accessed at http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov (MODIS Aqua, 4 km, 8-days composite, level 3 425 product). Altimetry-derived geostrophic velocities (AVISO MADT, 1/4°, daily product) were 426 427 extracted at http://www.aviso.altimetry.fr/. Both satellite-derived chlorophyll a and geostrophic velocities were time-averaged over periods corresponding to the DeWeX cruises 428 429 leg 1 (03-21 February 2013) and leg 2 (05-24 April 2013) to produce the maps in Figure 1.

#### 430 **DeWeX cell counts and taxonomical determination**

Water samples (125 mL) were collected during the DeWeX cruises at the surface using 431 Niskin bottles mounted on a CTD frame and immediately fixed with 0.4 mL acidified Lugol 432 (100g KI, 50 g I, 100 mL glacial acetic acid) and stored at 4°C. Diatoms were identified and 433 enumerated by light microscopy at X4400 and X8800 magnification depending on size on a 434 435 Nikon TE-2000 microscope in 50 or 100 mL Utermöhl sedimentation chambers depending on diatom abundance. Large and numerically rare taxa were counted in the entire settling 436 chamber, while smaller dominant taxa such as Minidiscus were determined by light 437 microscopy at X 8800 by counting two cuve diameters (i.e. 1/33 of the cuve area), which 438 amounts to a volume of 3 mL counted in the case of 100 mL sedimented samples and 1.5 mL 439 in the case of 50 mL sedimented samples. Minidiscus trioculatus and comicus were 440 taxonomically determined using an FEI Teneo Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) in one 441 of the very high abundance samples (station 99, leg 2, 24 April 2013). All similar looking 442 small centrics subsequently observed by light microscopy were assumed to be Minidiscus. 443 444 Data for microscopic diatom counts is available at 445 http://www.obs-vlfr.fr/proof/ftpfree/dewex/db/data/DIATOMS/.

#### 446 Semi-continuous automated flow cytometry

Phytoplankton cells were counted semi-continuously (one sample every hour during day time 447 and every 2 hours during night time) using an automated Cytosense flow cytometer 448 (Cytobuoy, NL) connected to a continuous sea water flow-through pumped at 3 m depth. In 449 order to ensure an accurate position and limit the distance traveled while analyzing the sample, 450 the Cytosense pumped its sample from an isolated chamber of 300 mL filled in less than 30 s. 451 The chamber was opened to the flow-through in between each analysis. The Cytosense 452 instrument is specially adapted to detecting wide in-situ phytoplankton wide size ranges and 453 abundances. Each sample was driven towards the flow cell by a calibrated peristaltic pump 454 running between  $<1 \mu L s^{-1}$  and 20  $\mu L s^{-1}$  from which the volume analysed was calculated. 455 Every particle (cell) in suspension in the sample was then separated through a laminar flow 456 thanks to a 0.2 µm filtered sheath fluid made of sea water and crossed a laser beam (Coherent, 457 488 nm, 20 mW). The instrument recorded various pulse shapes emitted while the cells were 458 crossing the laser beam, resulting in: forward angle light scatter and sideward angle light 459 scatter as well as red, orange and yellow fluorescence bands in the size range 1- 800 µm in 460 461 width and a few mm in length for chain forming cells. Laser scattering at small angles was

collected by two distinct photodiodes to check for the sample core alignment. Two trigger 462 463 levels on the red fluorescence were applied for distinction between highly concentrated and picophytoplankton and cyanobacteria groups (trigger level FLR 8 mV, sampling at a flow rate 464 of 10 mL<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> analyzing approx. 1mL), and lower concentrated nano- and microphytoplankton 465 (trigger level FLR 10 mV, at a flow rate of 10 mL<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> analyzing approx. 5 mL). Different sets 466 of 2D projections of the data were plotted in Cytoclus® software to manually gate the various 467 phytoplankton groups. A combination of standard beads (PolyScience® Yellow Green 468 Polystyrene 2 µm, 3 µm, 6 µm, 10 µm, 20 µm diameter) was regularly analysed to monitor the 469 stability of the flow cytometer. The volume analysed was weight calibrated. 470

#### 471 Biogeochemical determinations

Biogenic silica samples (2L) were collected in the same Niskin bottles as cell counts and 472 filtered onto 0.8 µm, 47 mm polycarbonate filters, dried at 60°C for 24h and stored at room 473 temperature. As samples were coastal and susceptible to receive large terrigeneous riverine 474 inputs, they were analyzed in the laboratory following the three-step digestion method 475 allowing for determination of biogenic silica corrected for the interference from lithogenic 476 477 silica<sup>76</sup>. Data for biogenic silica concentrations are available at http://www.obs-vlfr.fr/proof/ftpfree/dewex/db/data/Si/. Particulate Organic Carbon (POC) 478 479 from water samples was analyzed on GF/F filters on a CHN elemental analyzer. Nutrient samples were stored in 20 mL polyethylene vials and immediately frozen at -20°C until 480 481 analysis. In the laboratory, samples were analyzed by colorimetry on a Seal-Bran-Luebbe AutoAnalyzer AA3 HR<sup>77</sup>. 482

#### 483 Trait-based model of phytoplankton strategists

484 A trait-based model of a phytoplankton community is used in the present study to explore hypotheses related to the occurrence of the massive Minidiscus bloom observed during the 485 DeWeX 2013 campaign. Four types of phytoplankton strategists are represented according to 486 their distinctive traits with regards to the available amounts of light and nutrients. The 487 difference in these physiological traits between phytoplankton types is based on the Reynolds' 488 C-S-R classification<sup>30</sup>, and combination of these traits within a phytoplankton genus enables 489 490 to determine its ecological niche. The main assumption of the Reynolds' model is that a bloom of a given strategist occurs when the environmental conditions (light, nutrients) match 491 492 its ecological niche. In the present study, the following four strategists are defined. 493 SS-strategists are recurrent nutrient stress tolerant genera in high light environments such as

the cyanobacteria Synechococcus that are constitutive members of the picophytoplankton in 494 the Mediterranean Sea<sup>78</sup>. R-strategists optimally grow in low light environments but they 495 require high nutrients in order to form bloom events. This group incorporates many genera of 496 large diatoms with elongated body shapes such as Chaetoceros, Leptocylindrus, Guinardia, 497 all of which are genera observed during the spring leg of the DeWeX cruise (see Results 498 section). In our study, R-strategists represent large diatoms with fast sinking rates generally 499 observed during spring blooms at mid-latitudes. The last group is that of C-strategists 500 (colonist genera). The physiological and morphological traits common to all genera of this 501 group are small sizes in the nanoplanktonic spectra, flat cylindrical body shapes, and some 502 fast-growing abilities when nutrients and light are abundant. The choice was made to divide 503 504 C-strategists into two categories according to close traits: on the one hand, C1-strategists gather autotrophic flagellates and small-sized cryptophyceae that showed a marked presence 505 during the spring leg of DeWeX cruise<sup>79</sup>, on the other hand, C2-strategist represents 506 Minidiscus diatoms. 507

The two latter strategists, C1 and C2, are considered to be in the same range of size and to 508 have similar traits<sup>80</sup>. In our model, some differences in traits are however assumed and they 509 go beyond just a difference in a reliance or not on Si uptake for the C2- and C1-strategists. In 510 particular, the C2-strategist is also considered more tolerant to light stress and less tolerant to 511 nutrient stress than C1-strategists. These different abilities are based on knowledge of the 512 spring succession of the phytoplankton community acquired by remote sensing<sup>81</sup> and *in situ* 513 observations<sup>82</sup> in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. These studies indicate that the first 514 community to peak is generally diatoms from January to March followed several weeks later 515 by a peak of nano-eukaryotes at the beginning of the stratification period when surface 516 nutrients decrease. This is the usual pattern of the second stage of the bloom dominated by 517 nano-eukaryotes (i.e. autotrophic flagellates, small cryptophyceae) that has typically been 518 observed in various oceanic areas at mid-latitudes<sup>83,84</sup>. As suggested in the study of Marty et 519 al. (2002)<sup>82</sup>, nano-eukaryotes would flourish during the time period for which successive 520 events of stratification and destratification of the water column accompanied with weak 521 injections of nutrients from deep layers occur. These differences in traits involve some 522 emergent properties specific to each strategist such as, for example, their ability to grow 523 differently depending on light and nutrient availability (see net photosynthetic growth rates in 524 Supplementary Table 5). The choices of parameters in the trait-based model are crucial 525 526 because they determine in fine the differences in functional traits between strategists. These

choices have been made on the basis of different sources for usual allometric rules between 527 functional traits and size or biovolume<sup>85,86</sup> as well as different types of experimental data 528 (field, laboratory assays). All the sources of parameters are indicated in the Suppl. Tables 1-4). 529 For example, in the model, the half-saturation constant for nitrogen uptake increases with cell 530 size while the photosynthetic efficiency (given in our model by the product of maximum 531 quantum yield by Chl-specific absorption coefficient) decreases along cell size. In the same 532 way, the choices of values for internal quotas are based on the observations of decreasing 533 stoichiometric molar quotas along increasing cell volumes<sup>23,87</sup> and from the use of the 534 combined dataset of carbon cell contents vs. cell volume<sup>86,88</sup>. 535

However, when a new strategist (nano-diatoms in the present study) is incorporated in a 536 trait-based model, it can often be difficult to allocate an accurate value to each of its 537 physiological parameters owing to insufficient experimental information. In this case, the 538 choices of the latter parameters are rather made on a qualitative idea that the trait of the 539 strategist should be affected by environmental conditions. The difference in mortality rates 540 between C1- and C2-strategists illustrates this point: the C1-strategist is assumed to represent 541 542 a heterogeneous mix of nano-eukaryotes while the C2-strategist is a numerical representation of closely related species of one genus (i.e. Minidiscus). It is hypothesized that the mortality 543 processes would have lower impacts on a heterogeneous community of plankton species 544 545 because if one species collapses under a viral attack, for example, another one will arise, which enables the maintenance of numerous nano-eukaryotes. This mechanism is less likely 546 547 to occur when a more homogeneous group such as Minidiscus is considered.

The type of trait-based model used here has been implemented in the biogeochemical 548 modular numerical platform Eco3M<sup>89,90</sup>. The main characteristics of the model are mentioned 549 hereafter. Each group of strategists is represented through several states variables of C, N, P, 550 551 Si and Chl contents and intracellular ratios can thus be computed at each time point allowing a non-redfieldian behavior of the model. They have the ability to take up dissolved inorganic 552 and organic matter (i.e. mixotrophy) and to exude organic matter in order to adjust their 553 stoichiometric internal requirements. A heterotrophic bacteria compartment is also considered 554 for their ability to recycle organic matter into inorganic nutrients. Compartments of inorganic 555 nutrients such as nitrate, phosphate and silicic acid as well as of organic matter are 556 represented. Grazers and viruses of phytoplankton are not explicitly accounted for in the 557 model but their processes of control are implicitly represented through mortality rates. All the 558 mathematical formulations of processes are provided in details in Campbell et al. (2013)<sup>91</sup>. 559

Two numerical experiments were conducted to test the impact of these processes on the 560 temporal dynamics of the different strategists. In particular, the mortality rate of R-strategists 561 has been thus modulated upward (HCC: High Control Conditions) or downward (LCC: Low 562 Control Conditions, standard simulation). In the HCC condition, the mortality rate 563 corresponds to a disappearance of biomass by 25% d<sup>-1</sup> while this rate is 10% d<sup>-1</sup> in the LCC 564 condition according to the study of Broglio et al. (2004)<sup>92</sup>. Given the short simulation time (40 565 days) variations in water temperature are neglected and no temperature-dependent processes 566 are then considered in the model formulations. This assumption is corroborated by field 567 observations that show temperature variation lower than 1° C over the time of the simulated 568 period<sup>93</sup>. 569

570 Model parameters characterizing each group of strategists and initial conditions in nutrients, living biomasses and organic matter are given in Supplementary Table 1. The 571 standard run is launched from initial conditions in nutrients corresponding to those observed 572 during DeWeX<sup>94</sup> just at the end of the high convective episode (HCNC: High Convective 573 Nutrient Condition) with [H<sub>4</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>]=7.72 µM, [NO<sub>3</sub>]=8.40 µM and [PO<sub>4</sub>]=0.39 µM. Another 574 575 run is launched with a reduced supply of nutrients characterizing reduced convective events (LCNC: Low Convective Nutrient Condition). These concentrations were chosen in the 576 PERSEUS database for a low convective year (1990) and were set at  $[H_4SiO_4]=2.10 \mu M$ , 577 [NO<sub>3</sub>]=2.66 µM and [PO<sub>4</sub>]=0.09 µM. 578

579 All simulations for the present study were performed under a constant irradiance of 300 W  $m^{-2}$  and a 12h/12h day/night cycle corresponding to the beginning of spring at mid-latitudes. 580 581 Time step of the model is 300 seconds. The time of simulation is 40 days (roughly corresponding to the period of bloom during DeWeX) from the end<sup>27</sup>. From this last event, it 582 is assumed that a light vs. nutrient optimal tradeoff exists for the phytoplankton bloom 583 without any further variation of the physical environment (e.g. nutrient supplies, light 584 variations). In this theoretical context, a trait-based model without considering any physical 585 forcing (0D) can be used over the simulation period. Although useful to understand 586 community dynamics, it does limit the model's ability to correctly estimate in situ biomass 587 levels because no loss terms due to physics are included, while considerable turbulent mixing 588 and advection are known to occur in the area. Model parameters and initial conditions of state 589 variables are presented in Supplementary Tables 1 to 5. 590

#### 591 Sediment trap data

A short mooring line (~50 m long) was deployed at 42° 01'N - 4° 48'E (depth of 2400 m). It 592 was equipped with a Technicap PPS-3 sediment trap (collecting area of 0.125 m<sup>2</sup>, aspect ratio 593 of 2.5, and 12 collecting cups) at 30 m above the seabed. The trap samples were collected 594 with sampling interval between 15 and 23 days. Prior to deployment, the sampling bottles 595 were filled with 0.45 µm filtered seawater containing sodium borate-buffered formalin to 596 yield a final concentration of 5% formalin to prevent in situ microbial decomposition. Upon 597 recovery, samples were stored in the dark at 4°C<sup>95</sup>. 1 mL aliquots were filtered onto the center 598 of 0.4 µm polycarbonate filter using a filtering funnel of 6 mm aperture, and carefully rinsed 599 with DIW water, then dehydrated in increasing series of ethanol 30%, 50%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 600 100% during 10 mn for each step. Samples were completely dried overnight, mounted on 601 602 aluminum stubs with double sticky carbon tabs and sputter-coated with gold for 10 min. 603 Samples from each of the three available trap samples were analyzed with a FEI Teneo SEM.

#### 604 Tara Oceans V9-18S rDNA metabarcodes

We used the global metabarcoding data set (EBI accession number PRJEB16766) generated 605 from the biological samples collected from 146 sampling locations during the Tara 606 expedition<sup>12,96,97</sup>. 607 Oceans We extracted ribotypes that were assigned to Minidiscus and Minutocellus from the three depths - surface (SRF), deep chlorophyll 608 609 maximum (DCM) and mesopelagic (MESO)- within the different size class filters (ranging from 0.8 - 2,000 µm, with the smallest fraction being 0.8 to 5 µm). The taxonomic 610 assignations were done using PR2 reference database<sup>98</sup> which has six reference sequences 611 from Minidiscus trioculatus, 2 from Minidiscus sp., and one from an environmental sequence. 612 From the photic zone, for Minidiscus, a total of 908 different V9 rDNA ribotypes (represented 613 by 66,043 reads) were retrieved from the 81 communities representing the smallest size 614 fraction (0.8 to 5 µm), while for Minutocellus we retrieved 776 V9 rDNA ribotypes 615 616 (represented by 35,108 reads) from the 118 communities representing the smallest size fraction (0.8 to 5 µm). From the mesopelagic zone, a total of 49,239 reads for Minidiscus and 617 27,181 reads for Minutocellus were retrieved from 79 size-fractionated samples. Relative 618 abundance was calculated with respect to total diatom reads and to total phytosynthetic reads, 619 which comprised reads assigned to major phytoplanktonic groups<sup>44</sup>, namely Bacillariophyta, 620 Chlorophyceae, Cryptophyta, Dictyochophyceae, Dinophyceae, Haptophyta, 621 Mamiellophyceae, Pelagophyceae and Raphidophyceae. Exponentiated Shannon-Weiner H' 622 diversity index was used as an estimate of diversity at each station. All the analyses were 623

624 conducted using open source R version 3.3.1 (data and R-script available at 625 https://figshare.com/s/6f3190905564f6c6e20c).

#### 626 Data availability statement

The authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are available within thepaper and its supplementary information files.

629

#### 630 **References:**

- 631 1. Margalef, R. Life-forms of phytoplankton as survival alternatives in an unstable
  632 environment. *Oceanol. Acta* 1: 493–509 (1978).
- 633 2. Legendre, L. & Le Fèvre, J. Microbial food webs and the export of biogenic carbon in
  634 oceans. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* 9, 69–77 (1995).
- Guillard, R.R.L. & Kilham, P. *The ecology of marine planktonic diatoms* (Blackwell
  Oxford, pp. 372–483) (1977).
- 4. Leblanc, K. *et al.* A global diatom database abundance, biovolume and biomass in the
  world ocean. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 4, 149–165 (2012).
- 639 5. Clemons, M.J. & Miller, C.B. Blooms of large diatoms in the oceanic, subarctic Pacific.
   640 *Deep Sea Res. I* 31, 85–95 (1984).
- 641 6. Gould Jr., R.W. & Wiesenburg, D.A. Single-species dominance in a subsurface
  642 phytoplankton concentration at a Mediterranean Sea front. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 35,
  643 211–220 (1990).
- 644 7. Boyd, P.W. & Newton, P. Evidence of the potential influence of planktonic community
  645 structure on the interannual variability of particulate organic carbon flux. *Deep Sea Res. I*646 42, 619–639 (1995).
- 647 8. Dale, T., Rey, F. & Heimdal, B. Seasonal development of phytoplankton at a high
  648 latitude oceanic site. *Sarsia* 84, 419–435 (1999).
- 9. Buck, K.R, Chavez, F.P. & Davis, A.S. *Minidiscus trioculatus*, a small diatom with a large presence in the upwelling systems of central California. *Nov. Hedwigia*, *Bei.* 133, 1-6 (2008).
- 10. Daniels, C.J. *et al.* Phytoplankton dynamics in contrasting early stage North Atlantic
  spring blooms: Composition, succession, and potential drivers. *Biogeosciences* 12,
  2395–2409 (2015).

- 11. Séverin, T. *et al.* Open-ocean convection process: A driver of the winter nutrient supply
  and the spring phytoplankton distribution in the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.* 122, 4587–4601 (2017).
- 658 12. Bork, P. *et al.* Tara Oceans studies plankton at planetary scale. *Science*, 348, 873–873
  659 (2015).
- 13. Richardson, T.L. & Jackson, G.A. Small phytoplankton and carbon export from the
  surface ocean. *Science* 315, 838–840 (2007).
- Marshall, J. & Schott, F. Open-ocean convection: Observations, theory, and models. *Rev. Geophys.* 37, 1–64 (1999)
- Houpert, L. *et al.* Observations of open-ocean deep convection in the northwestern
  Mediterranean Sea: Seasonal and interannual variability of mixing and deep water masses
  for the 2007-2013 period. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 121, 8139–8171 (2016).
- 16. D'Ortenzio, F. & Ribeira D'Alcalà, M. On the trophic regimes of the Mediterranean Sea:
  a satellite analysis. *Biogeosciences* 6, 139–148 (2009).
- 17. Mayot, N. *et al.* Influence of the phytoplankton community structure on the spring and
  annual primary production in the North-Western Mediterranean Sea. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 122, doi:10.1002/2016JC012668 (2017).
- Houpert, L. *et al.* Seasonal cycle of the mixed layer, the seasonal thermocline and the
  upper-ocean heat storage rate in the Mediterranean Sea derived from observations. *Prog. Oceanog.* 132, 333–352 (2015).
- Aké-Castillo, J.A. Species of *Minidiscus* (Bacillariophyceae) in the Mexican Pacific
  Ocean. *Cryptogam. Algol.* 22, 101–107 (2001).
- 677 20. Brzezinski, M.A. The Si:C:N ratio of marine diatoms: interspecific variability and the
  678 effect of some environmental variables. *J. Phycol.* 21, 347–357 (1985).
- Waldman, R. *et al.* Modeling the intense 2012–2013 dense water formation event in the
   Northwestern Mediterranean Sea: Evaluation with an ensemble simulation approach. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.* 122, 1297–1324 (2017).
- 682 22. Conley, D.J., Kilham, S.S. & Therior, E.C. Differences in silica content between marine
  683 and freshwater diatoms. *Limnol. and Oceanogr.* 34, 205-2012 (1989).
- Menden-Deuer, S. & Lessard, E.J. Carbon to volume relationships for dinoflagellates,
   diatoms, and other protist plankton. *Limnol. and Oceanogr.* 45, 569-579 (2000).

- 24. Delgado, M., Latasa, M. & Estrada, M. Variability in the size-fractionated distribution of
  the phytoplankton across the Catalan front of the north-west Mediterranean. *J. Plankton Res.* 14, 753–771 (1992).
- 689 25. Percopo, I., Siano, R., Cerino, F., Sarno, D. & Zingone, A. Phytoplankton diversity
  690 during the spring bloom in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. *Bot. Mar.* 54, 243–267
  691 (2011).
- 692 26. Ribeira d'Alcalà, M., Conversano, F., Corato, F., Licandro, P. & Mangoni, O. Seasonal
  693 patterns in plankton communities in a pluriannual time series at a coastal Mediterranean
  694 site (Gulf of Naples): an attempt to discern recurrences and trends. *Sci. Mar.* 68, 65–83
  695 (2004).
- 696 27. Mayot, N. *et al.* Physical and biogeochemical controls of the phytoplankton blooms in
  697 North-Western Mediterranean Sea: A multiplatform approach over a complete annual
  698 cycle (2012–2013 DEWEX experiment). *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 122,
  699 doi:10.1002/2016JC012052 (2017).
- Pasqueron de Fommervault, O., Migon, C., D'Ortenzio, F., Ribera d'Alcalà, M., &
  Coppola, L. Temporal variability of nutrient concentrations in the northwestern
  Mediterranean Sea (DYFAMED time-series station). *Deep Sea Res. I.* 100, 1–12 (2015).
- 29. Le Quéré, C. *et al.* Ecosystem dynamics based on plankton functional types for global
  ocean biogeochemistry models. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 11, 2016–2040 (2005).
- **30.** Reynolds, C.S. *The Ecology Of Phytoplankton. Cambridge University Press* (2006).
- 31. Brun, P. *et al.* Ecological niches of open ocean phytoplankton taxa. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 60, 1020–1038 (2015).
- 32. Scholz, B. *et al.* Zoosporic parasites infecting marine diatoms- A black box that needs to
  be opened. *Fungal Ecol.* 19, 59–76 (2016).
- 33. Verity, P.G. & Villareal, T.A. The Relative Food Value of Diatoms, Dinoflagellates,
  Flagellates, and Cyanobacteria for Tintinnid Ciliates. *Arch. Protistenkd.* 131, 71–84
  (1986).
- 34. Gifford, D.J., Bohrer, R.N. & Boyd, C.M. Spines on diatoms: do copepods care ? *Limnol. Oceanol.* 26, 1057–1061 (1981).
- 35. Donoso, K., Pagano, M., Berline, L., Hunt, B.P.V. & Carlotti, F. Zooplankton community
  response to the winter-spring transition in the NW Mediterranean Sea. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 122, 2319–2338 (2017).

- 36. Hunt, B.P.V. *et al.* Trophic pathways of phytoplankton size classes through the
  zooplankton food web over the spring transition period in the north-west Mediterranean
  Sea, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 122, 6309–6324 (2017).
- 37. Hasle, G.R. Some marine plankton genera of the diatom family Thalassiosiraceae. *Nov. Hedwigia, Bei.* 45, 1-49 (1973).
- 38. Hasle, G.R. The biogeography of some marine planktonic diatoms. *Deep Sea Res.* 23, 319–338 (1976).
- 725 39. Takano, H. New and rare diatoms from Japanese marine waters VI. Three new species in
  726 Thalassiosireceae. *Bull. Tokai Reg. Fish. Res. Lab.* 105, 31–43 (1981).
- 40. Booth, B.C., Lewin, J. & Norris, R.E. Nanoplankton species predominant in the subarctic
  Pacific in May and June 1978. *Deep Sea Res.* 29, 185–200 (1982).
- 41. Chrétiennot-Dinet, M.-J. & Guillocheau, N. Etude de diatomées d'écosystèmes marins
  côtiers. Observations nouvelles en microscopie électronique. *Cah. Biol. Mar.* 28,
  271–279 (1987).
- 42. Gould, R.W. J. & Fryxell, G.A. Phytoplankton species composition and abundance in a
  Gulf Stream warm core ring. I. Changes over a five-month period. *J. Mar. Res.* 46,
  367–398 (1988).
- 43. Brzezinski, M.A. & Nelson, D.M. Seasonal changes in the silicon cycle within a Gulf
  Stream warm-core ring. *Deep Sea Res.* 36, 1009–1030 (1989).
- 44. Lovejoy, C., Legendre, L., Martineau, M.J., Bâcle, J. & Von Quillfeldt, C.H. Distribution
  of phytoplankton and other protists in the North Water. *Deep Sea Res. Part II* 49,
  5027–5047 (2002).
- 45. Komuro, C., Narita, H., Imai, K., Nojiri, Y. & Jordan, R.W. Microplankton assemblages
  at station KNOT in the Subarctic Western Pacific, 1999-2000. *Deep Sea Res. Part II* 52,
  2206–2217 (2005).
- 46. Aizawa, C., Tanimoto, M. & Jordan, R.W. Living diatom assemblages from North
  Pacific and Bering Sea surface waters during summer 1999. *Deep Sea Res. Part II* 52,
  2186–2205 (2005).
- 47. Aké-Castillo, J. & Vasquez, G. Phyoplankton variation and its relation to nutrients and
  allochtonous organic matter in a coastal lagoon on the Golf of Mexico. *Estuar. Coast.*

- 748 Shelf Sci. 78, 705-714 (2008).
- 48. Lomas, M.W. et al. Biogeochemical responses to late-winter storms in the Sargasso Sea.
  IV. Rapid succession of major phytoplankton groups, *Deep Sea Res. Part I* 56, 892–908
  (2009).
- 49. Zingone, A., Sarno, D., Siano, R. & Marino, D. The importance and distinctiveness of
  small-sized phytoplankton in the Magellan Straits. *Polar Biol.* 34, 1269–1284 (2011).
- 50. Almandoz, G.O., Hernando, M.P., Ferreyra, G.A., Schloss, I.R. & Ferrario, M.E.
  Seasonal phytoplankton dynamics in extreme southern South America (Beagle Channel, Argentina). *J. Sea Res.* 66, 47–57 (2011).
- 51. Sabatini, M.E. et al. Spring plankton communities in the southern Patagonian shelf:
  Hydrography, mesozooplankton patterns and trophic relationships. *J. Mar. Syst.* 94,
  33–51 (2012).
- 52. Chang, A.S. et al. Annual record of particle fluxes, geochemistry and diatoms in
  Effingham inlet, British Columbia, Canada, and the impact of the 1999 la niña event. *Mar. Geol.* 337, 20–34 (2013).
- 53. Gao, Y.H., Chen, C.P. & Li, Y. Marine nanoplanktonic diatoms from coastal waters of
  Hong Kong. *Perspect. Mar. Environ. Chang. in Hong Kong & South China*, 93–107
  (2016).
- 766 54. Potapova, M., Desianti, N. & Enache, M. Potential effects of sediment contaminants on
  767 diatom assemblages in coastal lagoons of New Jersey and New York States. *Mar. Pollut.*768 *Bull.* 107, 453–458 (2016).
- 55. Kang, J.S., Kang, S.H., Kim, D. & Kim, D.Y. Planktonic centric diatom *Minidiscus chilensis* dominated sediment trap material in eastern Bransfield Strait, Antarctica. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 255, 93–99 (2003).
- 56. Kaczmarska, I., Lovejoy, C., Potvin, M. & Macgillivary, M. Morphological and
  molecular characteristics of selected species of *Minidiscus* (Bacillariophyta,
  Thalassiosiraceae). *Eur. J. Phycol.* 44, 461–475 (2009).
- 57. Annett, A.L., Carson, D.S., Crosta, X., Clarke, A. & Ganeshram, R.S. Seasonal
  progression of diatom assemblages in surface waters of Ryder Bay, Antarctica. *Polar Biol.* 33(1), 13–29 (2010).

- 58. Savidge, G. *et al.* The BOFS 1990 spring bloom experiment: Temporal evolution and
  spatial variability of the hydrographic field. *Prog. Oceanogr.* 29, 235–281 (1992).
- 59. Savidge, G., Boyd, P., Pomroy, A., Harbour, D. & Joint, I. Phytoplankton production and
  biomass estimates in the northeast Atlantic Ocean, May–June 1990. *Deep Sea Res. I* 42,
  599–617 (1995).
- 60. Hinz, D.J. *et al.* Comparative seasonal biogeography of mineralising nannoplankton in
  the Scotia Sea: *Emiliania huxleyi*, *Fragilariopsis* spp. and *Tetraparma pelagica*. *Deep-Sea Res. II*, 59–60, 57–66 (2012).
- 61. de Vargas, C. *et al.* Eukaryotic plankton diversity in the sunlit ocean. *Science* 348 (6237),
  1261605-1–1261605-11 (2015).
- 62. Malviya, S. *et al.* Insights into global diatom distribution and diversity in the world's
  ocean. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 113(11), E1516–E1525, (2016).
- 790 63. Richter, D.J. et al. Global plankton biogeography is shaped via ocean circulation
  791 dynamics. *Nature* (in prep.).
- 64. Park, J.S. *et al.* Transfer of the small diatoms *Thalassiosira proschkinae* and *T. spinulata*to the genus *Minidiscus* and their taxonomic re-description. *PLoS One* 12, e0181980
  (2017).
- 65. Schmidt C. et al. Recent Invasion of the Symbiont-Bearing Foraminifera *Pararotalia* into
  the Eastern Mediterranean Facilitated by the Ongoing Warming Trend. *PLoS ONE* 10(8):
  e0132917 (2015).
- 66. Alldredge, A.L. & Gotschalk, C. Direct observations of the mass flocculation of diatom
  blooms. *Deep Sea Res. I* 36, 159–171 (1989).
- 67. Agustí, S. *et al.* Ubiquitous healthy diatoms in the deep sea confirm deep carbon injection
  by the biological pump. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 7608 (2015).
- 68. Durrieu de Madron X. *et al.* Deep sediment resuspension and thick nepheloid layer
  generation by open-ocean convection. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 122, 2291–2318 (2017).
- 69. Herth, W. Site of beta-chitin fibril formation in centric diatoms. 2. Chitin-forming
  cytoplasmic structures. *J. Ultrastruct. Res.* 68, 16–27 (1979).
- 70. Hay, M.B., Pienitz, R. & Thomson, R.E. Distribution of diatom surface sediment
  assemblages within Effingham Inlet, a temperate fjord on the west coast of Vancouver
  Island (Canada). *Mar. Micropaleontol.* 48, 291–320 (2003).

- 71. Vidussi, F., Claustre, H., Manca, B.B., Luchetta, A. & Marty, J.-C. Phytoplankton
  pigment distribution in relation to upper thermocline circulation in the eastern
  Mediterranean Sea during winter. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 106, 19939–19956 (2001).
- 812 72. Chisholm, P.W. et al. A novel free-living prochlorophyte abundant in the oceanic
  813 euphotic zone. *Nature* 334, 340–343 (1988).
- 814 73. Quéguiner, B. Iron fertilization and the structure of planktonic communities in high
  815 nutrient regions of the Southern Ocean. *Deep Sea Res. II* 90, 43–54, (2013).
- 74. Assmy, P. *et al.* Thick-shelled, grazer-protected diatoms decouple ocean carbon and
  silicon cycles in the iron-limited Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*110, 20633–20638 (2013).
- 819 **75.** Savidge, G. *et al.* The BOFS 1990 spring bloom experiment: Temporal evolution and
  820 spatial variability of the hydrographic field. *Prog. Oceanogr.* **29**, 235–281 (1992).
- 76. Ragueneau, O. *et al.* A new method for the measurement of biogenic silica in suspended
  matter of coastal waters: using Si:Al ratios to correct for the mineral interference. *Cont. Shelf Res.* 25, 697–710 (2005).
- 77. Aminot, A. & Kérouel, R. Dosage automatique des nutriments dans les eaux marines :
  méthodes en flux continu. *IFREMER, Quae (eds). Méthodes d'Analyse En Milieu Marin*188 p. (2007).
- 78. Mella-Flores, D., *et al.* Is the distribution of *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*ecotypes in the Mediterranean Sea affected by global warming? *Biogeosciences* 8, 2785-2804 (2011).
- 79. Dugenne, M. Dynamique du phytoplancton en mer Méditerranée: Approches par mesures
  à haute fréquence, modélisation et statistiques bayésiennes. PhD Thesis. Aix-Marseille
  Université, 293 pp (2017).
- 833 80. Litchman, E. & Klausmeier CA. Trait-Based Community Ecology of Phytoplankton.
  834 *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 39, 615-639 (2008).
- 835 81. Uitz, J., Stramski, D., Gentili, B., D'Ortenzio, F. & Claustre, H. Estimates of
  836 phytoplankton class-specific and total primary production in the Mediterranean Sea from
  837 satellite ocean color observations. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 26, GB2024 (2012).
- 838 82. Marty, J. & Chiavérini J. Seasonal and interannual variations in phytoplankton
  839 production at DYFAMED time-series station, northwestern Mediterranean Sea. *Deep Sea*840 *Res. II* 49, 2017-2030 (2002).

- 83. Bidigare, R.R. et al. Evidence for phytoplankton succession and chromatic adaptation in
  the Sargasso Sea during spring 1985. *Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser.* 60, 113–122 (1990).
- 843 84. Bustillos-Guzman, J., Claustre, H., Marty, J.C. Specific phytoplankton signatures and
  844 their relationship to hydrographic conditions in the coastal northwestern Mediterranean
  845 Sea. *Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser.* 124, 247–258 (1995).
- 846 85. Terseleer, N., Bruggeman, J., Lancelot, C. & Gypens, N. Trait-based representation of
  847 diatom functional diversity in a plankton functional type model of the eutrophied
  848 southern North Sea. *Limnol. and Oceanogr.* 59, 1958-1972 (2014).
- 849 86. Lichtman, E., Klausmeier, CA., Schofield, OM. & Falkowski, PG. The role of functional
  850 traits and trade-offs in structuring phytoplankton communities: scaling from cellular to
  851 ecosystem level. *Ecol. Letters* 10, 1170-1181 (2007).
- 87. Montagnes, DJS. & Franklin. DJ. Effect of temperature on diatom volume, growth rate,
  and carbon and nitrogen content: Reconsidering some paradigms. *Limnol. and Oceanogr.*46, 2008-2018 (2001).
- 855 88. Mullin, M.,M., Sloan, P.,R., Eppley, R.,W. Relationship between carbon content, cell
  856 volume, and area Inphytoplankton. *Limnol. and Oceanogr.* 11(2), 307-311 (1966).
- 857 89. Baklouti, M., Diaz, F., Pinazo, C., Faure, V., & Quéguiner B. Investigation of
  858 mechanistic formulations depicting phytoplankton dynamics and description of a new
  859 model. *Progr. in Oceanogr.* 71, 1-33 (2006).
- 90. Baklouti, M., Faure, V., Pawlowski, L., & Sciandra A. Investigation and sensitivity
  analysis of a mechanistic phytoplankton model implemented in a new modular numerical
  tool (Eco3M) dedicated to biogeochemical modelling. *Progr. in Oceanogr.* 71, 34-58
  (2006).
- 864 91. Campbell, R. *et al.* Nutrients and plankton spatial distributions induced by a coastal eddy
  865 in the Gulf of Lions. *Progr. in Oceanogr.* 109, 47-69 (2013).
- 866 92. Broglio, E., Saiz, S., Calbet, A., Trepat, I., & Alcaraz, M. Trophic impact and prey
  867 selection by crustacean zooplankton on the microbial communities of an oligotrophic area
  868 (NW Mediterranean Sea). *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* 35, 65-78 (2004).
- 93. Waldman, R. *et al.* Modeling the intense 2012–2013 dense water formation event in the
  Northwestern Mediterranean Sea: Evaluation with an ensemble simulation approach. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 122, 1297–1324 (2017).
- 872 94. Claustre, H. et al. Toward a taxon-specific parameterization of bio-optical models of

- primary production: a case study in the North Atlantic. J. Geophys. Res. 110, C07S12
  (2005).
- 95. Heussner, S., Ratti, C. & Carbonne, J. The PPS3 time-series sediment traps and trap
  sample processing techniques used during the ECOMARGE experiment. *Cont. Shelf Res.*10, 943–958 (1990).
- 96. Pesant, S. *et al.* Open science resources for the discovery and analysis of *Tara* Oceans
  data. *Sci. data* 2, 150023 (2015).
- 97. De Vargas, C. Audic, S. & Tara Oceans Consortium, Coordinators; Tara Oceans
  Expedition, Participants (2017): Total V9 rDNA information organized at the OTU level
  (Database W5). PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.873275.
- 98. Guillou, L. *et al.* The Protist Ribosomal Reference database (PR2): A catalog of
  unicellular eukaryote Small Sub-Unit rRNA sequences with curated taxonomy. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, 597–604 (2013).
- 886
- 887

#### 888 END NOTES

Acknowledgements We thank the captain and crew of the R/V Le Suroît for their assistance 889 during the DeWeX cruises. We acknowledge sponsorship from the MISTRALS-MERMEX, 890 MOOSE, and Tara Oceans projects. Original data used in this work are available through the 891 892 MERMEX-DEWEX (http://mistrals.sedoo.fr/MERMeX/) and MOOSE (Mediterranean Ocean Observing System on Environment; http://mistrals.sedoo.fr/MOOSE/), and Tara Oceans 893 (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/metagenomics/) databases, cruises DeWeX-MERMEX 2013 LEG1 894 (http://doi.org/10.17600/13020010) and LEG2 (http://doi.org/10.17600/13020030) and 895 sediment trap in the convection zone (http://doi.org/10.17882/45980). For SEM imagery, we 896 thank the CINAM for the use of their SEM platform as well as the PICsL Imaging Facility, 897 member of the national infrastructure France-BioImaging supported by the French National 898 Research Agency (ANR-10-INBS-04). We thank Adriana Zingone for help in identifying 899 Minidiscus species. C.B. acknowledges funding from the ERC Advanced Award Diatomite, 900 901 EU FP7 grant MicroB3 (No.287589), the Louis D Foundation, and the French Government 902 Investissements d'Avenir programmes MEMO LIFE (ANR-10-LABX-54), PSL\* Research 903 University (ANR-1253 11-IDEX-0001-02), and OCEANOMICS (ANR-11-BTBR-0008). CB also thanks the Radcliffe Institute of Advanced Study at Harvard University for a scholar 904

fellowship during the 2016-2017 academic year. This article is contribution #XX of Tara Oceans. M.T. and G.G. thank Dominique Lefèvre and Caroline Bachet for setting up the continuous seawater supply for automated multiparametric monitoring during the ship navigation and for help in the autonomous flow cytometer deployment. The project leading to this publication has received funding from European FEDER Fund under project 1166-39417.

910 Author Contributions K.L analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript together with B.Q. The DeWeX program was designed and conducted by P.C and X.D.M. M.R. compiled Fig.1, 911 V.C, O.G. and M.M.R collected and analyzed the DeWeX phytoplankton and BSi samples, 912 M.P.-P. sampled, analyzed and compiled nutrient data, C.B., C.D.V. and S.M designed, 913 sampled and analyzed metabarcodes from diatoms in Tara Oceans data and compiled Fig.7 914 915 and Supplementary Figs. 6-8. X.D.M was in charge of the LIONCEAU program, collected and provided the Gulf of Lions trap samples. G.G. and M.T. implemented the continuous sea 916 water supply and the flow cytometer. M.T. analysed and compiled the data for flow cytometry. 917 F.D. wrote the modelling section, Supplementary Figs. 4-5. And Supplementary Tables 1-5. 918 919 All authors discussed and commented on the manuscript.

#### 920 Competing interests

921

#### 922 The Authors declare no competing interests.

923

#### 924 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Surface chlorophyll and geostrophic circulation during DeWeX in the North 925 926 Western Mediterranean Sea. Images are averages of 8 days composites for both satellite-derived chlorophyll a and geostrophic velocities (in m s<sup>-1</sup>) over time periods 927 corresponding to the DeWeX cruises a. leg 1 (03-21 February 2013) and b. leg 2 (05-24 April 928 2013) with a resolution of 4 km. Black dots correspond to CTD (Conductivity Temperature 929 Depth) casts. The black contour line shows the impoverished area with Chlorophyll *a* (Chl*a*) 930 during leg 1 ( $<0.2 \text{ mg Chl}a \text{ m}^{-3}$ ) and indicates the location of the winter deep convection area, 931 932 which extended until the seafloor (2,400m) in 2013. Station numbers are labelled where discrete phytoplankton samples were collected for diatom cell counts. 933

Figure 2: Biogenic silica distribution during DeWeX and *Minidiscus* distribution and
abundance. Surface distribution of biogenic silica in blue (Ocean Data View interpolation)

- during a. leg 1 (03-21 February 2013) and b. leg 2 (05-24 April 2013) of the DeWeX cruise. 936 A spring bloom of *Minidiscus* (2-5 µm) was reported during leg 2, at 17 out the 32 stations 937 sampled, principally over the deep winter convection area and in an anticyclonic eddy South 938 of the study area. Small yellow circles correspond to abundances comprised between 5,000 939 and 100,000 cells L<sup>-1</sup>, medium red circles to between 100,000 and 1,000,000 cells L<sup>-1</sup> and 940 large purple circles to between 1 and 6 million cells L<sup>-1</sup>. The northeastern area where BSi also 941 accumulates during leg 2 is due to larger microplanktonic sized diatoms such as Guinardia 942 943 delicatula.
- Figure 3: Vertical Si-N nutrient ratio profiles in different regions of the DeWeX cruise. H<sub>4</sub>SiO:NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (mol:mol) ratio profiles in three station clusters (NC: No Convection, LC: Low Convection, HC: High Convection) based on hierarchical clustering of the depth extent of the winter mixing<sup>11</sup>.
- Figure 4: *Minidiscus* spp. in light microscopy. The dominating nano-sized *Minidiscus* centric diatoms (2-5  $\mu$ m diameter) seen in light microscopy at station 74 (5.8 million cells L<sup>-1</sup>) showing the impossibility of identifying it with the latter technique, while it remains possible to count it and compare with parallel SEM identification. The yellow Lugol stain background was removed using the camera's autowhite function. Scale bar is 10  $\mu$ m.
- Figure 5: *Minidiscus comicus* and *Minidiscus trioculatus* in surface water and sediment
  trap samples during spring 2013. a,b. *M. comicus*, c,d. *M. trioculatus* observed in Scanning
  Electron Microscopy (SEM) at station 99, located at 42°N, 5°E on 24<sup>th</sup> April 2013 at the
  surface. e,f. *M. comicus*, g,h. *M. trioculatus* observed in SEM in three deep sediment traps
  samples (2,400 m) at 42°N, 4.5°E covering 30<sup>th</sup> March to 22<sup>nd</sup> May 2013. All scale bars are 1
  µm.
- Figure 6: Biogeographical distribution of *Minidiscus* across ocean basins. Information
  regarding the biogeographical distribution of *Minidiscus* spp. was derived from a literature
  review (from references 19, 37-55).
- Figure 7: Biogeographical distributions of *Minidiscus* from metabarcoding data.
  Biogeographical distributions at the surface (SRF) and Deep Chlorophyll Maximum (DCM)
  depths of genus abundance and diversity of *Minidiscus* as relative abundance of total diatom
  reads (a-c) and as relative abundance of total phytoplankton reads (b-d) in the 0.8 to 5 μm size
  fractions collected during the *Tara* Oceans expedition (2009-2013)<sup>96</sup>. The variation in

967 diversity for each genus is indicated as the exponentiated Shannon Diversity Index (expH) 968 and the color represents the number of unique ribotypes (blue= low richness ; orange= high 969 richness). Bubble symbols are scaled to indicate the relative percent reads of each genus with 970 respect to total diatoms or total photosynthetic reads in the sample.

971

972

## **TABLES**

976         (DEWEX Leg2) number         *Norh         *East (cells L <sup>3</sup> )         introductors (cells L <sup>3</sup> )         total alcatos abundance         contribution to PCC         contribution to BS           977         06/04/13         6         42.05         6.00         15 250         52.1         -         0.2           978         07/04/13         13         42.03         4.80         76 453         81.9         1.2         2.1           979         08/04/13         19         42.02         4.72         557 645         98.6         10.8         9.5           979         08/04/13         22         40.95         4.51         454 725         95.1         3.8         6.0           980         10/04/13         28         41.19         2.711         96.4         0.0         0.5           981         11/04/13         31         41.81         3.62         1025 696         98.4         6.4         14.6           11/04/13         31         41.81         3.62         10.84         6.4         14.6           12/04/13         45         43.63         7.39         0         0         0         0           983         13/04/13         44         43.63 </th <th>975</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Stations</th> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> <th>Minidiscus</th> <th>% <i>Minidiscus</i> contribution to</th> <th>% Minidiscus trioculatus</th> <th colspan="2">iscus % Minidiscus atus trioculatus</th>	975	Date	Stations	Latitude	Longitude	Minidiscus	% <i>Minidiscus</i> contribution to	% Minidiscus trioculatus	iscus % Minidiscus atus trioculatus	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	976	(DEWEX Leg2)	number	°North	°East	(cells L <sup>-1</sup> )	total diatom abundance	contribution to POC	contribution to BSi	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		05/04/13	1	42.91	6.12	0	0	-	0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	977	06/04/13	6	42.05	6.00	15 250	52.1	-	0.2	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		07/04/13	9	42.03	4.80	76 453	81.9	1.2	2.1	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	978	07/04/13	13	42.31	3.51	0	0	-	0	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	070	08/04/13	19	42.02	4.72	557 645	98.6	10.8	9.5	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	979	09/04/13	22	40.95	4.51	454 725	95.1	3.8	6.0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	980	10/04/13	25	40.26	4.19	2 711	96.4	0.0	0.5	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	200	10/04/13	28	41.19	3.89	162 228	84.5	-	5.4	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	981	11/04/13	31	41.81	3.62	1 025 696	98.4	6.4	14.6	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		11/04/13	37	42.00	5.00	268 172	98.8	1.6	3.7	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	982	12/04/13	42	42.88	7.40	0	0	-	0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		13/04/13	45	43.63	7.39	0	0	0	0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	983	13/04/13	48	43.42	7.87	0	0	0	0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	084	14/04/13	51	42.81	8.53	0	0	0	0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	204	15/04/13	55	41.71	8.46	0	0	-	0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	985	16/04/13	61	42.03	6.00	1 300	100	0	0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		17/04/13	67	40.82	7.91	0	0	-	0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	986	18/04/13	71	40.38	7.16	0	0	0	0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		18/04/13	73	40.08	6.37	0	0	0	0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	987	19/04/13	74	41.98	5.02	5 819 040	99.0	18.3	99.4	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	000	19/04/13	78	41.12	5.63	0	0	0	0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	988	21/04/13	81	40.40	6.15	174 368	98.0	1.2	19.6	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	989	22/04/13	83	40.33	6.06	425 316	99.1	3.6	18.9	
990 $22/04/13$ 85 $40.24$ $5.95$ $274234$ $98.2$ $2.3$ $13.6$ $22/04/13$ 87 $40.17$ $5.87$ 0000991 $22/04/13$ 88 $40.56$ $5.96$ $5746$ $98.8$ $0.1$ $4.7$ $22/04/13$ 89 $40.44$ $5.97$ 0000992 $23/04/13$ 91 $40.30$ $5.97$ $33212$ $87.5$ $0.3$ $3.6$ 993 $23/04/13$ 95 $40.22$ $5.33$ 0000994 $24/04/13$ 99 $41.98$ $5.02$ $4307310$ $99.4$ $26.4$ $36$	707	22/04/13	84	40.29	6.02	91 554	84.3	0.6	5.1	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	990	22/04/13	85	40.24	5.95	274 234	98.2	2.3	13.6	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		22/04/13	87	40.17	5.87	0	0	0	0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	991	22/04/13	88	40.56	5.96	5 746	98.8	0.1	4.7	
992       23/04/13       91       40.30       5.97       33 212       87.5       0.3       3.6         993       23/04/13       95       40.22       5.33       0       0       0       0         993       24/04/13       98       40.01       4.42       0       0       0       0         994       24/04/13       99       41.98       5.02       4 307 310       99.4       26.4       36		22/04/13	89	40.44	5.97	0	0	0	0	
993         23/04/13         95         40.22         5.33         0	992	23/04/13	91	40.30	5.97	33 212	87.5	0.3	3.6	
993         24/04/13         98         40.01         4.42         0         0         0         0           994         24/04/13         99         41.98         5.02         4 307 310         99.4         26.4         36	002	23/04/13	95	40.22	5.33	0	0	0	0	
994 24/04/13 99 41.98 5.02 4 307 310 99.4 26.4 36	773	24/04/13	98	40.01	4.42	0	0	0	0	
	994	24/04/13	99	41.98	5.02	4 307 310	99.4	26.4	36	

Table 1 : *Minidiscus spp.* cell counts and relative contribution to abundance and biomass. Contribution to total diatom
 abundance, Particulate Organic Carbon (POC) and Biogenic Silica (BSi) stocks during leg 2 of DeWeX.

//0			
999	Trap sample (42°N, 5°E, 2400 m)	Date of sampling	Minidiscus valves
1000	LIONCEAU 9	31/03/13-15/04/13	abundant
1000	LIONCEAU 10	15/04/13-30/04/13	abundant
1001	LIONCEAU 11	30/04/13-23/05/13	abundant

1002Table 2 : Observations of Minidiscus valves in the LIONCEAU sediment trap samples during DeWeX. The LIONCEAU1003sediment trap was located at 42°N 4.5°E at 2,400 m depth at the center of the DeWeX deep vernal convection area and1004close to where the Minidiscus bloom was most elevated in surface samples. Minidiscus valves were searched in the1005sediment trap samples in SEM and were found to be abundant in all three.















#### c. Deep Chlorophyll Maximum | 0.8-5

#### d. Deep Chlorophyll Maximum | 0.8-5



# Nanoplanktonic diatoms are globally overlooked but play a role in spring blooms and carbon export

Leblanc et al.

Supplementary Information



# SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Supplementary Figure 1: **Total diatom abundance and relative genera contribution during DeWeX leg 1.** Grey bars on the top panel are diatom abundance in cells L<sup>-1</sup> while the lower panel indicates the relative contribution of each genera in %. Station numbers correspond to sampling stations identified in Fig.1a. Note that *Minidiscus* only appears in very low abundance at station 71 at the end of leg 1.



Supplementary Figure 2 : **Total diatom abundance and relative genera contribution during DeWeX leg 2.** Grey bars on the top panel are diatom abundance in cells L<sup>-1</sup> while the lower panel indicates the relative contribution of each genera in %. Station numbers correspond to sampling stations identified in Fig.1b. Note that *Minidiscus* is largely dominant at 17 stations, mostly where diatom abundance is high.



Supplementary Figure 3: **Total nanophytoplankton and microphytoplankton abundances determined by flow cytometry during DEWEX.** Surface distribution of nanophytoplankton during a. leg 1 (03-21 February 2013) and b. leg 2 (05-24 April 2013) of the DEWEX cruise, and of microphytoplankton during c. leg 1 and d. leg 2. Cell abundances in x10<sup>3</sup> cells L<sup>-1</sup> were obtained using an automated flow cytometer installed on a seawater continuous pumping system.



Supplementary Figure 4: **Simulated succession of C-S-R strategists during the DeWeX spring bloom.** Model outputs are based on the Eco3M-platform following the simulation detailed in the Method section. (A) Succession of different plankton strategists during high and low convective nutrient conditions (HCNC and LCNC, respectively) but with low control condition (LCC) on R-strategists. (B) Same groups with high control condition (HCC) on R-strategists. The model simulation closest to DeWeX conditions is displayed in the B panel in HCC and HCNC, and correctly simulates the dominance of C2-strategists over R-strategists and high levels of biomass.



Supplementary Figure 5: **Simulated succession of nutrients during the DeWeX spring bloom.** Model outputs are based on the Eco3M-platform following the simulation detailed in the Method section. (a) and (b) Temporal evolution of nutrients during LCC and HCC, respectively.



Supplementary Figure 6: **Biogeographical distributions of** *Minutocellus* from metabarcoding data. Biogeographical distributions at the surface and DCM (Deep Chlorophyll Maximum) depths of genus abundance and diversity of *Minutocellus* as relative abundance of total diatom reads (a-c) and as relative abundance of total phytoplankton reads (b-d) in the 0.8 to 5  $\mu$ m size fractions collected during the *Tara* Oceans expedition (2009-2013). The variation in diversity for each genus is indicated as the exponentiated Shannon Diversity Index (expH) and the color represents the number of unique ribotypes (blue= low richness; orange= high richness). Bubble symbols are scaled to indicate the relative percent reads of each genus with respect to total diatoms (a-c) or total photosynthetic reads in the samples (b-d).



25 most abundant diatom genera based on pooled photic samples





Supplementary Figure 7: **Relative ranks of** *Minidiscus* and *Minutocellus* in pooled mesopelagic and photic zone samples. *Minutocellus* and *Minidiscus* are the 20<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> most abundant diatom genera in photic zone samples (a) and *Minidiscus* is the 8<sup>th</sup> most abundant diatom genus in mesopelagic samples (b) from the *Tara* Oceans data set.



Supplementary Figure 8: **Relative distributions of the diatom genera** *Minidiscus* and *Minutocellus*. (a) Abundances derived from the 0.8 to 5  $\mu$ m size-fraction from *Tara* Oceans. NAO: North Atlantic Ocean, MS: Mediterranean Sea, RS: Red Sea, SAO: South Atlantic Ocean, IO: Indian Ocean, SO: Southern Ocean, SPO: South Pacific Ocean, NPO: North Pacific Ocean. (b) Relative distribution of *Minidiscus* and *Minutocellus* in 0.8 to 5  $\mu$ m size-fraction surface and Deep Chlorophyll Maximum (DCM) fractions. (c) Relative distribution by size fractions (in  $\mu$ m) at the surface, DCM and in mesopelagic samples (MES) collected at an average of 700 m.

# SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Phytoplankton	Unit	R-strategist	C1-strategist	C2-strategist	SS-strategist	Ref
Max. quantum yield	mmolC J <sup>-1</sup>	2.55E <sup>-4</sup>	1.80E <sup>-4</sup>	2.00E <sup>-4</sup>	1.64E <sup>-4</sup>	1,2,3
Chl-specific absorption coeff.	m <sup>2</sup> mg <sup>-1</sup>	0.015	0.030	0.025	0.050	1,2
Photosystems renewal time	d	2.30E <sup>-8</sup>	5.44E <sup>-8</sup>	2.30E <sup>-8</sup>	8.10E <sup>-8</sup>	4
Photosystems cross-section	$m^2 J^{-1}$	10.9	15.8	13.7	21.0	5,6
PSII damage rate	-	2.6E <sup>-8</sup>	2.6E <sup>-8</sup>	2.6E <sup>-8</sup>	2.6E <sup>-8</sup>	7
Rate of repair of damaged PSII	d-1	2.0E <sup>-9</sup>	2.0E <sup>-9</sup>	2.0E <sup>-9</sup>	2.0E <sup>-9</sup>	7
Min. internal N/C quota	molN molC <sup>-1</sup>	0.050	0.100	0.070	0.115	8,9,10,11
Max. internal N/C quota	moIN moIC <sup>-1</sup>	0.170	0.215	0.180	0.229	9,10,11,12,13
Min. internal P/C quota	molP molC <sup>-1</sup>	0.0031	0.0062	0.0044	0.0071	10,11,14,15
Max. internal P/C quota	molP molC <sup>-1</sup>	0.0100	0.0130	0.0110	0.0143	10,11,14,15
Min. internal Si/C quota	molSi molC <sup>-1</sup>	0.040	-	0.056	-	1,15
Max. internal Si/C quota	molSi molC <sup>-1</sup>	0.136	-	0.144	-	1,15
Max. internal Chl/N quota	molChl molN-1	3.00	2.55	2.70	2.20	16,17,18
Respiration cost for growth	-	0.20	0.30	0.20	0.32	17,19
Half sat. constant for NO3	mmolN m <sup>-3</sup>	3.50	1.50	1.75	0.73	8,15,20,21
Half sat. constant for $NH_4$	mmolN m <sup>-3</sup>	0.18	0.12	0.15	0.07	8,20,21
Half sat. constant for $PO_4$	mmolP m <sup>-3</sup>	0.200	0.055	0.070	0.008	8,15,20,22
Half sat. constant for silicic acid	mmolSi m <sup>-3</sup>	2.75	-	1.20	-	8,15
Constant in the quota function for silicic acid uptake	molSi molC <sup>-1</sup>	0.10	-	0.10	-	23
Shape constant in the quota function for silicic acid uptake	-	10.	-	10.	-	23
Half sat. constant for DON	mmolN m <sup>-3</sup>	2.25	1.50	2.05	0.85	8,21
Half sat. constant for DOP	mmolP m <sup>-3</sup>	0.65	0.155	0.55	0.085	8,22
Resp. cost for NO <sub>3</sub> uptake	moIC moIN <sup>-1</sup>	0.397	0.397	0.397	0.397	19
Resp. cost for NH <sub>4</sub> uptake	moIC moIN <sup>-1</sup>	0.198	0.198	0.198	0.198	20
Resp. cost for PO <sub>4</sub> uptake	moIC moIP <sup>-1</sup>	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.350	21
Resp. cost for silicic acid uptake	molC molSi <sup>-1</sup>	0.140	-	0.140	-	22
Mortality rate	d-1	0.10 , 0.25(HCC)	0.02	0.10	0.05	24,25,26

Supplementary Table 1: Numerical parameters of the trait-based model.

Heterotrophic bacteria	Unit	Value	Ref
Maximum growth rate	d-1	1.20	27
Half-sat. for DOC uptake	mmoIC m <sup>-3</sup>	50	28
Half-sat. for DON uptake	mmolN m <sup>-3</sup>	1.50	27
Half-sat. for DOP uptake	mmolP m <sup>-3</sup>	0.080	29
Half-sat. for NH4 uptake	mmolN m <sup>-3</sup>	0.150	28
Half-sat. for PO4 uptake	mmolP m <sup>-3</sup>	0.020	29
Min. internal N/C quota	moIN moIC <sup>-1</sup>	0.168	30
Max. internal N/C quota	moIN moIC <sup>-1</sup>	0.264	30
Min. internal P/C quota	moIP moIC <sup>-1</sup>	0.0083	30
Max. internal P/C quota	moIP moIC <sup>-1</sup>	0.0278	30
Mortality rate	d-1	0.12	27

Supplementary Table 2 : Numerical parameters of the trait-based model.

Non-living matter	Unit	Value	Ref
C detritus remineralisation rate	d-1	0.006	28
N detritus remineralisation rate	d-1	0.005	28
P detritus remineralisation rate	d-1	0.010	29
Detritus remineralisation rate, Si	d-1	0.0003	24
Nitrification rate	d <sup>-1</sup>	0.050	24

Supplementary Table 3 : Numerical parameters of the trait-based model.

Phytoplankton	Unit	R-strategist	C1-strategist	C2-strategist	SS-strategist
Carbon biomass	mmoIC m-3	0.156	0.200	0.175	0.100
Nitrogen biomass	mmoIN m <sup>-3</sup>	0.024	0.030	0.026	0.015
Phosphorus biomass	mmolP m <sup>-3</sup>	0.0015	0.0019	0.0017	0.0009
Biogenic silica content	mmolSi m <sup>-3</sup>	0.019	-	0.020	-
Chlorophyll biomass	mg m <sup>-3</sup>	0.038	0.048	0.042	0.024
Heterotrophic bacteria					
Carbon biomass	mmoIC m <sup>-3</sup>	30			
Nitrogen biomass	mmolN m <sup>-3</sup>	7			
Phosphorus biomass	mmolP m <sup>-3</sup>	0.66			
Inorganic Nutrients		HCNC	LCNC		
Nitrate	mmolN m <sup>-3</sup>	8.40	2.66		
Ammonium	mmolN m <sup>-3</sup>	0.001	0.004		
Phosphate	mmolP m <sup>-3</sup>	0.39	0.09		
Silicic acid	mmolSi m <sup>-3</sup>	7.72	2.10		
Dissolved organic matter					
Carbon	mmolC m <sup>-3</sup>	7			
Nitrogen	mmolN m <sup>-3</sup>	1.06			
Phosphorus	mmolP m <sup>-3</sup>	0.066			
Particulate organic matter					
Carbon	mmolC m <sup>-3</sup>	0.665E <sup>-3</sup>			
Nitrogen	mmolN m <sup>-3</sup>	0.100E <sup>-3</sup>			
Phosphorus	mmolP m <sup>-3</sup>	0.627E <sup>-5</sup>			
Silicon	mmolSi m <sup>-3</sup>	0.665E <sup>-4</sup>			

Supplementary Table 4: Initial conditions for the state variables of the trait-based model under high and low convective nutrient conditions (HCNC and LCNC, respectively).

Low Control Conditions (LCC)	10 <sup>th</sup> day	15 <sup>th</sup> day	30 <sup>th</sup> day	High Control Conditions (HCC)	10 <sup>th</sup> day	15 <sup>th</sup> day	30 <sup>th</sup> day
HCNC				HCNC			
SS-strategist	0.36	0.19	-0.03	SS-strategist	0.36	0.29	-0.03
C1-strategist	2.28	0.87	0.14	C1-strategist	2.24	1.15	0.16
C2-strategist	2.86	0.15	-0.29	C2-strategist	2.87	0.46	-0.28
R-strategist	3.11	0.33	-0.30	R-strategist	3.01	0.22	-0.78
LCNC				LCNC			
SS-strategist	0.29	0.29	-0.01	SS-strategist	0.30	0.29	-0.01
C1-strategist	2.15	1.50	0.22	C1-strategist	2.12	1.75	0.25
C2-strategist	2.60	0.30	-0.34	C2-strategist	2.67	0.53	-0.34
R-strategist	2.39	0.25	-0.36	R-strategist	2.24	-0.10	-0.89

Supplementary Table 5: **Net photosynthetic rates of modeled strategists during the simulations.** Values of net photosynthetic growth rates (d<sup>-1</sup>) of each strategist at three different times (at noon on the 10th, 15<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> days) of the simulation in Low Control condition (LCC) and High Control condition (HCC), under high and low convective nutrient conditions (HCNC and LCNC respectively). The net growth rates of all strategists are realistic and within the orders of magnitude of the observed datasets<sup>1,15,31</sup>.

### SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES

- Terseleer, N., Bruggeman, J., Lancelot, C. & Gypens, N. Trait-based representation of diatom functional diversity in a plankton functional type model of the eutrophied southern North Sea. *Limnol. and Oceanogr.* 59, 1958-1972 (2014).
- Claustre, H. et al. Toward a taxon-specific parameterization of bio-optical models of primary production: a case study in the North Atlantic. J. Geophys. Res. 110, C07S12 (2005).
- 3. Babin, M. *et al.* Nitrogen- and irradiance-dependent variations of the maximum quantum yield of carbon fixation in eutrophic, mesotrophic and oligotrophic marine systems. *Deep Sea Res. I* **43**, 1241–1272 (1996).
- Laney, S., Letelier, R. & Abbott, M. Parameterizing the natural fluorescence kinetics of *Thalassiosira* weissflogii. Limnol. and Oceanogr. 50, 1499-1510 (2005).
- Gorbunov, M., Kolber, Z. & Falkowski, P. Measuring photosynthetic parameters in individual algal cells by Fast Repetition Rate fluorometry. *Photosynth. Res.* 62, 141-153 (1999).
- 6. Moore, C.M. *et al.* Physical controls on phytoplankton physiology and production at a shelf sea front: a fast repetition rate fluorometer based field study. *Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser.* **259**, 29-45 (2003).
- Oliver, R.L., Whittington, J., Lorenz, Z. & Webster, I.T. The influence of vertical mixing on the photoinhibition of variable chlorophyll a fluorescence and its inclusion in a model of phytoplankton photosynthesis. *J. Plankton Res.* 25, 1107-1129 (2003).
- Lichtman, E., Klausmeier, CA., Schofield, OM. & Falkowski, PG. The role of functional traits and trade-offs in structuring phytoplankton communities: scaling from cellular to ecosystem level. *Ecol. Letters* 10, 1170-1181 (2007).
- Heldal, M., Scanlan, D.J., Norland, S., Thingstad, F. & Mann, N.H. Elemental composition of single cells of various strains of marine *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* using X-ray microanalysis. *Limnol. and Oceanogr.* 48, 1732-1743 (2003).
- Riegman, R., Stolte, W., Noordeloos, A. & Slezak, D. Nutrient uptake and alkaline phosphatase (EC 3:1:3:1) activity of *Emiliania huxleyi* (Prymnesiophyceae) during growth under N and P limitation in continuous cultures. *J. Phycol.* 36(1), 87-96 (2000).
- Geider, R.J., Macintyre, H.L., Graziano, L.M. & McKay, R.M.L. Responses of the photosynthetic apparatus of *Dunaliella tertiolecta* (Chlorophyceae) to nitrogen and phosphorus limitation. *Eur. J. Phycol.* 33, 315-332 (1998).
- Menden-Deuer, S. & Lessard, E.J. Carbon to volume relationships for dinoflagellates, diatoms, and other protist plankton. *Limnol. and Oceanogr.* 45, 569-579 (2000).
- 13. Mullin, M.,M., Sloan, P.,R. & Eppley, R.,W. Relationship between carbon content, cell volume, and area Inphytoplankton. *Limnol. and Oceanogr.* **11(2)**, 307-311 (1966).
- Bertilsson, S., Berglund, O., Karl, D. & Chisholm, S. Elemental composition of marine *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*: implications for the ecological stoichiometry of the sea. *Limnol. and Oceanogr.* 48, 1721-1731 (2003).
- Sarthou, G., Timmermans, K., Blain, S. & Tréguer, P. Growth physiology and fate of diatoms in the ocean: a review. J. Sea Res. 53, 25-42 (2005).
- Geider, R.J., MacIntyre, H.L. & Kana, T.M. Dynamic model of phytoplankton growth and acclimation: Responses of the balanced growth rate and the chlorophyll a: carbon ratio to light, nutrient-limitation and temperature. *Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser.* 143, 187-200 (1997).

- Nielsen, M., 1997. Growth, dark respiration and photosynthetic parameters of the coccolithophorid *Emiliania huxleyi* Prymnesiophyceae) acclimated to different day length-irradiance combinations. *J. Phycol.* 33, 818-822.
- Moore, J.K., Doney, S.C &, Lindsay, K. Upper ocean ecosystem dynamics and iron cycling in a global three-dimensional model. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 18, GB4028 (2004).
- 19. Thornley, J. & Cannell, M. Modelling the components of plant respiration: representation and realism. *Annals of Botany* **85**, 55-67 (2000).
- Harrison, W., Harris, L. & Irwin, B. The kinetics of nitrogen utilization in the oceanic mixed layer: nitrate and ammonium interactions at nanomolar concentrations. *Limnol. and Oceanogr.* 41(1), 16-32 (1996).
- 21. Tyrrell, T. & Taylor, A.A modelling study of *Emiliania huxleyi* in the NE atlantic. *J. Mar. Sys.* 9, 83-112 (1996).
- 22. Timmermans, K., der Wagt, B.V., Veldhuis, M., Maatman, A. & de Baar, H. Physiological responses of three species of marine pico-phytoplankton to ammonium, phosphate, iron and light limitation. *J. Sea Res.* 53, 109-120 (2005).
- Davidson, K. & Gurney, W. An investigation of non-steady-state algal growth. II. Mathematical modelling of co-nutrient-limited algal growth. J. Plankt. Res. 21, 839-858 (1999).
- 24. Fasham, M., Flynn, K., Pondaven, P., Anderson, T. & Boyd, P. Development of a robust marine ecosystem model to predict the role of iron in biogeochemical cycles: A comparison of results for iron-replete and iron-limited areas, and the SOIREE iron-enrichment experiment. *Deep Sea Res. Part I* **53**, 333–366 (2006).
- 25. Calbet, A. *et al.* Impact of micro-grazers and nano-grazers on phytoplankton assessed by standard and size-fractionated dilution grazing experiments. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* **50**, 145-156 (2008).
- Broglio, E., Saiz, S., Calbet, A., Trepat, I., & Alcaraz, M. Trophic impact and prey selection by crustacean zooplankton on the microbial communities of an oligotrophic area (NW Mediterranean Sea). *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* 35, 65-78 (2004).
- Lacroix, G. & Grégoire, M. Revisited ecosystem model (MODECOGeL) of the Ligurian Sea: seasonal and interannual variability due to atmospheric forcing. J. Mar. Sys. 37, 229-258 (2002).
- 28. Anderson, T.R. & Pondaven, P. Non-Redfield carbon and nitrogen cycling in the Sargasso Sea: pelagic imbalances and export flux. *Deep Sea Res. Part I* **50**, 573-591 (2003).
- 29. Thingstad, T., Skjoldal, E. & Bohne, R. Phosphorus cycling and algal-bacterial competition in Sandsfjord, western Norway. *Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser.* 99, 239-259 (1993).
- Vrede, K., Heldal, M., Norland, S. & Bratbak, G. Elemental composition (C,N,P) and cell volume of exponentially growing and nutrient-limited bacterioplankton. *App. Environ. Microbiol.* 68, 2965-2971 (2002).
- 31. Ward, B., Dutkiewicz, S., Jahn, O. & Follows, M.J. A size-structured food-web model for the global ocean. *Limnol. and Oceanogr.* **57(6)**, 1877-1891 (2012).