

Versatile coordination chemistry of a bis(methyliminophosphoranyl)pyridine ligand on copper centres

Thibault Cheisson, Audrey Auffrant

▶ To cite this version:

Thibault Cheisson, Audrey Auffrant. Versatile coordination chemistry of a bis(methyliminophosphoranyl)pyridine ligand on copper centres. Dalton Transactions, 2014, 43 (35), pp.13399-13409. 10.1039/C4DT01794C . hal-02003800

HAL Id: hal-02003800 https://hal.science/hal-02003800

Submitted on 6 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Versatile Coordination Chemistry of a Bis(methyliminophosphoranyl)pyridine Ligand on Copper Centres

Thibault Cheisson^a and Audrey Auffrant^a*

The coordination of a bis(methyliminophosphoranyl)pyridine ligand (L) to copper centres was studied. The use of copper(I) bromide precursors gave access to [LCuBr] (2) in which only one iminophosphorane arm is coordinated to the metal, as seen by X-ray crystallography and MAS ³¹P NMR. Its fluxional behaviour in solution was demonstrated by VT-³¹P NMR, and investigated by DFT calculations. On the other hand, coordination of L to [Cu(CH₃CN)₄]PF₆ gave a dimer [L₂Cu₂](PF₆)₂ (3) in which the two copper centres do not have the same coordination sphere as shown by X-ray crystallography. Addition of a strong ligand such as PEt₃ allows the preparation of a cationic monomeric copper complex (4) in which L has a behaviour similar to what observed for 2. Synthesis of copper(II) complexes was also achieved by chemical oxidation of L to CuBr₂. In [LCuBr₂] (5), L adopts a pincer coordination. Finally, the catalytic behaviour of copper(I) complexes 2 and 3 were investigated in cyclopropanation reactions and [3+2] cycloadditions.

Introduction

Over the past 3 decades, pincer ligands^{1,2} have gained an important role in coordination chemistry with applications as sensors,^{1,3} switches,⁴ and catalysts.⁵ This is related to their modularity allowing a fine tuning of both their steric and electronic properties.⁶ Various neutral pincer ligands incorporating three nitrogen donor functions with a central pyridine have been reported, among which the well-known terpyridine⁷ and bis(iminopyridine)⁸ derivatives. Examples of such pincers incorporating iminophosphoranes have been also described (Figure 1). Bochmann and coworkers used bis(iminophosphoranyl)pyridine (A, Figure 1) complexes for ethylene polymerisation.9 More recently, Wang studied the coordination chemistry of ligand **B** (Figure 1) and the catalytic ability of some of its complexes for *ɛ*-caprolactone polymerisation.¹⁰ Stephan and coworkers have explored the coordination of ligand C (Figure 1) to palladium,¹¹ and have shown that the coordination by the nitrogen of the iminophosphorane functions allows a shielding of the metal by the exocyclic phosphorus substituents. We were therefore interested in studying the coodination behaviour of ligand L, which combines the protective ability of the peripheral triphenylphosphine groups, the electron donating capacity of iminophosphoranes, which act as strong σ and π donors with

poor accepting properties, and a central pyridine. We thought that this core would balance the donation from iminophosphoranes thanks to its accepting capacity. L was described only recently¹² but its bidentate version (**D**, Figure 1) has been employed for more than 10 years.^{13,14} As Cadierno and coworkers recently highlighted the importance of the hemilability¹⁵ of iminophosphorane ligands in their catalytic applications,¹⁶ we were interested in studying such behaviour with L (Figure 1), which should present a certain degree of flexibility thanks to the methylene linkers. Therefore we chose to investigate its coordination to copper centres. Indeed, coordination of iminophosphorane ligands to this metal was not much investigated and often restricted to anionic ligands.¹⁷ Actually, due to their electronic properties these ligands are more suitable to stabilise electron-deficient metals.18

The synthesis and characterisation of bis(methyliminophosphoranyl)pyridine copper(I) and copper(II) complexes are reported. The hemilability of \mathbf{L} on Cu^{I} centres was studied using different techniques among which solution and solid state NMR studies, X-ray analysis, and DFT calculations. Some of the synthesised complexes were also used as catalyst for two reactions: the alkene cyclopropanation and the [3+2] cycloaddition of an organic azide on alkyne.

Figure 1 Iminophosphorane-pyridine or -phenyl ligands



Results and Discussion

The pincer ligand L was easily prepared by а bromination/azidation sequence 2.6 starting from pyridinedimethanol yielding the bis-azide 1, which was then reacted with triphenylphosphine (Scheme 1).12 The ligand was isolated by precipitation in Et2O in 78% overall yield, as no chromatographic purification is required, the synthesis is easily scalable to multigrams (up to 10 g). For the key step (formation of the N=P bond), we chose to rely on a Staudinger reaction rather than a Kirsanov reaction^{11,19} because of the availability of the starting diol and the stability of 1. Bis(methyliminophosphoranyl)pyridine L was characterised by multinuclear NMR and elemental analysis.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of the ligand L



It exhibits a singlet at 9.9 ppm in ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR in CD₂Cl₂ and a doublet (${}^{3}J_{P,H}=16.0$ Hz) for the benzylic protons at 4.30 ppm in ${}^{1}H{}$ NMR in agreement with literature data. 12,13 As most iminophosphoranes, **L** is sensitive to moisture and decompose in contact with air to the corresponding amine and triphenylphosphine oxide within minutes (in solution), hours (in the solid state).

Synthesis of copper(I) complexes.

Bis(methyliminophosphoranyl)pyridine ligand **L** was first reacted with various copper(I) bromide precursors (CuBr, [CuBr(SEt₂)] and [CuBr(PPh₃)₃]). In all cases, complex [**L**CuBr] (**2**) was formed as the sole product (Scheme 2), this requires few hours using [CuBr(SEt₂)] or [CuBr(PPh₃)₃] and overnight heating employing the poorly soluble polymeric CuBr. Interestingly, **L** was able to displace three triphenylphosphine. **2** is characterised in THF by a large singlet at 16.5 ppm in ³¹P{¹H} NMR and a doublet at 4.24 ppm (³*J*_{*P*,*H*} = 11.5 Hz) in ¹H NMR for the benzylic protons indicating a symmetric species in solution.





However X-ray analysis performed on single crystals of **2**, obtained by slow diffusion of benzene into concentrated THF solution, evidenced a non-symmetric structure (Figure 2).

Figure 2. ORTEP of the solid-state structure of 2 with 50% probability thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogens and one benzene solvent molecule were omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles (deg): N1-Cu1 2.002(3), N3-Cu1 2.050(3), P1-N1 1.588(3), P2-N2 1.565(3), Cu1-Br1 2.2546(6); N1-Cu1-N3 82.7(1), N1-Cu1-Br1 141.17(8), N3-Cu1-Br1 135.37(8).

The copper center is only coordinated by the pyridine ring and one of the iminophosphorane groups, with the second one totally turned back without any interaction with the copper or other molecules in the packing. Therefore, the complex exhibits a



trigonal geometry around the copper with a constrained metallocycle (N1-Cu1-N3 : $82.7(1)^\circ$). The metal is almost coplanar with the pyridine ring (0.25 Å out of the plane), whereas the bromine and the coordinated nitrogen are slightly out of this plane with the N1-P1 bond almost coplanar to the Cu1-Br1 bond (8.7 ° of deviation). The two P-N bonds are not



Figure 3. VT ³¹P{¹H} NMR of 2 in THF (Left), MAS ³¹P NMR spectrum of powdered 2 (Right)



Figure 4. Energetic profile of the computed exchange.

similar, indeed P1-N1 is longer than the non coordinated P2-N2 (1.588(3) vs 1.565(3) Å).

In order to establish if this unsymmetrical structure is due to the crystallisation process or to insidious packing inside the crystal, we recorded a solid-state MAS ³¹P NMR spectrum of a precipitated powder of 2 (Figure 3, right), which shows two

independents peaks at 8.0 ppm and 22.7 ppm. These are in agreement with the solid-state structure observed by X-ray diffraction; the non-coordinated iminophosphorane appears at 8.0 ppm ($\delta_p = 5.9$ ppm in THF for L) and the coordinated one resonates at 22.7 ppm (vide infra). To get further insight into the behaviour of 2 in solution, we performed variable temperature ³¹P{¹H} NMR experiments in THF. The characteristic singlet of **2** starts to broaden around -70°C and splits to two broad singlets at 24.4 and 9.5 ppm at -95°C (Figure 3, left). The exchange is too rapid to be completely blocked at this temperature, nevertheless, the coalescence was estimated around -90 \pm 2°C. An Eyring plot (see Supplementary Information) allows to evaluate the activation barrier of the process at 7.3(1) kcal.mol⁻¹.

This exchange process was also investigated by DFT calculations, both associative and dissociative mechanisms were computed (see Figure 4). Starting from I (the optimised structure of 2), the dissociative pathway proceeds by the decoordination of the iminophosphorane leading to the linear intermediate II located at 9.7 kcal.mol⁻¹ above **I**. This value is slightly over the experimental one, especially if a solvatation continuum is used (11.6 kcal.mol⁻¹, standard PCM in THF). The second pathway proceeds through a concerted associative mechanism, a first minimum (III), in which the second iminophosphorane remains non-coordinated but has flipped on the copper side was located by performing a relaxed scan on the nitrogen-copper distance. Despite the flatness of the energetic profile near this point, a transition state labelled TS IV (Figure 4) was located at 7.8 kcal.mol⁻¹ above **I**. The latter value, in good agreement with the experimental one, validates the hypothesis of a concerted associative pathway.

This behaviour markedly differs from the hemilability of the pyridine moiety on copper(I) observed by van der Vlugt *et coll.* using phosphine analogues of **L** (i.e. $R_2PCH_2(C_5H_3N)CH_2PR_2$, R=Ph, 'Bu). Moreover, upon halide abstraction they reported the isolation of a cationic T-shaped Cu¹ complex.²⁰ When abstracting the bromine from **2**, a yellow solid poorly soluble in THF is obtained. Its ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum evidences a sharp singlet at 28.8 ppm, which is in the range of copper coordinated iminophosphorane, and a heptuplet at -144.1 ppm for the PF₆ counter-anion. The same complex **3** was synthesised by reacting **L** with a stoichiometric amount of [Cu(CH₃CN)₄]PF₆ in THF (scheme 3).



Scheme 3. Synthesis of [L₂Cu₂].

The X-ray analysis of 3 (crystals were obtained by gas diffusion of pentane into an acetonitrile solution) did not show the expected T-shaped complex but the dimeric species depicted in Figure 5.

The coordination sphere of the two copper atoms are quite different; Cu1 is coordinated by two iminophosphorane groups in a nearly linear mode (N2-Cu1-N4: 173.7(1)°), whereas Cu2 exhibits a distorted tetrahedral geometry, with the coordination of the two pyridine rings and two iminophosphoranes. Such

divergent geometries for two coppers were also observed by Piguet et $al.^{21}$ Interestingly the N_{N=P}-Cu bond lengths are rather different with the N-Cu1 bonds (N1-Cu1: 1.881(2) Å, N4-Cu1: 1.864(2) Å) being shorter than the N-Cu2 (N2-Cu2: 2.136(2) Å, N5-Cu2: 2.131(2) Å). Moreover a small d¹⁰-d¹⁰ interaction between the two copper atoms may exist (Cu1-Cu2: 2.8863(3)Å),²² based on DFT calculations (see supplementary information).



Figure 5. ORTEP plot (50 % of probability) of 3, hydrogens and two PF₆⁻ counteranions have been omitted for clarity, phenyl substituent on P have been restricted to their C_{pso} for the same reason. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles (deg): Cu1-Cu2 2.8863(3), N1-Cu1 1.881(2), N4-Cu1 1.867(2), N2-Cu2 2.136(2), N5-Cu2 2.131(2), N3-Cu2 2.012(2), N6-Cu2 2.011(2), P1-N1 1.587(2), P2-N2 1.582(2), N4-P3 1.597(2), N5-P4 1.594(2); N1-Cu1-N4 174.09(7), N5-Cu2-N6 83.41(6), N2-Cu2-N3 82.58(6), N2-Cu2-N5 129.23(6).

In order to determine if **3** remains dimeric in solution, ¹H DOSY experiments were performed on **2** and **3**. Their hydrodynamic radii were evaluated at 6.6 Å and 7.6 Å respectively. Approximating these complexes as sphere gave volume values comparable with those obtained by X-ray crystallography. This seems to indicate that the dimeric structure of **3** is maintained in solution nevertheless DFT calculations were conducted in order to evaluate the stability of this structure in presence of coordinating ligand. Enthalpy and Gibbs energy of the coordination reaction are reported in Figure 6. As all the structures involved are cationic and the solvent used are polar (THF, acetonitrile), solvatation effects were modelled with polarisable continuum model (PCM).

These calculations show that the existence of the T-shaped complex (**VIII**) is unlikely, since the coordination of an acetonitrile ligand giving **VII** is favoured. Importantly, formation of tetracoordinated (or tricoordinated, not depicted) cationic monomer with acetonitrile (**VII**) or an electron rich benzonitrile such as 1,3,5-trimethoxy-benzonitrile²³ (**VI**) is disfavoured compared to the dimer **V** by 18 and 10 kcal.mol⁻¹ respectively. This is in agreement with the results obtained from the ¹H DOSY NMR experiment. Experimentally, when mixing **3** and 1,3,5-trimethoxy-benzonitrile no significant change was observed in ³¹P{¹H} NMR. Moreover, X-ray analysis of single crystals obtained by gas diffusion of pentane evidenced the presence of **3**.



Figure 6. Enthalpy and Gibbs energy of the coordination of various ligands on ${\bf V}.$

From these thermodynamic calculations, triethylphosphine should be able to react with **V** to give **IX** located 4 kcal.mol⁻¹ below the dimer **V**. Indeed when a suspension of **3** in THF is treated with an excess of PEt₃, the mixture turned rapidly clear to yield complex **4**, which was characterised by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy and X-ray analysis. Therefore, the formation of a monomeric complex requires a strong ancillary ligand (Br, PEt₃).



Noteworthy we used an excess of PEt₃ for practical reasons but never observed the decoordination of **L**. In the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum both iminophosphorane moieties are equivalent appearing as a singlet at 21.6 ppm and the coordinated phosphine gave a singlet at -3.6 ppm. Therefore, room temperature ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR data seem to indicate a symmetric species in solution, however no ³*J*_{P,P} is observed on



Figure 7. ORTEP plot (50 % of probability) of 4, hydrogens and a PF6- counteranion have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles (deg): N1-Cu1 1.990(2), N3-Cu1 2.066(2), P1-N1 1.591(2), P2-N2 1.580(2), Cu1-P3 2.174(1); N1-Cu1-N3 83.1(1), N1-Cu1-P3 138.66(7).

the ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ spectrum suggesting a dynamic behaviour as observed for **2**. VT- ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR spectroscopy (see Supplementary Information) allows to estimate the activation barrier at 8.8(1) kcal.mol⁻¹. Therefore, the hemilabile behaviour of **L** is maintained on a cationic copper(I) fragment. Noteworthy, X-ray analysis of single crystals formed by slow diffusion of pentane into its solution in THF gave a structure analogous to **2** (Figure 7). The copper presents a trigonal geometry, the metalnitrogen bond lengths and angles are comparable with those measured in **2**.

As pincer coordination of bis(methyliminophosphoranyl) pyridine should be favoured on a copper(II) centre, we synthesised [LCu^{II}] complexes either by a chemical oxidation of **2** or a direct reaction of **L** with CuBr₂ (vide infra).

The cyclic voltammogram of **2** exhibits one irreversible oxidation wave at -0.36 V and one irreversible reduction wave at -0.93 V *vs.* $E^{1/2}(Fc^+/Fc)$ (see Supplementary Information). We attributed the observed irreversibility to the rapid modification of the coordination mode of the ligand when changing the oxidation state of the copper atom. After oxidation, the second iminophosphorane coordinates to the metal and this pincer complex is then reduced inducing the rapid decoordination of one iminophosphorane group from the copper(I) centre. As anticipated the oxidation is slightly easier but the reduction more difficult compared to that of (2-pyridylmethyl)imine copper(I) complexes.²⁴ In summary, both oxidation and reduction are irreversible but the sequence redox change/reorganisation can be repeated without loss of intensity.

Synthesis of copper(II) complexes.

Prior to perform a chemical oxidation of **2**, we coordinated **L** to CuBr₂. The reaction was conducted in THF, giving immediately a green solution. The green solid obtained after workup exhibits a singlet at 42.7 ppm in CD₂Cl₂ in ³¹P{¹H} NMR but its ¹H NMR spectrum reveals broad and slightly shifted peaks suggesting a paramagnetic complex. This was further confirmed by the measurement of the magnetic moment of **5** by the Evans' method²⁵ (μ_{eff} = 1.6(1) μ_B), in agreement with a S = 1/2 complex. Crystals of **5** were obtained by slow evaporation of its THF solution, the structure is presented in Figure 8. The copper is pentacoordinated and exhibits a nearly planar-square geometry (RMS deviation of 0.183 Å out of planarity) with a second

bromine in apical position, which is subjected to a Jahn-Teller distortion (Cu1-Br1 2.3599(6) Å *vs* Cu1-Br2 2.9871(6) Å).



Figure 8. ORTEP plot (50 % of probability) of 5, hydrogens have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles (deg): N1-Cu1 2.041(3), N2-Cu1 2.071(3), N3-Cu1 1.955(3), P1-N1 1.597(3), P2-N2 1.608(3), Cu1-Br1 2.3599(6), Cu1-Br2 2.9871(6); N1-Cu1-N2 151.0(1), N3-Cu1-N1 79.6(1), N2-Cu1-N2 80.1(1), N3-Cu1-Br1 178.3(1), N3-Cu1-Br2 81.0 (1).

The two iminophosphorane bonds are similar (N1-P1 1.597(3), P2-N2 1.608(3)) and comparable with that observed in 2 for the coordinated iminophosphorane but significantly longer than the non-coordinated one in the same complex (1.565(3) in 2). Oxidation of copper(I) complex 2 was then realised with various oxidants (NOBF₄, FcPF₆, [Ce(NO₃)₆](NH₄)₂ (CAN), Scheme 5). In all cases, a rapid change to a green solution was observed, the product formed is characterised by a sharp singlet around 40 ppm by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy. From such crude mixture obtained with CAN as oxidant, single crystals were obtained. Their X-ray analysis evidenced а bimetallic $[LCu^{II}(CH_3CN)][Ce^{III}(NO_3)_5]$ complex 6 (see Supplementary Information). The copper(II) complexes formed by oxidation were identified by addition of KBr to give the independently synthesised complex 5 as ascertained by NMR spectroscopy and X-ray crystallography.



Scheme 5. Synthesis of copper(II) complexes by salt metathesis or chemical oxidation.

Thus, as expected no hemilability occurs on more electrondeficient copper(II) centre, in agreement with a stronger interaction. Expecting that the versatile coordination of L may generate under-coordinated copper(I) species, complexes 2 and 3 were tested as catalysts in two well-known copper catalysed reactions (*i.e.* cyclopropanations and [3+2] cycloadditions).

Catalytic tests

Table 1: Cyclopropanation reactions

As a test reaction we have examined the cyclopropanation²⁶ of styrene with EDA (ethyldiazoacetate) in presence of 1% catalyst (Table 1). For comparable reactions, Reetz and coworkers have reported good conversion with a promising enantiomeric excess using a chiral iminophosphorane catalyst, suggesting that the donor iminophosphorane ligand remains bound to the metal during the catalysis.²⁷ This prompted us to evaluate complexes 2 and 3 in such reaction with the idea that they should be able to stabilise the copper carbene complex proposed as intermediate in these cyclopropanation reactions.²⁸ First catalytic reactions with non optimised conditions showed that complex 2 mainly catalyses the dimerisation of the diazo compound, while complex 3 is more efficient for cyclopropanation. However, in presence of silver triflate, complex 2 becomes more selective. With a slower addition of EDA, we were able to obtain 85% of cyclopropane with 3 and above 90% with 2 after removal of the bromide.

N // N. ⊕	⊖ ^{CO} 2 ^{Et}		[Cu ^l] 1 mol %	A + EtO ₂ C ^M M ² CC B	CO ₂ Et D ₂ Et		
	Catalyst	Additive	Yield of A ^b (%)	Yield of B ^b (%)			
	3	none	85	15			
	2	AgOTf	93 (84)	7			
	2	AgNTf ₂	91	9			
mol%, ^b GC yield (isolated yield) with respect to EDA.							

Even if most cyclopropanation methodologies reported focused on the stereoselectivity of this process it is interesting to note that lower chemical yield of cyclopropane was obtained with copper complexes featuring diamine ligands.²⁹ Contrary to our expectation, that the hemilability of the iminophosphorane arm could disfavour the dimerisation of the diazo compound, the addition of a poorly coordinating anion remains essential to control this side reaction. Therefore we decided not to further explore this process and turned our attention to [3+2] cycloadditions, an another emblematic catalytic reaction with copper(I) catalysts.³⁰ We first examined the cycloaddition between phenylacetylene and 4-methoxybenzylazide. With 1% of both catalysts and without solvent, the reaction was very rapid and exothermic inducing a brutal solidification of the medium (Table 1, entry 1). Decreasing the amount of catalyst to 0.1% still allows a rapid reaction with a slower solidification of the medium. Full conversion is observed in this case within few minutes, the triazole was isolated in excellent yield (entries 2-3). Both catalysts allow also a rapid reaction in dichloromethane (entries 4-5). In toluene, **2** proved more efficient than **3** which is probably explained by its better solubility (entries 5-6). As both catalysts display comparable activity, further experiments were conducted with 2. When carrying out this reaction in a biphasic toluene/water solvent mixture in air, we were pleased to observe that the catalyst remains active. The yield is lower compared to the anhydrous conditions, probably due to partial decomposition. Moreover, when reacting sterically hindered tert-butylacetylene with 4-methoxybenzylazide in presence of 0.5 % of 2, the triazole was isolated in 98 % yield after 2h. These results are comparable to those described with bulky NHC-Cu(I) complexes,³¹ however 3-hexyne does not react in presence of **2**. Therefore the cycloaddition proceeds efficiently at a low catalyst loading even with a less reactive alkyne, these performances outstrip those of [CuBr(PPh₃)₃]³² or of other iminophosphorane systems.33

Table 2: [3+2] cycloaddition								
	+							
	Catalyst	Conditions	Time	Yield ^a				
	2 or 3	1 mol.%, N ₂ , neat	<1 min.	n.d. ^b				
	2	0.1 mol.%, N ₂ , neat	3 min.	94%				
	3	0.1 mol.%, N ₂ , neat	5 min.	98%				
	2	$0.1 \text{ mol.\%}, N_{2,}$ dichloromethane	20 min.	96 %				
	3	$0.1 \text{ mol.\%}, N_{2,}$ dichloromethane	30 min	98 %				
	2	0.1 mol.%, N _{2,} toluene	45 min.	96 %				
	3	0.1 mol.%, N _{2,} toluene	2 h	95 %				
	2	0.1 mol.%, air, toluene/water	4 h	77 %				

^a Isolated yield, ^b Not determined due to the very rapid solidification of the mixture.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we evidenced the versatile coordination of bis(methyliminophosphoranyl)pyridine ligand L to copper centres depending on both the oxidation state of the Cu and the reaction conditions. Generally only one iminophosphorane bound to the metal in copper(I) complexes, and these monomeric complexes (2 and 4) exhibit a fluxional behaviour in solution, which was studied by variable temperature NMR and/or DFT calculations. When no strong coordinating ligand is available a dimeric complex 3 is formed whose structure is preserved in solution. The oxidation of [LCuBr] is irreversible and induce a change in the coordination mode of L. Indeed in copper(II) complex 5, which has been synthesised by chemical oxidation of 2 or from CuBr₂, L acts as a pincer. Therefore the hemilability of the iminophosphorane moieties can be redox driven. In order to evaluate their catalytic ability copper(I) complexes 2 and 3 were employed for cyclopropanation and [3+2] cycloaddition of organic azides on alkynes. Current efforts focus on exploring this switchable redox-induced hemilability with other late transition metals.

Experimental part

Synthesis

All reactions were conducted under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen, or argon, using standard Schlenk and glovebox techniques. Solvents and reagents were obtained from commercial sources. Tetrahydrofuran, diethyl ether, toluene and petroleum ether were dried with an MBraun MB-SPS 800 solvent purification system. Pentane and acetonitrile were distilled from CaH₂, under dry nitrogen. [CuBr(PPh₃)₃]³⁴ and [CuBr(SEt₂)]³⁵ were prepared following literature procedure.

Measurements:

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Av300 spectrometer operating at 300 MHz for ¹H, 75.5 MHz for ¹³C, and 121.5 MHz for ³¹P. Solvent peaks were used as internal references for ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts (ppm). ³¹P peaks were referenced to external 85% H₃PO₄. The following abbreviations are used: br, broad; s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; m, multiplet; hept, heptuplet. Labelling of atoms is indicated in Scheme 1. It should be mentioned that solution ³¹P chemical shift of the compounds described herein can be remarkably affected by the nature of the solvent and, to a lesser extent, by the dilution. 36

The ¹H PGSE (DOSY) experiments were performed on the same spectrometer. The experiment was measured using the ledbpgp2s pulse program (Bruker) at a temperature of 298 K. A relaxation delay of 10 s was employed along with a diffusion time (Δ) of 50 ms and an eddy current delay of 5 ms. Bipolar gradient pulses $(\delta/2)$ of 2.2 ms and homospoil gradient pulses of 1.1 ms were used. The gradient strength of the 2 homospoil pulses were -17.13 % and -13.17 % respectively. Thirty-two experiments of sixteen scans each were collected with the bipolar gradient strength, initially at 2 % (1st experiment), linearly increased to 95

% (32th experiment). All gradient pulses were sine shaped and after each application a recovery delay of 200 µs was used. Further processing was achieved using the MestReNova software.

MAS (Magic Angle Spinning) ³¹P solid-state NMR experiments were recorded at room temperature on a Tecmag Apollo360 spectrometer using a CP/MAS Bruker probe. ³¹P spectrum (Larmor frequency: 145.77 MHz, spinning rotation: 15 kHz) were externally referenced to a solution of H₃PO₄.

Elemental analses were determined by Mr. Stephen Boyer at London Metropolitan University.

Synthesis of dibromomethylpyridine.

Adapted from а literature procedure:37 2.6dihydroxymethylpyridine (3.48 g, 25 mmol) was dissolved in 50 mL of DMF. The flask was cooled to 0°C and PBr₃ (57.5 mmol, 5.5 mL) was added dropwise under vigorous stirring. The ice bath was removed and the mixture was stirred overnight. Water (125 mL) was slowly added to quench the reaction, the mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3x125 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with water (2x150 mL) and brine (150 mL), dried over MgSO4 and evaporated to dryness giving an off-white solid (6.03 g, 91%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.70 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, H₁), 7.37 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, H₂), 4.53 (s, 4H, H₄). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 156.8 (C₃), 138.3 (C₁), 122.4 (C₂), 33.6 (C₄).

Synthesis of 1

NaN₃ (0.813 g, 12.5 mmol) was dissolved in DMSO (25 mL), dibromomethylpyridine (1.33 g, 5 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Water (25 mL) was added to quench the reaction, the mixture was then extracted with Et₂O (3x50 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with water (2x100 mL) and brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated to dryness to yield **1** as a yellow oil (0.940 g, 99%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.76 (t, $J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{H}_1$), 7.30 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, H₂), 4.48 (s, 4H, H₄). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 155.9 (C₃), 138.0 (C₁), 121.1 (C₂), 55.4 (C₄).

Synthesis of L

In a Schlenk flask, 1 (1.40 g, 7.40 mmol) and PPh₃ (3.88 g, 14.8 mmol) were mixed in dry Et₂O (70 mL) inducing nitrogen evolution. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature (if necessary the flask can be evacuated after few minutes to avoid over-pressure). The completeness of the reaction was verified by ³¹P{¹H} NMR showing a singlet at 6.6 ppm for the bis(iminophosphorane). The reaction volume was reduced to about 15 mL resulting in the formation of a white precipitate which was filtrated under nitrogen, washed with pentane (2x30 mL) and dried under vacuum to yield 3 (4.23 g, 87%). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 9.9 (s). ¹H (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.79-7.59 (m, 15H, H₁, H₂, and H₆), 7.57-7.30 (m, 18H, H₇, H₈), 4.30 (d, $J_{P,H} = 16.0$ Hz, 4H, H₄). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 164.4 (d, $J_{C,H} =$ 23.5 Hz, C₃), 136.7 (C₁), 132.8 (d, $J_{P,C} = 9.0$ Hz, C₆), 132.4 (d, $J_{P,C} = 95.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{ C}_5$, 131.5 (d, $J_{P,C} = 3.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{ C}_8$), 128.7 (d, C, $J_{P,C}$ = 11.5 Hz, C₇), 118.5 (C₂), 51.4 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 3.0 Hz, C₄). Anal. Calcd for C43H37N3P2: C, 78.52; H, 5.67; N, 6.39. Found: Anal.78.39; H, 5.74; N, 6.49.

Synthesis of [LCuBr] (2).

From CuBr: L (0.917 g, 1.39 mmol) and CuBr (0.200 g, 1.39 mmol) were mixed in THF (10 mL) and heated overnight at 50°C, the mixture turned to yellow with the formation of a clear vellow precipitate. The completeness of the reaction was ascertained by ³¹P{¹H} NMR, then the solvent volume was reduced to about 5 mL, and 10 mL of pentane were added to achieve the precipitation. The resulting mixture was centrifuged, the supernatant was removed, the solid was washed twice with pentane (10 mL) and finally dried under vacuum overnight to yield the title compound as a clear yellow powder (1.05 g, 94 %). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (THF-d⁸) δ 16.5 (bs). ¹H NMR (CD₃CN) δ 7.77 $(t, J_{H,H} = 7.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{H}_1), 7.64-7.08 (m, 30\text{H}, \text{H}_6, \text{H}_7, \text{H}_8), 7.04$ (d, $J_{H,H}$ = 7.7 Hz, 2H, H₂), 4.13 (d, $J_{P,H}$ = 11.1 Hz, 4H, H₄). ¹³C NMR (CD₃CN) δ 161.6 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 19.0 Hz, C₃), 138.6 (C₁), 133.7 (C₈), 133.6 ($J_{P,C}$ = 9.5 Hz, C₆), 129.8 ($J_{P,C}$ = 12.0 Hz, C₇), 128.3 $(J_{P,C} = 98.8 \text{ Hz}, C_5)$, 122.6 (C₂), 53.2 (C₄). Anal. Calcd for C₄₃H₃₇BrCuN₃P₂: C, 64.46; H, 4.65; N, 5.24. Found: C, 64.31; H, 4.66; N, 5.17.

From [CuBr(SEt₂)]: 23.4 mg of [CuBr(SEt₂)] (23.4 mg, 0.1 mmol) and of L (65.8 mg, 0.1 mmol) were stirred in THF (5 mL) for 2 hours. **2** was obtained after precipitation and repeated washing with pentane (5x3 mL) (43.3 mg, 54 %).

From [CuBr(PPh₃)₃]: **L** (0.131 g, 0.2 mmol) and [CuBr(PPh₃)₃] (0.186 g, 0.2 mmol) were stirred in THF (5 mL) for 2 hours, the completeness of the reaction was verified by ³¹P{¹H} NMR showing **2**, and the presence of free PPh₃ ($\delta_p = -5.2$ ppm). The solvent was evaporated and the resulting solid was washed with Et₂O (6x5 mL), finally **2** was obtained as a slightly yellow powder (64.2 mg, 40%).

Complex [L₂Cu₂](PF₆)₂ (3).

In a Schlenk flask, L (131.5 mg, 0.2 mmol) and [Cu(CH₃CN)₄]PF₆ (74.4 mg, 0.2 mmol) were dissolved in THF (5 mL), the solution turned quickly to yellow/green and was stirred for one additional hour upon which a yellow precipitate formed. The completeness of the reaction was checked by ³¹P{¹H} NMR of the crude mixture. The solvent was reduced to about 1 mL and 10 mL of petroleum ether were added to complete the precipitation, the solid was separated by filtration, washed with Et₂O (5 mL) and petroleum ether (10 mL). The resulting yellow solid was dried upon vacuum overnight to give **3** (147 mg, 85%). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (CD₃CN) δ 30.8 (s), -142.2 (hept, $J_{PF} = 706$ Hz). ¹H NMR (CD₃CN) δ 7.78 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.5$ Hz, 1H, H₁), 7.55-7.41 (m, 6H, H₈), 7.38-7.25 (m, 12H, H₆), 7.20-7.16 (m, 12H, H₇), 6.98 (d, $J_{H,H}$ = 7.8 Hz, 2H, H₂), 4.12 (bs, 4H, H₄). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 161.7 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 17.6 Hz, C₃), 138.9 (C₁), 134.0 ($J_{C,P} = 2.9$ Hz, C₈), 133.8 ($J_{C,P} = 9.5$ Hz, C₆), 130.0 (d, $J_{C,P} = 12.1$ Hz, C₇), 128.1 ($J_{C,P} = 99.1$ Hz, C₅), 123.2 (C₂), 53.7 (C4). Anal. Calcd for C86H74Cu2F12N6P6: C, 59.62; H, 4.31; N, 4.85. Found: C, 59.51; H, 4.41; N, 4.82.

From 2: In a vial 2 (9.1 mg, 11.4 μ mol) and AgPF₆ (2.9 mg, 11.4 μ mol) were in THF-d₈ (1 mL), AgBr immediately precipitated out. The mixture is filtered and transferred to an NMR tube for spectroscopic analysis which were in agreement with the data reported above. The yield was not determined.

Complex [LCu(PEt₃)]PF₆(4).

In a vial, PEt₃ (25 mg, 0.21 mmol) was added to [L₂Cu₂](PF₆)₂ (64.9 mg 0.038 mmol) suspended in THF (2 mL), wthin few seconds the solution turned clear. The solution was layered with pentane and allowed to stand overnight at -35°C to yield colorless crystals with were collected, washed with pentane (5 mL) and dried in vacuum (29 mg, 39 %). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (THF d^8) δ 21.6 (s, P^V), -3.4 (s, P^{III}), -144.8 (hept, $J_{PF} = 710$ Hz, PF₆). ¹H NMR (THF-d⁸) δ 7.89-7.73 (m, 13H, H₁, H₆), 7.72-7.48 (m, 20H, H₂, H₇, H₈), 4.46 (d, $J_{P,H} = 10.0$ Hz, 4H, H₄), 0.92 (t, $J_{H,H} =$ 7.5 Hz, 6H, PCH_2CH_3), 0.69 (dt, $J_{P,H} = 15.5$ Hz, $J_{H,H} = 7.5$ Hz, 9H, PCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C NMR (THF-d⁸) δ 163.5 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 24.0 Hz, C₃), 139.0 (C₁), 133.5 (d, $J_{C,P} = 9.0$ Hz, C₆), 133.1 (d, $J_{C,P} = 3.0$ Hz, C₈), 130.5 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 98.0 Hz, C₅), 129.6 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 11.5 Hz, C₇), 120.7 (C₂), 53.3 (C₄), 16.3 (d, $J_{C,P} = 23.7$ Hz, CH₂), 8.7 (d, *J_{C,P}* = 1.4 Hz, CH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₄₉H₅₂CuF₆N₃P₄: C, 59.79; H, 5.32; N, 4.27. Found: C, 59.65; H, 5.32; N, 4.36.

Complex [LCuBr₂] (5).

L (131.5 mg, 0.2 mmol) was suspended in THF (10 mL) and anhydrous CuBr₂ (44.7 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added. The mixture turned immediately to green and after 30 minutes of stirring a green precipitate formed. The solvent is evaporated under vacuum, the solid is washed with Et₂O (2x5 mL), dried under vacuum overnight to give the title compound as a green powder (104.5 mg, 59 %). ³¹P{¹H} (CD₂Cl₂) δ 42.7 (s). µ_{eff} = 1.6 µ_B (by the Evans method in CD₂Cl₂). Anal. Calcd for C4₃H₃₇Br₂CuN₃P₂: C, 58.62; H, 4.23; N, 4.77. Found: C, 58.30; H, 4.38; N, 4.62.

General catalytic protocols for [3+2] cycloaddition.

In a glove-box, a Schlenk flask was charged with the catalyst (1 mol% or 0.1 mol%), the flask was closed and removed from the glove-box. Under a flux of nitrogen, the solvent or the mixture of solvents (1 mL) was added, then the azide (1 mmol, 154 μ L) and the alkyne (1 mmol, 110 μ L) were introduced. Depending on the reaction conditions, the flask was either closed, evacuated and back-filled with nitrogen or let to open air. The completion of the reaction was checked by TLC. The reaction was quenched by the addition of water (5 mL), extracted with of EtOAc (3x5 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and subjected to rotary evaporation to yield the triazole.

1-(4'-methoxybenzyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (94%), spectroscopic data were in agreement with the literature.³⁸ The compound was also univocally identified by X-ray analysis of crystals obtained by slow evaporation of the NMR sample (Xray structure is available on request).

1-(4'-methoxybenzyl)-4-*t*butyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (98%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.22 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, H_{Ar}), 7.11 (s, 1H, H_{triazole}), 6.89 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, H_{Ar}), 5.41 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.81 (s, 3H, OMe), 1.31 (s, 9H, *t*Bu) ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 159.96 (C^{IV}_{Ar}), 158.21 (C^{IV}_{Ar}), 129.74 (CH_{Ar}), 127.19 (C^{IV}_{triazole}), 118.21 (CH_{triazole}), 114.55 (CH_{Ar}), 55.46 (OMe), 53.63 (CH₂), 30.9 (C^{IV}*t*Bu) 30.51 (*t*Bu).

General optimised catalytic protocols for cyclopropanation.

In a glove-box, a Schlenk flask was charged with the catalyst (1 mol %), the silver salt (1 mol %), the flask was closed and removed from the glove-box. Under a flux a nitrogen, dry

CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) and styrene (460 μ L, 4 mmol) were added by syringe, then the flask was cooled to about -5°C and the EDA (2 mmol, 210 μ L) diluted in 5 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂ was added dropwise over 1h. The flask was then closed, evacuated and back-filled with nitrogen and stirred overnight. The mixture was analyzed by GC. Solvent was then evaporated to yield the crude mixture which was purified by flash chromatography (95/5 Petroleum ether/EtOAc) to give a mixture of diasteroisomers with NMR data in agreement with the literature.³⁹

X-ray Crystallography:

Data were collected at 150 K on a Bruker Kappa APEX II diffractometer using a Mo- κ (λ =0.71069Å) X-ray source and a graphite monochromator. The crystal structure was solved using SIR 97⁴⁰ and Shelx1-97 or Shelx1-2013.⁴¹ ORTEP drawings were made using ORTEP III for Windows.⁴² X-ray data are gathered in Table S1 (Supplementary Information).

Computational details

All calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 series of programs (revision B.01).⁴³ The ω -B97XD functional was used in combination with the 6-31G* basis set for carbons and hydrogens; the 6-311+G** basis set for bromine, phosphorus, nitrogen and oxygen; and the Def2-TZVP basis set for copper.⁴⁴ The stationary points and transition states were characterised by full vibration frequencies calculations, with no imaginary frequency for minima (stationary point), and one imaginary frequency for transition states. Solvent effects were introduced through PCM single-point calculations,⁴⁵ using standard Gaussian parameters, solvent was THF. The PCM Gibbs energies were calculated using the correction proposed by Maseras and coworkers.⁴⁶

Acknowledgements

Ecole Polytechnique and CNRS are thanked for financial support. We are grateful to Dr. S. Maron for recording MAS ³¹P NMR, Dr E. Nicolas and Dr. S. Labouille for fruitful discussions about DFT calculations and Dr. G. Nocton for his help on VT and DOSY NMR.

Notes and references

^a Laboratoire de Chimie Moléculaire, Ecole Polytechnique, UMR CNRS
9168, F-91128 Palaiseau Cedex. Email : audrey.auffrant@polytechnique.edu;

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [VT-³¹P NMR Eyring plot for **2** and **4**, X-Ray Structure of [LCuBr(MeCN)][Ce(NO₃)₅] (**6**) Cyclic voltammogram of [LCuBr] (**2**), Computational details]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- M. Albrecht and G. van Koten, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 3750-3781.
- 2. *The chemistry of pincer ligands*, eds. D. Morales-Morales and C. Jensen, Elsevier, 2007.
- D. Benito-Garagorri, M. Puchberger, K. Mereiter and K. Kirchner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, 47, 9142-9145; P. O'Leary, C. A. van Walree, N. C. Mehendale, J. Sumerel, D. E. Morse, W. C. Kaska, G. van Koten and R. Gebbink, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 4289-4291; B.

Wieczorek, H. P. Dijkstra, M. R. Egmond, R. Gebbink and G. van Koten, J. Organomet. Chem., 2009, 694, 812-822.

- S. Wanniarachchi, B. J. Liddle, J. Toussaint, S. V. Lindeman, B. Bennett and J. R. Gardinier, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 3167-3169; C. H. Wang, N. N. Ma, X. X. Sun, S. L. Sun, Y. Q. Qiu and P. J. Liu, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2012, **116**, 10496-10506; Q. Q. Wang, R. A. Begum, V. W. Day and K. Bowman-James, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 760-762.
- D. Benito-Garagorri and K. Kirchner, Acc. Chem. Res., 2008, 41, 201-213; J. M. Serrano-Becerra and D. Morales-Morales, Curr. Org. Synth., 2009, 6, 169-192; N. Selander and K. J. Szabo, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 2048-2076; C. Gunanathan and D. Milstein, Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 44, 588-602; D. Gelman and S. Musa, ACS Catal., 2012, 2, 2456-2466; G. van Koten, J. Organomet. Chem., 2013, 730, 156-164.
- R. Lindner, B. v. d. Bosch, M. Lutz, J. N. H. Reek and J. I. van der Vlugt, Organometallics, 2011, 30, 499-510.
- L. Flamigni, J. P. Collin and J. P. Sauvage, Acc. Chem. Res., 2008, 41, 857-871; R. Sakamoto, S. Katagiri, H. Maeda and H. Nishihara, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2013, 257, 1493-1506.
- V. C. Gibson, C. Redshaw and G. A. Solan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 1745-1776; P. J. Chirik and K. Wieghardt, *Science*, 2010, **327**, 794-795; C. Carmen, H. Atienza, C. Milsmann, S. P. Semproni, Z. R. Turner and P. J. Chirik, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 5403-5417.
- S. Al-Benna, M. J. Sarsfield, M. Thornton-Pett, D. L. Ormsby, P. J. Maddox, P. Brès and M. Bochmann, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2000, 4247-4257.
- Z. Y. Chai, C. Zhang and Z. X. Wang, *Organometallics*, 2008, 27, 1626-1633; Z. Y. Chai and Z. X. Wang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 8005-8012.
- 11. M. J. Sgro and S. W. Stephan, Dalton Trans., 2011, 40, 2419-2421.
- Transition metal P-N complexes as polymerization catalysts, LANXESS Deutschland GmbH, University of Toronto, EP2641909, 2013.
- L. P. Spencer, R. Altwer, P. R. Wei, L. Gelmini, J. Gauld and D. W. Stephan, *Organometallics*, 2003, 22, 3841-3854.
- R. Bielsa, R. Navarro, E. P. Urriolabeitia and T. Soler, *Dalton Trans.*, 2008, 1203-1214; D. Aguilar, M. Contel, R. Navarro, T. Soler and E. P. Urriolabeitia, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2009, **694**, 486-493; N. Lease, V. Vasilevski, M. Carreira, A. de Almeida, M. Sanau, P. Hirva, A. Casini and M. Contel, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2013, **56**, 5806-5818.
- 15. P. Braunstein and F. Naud, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 680-699.
- J. Garcia-Alvarez, S. E. Garcia-Garrido and V. Cadierno, J. Organomet. Chem., 2014, 751, 792-808.
- T. Miekisch, H. J. Mai, R. Meyer, R. M. Z. Kocker, K. Dehnicke, J. Magull and H. Goesmann, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1996, 622, 583-588;
 S. Wingerter, H. Gornitzka, G. Bertrand and D. Stalke, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 1999, 173-178; T. K. Panda, P. W. Roesky, P. Larsen, S. Zhang and C. Wickleder, Inorg. Chem., 2006, 45, 7503-7508; G. B. Ma, M. J. Ferguson, R. McDonald and R. G. Cavell, Organometallics, 2010, 29, 4251-4264.
- M. J. Sarsfield, I. May, S. M. Cornet and M. Helliwell, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, 44, 7310-7312; B. Liu, D. Cui, J. Ma, X. Chen and X. Jing, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2007, 13, 834-845; K. R. D. Johnson and P. G. Hayes, *Organometallics*, 2009, 28, 6352-6361; D. Li, S. Li, D. Cui, X. Zhang and A. A. Trifonov, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, 40, 2151-2153; Z. Jian, W. Rong, Z. Mou, Y. Pan, H. Xie and D. Cui, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48,

7516-7518; H. Sun, J. S. Ritch and P. G. Hayes, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 3701-3713.

- M. Demange, L. Boubekeur, A. Auffrant, N. Mezailles, L. Ricard, X. Le Goff and P. Le Floch, *New J. Chem.*, 2006, **30**, 1745-1754; A. Buchard, H. Heuclin, A. Auffrant, X. F. Le Goff and P. Le Floch, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 1659-1667; T. P. A. Cao, S. Labouille, A. Auffrant, Y. Jean, X. F. Le Goff and P. Le Floch, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 10029-10037.
- J. I. van der Vlugt, E. A. Pidko, D. Vogt, M. Lutz, A. L. Spek and A. Meetsma, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 4442-4444; J. I. van der Vlugt, E. A. Pidko, D. Vogt, M. Lutz and A. L. Spek, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 7513-7515.
- 21. C. Piguet, G. Bernardinelli and A. F. Williams, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1989, **28**, 2920-2925.
- 22. S. Sculfort and P. Braunstein, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 2741-2760.
- M. Raducan, C. Rodriguez-Escrich, X. C. Cambeiro, E. C. Escudero-Adan, M. A. Pericas and A. M. Echavarren, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 4893-4895.
- 24. S. A. Turner, Z. D. Remillard, D. T. Gijima, E. Gao, R. D. Pike and C. Goh, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 10762-10773.
- D. F. Evans, J. Chem. Soc., 1959, 2003-2005; J. L. Deutsch and S. M. Poling, J. Chem. Educ., 1969, 46, 167-&; J. Loliger and Scheffol.R, J. Chem. Educ., 1972, 49, 646-647; D. H. Grant, J. Chem. Educ., 1995, 72, 39-40.
- H. Lebel, J. F. Marcoux, C. Molinaro and A. B. Charette, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 977-1050; M. Yu and B. L. Pagenkopf, *Tetrahedron*, 2005, **61**, 321-347; B. Morandi and E. M. Carreira, *Science*, 2012, **335**, 1471-1474.
- M. T. Reetz, E. Bohres and R. Goddard, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 935-936.
- I. V. Shishkov, F. Rominger and P. Hofmann, Organometallics, 2009, 28, 1049-1059; P. Hofmann, I. V. Shishkov and F. Rominger, Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 11755-11762; W. Kirmse, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 1088-1093.
- D. J. Cho, S. J. Jeon, H. S. Kim, C. S. Cho, S. C. Shim and T. J. Kim, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1999, **10**, 3833-3848; Y. Imai, W. B. Zhang, T. Kida, Y. Nakatsuji and I. Ikeda, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, **65**, 3326-3333.
- V. V. Rostovtsev, L. G. Green, V. V. Fokin and K. B. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 2596-2599; S. Diez-Gonzalez, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2011, **1**, 166-178.
- S. Diez-Gonzalez, A. Correa, L. Cavallo and S. P. Nolan, *Chem. Eur.* J., 2006, **12**, 7558-7564; S. Diez-Gonzalez, E. C. Escudero-Adan, J. Benet-Buchholz, E. D. Stevens, A. M. Z. Slawin and S. P. Nolan, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 7595-7606; S. C. Sau, S. R. Roy, T. K. Sen, D. Mullangi and S. K. Mandal, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2013, **355**, 2982-2991.
- 32. S. Lal and S. Diez-Gonzalez, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 2367-2373.
- 33. J. Garcia-Alvarez, J. Diez, J. Gimeno, F. J. Suarez and C. Vincent, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 5854-5863.
- 34. R. Gujadhur, D. Venkataraman and J. T. Kintigh, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, 42, 4791-4793.
- M. Knorr, A. Pam, A. Khatyr, C. Strohmann, M. M. Kubicki, Y. Rousselin, S. M. Aly, D. Fortin and P. D. Harvey, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 49, 5834-5844.

- 36. M. Pomerantz, B. T. Ziemnicka, Z. M. Merchant, W. N. Chou, W. B. Perkins and S. Bittner, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1985, **50**, 1757-1759.
- S. Abada, A. Lecointre, M. Elhabiri and L. J. Charbonniere, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 9055-9062.
- 38. Y. M. A. Yamada, S. M. Sarkar and Y. Uozumi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 9285-9290.
- 39. M. P. A. Lyle and P. D. Wilson, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 855-857.
- A. Altomare, M. C. Burla, M. Camalli, G. L. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi, A. G. G. Moliterni, G. Polidori and R. Spagna, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 1999, **32**, 115-119.
- 41. G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELXL-97*, Universität Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- 42. L. J. Farrugia, *ORTEP-3 program*, (2001) Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgow.
- M. J. Frisch, et al., Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, (2010), Wallingford CT.
- 44. F. Weigend and R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **7**, 3297-3305.
- 45. S. Miertus, E. Scrocco and J. Tomasi, Chem. Phys., 1981, 55, 117-129.
- A. A. C. Braga, G. Ujaque and F. Maseras, *Organometallics*, 2006, 25, 3647-3658.