

Starting to unravel the toxoglossan knot: Molecular phylogeny of the "turrids" (Neogastropoda: Conoidea)

N. Puillandre, S. Samadi, M.-C. Boisselier, A.V. V Sysoev, Y.I. I Kantor, C.

Cruaud, A. Couloux, P. Bouchet

► To cite this version:

N. Puillandre, S. Samadi, M.-C. Boisselier, A.V. V Sysoev, Y.I. I Kantor, et al.. Starting to unravel the toxoglossan knot: Molecular phylogeny of the "turrids" (Neogastropoda: Conoidea). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 2008, 47 (3), pp.1122-1134. hal-02002424

HAL Id: hal-02002424 https://hal.science/hal-02002424v1

Submitted on 31 Jan 2019 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Starting to unravel the toxoglossan knot: Molecular phylogeny of the "turrids"
2	(Neogastropoda: Conoidea)
3	\mathbf{N} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{U} 1 \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c} $1^{\mathbf{c}}$ \mathbf{c}
4	N. Puillandre ", S. Samadi ", MC. Boisselier ", A.V. Sysoev ", Y.I. Kantor ", C. Cruaud ", A.
5	Couloux [*] , P. Bouchet [*]
6	
/	UMR /138, Syste matique, adaptation, e volution, UPMC, IRD, MINHN, CNRS, Service de
8	syste matique mole culaire (CNRS, IFR 101), Departement systematique et evolution,
9 10	Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CP26, 57 rue Cuvier, 75251 Paris Cedex 05, France
10	^b USM 602/UMS CNIPS 2700 Mussium National d'Histoira Naturalla Département
11 12	Syste meticus et E' volution 55 rue Puffon 75221 Paris Codex 05 France
12 12	Syste manque et E volution, 55 fue Bullon, 75251 fails Cedex 05, Flance
15 17	^c Zoological Museum of Moscow State University Bolshava Nikitskava str. 6. Moscow
14 15	103009 Russia
16	105009, Russia
17	^d A N Severtzov Institute of Ecology and Evolution Russian Academy of Sciences Leninski
18	prospect 33 Moscow 119071 Russia
19	
20	^e GENOSCOPE, Centre National de Séquencage, 2 rue Gaston Cre´mieux, CP 5706, 91057
21	Evry Cedex. France
22	
23	*Corresponding author. Address: UMR 7138, Systématique, adaptation, évolution, UPMC,
24	IRD, MNHN, CNRS, Service de systématique moléculaire (CNRS, IFR 101), Département
25	Systématique et Evolution, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CP26, 57 rue Cuvier,
26	75231 Paris, Cedex 05, France.
27	E-mail address: puillandre@mnhn.fr (N. Puillandre).
28	

30 Abstract

- 31
- 32 The superfamily Conoidea is one of the most speciose groups of marine mollusks, with
- estimates of about 340 recent valid genera and subgenera, and 4000 named living species.
- 34 Previous classifications were based on shell and anatomical characters, and clades and
- 35 phylogenetic relationships are far from well assessed. Based on a dataset of ca. 100 terminal
- taxa belonging to 57 genera, information provided by fragments of one mitochondrial (COI)
- and three nuclear (28S, 18S and H3) genes is used to infer the first molecular phylogeny of
- this group. Analyses are performed on each gene independently as well as for a data matrix
- 39 where all genes are concatenated, using Maximum Likelihood, Maximum Parsimony and
- 40 Bayesian approaches. Several well-supported clades are defined and are only partly
- 41 identifiable to currently recognized families and subfamilies. The nested sampling used in our
- 42 study allows a discussion of the classification at various taxonomical levels, and several
- 43 genera, subfamilies and families are found polyphyletic.
- 44
- 45
- 46 Keywords: 18S rRNA; 28S rRNA; Classification; COI gene; Conoidea; Conidae; H3 gene;
- 47 Molecular phylogeny; Toxoglossa; Turridae; West Pacific
- 48

49 **1. Introduction**

50

51 The superfamily Conoidea (= Toxoglossa) includes small to medium (3–50 mm on average)

52 sized species of marine snails that are specialist predators on annelids, other mollusks, and

even fishes, and occupy all marine habitats from the tropics to the poles, from shallow to deep

54 water, and from hard to soft substrates. This is the most diverse groups of marine mollusks,

- with almost 700 recent and fossil nominal genera and 10,000 described species (Bouchet,
- 56 1990), and current estimates of about 340 recent valid genera and subgenera (Taylor et al.,
- 1993) and 4000 named living species (Tucker, 2004). Conus alone includes over 500 valid
 species, making it the most speciose genus of marine animals (Kohn, 1990; Duda and Kohn,
- 59 2005). The monophyly of the Conoidea, characterized by a venom apparatus, is not
- 60 questioned (Taylor et al., 1993), but subdivisions within Conoidea, and relationships between
- 61 them are controversial, mostly because the extensive morphological and anatomical variation
- 62 encountered is itself not well understood. In this context, molecular data can bring new
- characters, allowing to root the classification of Conoidea in an evolutionary perspectiveusing a phylogenetic analysis.
- During most of the 19th and 20th century, classifications (e.g., Fischer, 1887; Cossmann,
- 66 1896; Hedley, 1922; Thiele, 1929; Wenz, 1938–1944) were based on characters of the shell
- and of the radula, and Powell (1942, 1966) later gave emphasis on characters of the
- protoconch (larval shell). All these authors traditionally recognized three families of recent
- 69 Conoidea: (i) Conidae, only containing the genus Conus, (ii) Terebridae containing species
- with acuminate shells without a siphonal canal, and (iii) Turridae, including the rest, i.e., the
- vast majority of the group. Turridae was considered by Hedley (1922) to be "more perplexing
 than any other molluscan family". Powell's (1942, 1966) subdivision of the Turridae in nine
- real any other monuscan raining . Fower s (1942, 1966) subdrivision of the raining end in the subfamilies (see Table 1) was the basis for turrid classifications in the latter half of the 20th
- century. Subsequent authors diverged on the number of subfamilies they recognized, mostly
- splitting one subfamily into several: working mainly on East Pacific faunas, McLean (1971)
- thus recognized 15 subfamilies of Turridae; Kilburn (various papers from 1983 to 1995)
 recognized eight subfamilies in the South African fauna; while in their monograph of
- 78 European deep-sea turrids, Bouchet and Ware´n (1980) criticized the use of ''more or less
- randomly selected shell characters" and did not use subfamilies at all. Other shell- and radulabased classifications, mostly regional, include Morrison (1965), Shimek and Kohn (1981) and
- 81 Chang (1995, 2001). A turning point in toxoglossate classification was the work of Taylor et
- 82 al. (1993) who extensively used anatomical characters, in addition to radulae. Their entirely
- 83 novel classification recognized six families (Conidae, Turridae, Terebridae, Drilliidae,
- Pseudomelatomidae and Strictispiridae), the salient point being that Conidae was by then
 enlarged beyond Coninae (Conus) to include five subfamilies previously placed in Turridae.
- and the newly restricted Turridae included a further five subfamilies. Bouchet and Rocroi's
- (2005) recent review of gastropod classification essentially kept Taylor's classification with
- updates based mainly on Rosenberg (1998) and Medinskaya and Sysoev (2003): Clavatulinae
- 89 was raised to the family level; Taraninae was synonymized with Raphitominae; and the novel
- subfamily Zemaciinae was accepted in the Turridae. Thereafter, we use "Turridae s.l." to
- designate all Conoidea except Conus and Terebridae (i.e., Turridae sensu Powell (1966) and
 most 20th century authors) and "Turridae s.s." to designate the family as restricted by Taylor
- et al. (1993), while "Conidae" designates the expanded family after Taylor et al. (1993).
- 94 Since Taylor et al. (1993), several anatomical studies have highlighted the high level of
- homoplasy of the characters of the shell and the radula (e.g., Kantor and Taylor, 1994; Kantor
- et al., 1997; Taylor, 1994), but although Conus itself has been subjected to intensive
- 97 molecular studies (e.g., Duda and Kohn, 2005), the phylogeny of the broader Conoidea has
- not yet been addressed based on molecular characters. The present paper is thus the first

- molecular phylogeny, based on fragments of one mitochondrial and three nuclear genes, of
 the crown clade of the Caenogastropoda. It provides insights at several taxonomic levels
 (generic, subfamilial and familial) and the adequacy of previous classifications is thus reevaluated.
- 103

104 2. Materials and methods

105

106 *2.1. Taxon sampling* 107

Because of the instability of the taxonomy of the group, currently accepted synonymies 108 cannot be taken for certain and must be re-evaluated. Ideally, a molecular sampling should 109 thus include several representatives of all the nominal family group-names, including their 110 type genera, whether they are currently regarded as taxonomically valid or not. In practice, 111 this goal is difficult or impossible to reach because (a) a number of nominal (sub)families are 112 based on fossil type genera (e.g., Borsoniinae, Conorbinae), and (b) a number of type genera 113 are restricted in distribution and/or live in deep water and are difficult to obtain alive (e.g., 114 Pseudomelatomidae, Thatcheriidae). To overcome these difficulties, our taxon sampling 115 includes several genera for as many as possible of the subfamilies proposed in the literature 116 117 (see detail in Table 1). Of the 114 specimens sequenced, few were replicates and the taxon sampling represents about 100 species in 57 valid genera. 118

- 119
- 120 *2.2. Materials*
- 121

The bulk of the material was obtained during expeditions carried out in the tropical western 122 Pacific during research expeditions by the Muse'um National d'Histoire Naturelle (MNHN) 123 and the Institut de Recherche pour le De'veloppement (IRD) (see Table 2). Living specimens 124 were anesthetized using MgCl2, a piece of tissue was cut from the head-foot, and fixed in 125 95% ethanol. This dataset was supplemented by specimens collected in West Africa by Serge 126 127 Gofas in the mid 1980s. Shells were kept intact for identification. Identifications were carried to genus level using the classically admitted shell-based genus definitions, but, given the 128 chaotic state of turrid systematics, no attempt was made to identify our material to species 129 level; a number of species, especially from deep water, probably represent new species. Even 130 so, eight specimens could not confidently be attributed to a genus and are denoted thereafter 131 "cf. Genus". Conversely, specimens of Terebridae and Conus were identified to species level. 132 133 A specimen of a species of Nassaria and a specimen of a species of Cancellopollia, both in the neogastropod family Buccinidae, closely related to Conoidea (Harasewych et al., 1997; 134 Colgan et al., 2007), were used as outgroups. Littorina littorea, belonging in the non-135 neogastropod family Littorinidae, was used as a third outgroup, with sequences taken from 136 GenBank (GenBank Accession Nos: AJ622946.1, Q279985.1, AJ488712.1 and 137 DQ093507.1). Outgroups were chosen to form a non-monophyletic group, as recommended 138 by Darlu and Tassy (1993). All vouchers are kept in MNHN. 139 140 141 2.3. Sequencing 142

- 143 DNA was extracted from a piece of foot, using 6100 Nucleic Acid Prepstation system
- 144 (Applied Biosystem) or
- 145 DNeasy
- 146

147 96 Tissue kit (Qiagen) for smaller specimens. A fragment of 658 bp of Cytochrome Oxidase I

148 (COI) mitochondrial gene was amplified using the universal primers LCO1490 and HCO2198

developed by Folmer et al. (1994). Three nuclear gene fragments were also analyzed: (i) 900 149 bp of the rDNA 28S gene, involving D1, D2 and D3 domains (Hassouna et al., 1984), using 150 the primers C1 and D3 (Jovelin and Justine, 2001); (ii) 328 bp of the H3 gene using the 151 primers H3aF and H3aR (Okusu et al., 2003); (iii) 1770 bp of the 18S gene using three pairs 152 of primers: 1F and 5R, 3F and Bi, A2 and 9R (Giribet et al., 1996; Okusu et al., 2003). All 153 PCR reactions were performed in 25 ll, containing 3 ng of DNA, 1reaction buffer, 2.5 mM 154 MgCl2, 0.26 mM dNTP, 0.3 lM of each primer, 5% DMSO and 1.5 U of Q-Bio Taq 155 (Obiogene) for all genes. Amplifications consisted of an initial denaturation step at 94 156 -C for 40, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 157 -C for 3000, annealing at 52 -158 -C for 28S gene and first and third fragment of 18S gene, and 53 -C for 159 H3 gene and second fragment of 18S gene for 4000 and extension at 72 160 C for 10. The final extension was at 72 161 162 Hebert et al. (2003). PCR products were purified using ExonucleaseI and Phosphatase and 163 sequenced using BigDyeTerminator V3.1 kit (Applied biosystem) and the ABI3730XL 164 sequencer. Because of the length of the 28S PCR product, two internal primers (D2 and C20, 165 Dayrat et al., 2001) were used for sequencing, in addition of primers used for PCR. All genes 166 167 were sequenced for both directions to confirm accuracy of each sequence. The overlap of the three fragments of 18S gene made it possible to check for consistency. Sequences were 168 deposited in GenBank (GenBank Accession Nos: EU015417-EU015858). 169 170 2.4. Phylogenetic analyses

171 172

COI and H3 genes were manually aligned whereas 28S and 18S genes were automatically 173 aligned using ClustalW multiple alignment implemented in BioEdit version 7.0.5.3 (Hall, 174 1999). The accuracy of automatic alignments was confirmed by eye. Hyper-variable regions 175 of 28S gene and 30 extremity of 18S gene were excluded from further analyses due to 176 177 ambiguities in the alignments. For protein coding genes (COI and H3), saturation according to codon position was tested by plotting genetic distances against patristic distances calculated 178 from a Maximum Parsimony (MP) tree with a heuristic search option, 10 random 179 taxonaddition (RA) and tree-bisection and reconnection (TBR) branch-swapping using PAUP 180 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2002). 181 Nucleotide substitution models were selected for each gene separately and for each combined 182 dataset using the program Modeltest (Posada and Crandall, 2001), in conjunction with PAUP 183 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2002). Best models and parameters as estimated by the AIC criterion were 184 used for Maximum Likelihood (ML) analyses; only the model was fixed for Bayesian 185 Analyses (BA). Analyses were conducted using three different approaches. A heuristic MP 186 search was executed with 100 RA, TBR branchswapping, all sites equally weighted and 187 indels treated as fifth states, using PAUP 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2002). ML heuristic search was 188 conducted with 100 replicates with TBR branch-swapping using PhyML 2.4.4 (Guindon and 189 Gascuel, 2003). Robustness of the nodes was assessed using nonparametric bootstrapping 190 (Felsenstein, 1985) with 100 bootstraps replicates for MP analysis and 1000 for ML analysis, 191 192 TBR branch-swapping and 100 RA replicates. BA consisted of six Markov chains (8,000,000 generations each with a sampling frequency of one tree each hundred generations) run in two 193 parallel analyses using Mr. Bayes (Huelsenbeck et al., 2001). When the log-likelihood scores 194 were found to stabilize, a consensus tree was calculated after omitting the first 25% trees as 195 burn-in. For the treatment of combined data using BA, the data were separated into four 196 unlinked partitions corresponding to the four genes analyzed, each following the best fitting 197

198 model of substitution estimated for each gene.

200 2.5. Turning the phylogeny into a classification

201 There are currently 41 available family-group names in the Conoidea, of which 19 are 202 considered valid at family or subfamily ranks (Bouchet and Rocroi, 2005). In a nomenclatural 203 perspective, only the occurrence of the type genus of a family-group name in a clade allows 204 an unequivocal application of this name to that clade. For example, the clade containing the 205 genus Raphitoma can unambiguously carry the name Raphitominae. However, many type 206 207 genera are not represented in our taxon sampling and many of our molecular clades do not include a type genus. In such cases, we have relied on the traditional allocation of non-type 208 genera to a subfamily to link clade and name. For example, a clade containing three genera 209 classically classified in the family Drilliidae (Taylor et al., 1993; Tippet and Tucker, 1995) 210 can carry the name Drilliidae, even though Drillia itself is not part of our taxon sampling. 211 However, this approach does not lead to an unequivocal application of names when genera (or 212 subfamilies) as traditionally construed prove to be non-monophyletic; in that case, only the 213 214 type species (or the type genus) is the legitimate bearer of the name. 215

216 **3. Results**

217

199

For COI and H3 genes, 658 and 328 bp were sequenced, respectively, and no indels were

found. After the alignment, we obtained a fragment of 933 and 1729 bp in length for the 28S

and 18S genes, respectively. Sequencing of specimens belonging to genera Clavatula,

221 Pusionella and Perrona was successful only for the COI gene: the prolonged conservation in

the museum collections (more than 20 years) may have altered the quality of the DNA. Only

one specimen (17855) failed to sequence for COI gene, and three others (17842, 17919 and

17920, genus Cochlespira) for H3 gene. No bias was detected in base composition. The
 saturation analyses for the two protein coding genes revealed that the COI gene was highly

saturation analyses for the two protein coding genes revealed that the COT gene was highly saturated on the third position of codon, thus we used only the first and second positions in

the phylogenetic analyses. Best model and parameters estimated for each gene and genes

combinations are shown in Table 3. Independent analyses of each of the four genes provided

very poorly resolved trees, with few well-supported clades (Table 4).

230 The only incongruencies found between the independent gene analyses corresponded to

231 poorly supported nodes. The most supported incongruency concerned relationships between

three specimens attributed to the genus Bathytoma (17700, 17865 and 17857). In the ML

analysis of H3 gene 17700 was the sister-group of 17865 and 17857 whereas in the ML

analysis of the 18S gene 17865 was the sister-group of 17700and 17857. These two nodeswere
 supported bybootstrap value of, respectively, 61 and 67, values weaker than the bootstrap

supported bybootstrap value of, respectively, 61 and 67, values weaker than the bootstrap
value allowing the recognition of a supported clade (e.g., Hillis and Bull, 1993; Soltis and

237 Soltis, 2003).

238 Since no incongruency was revealed among the single gene analyses, we constructed two

combined datasets comprising the data of the 4 gene fragments resulting in a sequence of

240 3428 bp length. For both combined datasets we excluded the taxa attributed to Clavatula,

Pusionella and Perrona for which only the COI gene was successfully obtained. For the first

combined dataset (CD1) we also excluded the specimens 17855, 17842, 17919 and 17920, not

sequenced for all genes, to avoid potential perturbation of phylogenetic reconstruction by

244 missing data (Wiens, 1998). Thus, the CD1 included 104 ingroups and the second combined

245 dataset (CD2) included 108 ingroups. In CD2, missing sequences were treated as missing

characters in all analyses. For CD1 and CD2, respectively, 662 and 671 sites were variable

among which 454 and 460 were parsimony informative.

- 248 The Conoidea were found monophyletic, at least with the two combined analyses, although
- not always strongly supported (for CD2, MP and ML bootstraps, respectively: 65 and 79,
- 250 Posterior Probabilities PP: 1). Within the Conoidea, two clades could be distinguished: clade
- A (MP bootstraps: 58, ML bootstraps: 68, PP: 0.73) and clade B (MP bootstraps: 28, ML
- bootstraps: 52, PP: 1). Within the clade A, the clade C is found strongly supported with ML
- bootstraps (91) and PP (1). Each analysis of the two combined datasets allowed the definition
 of the same 21 higher level clades, each of them strongly supported: MP andML bootstraps
- of the same 21 higher level clades, each of them strongly supported: MP andML bootstraps
 >80 and PP >0.99 (Mason-Gamer and Kellogg, 1996; Zander, 2004). They included from 1 to
- 256 and 11 2004). They included from 1 to
 256 12 genera each (Tables 4 and 5, Fig. 1). Clades were numbered according to their position in
- the tree (Fig. 1). Clades 1–9 are included in clade A, and among them clades 1–4 are included
- in clade C. Clades 10–21 are included in clade B.
- As long branches, for example that displayed by clade 9, could potentially disturb
- 260 phylogenetic reconstructions (Felsenstein, 2004), the three analyses (MP, ML and BA) were
- conducted for the whole CD2, excluding specimens 17701 and 17702 (clade 9). The
- 262 Conoidea were again separated in two clades: A0 (including clades 1–8) and B. The boostraps
- and PP were increased for both clades A0 (MP Bootstraps: 60, ML bootstraps: 77, PP: 1) and
- clade B (MP Bootstraps: 37, ML bootstraps: 60, PP: 1).
- 265 The position of the representatives of Clavatula, Pusionella and Perrona, for which we
- obtained only the COI sequence, could be analyzed only in the single gene analysis. The taxa
- clustered in the weakly supported clade 22 in all the performed COI gene analyses (Table 4,
 tree not shown). The weak resolution of the trees obtained with the COI gene did not permit
- the placement of clade 22 in either clade A or B.
- All representatives of a genus clustered together in 1 of the 22 clades, except representatives
- of Borsonia, Comitas, Conus and Leucosyrinx. The representatives of Borsonia and Conus
- splitted, respectively, in clades 15, 16 and 19–21, each including only specimens from a
- single genus. The relationships between the two clades were not resolved and thus the
- 274 monophyly of each of these genera cannot be rejected. Conversely, the monophyly of genera
- Leucosyrinx and Comitas (clades 3, 4 and 9) can be rejected, since representatives of the twogenera clustered in the clade 4.
- 277278 4. Discussion
- 279

280 *4.1. Classification of the Conoidea*

281

Although not strongly supported, our analysis suggests that the superfamily Conoidea is monophyletic. However, the Conoidea and two outgroups used here (Cancellopollia and Nassaria) both belong in the Neogastropoda, the phylogeny of which is not well resolved (Harasewych et al., 1997; Colgan et al., 2007), and the monophyly observed here could thus be an artifact due to under-sampling within Neogastropoda. Within Conoidea, the large amount of diversity included in our dataset allows us to discuss the current classification at genus, subfamily, and family levels.

- 289
- 290 4.2. Accuracy of taxonomic delimitations at genus level
- 291

The genus is the lowest level for which we can discuss taxonomic delimitations since most of our specimens are not identified at species level. Among the 57 genera identified in our

dataset, monophyly can be rejected for only two of them (Leucosyrinx and Comitas), which

indicates that in most cases shell morphology is an appropriate predictor of generic

- allocations. Two further genera (Borsonia and Conus) are found to be diphyletic, but the
- position of the two defined clades is unresolved and thus monophyly cannot be excluded.

Similarly, the polyphyly of some genera within the clades 1–22 can not be confirmed becauseof the lack of support for intra-clade nodes (results not shown).

300

301 *4.3. Position of the genera within the subfamilies*

302 Our analysis confirms many previous assignments of genera to subfamilies as in Taylor et al. 303 (1993) (Table 1) and subsequent refinements of their classification. We thus confirm a 304 position of Conopleura in the Drilliidae (Tippet and Tucker, 1995), of Anacithara in the 305 Crassispirinae (Kilburn, 1994), of Turridrupa in the Turrinae (Kantor et al., 1997), of 306 Toxicochlespira in the Mangeliinae (Sysoev and Kantor, 1990), and of Glyphostomoides in 307 the Raphitominae (Shuto, 1983). However, several results do not confirm established 308 classifications (Tables 1 and 5). The genus Otitoma, tentatively retained by Kilburn (2004) in 309 the Mangeliinae based on shell characters, is here found to be in the Crassispirinae. The genus 310 Lienardia, earlier classified in the Mangeliinae, is here placed in clade 12, identified as a 311 Clathurellinae. (Furthermore, specimens attributed to Lienardia display several types of 312 313 protoconchs and Lienardia as currently understood is probably a highly polyphyletic assemblage of species, some belonging to Raphitominae-not represented in our molecular 314 sampling-and others to Clathurellinae-as the specimens studied here). The position of 315 316 Gemmuloborsonia, assigned to the Turrinae (Sysoev and Bouchet, 1996; Medinskaya, 2002), is unresolved. 317

318

319 4.4. Robustness of subfamilies delimitations

320

We found discrepancies between our phylogeny and previous classifications at the subfamily 321 level. Thus, crassispirine genera are present in two clades (2 and 7), one of them (clade 2) 322 containing the type genus. The polyphyly of this subfamily is supported by the existence of 323 clade C, which includes clade 2, but excludes clade 7. Since the relationships between clade 7 324 and others clades within clade A are not resolved, it is unconclusive whether clade 7 must be 325 ranked as its own subfamily or whether it must be grouped together with another existing 326 subfamily. The subfamily Cochlespirinae as currently construed appears polyphyletic too, 327 with four distinct clades (3, 4, 8 and 9), one of them (clade 8) containing the type genus. As 328 for the Crassispirinae, the polyphyly of the Cochlespirinae is supported by the existence of 329 clade C, which includes clades 3 and 4, but excludes clades 8 and 9. However, because of the 330 limits of the resolution of the deeper nodes, it is inconclusive whether clades 3 and 4 should 331 332 be allocated to the Crassispirinae or should constitute a new subfamily; the subfamily Cochlespirinae could be limited to clade 8, or could also include clade 9. 333

334

In the next three cases, polyphyly is possible but not demonstrated because of a general lack 335 of support for deeper nodes in clade B. (a) Relationships between the two highly divergent 336 clades (clades 11 and 17) of the Mangeliinae are not resolved and our results are inconclusive 337 on the non-monophyly of the subfamily. (b) Coninae also ends up as two distinct clades 338 (clades 19 and 21), a result already obtained by Duda and Kohn (2005). (c) The subfamily 339 Clathurellinae is split into seven clades (clades 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18 and 20), but the non-340 341 monophyly of these clades is not demonstrated. With one exception, our molecular clathurelline clades correspond to intra-clathurelline "groups" defined by Taylor et al. (1993), 342 suggesting that these may warrant formal naming as tribes. The exception is clade 18 which 343 includes on one hand the genus Typhlomangelia (placed in the "borsoniid group" by Taylor 344 et al., 1993) and on the other hand the genera Heteroturris and Microdrillia (placed in the 345 "tomopleurid group" by Taylor et al., 1993). 346

347

348 *4.5. Robustness of families delimitations*

349

350 Finally, our results also permit a discussion of family classification within Conoidea. Taylor

et al.'s (1993) anatomical study suggested a closer relationship of Clathurellinae, Conorbinae,

352 Mangeliinae, Oenopotinae and Raphitominae to Conus than to other members of the family

353 Turridae s.l. and their extension of Conidae included these turrid subfamilies. In our study,

clade B, although weakly supported, corresponds to Taylor et al.'s (1993) family Conidae,

thus supporting its monophyly.

356 Our study also revealed another weakly supported deep clade (clade A) that includes genera

classified by Taylor et al. (1993) in three different families: Drilliidae, Terebridae and

358 Turridae s.s. (consisting of Clavatulinae, Cochlespirinae, Crassispirinae, Turrinae and

359 Zonulispirinae). Genera of the Drilliidae (clade 1) are included in clade C. This well-

supported clade also contains taxa of the Turridae s.s. (Crassispirinae and Comitas), and

excludes the other taxa of the Turridae s.s. Consequently, Turridae s.s. are not monophyletic.

- Furthermore, according to Kantor (2006), the radula of Drilliidae is not fundamentally
- 363 different from that of Turridae s.s.

364 Within clade A, the monophyly of the Terebridae is supported but its relationships with other

clades of Turridae s.s. is not resolved. The strong support obtained for clade A0 (clade A

366 without clade 9) indicates that Terebridae are closely related to Turridae s.s. Moreover, the

increase of clade support from A to A0 suggests an artifact effect of clade 9 on the

phylogenetic reconstruction, e.g., a long branch attraction effect with the outgroups. Thisphenomenon could be avoided by increasing the amount of diversity included in the analysis

(Bergsten, 2005). A close relationship between Terebridae and Turridae s.s. had already been

suggested by Cossmann (1896), and Powell (1942, 1966), based on the resemblance of the

shells of Terebridae and of the clavatuline genus Pusionella. Based on this observation and

the fossil record, Powell (1966) speculated that Terebridae were derived from the

374 Clavatulinae. Our results suggest that Turridae s.s. could be closer to Terebridae than to

375 Conidae, but the question of whether Terebridae is included in Turridae s.s. or is its sister

- 376 group still remains unresolved.
- 377

378 4.6. Towards a stabilized system for Conoidea

379

The weak support of neogastropod molecular phylogenies available in literature is supposed 380 to be the consequence of an early radiation of the group (Harasewych et al., 1997; Colgan et 381 al., 2003, 2007). Genes used in those studies were not adequate to resolve the relationships 382 between clades that emerged during this radiation. In our study, we used the same genes, 383 albeit at a lower taxonomic level, but deeper nodes are not resolved either. In view of the fact 384 that most subfamilies of Turridae s.l. were already present in the Eocene, Powell (1966) dated 385 their divergence before the Upper Cretaceous (before 65MY). As for other animal groups 386 (e.g., Strugnell et al., 2005; Fry et al., 2006), resolving phylogenetic relationships between 387 those early divergences seems to require slow-evolving genes. In this perspective, nuclear 388 coding genes, rarely used in mollusk phylogenies, could be useful to resolve early 389 relationships within Conoidea as well as deeper relationships within gastropods. 390 391 The taxonomic sampling used here allows an estimation of molecular variability within clades at each level: several genera are included in each subfamily, several subfamilies are included 392 in each family, and most of the families defined by Taylor et al. (1993) are present. This 393 strategy, where taxonomic sampling is hierarchically organized, is clearly required to discuss 394 monophyly of each of those groups, and some problems are thus highlighted at each 395

taxonomic level.

However, even with a dataset of 57 genera, covering most of the previously recognized

families and subfamilies of Conoidea, the present study only brings preliminary results. At

399 genus level, these 57 genera represent only 17% of the 340 already described recent genera

and it is further clear that the shell-based current taxonomic extension of many genera will notstand after molecular testing. At subfamily and family levels, although a large part of the

402 conoidean diversity is represented in this study, the families Strictispiridae and

403 Pseudomelatomidae, the subfamilies Zonulispirinae and Zemaciinae in Turridae s.s., the

404 Pervicaciinae in Terebridae and the Oenopotinae in Conidae, are not part of our taxon

sampling. The highly divergent clades found here in several subfamilies as previously defined

demonstrate the need for further research in order to better restrict the taxonomic extensions

407 of the already known subfamilies and probably formally name new subfamilies and/or tribes.
408 Finally, at family level, new relationships are suggested. As a remake of the Conus story, it

now appears that the long recognized family Terebridae does not stand alone apart from the

410 rest of the Conoidea, but could be the sister-group or even part of the Turridae s.s.

411

412 Acknowledgments

413

414 We are grateful to Bertrand Richer de Forges, cruise leader of several deep-sea cruises of the

Tropical Deep Sea Benthos programme on board R/V Alis, that generated the deep-sea

samples used in this study. The Total Foundation supported the Panglao 2004 and Santo 2006

417 biodiversity surveys and Ellen Strong is thanked for her role in molecular sampling during

these expeditions. We are also pleased to thank the staff of MNHN's "Service de

419 Syste matique Mole 'culaire' for technical facilities; the Consortium National de Recherche

420 en Ge´nomique, Ge´noscope for the sequencing facilities; S. Gofas for collecting African

421 taxa; Barbara Buge and Pierre Lozouet for the pictures; and P. Lopez, N. Vidal, P. Gaubert,

422 A. Ware'n and E. Strong for constructive comments on, and improvements of, the manuscript.

423 Y. Terryn and A. Kohn identified specimens of Terebridae and Conus, respectively. The

authors thank two anonymous referees for very constructive comments on the manuscript.

425

426 **References**

427 Bergsten, J., 2005. Areview of long-branch attraction. Cladistics 21, 163–193.

Bouchet, P., 1990. Turrid genera and mode of development: the use and abuse of protoconch

- 429 morphology. Malacologia 32, 69–77.
- 430 Bouchet, P., Rocroi, J.-P., 2005. Classification and nomenclator of Gastropods families.
- 431 Malacologia 47.
- Bouchet, P., Waren, A., 1980. Revision of the north-east Atlantic bathyal and abyssal
- 433 Turridae (Mollusca, Gastropoda). J. Molluscan Stud. Suppl. 8, 1–119.
- 434 Chang, C.-K., 1995. Reevaluation of the classification of Turridae. Bull. Malacol. Taiwan 19,
 435 49.
- 436 Chang, C.-K., 2001. Small Turrids of Taiwan. Thorsson, W.M., Taiwan.
- 437 Colgan, D.J., Ponder, W.F., Beacham, E., Macaranas, J., 2003. Gastropod phylogeny based

438 on six fragments from four genes representing coding or non-coding and mitochondrial or

- 439 nuclear DNA. Molluscan Res. 23, 123–148.
- Colgan, D.J., Ponder, W.F., Beacham, E., Macaranas, J., 2007. Molecular phylogenetics of
- 441 Caenogastropoda (Gastropoda: Mollusca). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 42, 717–737.
- 442 Cossmann, M., 1896. Essais de Paléoconchologie comparée, 2ème livraison. Paris.
- 443 Darlu, P., Tassy, P., 1993. La reconstruction phylogénétique. Concepts et méthodes. Masson,
 444 Paris.
- Dayrat, B., Tillier, A., Lecointre, G., Tillier, S., 2001. New clades of Euthyneuran Gastropods
- (Mollusca) from 28S rRNA sequences. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 19, 225–235.

- 447 Duda, T.F., Kohn, A.J., 2005. Species-level phylogeography and evolutionary history of the
- 448 hyperdiverse marine gastropod genus *Conus*. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 34, 257–272.
- Felsenstein, J., 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap.
 Evolution 39, 783–791.
- 451 Felsenstein, J., 2004. Inferring Phylogenies. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.
- 452 Fischer, P., 1887. Manuel de conchyliologie et de paléontologie conchyliologique. F. Savy,
 453 Paris.
- 454 Folmer, O., Black, M., Hoeh, W., Lutz, R., Vrijenhoek, R., 1994. DNA primers for
- amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan
- 456 invertebrates. Mol. Mar. Biol. Biotechnol. 3, 294–299.
- 457 Fry, B.G., Vidal, N., Norman, J.A., Vonk, F.J., Scheib, H., Ramjan, S.F.R., Kuruppu, S.,
- 458 Fung, K., Hedges, S.B., Richardson, M.K., Hodgson, W.C., Ignjatovic, V., Summerhayes, R.,
- Kochva, E., 2006. Early evolution of the venom system in lizards and snakes. Nature 439,
 584–588.
- 461 Giribet, G., Carranza, S., Bagui, J., Riutort, M., Ribera, C., 1996. First molecular evidence for
- the existence of a Tardigrada + Arthropoda clade. Mol. Biol. Evol. 13, 76–84.
- 463 Guindon, S., Gascuel, O., 2003. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large
- 464 phylogenies by maximum likelihood. Syst. Biol. 52, 696–704.
- 465 Hall, T.A., 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis
- 466 program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser. 41, 95–98.
- 467 Harasewych, M.G., Adamkewicz, S.L., Blake, J.A., Saudek, D., Spriggs, T., Bult, C.J., 1997.
- 468 Neogastropod phylogeny: a molecular perspective. J. Molluscan Stud. 63, 327–351.
- 469 Hassouna, M., Michot, B., Bachellerie, J.-P., 1984. The complete nucleotide sequence of
- 470 mouse 28SrRNA gene. Implications for the process of size increase of the large subunit rRNA
- 471 of higher eukaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 3563–3583.
- 472 Hebert, P.D.N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S.L., deWaard, J.R., 2003. Biological identifications
- 473 through DNA Barcodes. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 270, 313–321.
- 474 Hedley, C., 1922. A revision of the Australian Turridae. Rec. Aust. Mus. 13, 213–359.
- 475 Hillis, D.M., Bull, J.J., 1993. An empirical test of bootstrapping as a method for assessing
- 476 confidence in phylogenetic analysis. Syst. Biol. 42, 182–192.
- 477 Huelsenbeck, J.P., Ronquist, F., Hall, B., 2001. MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogeny.
- 478 Bioinformatics 17, 754–755.
- 479 Jovelin, R., Justine, J.-L., 2001. Phylogenetic relationships within the Polyopisthocotylean
- 480 monogeneans (Plathyhelminthes) inferred from partial 28S rDNA sequences. Int. J. Parasitol.
 481 31, 393-401.
- Kantor, Y.I., 2006. On the morphology and homology of the "central tooth" in the radula of
 Turrinae (Conoidea: Turridae). Ruthenica 16, 47–52.
- 484 Kantor, Y.I., Medinskaya, A.I., Taylor, J.D., 1997. Foregut anatomy and relationships of the
- 485 Crassispirinae (Gastropoda, Conoidea). Bull. Nat. Hist. Mus. Lond. (Zool.) 63, 55–92.
- 486 Kantor, Y.I., Taylor, J.D., 1994. The foregut anatomy of *Strictispira paxillus* (Reeve, 1845)
- 487 (Conoidea: Strictispiridae). J. Molluscan Stud. 60, 343–346.
- 488 Kilburn, R.N., 1983. Turridae (Mollusca: Gastropoda) of southern Africa and Mozambique.
- 489 Part 1. Subfamily Turrinae. Natal Mus. Ann. 25, 549–585.
- 490 Kilburn, R.N., 1985. Turridae (Mollusca: Gastropoda) of southern Africa and Mozambique.
- 491 Part 2. Subfamily Clavatulinae. Natal Mus. Ann. 26, 417–470.
- 492 Kilburn, R.N., 1986. Turridae (Mollusca: Gastropoda) of southern Africa and Mozambique.
- 493Part 3. Subfamily Borsoniinae. Natal Mus. Ann. 27, 633–720.
- 494 Kilburn, R.N., 1988. Turridae (Mollusca: Gastropoda) of southern Africa and Mozambique.
- 495 Part 4. Subfamily Drilliinae, Crassispirinae and Strictispirinae. Natal Mus. Ann. 29, 167–320.

- 496 Kilburn, R.N., 1989. Notes on *Ptychobela* and *Brachytoma*, with the description of a new
- 497 species from Mozambique (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Turridae). Natal Mus. Ann. 30, 185–196.
- 498 Kilburn, R.N., 1991. Turridae (Mollusca: Gastropoda) of southern Africa and Mozambique.

499 Part 5. Subfamily Taraninae. Natal Mus. Ann. 32, 325–339.

- 500 Kilburn, R.N., 1992. Turridae (Mollusca: Gastropoda) of southern Africa and Mozambique.
- 501 Part 6. Subfamily Mangeliinae, section 1. Natal Mus. Ann. 33, 461–575.
- 502 Kilburn, R.N., 1993. Turridae (Mollusca: Gastropoda) of southern Africa and Mozambique.
- 503 Part 6. Subfamily Mangeliinae, section 2. Natal Mus. Ann. 34, 317–367.
- 504 Kilburn, R.N., 1994. Turridae [s.l.] (Mollusca: Gastropoda) of southern Africa and
- 505 Mozambique. Part 7. Subfamily Crassispirinae, section 2. Natal Mus. Ann. 35, 177–228.
- 506 Kilburn, R.N., 1995. Turridae (s.l.) of southern Africa and Mozambique (Mollusca:
- Gastropoda: Conoidea). Part 8. Conidae: subfamily Mangeliinae, section 3. Natal Mus. Ann.
 36, 261–269.
- Kilburn, R.N., 2004. The identities of *Otitoma* and *Antimitra* (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Conidae
 and Buccinidae). Afr. Invertebr. 45, 263–270.
- 511 Kohn, A.J., 1990. Tempo and mode of evolution in Conidae. Malacologia 32, 55–67.
- 512 Mason-Gamer, R.J., Kellogg, E.A., 1996. Testing for phylogenetic conflict among molecular
- 513 data sets in the tribe Triticeae (Graminae). Syst. Biol. 45, 524–545.
- 514 McLean, J.H., 1971. A revised classification of the family Turridae, with the proposal of new
- subfamilies, genera and subgenera from the Eastern pacific. Veliger 14, 114–130.
- Medinskaya, A.I., 2002. Foregut anatomy of the Turrinae (Gastropoda, Conoidea, Turridae).
 Ruthenica 12, 135–159.
- 518 Medinskaya, A.I., Sysoev, A., 2003. The anatomy of *Zemacies excelsa*, with a description of
- a new subfamily of Turridae (Gastropoda, Conoidea). Ruthenica 13, 81–87.
- 520 Morrison, J.P.E., 1965. On the families of Turridae. In: The 31st Annual Meeting of the
- 521 American Malacologial Union, pp. 1–2.
- 522 Okusu, A., Schwabe, E., Eernisse, D.J., Giribet, G., 2003. Towards a phylogeny of chitons
- (Mollusca, Polyplacophora) based on combined analysis of five molecular loci. Org. Divers.
 Evol. 3, 281–302.
- Posada, D., Crandall, K.A., 2001. Selecting models of nucleotide substitution: an application
 to human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV-1). Mol. Biol. Evol. 18, 897–906.
- 527 Powell, A.W.B., 1942. The New-Zealand recent and fossil Mollusca of the family Turridae.
- With general notes on turrid nomenclature and systematics. Bull. Auckland Inst. Mus. 2, 1–
 192.
- 530 Powell, A.W.B., 1966. The molluscan families Speightiidae ans Turridae. An evaluation of
- the valid taxa, both recent and fossil, with list of characteristic species. Bull. Auckland Inst. N = 5 1 184
- 532 Mus. 5, 1–184.
- 533 Rosenberg, G., 1998. Reproducibility of results in phylogenetic analysis of mollusks: a
- reanalysis of the Taylor, Kantor and Sysoev (1193) data set for conoidean gastropods. Am.
- 535 Malac. Bull. 14, 219–228.
- 536 Shimek, R.L., Kohn, A.J., 1981. Functional morphology and evolution of the toxoglossan 537 radula. Malacologia 20, 423–438.
- Shuto, T., 1983. New turrid taxa from the Australian waters. Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ.
 Serie D Geol. 25, 16.
- Soltis, P.S., Soltis, D.E., 2003. Applying the bootstrap in phylogeny reconstruction. Stat. Sci.
 18, 256–267.
- 542 Strugnell, J., Norman, M., Jackson, J., Drummond, A.J., Cooper, A., 2005. Molecular
- 543 phylogeny of coleoid cephalopods (Mollusca: Cephalopoda) using a multigene approach; the
- 544 effect of data partitioning on resolving phylogenies in a bayesian framework.Mol. Phylogenet.
- 545 Evol. 37, 426–441.

- 546 Swofford, D.L., 2002. PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony* and Other Methods.
- 547 Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.
- 548 Sysoev, A., Bouchet, P., 1996. Taxonomic reevaluation of *Gemmuloborsonia* Shuto, 1989
- 549 (Gastropoda: Conoidea), with a description of recent deep-water species. J. Molluscan Stud.550 62, 75–87.
- Sysoev, A., Kantor, Y.I., 1990. A new genus and species of "*Cochlespira*-like" turrids. Apex
 5, 1–6.
- 553 Taylor, J.D., 1994. Foregut anatomy of the larger species of Turrinae, Clavatulinae and
- 554 Crassispirinae (Gastropoda: Conoidea) from Hong- Kong. In: Third International Workshop555 on the Malacofauna of Hong-Kong and Southern China.
- Taylor, J.D., Kantor, Y.I., Sysoev, A.V., 1993. Foregut anatomy, feedings mechanisms and
- classification of the Conoidea (= Toxoglossa)(Gastropoda). Bull. Nat. Hist. Mus. Lond. 59,
 125–170.
- 559 Terryn, Y., 2007. A Collectors Guide to Recent Terebridae (Mollusca: Neogastropoda).
- 560 ConchBooks, Hackenheim. Thiele, J., 1929. Handbuch der systematischen weichtierkunde. G.561 Fischer, Jena.
- 562 Tippet, D.L., Tucker, J.K., 1995. Taxonomic notes on *Kenyonia* Brazier and *Conopleura*
- 563 Hinds (Gastropoda: Conoidea). Nautilus 108, 37–38.
- Tucker, J.K., 2004. Catalogue of recent and fossil turrids (Mollusca: Gastropoda). Zootaxa
 682, 1–1295.
- 566 Wenz, W., 1938–1944. Teil 1: Allgemeiner Teil und Prosobranchia. In: Schindewolf, O.H.
- 567 (Ed.), Handbuch de paläozoologie, Band 6, Gastropoda. Borntraeger, Berlin.
- 568 Wiens, J.J., 1998. Does adding characters with missing data increase or decrease phylogenetic 569 accuracy? Syst. Biol. 47, 625–640.
- 570 Zander, R.H., 2004. Minimal values for reliability of bootstrap and Jackknife proportions,
- 571 Decay index, and bayesian posterior probability. PhyloInformatics 2, 1–13.
- 572

574						
575		Powell, 1966	<u>McLean, 1971</u>	<u>Taylor et al., 1993</u>	Actual system*	
576		Clavinae Ceritoturris	Clavinae Splendrillia	DRILLIIDAE Clavus Horriclenus	DRILLIIDAE Clavus Conceptance Timet and Turken 100	0.5
577		Horaiclavus ?	 	Splendrillia	Splendrillia	95 \
578		= Ptychobela Microdrillia	Crassispirinae Inquisitor	Crassispirinae Ceritoturris	Crassispirinae Anacithara: Kilburn, 2004	
579		Splendrillia		Crassispira Funa	Ceritoturris Crassispira	
580				Inquisitor Iwaoa Duala kala	Funa Horaiclavus: Kantor, pers. com.	
581				Turridrupa	Inquisitor I waoa Ptychobela	
582			Zonulispirinae	Zonulispirinae	Zonulispirinae	
583	TURRIDAE s.L				Zemaciinae	TURRIDAE s.s.
584		Turrinae Gemmula	Turrinae Gemmula	Turrinae Gemmula	Turrinae Gemmula	
585		Lophiotoma Turridrupa	Lophiotoma	Lophiotoma Turris	Gemmuloborsonia: Sysoev and Bouchet, 1996	
586		Turris			Lophiotoma Turris	
587					Turridrupa: Kantor et al., 1997	
E00		Turriculinae Cochlespira	Turriculinae	Cochles pirinae Cochlespira	Cochlespirinae Cochlespira	
200		Iwaoa		Leucosyrinx	Leucosyrinx	
509		Leacosyninx	Pseudomelatomidae	PSEUDOMELATOMIDAE	PSEUDOMELATOMIDAE	
590			Strictispirinae	STRICTISPIRIDAE	STRICTISPIRIDAE	
591 592		TEREBRIDAE		TEREBRIDAE	TEREBRIDAE Cinguloterebra: Terryn, 2007	
593		Clavatulinae		Clavatulinae	CLAVATULIDAE	
594		Clavatula Perrona		Clavatula Perrona	Clavatula Perrona	
595		Pusionella		Pusionella	Pusionella)
596		Borsoniinae Bathytoma Bongonia	Borsonia	Borsoniid: Borsonia Typhlomangelia	Borsoniid: Borsonia	
597		Mitromorpha	Mitromorphinae	Mitromorphid: Anarithma Mitromorpha	Mitromorphid: Anarithma Mitromorpha	
598			Mitromorpha	Bathytomid: Bathytoma	Bathytomid: Bathytoma	
500			Clathurellinae	Clathurellid: Etrema ? Nannodiella	Clathurellid: Etrema ?	
600		Mangeliinae		Tomopleurid: Heteroturris Microdrillia	Tomopleurid: Heteroturris Microdrillia	
601		Anacithara Benthomangelia Conoplaura	I 	Tomopleura	Tomopleura	
602		Etrema Eucithara	Mangeliinae	Mangeliinae Benthomangelia	Mangeliinae Benthomangelia	
602		Guraleus Leiocithara		Eucithara Guraleus	Guraleus	
604	TURRIDAE s.l.	Lienardia Macteola		Leiocithara Lienardia Macteola	Lienardia Macteola	
60F		Mangelia		Mangelia	Mangelia Otitoma: Kilburn, 2004	
606				 	Toxicochlespira: Sysoev and Kantor, 1990	CONIDAE
000			1	Oenopotinae	Oen opotinae	
609		Daphnellinae Daphnella	Daphnellinae Daphnella	Daphnellinae Daphnella Rimosodaphnella	Raphitominae Daphnella	
608		Eucyclotoma Gymnobela	Kermia Philbertia	Eucyclotoma Teretiopsis Gymnobela Thatcheria	Eucyclotoma Glyphostomoides: Shuto, 1983	
609		Kermia Pleurotomella	Veprecula	Kermia Tritonoturris Pleurotomella Veprecula	Gymnobela Kermia	
610		Raphitoma Rimosodaphnella		Raphitoma	Pleurotomella Raphitoma	
611		Veprecula	-		Rimosodaphnella Teretiopsis	
612		Thatcheriinae			Thatcheria Tritonoturris	
613			1	Taraniinae ?	reprecuia	
614		Conorbinae Benthofascis		Conorbinae ? Benthofascis	Conorbinae Benthofascis	
615		CONIDAE Conus	1	Coninae Conus	Coninae Conus]
616		20110				/

573 Table 1: Evolution of Conoidea classification.

History of conoidean classification and position of the genera included in the present dataset
in the classifications of Powell (1966), McLean (1971) and Taylor et al. (1993). Subfamilies
are in bold, families in bold and capital. *Recent modifications proposed since the

classification of Taylor et al. (1993) (details given for each genus), resulting in the actual

621 system used as a basis for our discussion. (See above-mentioned references for further

622 information).

623	Table 2: S	pecimens of	Conoidea	used in	this	study.
-----	------------	-------------	----------	---------	------	--------

ID	Cruise	Station ID	Coordinates, depth	Genus (or species) identification	соі	28S	18S	Н3	Clad	les
17700*	BOA 1	CP2462	16°37.5'S, 167°57.4' E, 618- 641m	Bathytoma Harris and Burrows, 1891	x	x	x	x	20	в
17701*	BOA 1	CP2432	14°59.7'S, 166°55.0' E, 630- 705m	Leucosyrinx Dall, 1889	x	x	x	x	9	А
17702	BOA 1	CP2432	14°59.7'S, 166°55.0' E, 630- 705m	Leucosvrinx Dall, 1889	x	x	x	x	9	А
17754*	Panglao 2004	R42	9°37.1'N. 123°52.6'E. 8-22m	Turris Roding, 1798	x	x	x	x	5	A
17755*	Panglao 2004	1.46	9°30 9'N 123°41 2'E 90-110m	Crassispira Swainson 1840	x	x	x	x	2, C	A
17829	Angola	Ilha de Luanda	8°78'S 13 23'E 40-60m	Clavatula Lamarck 1801	x				22	A
17830	Angola	Cacuaco	10°51'S 14°23'E 5-10m	Pusionella Grav 1847	x				22	Δ
17831	Angola	Cacuaco	10°51'S 14°23'E 5-10m	Pusionella Grav 1847	x				22	Δ
17832	Cameroun	Victoria	3°54'N 9°08'F 34-37m	Pusionella Grav 1847	x				22	Δ
17833	Angola	Mocamedes	15°14'S 12°29'E 50m	Perrong Schumacher 1817	v				22	Δ
17934	Gabon	Port Gentil	1°17'S 11°53'Em	Pusionalla Gray 1847	A V				22	
17034	Gabon	T OIT-OCIUI	16°37.5'S, 167°57.4' E, 618-	Tusioneita Gray, 1047	^				22	Λ
17835*	BOA 1	CP2462	641m 16°37 5'S 167°57 4' E 618-	Benthomangelia Thiele, 1925	х	х	х	x	17	В
17836	BOA 1	CP2462	641m	Rimosodaphnella Cossmann, 1915	х	х	х	x	10	В
17837	EBISCO	DW2547	21°06'S, 158°36'E, 356-438m	Inquisitor Hedley, 1918	x	x	x	x	2, C	Α
17838	EBISCO	DW2533	22°18'S, 159°28'E, 360-370m	Gemmula Weinkauff, 1875	х	х	x	x	5	Α
17839*	EBISCO	CP2557	21°07'S, 158°30'E, 800-923m	Borsonia Bellardi, 1839	х	x	x	x	16	В
17840*	EBISCO	DW2631	21°03'S, 160°44'E, 372-404m	Horaiclavus Oyama, 1954	х	x	x	x	7	А
17841	EBISCO	CP2648	21°32'S, 162°30'E, 750-458m	Gymnobela Verrill, 1884	x	x	x	x	10	В
17842*	EBISCO	DW2553	21°03'S, 158°36'E, 352-370m	Cochlespira Conrad, 1865	х	x	x		8	Α
17843	EBISCO	DW2522	22°46'S, 159°21'E, 310-318m	Funa Kilburn, 1988	x	x	x	x	2, C	А
17844	EBISCO	CP2645	20°58'S, 160°58'E, 641-652m	Gymnobela Verrill, 1884	х	x	x	x	10	в
17845	EBISCO	CP2651	21°29'S, 162°36'E, 883-957m	Teretiopsis Kantor and Sysoev, 1989	x	x	x	x	10	в
17846*	EBISCO	CP2600	19°38'S, 158°46'E, 603-630m	Leucosvrinx Dall, 1889	x	x	x	x	3, C	А
17847*	EBISCO	DW2617	20°06'S, 160°22'E, 427-505m	Splendrillia Hedley, 1922	x	x	x	x	1, C	A
17848	EBISCO	DW2625	20°05'S 160°19'E 627-741m	Pleurotomella Verrill 1873	x	x	x	x	10	в
17849*	EBISCO	DW2619	20°06'S, 160°23'E, 490-550m	cf. Gemmuloborsonia Shuto, 1989	x	x	x	x		A
17850	EBISCO	DW2607	19°33'S, 158°40'E, 400-413m	Turridruna Hedley, 1922	x	x	x	x	5	A
17851	FBISCO	DW2625	20°05'S 160°19'E 627-741m	Inquisitor Hedley, 1918	x	x	x	x	2, C	Δ
17852	EBISCO	DW2625	20°05'S 160°19'E 627 741m	Gammula Weinkouff 1875	A V	A V	A V	x	5	
17853*	FBISCO	DW2629	21°06'S 160°46'E 569-583m	Hateroturris Powell 1967	x	x	v	v	18	B
17855*	Norfolk 2	DW2155	22°52'S 167°13'E 453-455m	Banthofascis Iredale 1936	A	v	v	v	10	B
17857	FBISCO	CP2551	21°06'S 158°35'E 637-650m	Bathytoma Harris and Burrows 1891	x	x	x	x	20	B
17959	Panglao 2004	\$12	9°29 4'N 123°56 0'E 6 8m	Clause Monfort 1810	v	v	v	v	1, C	^
17850	Panglao 2004	\$12	0°20 4'N 123°56 0'E 6 8m	Turnidrung Hadlay 1022	A V	A V	A V	A V	5	
17860	Panglao 2004	D44	0°22 2'N 122°42 0'E 2m	Lophictome Cocov, 1904	X	X	X	X	5	D
17000	Panglao 2004	P14	9 35.5 IN, 123 45.9 E, 211	Karmia Olivor 1015	X	X	X	X	10	р
17960	Panglao 2004	D14 T10	9 38.3 IN, 123 49.2 E, 2-4III	Commute Weigkouff 1975	X	X	X	X	5	D
17962	Panglao 2004	P16	9 55.4 N, 125 49.0 E, 117-124III	Mastacla Hodloy, 1018	X	X	X	X	11	D A
17964	Panglao 2004	\$19	9 57.0 N, 123 47.3 E, 2011	of Curalous Hodloy, 1918	X	X	X	X	11	р
17965	Panglao 2004	D2	0°30'N 122°44'E 400	Bathytoma Horris and Dymours 1901	л 	л 	A v	л 	20	и п
17966*	Pangles 2004	F2	7 57 IN, 125 44 E, 400m	Manaalia Dissa, 1926	X 	X	х 	х 	11	Б
17000	Panglao 2004	D10	9 42.1 IN, 123°51.4°E, 3-4m	Mangella Kisso, 1820		x	X	X	11	В
17000	Panglao 2004	B19	9 29.4 IN, 125 30.0 E, 1/m	Accesside and M. H. (2022)	X	X	X	X	10	ч
17868	Pangiao 2004	819	9°29.4'N, 123°56.0'E, 17m	Anacithara Hedley, 1922	X	X	X	X	12	A
17869	Pangiao 2004	821	9 ⁻ 41./ IN, 123 [°] 30.9 [°] E, 4-12m	Errema Hedley, 1918	х	x	X	X	12	в
17870	Panglao 2004	S25	9°41.5'N, 123°51.0'E, 21m	Otitoma Jousseaume, 1898	х	x	х	х	Ċ	Α
17871	Panglao 2004	S26	9°41.5'N, 123°51.0'E, 21m	Kermia Oliver, 1915	х	х	х	х	10	в

17872	Panglao 2004	S26	9°41,5'N. 123°51.0'E. 21m	Macteola Hedley, 1918	x	x	x	x	11
17873	Panglao 2004	T26	9°43 3'N 123°48 8'F 123-135m	Guraleus Hedley, 1918	x	x	x	x	11
17874	Panglao 2004	T26	9°43.3'N, 123°48.8'E, 123-135m	Guraleus Hedley, 1918	x	x	x	x	11
17875*	Panglao 2004	T26	9°43.3'N, 123°48.8'E, 123-135m	Tomopleura Casey, 1924	x	x	x	x	14
17876	Panglao 2004	B21	9°37.2'N. 123°46.4'E. 20-21m	Lienardia Jousseaume, 1928	x	x	x	x	12
17877*	Panglao 2004	B21	9°37.2'N, 123°46.4'E, 20-21m	Mitromorpha Carpenter, 1865	x	x	x	x	13
17878	Panglao 2004	B25	9°29 4'N 123°56 1'E 16m	Kermia Oliver 1915	x	x	x	x	10
47070	D 1 2001	720							2,
17879	Panglao 2004	132	9°36.4'N, 123°53.8'E, 60-62m	Inquisitor Hedley, 1918	X	X	X	X	C
17880	Panglao 2004	L46	9°30.9'N, 123°41.2'E, 90-110m	Kermia Oliver, 1915	X	X	X	X	10
17881	Panglao 2004	L46	9°30.9'N, 123°41.2'E, 90-110m	Daphnella Hinds, 1844	X	X	X	X	10
17882*	Panglao 2004	L46	9°30.9'N, 123°41.2'E, 90-110m	Raphitoma Bellardi, 1848	X	X	х	X	10
17883	Panglao 2004	L46	9°30.9'N, 123°41.2'E, 90-110m	Veprecula Melvili, 1917	X	X	X	X	10
17884	Panglao 2004	L46	9°30.9'N, 123°41.2'E, 90-110m	Letocithara Hedley, 1922	X	X	X	X	11
17885	Panglao 2004	136	9°29.3'N, 123°51.5'E, 95-128m	Ceritoturris Dall, 1924	X	X	х	X	1,
17886	Panglao 2004	T36	9°29.3'N, 123°51.5'E, 95-128m	Splendrillia Hedley, 1922	x	х	х	х	C
17887	Panglao 2004	T36	9°29.3'N, 123°51.5'E, 95-128m	Microdrillia Casey, 1903	x	х	х	x	18
17888	Panglao 2004	T36	9°29.3'N, 123°51.5'E, 95-128m	Ceritoturris Dall, 1924	x	х	х	х	7
17889	Panglao 2004	T41	9°29.7'N, 123°50.2'E, 110-112m	Conopleura Hinds, 1844	x	x	х	x	1, C
17890	Panglao 2004	L49	9°36.5'N, 123°45.3'E, 90m	Raphitoma Bellardi, 1848	x	x	x	x	10
17891	Panglao 2004	T39	9°30.1'N, 123°50.4'E, 100-138m	cf. Tritonoturris Dall, 1924	x	х	x	x	10
17892	Panglao 2004	T39	9°30.1'N, 123°50.4'E, 100-138m	cf. Glyphostomoides Shuto, 1983	x	x	x	х	10
17893	Panglao 2004	T41	9°29.7'N, 123°50.2'E, 110-112m	cf. Mitromorpha Carpenter, 1865	x	x	x	x	13
17894	Panglao 2004	B7	9°35.9'N, 123°51.8'E, 4-30m	Lienardia Jousseaume, 1928	x	х	x	x	12
17895	Panglao 2004	D5	9°33.6'N, 123°43.5'E, 0-3m	Inquisitor Hedley, 1918	x	x	x	x	2, C
17896	Panglao 2004	D5	9°33.6'N, 123°43.5'E, 0-3m	Eucithara Fischer, 1883	x	x	x	x	11
17897*	Panglao 2004	B8	9°37.1'N. 123°46.1'E. 3m	Lienardia Jousseaume, 1928	x	x	x	x	12
17898	Panglao 2004	B8	9°37.1'N, 123°46.1'E, 3m	Mitromorpha Carpenter, 1865	x	x	x	x	13
17899	Panglao 2004	B8	9°37.1'N. 123°46.1'E. 3m	Eucithara Fischer, 1883	x	x	x	x	11
17900	Panglao 2004	B8	9°37.1'N, 123°46.1'E, 3m	Eucithara Fischer, 1883	x	x	x	x	11
17901	Panglao 2004	85	9°37.1'N, 123°46.1'E, 2-4m	Anarithma Iredale, 1916	x	x	x	x	13
47000	D 1 2004	0.6	0020 SNL 122040 2/E 1 4						1,
17902	Panglao 2004	S6	9°38.5'N, 123°49.2'E, 1-4m	Clavus Monfort, 1810	X	X	х	X	C 10
17903	Panglao 2004	512	9°29.4'N, 123°56.0'E, 6-8m	Eucyclotoma Boettger, 1895	X	X	X	X	10
17904	Panglao 2004	19	9°33.5N, 123°49.5'E, 97-120m	cf. Nannodiella Dall, 1919	X	X	X	X	2,
17905	Panglao 2005	CP2348	9°29.6'N, 123°52.5'E, 196-216m	Otitoma Jousseaume, 1898	x	х	х	х	C 2
17906	Panglao 2005	CP2349	9°31.6'N, 123°55.7'E, 219-240m	Ptychobela Thiele, 1925	x	x	х	x	2, C
17907	Panglao 2005	CP2349	9°31.6'N, 123°55.7'E, 219-240m	Gemmula Weinkauff, 1875	x	x	x	x	5
17908	Panglao 2005	CP2332	9°38.8'N, 123°45.9'E, 396-418m	Iwaoa Kuroda, 1953	x	x	х	x	7
17909	Panglao 2005	CP2343	9°27.4'N, 123°49.4'E, 273-356m	Cinguloterebra cf. fujitai Kuroda and Habe, 1952	x	x	х	x	6
17910	Panglao 2005	CP2349	9°31.6'N, 123°55.7'E, 219-240m	Tomopleura Casey, 1924	x	x	x	x	14
17911	Panglao 2005	CP2333	9°38.2'N, 123°43.5'E, 584-596m	cf. Heteroturris Powell, 1967	x	x	х	x	18
17912	Panglao 2005	CP2377	8°40.6'N, 123°20.3'E, 85-88m	Conus praecellens Adams, 1854	x	x	x	x	19
17913*	Panglao 2005	CP2377	8°40.6'N, 123°20.3'E, 85-88m	Conus sulcatus Hwass in Bruguière, 1792	x	x	х	x	19
17914	Panglao 2005	CP2380	8°41.3'N, 123°17.8'E, 150-163m	Conus sulcatus Hwass in Bruguière, 1792	x	x	x	x	21
17915	Panglao 2005	CP2381	8°43.3'N, 123°19.0'E, 259-280m	Toxicochlespira Sysoev and Kantor, 1990	x	x	х	x	17
17916*	Panglao 2005	CP2385	8°51.0'N, 123°10.0'E. 982-989m	Comitas Finlay, 1926	x	x	х	x	4, C
17917	Panglao 2005	CP2393	9°30.1'N, 123°41.6'E, 356-396m	Terebra polygirata Deshaves, 1859	x	x	x	x	6
17040	Denal 0005	000000	000 CON 102024 STE 252 201						4,
17918	Panglao 2005	CP2388	9°20.9°N, 123°34.5°E, 762-786m	Comitas Finlay, 1926	X	x	X	X	C o
17919	Pangiao 2005	CP2340	9°29.4°N, 123°44.4°E, 271-318m		X	x	X		8
1/920	Panglao 2005	CP2340	9°29.4'N, 123°44.4'E, 271-318m	Cochlespira Conrad, 1865	X	х	х		8
17921*	Panglao 2005	CP2340	9°29.4'N, 123°44.4'E, 271-318m	Conus orbignyi Kilburn, 1975	Х	Х	X	х	21

1	i i		1 1							
17922	Panglao 2005	DW2400	9°32.5'N, 123°41.8'E, 111-115m	Conus wakayamaensis Kuroda, 1956	х	х	х	х	21	В
17923	Panglao 2005	CP2395	9°36.2'N, 123°43.8'E, 382-434m	Cinguloterebra cf. fenestrata Hinds, 1844	х	x	х	х	6	А
17924	Salomon 2	CP2184	8°16,9' S, 159°59,7' E, 464-523m	Thatcheria Angas, 1877	х	x	х	х	10	в
17925	Salomon 2	CP2227	6°37,2' S, 156°12,7' E, 508-522m	Toxicochlespira Sysoev and Kantor, 1990	x	x	х	х	17	в
17926*	Salomon 2	CP2269	7°45,1' S, 156°56,3' E, 768-890m	Borsonia Bellardi, 1839	х	х	х	х	15	в
17927	Salomon 2	CP2260	8°03,5' S, 156°54,5' E, 399-427m	Daphnella Hinds, 1844	х	x	x	х	10	в
17928	Salomon 2	CP2216	7°45,3' S, 157°39,4' E, 930-977m	Comitas Finlay, 1926	х	x	x	x	3, C	А
17929	Salomon 2	CP2186	8°17,0' S, 160°00,0' E, 487-541m	Bathytoma Harris and Burrows, 1891	х	х	х	х	20	в
17930	Salomon 2	CP2269	7°45,1' S, 156°56,3' E, 768-890m	Benthomangelia Thiele, 1925	х	х	х	х	17	в
17931	Salomon 2	CP2269	7°45,1' S, 156°56,3' E, 768-890m	cf. Typhlomangelia Sars, 1878	х	x	x	х	18	в
17932	Salomon 2	CP2197	8°24,4' S, 159°22,5' E, 897- 1057m	Borsonia Bellardi, 1839	x	x	x	x	15	в
17933	Salomon 2	CP2228	6°34,7' S, 156°10,5' E, 609-625m	Comitas Finlay, 1926	x	x	x	X	3, C	А
17934	Salomon 2	CP2176	9°09,4' S, 158°59,2' E, 600-875m	Borsonia Bellardi, 1839	x	x	x	х	16	в
17935	Salomon 2	CP2187	8°17,5' S, 159°59,8' E, 482-604m	Inquisitor Hedley, 1918	x	x	x	x	2, C	А
17936	Santo 2006	LD28	15°35,4'S, 166°58,7'E, 3-8m	Conus generalis Linne, 1758	x	x	х	х	19	в
17937	Santo 2006	NR52	15°35,6S, 167°01,9E, 15m	Conus gauguini Richard and Salvat, 1973	x	x	х	х	19	в
17938*	Santo 2006	LD28	15°35,4'S, 166°58,7'E, 3-8m	Terebra textilis Hinds, 1844	х	х	х	х	6	А
17939	Santo 2006	AT87	15°32,1'S, 167°16,1'E, 235-271m	Conus consors Sowerby, 1833	x	x	x	x	19	В
17854	Norfolk 2	DW2034	23°41'S, 167°41'E, 485-505m	Nassaria, Buccinidae	x	x	x	x		
17856	Norfolk 2	DW2081	25°54'S, 168°22'E, 500-505m	Cancellopollia, Buccinidae	х	x	х	x		
GenBank				Littorina, Littorinidae	х	x	х	x		

625

626 Identification number (ID) corresponding to MNHN catalogue number, cruise and station of

627 collection, with the coordinates and the depth, are given for each specimen. Specimens are

628 identified at genus level, except *Conus* and Terebridae which are identified at species level. A

629 cross indicates that the specimen was successfully sequenced for the gene. Allocation to

630 clades A, B, C and 1–22, as defined by the molecular analysis, is given for each taxon.^a This

specimen has been chosen to illustrate the clade to which it belongs in Fig. 1.

Dataset	Model	Base frequencies	Substitution rates	I	G
COI	GTR+I+G	$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\rm A} &= 0.1922 \\ \pi_{\rm C} &= 0.245 \\ \pi_{\rm G} &= 0.2215 \\ \pi_{\rm T} &= 0.3413 \end{aligned}$	r (A-C) = 0.8578 r (A-G) = 5.3343 r (A-T) = 0.3918 r (C-G) = 0.9449 r (C-T) = 35.0926	0.6915	0.6794
28S	GTR+I+G	$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\rm A} &= 0.1563 \\ \pi_{\rm C} &= 0.3383 \\ \pi_{\rm G} &= 0.3502 \\ \pi_{\rm T} &= 0.1551 \end{aligned}$	r (A-C) = 0.7256 r (A-G) = 1.8046 r (A-T) = 1.5931 r (C-G) = 0.4122 r (C-T) = 7.8933	0.5957	0.6338
18 S	TrNef+I+G		r (A-C) = 1 r (A-G) = 3.0918 r (A-T) = 1 r (C-G) = 1 r (C-T) = 9.2099	0.8620	0.5928
Н3	GTR+I+G	$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\rm A} &= 0.2063 \\ \pi_{\rm C} &= 0.3261 \\ \pi_{\rm G} &= 0.3113 \\ \pi_{\rm T} &= 0.1563 \end{aligned}$	r (A-C) = 1.4455 r (A-G) = 3.2261 r (A-T) = 2.663 r (C-G) = 0.9033 r (C-T) = 8.6701	0.6233	0.9671
CD1	GTR+I+G	$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\rm A} &= 0.2154 \\ \pi_{\rm C} &= 0.2761 \\ \pi_{\rm G} &= 0.2803 \\ \pi_{\rm T} &= 0.2282 \end{aligned}$	r (A-C) = 1 r (A-G) = 2.8258 r (A-T) = 1 r (C-G) = 1 r (C-T) = 10.8424	0.7230	0.4565
CD2	GTR+I+G	$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\rm A} &= 0.2062 \\ \pi_{\rm C} &= 0.2772 \\ \pi_{\rm G} &= 0.2874 \\ \pi_{\rm T} &= 0.2292 \end{aligned}$	r (A-C) = 1.3887 r (A-G) = 3.1175 r (A-T) = 1.1091 r (C-G) = 0.986 r (C-T) = 11.5743	0.7192	0.4490
Propor	tion of in	variable si	tes; G, gamma	a rate	distri

Table 3: Models of evolution and parameters estimated using AIC implemented in Modeltestfor each gene separately and each combined dataset.

Table 4: Node supports of ML, MP and BA analyses for the four genes separately and for the

two combined datasets.

665

	COI		28S			18S			H3			CD1			CD2			
	ML	MP	BA	ML	MP	BA	ML	MP	BA	ML	MP	BA	ML	MP	BA	ML	MP	BA
Conoidea							35		0.94				85	71	0.98	79	65	1
Clade A	1						13			6		0.6	85	70	0.88	68	58	0.73
Clade B						1							53	33	0.52	52	28	1
Clade C				34	30	0.98				41	40		89	81	1	91	76	1
Clade 1				99	92	1	99		1	66	43	0.54	100	100	1	100	100	1
Clade 2	11	9					7	28	0.96		32		84	84	1	84	85	1
Clade 3	57	46		95	95	0.83		63	0.96	100	98	0.95	100	100	1	100	100	1
Clade 4	93	92	0.96	100	100	1		1		100	99	0.98	100	100	1	100	100	1
Clade 5	27	17	1	54	34	0.98				32	72	0.99	100	93	1	100	97	1
Clade 6	53	26	1	86	93	1	34	44	0.96	58	70	0.63	100	98	1	100	100	1
Clade 7		36	0.57	90	87	0.94				41	21	0.53	100	97	1	100	99	1
Clade 8	98	100	1	99	99	1	62	55	1							100	100	1
Clade 9	100	100	1	100	100	1		0		100	100	1	100	100	1	100	100	1
Clade 10				90	80	1					16		100	100	1	98	95	1
Clade 11				99	99	1				42	23	0.77	100	99	1	100	100	1
Clade 12				97	87	1	59	52	1	45	26	0.95	100	95	1	100	92	1
Clade 13	23	27	0.55	97	98	1	92	88	1	46	46		100	100	1	100	100	1
Clade 14	75	62	1	72	66	0.92				70	81	0.65	99	100	1	100	100	1
Clade 15	100	98	1	100	98	1	88	62	0.98	100	100	1	100	100	1	100	100	1
Clade 16	38	56		31						56	48	0.99	97	93	1	98	95	1
Clade 17		15		24	20		56	57	0.98	96	94	1	99	100	1	99	100	1
Clade 18	91	90	1		16					64	51	0.87	98	93	1	96	86	1
Clade 19				100	100	1	100	98	1	99	100	1	100	100	1	100	100	1
Clade 20				100	100	1				87	74	0.94	100	100	1	100	100	1
Clade 21	99	97	1	89	84	1				95	97	1	100	100	1	100	100	1
Clade 22	56	52	0.88															

666 667

Bootstraps values and Posterior Probabilities are given for 26 nodes (all Conoidea, clades A,

B, C and clades 1–22). CD, Combined dataset. Gray cells correspond to unavailable data

670 (sequences for specimens attributed to clade 8 were not obtained for H3 gene, and sequences

671 for those attributed to clade 22 were successfully sequenced only for COI gene).

Table 5: Genera included in the clades A, B, C and 1–22, and association to a taxonomic name proposed in previous classifications (see Table 1).



721 underlined.

Fig. 1. Consensus tree of MP, ML and BA results obtained with CD2. Nodes presented here were found with at least two of the three methods used. Top downwards, MP bootrstraps, ML boostraps and Posterior Probabilities are specified for each node. Supports for intranodes of clades 1–21 are not presented. Taxonomic names are attributed for each of the clades 1–21, as explained in the text. One example of shell, corresponding to the type-genus when possible, is given for each clade. Illustrated specimens are quoted in the Table 2.

- 728
- 729

