

# Temporal control of Dickeya dadantii main virulence gene expression by growth phase-dependent alteration of regulatory nucleoprotein complexes

A. Duprey, G. Muskhelishvili, Sylvie Reverchon, William Nasser

## ► To cite this version:

A. Duprey, G. Muskhelishvili, Sylvie Reverchon, William Nasser. Temporal control of Dickeya dadantii main virulence gene expression by growth phase-dependent alteration of regulatory nucleoprotein complexes. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Gene Regulatory Mechanisms , 2016, 1859 (11), pp.1470-1480. hal-01997030

# HAL Id: hal-01997030 https://hal.science/hal-01997030v1

Submitted on 15 Nov 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Temporal Control of Dickeya dadantii Main Virulence Gene Expression by Growth
2	Phase-Dependent Alteration of Regulatory Nucleoprotein Complexes
3	
4	Short title: Control of Virulence Gene by Nucleoprotein Complex Alteration
5	
6	Alexandre Duprey <sup>1,2,3</sup> , Georgi Muskhelishvili <sup>1,2,3</sup> , Sylvie Reverchon <sup>1,2,3</sup> and William
7	Nasser <sup>1,2,3*</sup> .
8	
9	<sup>1</sup> Université Lyon 1, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France
10	<sup>2</sup> INSA de Lyon, F-69621 Villeurbanne, France
11	<sup>3</sup> CNRS UMR5240 Microbiologie, Adaptation et Pathogénie, Villeurbanne, France
12	
13	* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +33 (0)4 72 43 26 95; Fax: +33 (0)4
14	72 43 26 86; Email: william.nasser@insa-lyon.fr
15	
16	Abstract
17	In bacteria, transcriptional control of important genes often involves numerous

factors, forming an intricate web of interactions. Due to this complexity, the effects of transcriptional regulators are most often studied separately and little information is available concerning their interactions. In this work using a combination of biochemical and genetics approaches, we explore the regulation of *D. dadantii* major virulence gene *pelD*. By dissecting the individual effects of binding sites for the abundant nucleoid-associated protein FIS and the global transcriptional regulator CRP, as well as the impact of a newly discovered divergent promoter, *div*, we provide an unprecedented level of detail on the

multifactorial regulation of gene transcription. We show that the temporal regulation of 25 *pelD* is under the control of changing composition of higher-order nucleoprotein complexes 26 formed on binding of FIS and CRP to the *div* and *pelD* promoters during the growth cycle, 27 28 that allows for sequential expression of *div* and *pelD* in the early and late exponential growth phases, respectively. Our results reveal the importance of "orphan" promoters in 29 gene regulation and show that the individual binding sites for a regulator can serve several 30 purposes and have different effects on transcription, thus adding a new level of complexity 31 to bacterial transcriptional regulation. 32

33

### 34 Graphical abstract

35



38 Highlights

36

- The transcriptional regulation of the *pelD* virulence gene of *D*. *dadantii* is complex
- 40 *pelD* is regulated by a divergent orphan promoter *div*

- Individual FIS binding sites contribute differentially to *pelD* regulation
- 42 CRP activates *pelD* and *div* promoters asymmetrically
- Nucleoprotein complexes change to create a sequential expression pattern of *pelD*

44 and *div* 

45

#### 46 Keywords

- 47 Transcriptional regulation, temporal regulation, Nucleoid-associated protein, FIS, CRP,
   48 virulence gene
- 49

#### 50 Abbreviations

- 51 TF: Transcription Factor
- 52 NAP: Nucleoid-Associated Protein
- 53 PGA: PolyGalacturonic Acid
- 54

#### 55 Introduction

During the bacterial life cycle, the cells can be confronted with a variety of 56 environments differing in nutrient composition/availability, temperature, pH, salinity and 57 biotic interactions. Successful adaptation to these variable environmental conditions 58 requires appropriate phenotypic modifications. For this purpose, bacteria modify the gene 59 expression primarily at the level of transcriptional regulation, mediated by transcription 60 factors (TFs) binding at regulatory site(s) in the gene promoter regions. Whereas 61 repression by direct steric hindrance leading to promoter occlusion, and activation by 62 recruitment of RNA polymerase by a TF, are the most frequently described regulation 63 64 mechanisms [1], most genes are controlled by several TFs often competing for binding sites in the gene regulatory region, or for interaction with RNA polymerase. Furthermore, a 65

small class of highly abundant TFs, known as nucleoid-associated proteins (NAPs), regulate transcription via a variety of DNA topology-related mechanisms such as looping [2], modulation of the spatial proximity of DNA-binding factors [3], and alteration of DNA configuration [4]. This potential of utilising topological changes in DNA entails complex regulatory mechanisms, which are not well understood.

FIS (factor for inversion stimulation) is one of the major NAPs in y-proteobacteria, 71 capable of bending and looping the DNA and acting as a global regulator of transcription 72 and growth [5-7]. In adition to direct binding effects, FIS can influence global DNA 73 topology via regulation of DNA topoisomerases [8]. In particular, FIS acts to preserve the 74 moderately supercoiled forms, protecting the DNA from shifts towards the strongly relaxed 75 or highly negatively supercoiled extremes of the topological spectrum [9]. In E. coli, FIS is 76 77 highly abundant in early to mid-exponential growth phase when the bacterial growth rate is high, whereas afterwards its level rapidly decreases becoming undetectable in stationary 78 phase [10]. FIS can activate transcription initiation by various mechanisms such as 79 recruitment of RNA polymerase at the promoter, induction of a conformational change in 80 the promoter DNA, or both [11]. However, FIS is a dual regulator and can also act as a 81 repressor counteracting the expression of inessential gene products during rapid growth 82 [11]. Thus, the changing concentration of FIS during the growth cycle can both activate 83 and repress the production of growth-related proteins and modulate the expression of 84 virulence factors in a growth phase-dependent manner [5,12] leading to a sequential 85 production of specific proteins during infection. 86

*Dickeya dadantii* is a phytopahogenic bacterium originating from tropical areas, which has been recently identified as a causal agent of crop damage in temperate regions (PQR - EPPO database on quarantine pests, available from

http://www.eppo.int/DATABASES/pgr/pgr.htm). D. dadantii has a broad host spectrum, 90 including economically important crops such as corn (Zea mays), potato (Solanum 91 tuberosum) and chicory (Cichorium endivia) [13]. The main infection symptom is soft rot, 92 93 which results from the action of extracellular enzymes, mainly pectate lyases (Pels) degrading pectin, an essential component of the plant cell wall. D. dadantii produces more 94 than ten Pels with different biochemical properties and expression patterns [14,15]. The 95 synthesis of *D. dadantii* Pels is fine-tuned according to environmental or metabolic signals 96 [16], such as the presence of pectic compounds (via KdgR repressor) [17-19], and the 97 oxidative and acidic stresses [3,20]. It was shown that the sugar catabolism regulator 98 complex cAMP-CRP is the main direct activator of the *pel* genes [19,21]. Furthermore, the 99 abundant NAP FIS modulates the expression of the pel genes in a growth phase-100 dependent manner [22]. Both these factors bind to the *pel* gene regulatory regions making 101 these genes ideal for exploring how bacteria integrate various mechanisms to control 102 transcription initiation. Among the Pels, PelD production is most strongly affected by pectin 103 derivatives and growth-phase, which makes it a key element in the induction of *D. dadantii* 104 virulence [23,24]. The *pelD* gene is inactive during exponential growth when the bacterial 105 density is low, and strongly expressed in early stationary phase when the bacterial density 106 is sufficient to overcome the plant defence mechanisms. Previously, it was demonstrated 107 that FIS binds two regions in *pelD* [25], but their impact in modulating *pelD* expression 108 remains unclear. Furthemore, it was observed that RNA polymerase binds at the *pelD* 109 upstream AT-rich region more tightly than at the *pelD* core promoter itself [25,26]. Notably, 110 binding of CRP and FIS induces overlapping protection patterns in the region between the 111 112 upstream RNA polymerase binding site and the *pelD* promoter. However, neither the role of these overlapping binding sites, nor the impact of upstream RNA polymerase binding 113

site on *pelD* transcription have been elucidated.

In this work, by combining in vivo transcriptional activity assays using reporter 115 genes with *in vitro* biochemical studies, we describe the complexity of the *pelD* promoter 116 117 control showing that the key component in the temporal pattern of *pelD* expression is the dynamic balance between the binding of RNA polymerases at either *pelD* or a newly 118 discovered upstream divergent promoter modulated by FIS, CRP and DNA topology. By 119 integrating the individual effects of regulators resolved at a single binding site scale with 120 the data on polymerase binding site occupation, we propose a model of pelD 121 transcriptional regulation during the growth cycle. 122

123

#### 124 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, plasmids, media, culture conditions and molecular biology
 methods

*Escherichia coli* and *Dickeya dadantii* were cultured at 37 °C in LB (Luria-Bertani, [27]) and 30 °C in M63S (M63 [27] supplemented with sucrose (S) 0.2% w/v), respectively. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: Ampcillin 100  $\mu$ g/mL, Chloramphenicol 20  $\mu$ g/mL or 4  $\mu$ g/mL for *E. coli* and *D. dadantii*, respectively. Liquid cultures were grown in a shaking incubator (150 r.p.m.). Media were solidified by the addition of 1.5% agar (w/v).

Plasmids from the pKD series were obtained either by direct synthesis (pKD0, pKD1, pKD2, pKD3, pKD4 and pKD5) or by QuickChange mutagenesis. pKD7 was mutated from pKD0 using primers pelDfis1bismut FW and pelDfis1bismut REV. pKD9 and pKD10 were mutated from pKD5 and pKD7, respectively, using primers pelDFis1mutFW and pelDFis1mutREV. pKD24 was mutated from pKD0 using primers 35rev v2 FW and 35rev

v2 REV (Table S1). pKD26 and pKD27 were mutated from pKD0 using primers 395/396
 and 397/398, respectively. pKD28 was mutated from pKD26 using primers 397/398.

constructed amplifying Plasmid pJV was by the double terminator B0014 140 141 (http://parts.igem.org/Part:BBa B0014) from the plasmid pIG50 [28] using primers B0014 FW and B0014 REV. The EcoRI-Pvull PCR product was then cloned into pJCD0 [29], 142 vielding a plasmid with terminators on both sides suitable for *in vitro* transcription. Like all 143 pUC-derived plasmids, pJV bears the noncoding RNA-I inside its replication origin which 144 was used as an internal standard. 145

Plasmids from the pPD series, pID series, pJD series, pLD0 and pND0 were obtained by restriction assembly of the corresponding pKD insert into pProbe AT', pProbe AT' (with different restriction sites for the pPD or pID series, see Table S1, such that *pelD* controls *gfp* transcription in the pPD series, and *div* controls *gfp* transcription in the pID series), pJV, pUCTer-Luc-Cm or pNB4, respectively, using standard molecular biology protocols [30].

152

#### 153 *In vivo* transcriptional activity measurements

Overnight bacterial precultures in M63S were diluted to an  $OD_{600}$  of 0.03 in M63S + CaCl<sub>2</sub> 0.1 mM + Polygalacturonic acid (PGA) 0.2 % w/v (*Dickeya dadantii*) or LB (*E. coli*). The medium was supplemented with D-luciferin (Perkin-Elmer, USA) at a final concentration of 450 µg/mL when working with Luc. When required, novobiocin was added at the start of the culture, at a final concentration of 25 µg/mL.

For fluorescence and luminescence, 200  $\mu$ L of this dilution were then distributed in a Cellstar 96-well plate (655090, Greiner Bio-one, Germany). The OD<sub>600</sub>, fluorescence and luminescence were monitored for 48h using an Infinite 200 pro plate reader (Tecan, Switzerland). For fluorescence, the excitation and emission wavelengths were 485 and
530 nm, with a bandwidth of 9 and 20 nm, respectively.

The normalised fluorescence is defined as the ratio of the fluorescence of the considered promoter in early stationary phase (which corresponds to 20 h growth for a WT strain) by the OD<sub>600</sub>, normalised to the ratio calculated for the WT promoter in a WT strain (arbitrarily set as 100).

mRNA levels were computed from fluorescence data using the model described in [31].
Due to the stability of the Gfp used (> 20 days half-life, [32]), degradation was neglected.
Because *pelD* and *div* are not expressed at the same time (see Fig 4a), the maximum
expression of both promoters is usually represented in the figures, which means early log
growth phase for *div* and late log for *pelD*.

173

For GUS, the specific beta-glucuronidase activity of the whole culture was measured at a comparable growth stage using the method described in [33].

176

## 177 **DNase I footprinting**

For quantitative fluorescent DNase I footprinting, the protocol published in [19] was 178 modified as follows. Fluorescently labelled primers DNase pel FW and DNase pel REV 179 (Table S1) were used to amplify the *pelD* regulatory region from plasmids of the pKD 180 series by PCR. A clean-up was then performed using the Nucleospin Gel and PCR Clean-181 up kit (Macherey-Nagel, the Netherlands). 50 ng of the labelled DNA was used per 182 reaction, which represents a 2-fold increase in DNA guantity compared to radioactivity. 183 184 Protein concentrations were adapted accordingly. In the end, the samples were analysed on an AB 3730 XL capillary sequencer (Applied biosystems, USA). Peak areas were 185

normalised to the total fluorescence of each sample.

187

## 188 Potassium permanganate footprinting

Potassium permanganate reactions were performed on supercoiled plasmid, in the conditions described in [25]. The reaction products were divided into 2 equal parts. 15 cycles of primer extension were performed with Phusion polymerase (New England Biolabs, USA) with the fluorescently labeled primers DNase pel REV, for one part, and Bla3B4 fluo for the other part (which was used as a standard). After the primer extensions, both reactions were mixed together and analysed on an AB 3730 XL capillary sequencer (Applied biosystems, USA).

For in vivo reactivity assays, D. dadantii wild type cells harbouring plasmid pKD0, 196 containing the full *pelD* and *div* promoters, were grown in minimal M63 medium containing 197 sucrose (0.2%, W/V) and polygalacturonate (0.2%, W/V) until the required stages. Then 198 KMnO4 was added at 10 mM (final concentration) for 1 min as described [34] to the batch 199 cultures growing at 30°C under vigourous shaking. The cells were collected by 200 centrifugation and the plasmid was extracted with Machery Nalgel Spin Miniprep Kit. The 201 isolated plasmids (125 ng) were used as template for 5 cycles of primer extension with 202 Tag polymerase (New England Biolabs, USA) and <sup>32</sup>P-labeled primer 300 (Table S1). 203 Reaction products were then loaded onto a sequencing gel. 204

205

### 206 In vitro transcription

Supercoiled pJD plasmids were incubated with CRP (purified from *D. dadantii*, used at 100 nM concentration unless indicated otherwise) and RNA polymerase (commercial holoenzyme from *E. coli* purchased from Epicentre, used at 40 nM concentration unless

indicated otherwise) for 5 min at 30 °C in a reaction buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, 150 mM KCl, 200  $\mu$ M cAMP and 0.1% (v/v) Nonidet P-40. Transcription was initiated by the addition of 0.2 mM ATP, GTP and CTP, 0.01 mM cold UTP and 0.1  $\mu$ Ci/ $\mu$ L [ $\alpha$ -P<sup>32</sup>]-UTP and allowed to proceed for 15 min. Reactions were stopped by the addition of an equal volume of 20 mM EDTA, 0.025% (w/v) bromophenol blue and 0.025% (w/v) Xylene cyanol in formamide and loaded on a sequencing gel.

216

### 217 **RESULTS**

### 218 Identification and inactivation of the FIS binding sites

The cooperative binding of CRP at two distinct sites in the *pelD* regulatory region was established in a previous study [25]. Furthermore, it was found that binding of FIS resulted in protection of two DNA regions (from position -150 to -119 and -48 to +7 relative to the transcription initiation site at +1), one of which overlapped the *pelD* promoter (Fig 1). However, the exact number of FIS binding sites and their functional role remained unknown.



Fig 1: Structure of the pelD regulatory region. The sequence of the pelD regulatory region is annotated

with the experimentally verified binding sites of CRP (red box) and KdgR (green box) (19, 26). The -10 and -227 35 elements are in bold and underlined, the translational start codon is in red letters, and the transcription 228 229 initiation site is indicated by a broken arrow [26]. The positions of the -35, -10 elements and initiation site of the div promoter are indicated in pink. The two regions protected by FIS [25] and harbouring the four 230 identified FIS binding sites are indicated by blue solid lines. Mutated positions are enclosed in a rectangle 231 (black and pink for inactivation of FIS sites and *div* promoter, respectively). The region protected by CRP 232 [25] and harbouring two CRP binding sites is indicated by red solid line. The gray boxes indicate A/T tracts 233 centered at +9, +18 and +26 downstream of *div* initiation start site. Stars indicate the main Ts targeted by 234 potassium permanganate in Fig 6, which are situated on the reverse strand. 235

236

Consequently, a bioinformatic search for the FIS binding consensus on the *pelD* 237 regulatory region performed via Virtual Footprint (http://prodoric.tuwas 238 bs.de/vfp/vfp promoter.php [35]), using the weight matrix established for E. coli. Only the 239 sites with a score greater than 3.00 were considered (the mean score for referenced FIS 240 binding sites being 2.87). This highlighted three binding sites, which were designated 241 FIS1, FIS2 and FIS3 (Fig 1). FIS2 and FIS3 matched the previously determined FIS I 242 experimental footprint [22], whereas FIS1 was located upstream partially overlapping the 243 FIS II experimental footprint [25]. Manual examination of the sequence revealed a 4<sup>th</sup> 244 potential site, named FIS1bis, that was not detected by Virtual Footprint due to its 245 increased length (16 bp) compared to the consensus FIS site (15 bp). The position of 246 FIS1bis matches the previously identified experimental FISII footprint [25]. Among these 247 four putative FIS binding sites, both FIS1 and FIS1bis are located far upstream, whereas 248 FIS2 and FIS3 overlap the *pelD* core promoter. Importantly, FIS2 also overlaps the CRP2 249 binding site. These four putative sites were inactivated separately, or in various 250 combinations, by substituting the conserved G at the position 1 and the conserved C at the 251

position 15 by Ts [36], as described in Fig 1.

The success of inactivation was confirmed by DNase I footprinting demonstrating a disappearance of protection by FIS for all of these sites (Fig S1a), except for FIS1bis which showed barely detectable FIS binding on the WT template. Inactivation of FIS1, FIS2 or FIS3 did not noticeably modify the FIS footprints at the other sites (Fig S1a), which implies that binding of FIS at these sites is largely independent. In addition, major differences in affinity were observed, with FIS2 and FIS3 having a higher affinity than FIS1 and Fis1bis (Fig S1b).

260

# The pProbe-Gfp reporter system allows accurate quantification of promoter activity in *D. dadantii*

Previously, most of the quantitative transcriptional activity measurements in D. 263 dadantii have been performed by using beta-glucuronidase (GUS) genomic insertions [22]. 264 or by quantitative RT-PCR (g-RT-PCR) [3,37]. Both methods are relatively time-265 consuming, due to the need to recombine the numerous transcriptional fusions into the 266 chromosome or to extract RNA, respectively. Alternatively, heterologous GUS fusions on 267 plasmids have been successfully used in E. coli [38]. We explored two reporter genes, 268 Green fluorescent protein (Gfp) and Firefly luciferase (Luc), which would allow to perform 269 easy, plasmid-based, real-time and automated measurements of gene expression in D. 270 dadantii. Gfp is a highly stable protein (> 20 days half-life, [32]), while GUS is moderately 271 stable (4h half-life, [39]) and Luc is an unstable protein (10 min half-life, [40]). The 272 expression of a *pelD* transcriptional fusion with each reporter (Fig S2) in *D.dadantii* wild 273 274 type (WT) and its fis derivative backgrounds was measured. We found that the amplitude of the differences was negatively correlated with the stability of the proteins (ranging from 275

a fold change of 1.4 for Gfp to 7.0 for Luc). However this increased sensitivity comes at the 276 cost of decreased accuracy, especially in the fis mutant, with the coefficient of variation 277 being 20% for Luc, but only 7% for Gfp. This results in weaker statistical tests for the Luc 278 279 system e.g. a Welch test comparing WT to *fis*- conditions gives p<10<sup>-9</sup> for Gfp but only p<10<sup>-3</sup> for Luc (n=12 per condition for each reporter system). Consequently, to obtain 280 reproducible results, the Gfp system was used for further measurements, since despite 281 lower sensitivity even small (but statistically significant) differences in fluorescence could 282 be assumed to be biologically relevant. 283

284

#### 285 The pelD FIS binding sites are partially redundant

We next investigated the role of the different FIS binding sites in the modulation of *pelD* expression. To achieve this, plasmid-borne *gfp* transcriptional fusions were constructed with the mutated *pelD* promoters and transformed in *D. dadantii* wild type (WT) and *fis* mutant strains. The fluorescence of the cells was measured in early stationary phase, when the transcription of *pel* genes is maximal [41]. The results are shown in Fig 2.



293

Fig 2: Transcriptional activity of various *pelD* promoter constructs in *D. dadantii* wild type and *fis* mutant backgrounds. *D. dadantii* wild type and *fis* strains transformed with various *pelD* constructs (pProbe-Gfp plasmids D0 to D9 represented schematically on the left) were cultured in 96 well plates and the normalised fluorescence was determined in early stationary phase. Data represents the mean of 7 to 34 replicates and error bars represent the standard deviation. NS: not significant; \*\*\*: p<0.001 (Tukey's HSD test). Note that some of the introduced mutations affect the basal *pelD* promoter activity due to the overlap between the FIS binding sites and the core promoter sequence.

301

We found that as expected, FIS acted as a repressor [22], since the *pelD* activity was significantly increased in the *fis* mutant (see D0 in Fig 2). Inactivating either FIS1bis, FIS2 or FIS3 separately (compare D0 with D1, D2 and D7) had no significant impact on the repression by FIS (the fold-change remained constant at 1.40). Simultaneous inactivation of FIS2 and FIS3 (D3) alleviated the repression by FIS from 1.40-fold to 1.25fold (p<10<sup>-4</sup>, t-test for contrasts), and this residual repression can be attributed to the intact

upstream sites. Furthermore, inactivation of FIS1, FIS2 and FIS3 had a similar effect as 308 inactivation of FIS2 and FIS3 (compare D4 and D3), suggesting that FIS1 does not play 309 any role in *pelD* repression *in vivo*. However, only the simultaneous inactivation of FIS1bis, 310 FIS2 and FIS3 resulted in a complete elimination of repression by FIS, comparable to the 311 construct in which all FIS binding sites were inactivated (compare D5 and D9). It thus 312 appears that FIS2, FIS3 and FIS1bis are required for full repression of the *peID* promoter 313 by FIS in vivo. In vitro transcription reactions performed with pelD plasmid constructs 314 yielded similar results (Fig S3). Inactivation of both FIS2 and FIS3 together was necessary 315 to fully remove the repression of *peID* by FIS, but, unlike *in vivo*, FIS1bis inactivation 316 showed no effect, in keeping with the barely detectable occupancy of FIS1bis site in vitro 317 (Fig S1). 318

319

### 320 FIS competes with binding of CRP only at the CRP2 site

Whereas the occupancy of either of the strong FIS2 and FIS3 sites overlapping the 321 RNA polymerase (RNAP) recognition elements appears sufficient to prevent RNAP 322 binding at the *pelD* promoter, FIS2 also overlaps the CRP2 binding site (see Fig 1), 323 suggesting a potential competition between FIS and CRP for promoter binding. Therefore, 324 quantitative DNase I footprinting was performed to examine whether the presence of FIS 325 had an impact on the CRP footprint at the CRP2 site (Fig 3). On the wild-type regulatory 326 region, a footprint by CRP at the CRP2 site was visible only in the absence of FIS, 327 whereas on templates with inactivated FIS2 (or both FIS2 and FIS3) site(s), the protection 328 of CRP2 site by CRP was observed despite the presence of FIS. In contrast, the protection 329 of CRP1 site was independent of the presence of FIS on both the wild type and mutated 330 331 templates (Fig S4). This suggests that binding of FIS at FIS2 specifically interferes with

occupation of the CRP2 site, whereas FIS does not interfere with CRP binding at CRP1.
 We infer that binding of FIS represses the *pelD* promoter activity by competing not only
 with RNA polymerase binding, but also with binding of the activator CRP.



335

336 Fig 3: Modification of the CRP2 binding by FIS. Quantitative DNase I footprinting using fluorescently labelled linear peID DNA fragments lacking one or both of the FIS binding sites overlapping the peID 337 promoter. The reactions were performed in the presence or absence of FIS (150 nM) and with increasing 338 concentrations (from 0 to 400 nM) of CRP. The area of the peaks at one position within the CRP2 binding 339 site (at position -29 relatively to the transcription start site) is represented for different CRP concentrations. 340 341 This position is protected by CRP and becomes hypersensitive in presence of FIS. Absence of variation in this area (as observed with D0 and D2 in presence of FIS) means that CRP cannot bind the corresponding 342 site. Consistent results were obtained also with two other positions analysed within the CRP2 footprint (-47 343 and -21). 344

345

#### 346 The upstream divergent promoter is activated by supercoiling and repressed by FIS

The upstream FIS binding sites (FIS1 and FIS1bis) are located too far upstream of 347 *pelD* core promoter to be directly involved in competition with RNA polymerase for binding. 348 However, previous DNAse I footprinting experiments have revealed that this upstream 349 350 region contains a high affinity binding site for RNA polymerase (extending from pos. -142 to -104) in divergent orientation to *peID* [26]. Indeed, recent RNAseq data analysis (*Jiang* 351 et al. in preparation) confirmed by our in vitro transcription experiments identified a 352 divergent transcript initiating from *pelD* upstream region and devoid of any meaningful 353 ORF. We propose that this region comprises a divergent promoter (denoted hereafter as 354 *div*), which potentially could influence the expression of *pelD* and also be regulated by FIS 355 and CRP via FIS1/FIS1bis and CRP1 sites, respectively. Indeed FIS1/FIS1b overlap the -356 10 and -35 elements of the *div* promoter, whereas CRP1 is centred at -60.5 with respect to 357 the *div* transcription initiation site (Fig 1). This latter organisational feature is characteristic 358 of class I CRP-dependent promoters, suggesting that CRP1 site might be used for 359 activation of both the *pelD* [26] and *div* promoters by CRP. 360

To examine the relationship between the *pelD* and *div* promoters we measured the 361 amount of mRNA produced from both promoters on the plasmid constructs in vivo. We 362 found that the peak of *pelD* expression observed as expected, on transition to stationary 363 phase, was preceded by a peak of *div* expression, observed early during the exponential 364 growth phase (Fig 4a), whereby the *div* promoter showed significantly lower expression 365 levels than *pelD*. Measurements of the untwisting of the *div* and *pelD* promoters using *in* 366 vivo KMnO<sub>4</sub> reactivity assay (see Materials and methods) confirmed that the peak of div 367 promoter untwisting during exponential phase preceded that of *pelD* occurring on transition 368

to stationary phase (Fig S5). Interestingly, whereas these two promoters were sequentially activated during growth of *D. dadantii*, the decline of *div* activity clearly coincided with activation of *pelD*. We asked whether this correlation – given the close proximity of the promoters - indicated a possible link in their regulation mediated by binding proteins. Indeed, not only was the expression of both *pelD* and *div* increased in the *fis* mutant, but also the peak of *div* expression was shifted to the right along the growth curve (Fig 4a).



375

376

Fig 4: Transcriptional regulation of the *div* promoter. *Dickeya dadantii* wild type and *fis* mutant cells were transformed with constructs carrying *pelD* promoter-*gfp* or *div* promoter-*gfp* fusions and cultured in 96 well plates. Sublethal concentrations of novobiocin (25 µg/mL) were added at the start of the culture. a) The mRNA levels produced from different constructs in wild type and *fis* mutant cells were computed from fluorescence data as previously described [31]. Western blots indicating the levels of FIS at the indicated time points during the growth cycle. b) Maximum calculated mRNA levels obtained during the experiment in the presence or absence of novobiocin.

It is noteworthy, that despite the de-repression of *div* promoter in the *fis* mutant, its 385 activity sharply declined during the transition of cells to stationary phase, which is 386 characterised by decrease in global DNA superhelicity (Fig 4a). Since unlike pelD, the 387 peak of *div* activity was observed in early exponential growth phase characterised by high 388 levels of negative DNA superhelicity, we compared the response of the div and pelD 389 promoters to DNA relaxation (Fig 4b). We found that in contrast to *pelD* promoter, the *div* 390 promoter activity was substantially decreased on DNA relaxation by novobiocin, a 391 coumarin drug inhibiting the generation of negative superhelicity by DNA gyrase [42]. 392 Therefore, whereas FIS clearly represses div promoter activity (Fig 4a), the observed 393 reduction of *div* transcription later during the growth cycle (observed in both the wild type 394 and fis mutant) could be explained by relaxation of DNA on transition of the cells to 395 stationary phase [43]. 396

397

### 398 The two CRP binding sites synergistically activate both *pelD* and *div*

To investigate the impact of the CRP1 and CRP2 sites in *pelD* and *div* promoter 399 expression we inactivated these sites either separately or together (see legend to Fig 5). 400 The resulting constructs were used to quantify the promoter expression in the wild type 401 strain. The data are shown in Fig 5. We found, as expected, that inactivation of either 402 CRP1 or CRP2 separately strongly impairs the *pelD* promoter activity. However, this effect 403 is more pronounced in the absence of CRP2. This observation is consistent with observed 404 higher affinity of CRP2 compared to CRP1 (compare Fig 3 and Fig S4) and its optimal 405 position (centred at -42.5bp) for activating the *pelD* promoter. It thus appears that whereas 406 407 CRP2 is the main activator site, both CRP binding sites synergistically activate the pelD promoter. At the same time, the CRP1 and CRP2 sites also act synergistically on the div 408

409 promoter but in this case the impact of CRP1 site is predominant, in keeping with its 410 optimal location (-60.5bp) with regard to the *div* transcription initiation site (see Fig 1). 411 Thus, binding of CRP to CRP1 and CRP2 sites synergistically modulates both *pelD* and 412 *div* promoter expression, although the impact of individual sites at each promoter is 413 different.



414

Fig 5: Individual contributions of the CRP1 and CRP2 sites on *pelD* and *div* expression. (a) CRP sites were inactivated by site-directed mutagenesis, substituting several of the most conserved nucleotides in the consensus, using the same approach as for FIS sites (see methods). (b) Expression of the mutated promoters was measured as in figure 6b. Data represents the mean of 10 replicates and error bars indicate standard deviation.

420

# The divergent promoter potentially competes with *pelD* for RNA polymerase binding *in vitro*

To gain more insight into the relationship between the *div* and *pelD* promoters we investigated *in vitro* the promoter opening using potassium permanganate reactivity assay. In these experiments, conducted with various concentrations of RNA polymerase and CRP, we observed that under conditions of low transcriptional activity (low concentrations of both CRP and RNAP), the opening of both promoters was similar, whereas under conditions favouring high levels of transcription (high concentrations of both RNAP and CRP), an up to 6-fold stronger reactivity to KMnO<sub>4</sub> is observed at the *div* promoter compared to the *pelD* promoter (Fig 6). These results suggest that under conditions supporting active transcription *in vitro*, the *div* promoter can gain an advantage over the *pelD* promoter in binding RNA polymerase.





434

Fig 6: Preferential binding of RNA polymerase at div does not correlate with stronger transcription. a) 435 436 Potassium permanganate reactivity of the supercoiled *pelD* regulatory region in the presence of varying concentrations of CRP and RNA polymerase as indicated on the right of the figure. The pelD and div 437 transcription initiation sites are underlined in the corresponding sequences shown below the reactivity 438 439 profiles. Both starnds are shown and attacked Ts are indicated in red. The capillary electrophoresis fluorescence profiles were normalised to the pelD peaks and overlaid with the position of each peak 440 relatively to the *pelD* transcription start site. b) In vitro transcription using the supercoiled wild type *pelD* 441 442 promoter was performed in the presence of 40 nM RNA polymerase and varying concentrations of CRP. The

444

In the *in vitro* transcription reactions performed in parallel, we found that although 445 both the *pelD* and *div* promoters were activated by CRP, this effect was much more 446 pronounced for the former (Fig 6b), probably due to the strong activating effect of the high-447 affinity binding CRP2 site centred at classical -42.5 bp with respect to the pelD promoter 448 transcription startpoint. The discrepancy between the efficient opening and low 449 transcriptional activity of the *div* promoter suggests that this promoter may act as a trap for 450 RNA polymerase molecules readily forming stable open complexes but only rarely 451 initiating transcription. One plausible explanation for this behavior is the strong -35 452 hexamer (TTGAAT) of *div*, which combined with further stabilization of polymerase binding 453 by CRP (especially via CRP1 site) could be detrimental to promoter clearance [44]. 454

455

#### 456 Inactivation of *div* reduces the *peID* activity *in vitro*

To directly assess the effect of the *div* promoter on *pelD* activity we compared the promoter function of wild type *pelD* construct and a construct with a mutation (D24) inactivating the -35 element of the *div* promoter and demonstrating a strong reduction of *div* opening by KMnO<sub>4</sub> reactivity assay (Fig 7a).



461

Fig 7: Impact of div on pelD transcription. The div promoter was inactivated by mutating the -35 box (see 462 Fig 1) and the impact of this mutation was assessed. a) Potassium permanganate reactivity (opening) of the 463 wild type and inactivated div promoter in the presence of 100 nM CRP and varying concentrations of RNA 464 polymerase. The promoter opening was quantified as described in the legend to Fig 4. b) In vivo transcription 465 466 of pelD and div constructs with intact and inactivated div promoter carried out under the same conditions as in Fig 4a. pelD and div expression was measured in real-time for 48 hours, like as in Fig 4a. Because pelD 467 and div are not expressed at the same time (see Fig 4a), the maximum expression of both promoters is 468 taken for this graph, which means early log for div and late log for pelD. c) In vitro transcription of pelD and 469 div constructs with intact and inactivated div promoter in the presence of 100 nM CRP, 40 nM RNA 470 polymerase and varying concentrations of FIS. 471

472

473 Using both these constructs we compared the *pelD* mRNA levels produced in wild 474 type and *fis* mutant cells. We observed that inactivation of *div* decreased *pelD* transcription in both the *D. dadantii* wild type and *fis* mutant cells (Fig 7b), indicating that *div* exerts an activating effect on *pelD* transcription and that activation is largely independent of FIS (this effect was slightly more pronounced in wild type cells showing an 1.56-fold increase in *pelD* expression in presence of an intact *div* in WT cells, and an 1.41-fold in the *fis* cells).

Using both these constructs we also performed *in vitro* transcription experiments (Fig 7c). With the construct carrying inactivated *div* we observed a 2-fold decrease of *pelD* transcription compared to that with intact *div*, confirming in a purified system that *div* activates *pelD*.

483

#### 484 **DISCUSSION**

485

The purpose of this work was to provide an integrated picture of the temporal 486 regulation of the *pelD* promoter driving the expression of a major virulence factor of the 487 plant pathogen D. dadantii. Upstream of the pelD promoter we identified a divergent 488 promoter (*div*) which is not associated with any meaningful ORF and the activity of which 489 is strongly reduced by DNA relaxation in vivo. This sensitivity to supercoiling is consistent 490 with maximum *div* activity during early exponential phase, when the DNA superhelical 491 density is maximal, and its sharp reduction during transition of cells to stationary phase 492 and associated DNA relaxation [43]. In contrast, the pelD promoter is activated on 493 transition to stationary phase concomitantly with the decline of *div* promoter activity. We 494 found that the relationship between the closely spaced div and pelD promoters is not 495 trivial, as under conditions supporting high rates of transcription *in vitro*, the *div* promoter 496 497 binds RNAP and forms open complexes much more efficiently than *pelD* and could thus potentially compete with the latter (Fig 6a). However, iinactivation of the *div* promoter 498

significantly decreases pelD promoter activity (Fig 7bc). Furthermore, despite the facile 499 formation of open complexes by RNAP, the *div* promoter is considerably less active than 500 pelD in vivo (Fig 4a) and is barely transcribed in vitro (Fig 6b). The reason for this 501 502 discrepancy is not entirely clear, but the structural organisation of *div* strongly resembles that of a divergent promoter identified in the upstream region of the E. coli fis operon [45]. 503 Both these weakly transcribed promoters demonstrate similar intrinsic properties such as 504 overly strong untwisting in conjunction with reasonably close-to-consensus -35 hexamer 505 sequences (TTGCAT for the fis divergent promoter, TTGAAT for the pelD divergent 506 promoter). 507

In addition to studying the effects of two previously described CRP binding sites on 508 pelD and div, we identified four FIS binding sites, two of which (FIS2/3) overlap the pelD 509 promoter sequence, and two are located upstream (FIS1/1bis) and overlap with the div 510 promoter. The FIS2 and FIS3 sites act as repressor sites inhibiting the pelD expression 511 during exponential growth phase (Fig 4a), whereas the FIS1 and FIS1bis sites, although 512 weak, can repress div transcription during early exponential growth in vivo, when the FIS 513 concentration is high (Fig 4a). However, it is noteworthy that inactivation of FIS1bis site 514 affected *pelD* activity only *in vivo* (compare pPD4 and pPD5 in Fig 2) but not *in vitro* 515 (compare pJD3 and pJD9 in Fig S3). This could be attributed to differences in the *in vitro* 516 and *in vivo* conditions and indicate more complex relationships, perhaps involving changes 517 of other regulators not known at this time. 518

519 Repression of *pelD* by FIS appears to be mediated by two mechanisms. The FIS2 520 site is the main repressor site preventing the binding of both the RNA polymerase and the 521 activator CRP at CRP2 site, whereas FIS3 site prevents the binding of RNA polymerase, 522 but does not interfere with CRP binding. The second CRP binding site (CRP1) is suitably

positioned to activate both the *div* and *pelD* promoters by providing interaction surfaces for 523 the C-terminal domains of the  $\alpha$  subunits ( $\alpha$ -CTDs) of RNAP [46]. Indeed, our data suggest 524 that binding of CRP at CRP1 and CRP2 synergistically activate both the *pelD* and *div* 525 promoters. However, the relative contribution of these two sites to the activity of the pelD 526 and *div* promoters is different. The pertinent question is whether the identified organisation 527 of the DNA binding sites and the observed relationships between the binding molecules of 528 RNAP and global regulators can be rationalised with regard to the critical function of *peID* 529 gene in bacterial virulence. 530

531

#### 532 Mechanism of growth phase dependent regulation of *peID*

For *D. dadantii* it is vital to completely repress the expression of *pelD* (as well as other *pel* genes) in exponential phase, especially since any leaky *pel* expression would trigger a massive production of Pels due to the KdgR positive feedback loop [17]. If the bacterial population density is low, an early activation of *pel* genes results in an early activation of the plant defense reactions and ultimately in bacterial death [47] as observed, for example, in *D.dadantii* mutant lacking the *fis* gene [22]. This justifies an establishment of redundant mechanisms for efficient *pelD* repression during exponential growth.

Our data suggest that D. dadantii uses three different mechanisms to prevent 540 aberrant expression of *pelD* during exponential growth phase: i) direct hindrance of the 541 RNA polymerase binding via FIS2 and FIS3, ii) competition between FIS and activator 542 CRP for binding of the overlapping FIS2 and the CRP2 sites and, iii) potential competition 543 of the *div* promoter with *pelD* promoter for RNA polymerase binding. Our observations 544 allow us to propose a model for regulation of the expression of *pelD* by taking into account 545 all these factors and the expression patterns of FIS (10) and the div promoter (Fig 8). CRP 546 547 is obviously central for this regulation, as it is absolutely required for the activity of both the

*pelD* and *div* promoters. The DNA region with two CRP binding sites organised at optimal 548 positions for activating two divergent (*pelD* and *div*) promoters would serve as a trap for 549 RNA polymerase directing transcription in one or another direction depending on the 550 551 transcriptional environment. The expression peak of the div promoter in D. dadantii is observed at 6h during early exponential phase, i.e. when FIS is near its maximum 552 concentration (Fig 4a, lower panel). Given that FIS1/1bis are low affinity sites, high FIS 553 concentrations perhaps weakly interfere with binding of RNAP to div but fully repress pelD 554 via FIS2/3. In addition, in early exponential phase the DNA is highly supercoiled (9), 555 allowing maximal *div* promoter activity mainly supported by CRP binding at CRP1 site 556 centred at -60.5 with respect to the div transcription initiation site. Indeed, whereas FIS 557 precludes the binding of CRP at CRP2 site and activation of *pelD*, it does not interfere with 558 binding of CRP at CRP1 site and activation of div, consistent with different impact of 559 individual CRP binding sites on the *pelD* and *div* promoter activity (Fig. 5b). At this growth 560 stage the high-affinity div promoter can serve as an efficient trap withdrawing the RNA 561 polymerase molecules that could otherwise bind at the pelD promoter. During mid-562 exponential phase the concentration of FIS is still sufficient to repress the *pelD* promoter 563 via high affinity FIS2/3sites, but on transition to stationary phase (12h), the FIS 564 concentration subsides (see Fig 4a, lower panel) enabling transcription of *pelD*. Our data 565 suggest that the burst of *pelD* expression on transition of cells to stationary phase is likely 566 facilitated by two additional mechanisms. First, the reduced transcription of div (e.g. due to 567 the global relaxation of DNA), would abolish competition with pelD. Second, due to the 568 increased cAMP levels at this stage [43], the cooperative binding of cAMP-CRP to CRP1 569 570 and CRP2 activator sites [26] would stabilise RNAP binding at *pelD* leading to its maximal expression. We propose that growth phase dependent competition between FIS and CRP 571

binding in conjunction with alterations of DNA topology provide an efficient temporal 572 mechanism enabling both tight repression and strong activation of the *pelD* promoter. It is 573 likely that binding and bending of the DNA by CRP [48] at the CRP1 and CRP2 sites 574 generally facilitates the recruitment of the RNAP molecules, whereas the changing pattern 575 of CRP site occupation (binding of CRP at CRP1 initially, and then at both CRP1 and 576 CRP2), determines the distribution of polymerase between *div* and *pelD*. This mechanism 577 implies that inactivation of the *div* promoter, despite its potential to compete with the *pelD* 578 promoter for RNAP binding, could lead to decreased *pelD* activity. 579







Fig 8: Proposed model for the temporal control of *pelD* by FIS, CRP and the divergent promoter. For clarity, the *pelD* regulatory region is represented in a linear fashion. Binding sites are represented by boxes (white for FIS, grey for CRP). Proteins are represented by ellipses: red for RNA polymerase, green for CRP,

585 pink for FIS. Large horizontal arrows indicate transcription. Lines ending with a bar indicate inhibition. a) During early exponential phase, FIS sequentially occupies most of its binding sites and both the *pelD* and *div* 586 promoters are repressed, albeit to different extent, since at this stage div is activated by high negative 587 superhelicity. The div promoter efficiently binds RNA polymerase due to its high affinity for RNAP and the low 588 affinity of the competitor FIS to the FIS1 and FIS1bis sites. Binding of CRP at CRP1 site also favours binding 589 of polymerase at div and so, the RNAP is "parking" at div. b) During the late exponential phase, DNA 590 relaxation inactivates div and presumably destabilises the binding of RNAP. At this stage, pelD is still 591 repressed due to the overlapping high-affinity FIS sites. c) During transition to stationary phase FIS levels 592 subside and *pelD* is de-repressed. RNA polymerase now preferentially binds *pelD*. The activating effect of 593 594 div on pelD at this stage is most likely due to a transfer of idling RNAP molecules previously "parking" at div 595 towards *pelD*. This results in very strong transcription of *pelD*.

596

#### 597 **Biological implications**

Our data strongly suggest that the growth phase dependent regulation of *pelD* is 598 under the control of changing composition of higher-order nucleoprotein complexes formed 599 in the *pelD* regulatory region during the growth cycle. Such "alteration" of the composition 600 of regulatory nucleoprotein complexes during the growth cycle [49] seems to be a common 601 design principle employed for the growth phase-dependent gene regulation. Similar 602 regulatory mechanisms can be found not only at different promoters in single species but 603 also in different species, whereby involvement of several FIS binding sites in promoter 604 repression is not unusual. A well-known example is the negative autoregulation of the fis 605 promoter involving numerous FIS binding sites [50], and there are other examples, such as 606 the mom [36] and the gyr promoters of E. coli [6] and Salmonella [51], to name just a few. 607 However, multiple FIS binding sites can also be used to exert either an activating or 608 repressing effect [52]. 609

610

On the other hand, there are only a few reports of a direct competition of FIS with

an activator [53,54]. The case of the crp2 promoter is particularly relevant [54] as it shares 611 many similarities with the *pelD* promoter such as two high-affinity FIS binding sites 612 overlapping the -10 and -35 elements, whereby the FIS site overlapping the -35 element 613 also competes with binding of the activator CRP. Moreover, the crp regulatory region 614 harbours also a FIS-regulated divergent promoter competing with the crp1 promoter, as 615 well as an upstream CRP binding site, which is little affected by FIS. Yet, there is no 616 sequence homology between the *D. dadantii pelD* and *E. coli crp* promoters, suggesting a 617 convergent evolution towards similar structural organisation of gene regulatory regions. 618

Also the E. coli fis promoter is subject to complex regulation involving FIS, CRP and a 619 supercoiling-dependent divergent promoter [45]. In this latter case the RNAP molecule 620 binding an upstream divergent site appears to directly interact with the RNAP bound at the 621 fis promoter and this interaction is implicated in sensing the changing DNA superhelicity 622 during the growth cycle. Similarly, in the *pelD* regulatory region it is the *div* promoter which 623 strongly depends on supercoiling and thus may serve as a sensor of DNA superhelicity 624 transmitting its changes to the *peID* promoter. Whether the two RNAP molecules binding in 625 the *pelD* regulatory region can interact, is an open guestion that merits further 626 investigation. Theoretically, the 155 bp separation between the *div* and *pelD* transcription 627 start sites (given that RNAP footprints are typically 70 bp long) could suffice to avoid steric 628 hindrance between the RNAP molecules, but assuming that polymerase on average wraps 629 about 90 bp of on binding the promoter DNA [55,56] there could be competition, as is for 630 example, in the case of the crp promoter ([54,57] and Fig S6). It is noteworthy that also in 631 the crp regulatory region, only one of the promoters (crp2) appears to be strongly sensitive 632 633 to supercoiling, suggesting that the topological state of the DNA is one major variable involved in modulating the growth phase-dependent impacts of regulatory nucleoprotein 634

635 complexes assembled on divergent promoter modules [54,57].

We infer that the organisation of *pelD* promoter region reveals a strategic design of 636 protein binding sites evolved for reconciliation of strong expression with regulatability. The 637 *pelD* gene is one among the many bacterial virulence genes known to be under the control 638 of several TFs and be also tightly environmentally regulated [58]. Indeed, it is not 639 uncommon to see more than five different TFs acting on a single virulence gene 640 [16,59,60]. By taking into account the binding of CRP, FIS and RNA polymerase at 641 multiple sites in *pelD*, as well as many regulators unconsidered in this study, such as H-NS 642 and PecT, which are key components in the thermoregulation of the *pel* genes [61], or 643 PecS, which is known to interact with CRP [26], a highly dynamic regulatory mechanism 644 has to be envisioned. Comprehensive understanding of the strategic design underlying the 645 spatial organisation of regulatory binding sites in *pelD* and the topological constraints 646 imposed on the cooperative and antagonistic interactions between various TFs remains an 647 important focus for further studies. 648

- 649
- 650

#### 651 **FUNDING INFORMATION**

This work was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique; Université de Lyon; and Institut National des Sciences Appliquées [BQR 2013 « Topologie de l'ADN et organisation du nucléoïde » to S.R.]. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

656

#### 657 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

<sup>658</sup> The authors would like to thank the teams of Agnès Rodrigue and Xavier Charpentier for

- 659 providing access to their Tecan plate reader. We are grateful to Julien Wawrzyniak for the
- 660 purification of FIS and CRP proteins and Xuejiao Jiang for her assistance with RNAseq
- 661 data analysis that first allowed the detection of *div* transcription.
- 662

#### 663 **REFERENCES**

- [1] D.F. Browning, S.J.W. Busby, The regulation of bacterial transcription initiation, Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2 (2004)
   57–65. doi:10.1038/nrmicro787.
- A. Bhat, M. Shin, J.-H. Jeong, H.-J. Kim, H.-J. Lim, J.H. Rhee, S.-Y. Paik, K. Takeyasu, T. Tobe, H. Yen, G. Lee, H.E. Choy, DNA looping-dependent autorepression of LEE1 P1 promoters by Ler in enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (EPEC), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 111 (2014) E2586–E2595.
  doi:10.1073/pnas.1322033111.
- [3] Z.-A. Ouafa, S. Reverchon, T. Lautier, G. Muskhelishvili, W. Nasser, The nucleoid-associated proteins H-NS and
   FIS modulate the DNA supercoiling response of the pel genes, the major virulence factors in the plant pathogen
   bacterium Dickeya dadantii, Nucleic Acids Res. 40 (2012) 4306–4319. doi:10.1093/nar/gks014.
- [4] D. Skoko, D. Yoo, H. Bai, B. Schnurr, J. Yan, S.M. McLeod, J.F. Marko, R.C. Johnson, Mechanism of
  chromosome compaction and looping by the E. coli nucleoid protein Fis, J. Mol. Biol. 364 (2006) 777–798.
  doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2006.09.043.
- [5] S.C. Dillon, C.J. Dorman, Bacterial nucleoid-associated proteins, nucleoid structure and gene expression, Nat.
   Rev. Microbiol. 8 (2010) 185–195. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2261.
- [6] R. Schneider, A. Travers, T. Kutateladze, G. Muskhelishvili, A DNA architectural protein couples cellular
  physiology and DNA topology in Escherichia coli, Mol. Microbiol. 34 (1999) 953–964. doi:10.1046/j.13652958.1999.01656.x.
- [7] D.J. Jin, C. Cagliero, Y.N. Zhou, Role of RNA Polymerase and Transcription in the Organization of the Bacterial
   Nucleoid, Chem. Rev. (2013) 130813134324001. doi:10.1021/cr4001429.
- [8] A. Travers, G. Muskhelishvili, Bacterial chromatin, Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 15 (2005) 507–514.
   doi:10.1016/j.gde.2005.08.006.
- [9] R. Schneider, A. Travers, G. Muskhelishvili, FIS modulates growth phase-dependent topological transitions of DNA in Escherichia coli, Mol. Microbiol. 26 (1997) 519–530.
- [10] T. Ali Azam, A. Iwata, A. Nishimura, S. Ueda, A. Ishihama, Growth Phase-Dependent Variation in Protein
   Composition of the Escherichia coli Nucleoid, J. Bacteriol. 181 (1999) 6361–6370.
- [11] D.F. Browning, D.C. Grainger, S.J. Busby, Effects of nucleoid-associated proteins on bacterial chromosome structure and gene expression, Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 13 (2010) 773–780. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2010.09.013.
- [12] A. Duprey, S. Reverchon, W. Nasser, Bacterial virulence and Fis: adapting regulatory networks to the host environment, Trends Microbiol. 22 (2014) 92–99. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2013.11.008.
- [13] B. Ma, M.E. Hibbing, H.-S. Kim, R.M. Reedy, I. Yedidia, J. Breuer, J. Breuer, J.D. Glasner, N.T. Perna, A.
   Kelman, A.O. Charkowski, Host Range and Molecular Phylogenies of the Soft Rot Enterobacterial Genera
   Pectobacterium and Dickeya, Phytopathology. 97 (2007) 1150–1163. doi:10.1094/PHYTO-97-9-1150.
- [14] S. Hassan, V.E. Shevchik, X. Robert, N. Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat, PelN Is a New Pectate Lyase of Dickeya
   dadantii with Unusual Characteristics, J. Bacteriol. 195 (2013) 2197–2206. doi:10.1128/JB.02118-12.
- [15] F. Tardy, W. Nasser, J. Robert-Baudouy, N. Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat, Comparative analysis of the five major
   Erwinia chrysanthemi pectate lyases: enzyme characteristics and potential inhibitors., J. Bacteriol. 179 (1997)
   2503–2511.
- [16] S. Reverchon, W. Nasser, *Dickeya* ecology, environment sensing and regulation of virulence programme:
   *Dickeya dadantii* pathogenicity, Environ. Microbiol. Rep. (2013) n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/1758-2229.12073.
- [17] S. Reverchon, W. Nasser, J. Robert-Baudouy, Characterization of kdgR, a gene of Erwinia chrysanthemi that regulates pectin degradation, Mol. Microbiol. 5 (1991) 2203–2216.
- [18] W. Nasser, S. Reverchon, G. Condemine, J. Robert-Baudouy, Specific Interactions of Erwinia chrysanthemi
   KdgR Repressor with Different Operators of Genes Involved in Pectinolysis, J. Mol. Biol. 236 (1994) 427–440.
   doi:10.1006/jmbi.1994.1155.
- 708 [19] W. Nasser, J. Robert-Baudouy, S. Reverchon, Antagonistic effect of CRP and KdgR in the transcription control

- 709
   of the Erwinia chrysanthemi pectinolysis genes, Mol. Microbiol. 26 (1997) 1071–1082. doi:10.1046/j.1365 

   710
   2958.1997.6472020.x.
- [20] S. Reverchon, F. Van Gijsegem, G. Effantin, O. Zghidi-Abouzid, W. Nasser, Systematic targeted mutagenesis of the MarR/SlyA family members of Dickeya dadantii 3937 reveals a role for MfbR in the modulation of virulence gene expression in response to acidic pH, Mol. Microbiol. 78 (2010) 1018–1037. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07388.x.
- [21] S. Reverchon, D. Expert, J. Robert-Baudouy, W. Nasser, The cyclic AMP receptor protein is the main activator
   of pectinolysis genes in Erwinia chrysanthemi., J. Bacteriol. 179 (1997) 3500–3508.
- T. Lautier, W. Nasser, The DNA nucleoid-associated protein Fis co-ordinates the expression of the main
   virulence genes in the phytopathogenic bacterium Erwinia chrysanthemi, Mol. Microbiol. 66 (2007) 1474–1490.
   doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.06012.x.
- [23] C. Beaulieu, M. Boccara, F. Van Gijsegem, Pathogenic Behavior of Pectinase-Defective *Erwinia chrysanthemi* Mutants on Different Plants, Mol. Plant. Microbe Interact. 6 (1993) 197. doi:10.1094/MPMI-6-197.
- M. Boccara, A. Diolez, M. Rouve, A. Kotoujansky, The role of individual pectate lyases of Erwinia chrysanthemi
   strain 3937 in pathogenicity on saintpaulia plants, Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. 33 (1988) 95–104.
   doi:10.1016/0885-5765(88)90046-X.
- T. Lautier, N. Blot, G. Muskhelishvili, W. Nasser, Integration of two essential virulence modulating signals at the Erwinia chrysanthemi pel gene promoters: a role for Fis in the growth-phase regulation, Mol. Microbiol. 66
   (2007) 1491–1505. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.06010.x.
- [26] C. Rouanet, K. Nomura, S. Tsuyumu, W. Nasser, Regulation of pelD and pelE, Encoding Major Alkaline Pectate
   Lyases in Erwinia chrysanthemi: Involvement of the Main Transcriptional Factors, J. Bacteriol. 181 (1999)
   5948–5957.
- 731 [27] Miller JH, Experiment in Molecular Genetics, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 1972.
- [28] A. Duprey, V. Chansavang, F. Fremion, C. Gonthier, Y. Louis, P. Lejeune, F. Springer, V. Desjardin, A.
   Rodrigue, C. Dorel, "NiCo Buster": engineering E. coli for fast and efficient capture of cobalt and nickel, J. Biol.
   Eng. 8 (2014) 19. doi:10.1186/1754-1611-8-19.
- 735[29]C. Marschall, V. Labrousse, M. Kreimer, D. Weichart, A. Kolb, R. Hengge-Aronis, Molecular analysis of the736regulation of csiD, a carbon starvation-inducible gene in Escherichia coli that is exclusively dependent on  $\sigma$ S and737requires activation by cAMP-CRP, J. Mol. Biol. 276 (1998) 339–353. doi:10.1006/jmbi.1997.1533.
- [30] J. Sambrook, D.W. Russell, Molecular cloning : a laboratory manual, 3rd ed., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 2001.
- [31] H. De Jong, C. Ranquet, D. Ropers, C. Pinel, J. Geiselmann, Experimental and computational validation of
   models of fluorescent and luminescent reporter genes in bacteria, BMC Syst. Biol. 4 (2010) 55.
- [32] V.V. Verkhusha, I.M. Kuznetsova, O.V. Stepanenko, A.G. Zaraisky, M.M. Shavlovsky, K.K. Turoverov, V.N.
   Uversky, High Stability of Discosoma DsRed As Compared to Aequorea EGFP<sup>†</sup>, Biochemistry (Mosc.). 42
   (2003) 7879–7884. doi:10.1021/bi034555t.
- [33] N. Bardonnet, A. Trautwetter, G. Couchoux-Luthaud, C. Blanco, Plasmids with the uidA reporter gene for the detection of promoters and transcription signals, Mol. Gen. Genet. MGG. 212 (1988) 390–392.
- [34] A. Hatoum, J. Roberts, Prevalence of RNA polymerase stalling at Escherichia coli promoters after open complex
   formation, Mol. Microbiol. 68 (2008) 17–28. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06138.x.
- [35] R. Münch, K. Hiller, A. Grote, M. Scheer, J. Klein, M. Schobert, D. Jahn, Virtual Footprint and PRODORIC: an integrative framework for regulon prediction in prokaryotes, Bioinformatics. 21 (2005) 4187–4189.
   doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bti635.
- [36] S. Karambelkar, G. Swapna, V. Nagaraja, Silencing of toxic gene expression by Fis, Nucleic Acids Res. 40 (2012) 4358–4367. doi:10.1093/nar/gks037.
- [37] W. Nasser, C. Dorel, J. Wawrzyniak, F. Van Gijsegem, M.-C. Groleau, E. Déziel, S. Reverchon, Vfm a new quorum sensing system controls the virulence of *Dickeya dadantii*, Environ. Microbiol. 15 (2013) 865–880. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12049.
- V. James, N. Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat, Regulatory systems modulating the transcription of the pectinase genes of
   Erwinia chrysanthemi are conserved in Escherichia coli, Microbiol. Read. Engl. 142 (Pt 9) (1996) 2613–2619.
- [39] H.S. Kim, Cloning and Expression of β-Glucuronidase from Lactobacillus brevis in E. coli and Application in Bioconversion of Baicalin and Wogonoside, J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 19 (2009) 1650–1655.
   doi:10.4014/jmb.0904.04053.
- [40] J. Eriksson, T. Nordström, P. Nyrén, Method enabling firefly luciferase-based bioluminometric assays at elevated
   temperatures, Anal. Biochem. 314 (2003) 158–161. doi:10.1016/S0003-2697(02)00647-4.
- [41] W.D. Kepseu, J.-A. Sepulchre, S. Reverchon, W. Nasser, Toward a quantitative modeling of the synthesis of the pectate lyases, essential virulence factors in Dickeya dadantii, J. Biol. Chem. 285 (2010) 28565–28576.
   doi:10.1074/ibc.M110.114710.

- 767 [42] A. Maxwell, DNA gyrase as a drug target, Trends Microbiol. 5 (1997) 102–109. doi:10.1016/S0966-842X(96)10085-8. 768
- [43] V.L. Balke, J.D. Gralla, Changes in the linking number of supercoiled DNA accompany growth transitions in 769 Escherichia coli, J. Bacteriol. 169 (1987) 4499-4506. 770
- 771 [44] F. Rojo, Mechanisms of transcriptional repression, Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 4 (2001) 145–151. doi:10.1016/S1369-5274(00)00180-6. 772
- [45] V. Gerganova, S. Maurer, L. Stoliar, A. Japaridze, G. Dietler, W. Nasser, T. Kutateladze, A. Travers, G. 773 774 Muskhelishvili, Upstream Binding of Idling RNA Polymerase Modulates Transcription Initiation from a Nearby 775 Promoter, J. Biol. Chem. (2015) jbc.M114.628131. doi:10.1074/jbc.M114.628131.
- 776 [46] S.M. McLeod, S.E. Aiyar, R.L. Gourse, R.C. Johnson, The C-terminal domains of the RNA polymerase α 777 subunits: contact site with fis and localization during co-activation with CRP at the Escherichia coli proP P2 778 promoter, J. Mol. Biol. 316 (2002) 517-529. doi:10.1006/jmbi.2001.5391.
- 779 [47] P.R. Davidsson, T. Kariola, O. Niemi, E.T. Palva, Pathogenicity of and plant immunity to soft rot pectobacteria, 780 Front. Plant Sci. 4 (2013). doi:10.3389/fpls.2013.00191.
- [48] S.-H. Lin, J.C. Lee, Determinants of DNA Bending in the DNA-Cyclic AMP Receptor Protein Complexes in 781 Escherichia coli, Biochemistry (Mosc.). 42 (2003) 4809-4818. doi:10.1021/bi027259+. 782
- W. Nasser, R. Schneider, A. Travers, G. Muskhelishvili, CRP Modulates fis Transcription by Alternate 783 [49] 784 Formation of Activating and Repressing Nucleoprotein Complexes, J. Biol. Chem. 276 (2001) 17878–17886. 785 doi:10.1074/jbc.M100632200.
- 786 [50] C.A. Ball, R. Osuna, K.C. Ferguson, R.C. Johnson, Dramatic changes in Fis levels upon nutrient upshift in 787 Escherichia coli., J. Bacteriol. 174 (1992) 8043-8056.
- [51] O.M. Keane, C.J. Dorman, The gyr genes of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium are repressed by the 788 factor for inversion stimulation, Fis, Mol. Genet. Genomics. 270 (2003) 56-65. doi:10.1007/s00438-003-0896-1. 789
- 790 [52] A. Chastanet, R. Losick, Just-in-Time Control of Spo0A Synthesis in Bacillus subtilis by Multiple Regulatory 791 Mechanisms v, J. Bacteriol. 193 (2011) 6366-6374. doi:10.1128/JB.06057-11.
- 792 [53] D.J.P. Squire, M. Xu, J.A. Cole, S.J.W. Busby, D.F. Browning, Competition between NarL-dependent activation 793 and Fis-dependent repression controls expression from the Escherichia coli yeaR and ogt promoters, Biochem. J. 794 420 (2009) 249-257. doi:10.1042/BJ20090183.
- 795 [54] G. González-Gil, R. Kahmann, G. Muskhelishvili, Regulation of crp transcription by oscillation between distinct 796 nucleoprotein complexes, EMBO J. 17 (1998) 2877-2885.
- 797 C. Rivetti, M. Guthold, C. Bustamante, Wrapping of DNA around the E.coli RNA polymerase open promoter [55] 798 complex, EMBO J. 18 (1999) 4464-4475. doi:10.1093/emboj/18.16.4464.
- 799 [56] S. Maurer, J. Fritz, G. Muskhelishvili, A. Travers, RNA polymerase and an activator form discrete subcomplexes 800 in a transcription initiation complex, EMBO J. 25 (2006) 3784–3790. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601261.
- 801 [57] A. Hanamura, H. Aiba, Molecular mechanism of negative autoregulation of Escherichia coli crp gene., Nucleic 802 Acids Res. 19 (1991) 4413-4419.
- 803 J.S. Kroll, Bacterial virulence: an environmental response., Arch. Dis. Child. 66 (1991) 361-363. [58]
- 804 C. Altier, Genetic and environmental control of Salmonella invasion, J Microbiol. 43 (2005) 85-92. [59]
- 805 [60] T.L. Yahr, M.C. Wolfgang, Transcriptional regulation of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa type III secretion system, Mol. Microbiol. 62 (2006) 631-640. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05412.x. 806
- 807 [61] E. Hérault, S. Reverchon, W. Nasser, Role of the LysR-type transcriptional regulator PecT and DNA supercoiling 808 in the thermoregulation of *pel* genes, the major virulence factors in *Dickeva dadantii*: *Dickeva dadantii* PecT 809 protein and virulence thermoregulation, Environ, Microbiol. 16 (2014) 734-745, doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12198.
- 810 [62] A. Kotoujansky, M. Lemattre, P. Boistard, Utilization of a thermosensitive episome bearing transposon TN10 to isolate Hfr donor strains of Erwinia carotovora subsp. chrysanthemi., J. Bacteriol. 150 (1982) 122-131. 811
- 812 [63] G. González-Gil, P. Bringmann, R. Kahmann, FIS is a regulator of metabolism in Escherichia coli, Mol.
- 813 Microbiol. 22 (1996) 21-29. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.1996.tb02652.x.
- 814 W.G. Miller, J.H. Leveau, S.E. Lindow, Improved gfp and inaZ broad-host-range promoter-probe vectors, Mol. [64] Plant-Microbe Interact. MPMI. 13 (2000) 1243-1250. doi:10.1094/MPMI.2000.13.11.1243. 815

817







820

818

Fig S1: DNase I footprinting of FIS binding in the *pelD* regulatory region. DNase I footprinting was performed using fluorescently labelled linear *pelD* DNA. The profiles represent the pattern obtained for the template strand. The red and the blue profiles correspond respectively to the samples without and with FIS. a) Profiles for *pelD* DNA samples with inactivated FIS1, FIS1bis, FIS2 or FIS3 sites at 150 nM FIS concentration. b) Profiles of the wild type *pelD* DNA incubated with increasing concentrations of FIS (from 1 to 150 nM)





Fig S2: Comparison between the Gfp and Luc reporter systems in *D. dadantii* and the GUS system in *E. coli.* Bacteria transformed with the wild-type *pelD* regulatory region in a reporter plasmid were cultured in equivalent conditions (see materials and methods). The normalised fluorescence and GUS specific activity were taken in early stationary phase and the luminescence at the maximum. Data represents the mean of 3 (GUS) to 12 replicates (Gfp and Luc) and error bars represent the standard deviation. Numbers above the error bars indicate the coefficient of variation (in %).



Fig S3: Effect of FIS binding on *pelD* transcription *in vitro*. *In vitro* transcription was performed using several *pelD* constructs (plasmids D0, D1, D2, D3, D9 and D10 indicated in the bottom left panel) in presence of 100 nM CRP, 40 nM RNA polymerase and varying concentrations of FIS. The RNA-I internal control was used as a standard for quantification of the *pelD* transcript (bottom right panel).





Fig S4: Absence of modification of the CRP1 DNase I footprint by the presence of FIS. A quantitative DNase I footprint was performed on fluorescently labelled *pelD* regulatory regions, in identical conditions as in figure 5. The area of the peaks at one position inside CRP1 (at position -85 relatively to the transcription start site) for different CRP concentrations is represented.





Fig S5: in vivo potassium permanganate footprinting of the pelD regulatory region. pKD0 (wild-type 847 848 pelD) was transformed into D. dadantii. Bacteria were then cultured in M63S + PGA and an in vivo 849 potassium permanganate footprinting was performed as described in materials and methods. (a) image of 850 one replicate of the experiment. Lane C corresponds to an in vitro potassium permanganate footprinting control performed on the same plasmid with 100 nM CRP and 40 nM RNAP. Bands are numbered and 851 localised in part c of the figure. (b) quantification of the intensity of the bands, represented as mean +/- SD of 852 2 independent biological replicates. (c) Position of the attacked nucleotides of part a. Both strands are shown 853 854 and transcription start sites are underlined.





**Fig S6: Regulatory structure of 3 different relevant promoters regulated by a divergent promoter.** The *pelD (D. dadantii), fis (E. coli)* and *crp (E. coli)* regulatory regions are represented. The known promoters are represented with an arrow, FIS sites by white boxes and CRP sites by gray boxes, as described in the litterature and this work. The promoter which is coupled to *div* is drawn on the right. All regulatory regions and binding sites are represented to scale. The scale bar in the bottom left corner represents 10 base pairs.

### 861 Table S1: strains, plasmids and oligos used in this study

Strains	Description		Ref
D. dadantii			
3937 (A4922)	Wild-type strain isolated from Saintpaulia ionantha		[62]
A4474	3937 <i>fis</i> ∷Cm <sup>R</sup>		[22]
E. coli			
CSH50	F- araBAD-0 M(pro-lac) λ- rpsL-(strR) thi- fimE1::IS1-		[27]
13506	CSH50 <i>fis</i> ::Cm <sup>R</sup>		[63]
Plasmids	Description	Inactivated FIS sites	Ref
pNB4	Reporter vector with <i>uidA</i> , AmpR		[33]
pProbe-AT '	Reporter vector with <i>gfp</i> , AmpR		[64]

pBluescript SK+	Cloning vector, AmpR		Stratagene
pBluescript KS+	Cloning vector, AmpR		Stratagene
pUCter-Luc-Cm	Reporter vector with <i>luc</i> , AmpR CmR		(7)
pJV	In vitro transcription vector AmpR		This work
pWN2481 (pKD0)	pBluescript KS+ containing the wild-type <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	none	[26]
pKD1	pBluescript SK+ containing a mutated peID regulatory region at site Smal (T7 promoter oriented)	2	This work
pKD2	pBluescript SK+ containing a mutated peID regulatory region at site Smal (T7 promoter oriented)	3	This work
pKD3	pBluescript SK+ containing a mutated peID regulatory region at site Smal (T7 promoter oriented)	2,3	This work
pKD4	pBluescript SK+ containing a mutated peID regulatory region at site Smal (T7 promoter oriented)	1, 2, 3	This work
pKD5	pBluescript SK+ containing a mutated peID regulatory region at site Smal (T7 promoter oriented)	1bis, 2, 3	This work
pKD7	pBluescript KS+ containing a mutated peID regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	1bis	This work
pKD9	pBluescript SK+ containing a mutated peID regulatory region at site Smal (T7 promoter oriented)	1, 1bis, 2, 3	This work
pKD10	pBluescript KS+ containing a mutated peID regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	1, 1bis	This work
pKD24	pBluescript KS+ containing a mutated <i>pelD</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII, with <i>div</i> inactivated	none	This work
pKD26	pBluescript KS+ containing a mutated <i>pelD</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII, with CRP2 inactivated	none	This work
pKD27	pBluescript KS+ containing a mutated <i>pelD</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII, with CRP1 inactivated	none	This work
pKD28	pBluescript KS+ containing a mutated <i>pelD</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII, with CRP1 and CRP2 inactivated	none	This work
pND0	pNB4 containing the wild-type pelD regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	none	This work
pLD0	pUCter-Luc-Cm containing the wild-type pelD regulatory region between sites Nhel and Xhol	none	This work
pPD0	pProbe-AT ' containing the wild-type pelD regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	none	This work
pPD1	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated peID regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	2	This work
pPD2	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated peID regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	3	This work
pPD3	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated peID regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	2,3	This work
pPD4	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated peID regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	1, 2, 3	This work
pPD5	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated peID regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	1bis, 2, 3	This work
pPD7	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated peID regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	1bis	This work
pPD9	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated peID regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	1, 1bis, 2, 3	This work
pPD24	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>pelD</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIIi, with <i>div</i> inactivated	none	This work
pPD26	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII, with CRP2 inactivated	none	This work
pPD27	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII, with CRP1 inactivated	none	This work
pPD28	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII, with CRP1 and CRP2 inactivated	none	This work
pJD0	pJV containing the wild-type peID regulatory region between sites EcoRI and Sall	none	This work
pJD1	pJV containing a mutated pelD regulatory region between sites EcoRI and Sall	2	This work
pJD2	pJV containing a mutated pelD regulatory region between sites EcoRI and Sall	3	This work
pJD3	pJV containing a mutated pelD regulatory region between sites EcoRI and Sall	2,3	This work
pJD9	pJV containing a mutated pelD regulatory region between sites EcoRI and Sall	1, 1bis, 2, 3	This work
pJD10	pJV containing a mutated pelD regulatory region between sites EcoRI and Sall	1, 1bis	This work
pJD24	pJV containing a mutated pelD regulatory region between sites EcoRI and Sall, with div inactivated	none	This work
pID0	pProbe-AT ' containing a wild-type pelD regulatory region between sites KpnI and BamHI	none	This work
pID24	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated pelD regulatory region between sites KpnI and BamHI, with div	none	This work

	inactivated	
pID26	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites KpnI and BamHI, with CRP2 none inactivated	This work
pID27	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites KpnI and BamHI, with CRP1 none inactivated	This work
pID28	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites KpnI and BamHI, with CRP1 none and CRP2 inactivated	This work
Primers		Ref
DNase pel FW	HEX-ACTATAGGGCGAATTGG	This work
DNase pel REV	FAM-AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGG	This work
B0014 FW	AACAGCTGTCACACTGGCTCACCTTC	This work
B0014 REV	AAGAATTCAATAATAAAAAAGCCGGATTAATAATC	This work
bla 3B4 fluo	HEX-CAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGC	This work
pelDfis1bismut FW	GCTGAATTTAAAAtAAAAATTAATTCAAtATTCATAACTAAAAG	This work
pelDfis1bismut REV	CTTTTAGTTATGAATaTTGAATTAATTTTTaTTTTAAATTCAGC	This work
pelDFis1mutFW	TTTCAGATAAAAACtCTTATACATATAGtTGAATTTAAAA	This work
pelDFis1mutREV	TTTTAAATTCAaCTATATGTATAAGaGTTTTTATCTGAAA	This work
35rev v2 FW	GAATTTAAAAGAAAAATTAATTCttCATTCATAACTAAAAGTTACC	This work
35rev v2 REV	GGTAACTTTTAGTTATGAATGaaGAATTAATTTTCTTTTAAATTC	This work
300	ACTATAGGGCGAATTGG	This work
395	CATAAAAAAAACGAGATTTTGAagtCAAAATAAACAATCGAAAACGC	This work
396	GCGTTTTCGATTGTTTATTTTGactTCAAAATCTCGTTTTTTTATG	This work
397	CTAAAAGTTACCGGTCACGAagtCACTTTAGATAAAATTAATTAGC	This work
398	GCTAATTAATTTTATCTAAAGTGactTCGTGACCGGTAACTTTTAG	This work





Normalised fluorescence/OD (AU)













Supplementary



Fig S1: DNase I footprinting of FIS binding in the *pelD* regulatory region. DNase I footprinting was performed using fluorescently labelled linear *pelD* DNA. The profiles represent the pattern obtained for the template strand. The red and the blue profiles correspond respectively to the samples without and with FIS. a) Profiles for *pelD* DNA samples with inactivated FIS1, FIS1bis, FIS2 or FIS3 sites at 150 nM FIS concentration. b) Profiles of the wild type *pelD* DNA incubated with increasing concentrations of FIS (from 1 to 150 nM)



Fig S2: Comparison between the Gfp and Luc reporter systems in *D. dadantii* and the GUS system in *E. coli*. Bacteria transformed with the wild-type *pelD* regulatory region in a reporter plasmid were cultured in equivalent conditions (see materials and methods). The normalised fluorescence and GUS specific activity were taken in early stationary phase and the luminescence at the maximum. Data represents the mean of 3 (GUS) to 12 replicates (Gfp and Luc) and error bars represent the standard deviation. Numbers above the error bars indicate the coefficient of variation (in %).



**Fig S3: Effect of FIS binding on** *pelD* **transcription** *in vitro*. *In vitro* transcription was performed using several *pelD* constructs (plasmids D0, D1, D2, D3, D9 and D10 indicated in the bottom left panel) in presence of 100 nM CRP, 40 nM RNA polymerase and varying concentrations of FIS. The RNA-I internal control was used as a standard for quantification of the *pelD* transcript (bottom right panel).



**Fig S4:** Absence of modification of the CRP1 DNase I footprint by the presence of FIS. A quantitative DNase I footprint was performed on fluorescently labelled *pelD* regulatory regions, in identical conditions as in figure 5. The area of the peaks at one position inside CRP1 (at position -85 relatively to the transcription start site) for different CRP concentrations is represented.



**Fig S5:** *in vivo* potassium permanganate footprinting of the *pelD* regulatory region. pKD0 (wild-type *pelD*) was transformed into *D. dadantii.* Bacteria were then cultured in M63S + PGA and an *in vivo* potassium permanganate footprinting was performed as described in materials and methods. (a) image of one replicate of the experiment. Lane C corresponds to an *in vitro* potassium permanganate footprinting control performed on the same plasmid with 100 nM CRP and 40 nM RNAP. Bands are numbered and localised in part c of the figure. (b) quantification of the intensity of the bands, represented as mean +/- SD of 2 independent biological replicates. (c) Position of the attacked nucleotides of part a. Both strands are shown and transcription start sites are underlined.



**Fig S6: Predicted intrinsic and CRP-induced bending in the** *pelD* **regulatory region.** a) The intrinsic curvature of the *pelD* was modeled using DNAcurve (http://www.lfd.uci.edu/~gohlke/dnacurve/) and the angle (in yellow) was measured using PyMOL, which corresponds to a bending angle of 51°. The DNA molecule is represented by orange dots and its trajectory in green dots. The main features of the *pelD* regulatory region are shown in white. b) Schematic representation of the CRP-induced bend in the *pelD* regulatory region. Considering that CRP can bend DNA to an angle of around 90°, the presence of both CRP sites could bring *pelD* and *div* in close proximity, facilitating RNAP transfer between both.



**Fig S7: Regulatory structure of 3 different relevant promoters regulated by a divergent promoter.** The *pelD* (*D. dadantii*), *fis* (*E. coli*) and *crp* (*E. coli*) regulatory regions are represented. The known promoters are represented with an arrow, FIS sites by white boxes and CRP sites by gray boxes, as described in the litterature and this work. The promoter which is coupled to *div* is drawn on the right. All regulatory regions and binding sites are represented to scale. The scale bar in the bottom left corner represents 10 base pairs.

Strains	Description		Ref
D. dadantii			
3937 (A4922)	Wild-type strain isolated from Saintpaulia ionantha		[1]
A4474	3937 <i>fis::</i> Cm <sup>R</sup>		[2]
E. coli			
CSH50	F- araBAD-0 M(pro-lac) λ- rpsL-(strR) thi- fimE1::IS1-		[3]
13506	CSH50 <i>fis</i> ::Cm <sup>R</sup>		[4]
Plasmids	Description	Inactivated FIS sites	Ref
pNB4	Reporter vector with <i>uidA</i> , AmpR		[5]
pProbe-AT '	Reporter vector with <i>gfp</i> , AmpR		[6]
pBluescript SK+	Cloning vector, AmpR		Stratagene
pBluescript KS+	Cloning vector, AmpR		Stratagene
pUCter-Luc-Cm	Reporter vector with <i>luc</i> , AmpR CmR		[7]
pJV	In vitro transcription vector AmpR		This work
pWN2481 (pKD0)	pBluescript KS+ containing the wild-type <i>pelD</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	none	[8]
pKD1	pBluescript SK+ containing a mutated pelD regulatory region at site Smal (T7 promoter oriented)	2	This work
pKD2	pBluescript SK+ containing a mutated pelD regulatory region at site Smal (T7 promoter oriented)	3	This work
pKD3	pBluescript SK+ containing a mutated pelD regulatory region at site Smal (T7 promoter oriented)	2,3	This work
pKD4	pBluescript SK+ containing a mutated pelD regulatory region at site Smal (T7 promoter oriented)	1, 2, 3	This work
pKD5	pBluescript SK+ containing a mutated pelD regulatory region at site Smal (T7 promoter oriented)	1bis, 2, 3	This work
pKD7	pBluescript KS+ containing a mutated peID regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	1bis	This work
pKD9	pBluescript SK+ containing a mutated pelD regulatory region at site Smal (T7 promoter oriented)	1, 1bis, 2, 3	This work
pKD10	pBluescript KS+ containing a mutated peID regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	1, 1bis	This work
pKD24	pBluescript KS+ containing a mutated <i>pelD</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII, with <i>div</i> inactivated	none	This work
pKD26	pBluescript KS+ containing a mutated <i>pelD</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII, with CRP2 inactivated	none	This work
pKD27	pBluescript KS+ containing a mutated <i>pelD</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII, with CRP1 inactivated	none	This work
pKD28	pBluescript KS+ containing a mutated <i>pelD</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII, with CRP1 and CRP2 inactivated	none	This work
pND0	pNB4 containing the wild-type pelD regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	none	This work
pLD0	pUCter-Luc-Cm containing the wild-type pelD regulatory region between sites Nhel and Xhol	none	This work
pPD0	pProbe-AT ' containing the wild-type <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	none	This work
pPD1	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated pelD regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	2	This work
pPD2	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated pelD regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	3	This work
pPD3	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated peID regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	2,3	This work
pPD4	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	1, 2, 3	This work
pPD5	Probe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	1bis, 2, 3	This work
pPD7	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	1bis	This work

# Supplementary table S1: strains, plasmids and oligos used in this study

pPD9	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated pelD regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII	1, 1bis, 2, 3	This work
pPD24	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>pelD</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIIi, with <i>div</i> inactivated	none	This work
pPD26	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII, with CRP2 inactivated	none	This work
pPD27	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII, with CRP1 inactivated	none	This work
pPD28	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and HindIII, with CRP1 and CRP2 inactivated	none	This work
pJD0	pJV containing the wild-type peID regulatory region between sites EcoRI and Sall	none	This work
pJD1	pJV containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and Sall	2	This work
pJD2	pJV containing a mutated <i>pelD</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and Sall	3	This work
pJD3	pJV containing a mutated <i>pelD</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and Sall	2,3	This work
pJD9	pJV containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and Sall	1, 1bis, 2, 3	This work
pJD10	pJV containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and Sall	1, 1bis	This work
pJD24	pJV containing a mutated <i>pelD</i> regulatory region between sites EcoRI and Sall, with <i>div</i> inactivated	none	This work
pID0	pProbe-AT ' containing a wild-type <i>pelD</i> regulatory region between sites KpnI and BamHI	none	This work
pID24	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>pelD</i> regulatory region between sites KpnI and BamHI, with <i>div</i> inactivated	none	This work
pID26	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites KpnI and BamHI, with CRP2 inactivated	none	This work
pID27	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites KpnI and BamHI, with CRP1 inactivated	none	This work
pID28	pProbe-AT ' containing a mutated <i>peID</i> regulatory region between sites KpnI and BamHI, with CRP1 and CRP2 inactivated	none	This work
Primers			Ref
Primers DNase pel FW	HEX-ACTATAGGGCGAATTGG		Ref This work
Primers DNase pel FW DNase pel REV	HEX-ACTATAGGGCGAATTGG FAM-AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGG		Ref This work This work
Primers DNase pel FW DNase pel REV B0014 FW	HEX-ACTATAGGGCGAATTGG FAM-AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGG AACAGCTGTCACACTGGCTCACCTTC		Ref This work This work This work
Primers DNase pel FW DNase pel REV B0014 FW B0014 REV	HEX-ACTATAGGGCGAATTGG FAM-AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGG AACAGCTGTCACACTGGCTCACCTTC AAGAATTCAATAATAAAAAAGCCGGATTAATAATC		Ref This work This work This work This work
Primers DNase pel FW DNase pel REV B0014 FW B0014 REV bla 3B4 fluo	HEX-ACTATAGGGCGAATTGG FAM-AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGG AACAGCTGTCACACTGGCTCACCTTC AAGAATTCAATAATAAAAAAGCCGGATTAATAATC HEX-CAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGC		Ref This work This work This work This work This work
Primers DNase pel FW DNase pel REV B0014 FW B0014 REV bla 3B4 fluo pelDfis1bismut FW	HEX-ACTATAGGGCGAATTGG FAM-AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGG AACAGCTGTCACACTGGCTCACCTTC AAGAATTCAATAATAAAAAAGCCGGATTAATAATC HEX-CAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGC GCTGAATTTAAAAtAAAATTAATTCAAtATTCATAACTAAAAG		Ref This work This work This work This work This work
Primers DNase pel FW DNase pel REV B0014 FW B0014 REV bla 3B4 fluo pelDfis1bismut FW pelDfis1bismut REV	HEX-ACTATAGGGCGAATTGG         FAM-AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGG         AACAGCTGTCACACTGGCTCACCTTC         AAGAATTCAATAATAAAAAGCCGGATTAATAATC         HEX-CAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGC         GCTGAATTTAAAAAAAATTAATTCAAtATTCATAACTAAAAG         CTTTTAGTTATGAATaTTGAATTAATTTTTTTTTTTTAAATTCAGC		Ref This work This work This work This work This work This work
Primers DNase pel FW DNase pel REV B0014 FW B0014 REV bla 3B4 fluo pelDfis1bismut FW pelDfis1bismut REV pelDFis1mutFW	HEX-ACTATAGGGCGAATTGGFAM-AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGAACAGCTGTCACACTGGCTCACCTTCAAGAATTCAATAATAAAAAAGCCGGATTAATAATCHEX-CAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCGCTGAATTTAAAAtAAAAATTAATTCAAtATTCATAACTAAAAGCTTTTAGTTATGAATaTTGAATTAATTTTaTTTTAAATTCAGCTTTCAGATAAAAAACtCTTATACATATAGtTGAATTTAAAA		Ref This work This work This work This work This work This work This work
Primers DNase pel FW DNase pel REV B0014 FW B0014 REV bla 3B4 fluo pelDfis1bismut FW pelDfis1bismut REV pelDFis1mutREV	HEX-ACTATAGGGCGAATTGGFAM-AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGAACAGCTGTCACACTGGCTCACCTTCAAGAATTCAATAATAAAAAGCCGGATTAATAATCHEX-CAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCGCTGAATTTAAAAAAAATTAATTCAAtATTCATAACTAAAAGCTTTTAGTTATGAATaTGAATTAATTTTTTTTTTTAAATTCAGCTTTCAGATAAAAAACtCTTATACATATAGtTGAATTTAACTAAAATTTTAAATTCAaCTATAGTATAGAGGTTTTTATCTGAAA		Ref This work This work This work This work This work This work This work This work
Primers DNase pel FW DNase pel REV B0014 FW B0014 REV bla 3B4 fluo pelDfis1bismut FW pelDfis1bismut REV pelDFis1mutFW pelDFis1mutREV 35rev v2 FW	HEX-ACTATAGGGCGAATTGGFAM-AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGAACAGCTGTCACACTGGCTCACCTTCAAGAATTCAATAATAAAAAAGCCGGATTAATAATCHEX-CAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCGCTGAATTTAAAAAAAATTAATTCAAtATTCATAACTAAAAGCTTTTAGTTATGAATaTTGAATTAATTCAAtATTTAATTCAGCTTTCAGATAAAAAAACtCTTATACATAAGtGAATTTAAATCAGATTTTAAATTCAaCTATAGTGTATAGGTTTTTATCTGAAAGAATTTAAAAGAAAATTAATTCHCATTCATAACTAAAAGTTACC		Ref This work This work This work This work This work This work This work This work
Primers DNase pel FW DNase pel REV B0014 FW B0014 REV bla 3B4 fluo pelDfis1bismut FW pelDfis1bismut REV pelDFis1mutFW pelDFis1mutREV 35rev v2 FW 35rev v2 REV	HEX-ACTATAGGGCGAATTGGFAM-AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGAACAGCTGTCACACTGGCTCACCTTCAAGAATTCAATAATAAAAAAGCCGGATTAATAATCHEX-CAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCGCTGAATTTAAAAtAAAAATTAATTCAAtATTCATAACTAAAAGCTTTTAGTTATGAATaTTGAATTAATTTCAAtATTCATAACTAAAAGTTTCAGATAAAAAACtCTTATACATATAGtTGAATTTAAATTCAGCTTTTAAATTCAaCTATATGTATAAGaGTTTTTTATCTGAAAGAATTTAAAAAGAAAAATTAATTCCCTATAACTAAAAGTTACCGGTAACTTTTAGTTATGAATGAATGAAGAAATTAATTCTCATAACTAAAAGTTACCGGTAACTTTTAGTTATGAATGAATGAAGAAATTAATTCTTTTTTTT		Ref This work This work This work This work This work This work This work This work This work
Primers DNase pel FW DNase pel REV B0014 FW B0014 REV bla 3B4 fluo pelDfis1bismut FW pelDfis1bismut REV pelDFis1mutFW pelDFis1mutREV 35rev v2 FW 35rev v2 REV 300	HEX-ACTATAGGGCGAATTGGFAM-AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGAACAGCTGTCACACTGGCTCACCTTCAAGAATTCAATAATAAAAAAGCCGGATTAATAATCHEX-CAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCGCTGAATTTAAAAAAAAATTAATTCAAtATTCATAACTAAAAGCTTTTAGTTATGAATaTTGAATTAATTCAAtATTCATAACTAAAAGTTTCAGATAAAAAACtCTTATACATATAGtTGAATTTAAATTCAGCTTTTAAATTCAaCTATAGTATAGATGATTAATTCATACTAAAAGGAATTTAAAAAAAAATTAATTCttCATTCATAACTAAAAGTTACCGGTAACTTTTAGTTATGAATTAATTCttCATTAATTTTTTTTTT		Ref This work This work This work This work This work This work This work This work This work
Primers DNase pel FW DNase pel REV B0014 FW B0014 REV bla 3B4 fluo pelDfis1bismut FW pelDfis1bismut REV pelDFis1mutFW pelDFis1mutREV 35rev v2 FW 35rev v2 REV 300 395	HEX-ACTATAGGGCGAATTGGFAM-AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGAACAGCTGTCACACTGGCTCACCTTCAAGAATTCAATAATAAAAAGCCGGATTAATAATCHEX-CAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCGCTGAATTTAAAAtAAAAATTAATTCAAtATTCATAACTAAAAGCTTTTAGTTATGAATaTTGAATTAATTCAAtATTCATAACTAAAAGTTTCAGATAAAAAACCTTATAGATTAATTTTTTATTTTA		Ref This work This work This work This work This work This work This work This work This work This work
Primers DNase pel FW DNase pel REV B0014 FW B0014 REV bla 3B4 fluo pelDfis1bismut FW pelDfis1bismut REV pelDFis1mutREV 35rev v2 FW 35rev v2 REV 300 395 396	HEX-ACTATAGGGCGAATTGGFAM-AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGAACAGCTGTCACACTGGCTCACCTTCAAGAATTCAATAATAAAAAAGCCGGATTAATAATCHEX-CAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCGCTGAATTTAAAAAAAAATTAATTCAAtATTCATAACTAAAAGCTTTTAGTTATGAATaTTGAATTAATTCAAtATTCATAACTAAAAGTTTCAGATAAAAAACtCTTATACATATAGtTGAATTTAAATCAGCTTTTAAATTCAaCTATATGTATAAGaGTTTTTATCTGAAAGAATTTAAAAGAAAAATTAATTCttCATTAACTAAAAGTTACCGGTAACTTTTAGTTATGAATGAaGAATTAATTCttCATTAACTAAAAGTTACCGGTAACTTTTAGTTATGAATGAaGGAATTAATTTTTTTTTT		Ref This work This work
Primers DNase pel FW DNase pel REV B0014 FW B0014 REV bla 3B4 fluo pelDfis1bismut FW pelDfis1bismut REV pelDFis1mutREV 35rev v2 FW 35rev v2 REV 300 395 396 397	HEX-ACTATAGGGCGAATTGGFAM-AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGAACAGCTGTCACACTGGCTCACCTTCAAGAATTCAATAATAAAAAAGCCGGATTAATAATCHEX-CAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCGCTGAATTTAAAAAAAAATTAATTCAAtATTCAATAATCAACTAAAAAGCTTTTAGTTATGAATaTTGAATTAATTCAAtATTCAATAATCAGCTTTCAGATAAAAAAACtCTTATACATAAGtTGAATTTAAATTCAAGCTTTTAAATTCAaCTATATGTATAAGtTGAATTTAATTCAAAAAGGAATTTAAAAGAAAAATTAATTCttCATATAGtTGAATTAAATTCAACTAAAAGGTTACCGGTAACTTTTAGTATAGAATGaaGAATTAATTTTTTTTTT		Ref This work This work

#### References

[1] A. Kotoujansky, M. Lemattre, P. Boistard, Utilization of a thermosensitive episome bearing transposon TN10 to isolate Hfr donor strains of

Erwinia carotovora subsp. chrysanthemi., J. Bacteriol. 150 (1982) 122-131.

- [2] T. Lautier, W. Nasser, The DNA nucleoid-associated protein Fis co-ordinates the expression of the main virulence genes in the phytopathogenic bacterium Erwinia chrysanthemi, Mol. Microbiol. 66 (2007) 1474–1490. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.06012.x.
- [3] Miller JH, Experiment in Molecular Genetics, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 1972.
- G. González-Gil, P. Bringmann, R. Kahmann, FIS is a regulator of metabolism in Escherichia coli, Mol. Microbiol. 22 (1996) 21–29. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.1996.tb02652.x.
- [5] N. Bardonnet, A. Trautwetter, G. Couchoux-Luthaud, C. Blanco, Plasmids with the uidA reporter gene for the detection of promoters and transcription signals, Mol. Gen. Genet. MGG. 212 (1988) 390–392.
- [6] W.G. Miller, J.H. Leveau, S.E. Lindow, Improved gfp and inaZ broad-host-range promoter-probe vectors, Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. MPMI. 13 (2000) 1243–1250. doi:10.1094/MPMI.2000.13.11.1243.
- [7] X. Jiang, P. Sobetzko, W. Nasser, S. Reverchon, G. Muskhelishvili, Chromosomal "Stress-Response" Domains Govern the Spatiotemporal Expression of the Bacterial Virulence Program, mBio. 6 (2015) e00353-15. doi:10.1128/mBio.00353-15.
- [8] C. Rouanet, K. Nomura, S. Tsuyumu, W. Nasser, Regulation of pelD and pelE, Encoding Major Alkaline Pectate Lyases in Erwinia chrysanthemi: Involvement of the Main Transcriptional Factors, J. Bacteriol. 181 (1999) 5948–5957.