

# How do food sources drive meiofauna community structure in soft-bottom coastal food webs?

L.H. van Der Heijden, J. Rzeznik-Orignac, R. Asmus, D. Fichet, M. Bréret, P.

Kadel, L. Beaugeard, H. Asmus, B. Lebreton

# ▶ To cite this version:

L.H. van Der Heijden, J. Rzeznik-Orignac, R. Asmus, D. Fichet, M. Bréret, et al.. How do food sources drive meiofauna community structure in soft-bottom coastal food webs?. Marine Biology, 2018, 165 (10), pp.166. 10.1007/s00227-018-3419-7 . hal-01994251

# HAL Id: hal-01994251 https://hal.science/hal-01994251v1

Submitted on 18 Feb 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 How do food sources drive meiofauna community structure in soft-bottom coastal food webs?
- 2 L.H. van der Heijden<sup>1,2</sup>, J. Rzeznik-Orignac<sup>3</sup>, R. Asmus<sup>2</sup>, D. Fichet<sup>1</sup>, M. Bréret<sup>1</sup>, P. Kadel<sup>2</sup>, L. Beaugeard<sup>1</sup>,
- 3 H. Asmus<sup>2</sup>, B. Lebreton<sup>1</sup>
- 4 <sup>1</sup>UMR 7266 Littoral, Environnement et Sociétés (CNRS University of La Rochelle), Institut du littoral et
- 5 *de l'environnement, 2 rue Olympe de Gouges, 17000 La Rochelle, France*
- 6 <sup>2</sup>Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Wattenmeerstation Sylt,
- 7 Hafenstrasse 43, 25992 List/Sylt, Germany
- 8 <sup>3</sup>Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, Laboratoire d'Ecogéochimie des Environnements
- 9 Benthiques (LECOB UMR 8222), Observatoire Océanologique, 66650 Banyuls/mer, France
- 10
- 11 Corresponding author: L.H. van der Heijden
- 12 Email address: luuk.van\_der\_heijden@univ-lr.fr
- 13 Phone number: +33 (0) 546 507 631

#### 14 Abstract

Meiofauna have a strong role in the functioning of coastal ecosystems but how their food sources 15 16 affect their community structure remains unclear, likely due to the influence of abiotic parameters and the 17 small size of these organisms. The meiofauna and their potential food sources were sampled in several 18 intertidal habitats (i.e. mudflat, seagrass bed, sandflat) of the Marennes-Oléron Bay, France, and the Sylt-19 Rømø Bight, Germany, to assess the relationships between habitat characteristics and meiofauna community structure. Biomass and quality (carbon/chlorophyll a ratios) of food sources were estimated. 20 21 Meiofauna community structure based on density and biomass was determined, as well as nematode 22 diversity and feeding types. Meiofauna density and biomass varied highly within habitats and within ecosystems, ranging from 1.7\*10<sup>5</sup> ind. m<sup>-2</sup> to 3.4\*10<sup>6</sup> ind. m<sup>-2</sup> and from 0.057 gC m<sup>-2</sup> to 1.541 gC m<sup>-2</sup>, 23 respectively. Benthic microalgae and sediment organic matter are important drivers in these food webs as 24 25 the density of two important trophic groups of nematodes, non-selective deposit feeders and epigrowth feeders, varied in accordance with these food sources. No clear relationship was observed between 26 27 bacterial biomass and selective deposit feeders (i.e. bacterivores) probably due to the high production rate 28 of bacteria. Complementary information about production rates of food source as well as data from 29 trophic markers would provide complimentary information to better understand flows of organic matter in 30 intertidal habitats, particularly for opportunistic species such as non-selective deposit feeders.

#### 31 Introduction

Soft-bottom intertidal habitats are highly productive (Underwood and Kromkamp 1999; Hemminga 32 and Duarte 2000), fueling complex food webs and providing food resources for a large diversity of top 33 consumers like fish and birds (Day et al. 1989; Duarte and Cebrián 1996) via trophic pathways going 34 through meiofauna (Chardy and Dauvin 1992) and macrofauna (Haubois et al. 2005). Meiofauna, here 35 36 defined as metazoans associated with sediments with a size range from 40 to 500 µm, are considered as an important trophic component in the functioning of coastal ecosystems. They have an intermediate 37 trophic position (Leguerrier et al. 2003; Giere 2009) and high secondary production (from 4 to 29 gC m<sup>-2</sup> 38 year<sup>-1</sup>) (Escaravage et al. 1989; Chardy and Dauvin 1992; Danovaro et al. 2002) that often exceeds that of 39 40 the macrofauna (Giere 2009). Meiofauna are connected to the microorganisms in the food web via the 41 consumption of microalgae and bacteria (Rzeznik-Orignac et al. 2003; Vafeiadou et al. 2014) and to the 42 food webs of macroconsumers especially via their consumption by small benthic fish and predatory 43 invertebrates (Coull 1999). Nematodes are the most dominant group in meiofauna; their food resources 44 can be very specific, or in the contrary, relatively diverse, and their buccal cavity is very distinct based on 45 these feeding types. This morphological trait has been used for decades to define feeding types (Wieser 1953; Warwick 1971; Heip et al. 1985) and some more recent methods (i.e. feeding behavior 46

experiments; (Moens and Vincx 1997), trophic markers (Moens et al. 2005)) permitted to revise or
precise the feeding types of some genera (e.g. assort of *Metachromadora* from the omnivores/predators to
the epigrowth feeders).

Both, biotic and abiotic parameters, have been shown to affect meiofauna community structure. 50 51 Among biotic parameters, food availability in general (Castel et al. 1989; Danovaro 1996), availability of 52 specific food sources such as bacteria and microphytobenthos (Danovaro and Gambi 2002; Fonseca et al. 2011), the quality of the food (Danovaro et al. 2002) and the deposition of organic material of pelagic 53 54 origin (Franco et al. 2008) have been identified as structuring parameters. Abiotic parameters such as 55 sediment grain-size (Steyaert et al. 2003; Dupuy et al. 2015), habitat structure (Fonseca et al. 2011), oxygen gradients (Josefson and Widbom 1988), intertidal water level (Steyaert et al. 2001) as well as 56 anthropogenic impacts (Semprucci et al. 2010) are influencing meiofauna community structure. Studies 57 58 on meiobenthic communities in intertidal systems have been carried out mainly on single habitats, focusing on effects of abiotic and biotic parameters at the habitat scale (Reise 1985; Rzeznik-Orignac et 59 60 al. 2003; Lebreton et al. 2012). Factors affecting the community structure of meiofauna at a larger scale (Moens et al. 2013) in intertidal habitats are still poorly known, as few studies have compared several 61 62 habitats and/or different ecosystems.

63 The present study investigates whether meiobenthic communities, especially free-living nematodes 64 and benthic copepods, differ among habitats with different characteristics (i.e. mudflats, seagrass beds and sandflats; influence of terrestrial organic matter inputs or not) to define which factors are structuring 65 these communities. Particular attention is paid to the role of food sources, as they have been highlighted 66 67 as important drivers of ecosystem functioning in intertidal habitats (Asmus and Asmus 1985; Underwood and Kromkamp 1999; McLusky and Elliott 2004). Aim of this study is therefore to define if changes in 68 biomass and quality of food sources can affect meiofauna community structure. If yes, the objective is to 69 determine—among all available food sources (i.e. benthic microalgae, sediment organic matter (SOM), 70 71 suspended particulate organic matter (SPOM) and bacteria)-what food sources play a role and how 72 changes in these food sources influence meiofauna community structure. In this aim, a temporal sampling 73 was donine in spring and autumn in several habitats to define the temporal and spatial variations of the 74 food sources and those of meiofauna community structure.

- 75 Materials and methods
- 76 Study sites
- 77 Marennes-Oléron Bay

78 The Marennes-Oléron Bay is a semi-enclosed system, located in the middle of the western Atlantic

roast of France, between the Oléron Island and the mainland (Fig. 1). The bay has a surface of 180 km<sup>2</sup>

80 (Gouleau et al. 2000) and is influenced by both oceanic and continental inputs, mainly from the Charente

81 River (river input ranges from 15 to 500 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) (Ravail et al. 1988). Tides are semi-diurnal, with a period

82 of emersion of ca. 6 h per tidal cycle and a tidal range from 0.9 to 6.5 m (Kervella 2009). The system is

dominated by intertidal bare mudflats (91 km<sup>2</sup>, i.e. 58%) which have a flat bottom slope (1:1000) and

represent a large tidal area (up to 4.5 km wide) (Gouleau et al. 2000).

85 The Brouage mudflat, located on the eastern part of the bay, is the most extensive mudflat  $(40 \text{ km}^2)$ 

86 (Fig. 1). The sediment consists mainly of silt and clay particles (95%) with a size smaller than 63  $\mu$ m

87 (Pascal et al. 2009). Seagrass beds, consisting of the species *Zostera noltii* (Lebreton et al. 2009), are

88 located on the western side of the bay, along the coast of the Oléron Island, which is more influenced by

89 offshore waters than the eastern side (Dechambenoy et al. 1977). The seagrass meadow is stretched out

90 over 15 km along the upper part of the flat, with an average width of 1.5 km (Guillaumont 1991).

# 91 Sylt-Rømø Bight

92 The Sylt-Rømø Bight is a semi-enclosed basin, located in the north of Germany between the 93 mainland of Germany and Denmark, the islands of Sylt (Germany) and of Rømø (Denmark) (Fig. 1). The 94 bight consists of a mosaic of habitats, mainly intertidal and subtidal sandflats, seagrass beds, and mudflats 95 (Asmus and Asmus 1985). The bight has a coverage of 404 km<sup>2</sup> of which 135 km<sup>2</sup> are intertidal (Asmus 96 and Asmus 1985) and the tidal gauge is 1.8 m on average (Asmus and Asmus 1985).

97 The *Arenicola* sandflat is the most represented intertidal habitat in the bay with  $91 \text{ km}^2$  (67%)

98 (Asmus and Asmus 2005). However, *Zostera noltii* seagrass beds expanded over the last years, from 15

99 km<sup>2</sup> in 1995 to 83 km<sup>2</sup> (i.e. 21% of the bay surface) in 2010 (Reise and Kohlus 2008). The intertidal bare

100 mudflats are poorly represented in the bight, with an area of  $4 \text{ km}^2$  (Fig. 1).

101 Field sampling and laboratory processes

102 Three intertidal habitats were studied in the two bays: a bare mudflat (mudflat MO) and a seagrass

bed (seagrass MO) in the Marennes-Oléron Bay, and a bare mudflat (mudflat SR), a seagrass bed

104 (seagrass SR) and a sandflat (sandflat SR) in the Sylt-Rømø Bight (Fig. 1). Based on knowledge already

105 gained about these habitats in each of these five locations (Leguerrier et al. 2003; Baird et al. 2007;

106 Pacella et al. 2013; Saint-Béat et al. 2013) one sampling station that was the most representative for the

107 studied habitat was selected.





**109** Figure 1. Sampling stations of the mudflats, seagrass beds and sandflat in the Marennes-Oléron Bay (MO) and the

110 Sylt-Rømø Bight (SR) along the European coast. Pelagic sampling stations, where samples for suspended particulate

- 111 organic matter were taken, are indicated by roman numbers in both Marennes-Oléron Bay (I-II) and Sylt-Rømø
- 112 Bight (III-V).

# 113 Sediment grain size

Sediment samples (n = 3) were collected randomly with cores  $(\emptyset = 4 \text{ cm})$  and were split into 0-1 cm and 1-5 cm depth fractions. Sediment grain size was determined by laser granulometry analyses for the sediment from the seagrass bed and mudflats (Mastersize 2000, Malvern Instruments Limited, United Kingdom). The freeze-dried sediment of these habitats was rehydrated before analyses in order to avoid the use of ultrasound to disaggregate the sediment. Sediment grain size of freeze-dried samples from the sandflat of the Sylt-Rømø Bight was determined by dry sieving. Samples were classified based on their median sediment grain size after Wentworth (1922).

# 121 Benthic primary producers, composite sources and bacteria

For all stations, sampling was carried out in two seasons, in spring (from May 17 to June 17, 2016) and in autumn (from November 14 to December 15, 2016), to compare a season with a high primary production with a season with a high load of detrital matter. Sampling was carried out during low tide, starting about one hour before lowest water level. 126 Samples for sediment organic matter (SOM), detritus, and seagrass leaves and roots (3 replicates) were taken using cores ( $\alpha = 19$  cm) which were separated in two layers (a surface layer from 0-1 cm, a 127 sub-surface layer from 1-5 cm). The collected material was gently sieved on a 500 µm mesh screen (1 128 129 mm for sandflat SR samples) after which the upper fraction was sorted in seagrass leaves, roots and detritus (brown to black colored leaves and roots). All samples were freeze-dried, then weighed ( $\pm 0.001$ 130 g). Carbon to dry weight ratios were determined on each sample: A small amount was ground to a fine 131 132 and homogeneous powder using a ball mill (MM 400, Retsch, Germany) and carbon content was 133 determined using an elemental analyzer (Flash EA 1112, Thermo Scientific, Italy). Subsamples were collected in the lower fraction (SOM), freeze-dried, and ground using a mortar and pestle. Chlorophyll a 134 and organic carbon concentrations were determined in sub-samples by fluorimetry (TD-700, Turner 135 Designs, USA) using the method of Lorenzen (1966) and elemental analyses (Flash EA 1112, Thermo 136 137 Scientific, Italy), respectively. Chlorophyll a values were converted into carbon biomass using the 138 conversion ratio (47.63) provided by de Jonge (1980) and used as a proxy of fresh material of microalgae 139 origin (i.e. microphytobenthos and trapped phytoplankton) in sediment, thereafter called "benthic microalgae". Carbonates were removed prior to elemental analyses by adding HCl at 2 mol.1<sup>-1</sup> drop-by-140 drop on SOM samples until cessation of bubbling. Subsequently samples were dried at 60°C to constant 141 weight, freeze-dried and ground again. 142

Bacterial biomass (3 replicates) was determined using cores ( $\phi = 4$  cm) which were separated in two 143 144 layers (0-1 cm and 1-5 cm). These sediment layers were homogenized with a spatula and 2 mL of this material were mixed with 2 mL formalin (4%). Samples were deep frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at 145 146 -80°C. The number of bacteria was determined following the protocol of Lavergne et al. (2014). Samples were diluted (1/2000) using sodium pyrophosphate (P-8010, Sigma Aldrich, France) and Tween 80 (P-147 1754, Sigma Aldrich, France), homogenized and then incubated at 4 °C during 30 minutes. Samples were 148 149 then sonicated at 60 W during 30 seconds, after which 1 mL of the pre-diluted sample was stained with 20 µL of SYBR Green I (Invitrogen-11540746; 1/5000 final concentration) and incubated in the dark at 150 room temperature for 15 minutes. Afterwards, 2 µL of calibration beads (1 µM) were added and the 151 152 samples were analyzed using a flow cytometer (BD FACSCANTO II, BD Biosciences, USA). Bacterial 153 densities were converted into carbon using the conversion factor (20 fgC cell<sup>-1</sup>) established by Lee and 154 Fuhrman (1987).

155 Suspended particulate organic matter

Sampling of the suspended particulate organic matter (SPOM) was conducted by collecting samples
of surface water during high tide, in the same timeframe as the benthic samples. Water was sampled at
two stations (I and II) in the Marennes-Oléron Bay and three stations (III, IV and V) in the Sylt-Rømø

159 Bight (Fig. 1). Station I, in the north of the Brouage mudflat, represented the water mass covering the

160 mudflat MO station. Station II, near the inlet of the Marennes-Oléron bay, represented the water mass

161 influencing the seagrass MO station. Station II is regularly sampled in the framework of the SOMLIT

surveys (data provided by "Service d'Observation en Milieu Littoral, INSU-CNRS, La Rochelle station").

163 Water samples collected at stations III and IV represented water masses covering the sandflat SR (III),

and the mudflat SR and seagrass SR stations (IV), respectively. Station V, near the entrance of the Sylt-

165 Rømø Bight, represented the SPOM originating from the North Sea.

166 SPOM was sampled by collecting 10 to 100 mL of surface seawater which was pre-filtered on a 250-

 $\mu m$  mesh screen to eliminate large particles/organisms. Water samples were then filtered on

168 precombusted (4 hours, 450°C) Whatman GF/F glass fiber filters (0.7 µm nominal porosity) under

169 moderate vacuum and these filters were freeze-dried. Chlorophyll *a* content, used as a proxy of

170 phytoplankton biomass, of the freeze-dried GF/F filters was measured by fluorimetry using the method of

171 Lorenzen (1966). Phytoplankton biomass was determined by using the conversion factor (56.4)

172 established by Lü et al. (2009).

#### 173 Meiofauna

Meiofauna was sampled for taxonomic composition, density (ind.  $m^{-2}$ ) and biomass (gC  $m^{-2}$ ) 174 175 estimations at the same time as benthic primary producers and composite sources. Three replicates were 176 collected randomly in a ten square meters area using cores ( $\emptyset = 4$  cm). Cores were separated in two layers (0-1 cm and 1-5 cm) to study the changes of meiofauna communities related to sediment depth. Samples 177 were sieved with a 500-µm mesh to eliminate macrofauna and large detritus. Meiofauna was extracted 178 from the sediment using Ludox HS-40 (Sigma Aldrich, France) (de Jonge and Bouwman 1977) and 179 180 retrieved with a 40-µm mesh sieve (Higgins and Thiel 1988). From each replicate, 100 nematodes were 181 haphazardly taken with fine tweezers (#55, Dumont, Switzerland), mounted on slides in anhydrous glycerol to prevent dehydration (Seinhorst 1959) and examined microscopically. The taxonomic 182 composition of the nematode community was determined at least to the genera level based on these 100 183 individuals according to the identification keys of Platt and Warwick (1983, 1988), and Warwick and 184 Clarke (1998). Density was calculated for each genera as number of individuals per m<sup>2</sup> (ind. m<sup>-2</sup>) and 185 relative abundance as the percent composition of each genera relative to the total number of organisms. 186 Species diversity indices were calculated on 100 individuals for each replicate at the five habitats for the 187 188 two seasons. Community structure of the other meiofauna groups was not determined due to low 189 densities. Nematodes were allocated into four trophic groups according to Wieser (1953) as follows: 190 selective deposit-feeders (1A), non-selective deposit-feeders (1B), epigrowth feeders (2A), and omnivores/predators (2B). Various nematode genera, including at least one of each from the 4 different 191

- 192 feeding groups, were selected for determination of individual dry weight and carbon content. Between 10
- and 200 nematodes from the same genera were picked for dry weight determination, freeze-dried and
- 194 weighed  $(\pm 1 \mu g)$  using a microbalance (ME 5, Sartorius, Germany). Organic carbon composition was
- determined using an elemental analyzer (Flash EA 1112, Thermo Scientific, Italy). Mean biomass of
- 196 species-specific nematodes and benthic copepods were used to convert the density of nematodes into
- 197 grams of carbon per  $m^2$  and dry weight per  $m^2$  (Supplementary material, Appendix 1).

#### 198 Data treatment and statistical methods

All analyses were conducted using the R software (R Core Team, 2016) and all hypotheses were 199 200 tested at p < 0.05 level. Normality of the data was tested using Shapiro-Wilks tests. Homogeneity of 201 variance was checked using Bartlett and Levene tests. Average hierarchical clusters (data dissimilarity, Bray-Curtis distance) were realized to discriminate groups within the food sources and within the 202 meiofauna trophic structure using the vegan package (Oksanen et al. 2017) and pvclust package (Suzuki 203 and Shimodaira 2015). ANOVA followed by Tukey post-hoc test were used to compare sediment 204 205 characteristics, meiofauna densities, biomass and diversity indices: Hill's diversity N<sub>1</sub> (Hill 1973) and species evenness J' (Formula in supplementary material, Appendix 2). Comparisons between sediment 206 layers were conducted on meiofauna densities (ind. m<sup>-3</sup>) and biomasses (gC m<sup>-3</sup>) expressed in volume of 207 sediment. Comparisons between seasons and habitats were done on meiofauna densities, biomasses and 208 209 diversity indices from combined layers (expressed per surface area). Environmental variables have been analyzed through a preliminary Principal Components Analysis (PCA) to visualize the differences 210 211 between habitats based on these variables. In a subsequent step copepods, other meiofauna taxa 212 (ostracods, foraminifers and polychaetes) and feeding types of nematodes were compared with Bray-Curtis similarity redundancy relationship analyses (RDA) made with the *vegan* package (Oksanen et al. 213 214 2017). The relative abundance of copepods, other meiofauna (ostracods, foraminifers and polychaetes) and different feeding types of nematodes (dependent variables) were linked to environmental variables 215 (independent variables) that were selected after stepwise forward procedure. Multicollinearity was tested 216 217 for the explanatory variables using the vif.cca function in the vegan package (values over 10 indicated collinearity; Oksanen et al. 2017). The significance of the model was tested using a two-way ANOVA. 218

#### 219 Results

# 220 Sediment grain size

Sediment of the mudflats and seagrass beds consisted of a mixture of sand (29 to 64%), silt (29 to
58%) and clay (7 to 15%), while the sandflat consisted mainly of sand (98%) (Fig. 2). Sediments were
classified as silt for mudflat MO, mudflat SR (autumn) and seagrass MO (autumn), very fine sand for

seagrass SR, mudflat SR (spring) and seagrass MO (spring) and medium sand for sandflat SR. The mud

content within the different layers of the same habitat was relatively similar, with a variation lower than

226 2%. The sediment grain-size of mudflat MO was lower than all other habitats with a median sediment

227 grain-size of  $32 \pm 4.3 \ \mu m$ , and the grain-size of sandflat SR was higher than all other habitats with a

228 median sediment grain-size of  $407 \pm 16.3 \mu m$  (Supplementary material, Appendix 3).



229

Figure 2. Ternary diagram presenting the sediment composition of the mudflats, seagrass beds and sandflat in the Marennes-Oléron Bay (MO) and the Sylt-Rømø Bight (SR) in spring (grey symbols) and autumn (black symbols). Separation by size for clay (<  $3.9 \mu M$ ), silty ( $3.9 - 63 \mu M$ ), and sandy ( $63 - 2000 \mu M$ ) sediment. (n = 3)

### 233 Potential food sources

The total biomass of benthic food sources ranged from 67.4 (sandflat SR, autumn) to 447.4 gC m<sup>-2</sup>

235 (mudflat SR, spring) (Fig 3; C and E). SOM was dominant in all habitats sources with proportions

ranging from 29.3 to 94.5%, representing 19.8 (sandflat SR, autumn) to 290.3 gC m<sup>-2</sup> (mudflat MO,

autumn). Benthic microalgae were the second most dominant food source, with biomass ranging from 7.1

238 gC m<sup>-2</sup> (3.7%, mudflat MO, spring) to 70.3 gC m<sup>-2</sup> (22.6%, seagrass SR, spring). Detrital matter was

found mainly in the two seagrass beds and mudflat SR with 4.3 (1.0%, mudflat SR, spring) to 45.5 gC  $m^{-2}$ 

240 (16.7%, seagrass bed MO, autumn). In the seagrass beds, leaves and roots were occurring with biomass

241 ranging from 1.6 (0.5%, seagrass SR, autumn) to 15.0 gC m<sup>-2</sup> (5.1%, seagrass MO, spring) and 0.3 (0.1%,

seagrass SR, autumn) to 24.4 gC m<sup>-2</sup> (8.2%, seagrass MO, autumn). Bacteria represented the lowest

- biomass in all habitats with 0.6 (0.9%, sandflat SR, autumn) to 20.1 gC m<sup>-2</sup> (4.5%, mudflat SR, spring).
- 244 Some differences of food source biomass could be observed between the surface and the sub-surface

245 layers, always with higher biomass in the surface layer when they occurred: detrital matter in mudflat SR

- in spring and in seagrass MO in autumn, bacteria in mudflat SR in spring, and benthic microalgae in all
- 247 habitats in all seasons except for mudflat SR and sandflat SR in autumn (two-way ANOVA with Tukey
- 248 *post-hoc* test, highest p-value 0.032).
- 249 The average hierarchical cluster of dissimilarities highlighted that food source composition in the sandflat SR was different than in the other habitats (Fig. 3; A), due to the much lower biomass of SOM in 250 sandflat SR (29.2 gC m<sup>-2</sup>) than in mudflats and seagrass beds (256.6 gC m<sup>-2</sup>; two-way ANOVA with 251 Tukey *post-hoc* test, p < 0.001, Fig. 3C and E). Benthic microalgae biomass was much higher in sandflat 252 253 SR (54.2 gC m<sup>-2</sup>) than in mudflat SR (27.8 gC m<sup>-2</sup>), mudflat MO (10.5 gC m<sup>-2</sup>) and seagrass MO (22.6 gC  $m^{-2}$ , two-way ANOVA with Tukey *post-hoc* test, p < 0.001). Food source composition in both mudflats 254 255 and both seagrass beds of the two bays appeared to be similar as highlighted by the clustering but some 256 variations could be pointed out based on two-way ANOVA. Biomass of bacteria in spring was higher in mudflat SR (13.5 gC m<sup>-2</sup>) compared to mudflat MO (3.9 gC m<sup>-2</sup>), seagrass MO (4.2 gC m<sup>-2</sup>), seagrass SR 257 (6.9 gC m<sup>-2</sup>) and sandflat SR (1.8 gC m<sup>-2</sup>, two-way ANOVA with Tukey *post-hoc* test, p < 0.001). The 258 259 presence of detrital matter in mudflat SR versus its absence in mudflat MO discriminated both mudflats as 260 well. Lastly, biomass of leaves and roots in spring were higher in the Marennes-Oléron Bay (39.4 gC m<sup>2</sup>) compared to the Sylt-Rømø Bight (6.4 gC m<sup>-2</sup>, two-way ANOVA with Tukey *post-hoc* test, p < 0.001). 261
- 262 Some differences occurred at the seasonal scale as well, with higher biomass of primary producers in spring when a difference occurred. Such a pattern was observed for benthic microalgae in sandflat SR, 263 264 seagrass SR and seagrass MO, and for seagrass leaves in seagrass bed MO (two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test, p < 0.001). Bacteria biomass of mudflat SR and seagrass SR were also higher in 265 spring compared to autumn (two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey *post-hoc* test, p < 0.001). About 266 detrital matter, seasonal changes followed an opposite pattern when they occurred, with an increase in 267 biomass of detritus from spring to autumn in mudflat SR and seagrass MO (two-way ANOVA with 268 Tukey *post-hoc* test, p < 0.001). SOM biomass was stable between spring and autumn (two-way ANOVA 269 270 with Tukey *post-hoc* test, lowest p-value 0.44).
- 271Regarding quality of potential food sources in the different habitats, they varied from being partly272detrital in sandflat SR (57 to 63%) to being almost completely made out of detrital matter in mudflat MO273(from 93 to 99%). Carbon: chlorophyll *a* (C:chl *a*) ratios of SOM were higher in mudflat MO (1201.4),274mudflat SR (681.8) and seagrass MO (504.6) compared to seagrass SR (221.4) and sandflat SR (72.3;275two-way ANOVA with Tukey *post-hoc* test, p < 0.001, Table 1). SOM had much higher C:chl *a* ratios in276the sub-surface layer than in the surface layer (two-way ANOVA with Tukey *post-hoc* test, p < 0.001).277Variation in C:chl *a* ratios between spring and autumn were not observed (two-way ANOVA, lowest p-

value 0.33, Table 1). Proportions of SOM that were originating from fresh microalgae (i.e.

279 microphytobenthos and trapped phytoplankton) ranged from 3.6 (mudflat MO, spring) to 71.1% (sandflat

- 280 SR, autumn, Table 1). These proportions were much higher in sandflat SR (66.6%) and seagrass SR
- 281 (22.0%) than in mudflat SR (7.0%) and mudflat MO (4.0%, two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test,
- Table 1). Quantities of SPOM ranged between 0.32 and 0.54 gC L<sup>-1</sup>. Minor variations between habitats
- observed within SPOM are reported in the supplementary material (Appendix 4).
- **Table 1.** Carbon: chlorophyll *a* ratios (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, n = 3) and percentage of carbon
- originating from fresh microalgae (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, %, n = 3) in sediment organic matter
- 286 (SOM) in the mudflats, seagrass beds and sandflat in the Marennes-Oléron Bay (MO) and the Sylt-Rømø
- 287 Bight (SR) in spring and autumn. Letters displayed after mean values indicated grouping of similar
- samples (two-way ANOVA with Tukey *post-hoc* tests, p < 0.05).
- 289

	Carbon: chlo	rophyll <i>a</i> ratio	Carbon of SOM originating from fresh microalgae %				
-							
Habitat	Spring	Autumn	Spring	Autumn			
Mudflat MO	$1314.3^{\text{E}} \pm 35.3$	$1088.5^{\text{D}} \pm 166.2$	$3.6^{\mathrm{A}} \pm 0.1$	$4.4^{\rm A}\pm0.7$			
Mudflat SR	$643.9^{\circ} \pm 60.1$	$719.7^{\rm C} \pm 60.6$	$7.4^{\rm A}\pm0.7$	$6.6^{\rm A}\pm0.6$			
Seagrass MO	$389.2^{\mathrm{B}} {\pm}~47.5$	$619.9^{\circ} \pm 47.6$	$12.4^{\rm AB} {\pm}~1.4$	$7.7^{\mathrm{A}} \pm 0.6$			
Seagrass SR	$193.9^{\text{A}} \pm 14.3$	$249.0^{\rm AB} \!\pm 29.8$	$24.6^{\rm C} \pm 1.8$	$19.3^{\rm BC}\pm2.4$			
Sandflat SR	$76.8^{\rm A}\pm2.2$	$67.8^{\text{A}} \pm 9.1$	$62.0^{\mathrm{D}} \pm 1.7$	$71.1^{\rm D}\pm9.8$			

290



Figure 3. Composition of potential benthic food sources for meiofauna and of meiofauna in the mudflats, seagrass beds and sandflat in the Marennes-Oléron Bay (MO) and the
Sylt-Rømø Bight (SR) in spring and autumn. A) Hierarchical average cluster of dissimilarity for the proportional composition of potential food sources. B) Hierarchical average
cluster of dissimilarity for proportional composition of meiofauna (nematodes and benthic copepods). Approximately unbiased (AU) probability values (p-values) by multiscale
bootstrap resampling are under each node and clusters (AU >= 95%) are highlighted with grey rectangles. C) Proportional composition of potential food sources. D)

- 296 Proportional composition of benthic copepods and trophic groups of nematodes. Trophic groups of genera with
- 297 relative abundance > 5% are displayed. E) Biomass of potential food sources. F) Biomass of benthic copepods and
- trophic groups of nematodes. Selective deposit feeders = 1A, non-selective deposit feeders = 1B, epigrowth feeders
- 299 = 2A and omnivores/predators = 2B (Wieser 1953). Abbreviations: Chr = Chromadora, Cta = Chromadorita, Com =
- 300 Comesa, Dap = Daptonema, Ele = Eleutherolaimus, Eno = Enoplolaimus, Met = Metachromadora, Mic =
- $301 \qquad \textit{Microlaimus}, \ Mon = \textit{Monoposthia}, \ Odo = \textit{Odontophora}, \ Pra = \textit{Praeacanthonchus}, \ Pty = \textit{Ptycholaimellus}, \ Sab = \textit{Monoposthia}, \ Sab = \textit{Monoposthia$
- 302 Sabatieria, Spha = Sphaerolaimus, Spi = Spirinia, Ter = Terschellingia, The = Theristus, Vis = Viscosia. (n = 3)

#### 303 Meiofauna community structure

- 304 The meiofauna community was dominated by nematodes with relative abundance ranging from 305 41.7% (sandflat SR, surface layer, spring) to 100% (mudflat MO, sub-surface layer, spring), except for the surface layer of sandflat SR where copepods dominated (55.5%, Fig. 4). Four other meiofauna taxa 306 occurred in the different habitats: copepods (0 to 55.5%), foraminifera (0 to 7.4%), polychaetes (0 to 307 308 5.3%), and ostracods (0 to 0.4%). Total meiofauna density was higher in the surface layer than in the subsurface layer in all habitats (two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test, highest p-value 0.033). Mean 309 meiofaunal density of both layers combined ranged from 1.7\*10<sup>5</sup> ind. m<sup>-2</sup> (mudflat SR, autumn) to 310 3.4\*10<sup>6</sup> ind. m<sup>-2</sup> (mudflat MO, autumn, Appendix 5). Meiofauna densities (both layers combined) were 311 similar between spring and autumn, except in seagrass SR where densities were higher in spring (two-way 312 ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test, highest p-value 0.020, Table 2). 313
- Total biomass of nematodes (both layers combined) ranged from 0.053 gC m<sup>-2</sup> (1.6\*10<sup>5</sup> ind. m<sup>-2</sup>, 314 mudflat SR, autumn) to 1.541 gC m<sup>-2</sup> (2.9\*10<sup>6</sup> ind. m<sup>-2</sup>, mudflat MO, spring, Fig. 3F). Nematode density 315 and biomass were higher in surface layers than in the sub-surface layers (two-way ANOVA with Tukey 316 post-hoc test, highest p-value 0.042). Nematodes from the surface layers dominated the contribution to 317 the total biomass in all habitats, with lowest proportions in seagrass SR (54.0%) and mudflat SR (55.4%) 318 and higher proportions in sandflat SR (82.3%), mudflat MO (83.3%) and seagrass SR (95.7%). At the 319 habitat scale, nematode density and biomass were much higher in mudflat MO (density: 3.0\*10<sup>6</sup> ind. m<sup>-2</sup>; 320 biomass: 1.257 gC m<sup>-2</sup>) than in all other habitats (two-way ANOVA with Tukey *post-hoc* test, p < 0.001, 321 Table 2, Appendix 5). Nematode biomass in the seagrass beds ranged from 0.146 (seagrass MO, autumn) 322 to 0.459 gC m<sup>-2</sup> (seagrass SR, spring). Nematode biomass of the mudflat SR and sandflat SR ranged from 323 0.054 (mudflat SR, autumn) to 0.150 gC m<sup>-2</sup> (mudflat SR, spring). Some temporal variations occurred as 324 325 well, with higher nematode densities in spring in seagrass SR and higher nematode biomass in spring in mudflat MO (two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test, highest p-value 0.005, Table 2). 326
- Total biomass of benthic copepods, which were merely present in the surface layers, ranged from 0.003 gC m<sup>-2</sup> ( $1.0*10^4$  ind. m<sup>-2</sup>, mudflat SR, autumn) to 0.110 gC m<sup>-2</sup> ( $1.7*10^5$  ind. m<sup>-2</sup>, mudflat MO,

- spring, Fig. 3F). Copepod biomass of the mudflat MO  $(0.095 \text{ gC m}^{-2})$  was much higher than in the
- 330 mudflat SR (0.006 gC m<sup>-2</sup>), while copepod density was higher in the seagrass MO than in the seagrass SR
- and mudflat SR (two-way ANOVA with Tukey *post-hoc* test, p < 0.001). Densities and biomass of
- benthic copepods were similar in spring and autumn (two-way ANOVA with Tukey *post-hoc* test, lowest
- **333** p-value 0.178, Table 2).
- 334 Table 2. Results of the two-way ANOVA for differences in total meiofauna, nematode, and benthic copepod density
- and nematode and benthic copepod biomass across habitats and seasons.

Source		Total m	eiofauna	Nema	atodes	<b>Benthic copepods</b>		
	df	F-ratio	<i>P</i> > F	F-ratio	<i>P</i> > F	F-ratio	<i>P</i> > F	
Density								
Habitat	4	363.0	< 0.001	385.8	< 0.001	12.7	< 0.001	
Season	1	6.3	0.020	9.6	0.005	1.9	0.178	
Habitat:season	4	8.8	< 0.001	9.1	< 0.001	2.8	0.052	
Error	20							
Biomass								
Habitat	4			216.2	< 0.001	29.01	< 0.001	
Season	1			34.5	< 0.001	0.47	0.503	
Habitat:season	4			11.7	< 0.001	2.14	0.113	
Error	40							

336

df = degree of freedom; F = F statistic; P = probability. Values in bold indicate a significant difference ( $\alpha \le 0.05$ )



14

**338** Figure 4. Proportional composition of meiofauna in the mudflats, seagrass beds and sandflat in the Marennes-

339 Oléron Bay (MO) and the Sylt-Rømø Bight (SR) in spring and autumn and in each sediment layer (0-1cm/1-5cm).

340 Densities (%) are indicated when > 7.5%. (n = 3)

# 341 Nematode community structure

342 Five thousand four hundred nematodes were determined at genus and/or species level. A total of 139

species, belonging to 65 genera and 20 families were found at the five habitats in both the Marennes-

344 Oléron Bay and the Sylt-Rømø Bight. Eight genera represented 70% of the community in the surface

- 345 layer of all habitats combined: Daptonema (1B, 18%), Chromadorita (2A, 10%), Metachromadora (2A,
- 10%), Sabatieria (1B, 10%), Ptycholaimellus (2A, 7%), Spirinia (2A, 6%), Terschellingia (1A, 5%), and

347 *Praeacanthonchus* (2A, 4%). Four genera represented 70% of the community in the sub-surface layer of

- all habitats combined: Terschellingia (1A, 28%), Sabatieria (1B, 25%), Spirinia (2A, 13%), and
- 349 *Metachromadora* (2A, 6%). The surface layer had a higher number of genera (from 3 to 9 genera, mean:
- 5.8) that represented 75% of the community compared to the sub-surface layer (from 2 to 9 genera, mean:
- 3.4) for all habitats. The ten most dominant genera per habitat, season and sediment layer are presented in
- the supplementary material (Appendix 6). Species richness varied from 8 to 28, Hill's diversity from 2.3
- to 19.1, and species evenness from 0.41 to 0.88 (Table 3). Species richness, diversity and evenness
- decreased with depth at all habitats (two-way ANOVA, p < 0.001, Table 4). Species richness and
- 355 evenness were higher at the seagrass MO than at the mudflat SR and the seagrass SR (two-way ANOVA
- with Tukey *post-hoc* test, p < 0.001). Hills diversity was higher at the seagrass MO than at all other
- habitats (two-way ANOVA with Tukey *post-hoc* test, p < 0.001). There was no difference in diversity and
- evenness between seasons (two-way ANOVA, lowest p-value 0.126, Table 4).
- **Table 3.** Number of nematode genera, Hill's diversity  $(N_i)$ , and species evenness (J') in the mudflats,
- 360 seagrass beds and sandflat in the Marennes-Oléron Bay (MO) and the Sylt-Rømø Bight (SR) in spring
- 361 and autumn. (n = 3)

	Mudflat MO		Mudflat SR		Seagrass SR			Seagrass MO			Sandflat SR									
	Spi	ring	Aut	umn	Spi	ring	Aut	umn	Spi	ring	Aut	umn	Spi	ring	Aut	umn	Spi	ring	Aut	umn
Layer (in cm)	0-1	1-5	0-1	1-5	0-1	1-5	0-1	1-5	0-1	1-5	0-1	1-5	0-1	1-5	0-1	1-5	0-1	1-5	0-1	1-5
Species richness	22	11	21	13	23	12	15	13	16	11	16	12	24	19	23	14	20	12	21	18
Hill's diversity $(N_l)$	14	5	12	6	13	4	9	5	7	3	6	4	15	12	14	8	11	6	12	7
Species evenness $(J')$	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.7

362

**Table 4.** Results of the two-way ANOVA for differences in species richness, Hill's diversity  $(N_l)$ , and species evenness (J') between habitats, sediment layers and season in the mudflats, seagrass beds and

Source		Species	richness	Hill's d	liversity	Species evenness		
	df	F-ratio	<i>P</i> > F	F-ratio	<i>P</i> > F	F-ratio	<i>P</i> > F	
Layer	1	88.3	< 0.001	149.6	< 0.001	55.9	< 0.001	
Site	4	11.0	< 0.001	29.0	< 0.001	16.2	< 0.001	
Season	1	0.1	0.802	2.4	0.126	0.0	1.000	
Layer:site	4	1.5	0.214	4.75	0.003	4.5	0.005	
Layer:season	1	6.4	0.015	3.3	0.078	0.6	0.452	
Site:season	4	3.1	0.026	1.9	0.134	0.3	0.878	
Layer:site:season	4	2.3	0.079	2.5	0.062	2.3	0.077	
Error	38							

365 sandflat in the Marennes-Oléron Bay and the Sylt-Rømø Bight.

366  $df = degree of freedom; F = F statistic; P = probability. Values in bold indicate a significant difference (<math>\alpha \le 0.05$ )

367 Community compositions, based on proportions of benthic copepods and of trophic groups of
368 nematodes, were similar between the two mudflats (besides the very large difference of biomass), as

highlighted by the average hierarchical cluster analysis (p < 0.05, Fig. 3B). The sandflat SR and the two

seagrass beds had similar communities as well. The difference of trophic structures between the mudflatsand the other habitats was related to the higher proportions of selective deposit-feeders (1A) and of non-

372 selective deposit-feeders (1B) in the mudflats. The mudflat MO was dominated by genera belonging to

non-selective deposit feeders (36%, 0.579 gC m<sup>-2</sup>), epigrowth feeders (34%. 0.525 gC m<sup>-2</sup>), and selective

deposit feeders (25%, 0.071 gC m<sup>-2</sup>) with *Terschellingia* (1A, 22%, 0.055 gC m<sup>-2</sup>), *Comesa* (1B, 14%,

375 0.082 gC m<sup>-2</sup>) and *Ptycholaimellus* (2A, 13%, 0.048 gC m<sup>-2</sup>) being the most common genera (Fig. 3D). At

the mudflat SR, the non-selective deposit feeders dominated (55%), and the most abundant genera were

377 Sabatieria (1B, 43%, 0.042 gC m<sup>-2</sup>) and Terschellingia (1A, 13%, 0.004 gC m<sup>-2</sup>). The seagrass bed SR

378 was dominated by epigrowth feeders with the genera Spirinia (2A, 46%, 0.225 gC m<sup>-2</sup>) and Chromadorita

 $(2A, 16\%, 0.026 \text{ gC m}^{-2})$ . The seagrass bed MO was dominated by various genera belonging to epigrowth

- feeders (31%, 0.165 gC m<sup>-2</sup>) and non-selective deposit feeders (29%, 0.061 gC m<sup>-2</sup>). There, the biomass
- 381 of nematodes was dominated by the epigrowth feeder genera *Metachromadora* (7%, 0.035 gC m<sup>-2</sup>). The

sandflat SR was dominated by genera belonging to epigrowth feeders (35%, 0.023 gC m<sup>-2</sup>) and non-

selective deposit feeders (19%, 0.040 gC m<sup>-2</sup>), with the genera *Microlaimus* (2A, 15%, 0.006 gC m<sup>-2</sup>) and

384 *Daptonema* (1B, 13%, 0.028 gC  $m^{-2}$ ) being the most common.

# 385 Relationships between environmental parameters and meiofauna trophic structure

386 The PCA on the environmental variables explained 63.2% of the total variation (Axis 1: 39.9%, axis 387 2: 23.3%, Fig. 5A). Axis 1 highlights a positive relationship between SPOM, benthic microalgae and sediment grain size. At the opposite, when biomass of benthic microalgae, SPOM and sediment grain size 388 389 were low, quantities of SOM were higher, as well as bacteria and detritus biomass, and carbon:chlorophyll a ratios of SOM. Axis 2 demonstrates that biomass of bacteria increased with biomass 390 of SOM, SPOM, and benthic microalgae. Sandflat SR is defined by larger sediment grain size, higher 391 392 biomass of benthic microalgae and of SPOM, as highlighted on axis 1. Axis 1 also discriminates seagrass 393 SR from mudflat SR, mudflat MO and seagrass MO (autumn), the later ones being characterized with 394 lower biomass of benthic microalgae and SPOM and/or higher carbon:chlorophyll a ratios of SOM, and higher biomass of SOM and detritus. In spring axis 2 discriminates well the mudflat and seagrass bed in 395 the Marennes-Oléron Bay compared to the Sylt-Rømø Bight, which could be due to higher biomass of 396 397 bacteria (mudflat SR) and/or benthic microalgae (seagrass SR). In terms of temporal variations, axis 1 398 highlights low variation in environmental variables between spring and autumn except for seagrass MO, 399 which could be due to a significant increase in biomass of detritus from spring to autumn in this habitat. 400 Axis 2 highlights a stronger temporal variation (Fig. 5A), especially in seagrass SR, seagrass MO and 401 mudflat SR. For seagrass SR this could be due to a decrease in bacteria, benthic microalgae, SPOM and SOM biomass from spring to autumn, as well as an increase in detrital matter, while changes in seagrass 402 MO may be related to opposite patterns. For mudflat SR variations could be explained by the decrease in 403 404 biomass of benthic microalgae and bacteria and/or the increase in detritus from spring to autumn. 405 The redundancy analyses (RDA) represented 51.8% of the total variation and 81.1% of the 406 constrained variation (Fig. 5B). Fresh seagrass material was excluded from the RDA due to collinearity 407 after stepwise forward selection of constraints (vif.cca > 10). Axis 1 explains 33.0% of the total variation 408 and 51.8% of the constrained variation. Axis 2 explains 18.8% of the total variation and 29.4% of the constrained variation. Both axes significantly explain the model, which highlights relationships between 409 differences of communities and environmental parameters, particularly biomass of benthic microalgae, 410 411 SPOM, detritus, SOM, carbon:chlorophyll a ratios and sediment grain size. Patterns highlighted by axis 1 412 about environmental parameters were similar as those highlighted in the PCA: there was a positive 413 relationship between benthic microalgae and SPOM biomasses, and sediment grain size. At the opposite, when benthic microalgae, SPOM and detritus biomasses were low, the carbon:chlorophyll *a* ratio was 414

- 415 higher, as well as biomasses of SOM, bacteria and detritus. Differences of meiofauna trophic structure
- 416 within habitats are mainly explained by sediment grain-size, carbon:chlorophyll a ratio of SOM, and
- 417 biomasses of SOM, SPOM, detritus, benthic microalgae, and bacteria (Fig. 5). Selective deposit feeders
- 418 and non-selective deposit feeders occurred mainly within habitats where biomasses of SOM, detritus and
  - 419 bacteria where high (i.e. sub-surface layers of mudflat SR in both seasons, sub-surface layers of seagrass

420 MO in both seasons, and sub-surface layers of mudflat MO in autumn). Epigrowth feeders dominated in

421 habitats where benthic microalgae were an important driving factor (i.e. surface layers of mudflat MO in

both seasons, sub-surface layers of mudflat MO in spring, surface and sub-surface layers of seagrass SR

423 in both seasons, and sub-surface layers of sandflat SR in both seasons). Omnivore/predatory nematodes,

benthic copepods and other meiofauna taxa occurred in habitats with high biomass of benthic microalgae
and SPOM, as well as large sediment grain-size (i.e. surface and sub-surface layers of sandflat SR in both

- 426 seasons and surface layers of seagrass MO in both seasons, and surface layers of mudflat SR in both
- 427 seasons).

428



429 Figure 5. (A) Principal component analyses for the environmental factors (arrows) plotted for the 430 mudflats, seagrass beds and sandflat in the Marennes-Oléron Bay (MO) and the Sylt-Rømø Bight (SR) in 431 spring (closed symbols) and autumn (open symbols). (B) Redundancy relationship analyses of 432 environmental factors (arrows) selected with stepwise forward procedure plotted against the different groups of meiofauna (benthic copepods, trophic groups of nematodes and other meiofauna taxa) and the 433 surface (grey symbols) and sub-surface layers (black symbols) of the mudflats, seagrass beds and sandflat 434 in the Marennes-Oléron Bay (MO) and the Sylt-Rømø Bight (SR) in spring (closed symbols) and autumn 435 (open symbols). Abbreviations: OTHER = other meiofauna (i.e. polychaetes, foraminifers, and 436 ostracods); SPOM = suspended particulate organic matter; SOM = sediment organic matter; 1A = 437 nematodes, selective deposit feeders; 1B = nematodes, non-selective deposit feeders; 2A = nematodes, 438

439 epigrowth feeders; 2B = nematodes, omnivores/predators.

440 A regression using nematode feeding type data from all habitats highlighted that the ratios between 441 densities of epigrowth feeders and densities of non-selective deposit feeders increased when benthic 442 microalgae biomass increased (F[1, 8] = 10.4, p = 0.01,  $r^2 = 0.61$ , Fig. 6). The relative abundance of 443 selective deposit feeders was positively correlated to the ratio between biomass of fresh organic material 444 (i.e. benthic microalgae and seagrass leaves and roots) and biomass of detrital material (i.e. SOM and 445 detritus) (F[1, 8] = 23.1, p < 0.01,  $r^2 = 0.74$ , Fig. 7).



Figure 6 Relationship between the biomass of benthic microalgae and the ratio between densities of epigrowth
feeders (2A) and densities of non-selective deposit feeders (1B) in the mudflats, seagrass beds and sandflat in the
Marennes-Oléron Bay (MO) and the Sylt-Rømø Bight (SR) in spring (grey symbols) and autumn (black symbols).
(n = 3)



**452** Figure 7. Relationship between the ratio of fresh organic material to detrital material and the relative abundance of 453 selective deposit feeders (1A) in the mudflats, seagrass beds and sandflats in the Marennes-Oléron Bay (MO) and 454 the Sylt-Rømø Bight (SR) in spring (grey symbols) and autumn (black symbols). (n = 3)

- 455 Discussion
- 456 Habitat description

457 The different habitats compared in this study are characterized by a broad range of abiotic and biotic 458 factors. This provides a good framework to determine how changes in these parameters, particularly 459 potential food resources, may influence meiofauna community structure. Biomass of benthic microalgae was much higher in habitats with a larger sediment grain size (sandflat SR and seagrass SR) as already 460 observed in the Marennes-Oléron Bay (Guarini et al. 1998), and benthic microalgae therefore represented 461 a much higher proportion of the SOM in these habitats. This pattern is quite unusual, as habitats with large 462 463 sediment grain size generally support less microphytobenthos biomass than habitats with small sediment grain size (Cammen 1991; de Jong and de Jonge 1995). Estimations of benthic microalgae biomass were 464 based on chlorophyll a measurements and therefore included trapped fresh phytoplankton. Trapped 465 phytoplankton represents a lower proportion of fresh microalgae material than benthic microphytobenthos, 466 467 although some variations can occur depending on seasons and locations (Asmus 1983; Lebreton et al. 2012). Microphytobenthos community structure and its production may change as well depending on habitats: 468 469 muddy sediments are usually dominated by large epipelic diatoms (Thornton et al. 2002; Azovsky et al. 470 2004) whereas small epipsammic diatoms are the main taxa in sandy habitats (Asmus and Bauerfeind 1994; Azovsky et al. 2004). Benthic microalgae production can be very high in muddy sediments (i.e. 372 gC m<sup>-</sup> 471 <sup>2</sup> vr<sup>-1</sup> in mudflat MO) (Pinckney and Zingmark 1993; Leguerrier et al. 2003) and, under favorable 472 473 conditions, epipelic diatoms can double their standing stock on a daily basis (Underwood and Paterson 474 1993). All these changes of biomass, community structure and production rates likely affect the use of this 475 food resource by meiofauna.

476 Biomass of SOM was much lower in sandflat SR than in seagrass beds and mudflats. This is likely 477 related to the high benthic microalgae production in bare mudflats (MacIntyre et al. 1996) and to the smaller sediment grain size in mudflats and seagrass beds (Bergamaschi et al. 1997). A decrease in sediment grain 478 size generally leads to an increase in SOM (Bergamaschi et al. 1997) as fine particles enhance adsorption 479 of organic compounds (Mayer 1994). Decrease of sediment grain size is also associated to lower 480 hydrodynamics which increases sedimentation of organic matter particles (Frontalini et al. 2014) and of 481 finer sediment. The presence of seagrass beds, reducing hydrodynamics and enhancing sedimentation as 482 483 well (Leduc et al. 2009), also likely increased the load of SOM. As a result, even if SPOM was relatively 484 similar between habitats, the presence of meadows may influence the biomass and the nature of the organic 485 matter available to meiofauna between unvegetated and vegetated habitats (Machás and Santos 1999). The quality of the organic matter available to consumers was also correlated with sediment grain size, with 486 487 SOM dominated by fresh organic matter in the sandflat and being of much lower quality in habitats made 488 of fine sediments (seagrass bed and mudflats), where loads of detrital matter were higher.

489 Loads of detritus were high in seagrass beds, the largest ones being measured in seagrass MO, probably 490 due to the much higher biomass of seagrass roots in this habitat compared to seagrass SR. The higher load of detrital matter in mudflat SR compared to mudflat MO is much likely related to the proximity between 491 492 the mudflat and the seagrass bed in the Sylt-Rømø Bight, highlighting that seagrass material can be exported to bare systems. The presence of seagrass in intertidal systems may also indirectly affect the composition 493 of the detrital organic matter available to meiofauna and meiofauna distribution in the sediment (Marbà et 494 495 al. 2006). The complex root structure of seagrass beds indeed plays a role for the amount of fine particles 496 and detritus associated with the shallow oxic zone in vegetated sediments (Wetzel et al. 2001), as well as in the release of oxygen and dissolved organic matter by the roots (Pedersen et al. 1998). Nevertheless, 497 seagrass material is generally poorly used by most of the consumers as fresh or as detrital material 498 (Danovaro 1996; Vizzini et al. 2002). The presence of seagrass and detritus as well as smaller sediment 499 500 grain size also affected the abundance of bacteria within the studied habitats. Much lower biomass of 501 bacteria was observed in habitats with lower quantities of detrital matter and SOM and in habitats with 502 coarser sediment (i.e. sandflat), likely due to the reduced surface for bacteria colonization (Dale 1974; 503 Mayer 1994).

# 504 Meiofauna community structure: spatial and temporal variations

505 Meiofauna density and biomass varied highly within habitats and ecosystems, with lowest and highest values observed in mudflat SR (density: 0.17\*10<sup>6</sup> ind. m<sup>-2</sup>, biomass: 0.057 gC m<sup>-2</sup>) and mudflat 506 MO (density: 3.36\*10<sup>6</sup> ind. m<sup>-2</sup>, biomass: 1.598 gC m<sup>-2</sup>), respectively. Meiofauna in all habitats were 507 508 dominated by nematodes, followed by benthic copepods, as typically observed in intertidal habitats 509 (Armonies and Hellwig-Armonies 1987; Rzeznik-Orignac et al. 2003; Leduc and Probert 2011). Data 510 comparisons (Table 5) highlight that density and biomass of nematodes and benthic copepods measured 511 in this study are in the range of those previously measured but, more generally, that these values can be highly variable between different soft-bottom habitats as well as within similar habitats (Heip et al. 1985; 512 Castel et al. 1989; Kotwicki et al. 2005b). 513

514 In terms of community structure, proportions of benthic copepods increased from habitats with a lower complexity (mudflats: 6.2%) to habitats with a higher complexity caused by a higher seagrass 515 biomass (seagrass beds: 15.5%) or by larger sediment grain size (sandflat: 38.4%). Larger proportions of 516 copepods in the sandflat could be explained by a higher feeding efficiency in sandier sediment, probably 517 518 due to a better accessibility to benthic microalgae and a better ability of these grazers to move in sand (De 519 Troch et al. 2006). Higher proportions of benthic copepods in seagrass bed MO may be related to a more developed seagrass bed and therefore a more complex habitat, providing a larger diversity of ecological 520 niches (Ndaro and Olafsson 1999; De Troch et al. 2001). The presence and development stage of seagrass 521

522 beds also affected nematode diversity as species richness was higher in the most dense seagrass bed

- 523 (Marennes-Oléron) between the two studied ones. Hill's diversity was also higher in seagrass MO than in
- all other habitats, which could be partially linked to habitat complexity as well (Austen et al. 1998;
- 525 Steyaert et al. 2003; Giere 2009). Proportions of omnivore/predatory nematodes increased as well when
- 526 complexity increased due to larger sediment grain size as previously observed in sandflats of several other
- 527 coastal ecosystems (Warwick 1971; Steyaert et al. 2003). This could be explained by the increase of
- 528 foraging efficiency of predators with an increase in sediment grain size (Gallucci et al. 2005).

If some patterns could be observed between meiofauna community structure and habitat type, direct relationships between biomass of food sources and density and/or biomass of nematodes and benthic copepods could not be observed in this study. This is very likely related to the diversity of feeding types observed in nematodes (Moens et al. 2005b; Vafeiadou et al. 2014) and, to a lower extent, in benthic copepods (Cnudde et al. 2015), confirming the importance of approaches carried out at the scale of the feeding type, as this was done for nematodes in this study.

Within these different habitats nematode density, biomass and diversity were similar between
spring and autumn, except for higher nematode density in seagrass SR and higher nematode biomass in
mudflat MO in spring. No temporal variation was observed for meiofauna community structure. The lack
of a clear temporal pattern between spring and autumn (Escaravage et al. 1989; Danovaro and Gambi
2002; Rzeznik-Orignac et al. 2003) could be partly explained by low temporal variation in parameters
strongly defining meiofauna community structure (i.e. food sources and sediment grain size; Moens et al.
2013).

**Table 5.** Density (ind.  $m^{-2} * 10^6$ ) and biomass (in gC  $m^{-2}$  and/or g dry weight  $m^{-2}$ ) of nematodes and benthic copepods in mudflats, seagrass beds (*Zostera* spp.) and sandflats from this study and from the available literature about marine soft-bottom intertidal systems. Average conversion factors used to estimate biomass in gC  $m^{-2}$  from densities are: nematodes: 2.28\*10<sup>6</sup>, benthic copepods: 3.49\*10<sup>6</sup>, and from biomass (in g dry-weight  $m^{-2}$ ) are: nematodes: 3.26, benthic copepods: 3.40. Converted values are in italic.

Habitat	Location	Density		Bion (g dry we	nass ight m <sup>-2</sup> )	Bion (gC	nass m <sup>-2</sup> )	Reference
type		Nematodes	Copepods	Nematodes	Copepods	Nematodes	Copepods	
	Papanui Inlet, New Zealand	2.1-3.2	0.5-1.1	0.163	0.125	0.05	0.04	Leduc and Probert 2011
	Danish Wadden Sea, Denmark	0.22		0.03-0.4		0.01-0.12		Smidt 1951
	Sylt-Rømø Bight, Germany	0.28	0.03	0.233	0.015	0.102	0.006	This study
Mudflat	Cornwall, U.K.	1.1				0.48		Ellison 1984
Muunat	Marennes-Oléron Bay, France	2.1				0.92		Rzeznik-Orignac et al. 2003
	Sylt-Rømø Bight, Germany	2.4	0.07			1.05	0.02	Armonies and Hellwig- Armonies 1987
	Lynher estuary, U.K.	0.8–22.9		1.4–3.4		0.43–1.49		Warwick and Price 1979

	Marennes-Oléron Bay, France Marennes-Oléron Bay, France South Carolina, U.S.A. European estuaries	3.03 2.3–4.4 0.13–14.5	0.13	6.070	0.346	0.2–1.5 1.257 1.01–1.93 0.06–6.35	< 0.09 0.095	Pascal 2008 This study Sikora and Sikora 1982 Soetaert et al. 1995
	Papanui Inlet, New Zealand	4.9-5.2	< 0.04	0.480	< 0.009	0.15	< 0.003	Leduc and Probert 2011
	Marennes-Oléron Bay, France	0.65	0.21	1.303	0.459	0.294	0.078	This study
	Sylt-Rømø Bight, Germany	0.81	0.04	0.819	0.015	0.351	0.006	This study
Seagrass bed	Sylt-Rømø Bight, Germany	2.0				0.88		Armonies and Hellwig- Armonies 1987
	Arcachon Bay, France	5.5-8.0		2.4–5.3		0.74–2.32		Castel et al. 1989; Escaravage et al. 1989
	Mira estuary, Portugal	1.8-3.3				0.79–1.46		Materatski et al. 2018
	Marennes-Oléron Bay, France					2.75	0.36	Lebreton 2009
	North Sea intertidal sandflats	0.15	0.11			0.07	0.03	Kotwicki et al. 2005a
	Sylt-Rømø Bight, Germany	0.22	0.14	0.303	0.130	0.124	0.050	This study
	Arcachon Bay, France	0.7–1.2		0.8–1.3		0.25-0.57		Castel et al. 1989; Escaravage et al. 1989
Sandflat	Oosterschelde, Netherlands	5.0	0.13	2.0	0.2	0.61	0.06	Smol et al. 1994
	Sylt-Rømø Bight, Germany	2.3	3.5			1.01	1.00	Armonies and Hellwig- Armonies 1987
	European intertidal flats	0.24-4.1	< 0.35			0.11–1.80	< 0.10	Hicks and Coull 1983; Kotwicki et al. 2005b

548

# 549 Benthic microalgae and sediment organic matter are important drivers of nematode community

# 550 structure

Changes in benthic microalgae biomass influenced the community structure of nematodes: relative 551 552 abundance of epigrowth feeders, relying on benthic microalgae, were reduced when biomass of this food 553 source decreased. Such a relationship between biomass of benthic microalgae and density of epigrowth feeders had already been observed in a subtidal seagrass meadow (Danovaro and Gambi 2002) and during 554 555 grazing experiments (Rzeznik-Orignac and Fichet 2012). A relationship was also observed between biomass of benthic microalgae and relative abundances of benthic copepods and omnivores/predators. 556 However, this relationship may be partly mediated by sediment grain size as benthic microalgae biomass 557 558 (this study) and relative abundance of benthic copepods increase when sediment get coarser (Hicks and 559 Coull 1983). Nevertheless, the much higher biomass of benthic microalgae in the sandflat compared to SOM suggests that consumers rely-at least partly-directly (i.e. copepods) or indirectly (i.e. 560 omnivores/predators) on benthic microalgae in this habitat. Such a dependence to benthic microalgae as a 561 562 food source has been demonstrated for benthic copepods (Leduc et al. 2009).

At a more global scale (i.e. whole meiofauna, all habitats combined), no general pattern could be observed between benthic microalgae biomass and those of nematodes and benthic copepods. Some other parameters should probably be taken into account to better consider relationships between this food resource and consumers. Benthic microalgae can indeed reach very high production rates and is a food source of high quality (Cebrián 1999). Intense grazing by meiofauna may affect benthic microalgae as well.

The high primary production of benthic microalgae in mudflat MO (372 gC m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>; Leguerrier et al. 2003) 568 and high grazing pressure on this food source by the large biomass of nematodes (Blanchard 1991) could 569 570 explain the lack of relationship between benthic microalgae and meiofauna biomass within these habitats. Quality is of high importance as well: Moens et al. (1999b) suggested that meiofauna migrates actively 571 towards 'optimal' food sources. In addition to epigrowth feeders, several studies demonstrated that deposit 572 feeders rely on microalgae (Moens and Vincx 1997; Rzeznik-Orignac et al. 2003; Vafeiadou et al. 2014) 573 574 likely due to the better quality of microalgae. A relationship between density of nematodes belonging to these feeding groups and microalgae has been observed (Moens et al. 1999a). In particular, grazing 575 experiments using <sup>14</sup>C labelled diatoms indicated the dependence of a non-selective deposit feeding 576 577 nematode (Daptonema), an epigrowth feeding nematode (Metachromadora) and benthic copepods on 578 microalgae (Rzeznik-Orignac and Fichet 2012). Buccal cavities of epigrowth feeders are appropriate for 579 consumption of benthic microalgae, due to the presence of a dorsal tooth which is used to pierce diatoms 580 (Wieser 1953; Moens and Vincx 1997). Vafeiadou et al. (2014), using stable isotopes, confirmed that non-581 selective deposit feeding nematodes, epigrowth feeding nematodes and benthic copepods can largely rely 582 on benthic microalgae in sparsely covered seagrass beds. The non-selective deposit feeders can therefore be considered as opportunistic feeders, which may change feeding strategies depending on the availability 583 of food sources (Moens and Vincx 1997; Rzeznik-Orignac et al. 2008). As a result, benthic microalgae are 584 585 often considered as the principal food source for nematodes and benthic copepods (Moens et al. 2005a; 586 Rzeznik-Orignac et al. 2008; Maria et al. 2012).

587 Benthic microalgae followed an opposite pattern than detrital material (SOM and detritus), with 588 decreasing biomass of benthic microalgae when detrital material increased. SOM biomass was stable between spring and autumn, as well as between surface and sub-surface layers, but large variations were 589 590 observed between the different habitats (i.e. much lower biomass in sandflat SR). Relative abundance of 591 non-selective deposit feeders, closely related to detrital matter based on the RDA, decreased when biomass of SOM was lowered. Higher SOM quality in the surface sediment layers could lead to higher biomass, 592 density and diversity of nematodes in the surface layer compared to the sub-surface layer. As a result, the 593 594 important role of SOM is very likely related to its very high amount, as well as variations in quality between 595 the studied systems. A relationship between SOM and density of non-selective deposit feeders was also 596 recognized in a subtidal seagrass meadow (Danovaro and Gambi 2002), while a grazing experiment 597 indicated that non-selective and selective deposit feeding nematode species assimilated predominantly 598 SOM and bacteria (Tietjen and Lee 1977).

As a result, SOM and benthic microalgae appear to be important biotic factors driving the nematode community structure and, *a fortiori*, the composition of meiofauna assemblages as nematodes represent a 601 large proportion of the meiofauna in coastal ecosystems (Armonies and Hellwig-Armonies 1987; Rzeznik-

602 Orignac et al. 2003; Leduc and Probert 2011).

#### 603 Role of bacteria as a food source?

Selective deposit feeders had much higher relative abundances in habitats with larger loads of detritus 604 and SOM compared to those dominated by fresh material. In addition, multivariate analyses indicated that 605 biomass of bacteria were higher when loads of SOM increased. These results highlight a probable 606 607 relationship between bacteria biomass and relative abundance of selective deposit feeders, endorsing that 608 consumers from this trophic group rely on bacteria (Moens and Vincx 1997), which develop on detrital 609 particles (Day et al. 1989; Danovaro 1996), or dissolved organic matter (Moens and Vincx 1997). The use 610 of bacteria as food resources has been confirmed by stable isotope studies demonstrating that selective 611 deposit feeders can rely on sulfide-oxidizing bacteria (Vafeiadou et al. 2014), such specific diets being related to their small buccal cavity (Wieser 1953; Moens and Vincx 1997). The lack of direct relationship 612 between abundances of selective deposit feeders and biomass of bacteria, as in Semprucci et al. (2010), 613 614 might be due to the influence of additional abiotic parameters (i.e. oxic conditions (Jensen 1983) and sediment grain size (Steyaert et al. 2003)), the reliance of bacteria on a large diversity of substrates 615 616 (Boschker et al. 2000), and high bacterial production which is only partially grazed (< 28%, Pascal et al. 2009). 617

618 Multivariate analyses demonstrated as well a relationship between relative abundances of non-619 selective deposit feeders and biomass of detritus and, to a lower extent, those of bacteria and SOM. Nevertheless, no direct relationship was observed between relative abundances of non-selective deposit 620 feeders and bacteria, SOM or detritus biomass, which is likely related to the more opportunistic feeding 621 behavior of these nematodes (Moens and Vincx 1997; Rzeznik-Orignac et al. 2008). The shape of the buccal 622 623 cavity of non-selective deposit feeders allows them to rely on a much larger diversity of food sources: benthic microalgae, SOM, bacteria and seagrass detritus, as demonstrated by stable isotope studies 624 (Rzeznik-Orignac et al. 2008; Maria et al. 2012; Vafeiadou et al. 2014) and observations of feeding behavior 625 (Moens and Vincx 1997). Biomass of fresh seagrass matter, which varied highly between habitats in this 626 study, could also not be linked to variations in non-selective deposit feeders. However, the lack of relation 627 628 here is expected since this resource is poorly used by these nematodes, and, to a larger extent, to meiofauna 629 in general, due to its low nutritional value and high lignocellulose content (Vizzini et al. 2002). This was 630 confirmed by Materatski et al. (2015) who observed no changes in nematode density before and after a 631 seagrass collapse.

# 632 Effect of sediment depth on meiofauna communities: influence of oxic conditions

633 Nematode and benthic copepod densities and biomasses decreased from surface to sub-surface layers 634 in all habitats, which is a common pattern in seagrass beds and unvegetated habitats (Castel et al. 1989; Lebreton 2009; Leduc and Probert 2011). Benthic microalgae, which have the highest biomass in the 635 636 surface layer, might influence the vertical distribution and migration of nematodes and benthic copepods (Moens et al. 2013), particularly nematode epigrowth feeders which specifically rely on benthic microalgae 637 and which were very abundant in all habitats. Differences in abiotic parameters, such as oxygen depletion 638 639 towards deeper layers, could affect as well the vertical distribution of meiofauna (Steyaert et al. 2003; 640 Vanaverbeke et al. 2004), as anoxic conditions are much less favorable to nematodes and benthic copepods. 641 Besides influencing biomass and densities, anoxic conditions affect diversity and community structure as well. Diversity of nematodes clearly decreased with depth in all the studied habitats, which was observed 642 in other studies (Stevaert et al. 2003; Leduc and Probert 2011). Terschellingia spp. and Sabatieria spp. had 643 644 a higher relative abundance in sub-surface layers than in surface layers, related to their higher tolerance 645 regarding hypoxic conditions (Steyaert et al. 2007). Terschellingia spp. and Sabatieria spp. are also known 646 to feed on sulfide-oxidizing bacteria that occur in these hypoxic zones (Semprucci and Balsamo 2012; 647 Vafeiadou et al. 2014).

# 648 Conclusion

649 Benthic microalgae and SOM are two important drivers in the functioning of soft-bottom coastal food 650 webs as changes in these food resources affect the two most dominant nematode trophic groups (i.e. nonselective deposit feeders, considered as more opportunistic, and epigrowth feeders, more specifically related 651 652 to benthic microalgae). This is likely related to the high biomass of these food sources, as well as the quality 653 and high production rates of benthic microalgae (MacIntyre et al. 1996; Cebrián 1999). Therefore, this 654 study highlights the existence of some relationships between composition of food sources and the trophic 655 structure of the meiofauna community, when studied at the scale of trophic groups. On the contrary, no clear relationship was observed between bacterial biomass and density of selective deposit feeders 656 (bacterivores; 1A), despite a relationship between SOM biomass and relative abundances of selective 657 deposit feeders. This lack of relationship may be related to the high production rates of bacteria and the 658 influence of abiotic factors, especially oxic conditions. Approaches such as trophic markers (e.g. stable 659 isotope and/or fatty acid analyses) would be very complementary to the determination of feeding types 660 661 based on the morphology of the buccal cavity. Such tools would provide a more comprehensive picture of 662 food sources really assimilated by meiofauna and highlight how the use of food sources by meiofauna may 663 change depending on availability. Combining trophic marker data with biomass and production data in food web models would then provide a much more complete overview of the functioning of coastal food webs. 664

# 665 Compliance with ethical standards

#### 666 Conflict of interest

667 All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### 668 Ethical statement

All applicable international, national and/or institutional guidelines for the sampling, care and use oforganisms were followed.

# 671 Acknowledgements

672 This study forms part of the Ph.D. thesis of L. van der Heijden. This work was financially

supported by the University of La Rochelle through a grant provided by the 'Ministère de l'Enseignement

- 674 Supérieur et de la Recherche'. This work was supported by the CNRS through the CNRS research chair
- 675 provided to B. Lebreton. Financial support was provided by the Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz
- 676 Centre for Polar and Marine Research, as well as the University of La Rochelle (Mobility for doctoral
- 677 students) and the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD: short-term research grant, 2017, no.
- 678 57314023). We acknowledge P. Pineau, B. Hussel, S. Horn, M. Burgdorf, M. Paar and N. Lachaussée for
- 679 their support during fieldwork, and G. Guillou and C. Labrune for their support in the analyses. We thank
- 680 Q. Bernier for his help in sample processing and analysis. Finally, L. van der Heijden thanks the members
- of his Ph.D. committee, M. Graeve, N. Niquil and G. Blanchard, for their guidance, as well as two
- anonymous reviewers whose comments greatly improved the manuscript.

#### 683 References

- Armonies W, Hellwig-Armonies M (1987) Synoptic patterns of meiofaunal and macrofaunal abundances and
   specific composition in littoral sediments. Helgoländer Meeresuntersuchungen 41:83–111. doi:
   10.1007/BF02365101
- 687 Asmus H, Asmus RM (1985) The importance of grazing food chain for energy flow and production in three
- intertidal sand bottom communities of the northern Wadden Sea. Helgoländer Meeresuntersuchungen 39:273–
  301. doi: 10.1007/BF01992775
- 690 Asmus H, Asmus RM (2005) Significance of suspension-feeder systems on different spatial scales. In: Dame RF,
- 691 Olenin S (eds) The comparative roles of suspension-feeders in ecosystems. Springer Netherlands, pp 199–219
- Asmus RM (1983) Benthische und pelagische Primärproduktion und Nährsalzbilanz. Eine Freilanduntersuchung im
   Watt der Nordsee. Ber. Inst. Meereskunde, Univ. Kiel
- Asmus RM, Bauerfeind E (1994) The microphytobenthos of Königshafen—spatial and seasonal distribution on a
   sandy tidal flat. Helgoländer Meeresuntersuchungen 48:257–276. doi: 10.1007/BF02367040

- Austen MC, Widdicombe S, Villano-Pitacco N (1998) Effects of biological disturbance on diversity and structure of
   meiobenthic nematode communities. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 174:233–246. doi: 10.3354/meps174233
- 698 Azovsky AI, Chertoprood ES, Saburova MA, Polikarpov IG (2004) Spatio-temporal variability of micro- and
- meiobenthic communities in a White Sea intertidal sandflat. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 60:663–671. doi:
  10.1016/j.ecss.2004.03.005
- Baird D, Asmus H, Asmus R (2007) Trophic dynamics of eight intertidal communities of the Sylt-Rømø Bight
   ecosystem, northern Wadden Sea. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 351:25–41. doi: 10.3354/meps07137
- Bergamaschi BA, Tsamakis E, Keil RG, Eglinton TI, Montluçon DB, Hedges JI (1997) The effect of grain size and
   surface area on organic matter, lignin and carbohydrate concentration, and molecular compositions in Peru
   Margin sediments. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 61:1247–1260. doi: 10.1016/S0016-7037(96)00394-8
- Blanchard GF (1991) Measurement of meiofauna grazing rates on microphytobenthos: is primary production a
   limiting factor? J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 147:37–46. doi: 10.1016/0022-0981(91)90035-U
- Boschker HTS, Wielemaker A, Schaub BEM, Holmer M (2000) Limited coupling of macrophyte production and
   bacterial carbon cycling in the sediments of Zostera spp. meadows. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 203:181–189. doi:
   10.3354/meps203181
- Cammen LM (1991) Annual bacterial production in relation to benthic microalgal production and sediment oxygen
   uptake in an intertidal sandflat and an intertidal mudflat. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 71:13–25. doi:
   10.3354/meps071013
- Castel J, Labourg P-J, Escavarage V, Auby I, Garcia ME (1989) Influence of seagrass beds and oyster parks on the
   abundance and biomass patterns of meio- and macrobenthos in tidal flats. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 28:71–85.
- 716 Cebrián J (1999) Patterns in the fate of production in plant communities. Am Nat 154:449–468. doi:
  717 10.1086/303244
- Chardy P, Dauvin JC (1992) Carbon flows in a subtidal fine sand community from the western English Channel: a
   simulation analysis. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 81:147–161. doi: 10.3354/meps081147
- Cnudde C, Werbrouck E, Lepoint G, Vangansbeke D, Moens T, Troch M De (2015) Trophodynamics of intertidal
   harpacticoid copepods based on stable isotopes and fatty acid profiling. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 524:225–239. doi:
   10.3354/meps11161
- 723 Core Team R (2016) R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Coull BC (1999) Role of meiofauna in estuarine soft-bottom habitats. Aust J Ecol 24:327–343. doi: 10.1046/j.14429993.1999.00979.x
- 726 Dale NG (1974) Bacteria in intertidal sediment: Factors related to their distribution. Limnol Oceanogr 19:509–519.

- Danovaro R (1996) Detritus-Bacteria-Meiofauna interactions in a seagrass bed (Posidonia oceanica) of the NW
   Mediterranean. Mar Biol 127:1–13. doi: 10.1007/BF00993638
- 729 Danovaro R, Gambi C (2002) Biodiversity and trophic structure of nematode assemblages in seagrass systems:
- evidence for a coupling with changes in food availability. Mar Biol 141:667–677. doi: 10.1007/s00227-0020857-y
- Danovaro R, Gambi C, Mirto S (2002) Meiofaunal production and energy transfer efficiency in a seagrass Posidonia
   oceanica bed in the western Mediterranean. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 234:95–104. doi: 10.3354/meps234095
- Day JWJ, Hall CAS, Kemp MW, Yáñez-Arancibia A (eds) (1989) Estuarine ecology. John Wiley & Sons, New
   York
- de Jong DJ, de Jonge VN (1995) Dynamics and distribution of microphytobenthic chlorophyll-a in the Western
  Scheldt estuary (SW Netherlands). Hydrobiologia 311:21–30. doi: 10.1007/BF00008568
- de Jonge VN (1980) Fluctuations in the organic carbon to chlorophyll-a ratios for estuarine benthic diatom
   populations. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 2:345–353. doi: 10.3354/meps002345
- de Jonge VN, Bouwman LA (1977) A simple density separation technique for quantitative isolation of
   microphytobenthos using the coloidal silica LudoxTM. Mar Biol 42:143–148. doi: 10.1007/BF00391564
- De Troch M, Gurdebeke S, Fiers F, Vincx M (2001) Zonation and structuring factors of meiofauna communities in a
   tropical seagrass bed (Gazi Bay, Kenya). J Sea Res 45:45–61. doi: 10.1016/S1385-1101(00)00055-1
- De Troch M, Houthoofd L, Chepurnov V, Vanreusel A (2006) Does sediment grain size affect diatom grazing by
   harpacticoid copepods? Mar Environ Res 61:265–277. doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2005.10.004
- Dechambenoy CL, Pointier F, Sirou F, Vouvé J (1977) Apport de la thermographie infrarouge aéroportée à la
  connaissance de la dynamique superficielle des estuaires (système Charente-Seudre-Anse de l' Aiguillon).
  Acad des Sci 284:1269–1272.
- Duarte CM, Cebrián J (1996) The fate of marine autotrophic production. Limnol Oceanogr 41:1758–1766. doi:
   10.4319/lo.1996.41.8.1758
- Dupuy C, Nguyen Thanh H, Mizrahi D, Jourde J, Bréret M, Agogué H, Beaugeard L, Bocher P (2015) Structure and
  functional characteristics of the meiofauna community in highly unstable intertidal mudbanks in Suriname and
  French Guiana (North Atlantic coast of South America ). Cont Shelf Res 110:39–47. doi:
- 754 10.1016/j.csr.2015.09.019
- Ellison RL (1984) Foraminifera and meiofauna on an intertidal mudflat, Cornwall, England: Populations; respiration
   and secondary production; and energy budget. Hydrobiologia 109:131–148. doi: 10.1007/BF00011572
- 757 Escaravage V, Garcia ME, Castel J (1989) The distribution of meiofauna and its contribution to detritic pathways in

- 758 tidal flats (Arcachon Bay, France). In: Ros JD (ed) Topics in Marine Biology. pp 551–559
- Fonseca G, Hutchings P, Gallucci F (2011) Meiobenthic communities of seagrass beds (Zostera capricorni) and
  unvegetated sediments along the coast of New South Wales, Australia. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 91:69–77. doi:
  10.1016/j.ecss.2010.10.003
- 762 Franco MA, Soetaert K, Van Oevelen D, Van Gansbeke D, Costa MJ, Vincx M, Vanaverbeke J (2008) Density,
- vertical distribution and trophic responses of metazoan meiobenthos to phytoplankton deposition in
   contrasting sediment types. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 358:51–62. doi: 10.3354/meps07361
- Frontalini F, Semprucci F, du Châtelet EA, Francescangeli F, Margaritelli G, Rettori R, Spagnoli F, Balsamo M,
  Coccioni R (2014) Biodiversity trends of the meiofaunal and foraminiferal assemblages of Lake Varano
  (southern Italy). Proc Biol Soc Washingt 127:7–22. doi: 10.2988/0006-324X-127.1.7
- Gallucci F, Steyaert M, Moens T (2005) Can field distributions of marine predacious nematodes be explained by
   sediment constraints on their foraging success? Mar Ecol Prog Ser 304:167–178. doi: 10.3354/meps304167
- Giere O (2009) Meiobenthology: the microscopic motile fauna of aquatic sediments, Second. Springer-Verlag,
   Berlin Heidelberg
- Gouleau D, Jouanneau JM, Weber O, Sauriau PGG (2000) Short- and long-term sedimentation on Montportail–
  Brouage intertidal mudflat, Marennes–Oléron Bay (France). Cont Shelf Res 20:1513–1530. doi:
  10.1016/S0278-4343(00)00035-2
- Guarini J-M, Blanchard GF, Bacher C, Gros P, Riera P, Richard P, Gouleau D, Prou J, Galois R, Sauriau P-G (1998)
   Dynamics of spatial patterns of microphytobenthic biomass: inferences from a geostatistical analysis of two
   comprehensive surveys in Marennes-Oléron Bay (France). Mar Ecol Prog Ser 166:131–141.
- Guillaumont B (1991) Utilisation de l'imagerie satellitaire pour des comparaisons spatiales et temporelles en zone
   intertidale. In: Elliott M, Ducrotoy J-P (eds) Estuaries and coasts: spatial and temporal intercomparisons.
   ECSA19 symposium. Olsen & Olsen, Fredensborg, pp 63–68
- Haubois AG, Guarini JM, Richard P, Fichet D, Radenac G, Blanchard GF (2005) Ingestion rate of the deposit-feeder
  Hydrobia ulvae (Gastropoda) on epipelic diatoms: Effect of cell size and algal biomass. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol
  317:1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2004.11.009
- Heip C, Vincx M, Vranken G (1985) The ecology of marine nematodes. Ocean Mar Biol Ann Rev 23:399–489.
- 785 Hemminga M, Duarte CM (2000) Seagrass ecology, First. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts
- Hicks GRF, Coull BC (1983) The ecology of marine meiobenthic harpacticoid copepods. Ocean Mar Biol Ann Rev
   21:67–175. doi: 10.1234/2013/999990.
- 788 Higgins RP, Thiel H (1988) Introduction to the study of meiofauna. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington DC

- Hill MO (1973) Diversity and evenness: a unifying notation and its consequences. Ecology 54:427–432. doi:
   10.2307/1934352
- Jensen P (1983) Meiofaunal abundance and vertical zonation in a sublittoral soft bottom, with a test of the Haps
   corer. Mar Biol 74:319–326. doi: 10.1007/BF00403458
- Josefson AB, Widbom B (1988) Differential response of benthic macrofauna and meiofauna to hypoxia in the
   Gullmar Fjord basin. Mar Biol 100:31–40. doi: 10.1007/BF00392952
- 795 Kervella S (2009) Dynamique des sédiments fins et mixtes des zones intertidales de la baie de Marennes-Oléron.
- 796 Kotwicki L, De Troch M, Urban-Malinga B, Gheskiere T, Weslawski JM (2005a) Horizontal and vertical
- distribution of meiofauna on sandy beaches of the North Sea (The Netherlands, Belgium, France). Helgol Mar
  Res 59:255–264. doi: 10.1007/s10152-005-0001-8
- Kotwicki L, Szymelfenig M, De Troch M, Urban-Malinga B, Węsławski JM (2005b) Latitudinal biodiversity
  patterns of meiofauna from sandy littoral beaches. Biodivers Conserv 14:461–474. doi: 10.1007/10531-0046272-6
- Lavergne C, Beaugeard L, Dupuy C, Courties C, Agogué H (2014) An efficient and rapid method for the
   enumeration of heterotrophic prokaryotes in coastal sediments by flow cytometry. J Microbiol Methods
   105:31–38. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2014.07.002
- Lebreton B (2009) Analyse de la structure et du fonctionnement du reseau trophique d'un herbier par approche
   multi-traceurs: tracage isotopique naturel et profils acides gras.
- Lebreton B, Richard P, Radenac G, Bordes M, Bréret M, Arnaud C, Mornet F, Blanchard GF (2009) Are epiphytes a
  significant component of intertidal Zostera noltii beds? Aquat Bot 91:82–90. doi:
  10.1016/j.aquabot.2009.03.003
- Lebreton B, Richard P, Galois R, Radenac G, Brahmia A, Colli G, Grouazel M, André C, Guillou G, Blanchard GF
  (2012) Food sources used by sediment meiofauna in an intertidal Zostera noltii seagrass bed: A seasonal stable
  isotope study. Mar Biol 159:1537–1550. doi: 10.1007/s00227-012-1940-7
- 813 Leduc D, Probert PK (2011) Small-scale effect of intertidal seagrass (Zostera muelleri) on meiofaunal abundance,
- biomass, and nematode community structure. J Mar Biol Assoc United Kingdom 91:579–591. doi:
- **815** 10.1017/S0025315410001645
- Leduc D, Probert PK, Duncan A (2009) A multi-method approach for identifying meiofaunal trophic connections.
   Mar Ecol Prog Ser 383:95–111. doi: 10.3354/meps07991
- Lee S, Fuhrman JEDA (1987) Relationships between biovolume and biomass of naturally derived marine
  bacterioplankton. Deep Res Part B Oceanogr Lit Rev 53:1298–1303. doi: 10.1016/0198-0254(87)96080-8

- Leguerrier D, Niquil N, Boileau N, Rzeznik J, Sauriau PG, Le Moine O, Bacher C (2003) Numerical analysis of the
  food web of an intertidal mudflat ecosystem on the Atlantic coast of France. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 246:17–37.
  doi: 10.3354/meps246017
- Lorenzen CJ (1966) A method for the continuous measurement of in vivo chlorophyll concentration. Deep Res
   Oceanogr Abstr 13:223–227. doi: 10.1016/0011-7471(66)91102-8
- Lü S, Wang X, Han B (2009) A field study on the conversion ratio of phytoplankton biomass carbon to chlorophylla in Jiaozhou Bay, China. Chinese J Oceanol Limnol 27:793–805. doi: 10.1007/s00343-009-9221-0
- Machás R, Santos R (1999) Sources of organic matter in Ria Formosa revealed by stable isotope analysis. Acta
   Oecologica 20:463–469. doi: 10.1016/S1146-609X(99)00122-8
- MacIntyre HL, Geider RJ, Miller DC (1996) Microphytobenthos: The ecological role of the "Secret garden" of
  unvegetated, shallow-water marine habitats. I. Distribution, abundance and primary production. Estuaries
  19:202. doi: 10.2307/1352225
- Marbà N, Holmer M, Gacia E, Barron, Marb N (2006) Seagrass beds and coastal biogeochemistry. In: Larkum
   AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM (eds) Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation. Dordrecht: Springer, pp
   159–192
- Maria TF, Vanaverbeke J, Esteves AM, De Troch M, Vanreusel A (2012) The importance of biological interactions
  for the vertical distribution of nematodes in a temperate ultra-dissipative sandy beach. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci
  97:114–126. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2011.11.030
- Materatski P, Vafeiadou AM, Ribeiro R, Moens T, Adão H (2015) A comparative analysis of benthic nematode
  assemblages from Zostera noltii beds before and after a major vegetation collapse. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci
  167:256–268. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2015.07.001
- Materatski P, Ribeiro R, Moreira-Santos M, Sousa JP, Adão H (2018) Nematode biomass and morphometric
  attributes as descriptors during a major Zostera noltii collapse. Mar Biol 165:1–17. doi:
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-018-3283-5
- Mayer LM (1994) Relationships between mineral surfaces and organic carbon concentrations in soil and sediments.
   Chem Geol 114:347–363. doi: 10.1016/0009-2541(94)90063-9
- 846 McLusky DS, Elliott M (2004) The estuarine ecosystem: ecology, threats and management. OUP, Oxford
- Moens T, Vincx M (1997) Observations on the feeding ecology of estuarine nematodes. J Mar Biol Assoc United
  Kingdom 77:211–227.
- Moens T, Gansbeke D, Vincx M (1999a) Linking estuarine nematodes to their suspected food. A case study from
   the Westerschelde Estuary (south-west Netherlands). J Mar Biol Assoc United Kingdom 79:1017–1027. doi:
   Doi 10.1017/S0025315499001253

- Moens T, Verbeeck L, de Maeyer A, Swings J, Vincx M (1999b) Selective attraction of marine bacterivorous
  nematodes to their bacterial food. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 176:165–178. doi: 10.3354/meps176165
- Moens T, Dos Santos GAP, Thompson F, Swings J, Fonsêca-Genevois V, Vincx M, De Mesel I (2005a) Do
   nematode mucus secretions affect bacterial growth? Aquat Microb Ecol 40:77–83. doi: 10.3354/ame040077
- Moens T, Bouillon S, Gallucci F (2005b) Dual stable isotope abundances unravel trophic position of estuarine
  nematodes. J Mar Biol Assoc United Kingdom 85:1401–1407. doi: 10.1017/S0025315405012580
- Moens T, Braeckman U, Derycke S, Fonseca G, Gallucci F, Gingold R, Guilini K, Ingels J, Leduc D, Vanaverbeke
  J, Van Colen C, Vanreusel A, Vincx M (2013) Ecology of free-living marine nematodes. In: Schmidt-Rhaesa
  A (ed) Nematoda. De Gruyter, pp 109–152
- Ndaro SGM, Olafsson E (1999) Soft-bottom fauna with emphasis on nematode assemblage structure in a tropical
  intertidal lagoon in Zanzibar, eastern Africa: I. spatial variability. Hydrobiologia 405:133–148. doi: 10.1023/A
- 863 Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, Mcglinn D, Minchin PR, O'hara RB, Simpson GL,
  864 Solymos P, Henry M, Stevens H, Szoecs E, Wagner H, Oksanen MJ (2017) vegan: community ecology
  865 package.
- Pacella SR, Lebreton B, Richard P, Phillips D, DeWitt TH, Niquil N (2013) Incorporation of diet information
  derived from Bayesian stable isotope mixing models into mass-balanced marine ecosystem models: A case
  study from the Marennes-Oléron Estuary, France. Ecol Modell 267:127–137. doi:
- 869 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.07.018
- Pascal P-Y (2008) Devenir des bacteries dans les reseaux trophiques des vasieres intertidales: le cas de Brouage
  (Baie de Marennes-Oleron). Sciences du Vivant [q-bio]. Universite de La Rochelle, 2008. Francais.
- Pascal P-Y, Dupuy C, Richard P, Mallet C, du Châtelet EA, Niquil N (2009) Seasonal variation in consumption of
  benthic bacteria by meio- and macrofauna in an intertidal mudflat. Limnol Oceanogr 54:1048–1059. doi:
  10.4319/lo.2009.54.4.1048
- Pedersen O, Borum J, Duarte CM, Fortes MD (1998) Oxygen dynamics in the rhizosphere of Cymodocea rotundata.
  Mar Ecol Prog Ser 169:283–288. doi: 10.3354/meps169283
- Pinckney JL, Zingmark RG (1993) Modeling the annual production of intertidal benthic microalgae in estuarine
  ecosystems. J Phycol 29:396–407. doi: 10.1111/j.1529-8817.1993.tb00140.x
- Platt HM, Warwick RM (1983) Free-living marine nematodes. Part 1: British enoplids. Synopsis of the British
  Fauna (new) No. 28. Cambridge University Press, for the Linnean Society of London and the Estuarine and
  Brackish-water Sciences Association
- Platt HM, Warwick RM (1988) Free-living marine nematodes. Part II: British chromadorids. Synopsis of the British
  Fauna (new) No. 38.

- Ravail B, Héral M, Maestrini S, Robert J-M (1988) Incidence du débit de la Charente sur la capacité biotique du
  bassin ostréicole du bassin de Marennes-Oléron. J Rech Ocean 13:48–52.
- Reise K (1985) Tidal flat ecology: An experimental approach to species interactions, Ecological. Berlin Springer Verlag
- Reise K, Kohlus J (2008) Seagrass recovery in the Northern Wadden Sea? Helgol Mar Res 62:77–84. doi:
  10.1007/s10152-007-0088-1
- Rzeznik-Orignac J, Fichet D (2012) Experimental estimation of assimilation rates of meiofauna feeding on 14Clabelled benthic diatoms. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 432–433:179–185. doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2012.06.019
- 892 Rzeznik-Orignac J, Fichet D, Boucher G (2003) Spatio-temporal structure of the nematode assemblages of the
- Brouage mudflat (Marennes Oléron, France). Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 58:77–88. doi: 10.1016/S02727714(03)00061-1
- Rzeznik-Orignac J, Boucher G, Fichet D, Richard P (2008) Stable isotope analysis of food source and trophic
   position of intertidal nematodes and copepods. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 359:145–150. doi: 10.3354/meps07328
- Saint-Béat B, Dupuy C, Bocher P, Chalumeau J, De Crignis M, Fontaine C, Guizien K, Lavaud J, Lefebvre S,
  Montanié H, Mouget J-L, Orvain F, Pascal P-Y, Quaintenne G, Radenac G, Richard P, Robin F, Vézina AF,
  Niquil N (2013) Key features of intertidal food webs that support migratory shorebirds. PLoS One 8:1–17.
  doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0076739
- 901 Seinhorst JW (1959) A rapid method for the transfer of nematodes from fixative to anhydrous glycerin.
   902 Nematologica 4:67–69. doi: 10.1163/187529259X00381
- 903 Semprucci F, Balsamo M (2012) Free-living marine nematodes as bioindicators: past, present and future
   904 perspectives. Environ Res J 6:17–36.
- 905 Semprucci F, Boi P, Manti A, Harriague AC, Rocchi M, Colantoni P, Papa S, Balsamo M (2010) Benthic
  906 communities along a littoral of the Central Adriatic Sea (Italy). Helgol Mar Res 64:101–115. doi:
  907 10.1007/s10152-009-0171-x
- Sikora WB, Sikora JP (1982) Ecological implications of the vertical distribution of meiofauna in salt marsh
   sediments. Estuar Comp 269–282. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-404070-0.50022-3
- 910 Smidt ELB (1951) Animal production in the Danish Waddensea. Meddr Kommn Danm Fisk-og Havunders, Ser Fisk
  911 11:1–151.
- Smol N, Willems KA, Govaere JCR, Sandee AJJ (1994) Composition, distribution and biomass of meiobenthos in
  the Oosterschelde estuary (SW Netherlands). Hydrobiologia 282–283:197–217. doi: 10.1007/BF00024631
- 914 Soetaert K, Vincx M, Wittoeck J, Tulkens M (1995) Meiobenthic distribution and nematode community structure in

915 five European estuaries. Hydrobiologia 311:185-206. doi: 10.1007/BF00008580 916 Steyaert M, Herman PMJ, Moens T, Widdows J, Vincx M (2001) Tidal migration of nematodes on an estuarine tidal 917 flat (the Molenplaat, Schelde Estuary, SW Netherlands). Mar Ecol Prog Ser 224:299-304. doi: 918 10.3354/meps224299 919 Steyaert M, Vanaverbeke J, Vanreusel A, Barranguet C (2003) The importance of fine-scale, vertical profiles in characterising nematode community structure. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 58:353-366. doi: 10.1016/S0272-920 921 7714(03)00086-6 922 Steyaert M, Moodley L, Nadong T, Moens T, Soetaert K, Vincx M (2007) Responses of intertidal nematodes to 923 short-term anoxic events. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 345:175-184. doi: 10.1016/J.JEMBE.2007.03.001 924 Suzuki R, Shimodaira H (2015) Hierarchical clustering with p-values via multiscale bootstrap resampling. 925 Thornton DCO, Dong LF, Underwood GJC, Nedwell DB (2002) Factors affecting microphytobenthic biomass, 926 species composition and production in the Colne Estuary (UK). Aquat Microb Ecol 27:285-300. doi: 927 10.3354/ame027285 928 Tietjen J, Lee J (1977) Feeding behaviour of marine nematodes. In: Coul B (ed) Ecology of Marine Benthos. 929 University of South Carolina Press, Columbia, USA, pp 21-35 930 Underwood GJC, Kromkamp J (1999) Primary production by phytoplankton and microphytobenthos in estuaries. 931 Adv Ecol Res 29:93-139. 932 Underwood GJC, Paterson DM (1993) Recovery of intertidal benthic diatoms after biocide treatment and associated 933 sediment dynamics. J Mar Biol Assoc United Kingdom 73:25-45. doi: 10.1017/S002531540003263X 934 Vafeiadou AM, Materatski P, Adão H, De Troch M, Moens T (2014) Resource utilization and trophic position of 935 nematodes and harpacticoid copepods in and adjacent to Zostera noltii beds. Biogeosciences 11:4001-4014. 936 doi: 10.5194/bg-11-4001-2014 937 Vanaverbeke J, Soetaert K, Vincx M (2004) Changes in morphometric characteristics of nematode communities 938 during a spring phytoplankton bloom deposition. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 273:139-146. doi: 10.3354/meps273139 939 Vizzini S, Sarà G, Michener RH, Mazzola A (2002) The role and contribution of the seagrass Posidonia oceanica 940 (L.) Delile organic matter for secondary consumers as revealed by carbon and nitrogen stable isotope analysis. 941 Acta Oecologica 23:277-285. doi: 10.1016/S1146-609X(02)01156-6 942 Warwick RM (1971) Nematode associations in the Exe Estuary. J Mar Biol Assoc United Kingdom 51:439-454. 943 doi: 10.1017/S0025315400031908 944 Warwick RM, Clarke KR (1998) Taxonomic distinctness and environmental assessment. J Appl Ecol 35:532-543. 945 Warwick RM, Price R (1979) Ecological and metabolic studies on free-living nematodes from an estuarine mud-flat.

947	Wentworth CK (1922) A scale of grade and class terms for clastic sediments. J Geol 30:377-392. doi
948	10.1086/622910

Estuar Coast Mar Sci 9:257-271. doi: 10.1016/0302-3524(79)90039-2

- 949 Wetzel MA, Fleeger JW, Powers SP (2001) Effects of hypoxia and anoxia on meiofauna: A review with new data
  950 from the Gulf of Mexico. In: Nancy N. Rabalais RET (ed) Coastal Hypoxia: Consequences for Living
  951 Resources and Ecosystems. American Geophysical Union, pp 165–184
- 952 Wieser W (1953) Die Beziehungen zwischen Mundhohlengestalt, Ernahrungsweise und Vorkommen bei
- 953 freilebenden marinen Nematoden. Ark Zool (Ser 2) 4:439–484.
- 954 Wieser W, Kanwisher J (1960) Growth and metabolism in a marine nematode, Enoplus communis Bastian. Z Vgl

955 Physiol 43:29–36. doi: 10.1007/BF00351200

956

946