

# Optimal switching instants for the Control of Hybrid Systems

Julien Alexandre Dit Sandretto, Alexandre Chapoutot, Olivier Mullier

#### ► To cite this version:

Julien Alexandre Dit Sandretto, Alexandre Chapoutot, Olivier Mullier. Optimal switching instants for the Control of Hybrid Systems. 11th Summer Workshop on Interval Methods (SWIM), Jul 2018, Rostock, Germany. hal-01992071

### HAL Id: hal-01992071 https://hal.science/hal-01992071v1

Submitted on 24 Jan 2019  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### Optimal switching instants for the Control of Hybrid Systems

J. Alexandre dit Sandretto, A. Chapoutot, and O. Mullier

ENSTA ParisTech, 828 bd des Maréchaux, 91762 Palaiseau Cedex, France {alexandre, chapoutot, mullier}@ensta.fr

Keywords: Hybrid Systems, Reachability, Optimization

#### **Problem Statement**

In this talk, we will consider the problem of determining optimal switching instants for the control of hybrid systems under reachability constraints. First, we define an n-mode dynamical system

$$(\mathcal{S}_i) \{ \dot{x} = f_i(x) \quad , \quad x(t_i) = x_i \}$$

in the time interval  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$  with  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$  where  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is the initial condition for all modes  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Apart from  $x_0$  that is fixed,  $x_i$  is taken as the solution at time  $t_i$  of the previous dynamical system  $(S_{i-1})$ . This sequence of dynamical systems corresponds to the switching of control law. Our problem can be modeled using the following optimization problem

$$\begin{bmatrix} \max_{t_1,\dots,t_{n-1}} & g(x(\tau)) & (\text{cost function}) \\ \text{s. t.} & \forall 0 \leq i \leq n-1, (\mathcal{S}_i) & (\text{dynamical constraint}) \\ & h(x(\tau)) > 0 & (\text{reachability constraint}) \\ & \tau \in [t_{n-1}, t_n] \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

with the decision variables  $t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$  the search space for the different times;  $g : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$  the cost function on the state variable at given time  $\tau \in [t_{n-1}, t_n]$ , some constraints defined by the dynamical systems  $(S_i)$  and the times  $t_i$ ; a reachability constraint using  $h : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ .

## Approach

The optimization problem (1) is cast into a global optimization problem with differential constraints, where validated simulation techniques [1] and dynamic time meshing are used for its solution.

### Example of the Goddard's Rocket

The Goddard problem [2] models the ascent of a rocket through the atmosphere. The rocket has to reach a given altitude while consuming the smallest amount of fuel. Physically, the optimal solution is a bang-singular arc-bang controller following the steps: 1) full power to break out 2) an increasing function to compensate for the drag effect and 3) turn off the engine and continue with the impulse. The question is when to switch from one dynamics to the following one.

Our approach provides the controller given in figures below, results agreed with [3] such as  $t_1 = 0.019$ ,  $t_2 = 0.063$  for a mass m = 0.6273.



Mesh for  $t_2$  w.r.t.  $t_1$ 

Optimal controller

#### References

- [1] J. ALEXANDRE DIT SANDRETTO, AND A. CHAPOUTOT, Validated Explicit and Implicit Runge-Kutta Methods, *Reliable Computing*, 2016.
- [2] R.H. GODDARD, A Method of Reaching Extreme Altitudes, Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections, 1919.
- [3] K. GRAICHEN AND N. PETIT, Solving the Goddard problem with thrust and dynamic pressure constraints using saturation functions, IFAC 2008.