

### Sunflower resistance to multiple downy mildew pathotypes revealed by recognition of conserved effectors of the oomycete Plasmopara halstedii

Yann Pecrix, Luis Fernando Buendia Martin, Charlotte Penouilh-Suzette, Maude Marechaux, Ludovic Legrand, Olivier Bouchez, David Rengel, Jerome Gouzy, Ludovic Cottret, Felicity Vear, et al.

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Yann Pecrix, Luis Fernando Buendia Martin, Charlotte Penouilh-Suzette, Maude Marechaux, Ludovic Legrand, et al.. Sunflower resistance to multiple downy mildew pathotypes revealed by recognition of conserved effectors of the oomycete Plasmopara halstedii. Plant Journal, 2019, 97 (4), 10.1111/tpj.14157. hal-01990159

### HAL Id: hal-01990159 https://hal.science/hal-01990159

Submitted on 22 Jan 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

The Plant Journal (2019)



# Sunflower resistance to multiple downy mildew pathotypes revealed by recognition of conserved effectors of the oomycete *Plasmopara halstedii*

Yann Pecrix<sup>1</sup> (b), Luis Buendia<sup>1</sup>, Charlotte Penouilh-Suzette<sup>1</sup>, Maude Maréchaux<sup>1</sup>, Ludovic Legrand<sup>1</sup>, Olivier Bouchez<sup>2</sup>, David Rengel<sup>1</sup>, Jérôme Gouzy<sup>1</sup>, Ludovic Cottret<sup>1</sup>, Felicity Vear<sup>3</sup> and Laurence Godiard<sup>1,\*</sup> (b)

<sup>1</sup>LIPM Laboratoire des Interactions Plantes-Microorganismes, Université de Toulouse, INRA, CNRS, F-31326 Castanet-Tolosan, France,

<sup>2</sup>GeT-PlaGe, US INRA 1426, INRA Auzeville, F-31326 Castanet-Tolosan Cedex, France, and <sup>3</sup>INRA, UMR 1095, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

Received 28 March 2018; revised 31 October 2018; accepted 6 November 2018. \*For correspondence (e-mail laurence.godiard@inra.fr).

#### SUMMARY

Over the last 40 years, new sunflower downy mildew isolates (Plasmopara halstedii) have overcome major gene resistances in sunflower, requiring the identification of additional and possibly more durable broadspectrum resistances. Here, 354 RXLR effectors defined in silico from our new genomic data were classified in a network of 40 connected components sharing conserved protein domains. Among 205 RXLR effector genes encoding conserved proteins in 17 P. halstedii pathotypes of varying virulence, we selected 30 effectors that were expressed during plant infection as potentially essential genes to target broad-spectrum resistance in sunflower. The transient expression of the 30 core effectors in sunflower and in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves revealed a wide diversity of targeted subcellular compartments, including organelles not so far shown to be targeted by oomycete effectors such as chloroplasts and processing bodies. More than half of the 30 core effectors were able to suppress pattern-triggered immunity in N. benthamiana, and five of these induced hypersensitive responses (HR) in sunflower broad-spectrum resistant lines. HR triggered by PhRXLRC01 co-segregated with Pl22 resistance in F3 populations and both traits localized in 1.7 Mb on chromosome 13 of the sunflower genome. Pl22 resistance was physically mapped on the sunflower genome recently sequenced, unlike all the other downy mildew resistances published so far. PhRXLRC01 and Pl22 are proposed as an avirulence/resistance gene couple not previously described in sunflower. Core effector recognition is a successful strategy to accelerate broad-spectrum resistance gene identification in complex crop genomes such as sunflower.

Keywords: broad-spectrum resistance, *Helianthus annuus* (cultivated sunflower), pathogen effector network, oomycete, pattern-triggered immunity suppression, physical mapping of sunflower resistance gene, *Plasmopara halstedii*, RXLR effector, subcellular localization.

#### INTRODUCTION

Downy mildew caused by *Plasmopara halstedii* is a major disease affecting sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.), the fourth most important oil crop in the world after oil palm, soybean and rapeseed. *P. halstedii* is an obligate biotrophic oomycete, requiring a living *Helianthus* host to complete its life cycle and still has not been successfully cultured *in vitro*. It belongs to the Peronosporales, a devastating oomycetes order including the hemibiotroph genus *Phytophthora*, which causes late blight, and obligate biotrophs, which cause downy mildews (Fawke *et al.*, 2015). In spring, *P. halstedii* infects sunflower seedlings through the roots, leading eventually to plant death. It colonizes the hypocotyl, cotyledons and leaves where sporulation and disease symptoms are observed, seriously affecting yield (Gascuel *et al.*, 2015). Worldwide, 36 pathotypes of *P. halstedii* have so far been identified, of which 16 are known in France (Gascuel *et al.*, 2015). Pathotypes are defined by an international nomenclature system based on differential virulence profiles on a set of sunflower inbred lines containing different resistance (*R*) genes called *PI* (Gascuel *et al.*, 2015). More than 20 *PI* genes conferring resistance to at least one pathotype of *P. halstedii* have been

© 2018 The Authors.

The Plant Journal published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Experimental Biology. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

described and mapped on five chromosomes (1, 2, 4, 8 and 13) (Gascuel et al., 2015; Qi et al., 2015, 2016; Zhang et al., 2017) in clusters showing duplications (Bachlava et al., 2011) and many resistance gene candidates (Radwan et al., 2003, 2008). Attempts to clone these (Slabaugh et al., 2003; Franchel et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2017) have been hampered by reduced recombination frequencies in introgressed regions containing Pl genes, high content of repetitive DNA regions in the sunflower genome (Badouin et al., 2017), and by the difficulty of transforming sunflower to validate candidate genes (Burrus et al., 1996). During the last 40 years, several resistance genes used in sunflower cultivars have become ineffective against new P. halstedii pathotypes (Ahmed et al., 2012). Characterization of further resistances effective against such pathotypes is thus an agronomic issue.

Plant-pathogenic oomycetes rely for their developmental cycle on pathogenicity factors, called effectors, that are proteins secreted by the pathogen that can alter host defense reactions and advance the infection process (Fawke et al., 2015). Cytoplasmic RXLR and CRN effectors are secreted by many oomycetes from infection/nutrition invaginations called haustoria and translocated into host cells by specific amino acid sequences RXLR-EER or LxLFLAK, respectively (Whisson et al., 2007; Schornack et al., 2010). During sunflower infection, P. halstedii shows intercellular growth, produces haustoria in plant cells and expresses RXLR and CRN effectors (As-sadi et al., 2011; Gascuel et al., 2015, 2016a; Sharma et al., 2015; Mestre et al., 2016). Transient overexpression of P. halstedii RXLR and CRN in sunflower leaves triggered HR-like responses in some resistant lines (Gascuel et al., 2016b).

Effectoromics, a high-throughput functional genomics approach that uses effectors to probe plant germplasm (Vleeshouwers et al., 2008; Pais et al., 2013) was used to identify sunflower R genes to downy mildew. We performed in silico genome-wide identification of P. halstedii RXLR effectors from a new genome assembly and analysed them in an interactive network based on conserved protein domains. This network on which were mapped expression and diversity data was a decision-making tool to select 30 'core' effectors, conserved at the protein level in 17 P. halstedii pathotypes. Transient expression experiments of the 30 effectors in sunflower and in N. benthamiana revealed a wide diversity of targeted subcellular compartments. These effectors were tested for their ability to trigger HR in sunflower lines carrying 13 Pl R genes and two uncharacterized resistance sources. Five core effectors triggered HR in six sunflower lines being each resistant to several downy mildew pathotypes. HR induced by the core effector PhRXLR-C01 co-segregated genetically with the Pl22 resistance gene and these traits were mapped, on the sunflower genome (Badouin et al., 2017). The core effector PhRXLR-C01 and the R gene Pl22 conferring resistance to

nine *P. halstedii* pathotypes are proposed as an AVR/R couple not previously identified in sunflower.

#### RESULTS

#### Genome assembly of the P. halstedii pathotype 710

Genome assembly features. We generated and annotated a genome assembly of P. halstedii pathotype 710 (Figure S1, Table S1, see Methods). Metrics comparisons with the published P. halstedii assembly (strain OS-Ph8-99-BIA4, Sharma et al., 2015) suggest that our assembly is less fragmented, as it is composed of less scaffolds (745 versus 3162), less contigs (devoid of 'N') (3051 versus 7857) with a larger N50 for our genomic contigs (56.4 kb versus 16.2 kb). Our final assembly has a total length of 67.6 Mb. Its completeness was assessed using BUSCO 3.0.2 (Waterhouse et al., 2018). This indicated the presence of 87.2% of the conserved genes from the Stramenopiles and Alveolata database at the genome level, and 88.9% at the proteome level, similar to the earlier published proteome (Table S2). We predicted from our genome assembly 36 892 protein-coding genes (minimum length of the coding sequence of 40 amino acids). A reciprocal best hit Blast search found 10 608 proteins in common with the previous genome (15 469 predicted proteins) (Table S3).

Comparative analysis of P. halstedii 710 and OS-Ph8-99-BIA4 genome assemblies. To assess the accuracy of our genome assembly, we compared it to genome assemblies of the closest sequenced oomycete Plasmopara viticola, the grapevine downy mildew (Dussert et al., 2016, 2018; Mestre et al., 2016; Yin et al., 2017). We did the same comparisons with the genome published by Sharma et al. (2015) (Figure S2). The total length of regions showing similarity between P. halstedii and P. viticola spanned 8-11 Mb, depended on the *P. viticola* genome assembly used. These related regions were carried by less scaffolds on our assembly than on that of Sharma et al. (2015) (ratio from 0.47 to 0.61; Figure S2a), this was also illustrated by their distribution in violin plots (Figure S2b). The circos plots (Krzywinski et al., 2009) showing syntenic regions between the three largest scaffolds of each P. viticola genome assembly and both P. halstedii assemblies are another illustration that the P. halstedii 710 genome assembly is significantly less fragmented and more syntenic to P. viticola genomes compared with the OS-Ph8-99-BIA4 genome assembly (Figure S2c).

### A network of 354 in silico predicted RXLRs led to the selection of 30 'core' conserved RXLR in *P. halstedii*

*P. halstedii RXLRs grouped into 40 clusters, including 12 specific to Plasmopara species.* We predicted by SignalP (Nielsen, 2017) 5830 potentially secreted proteins. *In silico* RXLR predictions conducted on this putative secretome led

to the selection of 354 RXLR detected by one (298 RXLR), two (17), three (20) or four of the methods used (19) (Figure S1, Table S4). We performed a systematic analysis of protein domains of the 354 RXLR predicted proteins using Mkdom2 (Gouzy *et al.*, 1999). The resulting network computed from domain sharing contains 482 edges and 465 nodes, with 354 proteins and 111 protein domains (Figure 1, Figure S3, Table S5). It is composed of 40 connected components (CC: connected parts of the graph in which any node (domain or protein) can be reached from any other node by a path), and 112 singletons that do not share any domain with others (Figures 1, S3). The largest CC01 contains 37 nodes (21 proteins and 16 shared domains) and the smallest only two proteins and one domain (CC29 to CC40).

BlastP analyses of the 354 predicted P. halstedii RXLR peptides were performed on all oomycete sequences from the nr protein database (nr 2017/01/10), in order to define their conservation level in other comycete species  $(E-value < 10^{-4})$ . BlastP results were most frequent among the Peronosporale oomycetes such as Phytophthora parasitica (318), Plasmopara viticola (233), and Ph. infestans (218), but lowest with the obligate biotroph Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis (51). Here, 124 RXLRs were only found in P. halstedii and/or the phylogenetically close P. viticola (Sharma et al., 2015; Yin et al., 2017). Large CC04 (19 proteins) and CC05 (15), and smaller ones (CC09, CC13, CC14, CC16 and six others), contained RXLRs found exclusively in these two Plasmopara species. This suggested the existence of gene families specific to both species and, interestingly, devoid of WY-domain folds (Boutemy *et al.*, 2011), an  $\alpha$ -helical structural motif present in many RXLRs from other Peronosporales (Win et al., 2012) (Figure S3, Table S4).

BlastP results give hints on the presumed functions of the proteins grouped in CCs and validate our clustering. For example, the largest CC01 and CC02 consisted each of 21 predicted proteins all homologous to annotated RXLRs of *Ph. infestans*, and that are found in one to six oomycete species (Figures 1, S3). The 20 proteins of CC03 could in fact be *P. halstedii* CRN effectors and not RXLRs. They are very similar to *Ph. infestans* CRN (*E*-value <  $10^{-15}$ ) and have the characteristic motifs of CRN effectors LxLFLAK and HVLVVVP (Table S4). They are conserved in at least 12 oomycete species (Figure 1), as reported for oomycete CRN effectors (Schornack *et al.*, 2010).

The majority of RXLRs cloned to date have no known functional motifs outside the RXLR region, and have little or no homology in other oomycetes. For these reasons, we estimated that at least 78% (277) of our list (no hit, hit with unknown *Ph. infestans* protein, or hit with *Ph. infestans* RXLR) could be reliable RXLR effectors. Seventy-one were specific for *P. halstedii* only, and 53 for *P. halstedii* and *P. viticola.* 

#### Downy mildew effectors reveal sunflower resistance 3

Interpro domains and WY-domain folds in P. halstedii RXLR. To assess our network and to look for known protein domains, Interpro motifs were searched in the 354 P. halstedii RXLRs. One-third (104) of the putative RXLRs matched with Interpro domains, including three with the RXLR phytopathogen effector protein domain IPR031825 (Boutemy et al., 2011). The most frequent Interpro domains were the alpha/beta hydrolase fold (IPR029058), the HECT domain (IPR000569) present in E3 ubiguitin-protein ligases, the DnaJ domain (IPR001623) present in chaperones, the short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase (IPR002347) and the protein kinase-like domain (IPR011009), each of these clustered in a similar CC, suggesting that our network using Mkdom2 was relevant. If the proteins having such domains are real effectors, this should hint towards their putative functions during pathogenicity.

An independent search was made in the *P. halstedii* secretome for proteins containing conserved  $\alpha$ -helical WY-domain folds, a structural domain found in many RXLR effectors from Peronosporales (Win *et al.*, 2012), using models described previously (Boutemy *et al.*, 2011). Eighty-six were found, of which 65 were in the 354 RXLR list and contained 1–7 WY- domains (mean value per RXLR = 3.7) (Figure S3, Table S4). The 21 WY-domain fold proteins that were not predicted as RXLR effectors by our workflow did not have a canonical RXLR motif but eight of these displayed an EE(R/E/F) motif, and 11 of these had a BlastP hit with a predicted RXLR of *Ph. infestans*, suggesting that they could be listed as potential effectors.

The 65 *P. halstedii* RXLR having WY-domain folds were over-represented in large clusters CC01, CC02, CC06, CC08, and in smaller ones. The proteins of the four large clusters were homologous to annotated *Ph. infestans* RXLRs and had BlastP hits in *Phytophthora* species and in *H. arabidopsidis*, suggesting conserved groups of RXLR with WY-domain folds among the Peronosporales.

Forty-two P. halstedii RXLR were significantly differentially expressed in infected sunflower. RNA-seg experiments were performed at two key time points during the in planta life cycle of *P. halstedii* pathotype 710: an early, 24 hpi infection stage in roots, both in resistant (TSRM) and susceptible (TS) sunflower genotypes, and then at 10 dpi, during the colonization phase in hypocotyls of the susceptible TS line (Gascuel et al., 2016b). Our RNA-seg data treatment indicated that 325 of the 354 RXLR genes (91.8%) were expressed in at least one of the conditions tested (Figure S3; Tables S6, S7). The RXLR genes expressed in susceptible or resistant roots at 24 h showed few differences (Figure S3), suggesting that plant resistance does not modify their expression at this early stage. Comparison of 10 dpi in hypocotyls with 24 h in susceptible plant roots showed that 42 (indicated by larger node size and node

© 2018 The Authors.

The Plant Journal published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Experimental Biology., The Plant Journal, (2019), doi: 10.1111/tpj.14157

#### 4 Yann Pecrix et al.



Figure 1. Plasmopara halstedii RXLR effector network based on conserved protein domains. The 354 RXLR effector proteins in silico predicted are indicated by circles or squares (30 'core' selected RXLRs) and group into 40 connected components (CC) or clusters, that share one or several protein domains indicated by triangles. CC01 to CC40 are sorted by their size and the 112 singletons, meaning proteins that do not share any domain with others, are shown below. The colour code of the RXLR node gives indications of its BlastP score in other oomycete species. The online version (Figure S3, https://ianttoulouseinrafr/EFFEC TOORES/webapp/data/clustering/#/) provides additional information on *P. halstedii* RXLRs. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com].

border width, Figure S3) of 325 expressed RXLR were differentially expressed (FDR  $\leq$  0.05, Table S7). These genes may correspond either to early- or late-induced genes (Figure S3).

Polymorphism analysis revealed 58% of conserved RXLR effectors in P. halstedii. Analysis of RXLR polymorphism among the 17 pathotypes of P. halstedii sequenced led to the identification of 205 (58%) RXLR proteins showing no

amino acid polymorphism, that were defined as *P. halstedii* 'core' RXLRs. Some medium-sized CC (CC10, 12–13, 15 and 18) contained mostly core RXLRs, suggesting that they could be organized in gene families. Polymorphism appeared to be randomly spread among the large CC (Figure S3), except for CC01, but RXLRs having WY-domain folds showed a bias for protein polymorphism (66% polymorphism versus 42% for all RXLRs). This is consistent with the assumption that the molecular stability provided by the core WY-domain fold, combined with considerable potential for plasticity, is a resource for the pathogen to maintain effectors with virulence activities while evading recognition by the plant immune system (Boutemy *et al.*, 2011).

Selection of 30 core RXLR effectors conserved in 17 P. halstedii pathotypes. Thirty core effector proteins representative of the different features encountered in P. halstedii RXLRs (i.e. belonging to different CC) and expressed during plant infection were selected for functional studies (Table 1). We selected those having a good chance to be functional effectors (RXLR-type motif, RXLR Interpro domain, number of prediction methods). They were all expressed in at least two RNA-seq conditions, and eight were found to be differentially expressed between early and late time points (Figure S3, Table S7). Half of these were in eight CC. Twenty-four were detected by at least two in silico prediction methods; 16 had the RXLR-(D/E)ER canonical motifs and two others had variant RXLR motifs and WY-domain folds (Table 1). Half were specific to P. halstedii, including four effectors (PhRXLR-C14, -C20, -C22 and -C29) having no homologs in the published P. halstedii sequence, suggesting that our genome assembly is more complete than the previous one, and eight not predicted as being RXLR (Sharma et al., 2015) (Tables 1, S4). Six had only homologs in P. viticola, and 10 were conserved in at least four oomycete species (Tables 1, S8).

### The 30 core RXLR effectors group in four expression classes during infection

The expression profiles of the selected effectors were performed by RT-qPCR in a suspension of *P. halstedii* spores collected from infected cotyledons and in susceptible sunflower seedlings at 3, 7 and 11 dpi with pathotype 710, corresponding, respectively, to early infection, colonization and late infection stages (Figure S4). Nineteen effector genes were more expressed in at least one condition than the *PhRIBS3A* constitutive gene used to normalize the quantity of pathogen (Gascuel *et al.*, 2016b) (Table 1). The maximal relative expression values (rev) of these genes were recorded from 1.04  $\pm$  0.33 for PhRXLR-C07 at 3 dpi to 32.1  $\pm$  4.4 for PhRXLR-C10, at 7 dpi (Figure S4).

Four expression patterns were observed after data normalization and search for Pearson correlations (Figure 2; Table 1): (i) a group of 14 effector genes showing maximal

#### Downy mildew effectors reveal sunflower resistance 5

expression level in spores (blue); (ii) a group of four effectors strongly expressed at early infection stages (orange) linked to the 'spores' group; (iii) a 'colonization' group of eight effectors peaking at 3 dpi (green); and (iv) a 'late' group of four gradually and late-induced genes (red). The 30 core RXLR effectors displayed different expression patterns during infection, but 26 of these were maximally expressed before 3dpi (Figure 2).

### Suppression of pattern-triggered immunity by *P. halstedii* core RXLR effectors

Suppression of pattern-triggered immunity (PTI) is a good test to estimate the role of a given effector in virulence, and was shown for Ph. infestans AVR3a RXLR effector (Bos et al., 2006). We tested whether the 30 core RXLR effectors of P. halstedii cloned in an expression vector were able to suppress PTI induced by Ph. infestans infestin INF1. We first checked that each effector construct induced no cell death per se in N. benthamiana leaves. Eighteen P. halstedii core RXLR effectors were able to suppress INF1-induced cell death; five in more than 60% of tested leaves and 13 between 30 and 60% (Figure 3). Therefore, more than half the core effectors tested were able to suppress PTI to some extent, suggesting that they could have a role in virulence, validating our choice of effectors. This is noteworthy especially for seven core effectors that showed low expression levels in infected plants (Table 1). Most of those showing INF1 suppression were early-expressed genes but two of these, PhRXLR-C01 and -C12, displayed late induction patterns (Figure 2), suggesting that PTI suppression is not limited to early induced RXLRs.

### *P. halstedii* core RXLR effectors target the nucleus and other organelles of sunflower cells

The 30 effectors fused to the C-terminal end of the vellow fluorescent protein (YFP) were transiently expressed in sunflower and in N. benthamiana (Figures 4, 5, S5, S6; Table 1). Thirteen effector-YFP fusions were observed in the nucleus and cytoplasm, as described for YFP alone (Gascuel et al., 2016b) (Figure 4a,b). Although the expected sizes of the fusions were checked for 10 of them, we cannot exclude that these localizations were driven by YFP. PhRXLR-C07 displayed both nucleocytoplasmic and nucleolar localization (Figure 4c,d). Two other effector constructs, PhRXLR-C03 and PhRXLR-C10, also targeted nuclei and nucleoli (Figures 4e and 5a). While the signal for PhRXLR-C10 was only observed in these compartments, PhRXLR-C03 also displayed some speckles resembling fluorescent signals in the nucleoplasm and a plasma membrane localization (Figure 5b). PhRXLR-C09 and PhRXLR-C11 also targeted nuclei and plasma membranes (Figure 4f,g), but the nuclear signals were markedly distinct from those of the effectors

© 2018 The Authors. *The Plant Journal* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Experimental Biology.,

*The Plant Journal*, (2019), doi: 10.1111/tpj.14157

halstedii pathotypes
Plasmopara
.u
conservec
effectors
RXLR
core
selected
30
The
-
Table

		Gono ID in	Connected			Dontido		AEEP-like			bite with		du -run everesion	D infactanc	in planta	
	Plhal	P.halstedii	component	Prediction	Protein	clivage	motif:	motif:	γγ	Interpro	oomycete	expression	compared to	INF1	subcellular	HR
	710r1_	proteome <sup>a</sup>	or singleton	method	size	site	position	position	folds	domain	species <sup>b</sup>	class	PhRibS3A	suppression	localization	triggering
01	S008g05269	pu	CC04	WI/WH/H/B	138	25	ROLR:42	EER:60		pu		late	lower	+	NC	+
02	S012q07674	CEG35256.1	S	WI/H/B	92	22	RALR:47		,	pu		spores	stronger	+	NC	
03	S016g09123	pu	S	WI/WH/H/B	184	30	RLLR: 43	EER:57		nd	4	colonization	lower	+	Nucleus,	
															nucleolus	
															and PM	
04	S016g09439	CEG 49414.1	S	WI/H/B	119	23	RILR: 40	EER:76		IPR031825:RXLR	£	spores	stronger	+	NC	
										phytopathogen effector protein						
05	S037r16690	CEG39174 1	CCOF	ď	244	22	RSI K· 50	FFR-67		nd	,	infection	stronger	+	UN C	
206	S036016536	CEG45744.1	) ) ) (	о <i>с</i> с	168	1 %	RSAL 46	FER-62	,	IPR000048-IO motif		snores	stronger	- +	Golai hodies	,
			•	1		ł				EF- hand			0		(EMS)	
										binding site						
207	S064g23400	CEG37861.1	S	WI/H/B	325	20	RGLR:36	EER:73	,	IPR001237:43kDa	13	colonization	stronger	+	NC and	
										postsynaptic					nucleolus	
										protein;						
										IPR011991:Winged						
										helix- turn-helix						
										DNA-binding						
										domain						
80	S068g23969	CEG 49 461.1	S	в	364	23		EER:58	ო	pu	7	spores	lower	+	Golgi and	
															P-bodies (EMS)	
60	S082g26440	CEG36780.1	CC13	WI/WH/H/B	128	23	RLLO:31	EER:73		nd	-	infection	lower	+	Nucleus and PM	+
10	S082a26452	pu	CC 13	WI/WH/H/B	100	20	RSLR:31	EER:72		pu		colonization <sup>c</sup>	stronger	+	Nucleus	+
2		5				ł	RLLR:58			5					and nucleolus	
1	S082g26453	CEG 44 407.1	CC13	WI/WH/H/B	160	20	KSLQ:31	EER:73		pu		spores	lower	+	Nucleus and PM	+
							RLLR:58									
12	S095g28460	CEG47148.1	S	WI/WH/H/B	173	19	RRLR:41	EER:53	,	nd	-	late	stronger	+	NC	
13	S100g29079	CEG 42 169.1	S	в	127	26	RVLQ:45		,	nd	2	infection	lower		Endoplasmic	
															reticulum (EMS)	
14	S113g30722	nd	CC20	WI/WH/H/B	89	22	ROLR:30	EER:53		nd	2	spores	stronger	+	NC	
15	S118g31182	CEG35764.1	CC02	WI/H/B	564	40	RNLR:44	EER:89	,	nd	2	colonization	lower	,	Undefined	,
															cytoplasmic	
															bodies	
:16	S132g32344	CEG 43263.1	S	WI/H/B	143	23	RLLR:47	DER:70	,	IPR031825:RXLR	9	spores	stronger	+	NC	+
										phytopathogen						
17	S008005255	CFG 49264.1	CC04	WI/WH/H/B/	322	28	ROI B-43	FFR-60	,	nd		colonization	lower	+	UN CN	,
18	S008a05276	pu	CC04	WI/WH/H/B	250	72	ROLR:45	EFR:62		pu		spores <sup>c</sup>	stronger	+		,
0	S039617303	CEG 47202 1		W/I/H/B	202	: 6	BI B-40	EER.62		pu L	t.	epode	etronger	• +		
	S047619371		2000 V		107	07 D1		-				spores colonization	stronder		Chloronlast	
220	1/00/6/400		D		101	2						COLOTINZALION	auongei			

© 2018 The Authors. *The Plant Journal* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Experimental Biology., *The Plant Journal*, (2019), doi: 10.1111/tpj.14157

6 Yann Pecrix et al.

Core RXLR	Gene ID Pihal 71011	Gene ID in P.halstedii	Connected component	Prediction	Protein	Signal Peptide clivage	RxLR-like motif:	dEER-like motif:	× V Splot	Interpro	BlastP hits with oomycete	qRT-PCR expression	qRT-PCR expression compared to	P.infestans INF1	<i>in planta</i> subcellular	HR
PhRXI R-C31	S047619423	CEG45707 1	000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	WI/H/B	123	24	RAL R-32	FER-63				late	lower	-	Endonlasmir	
	0740 8/400		0		07	t 7	70111011			2		Iaro	IDMO		reticulum (EMS)	
PhRXLR-C22	S060g22597	pu	S	WI/H/B	115	24	RALR:31			nd		spores	stronger		Endoplasmic	
PhRXLR-C23	S096g28489	nd	CC04	WI/WH/H/B	326	28	ROLR:43	EER:60		pu		colonization	lower	+	reticulum (EMIS) NC	
PhRXLR-C24	S089g27493	CEG41472.1	S	H/B	132	22	RKLQ:56	EER:72		pu		spores	stronger		Golgi and	
															P-bodies (EMS)	
PhRXLR-C25	S149g33555	CEG50476.1	CC29	WI/H/B	122	25	RSLR:48		-	nd	5	spores	lower		NC	
PhRXLR-C26	S003g01996	CEG41649.1	s	WI/WH/H	120	30	RNLR:57	EER:75		nd		spores	stronger		Endoplasmic	
															reticulum (EMS)	
PhRXLR-C27	S008g05363	nd	CC27	В	155	25	RRLH:38			nd	-	colonization <sup>c</sup>	stronger	ı	Plastid	
															associated	
															membranes	
PhRXLR-C28	S013g08171	CEG39147.1	S	WI/H	203	25	RHLR:37			nd	11	late	stronger		Cytosolic	
PhRXLR-C29	S023g11993	pu	s	WI/H	143	22	RFLR:50	EER:71	,	pu	,	spores	stronger		PM	
PhRXLR-C30	S024g12465	CEG36406.1	S	В	155	27	RRLS:34	EER:62	,	nd	,	infection	stronger		PM and tonoplast	
<sup>a</sup> Best recip <sup>b</sup> Number c	rocal BlastP of best BlastI	hit in the pure hits in oor	roteome of I	<i>P. halstedii</i> ies other th	OS-Ph8 an <i>P. h</i> ɛ́	-99-BIA4 ilstedii, tl	(Sharma hreshold	et al., 20 E-value 1	15), thi 0 <sup>-4</sup> . N	eshold E-value 1 o other BlastP hit	0 <sup>-5</sup> . : with an E-v	value <10 <sup>-4</sup> v	vere found o	on nr databa	ise of ncbi.	
Abbreviati	orrelation b ons: Connec Endomem	elow 0,9 (se ted Compoi brane system	e Fig. 2). nent (CC), si m /EMC)	ingleton (S	), WI (W	'in <i>et al.</i> ,	2012), W	'H (Whiss	on et	<i>al.</i> , 2007), H (Bha	ttacharjee 6	et al., 2006),	Blast (B), Nı	ıcleocytopla	smic (NC), Plasr	na mem-
		In alle syste														

Table 1. (continued)

#### 8 Yann Pecrix et al.



**Figure 2.** The 30 *Plasmopara halstedii* core RXLR effectors group in four expression classes.Transcript levels of the effectors were measured by RT-qPCR in *P. halstedii* spores and in infected sunflower seedlings at 3, 7 and 11 dpi corresponding respectively to early infection, colonization and late infection stages, and normalized to *PhRIBS3A* (Gascuel *et al.*, 2016b).(a) Co-expression network based on Pearson correlations (>0.9) revealed four expression groups: (i) a 'spore' group in blue showing maximal expression level in spores; (ii) an 'infection' group in orange strongly expressed at early infection stages; (iii) a 'colonization' group in green peaking at 3 dpi; and (iv) a 'late colonization' group in red showing a gradually increase in the level of transcripts during infection. Three RXLR effectors labeled in grey, with Pearson correlations <0.9 were linked to the spore or colonization groups.(b) Expression profiles of core RXLR constituting each expression group are presented. For ease of reading, the relative expression values of each effector were normalized by the mean of the four time points.

described above: an intense YFP signal was observed in undefined large nuclear bodies not targeted by SV40-red fluorescent protein (RFP) used as a specific nuclear marker (Figures 5c, S6). Respectively, four and three core cloned effectors belonged to CCs CC04 and CC13 (Table 1). It may be noted that all CC04 cloned effectors had the same nucleocytoplasmic localization, whereas three CC13 effectors displayed nuclear localization but only two of these, PhRXLR-C09 and -C11, showed plasma membrane localization (Figures 4f,g, S5, S6, S8).

The non-nuclear effector constructs targeted diverse plant cell components (Table 1). PhRXLR-C29 and PhRXLR-C30 were, respectively, observed in plasma membrane and plasma membrane and tonoplast membrane (Figures 4h, 5d,e). Seven effectors targeted the endomembrane system. The fluorescent signals observed for PhRXLR-C13, -C21, -C22 and -C26 fusions clearly depicted the endoplasmic reticulum networks (Figures 4i-j, S5). PhRXLR-C06, -C08 and C24 colocalised with a membrane protein that accumulated in Golgi bodies (Figures 4k.l, 5f). PhRXLR-C08 and -C24 also accumulated in aggregates similar to large processing bodies as described up to now only for a fungal effector (Petre et al., 2016) (Figure S6). Unprecedented localization patterns for oomycete effectors were also observed for two core effectors. PhRXLR-C20 localized around chloroplasts and in stromules (Caplan et al., 2015), tubular membrane extensions of chloroplasts connected to the nucleus (Figures 4n, 5g, S5). PhRXLR-C27 targeted aggregates of vesicles systematically linked to chloroplasts, similar to plastid-associated membranes (Andersson et al., 2007) (Figures 4o-p, 5h, S5).

The 30 core effectors were shown to accumulate in sunflower cells and could be tested for recognition by downy mildew-resistant sunflower lines.

#### Five *P. halstedii* core RXLR effectors induce HR responses in sunflower lines resistant to several pathotypes

Transient expression assays of the 30 effector constructs were performed on 16 sunflower lines that have known PI R genes from different clusters, giving resistance from one to 17 pathotypes (Table 2). Four P. halstedii effector constructs induced either HR cell death (PhRXLR-C01, -C11 and -C16) or a strong discoloration of the infiltrated leaf areas (PhRXLR-C10) (Figure S7). PhRXLR-C01 construct induced HR at 4 days post infiltration (dpil) in three differential lines RHA-274, PMI3 and 803-1, respectively, resistant to 8, 9 and 16 pathotypes and carrying Pl2-Pl21, Pl22 and PI5+ (Table 2). PhRXLR-C11 and PhRXLR-C16 constructs both induced cell death in the differential line 803-1 at 6 dpil. PhRXLR-C10 construct was recognized in NIL161-R line, a line carrying PI5 on chromosome 13, but not in its susceptible counterpart NIL161-S. None of the 30 core RXLR constructs induced HR in the seven susceptible lines, or in lines resistant to less than eight pathotypes.

To check whether new broad-spectrum resistances could be revealed by core RXLR effectors, two lines, HAS6 and HAS85, carrying as yet unpublished resistances were tested with the 30 effector constructs (Table 2). PhRXLR-C01 and PhRXLR-C11 constructs induced HR in both, but HAS85 revealed another HR-inducing effector, PhRXLR-C09. The germplasm lines HAS6 and HAS85, resistant to all 17 pathotypes, showed HR in response to several core effectors.

### HR induced by PhRXLR-C01 and the *Pl22* resistance gene co-segregate in sunflower

PhRXLR-C01 construct triggers an HR in the line PMI3, carrying a so-far-unmapped resistance gene, *Pl22*. We tested with PhRXLR-C01 construct the F3 progenies of a cross

#### Downy mildew effectors reveal sunflower resistance 9



**Figure 3.** *Plasmopara halstedii* core RXLR effectors suppress pattern-triggered immunity (PTI) in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves.(a) Diagram of PTI suppression assay (left) and results of suppression tests (middle and right leaves). Up-infiltrated areas are controls performed by co-infiltrating Infestin1 (INF) from *Phytophthora infestans* with either empty vector (EV) used as negative control (INF1-triggered cell death) or RXLR effector AVR3a from *Ph. infestans* used as positive control (PTI suppression: no cell death). Middle: example of a construct 35S-YFP-PhRXLR core effector that does not suppress PTI, and right, example of a 35S-YFP-PhRXLR core effector showed a PTI suppression effect or more than 30%. Symptom development was monitored from 3 to 8 days post- infiltration (pictures taken at 7 dpi). The level of suppression was estimated by an average percentage calculated on all infiltrated leaves (n > 30). *Ph. infestans* AVR3a reached 90% of suppression in our conditions. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com].

involving the line PMI3 as the resistant parent (PMI3  $\times$  GH), segregating for the single dominant gene *Pl22*. HR cell death in response to PhRXLR-C01 infiltration was shown by all the homozygous resistant progenies carrying *Pl22* but none of the homozygous susceptible (Figure 6a). The (PMI3  $\times$  GH) progenies therefore indicate an absolute link between the presence of the *Pl22* resistance gene in a plant and its reaction to the effector construct PhRXLR-C01. This

trait was genotyped on an AXIOM sunflower genotyping array of 49 449 SNPs (Mangin *et al.*, 2017) in a region of 2.7 Mb of chromosome 13 (Figure 6b). Another F3 population segregating for *Pl22* (PMI3 × Idaho) also segregated for *Pl17*, present in Idaho on LG4 (Qi *et al.*, 2015), when tested with pathotype 710, to which PMI3 is susceptible. As Idaho did not respond to PhRXLR-C01, when the F3 (PMI3 × Idaho) population was scored with PhRXLR-C01

© 2018 The Authors.

The Plant Journal published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Experimental Biology., The Plant Journal (2019) doi: 10.1111/tri.14157

The Plant Journal, (2019), doi: 10.1111/tpj.14157

#### 10 Yann Pecrix et al.



**Figure 4.** *Plasmopara halstedii* core RXLR effectors target the nucleus and other organelles of sunflower cells.Confocal images of p35S-YFP-PhRXLR constructs that were transiently expressed in sunflower leaves by agroinfiltration. Scale bar, 10 µm.(a, b) PhRXLR-C01 is a nucleocytoplasmic effector not localized in the nucleolus.(c, d) The nucleocytoplasmic effector PhRXLR-C07 targets nucleolus.(e) PhRXLR-C10 is exclusively observed in nucleus and nucleolus.(f, g) PhRXLR-C11 targets plasma membrane and in some cells forms aggregates in nuclei (g, also refer to Figure 5).(h) PhRXLR-C30 is localized both in plasma membrane and tonoplast (arrows).(i, j) The fluorescent signal of the YFP-PhRXLR-C13 construct reveals the endoplasmic reticulum network surrounding the nucleus.(k, l) PhRXLR-C06 and PhRXLR-C08 are observed in small cytosolic aggregates corresponding to Golgi bodies (also refer to Figures 5, S6).(m) PhRXLR-C15 accumulates in undefined and small cytoplasmic observed at the periphery of the cell.(n) PhRXLR-C20 targets chloroplasts and stromules (arrow) (Caplan *et al.*, 2015), tubular membrane extensions of chloroplasts connected to nucleus (arrowhead).(o, p) PhRXLR-C27 targets aggregates composed of vesicles adjoining chloroplasts also known as plastid-associated membranes (p is an enlarged view of an aggregate, also refer to Figure 5). [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com].

construct, we considered that the effector reaction indicated the presence or absence of *Pl22*. Increasing the size of the mapping population, and the number of polymorphic markers coming from different sunflower lines made it possible to map the PhRXLR-C01 recognition and *Pl22* resistance to an overlapping region of 1.7 Mb on chromosome 13, between markers AX-105918079 at 181.7 Mb and AX-105391938 at 183.4 Mb from the corresponding XRQ



#### Downy mildew effectors reveal sunflower resistance 11

**Figure 5.** Colocalization studies confirm the original targeting of cell compartments by *Plasmopara halstedii* core RXLR effectors.Confocal images of p35S-YFP-PhRXLR and RFP-tagged marker constructs that were transiently expressed by agroinfiltration in sunflower (a, c, g and h) and *N benthamiana* leaves (b, d–f). Chloroplasts were visualized by autofluorescence and cell walls by calcofluor staining. Scale bar, 10 μm(a) In a nucleus revealed by the nuclear specific marker SV40 large T-antigen (SVLT) fused to RFP, PhRXLR-C03 targets exclusively the nucleouls.(b) In a plasmolyzed cell, the YFP-PhRXLR-C03 signal is observed in plasma membrane but not in cell wall stained in blue.(c) PhRXLR-C11 is observed both in plasma membrane and nucleus but, interestingly, no overlapping signal is observed in nucleus between SVLT-RFP and YFP-PhRXLR-C11.(d) YFP-PhRXLR-C29 is only observed in plasma membrane, the fluorescence intensity plot computed from the dotted line in the merge panel is shown on the right. This plot shows a single yellow peak of YFP signal reflecting the plasma membrane, located between the cell wall signal in blue and the tonoplast associated signal (aquaporin-RFP) in red (Saito *et al.*, 2002).(e) PhRXLR-C30 targets both plasma membrane. (f) YFP-PhRXLR-C30 targets both plasma membrane, located between chloroplast. The fluorescence intensity plot shows two yellow peaks of YFP, the first overlaying the tonoplast signal in red and the second, located between chloroplast and cell wall signals corresponding to the plasma membrane.(f) YFP-PhRXLR-C06 signal colocalizes with Golgi bodies revealed by the Gntl-RFP constructs (Essl *et al.*, 1999).(g) YFP-PhRXLR-C20 signal labels the periphery of the chloroplasts showing the autofluorescent signal in green.(h) YFP-PhRXLR-C27 fused protein forms aggregates and labels membranes systemically associated with chloroplasts (in green), also known as plastid-associated membranes (Andersson *et al.*, 2007).

genomic region (Badouin *et al.*, 2017) (Figure 6b). This region covers 39 genes expressed during *P. halstedii* infection including two putative HR-like lesion-inducers and eight NBS-LRR putative resistance genes that are candidates for the *Pl22* gene (Table S9).

#### DISCUSSION

In this study, we confirmed that effectoromics on core downy mildew effectors can speed up characterization of broad-spectrum disease resistance genes in sunflower

Bendolie sectory and the	genotype         specificity         group           Inbred lines         1         1           CAY         no         5           CAY         no         5           GE         no         5           GCX         no         5           GU         no         5           NIL161-S         no         5           OEU         no         5           PISPIB-LG13         R         7           PIA-265*         PI1         PI1/P12-LG8         R           A.*         PI4         nd         7           XA*         PI4         nd         8         7           XA*         PI4         nd         8         7           XA*         PI4         nd         8         8           CZNM         PI17         P117-LG8         8         7           XA*         PI4         P17         P17-LG4         8           XA*         PI4         P17         P117-LG4         8           XA*         PI21-LG13         P117         P117-LG4         8           PI3*         P12         P117         P117-LG4         8	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	21 21 22 23 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	512 V											1														õ	ontro
the field f	Inbred lines         1           CAY         no         7           CAY         no         5           GB         no         5           GCX         no         5           OEU         no         5           NIL161-S         no         5           OEU         no         5           NL161-S         no         5           OEU         no         5           NL161-S         no         5           OEU         no         5           NLL161-S         no         5           OEU         no         5           NL161-S         no         5           CAYRM         PI1         PI1/P2-LG8           XA*         PI6         PI6/P7-LG8           RHA-274*         PI2         PI1/P2-LG8           PI3*         PI2         PI1/P2-LG8           PI3*	<sup>6</sup> 000000000000000000000000000000000000	80	214 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	512 V																										
Induced linea:          CXV         No	Inbred lines         11           CAY         no         5           CA         no         5           CAY         no         5           GE         no         5           OEU         no         5           NIL161-S         no         5           OEU         no         5           PRA-265*         P11         P11/P12-LG8         8           RHA-265*         P16         P16/P17-LG8         8           CAYRM         P15         P16/P17-LG8         8           CAYRM         P17         P117-LG4         8           YQL*         P16         P16/P17-LG8         8           YCL*         P17         P117-LG4         8           YCL*         P16         P16/P17-LG8         8           YCL*         P17         P17-LG4         8           YCL*         P16         P16/P17-LG8         8           P13         P17         P117         P117-LG4         8           YCL*         P16         P16/P17-LG8         8           P13*LG1         P16         P16/P17-LG8         8           P113*LG13         P17         P117 <th><sup>6</sup> <sup>9</sup></th> <th>304 334 334 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 33</th> <th>314 33 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</th> <th>71C V</th> <th></th> <th>to n pathotypes</th> <th>РВХГВ-С05 РКХГК-С04</th> <th>אצרצ-כסז 200-צרצ-כ0</th> <th>902-81X84</th> <th>200-81X84 900-87X84</th> <th>802-87X84</th> <th>602-81X84</th> <th>עאַצו אַ־כייי 0גיאַראַ-גע</th> <th>РВХГВ-СЛ2</th> <th>ELO-RIXRA</th> <th>912-8784 117-018</th> <th>912-81284</th> <th></th> <th>6גארצ-כו6 מיטארא-גיא</th> <th>РВХГВ-С20</th> <th>гсо-ялхяя</th> <th>и жини оста</th> <th>PBXIB-C34 PBXFB-C53</th> <th>שנט־צ ואצע דרט-מיזעייי</th> <th>972-87X84</th> <th><i>L</i>22-ЯЛХЯА</th> <th>PBXLB-C28</th> <th>0579 B184 620-81280</th> <th>mptv vector</th> <th></th>	<sup>6</sup> <sup>9</sup>	304 334 334 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 33	314 33 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	71C V		to n pathotypes	РВХГВ-С05 РКХГК-С04	אצרצ-כסז 200-צרצ-כ0	902-81X84	200-81X84 900-87X84	802-87X84	602-81X84	עאַצו אַ־כייי 0גיאַראַ-גע	РВХГВ-СЛ2	ELO-RIXRA	912-8784 117-018	912-81284		6גארצ-כו6 מיטארא-גיא	РВХГВ-С20	гсо-ялхяя	и жини оста	PBXIB-C34 PBXFB-C53	שנט־צ ואצע דרט-מיזעייי	972-87X84	<i>L</i> 22-ЯЛХЯА	PBXLB-C28	0579 B184 620-81280	mptv vector	
Contractioned in the contractioned into the contractioned intotect into the contract	CAY       No       <	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			703	я ч	d d	d d	Ч	a d	Ь	Ы	d d	ď	d	d J	Ч	d	d d	ď	Ы	d	a d		d	Ы	d	a 4	3	_
Click in the control of the c	GB         no         S	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		S	S	0	•	:	•	:	•		:	•		•		:		•			:	•	•		:	:	•	
NILUE         Ino         Ino <thino< th=""> <thino< t<="" td=""><td>GCX         no         S         no         S           NIL161-S         no         no         S         S           OEU         no         no         S         S           TM         no         no         S         S           TM         no         P11         P11/P12-LG8         R           RHA-265*         P16         P16/P12-LG8         R           RHA-265*         P16         P16/P12-LG8         R           A         P16         P17         P17-LG4         R           YO&amp;*         P16         P16/P12-LG8         R         R           YO&lt;*</td>         P17         P177-LG4         R         R           YO&lt;*</thino<></thino<>	GCX         no         S         no         S           NIL161-S         no         no         S         S           OEU         no         no         S         S           TM         no         no         S         S           TM         no         P11         P11/P12-LG8         R           RHA-265*         P16         P16/P12-LG8         R           RHA-265*         P16         P16/P12-LG8         R           A         P16         P17         P17-LG4         R           YO&*         P16         P16/P12-LG8         R         R           YO<*		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		S	S	0		;		:	•		:	•	;	•				•		;	:	•	·			:	'	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	NIL161-S         no         S           OEU         no         S           OEU         no         S           PSS2         no         S           TM         no         S           RHA-265*         PI         PI           PI         PI         PI           RHA-265*         PI         PI           A         PI         PI		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	S	S	0	:	:	•	;	•		:	•	;	•		:	•	•		;	÷	•	•			:	•	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OEU         no         S2         no         S <td></td> <td>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</td> <td>~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~</td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td>0</td> <td>:</td> <td>:</td> <td></td> <td>:</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>:</td> <td>•</td> <td>:</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>:</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>:</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>:</td> <td>•</td> <td></td>		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	S	S	0	:	:		:	•		:	•	:	•		:	•	•			:	•	•			:	•	
Big in the second secon	PSS2         no         S <ths< th="">         S         S         S</ths<>	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~ ~ ~ ~ ~	S	S	0	•	:			•		:	•	;	•			'	•			1	•	•			:	•	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	TM     no     FI1     P11/P12-LG8     R       RHA-265*     P11     P11/P12-LG8     R       CAYRM     P15     P16/P17-LG8     R       CURM     P15     P16/P17-LG8     R       XA*     P14     nd     R       XA*     P14     nd     R       YOA*     P17     P17-LG4     R       TMRM     P117     P17-LG4     R       Ha-355*     P17     P17-LG4     R       RHA-274*     P17     P17-LG4     R       P13*     P16     P177     P17-LG4     R       P13*     P17     P177-LG4     R     R       P13*     P12     P117     P121-LG13     P121-LG13       P13*     P13*     P16/P7-LG8     R       P13*     P16     P13     P17/P2-LG8     R       P14*     P14     P17     P11/P2-LG8     R       P14*     P14     P13     P11/P2-LG8     R       P1	~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	s s s s s	S	S	0	:	:		:	•		:	•	:	•		:	•	•			:	•	•				•	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	RHA-265*         P1         P1/P12-LG8         R           CAYRM         P16         P16/P17-LG8         R           OEURM         P15         P15/P18-LG13         R           XA*         P14         nd         R           XA*         P14         nd         R           Y70*         P14         nd         R           Y70*         P17         P17-LG4         R           R14         P17         P17-LG4         R           R14         P17         P177-LG4         R           Ha-335*         P17         P177-LG4         R           Ha-335*         P17         P177-LG4         R           Ha-335*         P17         P177-LG4         R           Ha-335*         P17         P177-LG4         R           P13-355*         P12         P117         P121-LG13         R           P14-274*         P12         P12         P12/P1-LG8         R         R           P13*         P12         P12         P12/P1-LG13         P12/P1-LG13         P12/P1-LG13           P113*         P12         P12         P12         P12/P1-LG13         P12/P1-LG13         P12/P1-LG13		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	ŝ	S	S	0	:	:					:	•		•			'	•				•	•			:	•	
CAYRM         Pig         PigPY-LG8         R         S         S         R         S	CAYRM         PI6         PI6/PI7-LG8         R           OEURM         PI5         PI5/PI8-LG13         R           XA*         PI4         nd         R           XT0*         PI17         PI17-LG8         S           GCXRM         PI17         PI17-LG4         R           Ha-335*         PI17         PI17-LG4         R           Ha-335*         PI2         PI17         PI17-LG3         R           RHA-274*         PI2         PI2         PI4         R           PIA-274*         PI2         PI2         PI4         R           PMI3*         PI2         PI2         PI4         R         PI2           PMI3*         PI2         PI2         PI2         PI2         PI2         PI2           PMI3*         PI2         PI2 <t< td=""><td></td><td>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</td><td></td><td>S</td><td>S</td><td>-</td><td>•</td><td>:</td><td></td><td>:</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>:</td><td>•</td><td>:</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>:</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td>:</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td>:</td><td>•</td><td></td></t<>		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		S	S	-	•	:		:	•		:	•	:	•		:	•	•			:	•	•			:	•	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OEURM         PI5         PI5/PI8-LG13         R           XA*         PI4         nd         R           Y7Q*         PI6         PI6/PI7-LG8         S           GCXRM         PI17         PI17-LG4         R           TIMRM         P17         P17-LG4         R           Ha-335*         P17         P17-LG4         R           Ha-335*         P16         P16/P7-LG8         R           P13-325*         P17         P17-LG4         R           Ha-335*         P16         P16         P16/P7-LG8         R           P13-335*         P12         P11/P12-LG8         R         P12           P14-274*         P12         P12/P1-LG13         P12         P12           PNI3*         P12         P12/P1-LG13         P12         P12           PNI3*         P16         P12/P1-LG13         P12/P1-LG13         P12/P1-LG13         P12           PNI3*         P12         P12/P1-LG13         P12/P1-LG13         P12/P1-LG13         P12/P1-LG13           PNI3*         P11/P1         P12/P1-LG13         P12/P1-LG13         P12/P1-LG13         P12/P1-LG13           PNI3*         P11/P1         P12/P1         P12/P1-LG13<	<b></b>	<b></b>	S	8	œ	%i	•	:		:	•		:	•	:	•		:	•	•			:	•	•			:	•	
$ XA^{*} \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $	XA*         Pl4         nd         R           Y70*         Pl6         Pl6         Pl6/P17-LG8         S           Y70*         P117         P117-LG4         R         R           TIMRM         P117         P117-LG4         R         R           TMRM         P117         P117-LG4         R         R           Ha-335*         P16         Pl6/P17-LG8         R         R           Ha-335*         P12         P117/P12-LG8         R         R           PM3*         P12         P12/P1G8         R         P12/P1G8         R           PM13*         P12         P12/P1G8         R         P12/P1G8         R         P12/P1G13         P12/P12/P13	ლ տ <b>ლ ლ ლ</b>	E S	S	8	œ	≯'	:	;		:	•		:	•		'			'	•				'	•				•	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Y7Q*         P/6         P/6/P/7-LG8         S           GCXRM         P/17         P/17/LG4         R           TMRM         P/17         P/17/LG4         R           TMRM         P/17         P/17/LG4         R           Ha-335*         P/17         P/17/LG4         R           Ha-335*         P/16         P/17/LG4         R           RHA-274*         P/17         P/17/LG8         R           P/13-124*         P/12         P/17/LG8         R           P/13-274*         P/12         P/11/P12-LG8         R           P/13*         P/12         P/11/P12-LG8         R           P/113*         P/12         P/11/P12-LG8         R           P/113*         P/12         P/11/P12-LG8         R           P/114*         P/12         P/11/P12-LG8         R           P/114*         P/12         P/11/P12-LG8         R           P/114*         P/11         P/11/P12-LG8         R           P/114*         P/11         P/11/P12-LG8         R           P/114*         P/11         P/11/P12-LG4         R           P/114*         P/11         P/11/P12-LG4         R <td< td=""><td>ა <b>ლ ლ ი</b></td><td>S</td><td>s S</td><td>S</td><td>S</td><td>2</td><td>:</td><td>;</td><td></td><td>;</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>:</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>'</td><td></td><td></td><td>'</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>;</td><td></td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td>:</td><td>•</td><td></td></td<>	ა <b>ლ ლ ი</b>	S	s S	S	S	2	:	;		;	•		:	•		'			'	•		;		•	•			:	•	
CXRM         P17         P17-LG4         R         R         R         S6 $\cdot \cdot $	GCXRM         P117         P117-LG4         R           TMRM         P117         P117-LG4         R           Ha-335*         P16         P16/P17-LG8         R           Ha-335*         P12         P117PLG4         R           RHA-274*         P12         P117PLG8         R           PI3         P12         P12-LG3         R           PM3*         P12         P12-LG3         R           PM3*         P122         P16_P103         R           PM3*         P122         P16_P103         R           PM3*         P121         P127-LG3         R           PM3*         P121         P16/P17-LG8         R           P117         P127-LG3         P127-LG13         P127-LG13           P117         P117         P127-LG3         R           P117         P113         P11/P12-LG8         R           P117         P113         P117/P12-LG3         R           P117         P113         P117/P12-LG3         R           P117         P113         P117/P12-LG4         R           P117         P113         P1117/P12-LG4         R	~ ~ 0		S	œ	œ	2	:	:		;	•		:		;	•			'	•		;	;	•	•		;		•	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TMRM         P117         P117-LG4         R           Ha-335*         P16         P16/P7-LG8         R           RHA-274*         P12, P121         P11/P12-LG8,         R           RHA-274*         P12, P121         P11/P12-LG8,         R           PI3*         P12         P12-LG13         P12           PM13*         P122         P19M3         P12           PM13*         P12         P12         P12/P12G8,         R           PM14*         P15         P12         P12/P12G8,         R           PM14*         P15, P12         P12/P12G8,         R           PM17*         P15, P13         P11/P12-LG8,         R           PM17*         P15, P13         P11/P12-LG8,         R	<u> </u>	R	ж В	8	œ	<b>%</b> ∣	•	:		:	•		:	•	:	•		:	•	•			:	•	•			:	•	
Ha-335*P6P6P7/LG8RSSR7·· <td>Ha-335*         PI6         PI6/PI7-LG8         R           RHA-274*         Pl2, Pl21         Pl1/Pl2-LG8,         R           RHA-274*         Pl2, Pl21         Pl1/Pl2-LG8,         R           PI3*         Pl2, Pl21         Pl13*         Pl21*LG13           PMI3*         Pl22         Pl9/Mi3         Pl23*LG8,         R           PNI3*         Pl22         Pl9/Mi3         Pl23*LG8,         R           PSS2RM*         Pl2         Pl3, Pl21         Pl3, Pl33         R           PM1*         Pl1, Pl13         Pl1/Pl2-LG8,         R         Pl3, Pl2-LG13         Pl37/6-LG1           PM17*         Pl5         Pl5         Pl37/6-LG1         Pl37/6-LG1         Pl37/6-LG1         Pl37/6-LG1</td> <td>٥</td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td> <td>œ</td> <td>œ</td> <td><b>%</b>∣</td> <td>:</td> <td>:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>:</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>'</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>'</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>'</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>:</td> <td>•</td> <td></td>	Ha-335*         PI6         PI6/PI7-LG8         R           RHA-274*         Pl2, Pl21         Pl1/Pl2-LG8,         R           RHA-274*         Pl2, Pl21         Pl1/Pl2-LG8,         R           PI3*         Pl2, Pl21         Pl13*         Pl21*LG13           PMI3*         Pl22         Pl9/Mi3         Pl23*LG8,         R           PNI3*         Pl22         Pl9/Mi3         Pl23*LG8,         R           PSS2RM*         Pl2         Pl3, Pl21         Pl3, Pl33         R           PM1*         Pl1, Pl13         Pl1/Pl2-LG8,         R         Pl3, Pl2-LG13         Pl37/6-LG1           PM17*         Pl5         Pl5         Pl37/6-LG1         Pl37/6-LG1         Pl37/6-LG1         Pl37/6-LG1	٥	8	8	œ	œ	<b>%</b> ∣	:	:					:	•		'			'					'	•			:	•	
$ HA-214^{*} HA-214^{$	RHA-274*         Pl2, Pl2 1         Pl1/Pl2-LG8,         R           PI3+LG13         Pl21-LG13         Pl21-LG13         R           PMI3*         Pl22         Plpm13         R         R           PSS2RM*         Pl6, Pl21         Pl6/Pl7-LG8,         R         R           PI3*         Pl6, Pl21         Pl6/Pl7-LG8,         R         Pl6/Pl7-LG8,         R           Pl6, Pl21         Pl6, Pl21         Pl6/Pl7-LG8,         R         Pl6/Pl7-LG8,         R           Pl13*         Pl13         Pl17Pl2-LG8,         R         Pl13*/6-LG1         Pl13*/6-LG1 <td></td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td>8</td> <td>œ</td> <td>7</td> <td>•</td> <td>:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>:</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>'</td> <td></td>		S	S	8	œ	7	•	:			•		:	•		•			•	•				•	•				'	
PMI3*       PP22 = P_{PMI3}       PMI3*       P	PI21-LG30 PI21-LG30 PI21-LG30 PI21-LG30 PI21-LG30 PI21-LG30 PI17, PI13 PI13/FLG1 PI17+ PI5-PI8-LG3 PI5-PI8-LG3 PI5-PI8-LG3 PI5-PI8-LG3 PI5-PI8-LG3 PI5-PI8-LG3 PI5-PI8-LG3 PI5-PI8-LG3 PI5-PI8-LG3 PI5-PI8-LG3 PI5-PI8-LG3 PI5-PI8-LG3 PI5-PI8-LG3 PI6-PI8-LG3 PI6-PI8-LG3 PI6-PI8-LG3 PI6-PI8-LG3 PI6-PI8-LG3 PI6-PI8-LG3 PI6-PI8-LG3 PI6-PI8-LG3 PI6-PI8-LG3 PI6-PI8-LG3 PI6-PI8-LG3 PI6-PI8-LG3 PI8-PI8-LG3 PI8-PI8-LG3 PI8-PI8-LG3 PI8-PI8-LG3 PI8-PI8-PI8-PI8-PI8-PI8-PI8-PI8-PI8-PI8-	8	a a	a ~	U	v	~																								
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	PMI3*         Pl22         PImma         R           PSS2RM*         PI6, Pl21         PI6, Pl21         R           PSS2RM*         PI6, Pl21         PI6, Pl23         R           QHP1*         PI1, PI13         PI1, Pl24         R           PM17*         PI5, Pl34         R         PI37, Pl24         R           PM17*         PI5, Pl34         PI37, Pl34         R         PI37, Pl34         R	:	:		)	)	•																								
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	PISS2RM*         PI6, PI21         PI6/PI7.LG8, R         PI           PI21-LG13         PI21-LG13         PI17/PI2.LG8, R         PI           QHP1*         PI1, PI13         PI13/16-LG1, R         PI           PI17*         PI5         PI5/PI8.LG13         R	~	8	S	S	œ	6	+	:			•		:	•	;	•			'	•			1	•	•				•	
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	OHP1*         PI1, PI13         PI1/PI2-LG3, R           PM17*         PI1, PI13         PI1/PI2-LG3, R           PM17*         PI5         PI5/PI3/6-LG1           PM17*         PI5         PI5/PI3/6-LG1	8	æ	s S	œ	œ	10	•	:		:	•		:	•		•		:		•			:	•	•			:	•	
UHM1* $P13/PLLGS$ $H$	UHP1* P11, P113 P11/P12-LG8, K P113/6-LG1 PM17* P15, P15/P8-LG1 NII 161-R P15 P15	1			1	¢	ę																								
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	PM17* PI5 PI5/PB/LG13 R NII1161-P PI5 PI5/PB/LG13 E	×	*	*	¥	S	13		:		:	•		:	•		•				•			:	•	•		:	:	•	
NIL161-R PI5 PI5/PI8-LG13 R R R S R R 14 $\cdot$ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		~	8	s S	œ	œ	13	:	:					:											•				:	•	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		~	8	s S	œ	œ	14		;		:			, -+			'			'					'				:	•	
RHA.419*       Plare	803-1* PI5+ PI5/PI8-LG13 R	~	8	8	œ	8	16	+	:					+	•		'	+		'					'	•			:	•	
broad-spectrum resist germplasm defined and the second spectrum resist germplasm defined and the second and the second spectra second and the second second and the second second and the second secon	RHA-419* Pl <sub>Arg</sub> Pl <sub>Arg</sub> -LG1 R	8	8	В.	æ	œ	17	•	:		:	•		:	•		•				•				•	•					
HASE nd nd <b>R R R R R 17 +</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	broad-spectrum resist germplasm																														
HAS85 nd nd R R R R R 17 + · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	HAS6 nd nd R	~	8	8	œ	œ	17	+	;		:			+	•		'			'					'					•	
	HAS85 nd nd R	8	8	В.	œ	œ	17	+	:		:	•	+	+	•		•			•	•			:	•	•					

(Dangl et al., 2013). We started our analyses from a comprehensive network analysis of P. halstedii RXLR effectors. We searched for connected groups sharing uncharacterized domains, especially when no clue is given by the sequence itself, as is generally the case for oomycete RXLRs, and true for 250 P. halstedii RXLR having no Interpro domain. This approach led us to find groups of connected effectors sharing still unknown functional domains. This should also help to understand evolution of an effector family towards acquisition of new allelic variants that may overcome plant resistance. For example, the five effector genes of CC13 were physically close on the same genomic scaffold and probably resulted from duplication events (Gascuel et al., 2016a), and were combinations of two protein domains (Figure S8). Their subcellular localizations were different: PhRXLR-C10 showed nuclear and nucleolar localization similar to the polymorphic effector of the same CC (s082 g26441) previously known as PhRXLR02 (Gascuel et al., 2016b), while both PhRXLR-C09 and -C11 were targeted to the plasma membrane and nucleus (but not to the nucleolus). The fact that these related effectors are recognized by different resistances in sunflower and are localized differently might reflect subfunctionalization events in order to create variant forms of effectors, escaping plant recognition.

A large group of 205 core RXLR effectors was revealed in *P. halstedii*. This is probably due to the recent evolution of pathotypes that appeared over only 40 years and to a predominant reproduction by homothallic selfing, causing reduced genetic variation and increased linkage disequilibrium compared with heterothallic oomycete species (Ahmed *et al.*, 2012; Gascuel *et al.*, 2015). In a previous study on seven *P. halstedii* pathotypes, we estimated the percentage of polymorphic genes encoding 54 RXLR and CRN effectors twice as high as for randomly selected noneffector genes (Gascuel *et al.*, 2016a), suggesting a bias towards polymorphism in effector genes.

RXLRs containing the conserved  $\alpha$ -helical WY-domain fold, are restricted to Peronosporales (Win *et al.*, 2012), *Phytophthora* species possessed up to 44%, and *Hyaloperonospora* 34%. In *P. halstedii* we estimated these at 18–23%, if we include those not predicted by the RXLR workflow. It should be noted that none of the five HR-inducing *P. halstedii* RXLR effectors displayed WY-domain folds.

In oomycetes, the notion of core effectors is recent and not precisely documented, probably because of the lack of pathotype sequences (Cooke *et al.*, 2012), in contrast with plant bacterial pathogens. For example, *Ralstonia solanacearum* strains, the agent of plant bacterial wilt, typically possess 60–75 effectors including 32 core effectors present in 10 strains, presumably present in the *R. solanacearum* ancestor before phylotype divergence (Deslandes and Genin, 2014), this could also be the case for *P. halstedii*  core effectors. For pathogens with many invariant effectors, an additional feature to define a 'core effector' could be the expression in all pathotypes.

An original set of 30 RXLR core effectors was studied functionally, including 10 effectors absent of the former P. halstedii proteome (Sharma et al., 2015), but for six of which we provide functional data. Eighteen effectors in total showed a PTI suppression effect, but we have to be cautious because of possible overestimated rates. Effectors triggering HR have suppression rates higher than 45%, PhRXLR-C01 being over 90%, suggesting that they also play a role in pathogen virulence. The 18 INF1-suppressors showed either a nuclear localization in sunflower (16 effectors, including the five HR-triggers) or in Golgi bodies (two effectors); conversely the effectors localized in plasma membrane, endoplasmic reticulum, or chloroplasts did not suppress INF1-induced PTI, suggesting that they could have another function in pathogenicity, impairing plant cell metabolism and secretion via the endomembrane system and increasing pathogen fitness.

The unprecedented localization of two RXLR effectors in close structures connected to chloroplasts reinforces the central role of plastids in early plant defense (Caplan *et al.*, 2015; Zabala *et al.*, 2015). *Arabidopsis thaliana* chloroplasts were targeted with bacterial effectors of *Pseudomonas syringae* (Zabala *et al.*, 2015). Chloroplast stromules were shown to be induced in effector-triggered immunity (ETI) in *A. thaliana* and *N. benthamiana* in response to bacterial and viral effectors, but not during PTI (Caplan *et al.*, 2015). The two chloroplastic *P. halstedii* RXLRs were early induced and did not suppress PTI. Similar to the effect of bacterial effectors, they might prevent a chloroplastic reactive oxygen burst, blocking plant immunity (Zabala *et al.*, 2015).

Although all *P. halstedii* pathotypes possess the set of core effector genes, some of these still trigger susceptibility in plants having a matching *R* gene. Plant susceptibility could be explained by either lack of expression of the effector, or by suppression of its function by another effector present in these pathotypes. RXLRs of the oomycete *Ph. sojae* were shown to interact functionally: early-expressed effectors suppress the cell death triggered by later ones (Wang *et al.*, 2011). As PhRXLR-C01 is a late effector in *P. halstedii*, it is possible that pathotypes triggering plant susceptibility express RXLRs able to suppress the action of PhRXLR-C01, thereby preventing resistance.

PhRXLR-C01 effector triggered HR in sunflower lines carrying different R genes. In the guard model (Jones and Dangl, 2006) the recognition of the effector (considered as avirulence protein) often occurs through the targeting of a guardee protein, which is guarded by the so-called R guard protein responsible for resistance activation. A guardee protein targeted by PhRXLR-C01 could be present in

© 2018 The Authors.

The Plant Journal published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Experimental Biology.,

The Plant Journal, (2019), doi: 10.1111/tpj.14157



**Figure 6.** The *Pl22* downy mildew resistance gene and recognition of the core effector PhRXLR-C01 co-segregate on chromosome 13 of sunflower.(a) Thirty-five *Pl22* resistant (left graph) and 35 susceptible (right graph) F3 progenies of the cross PMI3 (*Pl22*)  $\times$  GH (susceptible) were tested by agroinfiltration with the core RXLR effector PhRXLR-C01 and with the empty vector as control. The infiltrated leaf sectors were scored at 4, 8, and 12 days post infiltration using a scoring necrotic scale from 0 (no visible effect) to 5 (confluent HR) (Gascuel *et al.*, 2016b). Error bars represent means  $\pm$  SD (two independent biological replicates, each performed on two leaves of 12 plants). Pictures of corresponding infiltrate leaves with PhRXLR-C01 are shown below each graph.(b) Genetic (yellow) and physical (blue) maps of the *Pl22* resistance gene on chromosome 13, obtained with PMI3  $\times$  GH (left map) and with PMI3  $\times$  Idaho (right map) crosses, only the non-redundant SNP markers are indicated. The central panel is an enlarged view of the physical map showing all the genetically redundant but physically distant markers for both crosses. The chromosomal region in red containing *Pl22* is defined by the overlap between both maps. The polymorphic SNP markers of the sunflower AXIOM array denominated AX-xxxxxxxx are indicated with their genetic and physical positions on the sunflower XRQ genomic sequence (Badouin *et al.*, 2017).

different sunflower lines, but resistance would be activated only in the presence of matching R guards encoded by the *PI* genes. For PI22 resistance in PMI3, a single R protein might be involved, comparable with *Arabidopsis thaliana*  RPP1 R protein that cumulates two functions: the recognition of the oomycete *H. arabidopsidis* ATR1 effector protein and the activation of resistance signaling (Rehmany *et al.*, 2005; Jones *et al.*, 2016). We showed that HR triggered by PhRXLRC01 core effector co-segregated with resistance controlled by the *Pl22* gene. This is not always the case as reported for *Bremia lactucae* RXLR effectors tested in the nonhost lettuce *Lactuca saligna* (Giesbers *et al.*, 2017). To our knowledge, no other avirulence/resistance gene couple such as *PhRXLRC01/Pl22* has been identified in sunflower before.

The core effector recognition screening turns out to be a successful strategy to accelerate and diversify resistance gene identification in plant germplasm in a complex crop genome such as sunflower. Screening larger populations with the HR-inducing effectors should ultimately define genetically a few candidate R genes, that could be functionally characterized in a transient assay involving the effector. Transient assays of cloned effectors in plants are much easier to perform than long inoculation tests with a living obligate biotrophic oomycete in guarantine conditions. Broad-spectrum resistance, the molecular basis of which is still largely unknown, is likely to be more sustainable than resistance effective against only a few pathotypes that has been overcome in the past. The identification of such resistances is an important challenge in all crops (Dangl et al., 2013) to decrease the use of pesticides. In addition, compared with resistance gene pyramiding used until now, which may have some negative effects, it may be a more sustainable and economically acceptable strategy to maintain crop yield.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

#### P. halstedii pathotypes and sunflower lines

Sporangia and spores of the 17 reference *P. halstedii* pathotypes (100, 300, 304–10, 304–30, 307, 314, 330, 334, 700, 703, 704, 707, 710, 714, 717, 730, 774) were collected from the susceptible sunflower variety Peredovik and their pathotypes checked on differential sunflower lines (Gascuel *et al.*, 2015). All pathotypes except 330 were collected in France (of which 11 are present in North America), and pathotype 330 absent from France was collected from North America. All sunflower lines used are described in Table 2 and Table S10 and grown as described in Gascuel *et al.* (2016b).

#### Genome assembly of P. halstedii pathotype 710

Paired-end and mate-pair libraries (Table S1) made from pathotype 710 spore DNA were sequenced at the GeT-PlaGe facility (Toulouse, France) and by Eurofins MWG Operon (Ebersberg, Germany). Paired-end reads were cleaned and transformed into virtual long reads using boost-r (http://lipm-bioinfo.toulouse. inra.fr/download/boost-r), and then assembled into contigs using Celera assembler. After removal of contigs included in longer contigs, scaffolding of contigs using mate-pair read information was carried out with LYNX scaffolder (http://lipmbioinfo.toulouse.inra.fr/download/lynx). The final assembly contains 745 scaffolds spanning 67.6 Mb (N50 of 496 278 bp and 3% of 'N'). Genome annotation was performed with EuGene (Figure S1).

#### Assessment of the taxonomic origin of sequence data and assembly contigs

Raw Illumina reads were first analysed on the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) web site (Table S11). Here, 2.3% of the pairedend reads (SRR7441834) appeared to be related to Gallus gallus reads and 0.79% to Helianthus annuus. GC percent, taxonomy relationships, Illumina reads coverage, intersection with RNA-seq polyA data, and similarity with Plasmopara public data were computed for the 802 scaffolds of the raw assembly (Table S12). An integrated expert analysis of the results allowed the classification of 182 short contigs as the probable contaminant contigs (minimum length: 1003; maximum length: 4106 nt; total nucleotides: 277 055). Seven contigs were classified as 'dubious' for 34 260 nucleotides. The remaining 613 contigs (67 404 527 nucleotides in total) present features expected for Plasmopara halstedii. During the genome submission to NCBI, 57 scaffolds were detected as contaminations (86 067 nucleotides) and were removed in the public version of the genome (745 scaffolds). Only 48 scaffolds were detected as contamination by both protocols, illustrating the difficulty of the analysis.

## Identification of *P. halstedii* RXLR effectors and WY-fold containing proteins in *P. halstedii* genome 710 and their corresponding genomic sequences in 17 pathotypes

The secretome of the P. halstedii pathotype 710 was defined from the 36 972 predicted proteins in the genome described above. Signal peptides (SP) were predicted using three versions of SignalP (V2.0, 3.0 and 4.1) using default settings (Nielsen, 2017). RXLR predictions were performed using BlastP, HMM and heuristic approaches (Bhattacharjee et al., 2006; Whisson et al., 2007; Win et al., 2012) with a workflow for RXLR detection developed on the Galaxy web platform (Cock and Pritchard, 2014). BlastP was made against an RXLR reference dataset and only hits with an E-value <10<sup>-5</sup> were retained. This reference dataset consisted of 2663 proteins described as (putative) RXLR in 14 oomycetes and fungi species (Table S13). Proteins containing WY folds were searched in the whole proteome using HMMER3 and an HMM model previously described (Boutemy et al., 2011). In total, 131 proteins containing 1-28 WY domains were found, among these, 86 had a SP prediction and 65 were present in the 354 RXLR list including two conserved cloned RXLRs. The 16 other pathotypes of P. halstedii were sequenced by Illumina technology (100 X), reads were mapped on the 710 genome assembly and RXLR polymorphism determined (Figures S1 and S3, Table S4).

### Protein clustering of *P. halstedii* putative RXLRs based on shared Mkdom2 domains

We performed a systematic analysis of protein domains on the 354 predicted RXLR proteins from pathotype 710 on their processed form, meaning that it is devoid of their signal peptide (Table S4), using Mkdom2 (Gouzy *et al.*, 1999). A network was built from the Mkdom domains shared by proteins in the form of a bipartite graph where there are two types of nodes: domains and proteins. There is an edge between a domain and a protein if the domain has been identified in the protein. Domains belonging to only one protein were discarded.

Graphical representation of the network and mapping of metadata on the nodes was performed with Cytoscape 3.5 (Shannon *et al.*, 2003). The web version of the network was first created with the web exporter of Cytoscape (Ono *et al.*, 2014) and tuned for our purpose. It uses the JavaScript library Cytoscape.js (Franz *et al.*,

2016) for rendering the network. Interpro domains were identified with InterproScan 5 (Jones *et al.*, 2014). Web graphical representation of the Mkdom and Interpro domains has been performed thanks to the neXtProt feature viewer Biojs package (Gomez *et al.*, 2013).

#### **RNA-seq experiments**

RNA extractions were performed as previously described (Gascuel et al., 2016b). RNA-seq libraries were prepared at the GeT-PlaGe facility (Toulouse, France) from mRNA selected using poly-T beads, according to the Illumina TruSeq Stranded mRNA sample prep kit protocols. RNA-seg read pairs were mapped on P. halstedii 710 genome using the glint software with parameters set as follows: matches ≥50 nucleotides, ≤4 mismatches, no gap allowed, only best-scoring hits taken into account (Table S6). Ambiguous matches (same best score) were removed. Statistical treatment of the mapped reads was carried out with under [R] environment using the DESeq package (Love et al., 2014). Independent filtering and outlier detection methods proposed by the package were used. Genes presenting a false-discovery rate (FDR)-corrected P-value ≤0.05 were considered as differentially expressed between two given conditions. The overwhelming number of sunflower reads in the samples collected at the beginning of infection by P. halstedii (24 hpi) compared with the P. halstedii ones implies to consider results on differential expression with caution (Table S6).

### RT-qPCR experiments and cloning of the 30 conserved RXLR effectors

RT-qPCR experiments were performed from three biological replicates as described previously (Gascuel *et al.*, 2016b). Pearson correlations between expression patterns were calculated with a threshold of 0.9. cDNA from *P. halstedii* pathotype 710 spores was used as a matrix to amplify the core effectors, with Phusion High-Fidelity *Taq* DNA polymerase. Primers were designed with Primer3 software on the coding sequence of each gene with its predicted signal peptide deleted (Table S14). PCR products were cloned in a pBin19-35S-YFP-GW Gateway expression vector (denominated as empty vector) to create fusion proteins under the control of the 35S promoter with YFP in N-terminal part of the protein (Gascuel *et al.*, 2016b).

#### Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression experiments, subcellular localization of effector candidates and infestin cell death suppression assays

Transient expression of YFP-PhRXLR constructs were performed as previously described (Gascuel *et al.*, 2016b), with an OD<sub>600</sub> of 1. Similar procedures were used for suppression assays in *N. benthamiana*, except, for expression of AVR3a (construct pGR106-AVR3a<sup>K1</sup>; Bos *et al.*, 2006) or YFP, the final OD<sub>600</sub> of recombinant *A. tumefaciens* strains was 1 in induction buffer. Infiltration sites were challenged 1 day after infiltration with recombinant *A. tumefaciens* carrying p35-INF1 (construct pCB302-1 INF1; Bos *et al.*, 2006) at a final OD<sub>600</sub> of 1 in induction buffer. All suspensions were incubated for 4 h prior to infiltration. Symptom development was monitored from 3 to 8 days after infiltration (pictures taken at 5 dai).The level of suppression was estimated by an average percentage calculated on all infiltrated leaves (>30).

#### **Confocal analyses**

Confocal analyses were performed as described (Gascuel et al., 2016b) either in *N. benthamiana*, or in sunflower lines CAY and

Peredovik. For colocalization studies, *N*-acetyl glucosaminyltransferase I (GntI) a Golgi-resident type–II membrane protein that accumulates in Golgi bodies was used (Essl *et al.*, 1999). SV40-RFP was used as a specific nuclear marker (Goldfarb *et al.*, 1986), and *A. thaliana* aquaporin named gamma-TIP was used as a tonoplast marker (Saito *et al.*, 2002). Calcofluor was used to stain cell walls, and chloroplast autofluorescence was visualized upon YFP excitation.

#### HR phenotype scoring

Phenotypes of infiltrated sectors were scored at 4, 8 and 12 days post agroinfiltration on a minimum of 12 plants (24 leaf sectors) per genotype, repeated twice, using a cell death index scale (Gascuel *et al.*, 2016b).

### Population segregation tests and genetic mapping protocol

Downy mildew tests performed on F3 progenies (PMI3  $\times$  GH) and (PMI3  $\times$  Idaho) were performed with races 703 and 710, respectively, and indicated segregation for single dominant genes in PMI3 against pathotype 703 (Pl22) and in Idaho against pathotype 710 (PI17): PMI3 × GH: 58 homozygous resistant: 121 segregation: 66 homozygous susceptible ( $X^2$ : 0.559 nsec), PMI3 × Idaho: 51 homozygous resistant : 81 segregation: 45 homozygous susceptible ( $X^2$ : 1.395 nsec). The gene in Idaho is no doubt Pl17 mapped on chromosome 4 (Qi et al., 2015), as the line used, HA458, is derived from the same origin as Idaho and both resist to all virulent pathotypes identified in France and in Northern America. In this cross, segregation for the gene in PMI3 could not be studied as Idaho is also resistant to pathotype 703. Tests with PhRXLR-C01 were performed on 48 homozygous-resistant and 49 homozygous-susceptible F3 progenies of the PMI3  $\times$  GH and 70 (12 homozygous HR+, 27 homozygous HR- and 31 segregating HR+/HR-) of PMI3  $\times$  Idaho (12 plants tested by F3). For genotyping, each F3 family was grown in a field nursery (eight plants/family), and DNA was extracted from eight leaf discs, one per plant. DNA extractions and genotyping experiments on AXIOM sunflower genotyping array were performed and analysed as described (Mangin et al., 2017). Genetic mapping was performed with CarthaGene (de Givry et al., 2005) using the following parameters: Haldane metrics, LOD score threshold of 3, and genetic distance of 0.3 (Tables S15, S16).

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

Genome assembly and annotation data of the *P. halstedii* pathotype 710 have been deposited in DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under accession number PDFJ00000000.1 and are available at https://doi.org/10.15454/1.5083166732793875e12. Illumina raw data of genome and RNA-seq reads have been deposited in the SRA under study accession number SRP151444 (Tables S1, S6).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank CRB sunflower for providing seeds, Nicolas Blanchet, Marie-Claude Boniface, Nicolas Langlade, Joanna Lledo, Anaïs Maistre, Stéphane Muños, Patrick Vincourt (LIPM, Castanet-Tolosan, France). They thank Quentin Gascuel, Amandine Bordat (LIPM, Castanet-Tolosan, France), and Céline Jeziorski (GeT-PlaGe, Castanet-Tolosan, France) for preparing DNA and RNA samples

and the GENTYANE platform (Clermont-Ferrand, France) for genotyping experiments. They acknowledge Sophien Kamoun (The Sainsbury Laboratory, Norwich, United Kingdom) for the AVR3a and INF1 constructs. They thank Pere Mestre (INRA Colmar, France) and Veronique Lefebvre (INRA Avignon, France) for stimulating discussions.

#### **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

YP, LB, and CP did most experiments, with contributions from FV and LG. YP, LB and LG analysed the data and YP did most figures with contributions of LB. LL and JG performed the genome assembly and annotations, and the mapping of RNA-seq reads. MM and LC with advice of JG performed the RXLR network. OB was involved in the Illumina sequencing of the *P. halstedii* genomes and of RNAseq reads. DR analysed the RNA-seq data. FV produced and phenotyped sunflower lines and F3 progenies. FV, YP and JG contributed to the manuscript. LG conceived the project and the research plans and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

**Figure S1**. *Plasmopara halstedii* RXLR selection workflow and RXLR number detected by the different methods used.

Figure S2. Comparisons of *P. halstedii* genome assemblies with *Plasmopara viticola* genome assemblies.

Figure S3. Online version of *P. halstedii* RXLR effector network\* or pdf screenshots of the network images.\*https://ianttoulouseinrafr/ EFFECTOORES/webapp/data/clustering/#/

**Figure S4.** Expression analysis by RT-qPCR of 30 *P. halstedii* core RXLR effectors in spores and during sunflower infection.

Figure S5. Subcellular localizations of the 30 *P. halstedii* core RXLR effectors in sunflower cells.

Figure S6. Colocalization studies of YFP-PhRXLR core effector constructs with RFP-tagged markers.

Figure S7. Recognition of *P. halstedii* RXLR core effectors in resistant sunflower lines.

Figure S8. Subfunctionalisation of the *P. halstedii* RXLR family of Connected Component 13.

 
 Table S1. Statistics, description and SRA accession numbers of raw genomic data of *P. halstedii* pathotype 710.

 Table S2. P. halstedii 710 genome completeness analyses performed by BUSCO 3.0.2.

Table S3. Best reciprocal hit Blast between the predicted proteins from *P. halstedii* 710 and from *P. halstedii* OS-Ph8-99-BIA4 (Sharma *et al.*, 2015).

Table S4. Description of the 354 P halstedii RXLR effectors.

Table S5. Mkdom domains and Interpro hits for the 354 *P. halste- dii* RXLRs.

Table S6. Statistics, description and SRA accession numbers of raw RNA-seq data.

**Table S7.** RNA-seq results for the genes of *P halstedii* pathotype710 upon sunflower infection.

 Table S8.
 BlastP
 best
 hits
 in
 oomycete
 species
 of
 the
 30

P. halstedii core RXLR effectors.

 Table S9.
 Sunflower candidate genes for Pl22 resistance gene expressed upon infection by P. halstedii.

Table S10. Sunflower lines used in this study.

 Table S11. Taxonomy analysis of the P. halstedii 710 sequenced reads.

Table S12. General features of P. halstedii 710 genomic scaffolds.

 Table S13. List of oomycetes and fungi used to construct the reference dataset of RXLRs for BlastP analyses.

Table S14. Primer sequences used for cloning and RT-qPCR experiments.

 Table S15. Mapping data file of PMI3xGH F3 progenies used for genotyping PI22 resistance.

 Table S16.
 Mapping data file of PMI3xIDAHO F3 progenies used for genotyping PI22 resistance.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmed, S., de Labrouhe, D.T. and Delmotte, F. (2012) Emerging virulence arising from hybridisation facilitated by multiple introductions of the sunflower downy mildew pathogen *Plasmopara halstedii. Fungal Genet. Biol.* 49, 847–855.
- Andersson, M.X., Goksor, M. and Sandelius, A.S. (2007) Membrane contact sites: physical attachment between chloroplasts and endoplasmic reticulum revealed by optical manipulation. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 2, 185–187.
- As-sadi, F., Carrere, S., Gascuel, Q. et al. (2011) Transcriptomic analysis of the interaction between *Helianthus annuus* and its obligate parasite *Plasmopara halstedii* shows single nucleotide polymorphisms in CRN sequences. *BMC Genom.* 12, 498.
- Bachlava, E., Radwan, O.E., Abratti, G., Tang, S.X., Gao, W.X., Heesacker, A.F., Bazzalo, M.E., Zambelli, A., Leon, A.J. and Knapp, S.J. (2011) Downy mildew (Pl (8) and Pl (14)) and rust (R (Adv)) resistance genes reside in close proximity to tandemly duplicated clusters of non-TIR-like NBS-LRR-encoding genes on sunflower chromosomes 1 and 13. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **122**, 1211–1221.
- Badouin, H., Gouzy, J., Grassa, C.J. et al. (2017) The sunflower genome provides insights into oil metabolism, flowering and Asterid evolution. Nature, 546, 148.
- Bhattacharjee, S., Hiller, N.L., Liolios, K., Win, J., Kanneganti, T.D., Young, C., Kamoun, S. and Haldar, K. (2006) The malarial host-targeting signal is conserved in the Irish potato famine pathogen. *PLoS Pathog.* 2, 453–465.
- Bos, J.I.B., Kanneganti, T.-D., Young, C., Cakir, C., Huitema, E., Win, J., Armstrong, M.R., Birch, P.R.J. and Kamoun, S. (2006) The C-terminal half of Phytophthora infestans RXLR effector AVR3a is sufficient to trigger R3amediated hypersensitivity and suppress INF1-induced cell death in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. Plant J. 48, 165–176.
- Boutemy, L.S., King, S.R.F., Win, J., Hughes, R.K., Clarke, T.A., Blumenschein, T.M.A., Kamoun, S. and Banfield, M.J. (2011) Structures of Phytophthora RXLR effector proteins \_ A conserved but adaptable fold underpins functional diversity. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 35834–35842.
- Burrus, M., Molinier, J., Himber, C., Hunold, R., Bronner, R., Rousselin, P. and Hahne, G. (1996) Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L) shoot apices: transformation patterns. *Mol. Breeding* 2, 329–338.
- Caplan, J.L., Kumar, A.S., Park, E., Padmanabhan, M.S., Hoban, K., Modla, S., Czymmek, K. and Dinesh-Kumar, S.P. (2015) Chloroplast stromules function during innate immunity. *Dev. Cell* 34, 45–57.
- Cock, P.J.A. and Pritchard, L. (2014) Galaxy as a platform for identifying candidate pathogen effectors. In *Plant-Pathogen Interactions: Methods and Protocols*, 2nd Edition (Birch, P., Jones, J.T. and Bos, J.I.B., eds). Totowa: Humana Press Inc, pp. 3–15.
- Cooke, D.E.L., Cano, L.M., Raffaele, S. et al. (2012) Genome analyses of an aggressive and invasive lineage of the Irish potato famine pathogen. PLoS Pathog. 8, e1002940.
- Dangl, J.L., Horvath, D.M. and Staskawicz, B.J. (2013) Pivoting the plant immune system from dissection to deployment. *Science* 341, 746–751.
- **Deslandes, L. and Genin, S.** (2014) Opening the *Ralstonia solanacearum* type III effector tool box: insights into host cell subversion mechanisms. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **20**, 110–117.

© 2018 The Authors.

- Dussert, Y., Gouzy, J., Richart-Cervera, S., Mazet, I.D., Delière, L., Couture, C., Legrand, L., Piron, M.-C., Mestre, P. and Delmotte, F. (2016) Draft genome sequence of *Plasmopara viticola*, the grapevine downy mildew pathogen. *Genome Announc.* 4, e00987–16.
- Dussert, Y., Mazet, I.D., Couture, C., Gouzy, J., Piron, M.-C., Rispe, C., Mestre, P. and Delmotte, F. (2018) A high-quality grapevine downy mildew genome assembly reveals rapidly evolving and lineage-specific putative host adaptation genes. *bioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/350041.
- Essl, D., Dirnberger, D., Gomord, V., Strasser, R., Faye, L., Glossl, J. and Steinkellner, H. (1999) The N-terminal 77 amino acids from tobacco N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase I are sufficient to retain a reporter protein in the Golgi apparatus of *Nicotiana benthamiana* cells. *FEBS Lett.* 453, 169–173.
- Fawke, S., Doumane, M. and Schornack, S. (2015) Oomycete interactions with plants: infection strategies and resistance principles. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 79, 263–280.
- Franchel, J., Bouzidi, M.F., Bronner, G., Vear, F., Nicolas, P. and Mouzeyar, S. (2013) Positional cloning of a candidate gene for resistance to the sunflower downy mildew, *Plasmopara halstedii* race 300. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 126, 359–367.
- Franz, M., Lopes, C.T., Huck, G., Dong, Y., Sumer, O. and Bader, G.D. (2016) Cytoscape.js: a graph theory library for visualisation and analysis. *Bioinformatics*, 32, 309–311.
- Gascuel, Q., Martinez, Y., Boniface, M.C., Vear, F., Pichon, M. and Godiard, L. (2015) The sunflower downy mildew pathogen *Plasmopara halstedii*. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 16, 109–122.
- Gascuel, Q., Bordat, A., Sallet, E., Pouilly, N., Carrere, S., Roux, F., Vincourt, P. and Godiard, L. (2016a) Effector polymorphisms of the sunflower downy mildew pathogen *Plasmopara halstedii* and their use to identify pathotypes from field isolates. *PLoS ONE*, **11**, e0148513.
- Gascuel, Q., Buendia, L., Pecrix, Y., Blanchet, N., Muños, S., Vear, F. and Godiard, L. (2016b) RXLR and CRN effectors from the sunflower downy mildew pathogen *Plasmopara halstedii* induce hypersensitive-like responses in resistant sunflower lines. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 1887.
- Giesbers, A.K.J., Pelgrom, A.J.E., Visser, R.G.F., Niks, R.E., Van den Ackerveken, G. and Jeuken, M.J.W. (2017) Effector-mediated discovery of a novel resistance gene against *Bremia lactucae* in a nonhost lettuce species. *New Phytol.*, 216, 915–926.
- de Givry, S., Bouchez, M., Chabrier, P., Milan, D. and Schiex, T. (2005) CARTHAGENE: multipopulation integrated genetic and radiation hybrid mapping. *Bioinformatics*, 21, 1703–1704.
- Goldfarb, D.S., Gariepy, J., Schoolnik, G. and Kornberg, R.D. (1986) Synthetic peptides as nuclear-localization signals. *Nature*, 322, 641– 644.
- Gomez, J., Garcia, L.J., Salazar, G.A. et al. (2013) BioJS: an open source JavaScript framework for biological data visualization. *Bioinformatics*, 29, 1103–1104.
- Gouzy, J., Corpet, F. and Kahn, D. (1999) Whole genome protein domain analysis using a new method for domain clustering. *Comput. Chem.* 23, 333–340.
- Jones, J.D.G. and Dangl, J.L. (2006) The plant immune system. Nature, 444, 323–329.
- Jones, P., Binns, D., Chang, H.Y. et al. (2014) InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics*, 30, 1236–1240.
- Jones, J.D.G., Vance, R.E. and Dangl, J.L. (2016) Intracellular innate immune surveillance devices in plants and animals. *Science*, 354, 6.
- Krzywinski, M., Schein, J., Birol, I., Connors, J., Gascoyne, R., Horsman, D., Jones, S.J. and Marra, M.A. (2009) Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. *Genome Res.*, **19**, 1639–1645.
- Love, M.I., Huber, W. and Anders, S. (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15, 550.
- Ma, G.J., Markell, S.G., Song, O.J. and Qi, L.L. (2017) Genotyping-bysequencing targeting of a novel downy mildew resistance gene PI (20) from wild *Helianthus argophyllus* for sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 130, 1519–1529.
- Mangin, B., Pouilly, N., Boniface, M.C., Langlade, N.B., Vincourt, P., Vear, F. and Muños, S. (2017) Molecular diversity of sunflower populations maintained as genetic resources is affected by multiplication processes and breeding for major traits. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 130, 1099–1112.

- Mestre, P., Carrere, S., Gouzy, J., Piron, M.C., de Labrouhe, D.T., Vincourt, P., Delmotte, F. and Godiard, L. (2016) Comparative analysis of expressed CRN and RXLR effectors from two Plasmopara species causing grapevine and sunflower downy mildew. *Plant Pathol.* 65, 767–781.
- Nielsen, H. (2017) Predicting secretory proteins with SignalP. Methods Mol. Biol. 1611, 59–73.
- Ono, K., Demchak, B. and Ideker, T. (2014) Cytoscape tools for the web age: D3.js and Cytoscape.js exporters. *F1000Research*, **3**, 143.
- Pais, M., Win, J., Yoshida, K., Etherington, G.J., Cano, L.M., Raffaele, S., Banfield, M.J., Jones, A., Kamoun, S. and Saunders, D.G.O. (2013) From pathogen genomes to host plant processes: the power of plant parasitic oomycetes. *Genome Biol.* 14, 10.
- Petre, B., Saunders, D.G.O., Sklenar, J., Lorrain, C., Krasileva, K.V., Win, J., Duplessis, S. and Kamoun, S. (2016) Heterologous expression screens in *Nicotiana benthamiana* identify a candidate effector of the wheat yellow rust pathogen that associates with processing bodies. *PLoS ONE*, **11**, 16.
- Qi, L.L., Long, Y.M., Jan, C.C., Ma, G.J. and Gulya, T.J. (2015) PI(17) is a novel gene independent of known downy mildew resistance genes in the cultivated sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 128, 757–767.
- Qi, L.L., Foley, M.E., Cai, X.W. and Gulya, T.J. (2016) Genetics and mapping of a novel downy mildew resistance gene, PI (18), introgressed from wild *Helianthus argophyllus* into cultivated sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 129, 741–752.
- Radwan, O., Bouzidi, M.F., Vear, F., Philippon, J., de Labrouhe, D.T., Nicolas, P. and Mouzeyar, S. (2003) Identification of non-TIR-NBS-LRR markers linked to the PI5/PI8 locus for resistance to downy mildew in sunflower. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **106**, 1438–1446.
- Radwan, O., Gandhi, S., Heesacker, A., Whitaker, B., Taylor, C., Plocik, A., Kesseli, R., Kozik, A., Michelmore, R.W. and Knapp, S.J. (2008) Genetic diversity and genomic distribution of homologs encoding NBS-LRR disease resistance proteins in sunflower. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 280, 111–125.
- Rehmany, A.P., Gordon, A., Rose, L.E., Allen, R.L., Armstrong, M.R., Whisson, S.C., Kamoun, S., Tyler, B.M., Birch, P.R.J. and Beynon, J.L. (2005) Differential recognition of highly divergent downy mildew avirulence gene alleles by RPP1 resistance genes from two Arabidopsis lines. *Plant Cell*, 17, 1839–1850.
- Saito, C., Ueda, T., Abe, H., Wada, Y., Kuroiwa, T., Hisada, A., Furuya, M. and Nakano, A. (2002) A complex and mobile structure forms a distinct subregion within the continuous vacuolar membrane in young cotyledons of Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 29, 245–255.
- Schornack, S., van Damme, M., Bozkurt, T.O., Cano, L.M., Smoker, M., Thines, M., Gaulin, E., Kamoun, S. and Huitema, E. (2010) Ancient class of translocated oomycete effectors targets the host nucleus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 107, 17421–17426.
- Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N.S., Wang, J.T., Ramage, D., Amin, N., Schwikowski, B. and Ideker, T. (2003) Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res.* 13, 2498–2504.
- Sharma, R., Xia, X.J., Cano, L.M. et al. (2015) Genome analyses of the sunflower pathogen Plasmopara halstedii provide insights into effector evolution in downy mildews and Phytophthora. BMC Genom. 16, 741.
- Slabaugh, M.B., Yu, J.K., Tang, S.X., Heesacker, A., Hu, X., Lu, G.H., Bidney, D., Han, F. and Knapp, S.J. (2003) Haplotyping and mapping a large cluster of downy mildew resistance gene candidates in sunflower using multilocus intron fragment length polymorphisms. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 1, 167–185.
- Vleeshouwers, V.G.A.A., Rietman, H., Krenek, P. et al. (2008) Effector genomics accelerates discovery and functional profiling of potato disease resistance and *Phytophthora infestans* avirulence genes. *PLoS ONE*, 3, e2875.
- Wang, Q., Han, C., Ferreira, A.O. et al. (2011) Transcriptional programming and functional interactions within the *Phytophthora sojae* RXLR effector repertoire. *Plant Cell*, 23, 2064–2086.
- Waterhouse, R.M., Seppey, M., Simão, F.A., Manni, M., Ioannidis, P., Klioutchnikov, G., Kriventseva, E.V. and Zdobnov, E.M. (2018) BUSCO applications from quality assessments to gene prediction and phylogenomics. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 543–548.
- Whisson, S.C., Boevink, P.C., Moleleki, L. et al. (2007) A translocation signal for delivery of oomycete effector proteins into host plant cells. *Nature*, 450, 115–119.

- Win, J., Krasileva, K.V., Kamoun, S., Shirasu, K., Staskawicz, B.J. and Banfield, M.J. (2012) Sequence divergent RXLR effectors share a structural fold conserved across plant pathogenic oomycete species. *PLoS Pathog.* 8, e1002400.
- Yin, L., An, Y.H., Qu, J.J., Li, X.L., Zhang, Y.L., Dry, I., Wu, H.J. and Lu, J. (2017) Genome sequence of *Plasmopara viticola* and insight into the pathogenic mechanism. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 46553.

- Zabala, M.D.T., Littlejohn, G., Jayaraman, S. *et al.* (2015) Chloroplasts play a central role in plant defence and are targeted by pathogen effectors. *Nat Plants* 1, 10.
- Zhang, Z.W., Ma, G.J., Zhao, J., Markell, S.G. and Qi, L.L. (2017) Discovery and introgression of the wild sunflower-derived novel downy mildew resistance gene PI (19) in confection sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **130**, 29–39.