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THE SHARP SQUARE FUNCTION ESTIMATE WITH MATRIX WEIGHT

TUOMAS HYTÖNEN, STEFANIE PETERMICHL, AND ALEXANDER VOLBERG

Abstract. We prove the matrix $A_2$ conjecture for the dyadic square function, that is, an estimate of the form

$$\|S\|_{L^2_I(w) \to L^2_I} \lesssim [W]_{A_2},$$

where the focus is on the sharp linear dependence on the matrix $A_2$ constant. Moreover, we give a mixed estimate in terms of $A_2$ and $A_\infty$ constants. Key is a sparse domination of a process inspired by the integrated form of the matrix–weighted square function.

1. Introduction

The theory of weights has drawn much attention in recent years. In the scalar–valued setting, we say that a non–negative locally integrable function is a dyadic $A_2$ weight iff

$$[w]_{A_2} = \sup_I \langle w \rangle_I \langle w^{-1} \rangle_I < \infty,$$

where the supremum runs over dyadic intervals and $\langle \cdot \rangle_I$ returns the average of a function over the interval $I$. It is classical that this is the necessary and sufficient condition for dyadic square function, maximal function, Hilbert transform and Calderón–Zygmund operators to be bounded on

$$L^2_R(w) = \left\{ f : \|f\|^2_{L^2(w)} = \int |f|^2 w < \infty \right\} .$$

Partly inspired by applications to PDE, but also interesting in their own right, the precise dependence on the $A_2$ characteristic for the different operators has been under intensive investigation – these questions became known as $A_2$ conjectures. Most such improved estimates came at the cost and benefit of an array of fantastic new ideas and techniques in harmonic analysis. The first such example was the sharp weighted estimate of the dyadic square function by Hukovic–Treil–Volberg [7] followed by the martingale multiplier by Wittwer [27], the Beurling operator by Petermichl–Volberg [23], the Hilbert and Riesz transforms by Petermichl [20] [22] and all Calderón–Zygmund operators by Hytönen [11]. Somewhat later it has been discovered that many of these estimates can be slightly improved by replacing a
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half power of these norm estimates by a half power of the smaller $A_\infty$ norm, the best estimate $C$ in the inequality

$$\langle M_I w \rangle_I \leq C \langle w \rangle_I,$$

where $M_I$ is the dyadic maximal function localized to $I$, see [9][12][16]. The field has since seen beautiful new proofs of these optimal results [17][14][10][15][25] and many extensions far beyond Calderón–Zygmund theory [8][2][5][18][1].

Inspired by applications to multivariate stationary stochastic processes, a theory of matrix weights was developed by Treil and Volberg [24], where the necessary and sufficient condition for boundedness of the Hilbert transform was found, the matrix $A_2$ characteristic. Aside from an early, excellent estimate for a natural maximal function in this setting by Christ–Goldberg [4][13], the optimal norm estimates for singular operators seemed out of reach. Some improvement was achieved in Bickel–Petermichl–Wick [3] with a new estimate for Hilbert and martingale transforms of $[W]^{3/2} A_2 \log(1 + [W] A_2)$. Recently, by Nazarov–Petermichl–Treil–Volberg [19] the logarithmic term was dropped and it was shown that for all Calderón–Zygmund operators there holds an estimate of the order $[W]^{3/2} A_2$.

In [3] a notable improvement to the dyadic square function estimates of [24][26] was given, namely

$$\|S_W\|_{L^2_{C^d}(W) \to L^2_{A_2}} \lesssim [W] A_2 \log(1 + [W] A_2).$$

The above estimate only features an extra logarithmic term as compared to the sharp, linear estimate in the scalar case [7]. Despite the advance on the matrix weighted Carleson lemma in [6], a natural tool for square function estimates in the scalar setting, the logarithmic term could not be removed. One of the many difficulties arising, stem from the non-commutativity and it seems that most convex functions are not matrix convex. In this paper, we remove the logarithmic term by other means and give the first sharp estimate of a singular operator in the matrix weighted setting:

$$\|S_W\|_{L^2_{C^d}(W) \to L^2_{A_2}} \lesssim [W] A_2.$$

This estimate is known to be optimal among all upper bounds of the form $\phi([W] A_2)$ even in the scalar setting. Allowing for a more general dependence on the weight $W$, we even show that

$$\|S_W\|_{L^2_{C^d}(W) \to L^2_{A_2}} \lesssim [W]^{1/2} A_2 [W^{-1}]^{1/2} A_\infty$$

using the matrix $A_\infty$ characteristic. This coincides with the mixed $A_2-A_\infty$ bound in the scalar case obtained in [16].

2. Notation

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the collection of dyadic subintervals of $J = [0,1]$. We call a $d \times d$ matrix–valued function $W$ a weight, if $W$ is entry–wise locally integrable and if $W(x)$ is positive semidefinite almost everywhere. One defines $L^2_{C^d}(W)$ to be the space of vector functions with

$$\|f\|_{L^2_{C^d}(W)}^2 = \int_J \|W^{1/2}(x)f(x)\|_{C^d}^2 dx = \int_J \langle W(x)f(x), f(x) \rangle_{C^d} dx < \infty.$$
The dyadic matrix Muckenhoupt $A_2$ condition is
\[
[W]_{A_2} = \sup_{I \in \mathcal{D}} \| (W)_{I}^{1/2} (W^{-1})_{I}^{1/2} \| < \infty,
\]
where we mean the operator norm. The dyadic matrix $A_{\infty}$ condition is
\[
[W]_{A_{\infty}} = \sup_{e \in \mathbb{C}^d} |W(e)|,
\]
where $W(x) = \langle W(x)e, e \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d}$. Let us recall the fact that $[W]_{A_{\infty}} \lesssim [W]_{A_2}$; see [19], Section 4.

Let $h_I = |I|^{-1/2} (\chi_{L^+} - \chi_{L^-})$ be the $L_2^R$ normalized Haar function and let with $\sigma_I = \pm 1$
\[
T_{\sigma}f(x) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}} \sigma_I (f, h_I) h_I(x),
\]
defined both on scalar–valued as well as vector–valued functions $f$. Recall that the dyadic square function for real–valued, mean zero functions $f$ is defined as
\[
S_f(x)^2 = \sum_I |(f, h_I)|^2 \chi_I(x)
\]
Its classical vector analog becomes the scalar–valued function
\[
S_f(x)^2 = \sum_I \| (f, h_I) \|^2 \chi_I(x)
\]
When working with matrix weights, it is customary to include the weight in the definition of the (scalar–valued) operator, such as done for example by Christ–Goldberg [4] for the maximal function
\[
M_W f(x) = \sup_{I : x \in I} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \| W^{1/2}(x) W^{-1/2}(y) f(y) \|_{\mathbb{C}^d} dy.
\]
Recall that $S_W : L^2_{\mathbb{C}^d}(W) \to L^2_{\mathbb{R}}$ is defined by (see [21])
\[
S_W f(x)^2 = \mathbb{E}(\| W(x) / 2 T_{\sigma} f(x) \|_{\mathbb{C}^d}^2),
\]
where $\mathbb{E}$ is the expectation over independent uniformly distributed random signs $\sigma_I = \pm 1$. One calculates
\[
\| S_W f \|_{L^2_{\mathbb{R}}}^2 = \int J \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{I, I'} \sigma_I \sigma_{I'} (h_I h_{I'})(x) \langle W(x)(f, h_I), (f, h_{I'}) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d} \right) dx
\]
\[
= \int J \sum_{I, I'} \mathbb{E}(\sigma_I \sigma_{I'}) (h_I h_{I'})(x) \langle W(x)(f, h_I), (f, h_{I'}) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d} dx
\]
\[
= \sum_I \langle (W)_I (f, h_I), (f, h_{I'}) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d}.
\]
The study of these sums was introduced by Volberg in [26]. Indeed, in the scalar setting, this square function $S_w$ is bounded into the unweighted $L^2$ if and only if the classical dyadic square function is bounded into the weighted $L^2(w)$:
\[
\| S_w f \|_{L^2_{\mathbb{R}}}^2 = \sum_I \langle w \rangle_I |(f, h_I)|^2 = \| S f \|_{L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(w)}^2.
\]
3. Results

Here is our main theorem.

**Theorem 1.**

\[ S_W : L^2_{c,\alpha}(W) \to L^2_R \]

has operator norm bounded by a constant multiple of

\[ [W]_{A_2}^{1/2} [W^{-1}]_{A_\infty}^{1/2} \lesssim [W]_{A_2}. \]

This estimate is sharp among all upper bounds of the form \( \phi([W]_{A_2}) \).

The previously known best estimate [3] was \( \|S_W\|_{L^2_{c,\alpha}(W) \to L^2_R} \lesssim [W]_{A_2} \log(1 + [W]_{A_2}) \). With a different method we drop the logarithmic term and improve the single power \( A_2 \) constant to split into a \( A_2 - A_{\infty} \) estimate.

A key to the proof is the following sparse domination result of independent interest. Recall that a collection of intervals \( S \subset \mathcal{D} \) is called sparse, if there are disjoint subsets \( E(I) \subset I \) for every \( I \in S \) such that \( |E(I)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|I| \).

**Proposition 1.** Given \( f \in L^2_{c,\alpha}(W) \), there exists a sparse collection \( S \subset \mathcal{D} \) such that

\[ \|S_W f\|_{L^2_R}^2 \lesssim \sum_{I \in S} \langle \langle (W)^{1/2} f \rangle_{c,\alpha} \rangle^2 |I| = \left\| \left( \sum_{I \in S} \langle \langle (W)^{1/2} f \rangle_{c,\alpha} \rangle^2 1_I \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2_R}. \]

**Proof of the Theorem assuming the Proposition.** Sharpness follows from the scalar case. Let us attend to the upper estimate \( \|S_W f\|_{L^2_R} \lesssim [W]_{A_2}^{1/2} [W^{-1}]_{A_\infty}^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2_{c,\alpha}(W)} \).

Substituting \( W^{-1/2} f \) in place of \( f \) and using the bound from the Proposition, we should show that

\[ \left\| \left( \sum_{I \in S} \langle \langle (W)^{1/2} W^{-1/2} f \rangle_{c,\alpha} \rangle^2 1_I \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2_R} \lesssim [W]_{A_2}^{1/2} [W^{-1}]_{A_\infty}^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2_{c,\alpha}}. \]

But the left hand side is the \( L^2_R \) norm of the sparse square function \( S_{3, W} f \) defined in [19], for which it was proved [19] that

\[ \|S_{3, W} f\|_{L^2_{c,\alpha}} \lesssim [W]_{A_2}^{1/2} [W^{-1}]_{A_\infty}^{1/2}. \]

And this is exactly the estimate we needed. \( \square \)

**Proof of the Proposition.** Consider the collection of first stopping intervals \( L \subset J \) determined by

\[ \| (W)^{1/2} (W)^{-1/2} \| > C_1 \]

or

\[ \sum_{I \in L} \| (W)^{1/2} (f, h_I) \|_{c,\alpha}^2 \frac{1}{|I|} > C_2 \| (W)^{1/2} f \|_{c,\alpha}^2 \]

but for all \( L' \supset L \)

\[ \| (W)^{1/2} (W)^{-1/2} \| \leq C_1 \]

and

\[ \sum_{I : I \in L'} \| (W)^{1/2} (f, h_I) \|_{c,\alpha}^2 \frac{1}{|I|} \leq C_2 \| (W)^{1/2} f \|_{c,\alpha}^2. \]
Consider $S^1$, the collection of all first stopping intervals. Our final sum splits after this step

$$\sum_i \| \langle W \rangle_j^{1/2}(f, h_I) \|_{L^2}^2$$

$$= \sum_{I \not \in L \in S_1: I \not \subseteq L} \| \langle W \rangle_j^{1/2}(f, h_I) \|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{I \not \in L \in S_1: I \subseteq L} \| \langle W \rangle_j^{1/2}(f, h_I) \|_{L^2}^2.$$ 

We estimate the first sum.

$$\sum_{I \not \in L \in S_1: I \not \subseteq L} \langle \langle W \rangle_j(f, h_I), (f, h_I) \rangle \rangle_{L^2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{I \not \in L \in S_1: I \not \subseteq L} \| \langle W \rangle_j^{1/2}(W)^{-1/2} \|_{L^2}^2 \langle \langle W \rangle_j(f, h_I), (f, h_I) \rangle \rangle_{L^2}$$

$$\leq C_1^2 \sum_{I \not \in L \in S_1: I \not \subseteq L} \langle \langle W \rangle_j(f, h_I), (f, h_I) \rangle \rangle_{L^2}$$

$$= C_1^2 \int_I \sum_{I \not \in L \in S_1: I \not \subseteq L} \| \langle W \rangle_j^{1/2}(f, h_I) \|_{L^2}^2 \chi_I(x) dx$$

$$\leq C_1^2 C_2 \langle \langle W \rangle_j^{1/2} \| f \|_{L^2}^2 \rangle \ |J|.$$ 

The first step is a triangle inequality for norms, the second step uses the first stopping condition and the last step uses the second stopping condition and a resulting pointwise estimate. By iteration we have the domination

$$\sum_i \langle \langle W \rangle_i(f, h_I), (f, h_I) \rangle \rangle_{L^2} \leq C_1^2 C_2 \sum_{L \in S} \langle \langle W \rangle_j^{1/2} \| f \|_{L^2}^2 \rangle \ |L|,$$

precisely the integrated form of $S_{i,W}$, provided $S$ is sparse.

It remains to show the collection $S$ is sparse for large enough $C_1$ and $C_2$. The collection stemming from

$$\sum_{I \not \in L \in S_1: I \not \subseteq J} \| \langle W \rangle_j^{1/2}(f, h_I) \|_{L^2}^2 \frac{1}{|I|}$$

$$> C_2 \langle \langle W \rangle_j^{1/2} \| f \|_{L^2}^2 \rangle \ |J|$$

is sparse for large enough $C_2$ because of the (unweighted) weak type boundedness of $S : L^1_{\mathbb{R}} \to L^1_{\mathbb{R}}$ (with a universal constant), where $S$ is the standard square function

$$Sg(x) := \sum_{I \in D} \| (g, h_I) \|_{L^2}^2 \chi_I(x) \frac{1}{|I|},$$

now applied to $g = \langle W \rangle_j^{1/2} f$; indeed, the above stopping condition means that $Sg(x) > \sqrt{C_2} \langle \| g \|_{L^2} \rangle$ for all $x \in L$ and thus the union of these intervals $L$ is contained in $\{Sg > \sqrt{C_2} \langle \| g \|_{L^2} \rangle\}$, which has measure at most $C_2^{-1/2} \| S \|_{L^1_{\mathbb{R}} \to L^1_{\mathbb{R}}}$. 


The collection stemming from $\|\langle W \rangle_L^{1/2} \langle W \rangle_J^{-1/2} \| > C_1$ is sparse because

$$C_1^2 \sum_L |L| \prec \sum_L |L| \|\langle W \rangle_L^{1/2} \langle W \rangle_J^{-1/2} \|^2 \leq \sum_L |L| \|\langle W \rangle_L^{1/2} \langle W \rangle_J^{-1/2} \|^2_{S_2} \leq \sum_L \int_L \text{tr}(\langle W \rangle_J^{-1/2} W(x) \langle W \rangle_J^{-1/2}) dx \leq \int J \text{tr}(\langle W \rangle_J^{-1/2} W(x) \langle W \rangle_J^{-1/2}) dx = \text{tr}(L) |J| = d|J|.$$ 

The first inequality uses the first stopping condition, then we dominate the operator norm by the Hilbert Schmidt norm (denoted by $\| \cdot \|_{S_2}$). In the sequel we use the linearity of trace and disjointness of stopping intervals. □
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