

## High resolution spatial and temporal laboratory seismic datasets by Laser Doppler Vibrometry

Chengyi Shen, Daniel Brito, Julien Diaz, Deyuan Zhang, Jochen Schell,

Clarisse Bordes, Stéphane Garambois

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Chengyi Shen, Daniel Brito, Julien Diaz, Deyuan Zhang, Jochen Schell, et al.. High resolution spatial and temporal laboratory seismic datasets by Laser Doppler Vibrometry. AGU Fall Meeting, Dec 2018, Washington, United States. hal-01972134

## HAL Id: hal-01972134 https://hal.science/hal-01972134

Submitted on 7 Jan 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# High resolution spatial and temporal laboratory seismic datasets by Laser Doppler Vibrometry

C. SHEN<sup>1,3</sup>, D. BRITO<sup>1,3</sup>, J. DIAZ<sup>2,3</sup>, D. ZHANG<sup>1</sup>, J. SCHELL<sup>4</sup>, C. BORDES<sup>1</sup>, S. GARAMBOIS<sup>5</sup>

CNRS/ TOTAL / Univ Pau & Pays Adour/E2S UPPA, Laboratoire des Fluides Complexes et leurs Réservoirs – IPRA, UMR5150, 64000, Pau, FRANCE
2) Univ Pau & Pays Adour/CNRS, Laboratoire de Mathématiques et de leurs Applications, UMR5142, 64000, Pau, FRANCE
3) Project MAGIQUE-3D, INRIA Bordeaux-Sud-Ouest, 64013 Pau, France
4) Polytec GmbH, Polytec-Platz. 1-7, 76337 Waldbronn, Germany

5) Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IRD, IFSTTAR, ISTerre, UMR5275, 38000 Grenoble, France

# **Research context & objectives**

We propose to perform sub-metric scale seismic measurements with innovative experimental tools in a laboratory environment which reproduces large-scale field explorations in well conditioned and controlled environment. The purpose is to develop high resolution seismic methods on various natural samples, that can be transferred later to large-scale field conditions. Seismic waves are produced in our experiments by a P-wave piezoelectric transducer stuck to the sample surface. Nanometer mechanical displacements induced by the transducer are measured by LDV around the sample. The data acquisition chain is tested in an aluminum cuboid of 280 mm side length. High-resolution (ds  $\approx 1$  mm, dt  $\approx 10$  ns) unidimensional mappings of surface displacements on various faces of the cuboid are performed; Furthermore, multidimensional datasets are obtained on both cuboid and cylinder samples by *full-field 3D Scanning Vibrometers* thanks to the collaboration with *Polytec GmbH*. Parallel 2D/3D numerical simulations using IPDG[1] discretization method are done to match the experimental data.





a) : Schematic view of the LDV principle; b) : Experimental setup of measurements on an Alu block by a single point LDV sensor. c) : Experimental setup for the Alu cylinder.

Displacement mapping on an aluminum block





Snapshots of the displacements calculated by integrating the velocity measurements (**raw data without filtering the ambient noise**) at 19.07  $\mu$ s, 22.72  $\mu$ s, 36.42  $\mu$ s and 48.90  $\mu$ s. The first two are highlighted by P wave fields and the laser two by S waves. We can clearly see the different polarizations respectively for P and S waves.







Normal components of displacements are measured on face 1 (source face), face 2 (upper face) and face 6 (opposite face). Snapshots and seismogram (along middle lines) at/until 19.3  $\mu$ s, 34.4  $\mu$ s, 49.4  $\mu$ s and 64.3  $\mu$ s are displayed.

Displacement mapping on an aluminum core



Radial components of displacements are measured. Snapshots and seismogram (around the mid-section) at/until t1, t2, t3, t4 (t1 < t2 < t3 < t4) are shown. Corresponding simulations



Delaunay triangulation is used to discretisize the irregular surface. Displacement interpolations are nevertheless done on a rectagular grid covering the entire Delauna triangulation.

int	Along each receiver line, all the 3 orthogonal components (Ux, Uy, Uz) are extracted by interpolating the multidimensional measurements.														Offset	25 50																	
																0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80 Time (µs)	90	100	110	120	130	140	150		
Offset Angle (°)	50	T		1		I	I	Recei	ver Line	@ 45°	I	1	1	1	I	Ux	(₀)	50		I		1		I	Receiv	ver Line	@ 90°						U
	0 25 25								n ei Sin y			an an An an					fset Angle	0 25		ar Terres	4.9.20	and and a second		j. a	in an	ente contre Altre de la		ene à.	an a	gin in	i sin Lista	5-5-4 -	
	50	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80 Time (µs	90	100	110	120	130	140	150	- JO	50 – 0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80 Time (µs)	90	100	110	120	130	140	150
Offset Angle (°)	50 25 <b></b>												0.000.000			Uy	e (°)	50								1			1				U
	0		- Ken					18.3 31-37		an dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan d	e te Reference						fset Angl	0	ere vi Interne		arain) Aisini		esetter Setter			ar de Grade	- 69 - 69	agras Silida	an a Nama		eria Filia	i provin Nelesia	en de la composition de la composition Composition de la composition de la comp
	50	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80 Time (µs	90	100	110	120	130	140	150		50	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80 Time (µs)	90	100	110	120	130	140	150
Offset Angle (°) 5 b b c	50	I				I	1						1			Uz	= ()	50								1	1						Uz
	0	P	1	<b>S</b> 1										Z			ffset Angle	0	P	i	<b>S1</b>												
	50	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	140	150	jō	50	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	140	150

Seismogram extracted from **raw data**. The out-of-plane components  $(U_z)$  are relatively strong on all the 3 receiver lines because the seismic source points to this direction. The relative energy of the in-plane components  $(U_x \text{ and } U_y)$  depends on the receiver line orientation. Along the receiver line at 0° (X direction),  $U_x$ predominates, while at 90° (Y direction),  $U_y$  predominates.  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  are equally distributed along the 45° receiver line. These quantitative observations are coherent with the symmetry of wave propagation in homogeneous media. The full-field 3D scanning vibrometer measures evidently the out-of-plane components as well as the in-plane components.



## 20 10 -20 20 10 0 -40 X (mm) Y (mm) Y (mm)

(mm) 20 -20 -20 -40 X (m Y (mm) -20 -60 X (m

Snapshots at 21.76  $\mu$ s for each source position. 8 uniformly distributed sources are deployed around the middle section of the core. Measurements close to the sources are not reliable because of instrumentation obstacles. The wavefield vectors are no longer symmetric in space due to the heterogeneities inside the natural rock. We can still recognize the P wavefield and S wavefield, however, they are strongly disturbed in the cases of source 6 and source 7, indicating probably an obstacle inside the core near these two sources.

## Seismogram and X-ray tomography Source 1 Angles of receivers $(^{\circ})$ 250 300 100 150 200 Disturbed area (diffraction) a): Seismogram (along a middle receiver line) corresponding to source 1. b): X-ray tomography of the concerned section. The cavity is probably the main cause of the disturbance.

## Conclusion :

The excellent agreement between experiments and simulations demonstrates that the full wavefield is nicely recorded by the **single point LDV**, reciprocally validating our numerical scheme and models. A first qualitative analysis on the **multidimensional** data confirmed the data quality and the capability of the full-field 3D scanning vibrometer. We can then perform basic time-lapse tomography in order to get a first insight of the elastic wave velocity models for the carbonate core. Further analyses on simulated and experimental multidimensional data will enable us to look quantitatively into the **polarizations** and quantify the Amplitude vs Angle (AVA[2]) influence on the surfacic measurements. Seismic attributes such as amplitudes and frequencies contain also rich information on other rock physics properties including quality factor, anisotropy and proelasticity etc.[3]. The full-field data can eventually be used to test Full Waveform Inversion schemes which would in turn yield more reliable and accurate inversion results.

Corresponding 2D and 3D simulations by Finite Element method featured with IPDG[1]. The 3D simulation is performed in a reduced model with Absorbing Boundary Conditions due to memory limits.

Snapshots of wavefields (raw data without filtering the ambient noise) at 8.90  $\mu$ s, 18.50  $\mu$ s, 19.78  $\mu$ s and 36.42  $\mu$ s, with the source at the middle of the gap. On the second snapshot we can clearly see the symmetric P wave fields in the front, followed by slower S waves. The different polarization of S waves are highlighted on the last one.

## References

[1] Caroline Baldassari. *Modélisation et simulation numérique pour la migration terrestre par équation d'ondes.* PhD thesis, Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, 2009.

[2] John P Castagna and Milo M Backus. Offset-dependent reflectivity - Theory and practice of AVO analysis. Society of Exploration Geophysicists, 1993.

[3] Bastien Dupuy, Stéphane Garambois, Amir Asnaashari, Hadi M Balhareth, Martin Landrø, Alexey Stovas, and Jean Virieux. Estimation of rock physics properties from seismic attributes?part 2 : Applications. *Geophysics*, 81(4) :M55–M69, 2016.