# Fast detection of both O157 and non-O157 shiga-toxin producing Escherichia coli by real-time optical immunoassay

L. Mondani, S. Delannoy, R. Mathey, F. Piat, T. Mercey, S. Slimani, P. Fach, T. Livache, Yoann Roupioz

**Letters in Applied Microbiology**

**Title**

# FAST DETECTION OF BOTH O157 AND NON-O157 SHIGA-TOXIN PRODUCING *ESCHERICHIA COLI* BY REAL-TIME OPTICAL IMMUNOASSAY

**Running head**

FAST REAL-TIME IMMUNOASSAY FOR STEC DETECTION

**Authors**

L. MONDANI[1,2,3], S. DELANNOY[4], R. MATHEY[1,2,3], F. PIAT[5], T. MERCEY[5], S. SLIMANI[5], P. FACH[4], T. LIVACHE[1,2,3] and Y. ROUPIOZ[1,2,3]

1. Univ. Grenoble Alpes, INAC-SPRAM, F-38000 Grenoble, France
2. CEA, INAC-SPrAM, F-38000 Grenoble, France
3. CNRS, INAC-SPrAM, F-38000 Grenoble, France
4. Université Paris-Est, ANSES, Food Safety Laboratory, Platform IdentyPath, F-94706 Maisons-Alfort, France
5. Prestodiag, 1 mail du Professeur Georges Mathé, F-94800 Villejuif, France

**Correspondence**

Dr Yoann ROUPIOZ, CNRS, INAC-SPrAM, F-38000 Grenoble, France,

Phone: +33 4 38 78 98 79, Fax: +33 4 38 78 51 45, Email: yoann.roupioz@cea.fr

**Significance & impact of the study (<100 words):** This article presents a simple-to-operate immunoassay for the specific detection of Shiga-toxin producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC). This approach consists in the on-chip assay detection of viable cells on a specifically designed antibody microarray. By skipping any enrichment step and avoiding the use of labelling agent, this approach based on the Surface Plasmon Resonance imaging of the microarrays turns out to be much faster and more cost-effective by comparison to standardized methods. (60 words)

**Abstract (<200 words):**

Among bacterial pathogens involved in food-illnesses, seven serogroups (O26, O45, O103, O111, O121, O145, and O157) of Shiga-toxin producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC), are frequently identified. During such outbreak, and due to the perishable property of most foodstuff, the time laps for the identification of contaminated products and pathogens is thus critical to better circumvent their spread. Traditional detection methods using PCR or culture plating are time consuming and may present some limitations. In this study, we present a multiplexed immunoassay for the optical detection of most commonly enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* serogroups: O26, O45, O103, O111, O121, O145 and O157:H7 in a single device. The use of Surface Plasmon Resonance imaging not only enabled the label-free analysis of the samples but gave results in a real-time manner. A dedicated protocol was set up for the detection of both low contaminating bacterial concentrations of food samples (5 CFU per 25 g) and post-enrichment aliquots. By combining one single device for the detection of O157 and non-O157 STEC in a label-free manner, this rapid approach may have an important economic and societal impact.

(180 words)

**Keywords:** Rapid methods, EHEC (enterohaemorrhagic E. coli), Food safety, Microarray, Identification

## Introduction.

Shiga-toxin producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) are responsible for numerous outbreaks traced to consumption of contaminated foods and beverages (Rangel et al. 2005, Vogt et al. 2005, Espie et al. 2006). Among them, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 has been the first enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC) characterized as a human pathogen in 1982 after an outbreak involving contaminated burgers in the U.S. (Riley et al. 1983). Several foods have been identified as potential sources of STEC contamination since this first crisis: sprouts, leafy greens (lettuce, spinach), hamburgers, undercooked meat, apple juice, raw milk products and beverages, including tap water for instance (Riley et al. 1983, Griffin et al. 1991, Swerdlow et al. 1992, Centers for Disease et al. 1993, Centers for Disease et al. 1996, Como-Sebetti et al. 1997). But the main food source and reservoir of the pathogen is beef. USDA has proposed rules to carry out verification procedures, including sampling and testing product components, to ensure control of both *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and six other serogroups of Shiga-toxin producing *E. coli* (STEC) (O26, O45, O103, O111, O121, and O145) (named Top 7 STEC) (Hodges 2012, USDA 2014). Because *Escherichia coli* is a common bacterium whose species consist of a very large number of non-pathogenic serovars, the specific detection of STEC serogroups remains a challenging analysis for food suppliers. When present in a sample,

STEC have severe impact of human health even at very low contaminating levels (less than an hundred of CFU per sample) thus requiring a systematic pre-enrichment step before running any bacterial assay. So far, routine analysis and identification of STEC serogroups is typically done by culture plating methods, PCR-based analysis, or immunoassays like ELISA techniques or latex agglutination. Culture methods are based on plating of pre-enriched samples on media enabling isolation of *E. coli*. Standard culture procedures require a pre-enrichment step (Beutin et al. 2014); selective enrichment in solution followed by selective plating before colony isolation and further characterization (Ge et al. 2009). Due to close metabolic properties of O157 and non-O157, their differentiation remains challenging although sorbitol consumption turned out to help somehow in their characterization (Bettelheim 1998) : as only O157 has been shown to be sorbitol negative, this specificity can be exploited as a phenotypic sorting parameter on solid culture media. Some chromogenic solid media have also been described for distinguishing O157 from non-O157 STEC (Tillman et al. 2012). PCR-based methods showed very specific responses by detecting either O-serogroup and H-type specific sequences, virulence genes, or both (Perelle et al. 2004, Bugarel et al. 2010, Fratamico et al. 2011, Fratamico et al. 2012, Wasilenko et al. 2014). Immunological methods led in different formats have also been done (immuno-magnetic separation, colony immunoblot, agglutination) with processing times ranging from 20 minutes to 4 hours but systematically requiring an overnight enrichment (Gould et al. 2009, Wang et al. 2013). Interestingly, label-based immunoassays have also successfully been described for the detection of clinically relevant STEC serogroups (Lin et al. 2013). In this end-point analysis, fluorescent nanoparticles enable the identification of pathogenic *E. coli*. The same year, an alternative label-free immunoassay coupled to Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) imaging allowing the fast detection of viable bacteria present

in food samples without preliminary sample enrichment has been published (Bouguelia et al. 2013). Although other groups described the STEC detection using SPR (Subramanian et al. 2006, Waswa et al. 2007, Wang et al. 2013), the sensitivities published so far for the real-time pathogen detection are in the range of $3.10^3$ to $10^6$ CFU.mL$^{-1}$, except for Bouguelia *et al.* who managed to detect food-contaminated samples at very low levels (< 5 CFU per gram of food) (Bouguelia et al. 2013). Their approach is based on the microarraying, on a gold-covered biochip, of antibodies targeting surface antigens, followed by bacterial enrichment on-the-chip. The simultaneous monitoring of the microarray by SPR imaging in a one-step process enabled the continuous monitoring of the bacterial concentration increase along with semi-quantitative data on the initial concentrations. More recently, this Culture/Capture/Measure (CCM) process was successfully applied on stressed *E. coli* strains contaminating food samples (Mondani et al. 2014). By probing the environment close to the biosensors (few hundreds of nanometers), SPR imaging also turned out to be a powerful technique for the bacterial biofilm monitoring (Abadian et al. 2014). With the aim of moving one step forward, we wish to present a microarray platform dedicated to the analysis of the most common STEC serogroups, processed in a single culture medium and suitable for samples with or without an enrichment step. In the specific case where contaminating levels are low (< 5 CFU per sample), the STEC detection is carried during the enrichment phase, thus significantly decreasing the processing time.

## Results and discussion

### *Antibody microarraying and biochip processing*

In order to specifically detect and identify one –or several- STEC serogroups present in a sample, polyclonal antibodies were electrochemically arrayed on the SPR biochip. Thanks to the small size of each feature (< 500 µm in diameter), two identical series of antibodies (anti-*E. coli* O26, O45, O103, O111, O121, O145, O157:H7 and control antibodies) could be spotted on a single device, enabling the analysis of two samples loaded in two chambers fitting each array (figure 1). In order to assess the inter-spot variability during the microarraying, each antibody was arrayed in duplicate. The selectivity of the antibody response was also compared to background signals measured on negative controls (anti-KLH and anti-botulinum toxinB monoclonal antibodies). According to the CCM method (Bouguelia et al. 2013), samples were spiked with measured concentrations of STEC strains from various serogroups, and directly deposited on the biochip located in a thermalized SPR imager (37°C) without further operation. Regions of interest corresponding to individual microarrayed antibodies were defined and individual responses were monitored during bacterial on-chip culture. Sharp SPR specific responses were observed at different characteristic times, thus giving semi-quantitative information on the initial pathogen concentration (Bouguelia et al. 2013, Mondani et al. 2014). Figure 2 shows the raw data monitored on the antibody microarray after spiking with 2 +/-1 CFU.mL$^{-1}$ of *E. coli* O145:H- ED28. A specific increase of the signal corresponding to anti-O145 antibodies was recorded after approximately 8 hours, which corresponds to the growth of 2 +/-1 CFU.mL$^{-1}$ present at the beginning of the experiment, and confirmed by plating and counting on agar plates. The similar responses between each spot arrayed with anti-O145 antibodies confirmed the low inter-spot variability. SPR responses monitored on irrelevant anti-O antigen antibodies, anti-KLH (NC1), anti-Btox (NC2) and polypyrrole (NC3 spots) are slightly increasing upon time, probably due to a shift of the culture medium optical index upon

bacterial culture. Similar results and specific responses were obtained after medium spiking with the bacterial strains listed in table 1.

*Assessment of specificity for the detection of a large repertoire of STEC*

In order to explore the specificity of the antibody-based approach for the detection of naturally encountered STEC, different samples of each STEC strain were analysed (two *E.coli* O26:H11, two *E.coli* O45:H2, three *E.coli* O103:H2, two *E.coli* O111:H-, one *E.coli* O121:H19, two *E.coli* O145:H- and three *E.coli* O157:H7) as well as non-STEC strains (*E.coli* O4:H5 and *E.coli* O132:H18) (Table 1). A couple of strains depicting each STEC serogroups was chosen as representative of the typical O and H (H+ and H-) antigens diversity of EHEC. Each strain was sequentially analysed on antibody microarrays functionalized with both specific serogroups (anti-*E. coli* O26, O45, O103, O111, O121, O145 and O157:H7 antibodies) and negative controls spots. No cross-reactivity was observed with strains harbouring O-antigens other than O26, O45, O103, O111, O121, O145 and O157, which confirms such approach gives low levels of false positive responses. SPR responses on irrelevant anti-O antigen antibodies spots were similar to the signals monitored for the general negative controls (anti-KLH, anti-Btox and polypyrrole).

*General protocol suitable for any STEC serogroup detection at both low contaminating levels and in enriched samples*

With the aim of proposing one single assay for the detection of any STEC serogroups (O157 and non-O157 strains), we developed a standardized protocol for sample incubation and characterization on the chip (table 2). Each strain depicting the Top 7 STEC was assayed in TSB medium spiked at different concentrations and both O157 and

non-O157 strains were successfully detected. One series of assays (few CFU.mL$^{-1}$ per sample) corresponds to the minimum concentration range encountered in naturally contaminated samples, while the other series (concentrations > 15,000 CFU.mL$^{-1}$) is similar to the expected concentrations found after an enrichment step led on a contaminated sample. Interestingly, this approach successfully enabled the specific detection of every STEC serogroups assayed for any initial concentration asseyed. On a quantitative point of view, signal analysis of each curve enabled the measurement of detection times only for positive antibody features. Detection times measured for each concentration are consistent with the detection of viable *E. coli* dividing and multiplying on the biochip, as longer detection times were obtained with the lowest seeding STEC concentrations. With the aim of counting contaminating levels, it has been shown that calibration curves can be plotted to extract initial concentrations from dectection times (Bouguelia et al. 2013). Interestingly, detection times measured for low seeding concentrations (1 CFU.mL$^{-1}$) showed low disparity between the tested strains (detection time at 8h20 +/- 2 hours). Although an highly specific detection of several samples defining STEC serogroups was noticed on the antibody microarray (O26:H11; O45:H2; O111:H-; O145:H-), some cross-reactivity of few STEC (O103:H2, O111:H-, O121:H19 and O157:H7) was observed, more frequently when the analysis was run with low bacterial concentrations. Such side effect might be due to the use of polyclonal antibodies, containing a mixture of antibodies with some of them being specific to epitopes common to several serogroups. As already mentioned, this cross-reactivity was not observed on strains belonging to other serogroups than the Top7 STEC serogroups, thus demonstrating that the use of polyclonal antibodies does not favour the appearance of false-positive responses. Eventually, the situation where natural non-pathogenic *E. coli* flora (O127:H6) was present in an STEC O157:H7 contaminated sample was also

tested. The real-time immunoassay enabled the specific detection of a STEC serogroup present at low levels regarding a non-STEC strain (1:7 ratio). Such results confirm the suitability of this approach for the detection of STEC present at low concentrations (about 10 CFU per sample) even though harmless *E. coli* strain is present at higher levels. The detection time (8 hours) was consistent with the values observed in single-strain samples contaminated at similar levels, which shows that the overall process was not delayed by the presence of several *E. coli* strains in a single sample.

*Real-time optical immunoassay with food samples contaminated with E. coli O157:H7*

With the aim of testing this approach in situations as close as possible to real conditions, contaminated food samples were processed and tested on the STEC serogroups specific antibody biochip. Because meat is a common sample where STEC contamination occurs (Riley et al. 1983), a portion of ground-beef meat (25 g) was spiked with only few *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 cells (table 3). After sample processing according to normalized dilution procedures (ISO6887 standard) followed by on-chip analysis, the bacteria were successfully detected in these spiked samples, with no cross-reaction or matrix inhibition. The result was obtained a few hours after sample dilution, with no need for specific sample preparation, extraction or handling after incubation.

As a conclusion, the antibody array method was able to detect and identify *E. coli* strains carrying the regulated Top 7 STEC O-antigens (O157, O145, O121, O103, O111, O45, O26) therefore it could be used as a rapid screening approach to identify presumptive positive samples while a confirmation step with conventional immunological or PCR tests will definitely confirm the serogroup. On the contrary to other immunoassays involving labelling steps and end-point analysis (Lin et al. 2013), our label-free approach allows real-time analysis, faster detection and a reduced cost by avoiding expensive

labels. We did not observe any bias due to bacterial mobility (strains lacking flagella), virulence factors (stx1, stx2 and eae). The antibody microarray specificity was challenged on 15 different bacterial strains defining the top-7 EHEC serogroups. Our results showed no cross-reactivity with non-EHEC serogroups although some STEC strains cross-reacted with other polyclonal anti-STEC antibodies. The presence of polyclonal antibodies targeting a large repertoire of antigens is a plausible explanation of this minor side effect. On the contrary to regular culture methods where the discrimination of O157 from non-O157 STEC using dedicated culture medium is still controversial (Vimont et al. 2007), our approach enabled the characterization of any assayed STEC serogroups with simple culture medium (TSB) incubated at 37°C. This real-time detection is based on the continuous and label-free monitoring of bacterial growth and is thus highly specific to viable bacteria. For this reason, dead cells would not interfere with the biochip and does not lead to false positive as it may happen for PCR-based techniques. More importantly, this method showed similar results for any chosen concentration of bacteria. One can reasonably expect that such analysis based on sample analysis during the enrichment phase could pave the way to an alternative confirmation method. For instance, it could be led by combining our approach with a method enabling, for instance, the Shiga toxin gene detection from enriched aliquots processed on the antibody microarray. In such case, our approach could be used as a fast and cost effective pre-screening approach where only positive samples are then fully characterized by PCR-based gene analysis.

## Materials and methods

*Bacterial strains and culture conditions*

*Escherichia coli* strain O157:H7 CIP 105917 was provided by the Institut Pasteur (Paris, France); *E. coli* strains O4:H5 MB05, O26:H11 (H19 and 90.0105), O45:H2 (A9619-C2-83 and 2010C-4211), O103:H2 (ED172, 336S89 and 85-250), O111:H- (Ec1-Can and Ec564), O121:H19 MB57, O132:H18 NV118, O145:H- (ED28 and VTH34) and O157:H7 (EC1 and 8624) were from ANSES collection (Maisons-Alfort, France). The bacterial characterizations are summarized in table 1. All *E. coli* strains were grown overnight in optimal culture conditions, at 37°C, 100 rpm in Tryptic Soy Broth medium (TSB, Fluka). Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) was purchased from BIOKKAR Diagnostics (Beauvais, France). Turbidity of liquid cultures was adjusted with a McFarland densitometer (Grant Instruments, Cambridge) to 1.0 McFarland (approximately $3.10^8$ CFU.mL$^{-1}$). Serial dilutions were performed in PBS to obtain the desired bacterial concentrations. Bacterial counting was carried out after plating and culture on Trypticase Soy Agar plates (AES, France) according to standard protocoles.

*Antibodies microarraying on SPR biochips.*

For specific *E. coli* detection, affinity-purified goat anti-*E. coli* O26, O45, O103, O111, O121, O145 and O157:H7 polyclonal antibodies were purchased from Kirkegaard & Perry Laboratories Inc. (BacTrace®, Gaithersburg – MA, USA). A monoclonal anti-KLH and a monoclonal anti-Botulinum toxin (anti-Btox) (gifts from L. Bellanger CEA-Marcoule, France) were used as general negative controls (respectively NC1 and NC2). Before running the electrochemical arraying (Grosjean et al. 2005), proteins were washed and covalently coupled to pyrrole monomers (N-Hydroxysuccinimide-Pyrrole, NHS-Pyrrole) as described elsewhere (Suraniti et al. 2007, Mondani et al. 2014). Polypyrrole was also arrayed and used as a reference control (NC3). SPRi biochips (obtained from Prestodiag, Villejuif, France) are made of optical glass, covered by a 50

nm thick gold layer deposited on a 2 nm thick Cr. Antibody-pyrrole conjugates (1 µmol.$L^{-1}$) were robotically arrayed on gold covered biochip by electro-chemical polymerization in presence of a pyrrole excess (20 mM in acetonitrile). Duplicates of each protein were spotted on the gold surface. Electro- copolymerization of both free pyrrole and pyrrole-modified proteins on the biochips was carried out in a pipette tip filled with the solution to be polymerized and containing a platinum wire used as a counter electrode. The pipette tip was moved at the vicinity of the gold layer, till an electrical contact was enabled between the working (gold surface) and counter (platinum wire) electrodes. The polymerization on the prism gold layer was performed with a 100 ms electric pulse at a 2.0 V bias independently of any reference electrode. This electrochemical process ensures the gentle and long lasting grafting of biomolecules on SPR biochips. The spot size of each antibody feature is about 300-500 µm in diameter. Following the microarraying, biochips were washed twice with PBS and stored in PBS at 4°C. Before SPRi experiment, the biochip was blocked for 15 minutes at room temperature with 1 % (w/v) Bovine Serum Albumin (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Quentin Fallavier, France) in PBS, and then washed with culture medium.

*SPR imaging and analysis*

SPR measurements were performed using a MonoPresto SPR imager prototype (Prestodiag, Villejuif, France) based on PlasmIA™ (Plasmonic ImmunoAssay) technology, data were processed with a dedicated software (Kinetika v3.0.5), and Regions Of Interest (ROI) corresponding to individual spots microarrayed on the biochips were defined. The SPR signal was monitored with a CCD camera, and grayscale changes of each ROI were followed and plotted over time. Regarding data analysis, first-order derivative of each curve was realized and the maximum of the derivative

298 corresponding to the inflection point of kinetic curve was characterized as the detection
299 time. Two identical series of proteins were arrayed on each biochip and combined to a
300 reaction chamber, thereby allowing duplicate analysis. A double reaction-chamber was
301 used to simultaneously run two experiments on a single device. Samples were prepared
302 as described in the following section and loaded without any further treatment on the
303 biochip: 500 µL of bacterial solutions were loaded in thermalized (37°C) SPR reader and
304 chambers were closed by a porous film to limit evaporation. Then, real-time monitoring
305 of signals corresponding to individual ROI were recorded and displayed. After 16h, the
306 experiment was stopped, the sample was neutralized by adding a diluted bleach solution
307 (1/10) and data were processed to extract the detection times. Control viability
308 experiments were simultaneously carried out for each SPR assay.
309
310 *Sample preparation*
311 Detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 was also assessed in an artificially-contaminated
312 ground beef sample: ground beef with 15% fat was purchased from a local supermarket
313 (Monoprix, Villejuif). 25 g portions of meat were weighted and artificially contaminated
314 with tenfold serially-diluted bacterial solutions (in PBS) to obtain the expected final
315 contaminating levels. Both control and spiked ground meat were then stored for 2 days
316 at 2-8°C to mimic usual stress conditions. Bacterial counts were performed by plating
317 the inoculum in duplicate on TSA plates. The artificially contaminated samples were put
318 into 4 sterile blending bags including a fluidic connector (Prestodiag, Villejuif, France).
319 After dilution by adding 225 mL of buffered peptone water, bags were homogenized for
320 60 seconds using a peristaltic blender (BioMérieux, France). The bags were then sealed
321 and connected using biochips arrayed with anti-*E. coli* polyclonal antibodies as
322 previously described. The samples and kit were placed on a Monopresto SPR imager in

an incubator at 37°C (France Etuves, France), and continuous reading of the signal was performed overnight.

**Acknowledgements**

We acknowledge the Pasteur Institute (Centre de Ressources Biologiques de l'Institut Pasteur - Paris, France) for providing the *E. coli* O157:H7 CIP105917 strain. This work was supported by the NRBC-E program (Colitrack project) of the CEA and by the Labex ARCANE program (ANR-11-LABX-0003-01).

**Conflict of interest**

No conflict of interest to declare.

**References**

Abadian, P. N., N. Tandogan, J. J. Jamieson and E. D. Goluch (2014). "Using surface plasmon resonance imaging to study bacterial biofilms." Biomicrofluidics **8**(2).

Bettelheim, K. A. (1998). "Studies of Escherichia coli cultured on Rainbow Agar O157 with particular reference to enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli (EHEC)." Microbiol Immunol **42**(4): 265-269.

Beutin, L. and P. Fach (2014). "Detection of Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli from Nonhuman Sources and Strain Typing." Microbiol Spectr **2**(3).

346 Bouguelia, S., Y. Roupioz, S. Slimani, L. Mondani, M. G. Casabona, C. Durmort, T. Vernet, R.
347 Calemczuk and T. Livache (2013). "On-chip microbial culture for the specific detection of
348 very low levels of bacteria." Lab Chip **13**(20): 4024-4032.
349 Bugarel, M., L. Beutin, A. Martin, A. Gill and P. Fach (2010). "Micro-array for the
350 identification of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) seropathotypes
351 associated with Hemorrhagic Colitis and Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome in humans." Int J
352 Food Microbiol **142**(3): 318-329.
353 Centers for Disease, C. and Prevention (1993). "Update: multistate outbreak of
354 Escherichia coli O157:H7 infections from hamburgers--western United States, 1992-
355 1993." MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep **42**(14): 258-263.
356 Centers for Disease, C. and Prevention (1996). "Outbreak of Escherichia coli O157:H7
357 infections associated with drinking unpasteurized commercial apple juice--British
358 Columbia, California, Colorado, and Washington, October 1996." MMWR Morb Mortal
359 Wkly Rep **45**(44): 975.
360 Como-Sebetti, K., K. S. Reagan, S. Alaire, K. Parrott, C. M. Simonds and S. Hrabowy (1997).
361 "From the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Outbreaks of Escherichia coli
362 O157:H7 infection associated with eating alfalfa sprouts--Michigan and Virginia, June-
363 July 1997." JAMA **278**(10): 809-810.
364 Espie, E., V. Vaillant, P. Mariani-Kurkdjian, F. Grimont, R. Martin-Schaller, H. De Valk and
365 C. Vernozy-Rozand (2006). "Escherichia coli O157 outbreak associated with fresh
366 unpasteurized goats' cheese." Epidemiol Infect **134**(1): 143-146.
367 Fratamico, P. M., L. K. Bagi, W. C. Cray, Jr., N. Narang, X. Yan, M. Medina and Y. Liu (2011).
368 "Detection by multiplex real-time polymerase chain reaction assays and isolation of
369 Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli serogroups O26, O45, O103, O111, O121, and
370 O145 in ground beef." Foodborne Pathog Dis **8**(5): 601-607.

Fratamico, P. M. and L. K. Bagi (2012). "Detection of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli in ground beef using the GeneDisc real-time PCR system." Front Cell Infect Microbiol **2**: 152.

Ge, B. L. and J. H. Meng (2009). "Advanced Technologies for Pathogen and Toxin Detection in Foods: Current Applications and Future Directions." Jala **14**(4): 235-241.

Gould, L. H., C. Bopp, N. Strockbine, R. Atkinson, V. Baselski, B. Body, R. Carey, C. Crandall, S. Hurd, R. Kaplan, M. Neill, S. Shea, P. Somsel, M. Tobin-D'Angelo, P. M. Griffin, P. Gerner-Smidt, C. Centers for Disease and Prevention (2009). "Recommendations for diagnosis of shiga toxin--producing Escherichia coli infections by clinical laboratories." MMWR Recomm Rep **58**(RR-12): 1-14.

Griffin, P. M. and R. V. Tauxe (1991). "The epidemiology of infections caused by Escherichia coli O157:H7, other enterohemorrhagic E. coli, and the associated hemolytic uremic syndrome." Epidemiol Rev **13**: 60-98.

Grosjean, L., B. Cherif, E. Mercey, A. Roget, Y. Levy, P. N. Marche, M. B. Villiers and T. Livache (2005). "A polypyrrole protein microarray for antibody-antigen interaction studies using a label-free detection process." Anal Biochem **347**(2): 193-200.

Hodges, J. (2012). USDA's New Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli Policy. 65th Annual Reciprocal Meat Conference.

Lin, A., J. A. Kase, M. M. Moore, I. Son, N. Tran, L. M. Clotilde, K. Jarvis, K. Jones, K. Kasturi, K. Nabe, M. Nucci, G. S. Wagley, F. Wang, B. Ge and T. S. Hammack (2013). "Multilaboratory validation of a luminex microbead-based suspension array for the identification of the 11 most clinically relevant Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli O serogroups." J Food Prot **76**(5): 867-870.

Mondani, L., Y. Roupioz, S. Delannoy, P. Fach and T. Livache (2014). "Simultaneous enrichment and optical detection of low levels of stressed Escherichia coli O157:H7 in food matrices." J Appl Microbiol **117**(2): 537-546.

Perelle, S., F. Dilasser, J. Grout and P. Fach (2004). "Detection by 5'-nuclease PCR of Shiga-toxin producing Escherichia coli O26, O55, O91, O103, O111, O113, O145 and O157:H7, associated with the world's most frequent clinical cases." Mol Cell Probes **18**(3): 185-192.

Rangel, J. M., P. H. Sparling, C. Crowe, P. M. Griffin and D. L. Swerdlow (2005). "Epidemiology of Escherichia coli O157:H7 outbreaks, United States, 1982-2002." Emerg Infect Dis **11**(4): 603-609.

Riley, L. W., R. S. Remis, S. D. Helgerson, H. B. McGee, J. G. Wells, B. R. Davis, R. J. Hebert, E. S. Olcott, L. M. Johnson, N. T. Hargrett, P. A. Blake and M. L. Cohen (1983). "Hemorrhagic colitis associated with a rare Escherichia coli serotype." N Engl J Med **308**(12): 681-685.

Subramanian, A., J. Irudayaraj and T. Ryan (2006). "A mixed self-assembled monolayer-based surface plasmon immunosensor for detection of E. coli O157:H7." Biosensors & Bioelectronics **21**(7): 998-1006.

Suraniti, E., E. Sollier, R. Calemczuk, T. Livache, P. N. Marche, M. B. Villiers and Y. Roupioz (2007). "Real-time detection of lymphocytes binding on an antibody chip using SPR imaging." Lab Chip **7**(9): 1206-1208.

Swerdlow, D. L., B. A. Woodruff, R. C. Brady, P. M. Griffin, S. Tippen, H. D. Donnell, Jr., E. Geldreich, B. J. Payne, A. Meyer, Jr., J. G. Wells and et al. (1992). "A waterborne outbreak in Missouri of Escherichia coli O157:H7 associated with bloody diarrhea and death." Ann Intern Med **117**(10): 812-819.

Tillman, G. E., J. L. Wasilenko, M. Simmons, T. A. Lauze, J. Minicozzi, B. B. Oakley, N. Narang, P. Fratamico and A. C. Cray, Jr. (2012). "Isolation of Shiga toxin-producing

419 Escherichia coli serogroups O26, O45, O103, O111, O121, and O145 from ground beef
420 using modified rainbow agar and post-immunomagnetic separation acid treatment." J
421 Food Prot **75**(9): 1548-1554.
422 USDA (2014). "Detection and Isolation of non-O157 Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia
423 coli (STEC) from Meat Products and Carcass and Environmental Sponges." Retrieved
424 May 10, 2015, from http://www.fsis.usda.gov/wps/wcm/connect/7ffc02b5-3d33-4a79-
425 b50c-81f208893204/MLG-5B.pdf?MOD=AJPERES.
426 Vimont, A., M. L. Delignette-Muller and C. Vernozy-Rozand (2007). "Supplementation of
427 enrichment broths by novobiocin for detecting Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli
428 from food: a controversial use." Lett Appl Microbiol **44**(3): 326-331.
429 Vogt, R. L. and L. Dippold (2005). "Escherichia coli O157:H7 outbreak associated with
430 consumption of ground beef, June-July 2002." Public Health Rep **120**(2): 174-178.
431 Wang, F., Q. Yang, J. A. Kase, J. Meng, L. M. Clotilde, A. Lin and B. Ge (2013). "Current
432 trends in detecting non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli in food."
433 Foodborne Pathog Dis **10**(8): 665-677.
434 Wasilenko, J. L., P. M. Fratamico, C. Sommers, D. R. DeMarco, S. Varkey, K. Rhoden and G.
435 Tice (2014). "Detection of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) O157:H7, O26,
436 O45, O103, O111, O121, and O145, and Salmonella in retail raw ground beef using the
437 DuPont BAX(R) system." Front Cell Infect Microbiol **4**: 81.
438 Waswa, J., J. Irudayaraj and C. DebRoy (2007). "Direct detection of E-Coli O157 : H7 in
439 selected food systems by a surface plasmon resonance biosensor." Lwt-Food Science and
440 Technology **40**(2): 187-192.
441
442

**Figure and table captions**




**Figure 1**

**Figure 1:** Microarray design and analysis by Surface Plasmon Resonance Imaging (SPRi). (a) Schematic representation of the device and instrumental setup. (b) Photograph of a SPRi biochip. The gold layer is 25 mm x 12 mm large and 50 nm thick. The glass prism height is 12 mm. (c) Mapping of the microarrayed antibodies. The biochip contains two identical series of antibodies. One sample is then loaded on each series. OXX legends are corresponding to *E. coli* O-antigen specific antibodies. NC (Negative Controls) spots are antibodies non specific to *E. coli* and are used to assess the non-specific response. Each antibody is arrayed in duplicate. (d) Photograph of the two separate chambers fitting the microarrayed antibodies. The volume of liquid added to the chamber is 500 µL.




**Figure 2**

**Figure 2:** SPR responses plotted upon time after bacteria incubation on a microarray. 500 µL of TSB sample was spiked with 2 +/-1 CFU.mL$^{-1}$ of *E. coli* O145:H- ED28 and incubated at 37°C in the SPR imager. Raw data of every individual antibody spot was plotted to assess both the response specificity and the inter-spot variability.

| Serotype | Strain | Source | Gene coding | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | *stx1* | *stx2* | *eae* |
| *E.coli* O4:H5 | MB05 | ANSES (France) | - | - | - |
| *E.coli* O26:H11 | H19 | USA | + | - | + |
| | 90.0105 | USA | + | - | + |
| *E.coli* O45:H2 | A9619-C2-83 | USA | + | - | + |
| | 2010C-4211 | USA | + | - | + |
| *E.coli* O103:H2 | ED172 | Italy | + | - | + |
| | 336S89 | Belgium | + | - | - |
| | 85-250 | Canada | + | - | + |
| *E.coli* O111:H- | Ec1-Can | Canada | + | - | + |
| | Ec564 | Canada | + | + | + |
| *E.coli* O121:H19 | MB57 | ANSES (France) | - | - | + |
| *E.coli* O132:H18 | NV118 | ANSES (France) | - | - | - |
| *E.coli* O145:H- | ED28 | Italy | + | - | + |
| | VTH34 | Spain | - | + | + |
| *E.coli* O157:H7 | EC1 | ANSES (France) | + | + | + |
| | 8624 | USA | - | + | + |
| | CIP105917 | Institut Pasteur | - | - | - |

**Table 1. List of *E. coli* strains used for the immunoassay**

| Strains | | cfu/sample | Targeted Antigens | | | | | | | Negative Controls | | | Detection time |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Serotype | Other nomenclature | 500µL | Anti-O26 | Anti-O45 | Anti-O103 | Anti-O111 | Anti-O121 | Anti-O145 | Anti-O157:H7 | Anti-Btox | Anti-KLH | Ppy | |
| O4:H5 | MB05 | 1475 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| O26:H11 | H19 | 24900 | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3h |
| | | 2 | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7h45 |
| | 90.0105 | 20750 | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3h |
| | | 2 | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7h45 |
| O45:H2 | A9619-C2-83 | 8500 | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3h30 |
| | | 1 | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8h30 |
| | 2010C-4211 | 3800 | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3h |
| | | 1 | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12h30 |
| O103:H2 | ED172 | 11350 | - | - | + | - | + | + | - | - | - | - | 3h |
| | | 1 | - | - | + | - | + | + | - | - | - | - | 8h |
| | 85-250 | 16500 | - | - | + | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | 3h |
| | | 1 | - | - | + | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | 7h |
| | 336S89 | 22250 | - | - | + | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | 3h |
| | | 2 | - | - | + | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | 4h30 |
| O111:H- | Ec1-Can | 7850 | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3h30 |
| | | 1 | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8h |
| | Ec564 | 14500 | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3h15 |
| | | 1 | - | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | - | - | 7h30 |
| O121:H19 | MB57 | 1332 | - | - | - | - | + | + | - | - | - | - | 2h40 |
| | | 1470 | - | - | - | - | + | + | - | - | - | - | 2h50 |
| O132:H18 | NV118 | 103 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| O145:H- | ED28 | 22600 | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | 4h |
| | | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | 8h |
| | VTH34 | 30350 | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | 2h30 |
| | | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | 6h30 |
| O157:H7 | O157 Ec1 | 8250 | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | 2h15 |
| | | 1 | - | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | - | - | 6h30 |
| | 8624 | 120050 | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | 2h |
| | | 12 | + | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | 8h |
| | CIP 105917 | 493 | + | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | - | - | 6h30 |
| | | 5 | + | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | - | - | 7h |
| Mix O127:H6 (E2348/69) / O157:H7 (8624) | | 7315 / 11 | - | - | + | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | 8h |

**Table 2. Screening of O157 and non-O157 STEC on an antibody microarray.**

**Table 2:** Samples contaminated with low and high concentrations of STEC (O26:H11; O45:H2, O103:H2; O111:H-; O121:H19; O145:H-; O157:H7) and non-STEC (O127:H6; O132:H18; O4:H5) were processed on the antibody microarray. Detection times were all consistent with the initial spiking levels. Expected positive responses with dedicated antibodies are shaded (dark grey) while cross-reacting effects are shown in light grey boxes.

| Sample | Strain | Inoculum (CFU/25g) | Result | Time-to-result (hours) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ground Beef | *E.coli* O157:H7 | 2.5+-1 | + | 9 |
| Ground Beef | *E.coli* O157:H7 | 2.5+-1 | + | 12 |
| Ground Beef | *E.coli* O157:H7 | 0 | - | - |
| Ground Beef | *E.coli* O157:H7 | 0 | - | - |

**Table 3. Detection of *E. coli* O157:H7 contaminated meat.**

**Table 3:** 25 g of ground meat were spiked with low levels of *E. coli* O157:H7 and processed according to protocols standardized by the EU when assayed on the anti-body microarray.