

Unconventional field induced phases in a quantum magnet formed by free radical tetramers

Andrés Saúl, Nicolas Gauthier, Reza Moosavi Askari, Michel Côté, Thierry Maris, Christian Reber, Anthony Lannes, Dominique Luneau, Michael Nicklas, Joseph M Law, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Andrés Saúl, Nicolas Gauthier, Reza Moosavi Askari, Michel Côté, Thierry Maris, et al.. Unconventional field induced phases in a quantum magnet formed by free radical tetramers. Physical Review B: Condensed Matter and Materials Physics (1998-2015), 2018, 97 (6), 10.1103/physrevb.97.064414. hal-01970669

HAL Id: hal-01970669 https://hal.science/hal-01970669v1

Submitted on 5 Jan 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Unconventional field induced phases in a quantum magnet formed by free radical tetramers

Andrés Saúl,^{1,*} Nicolas Gauthier,^{2,3,4} Reza Moosavi Askari,^{2,3} Michel Côté,^{2,3} Thierry Maris,⁵ Christian Reber,^{3,5} Anthony Lannes,⁶ Dominique Luneau,⁶ Michael Nicklas,⁷ Joseph M. Law,⁸ Elizabeth Lauren Green,⁸ Jochen Wosnitza,⁸ Andrea Daniele Bianchi,^{2,3} and Adrian Feiguin⁹

¹Aix-Marseille University, Centre Interdisciplinaire de Nanoscience de Marseille-CNRS (UMR 7325), Marseille, France ²Département de Physique, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada OC H3T 3J7

³Regroupement Québécois sur les Matériaux de Pointe (RQMP), Quebec, Canada QC H3T 3J7

⁴Laboratory for Scientific Developments and Novel Materials, Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland

⁵Département de Chimie, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada QC H3C 3J7

⁶Laboratoire des Multimatériaux et Interfaces (UMR 5615), Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, 69622 Villeurbanne cedex, France

⁷Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, 01187 Dresden, Germany

⁸Hochfeld-Magnetlabor Dresden (HLD-EMFL), Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, D-01314 Dresden, Germany

⁹Department of Physics, Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA

(Received 25 May 2017; revised manuscript received 25 January 2018; published 20 February 2018)

We report experimental and theoretical studies on the magnetic and thermodynamic properties of NIT-2Py, a free radical based organic magnet. From magnetization and specific-heat measurements we establish the temperature versus magnetic field phase diagram which includes two Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC) and an infrequent half-magnetization plateau. Calculations based on density functional theory demonstrate that magnetically this system can be mapped to a quasi-two-dimensional structure of weakly coupled tetramers. Density matrix renormalization group calculations show the unusual characteristics of the BECs where the spins forming the low-field condensate are different than those participating in the high-field one.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.97.064414

I. INTRODUCTION

The exact mapping between spin $S = \frac{1}{2}$ systems and hard bosons proposed by Matsubara and Matsuda in 1956 [1] has opened the possibility of observing Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC) in quantum magnets. Several experimental realizations can be found in the literature, very often formed by interacting transition metal dimers [2,3]. A typical scenario invokes a ground state described by pairs of localized spins forming singlets. An external magnetic field acts as an effective chemical potential for triplet excitations that can subsequently form the BEC, characterized by the presence of (XY) long-range magnetic order in the direction perpendicular to the field. Since a finite magnetic field H_{c1} is necessary to break the dimerized singlets, the temperature versus magnetic field phase diagrams typically display a "dome" structure bounded by two critical fields, $H_{c1} < H < H_{c2}$, and a field-dependent critical temperature $T_c(H)$. While most cases of magnetic BECs formed by $S = \frac{1}{2}$ dimers follow this picture (see Ref. [2]) for a review), there are magnets such as Cs_2CuCl_4 [4–6], where the system is already ordered at zero field.

BECs have also been observed in systems formed by S=1 dimers such as Ba₃Mn₂O₈ [7,8] or the organic biradical F₂PNNNO [9–11] where the total spin can take the values 0, 1, and 2. These systems present an energy gap above the singlet ground state and a half-magnetization plateau corresponding to the triplet state of the dimers. In Ba₃MnO₈ [7,8], two field

induced domes have been observed, the first one corresponding to the condensation of triplets and the second one to the condensation of quintuplets.

A similar behavior with two field induced domes can be expected in a system of weakly interacting $S = \frac{1}{2}$ tetramers, where it is possible to realize nontrivial intratetramer quantum order determined by the relative strength of the exchange interactions. Unfortunately, contrary to the large amount of low-dimensional systems where the magnetic centers form dimers, there are very few low-dimensional systems formed by interacting tetramers: Cu₂CdB₂O₆ [12–15], CuInVO₅ [16], and SeCuO₃ [17]. In these $S = \frac{1}{2}$ systems, where the magnetic centers are *d* electrons carried by the Cu atoms, the large values of the magnetic interactions prevent the experimental exploration of the full phase diagram. For these reasons, to the best of our knowledge, no observation of Bose-Einstein condensation has been reported so far in $S = \frac{1}{2}$ tetramers.

In this work, we present experimental and theoretical evidence for Bose-Einstein condensation in a crystal of NIT-2Py, a free radical based organic magnet [18] which behaves as weakly interacting $S = \frac{1}{2}$ tetramers. We show that the physics can be described in terms of a fully rotational invariant system of quantum spins without frustration. When increasing the magnetic field, at low temperature, we find the existence of three quantum phases. We interpret two of them as having the physics of BECs. In the low-field phase only the edge spins of each tetramer contribute to the condensate, while in the high-field phase, the order is determined by the two central spins. These unusual BECs are separated by an incompressible state at half-magnetization that is a genuine quantum phase,

^{*}Corresponding author: saul@cinam.univ-mrs.fr

with half of the spins forming dimerized pairs and the other half aligned in the direction of the field.

The paper is organized as follows. The experimental details are given in Sec. II and the experimental results are presented in Sec. III. The latter includes the determination of the crystallographic structure (Sec. III A), the characterization of the magnetic properties from susceptibility and magnetization measurements (Sec. III B), the evaluation of the magnetic contribution to the specific heat (Sec. III C), and the determination of the temperature versus magnetic field phase diagram (Sec. III D). The theoretical evaluation of the effective exchange interactions of the Heisenberg Hamiltonian is presented in Sec. IV and the determination of the ground state of the system versus the applied magnetic field is given in Sec. V. Section VI concludes the paper with a short summary.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The organic insulator 2-(2-Pyridyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-4,5-dihydro-1,H-imidazole-3-oxide-1-oxyl, shortly called NIT-2Py, is part of the nitronyl nitroxide family. Crystals of NIT-2Py were grown according to the method published in Refs. [19,20] and single crystals up to $1 \times 1 \times 10$ mm have been obtained. The crystal structure was confirmed in a single-crystal x-ray diffraction experiment performed on a Bruker Microstar X8/Proteum diffractometer equipped with a Copper rotating anode delivering Cu $K - \alpha$ radiation through multilayer Helios mirror optics. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC-1531994).

The magnetic susceptibility and magnetization were measured in a commercial Quantum Design VSM SQUID magnetometer in the temperature range from 1.8 to 300 K and magnetic fields up to 7 T, where the sample was mounted with Apiezon N grease. For temperatures from 0.5 to 2 K, and magnetic fields up to 7 T we used a Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer equipped with an iHelium3 option from IQUANTUM. Here, the sample was positioned in a Kapton tube and fixed with Teflon tape. We also carried out measurements in pulsed magnetic fields up to 20 T in a pumped ⁴He cryostat. Here, the sample was fixed inside a compensated pick-up coil with Apiezon N grease.

The specific heat at ambient and under pressure was measured between 0.35 and 35 K in Quantum Design Physical Properties Measurement System (PPMS) equipped with a ³He option and in magnetic fields up to 9 T. The specific heat under pressure was measured using a homemade miniature CuBe pressure clamp [21] with a small piece of lead as the pressure indicator. This pressure cell is small enough to fit into the ³He insert of a PPMS. The magnetocaloric measurements were carried out in a dilution refrigerator equipped with a 20-T magnet. The sample was attached to a sapphire chip with Apiezon N. This platform has a thermometer, and is weakly coupled to a temperature-regulated bath. The measurements were then carried out by placing the sample at a specific point in the H-T phase diagram and then a field ramp was started. During the ramp, the temperature of the bath was set to the sample temperature in a closed loop, while temperature and field were recorded continuously.



FIG. 1. Atomic and magnetic structure of NIT-2Py. (a) Structural formula. (b) Atomic structure and isosurfaces of positive (yellow) and negative (blue) spin density ($\pm 0.003 \text{ e/Å}^3$). Oxygen atoms are represented in red, carbon atoms in brown, nitrogen atoms in gray, and hydrogen atoms in light pink. (c) Monoclinic unit cell. (d) Lateral and top view of the 2D arrangement of the three leading magnetic interactions in the (-1,0,2) plane: J_4 (black), J_6 (red), and J_9 (violet). The circles represent the C atom in the central O-N-C-N-O branch and the numbers correspond to those in (c). The solid lines outline a unit cell. (e) Topologically equivalent network of the magnetic lattice used for the DMRG calculations.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Crystallographic structure

NIT-2Py crystallizes in the $P_{1/c}$ space group No. 14. The chemical and atomic structure of the isolated molecule is shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) and the monoclinic unit cell in Fig. 1(c). It contains 264 atoms. The lattice parameters are a =6.1471 Å, b = 30.0605 Å, c = 12.9583 Å, and $\beta = 100.269^{\circ}$. There are eight molecules per unit cell [18] belonging to two inequivalent groups of four molecules each (molecules numbered in red 1 to 4 and numbered in blue 5 to 8).

B. Susceptibility and magnetization

While the magnetism of metallic ions arises from unfilled atomic d or f orbitals, the magnetic moment in free radicals stems from unfilled molecular orbitals. For each NIT-2Py molecule, there is one unpaired electron that leads to a spin $S = \frac{1}{2}$ per molecule. The inverse of the magnetic susceptibility χ measured on polycrystalline NIT-2Py is shown in Fig. 2(a). A deviation from the expected Curie-Weiss law is observed due to significant diamagnetic contributions χ_{Dia} [see Fig. 2(a)]. As NIT-2Py carries only one spin $S = \frac{1}{2}$ per molecule, which contains a total of 33 atoms, the diamagnetic contribution to the magnetic susceptibility from molecular bonds is significant. It has been subtracted requiring that the remaining (paramagnetic) part would follow a perfect Curie-Weiss law resulting in a χ_{Dia} of $-131(2) \ \mu \text{emu/mol}$. A value which is of the same order of magnitude as the one that can be calculated from tabulated values of Pascal's contributions from closed molecular orbitals [22].



FIG. 2. (a) The magnetic susceptibility χ_m of a NIT-2Py polycrystal measured in an applied field of 1000 Oe is shown as blue squares. The paramagnetic susceptibility $\chi_{Para} = \chi_m - \chi_{Dia}$ obtained after the subtraction of χ_{Dia} is shown as red circles. The solid line is a straight-line fit of χ_{Para} to a Curie-Weiss law. (b) Low-temperature region of $1/\chi_{Para}$ vs *T*. The dashed line is the Curie-Weiss fit. (c) Magnetization of a single crystal for temperatures below 2 K measured in a applied field of 50 Oe showing the behavior characteristic of antiferromagnetic order.

Fitting a Curie-Weiss law to $\chi_{Para} = \chi - \chi_{Dia}$ results in an effective moment of $1.71 \pm 0.01 \,\mu_{\rm B}$ per molecule, which is in agreement with the expected value of $g\sqrt{(S(S+1))}$ for a $S = \frac{1}{2}$ spin from the unpaired electron of each NIT-2Py molecule. The Curie-Weiss temperature of $\theta_{\rm CW}$ of -1.38 ± 0.05 K [see Fig. 2(b)] points to antiferromagnetic interactions between the NIT-2Py molecules.

Measurements on a single crystal of NIT-2Py along different crystallographic directions showed no significant evidence for an angular dependence of the magnetic susceptibility after we corrected for sample geometry [23]. This is expected for an organic compound such as NIT-2Py with small spin-orbit coupling.

Temperature-dependent magnetization measurements at 50 Oe and below 2 K on a single crystal show a maximum around 1.4 K and a point of inflection at 1.3 K [see Fig. 2(c)] indicating a possible antiferromagnetic transition at a characteristic temperature similar to the Curie-Weiss temperature.

Magnetization isotherms of NIT-2Py at different temperatures are shown in Fig. 3(a), where it can be seen that a plateau at half of the saturation value begins to develop for temperatures below 1.45 K and which is fully developed at 0.5 K. The observed saturation value corresponds to 1 μ_B per molecule, as expected for one free $S = \frac{1}{2}$ spin per NIT-2Py molecule. The dependence of the magnetization versus temperature at different magnetic fields is shown in Fig. 3(b). The convergence of the magnetization curves to 0.5 μ_B at low temperature for magnetic fields between 2 to 5 T corresponds to the plateau at half the full magnetization.

While fractional plateaus are usually associated with quantum effects, a simple possible explanation for the existence of this plateau could be that one of the two crystallographically inequivalent groups of molecules [see Fig. 1(c)] form antiferromagnetic dimers and the other ones behave as $S = \frac{1}{2}$ paramagnets [24,25]. This picture fails to be conclusive because the magnetization increase between 0 and 2 T is slower



FIG. 3. (a) Magnetization of NIT-2Py as a function of the applied field. The data at 0.5 K are shown as solid diamonds, for 1.45 K as solid circles, for 5 K as filled squares, and for 50 K as open circles. The dashed line shows pulsed field magnetization at 1.43 K. The solid lines are obtained by minimizing the difference between the calculated magnetization obtained from diagonalizing Eq. (2) for a system of tetramers and the experimental results, as discussed in the last paragraph of Sec. IV. (b) Magnetization of NIT-2Py as a function of temperature. The filled symbols are the data taken with a VSM SQUID, and the open symbols were taken with the ³He option. The solid lines are theoretical values calculated as described in (a).

than the paramagnetic contribution 0.672 $\mu_{\rm B}H/T$ indicating that other antiferromagnetic interactions are also playing a significant role.

C. Specific heat

The specific heat C_p of NIT-2Py is shown in Fig. 4(a) for temperatures up to 35 K. The magnetic contribution to the specific heat is given by $C_m = C_p - C_{ph}$, where C_{ph} is the phonon contribution. This contribution was estimated by fitting the specific heat above 12 K to a Debye model:

$$C_{\rm ph} = 9Nk_{\rm B} \left(\frac{T}{\theta_{\rm D}}\right)^3 \int_0^{\frac{\theta_{\rm D}}{T}} \frac{x^4 e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} dx.$$
 (1)

Here, T is the temperature, θ_D the Debye temperature, and N the number of molecules. The fit resulted in a θ_D of 122 K. Such a low value of θ_D is expected in a system with



FIG. 4. (a) The measured specific heat C_p of NIT-2Py in zero magnetic field, the phonon contribution from the lattice C_{ph} , and the magnetic contribution $C_m = C_p - C_{ph}$ are shown in black (solid line), red (diamonds), and blue (circles), respectively. The inset shows the linear extrapolation of C_p/T to zero temperature used to calculate the entropy. (b) Entropy associated with the phase transition in various magnetic fields for temperatures up to 10 K. The dashed line marks $S = R \ln 2$, the value expected for a $S = \frac{1}{2}$ per molecule.

weak bonds between the molecules such as in a molecular crystal like NIT-2Py. The fit also resulted in a N of 2.1, which indicates that the two rings of the molecule act as independent vibrational units. In zero applied fields, C_m features a sharp peak at $T_{c1}(0) = 1.32$ K superimposed over a large Schottky-type anomaly towards higher temperatures. This value of T_{c1} is the same temperature, at which we observe a point of inflection in the magnetization, suggesting the presence of an antiferromagnetic phase transition.

We calculated the magnetic entropy associated with the phase transition by numerically integrating our specific-heat data $S = \int_0^T \frac{C_m}{T} dT$. The result of this integration is shown in Fig. 4(b). In order to be able to carry out this integration, we extrapolated $\frac{C_m}{T}$ linearly to zero Kelvin, as shown in the inset of Fig. 4(b). The zero-field entropy shows that only a small fraction of the value of $S = R \ln 2$ expected for the magnetic entropy of a spin $S = \frac{1}{2}$ is recovered just above the transition at 1.32 K. In order to fully recover $S = R \ln 2$, we have to integrate up to 8 K, which indicates that only a fraction of a spin $\frac{1}{2}$ is ordering in the transition.

We have also carried out specific-heat measurements in a number of magnetic fields. The corresponding magnetic contributions are shown in Fig. 5(a). The phase transition seen in zero field is rapidly suppressed in a magnetic field. At a field of 2 T, only a small peak is visible, whereas most of the weight of the transition has merged with the Schottky-type anomaly centered at 2 K, until the transition is completely suppressed at $H_{c1} \simeq 2.2$ T. Increasing the field further pushes the broad anomaly to higher temperatures. At 6 T, a very sharp peak is observed, indicating the presence of a second phase transition. This transition occurs only for a limited field range, being absent at 5 and 7 T. To map out this second phase transition, we additionally carried out specific-heat measurements at fixed temperatures as a function of magnetic field. Specific-heat measurements versus magnetic field at



FIG. 5. (a) Magnetic contribution to the specific heat of NIT-2Py for various magnetic fields and temperatures from 0.35 to 3 K. The zero-field data shown as the solid squares show a peak at 1.32 K. A field of 2 T almost completely suppresses this phase transition shown as the solid green diamonds, and by 3 T, shown as solid blue triangles, all that is left of the transition is very broad anomaly centered at 2 K. The red solid diamonds show the data at 6 T, where we see a second sharp peak associated with a second phase transition. This transition is fully suppressed by 9 T. (b) Specific heat as a function of magnetic field measured at fixed temperatures. The data at 0.38 K, shown as solid diamonds, displays a first anomaly at about 2.2 T, followed by two more anomalies at 5.1 and 6.7 T.

0.38 K present anomalies at 2.2, 5.1, and 6.7 T confirming the existence of the three phase transitions [Fig. 5(b)]. When increasing the temperature the first anomaly shifts to lower magnetic fields and the other two approach each other and finally disappear for temperatures above the maximum critical temperature $T_{c2}(H = 6 \text{ T})$ of 0.53 K.

D. Phase diagram

The second anomaly seen in the specific heat forms a dome in the H-T phase diagram (see Fig. 6), which is reminiscent of the Bose-Einstein condensation of magnons seen in quantum paramagnets [2], and easy-plane antiferromagnets with U(1)rotational invariance around a crystallographic axis [5]. In order to further explore the phase boundary of this field induced dome, we carried out magnetocaloric measurements, which are shown in Fig. 7(a). Characteristic traces for fields being swept up or down both show heating when the phase boundary is crossed. This suggests that our sample and the thermal bath are in equilibrium according to the discussion of magnetocaloric experiments in Ref. [2]. We determined the



FIG. 6. Magnetic phase diagram T vs H deduced from sharp peaks in the specific heat C_p (solid black circles) and magnetocaloric effect (MCE) measurements (red triangles, open for down swept fields, solid for fields swept up). Gray, red, and blue regions represent the low-field quantum phase (LFQP), high-field quantum phase (HFQP), and ferromagnetic (FM) phases, respectively. The solid squares (3D) and open diamonds (2D) are the size of the gap Δ extracted from a fit of the magnon excitation spectrum. The open circles are the phase boundaries of the BEC region of the phase diagram determined from specific heat with an applied pressure of 10 kbar.

phase boundary as the midpoint between the two extrema of the *H*-*T* trace. The phase boundary determined from magnetocaloric measurements is in fair agreement with the one determined from specific-heat measurements. The critical exponent ϕ of the upper critical field H_{c3} extracted from the results of the magnetocaloric measurements suggests that the field induced order is a Bose-Einstein condensation of magnons. The critical exponent is related to the power-law dependence of $H_{c3}(T) - H_{c3}(0) \propto T^{\phi}$. Since the value of ϕ depends sensitively on $H_{c3}(0)$, we followed the procedure laid out in Ref. [26] to obtain an accurate value for the critical exponent.

First, the critical field $H_{c3}(0)$ is determined by a fit to the data for different temperature windows T_w for various trial values of ϕ . The values of $H_{c3}(0)$ resulting from these fits are shown in Fig. 7(b). An accurate value of the physical critical field is obtained by the extrapolation to an infinitesimally small temperature window for each trial value of ϕ . Here, all the different extrapolations for different ϕ converge to $H_{c3}(0) = 7.345 \pm 0.003$ T. Using this value, the critical exponent ϕ was obtained through a similar extrapolation to infinitesimally small temperature window, as shown in Fig. 7(c). The resulting value $\phi = 1.47 \pm 0.09$ corresponds well to $\phi = 1.5$ expected for a 3D Bose-Einstein condensate of magnons [27–29].

The field dependence of C_m at fixed temperatures [see Fig. 5(b)] shows a Schottky-type anomaly for fields above the upper critical field H_{c3} , indicating the presence of a gap in the magnon spectrum of the field polarized ferromagnetic phase. For the transition at H_{c3} to be a Bose-Einstein condensation, this magnon gap needs to close at H_{c3} [5]. To search for a



FIG. 7. (a) The solid black circles are the position of the anomaly from specific-heat measurements. The open red triangles indicate the position of the anomaly in temperature for fields being swept down, the solid red triangles are obtained from up sweeps. The dashed (solid) red line presents a characteristic temperature-field trace for sweeping the magnetic field down (up). (b) Determination of the critical field $H_{c3}(0)$ by fitting the phase boundary $H_{c3}(T) - H_{c3}(0) \propto T^{\phi}$ for various values of the critical exponent and different temperature windows. (c) Determination of the critical exponent ϕ using the value of the critical field H_{c3} of 7.345 \pm 0.003 T found in (b).

magnon gap in NIT-2Py, we analyzed the magnetic specificheat data for fields above H_{c3} . To extract the size of the magnon gap Δ we tested contributions from a 2D as well as from a 3D magnon fluctuation spectrum. This analysis is shown in Figs. 8(a) and 8(b), respectively. Here, we are following the example laid out for Cs₂CuCl₄, which in zero field displays XY antiferromagnetic order which is U(1) invariant around the a axis [5]. Applying a magnetic field H along the a axis then breaks this U(1) symmetry, as the transverse spin component orders at T_c [5]. This leads to the appearance of a Goldstone mode with a linear dispersion, which in the case of Cs₂CuCl₄ in Ref. [5] was interpreted as the signature of a magnon Bose-Einstein condensation. We fitted our 7-, 8-, and 9-T data with $C_m^{2D} = A \frac{e^{-\frac{\lambda}{T}}}{T}$, which is characteristic for a 2D magnon spectra, as well as $C_m^{3D} = B \frac{e^{-\frac{\lambda}{T}}}{\sqrt{T}}$, which is characteristic for a 3D spectrum. Both curves fit our data equally well in the available temperature and magnetic field range, and we are unable to determine the dimensionality of the magnons in the field induced ferromagnetic phase. The values of the gaps obtained from our fits, which are very similar in size for both models, are shown in the H-T phase diagram shown in Fig. 6. For both spectra, the resulting magnon gap Δ disappears at H_{c3} at zero temperature, as required for case of Bose-Einstein condensation.

The interactions in NIT-2Py are due to the overlap of the atomic orbitals of the different molecules. Organic materials often show a drastic change of their physical properties (see for example Ref. [30]), such as the appearance of superconductivity and charge- or spin-density wave transitions. We



FIG. 8. (a) Semilogarithmic plot of the magnetic specific heat C_m of NIT-2Py presented as $C_m T$ vs 1/T for 7 (diamonds), 8 (circles), and 9 T (squares). The dashed lines are fits to $C_m^{2D} = A \frac{e^{-\frac{T}{T}}}{T}$ expected for 2D magnons. (b) Same data as shown in (a), but shown as $C_m \sqrt{T}$ vs 1/T. The dashed lines are fits to $C_m^{3D} = B \frac{e^{-\frac{T}{T}}}{\sqrt{T}}$. (c) Magnetic specific heat C_m of NIT-2Py measured in a pressure cell at ambient pressure in the temperature range from 0.4 to 4 K. The solid line marks the position of the zero-field anomaly. (d) C_m data for an applied pressure of 5 kbar. (e) C_m data for 10 kbar of pressure.

measured specific heat of NIT-2Py with applied pressures of 0, 5, and 10 kbar in a number of applied fields. The results are shown in Figs. 8(c)-8(e). While pressure somewhat broadens the anomalies in the specific heat, the anomalies are still visible. Pressure increases the temperature of the first dome, as well as the second dome, but also pushes the maximum of the domes and the upper critical field of the anomalies to higher fields, as shown in Fig. 6.

The *H*-*T* phase diagram of Fig. 6 combines specific-heat and magnetocaloric data. For fields below H_{c1} of ≈ 2.2 T, we find a low-field quantum phase from specific-heat measurements. For this range of magnetic fields, the magnetization increases approximately linearly with applied field at 0.5 K. For fields between H_{c1} , and H_{c2} , the magnetization shows a plateau at half the saturation value at 0.5 K. The occurrence of half-magnetization plateaus is rare, and we are only aware of two examples: the spin-1 dimers Ba₃Mn₂O₈ [7,8] and the organic biradical F₂PNNNO [9–11]. For fields above H_{c2} , the magnetization increases again and saturates at H_{c3} , where the specific-heat and magnetocaloric results indicate a phase boundary. This suggests that NIT-2Py displays two field induced Bose-Einstein condensations. This is also borne out by the vanishing magnon gap Δ at H_{c3} , which was extracted from specific-heat data in magnetic fields larger than H_{c3} .

IV. CALCULATION OF THE EFFECTIVE EXCHANGE INTERACTIONS

To understand the magnetic order at the origin of the phase transitions, one needs to determine the leading magnetic interactions between the NIT-2Py molecules. Due to the negligible anisotropy we have assumed that the magnetic properties can be described by a rotational invariant Heisenberg Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \sum_{i>j} J_{ij} \,\,\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{j}},\tag{2}$$

where \hat{H}_0 is the spin-independent part of the Hamiltonian, J_{ij} are the magnetic couplings, and \hat{S}_i and \hat{S}_j are the $S = \frac{1}{2}$ spin operators localized on the NIT-2Py molecules at sites *i* and *j*, respectively. Unfortunately, it is difficult to see an obvious arrangement of the molecules which can be used to predict the relative strength of the exchange interactions by inspection of the crystal structure.

Moreover, compared to transition metal oxide based quantum magnets [31–33], the spin polarization in NIT-2Py is highly delocalized on the O-N-C-N-O branch in the center of the molecule [see Fig. 1(b)] like in other members of the family [34,35]. Hence, the interactions are expected to have a rather extended range. For this reason, we have calculated 13 different interactions up to intermolecular distances of 9.404 Å (see Table I). To classify the exchange interactions, we used the distance between the central C atoms in the O-N-C-N-O branch of each molecule.

The calculations were performed using a broken-symmetry formalism, i.e., by mapping total energies corresponding to various collinear spin arrangements within a supercell onto the Heisenberg Hamiltonian of Eq. (2). For the calculations we have used the QUANTUM ESPRESSO [36] code based on density functional theory, ultrasoft pseudopotentials, and the PBE functional [37] with a plane-wave and charge-density cutoff of 80 and 320 Ry, respectively. We have used a $4 \times 1 \times 2$ Monkhorst-Pack [38] grid for the first Brillouin zone sampling of the 264-atom monoclinic 1×1×1 unit cell and adapted equivalent samplings for the double $2 \times 1 \times 1$ and $1 \times 1 \times 2$ or the quadruple $2 \times 1 \times 2$ supercell calculations. A full relaxation of the internal coordinates of the 264 atoms has been performed in the $1 \times 1 \times 1$ cell. The same relaxed coordinates have been consistently used to construct the supercells. The different supercells were needed to distinguish the exchange interactions between a molecule and two different molecules which are connected by the translation symmetry if the $1 \times 1 \times 1$ unit cell is used. Only the 1056-atom $2 \times 1 \times 2$ unit cell allows to calculate separately the 13 interactions. The 264-atom $1 \times 1 \times 1$ unit cell, whose total energy can be written as

$$E^{111} = E_0 + \frac{1}{4} \left[4 \left(J_1 + J_1' \right) + b_2 \left(J_2 + J_4 + J_7 + J_{11} \right) + b_3 \left(J_3 + J_{10} \right) + b_5 \left(J_5 + J_9 \right) + b_6 \left(J_6 + J_{12} \right) + b_8 J_8 \right]$$
(3)

neither allow to calculate J_1 and J'_1 nor to separate J_2 , J_4 , J_7 , and J_{11} ; J_3 and J_{10} ; J_5 and J_9 ; and J_6 and J_{12} . Similar limitations arise with the 528-atom $1 \times 1 \times 2$ and $2 \times 1 \times 1$ unit cells, whose total energies are

$$E^{112} = 2 E_0 + \frac{1}{4} [8 (J_1 + J'_1) + c_2 (J_2 + J_7) + c_4 (J_4 + J_{11}) + c_3 J_3 + c_5 J_5 + c_6 (J_6 + J_{12}) + c_8 J_8 + c_9 J_9 + c_{10} J_{10}]$$
(4)

and

110

$$E^{211} = 2 E_0 + \frac{1}{4} [d_1 J_1 + d'_1 J'_1 + d_2 (J_2 + J_4) + d_3 J_3 + d_5 J_5 + d_6 J_6 + d_7 (J_7 + J_{11}) + d_8 J_8 + d_9 J_9 + d_{10} J_{10} + d_{12} J_{12}].$$
(5)

The coefficients b_j , c_j , and d_j depend on the spin arrangements of the molecules.

Two different calculation procedures have been used to calculate the effective exchange interactions. The first procedure uses a least-squares minimization of the difference between the DFT and Ising relative energies to obtain a numerical evaluation of the couplings. The second procedure allows to calculate separately the effective exchange interaction. For example, the interaction between spin i and j can be evaluated from

$$J_{ij} = E_{ij}(\uparrow\uparrow) + E_{ij}(\downarrow\downarrow) - E_{ij}(\uparrow\downarrow) - E_{ij}(\downarrow\uparrow), \quad (6)$$

where $E_{ij}(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ are the four spin configurations where the spins *i* and *j* take the values up or down while all the other spins are kept up [39]. J_{ij} could be a single or a sum of exchange interactions depending on the size of the unit cell used to calculate the total energies.

As the $1 \times 1 \times 1$ unit cell contains 8 molecules, there are a total of 256 distinct spin configurations. However, taking crystal and spin-reversal symmetries into account, this number can be reduced to 39. The application of the least-squares minimization procedure to this unit cell gives a first estimation of the exchange interactions (in units of K):

$$J_2 + J_4 + J_7 + J_{11} = 12.5$$
$$J_3 + J_{10} = -0.5,$$
$$J_5 + J_9 = 7.5,$$
$$J_6 + J_{12} = 8.6,$$
$$J_8 = -0.2.$$

The second procedure [39] was used with the larger unit cells to evaluate J_1 and J'_1 and separate the exchange interactions. All the calculations gave consistent values of the exchange interactions with an overall error of ± 0.1 K. For example, with the $2 \times 1 \times 1$ unit cell we obtain

$$J_{2} + J_{4} = 11.3,$$

$$J_{7} + J_{11} = 1.2,$$

$$J_{5} = -0.5,$$

$$J_{9} = 8.1,$$

$$J_{6} = 6.2,$$

$$J_{12} = 2.3$$

TABLE I. Effective exchange interactions. The 13 interactions calculated in this work between the NIT-2Py molecules obtained using density functional theory are listed in the first column. The distances in the second column are measured between the C atoms in the O-N-C-N-O branch of each molecule. The third column gives the equivalent groups of the molecules associated with the corresponding exchange interaction. In the last column, the effective interactions are given in units of K. A positive value is associated to an antiferromagnetic interaction.

	$d_{\text{C-C}}$ (Å)	Equivalent group	$J_i(\mathbf{K})$
$\overline{J_1}$	6.15	1-1	- 0.9
J_1'	6.15	2-2	-2.9
J_2^{1}	6.43	1-1	-0.6
J_3	6.68	2-2	-0.5
J_4	7.00	1-1	+11.9
J_5	7.40	1-2	-0.6
J_6	7.86	1-2	+6.2
J_7	7.94	1-1	+1.1
J_8	8.08	1-2	-0.2
J_9	8.20	1-2	+8.1
J_{10}	8.26	2-2	+0.0
J_{11}	8.63	1-1	+0.1
J_{12}	9.40	1-2	+2.4

whose corresponding sums are in good agreement with the values obtained from the single unit cell. Similarly, with the $1 \times 1 \times 2$ unit cell we get

$$J_2 + J_7 = 0.5,$$

 $J_4 + J_{11} = 12.0$

in agreement with the above estimations. A summary of the calculated exchange interactions is shown in Table I.

It is interesting to note that in spite of the fact that most of the interactions have nonzero values, the three leading couplings are all antiferromagnetic with positive values, namely, $J_4 = 11.9$, $J_6 = 6.2$, and $J_9 = 8.1$ K. The strongest interaction J_4 is represented by the thick black lines in Fig. 1(d) and connects molecules, which are related by symmetry [1 and 4 or 2 and 3 as labeled in Fig. 1(c)]. If one sets J_6 and J_9 to zero, the equivalent magnetic lattice would correspond to dimers on one of the two sublattices formed by one of the groups of four crystallographically equivalent molecules and isolated paramagnets on the other. If one keeps the secondlargest interaction J_9 , represented by violet lines in Fig. 1(d), the system becomes an ensemble of independent tetramers consisting of four $S = \frac{1}{2}$ moments. When the third-strongest term J_6 is added, the magnetic structure forms a corrugated 2D lattice of interacting tetramers, as shown in Fig. 1(d). This family of planes is indexed by $\{-1,0,2\}$. Despite the complexity of the structure and the large number of couplings, the system is not frustrated, and it is possible to satisfy the conditions for an antiferromagnetic $S_{tot} = 0$ Néel order (see Fig. 11), in agreement with experiments.

Magnetization isotherms calculated by exact diagonalization for a system of four coupled tetramers show a good qualitative agreement with the experimental data in spite of a systematic shift of the critical fields and temperatures to larger values. The reason for this difference lies in the known overestimation of the exchange interactions when a semilocal functional is used [33,40]. A quantitative match with the experiments requires smaller values of the exchange interactions.

The order of magnitude of the intratetramer exchange interactions $(J_4 \text{ and } J_9)$ can be obtained by comparing the two critical fields $E_{ST}(J_4, J_9)$ and $E_{TO}(J_4, J_9)$ [Eqs. (A8) and (A9)], corresponding to the stabilization of the triplet and quintuplet ground states of the isolated tetramer, to the values of the magnetic field at the center of the domes in the T vs H phase diagram shown in Fig. 6. The intensity of the intertetramer interaction (J_6) can be estimated from the width of the domes at zero temperature. The critical fields of about 1.1 and 5.8 T and a half-width 1.25 T (see Fig. 6) give a rough estimate of $J_4 = 5.7$, $J_6 = 1.7$, and $J_9 = 3.3$ K. A more precise estimation can be obtained by a least-squares minimization of the differences between the experimental and theoretical magnetization obtained by exact diagonalization of the Heisenberg Hamiltonian given in Eq. (2). With this procedure we obtained $J_4 = 6$, $J_6 = 1$, and $J_9 = 2.8$ K. These values have been used in the rest of the work and for the solid lines in Fig. 3.

V. CALCULATION OF THE GROUND STATE VERSUS MAGNETIC FIELD

In order to determine the ground state of this system in an applied magnetic field, we performed density matrix renormalization group calculations (DMRG) [41,42]. For clarity and convenience, we have placed the tetramers on the vertices of a square lattice, as shown in Fig. 1(e). The calculations were performed on cylinders of different aspect ratios. The antiferromagnetic exchange between tetramers J_6 is smaller by at least a factor of 3 compared to the ones within tetramers J_4 and J_9 . In zeroth-order approximation we can consider the ground state to be a crystal of singlets. Nevertheless, these interactions are very important since they are responsible for establishing long-range magnetic order. The weakly entangled nature of our model makes it amenable to DMRG calculations, which have already proven very successful in unveiling the magnetic phases of the Shastry-Sutherland compound $SrCu_2(BO_3)_2$ [43–45]. Simulations at zero field yield a small but finite singlet-triplet gap of 0.38 K. Moreover, the groundstate energy per tetramer $E_0 = -0.9787 J_4$ is very close to the value for an isolated tetramer $-0.9675 J_4$, indicating that the ground state is a crystal of tetramers without longrange antiferromagnetism (the dependence of the ground-state energy is shown in Fig. 12). However, it is possible that interlayer or additional interactions could close the gap and establish true long-range order. We notice that the zero-field critical temperature is $T_{c1}(0) = 1.32$ K, so it is possible that the material is very close to a quantum critical regime separating a magnetically ordered state from a crystal of tetramers. At the magnetization plateau at $m = \frac{1}{2}$, the Heisenberg contribution to the ground-state energy is $E_{1/2} = -0.8038 J_4$, whereas the one of isolated tetramers is $-0.8017 J_4$. Therefore, the plateau can also be described as an incompressible crystal of tetramers, in which the spins sitting at the edges on the weak bonds are fully polarized in the direction of the field, and the two central spins form a tightly bound dimer. Explicitly, the wave function of a single tetramer at half-magnetization can be written as

$$|g.s.\rangle_{m=1/2} = \alpha |\psi_1\rangle + \beta |\psi_2\rangle, \tag{7}$$

with

$$|\psi_1\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}[|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle] \tag{8}$$

and

$$\psi_2 \rangle = 1/\sqrt{2} [|\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle - |\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle] \tag{9}$$

describing a singlet between the two edge spins and between the central spins, respectively. In our case we find $\beta^2 = 0.95$, meaning that the latter carries almost all the weight. Although we assume this picture of decoupled tetramers to simplify the description of the problem, in reality the DMRG simulations indicate that the moment of the edge spins is $\langle S^z \rangle = 0.48$ and finite but very small correlations $\langle S_i^+ S_j^- \rangle \sim 10^{-3}$ connect nearby tetramers.

The BEC regime is realized both between zero and the lower critical field H_{c1} and between the end of the plateau at H_{c2} and full polarization at H_{c3} , corresponding to the gray LFQP and red HFQP regions in Fig. 6, respectively. As the magnetic field increases, the edge spins start canting in the direction of the field, simultaneously establishing a correlated state with long-range order in the transverse plane. In bosonic language, the edge spins form a superfluid with off-diagonal long-range order, while the central spins remain dimerized. A similar behavior is found above the plateau, with the central spins canting in the direction of the field, while the edge spins remain fully polarized.

In order to characterize the different field induced phases we calculated the longitudinal and transverse spin-structure factors, defined as

$$S^{z}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} \left\langle S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z} \right\rangle e^{i\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j})}, \qquad (10)$$

$$S^{+-}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} \langle S_i^+ S_j^- \rangle e^{i\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)}, \qquad (11)$$

where the *z* direction is chosen along the applied magnetic field and the spin coordinates \mathbf{r}_i and momenta \mathbf{q} are the ones of the topologically equivalent square lattice mentioned above. In the S^z basis, these quantities measure diagonal and off-diagonal long-range order, respectively.

Results for different magnetization values are shown in Fig. 9. Figures 9(a)-9(d) display the longitudinal component S^{z} , while Figs. 9(e)–9(h) show the transverse S^{+-} component. Note that the unit cell used for these calculations is a single spin on a square lattice [Fig. 1(e)]. The corresponding order is sketched below. At m = 0 the correlations do not display a sharp peak, and they are almost evenly distributed along the $q_v = \pm \pi$ axes. This result can be easily recovered by considering a crystal of tetramers in their singlet ground state. At $m = \frac{1}{2}$ we similarly can reproduce the measured quantities by assuming a crystal of triplets. The edge spins are fully polarized, as reflected in the peaks of the longitudinal structure factor at $q = (\pi, \pi)$ (see Fig. 9). The peak at q = (0, 0) is proportional to the total magnetization squared. In the transverse direction, we do not observe a sharp peak, and our results describe a valence-bond solid, or crystal of dimers. At $m = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ the



FIG. 9. Structure factor and magnetic order in NIT-2Py calculated by DMRG in the Brillouin zone of the square lattice for a cylinder of size $L_x \times L_y = 32 \times 8$ (see text). (a)–(d) Longitudinal and (e)–(h) transverse components of the spin structure factor for different values of magnetization m = 0, $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, and $\frac{3}{4}$. The corresponding magnetic order is sketched below each column. Red spins align along the field direction, while violet spins have a component along the field direction and order in the plane transverse to the field. White/empty arrows represent spins in a rotational invariant quantum superposition for tetramers. The gray scale in the bonds indicates the relative strength of the correlations, with black representing a strong dimer.

off-diagonal correlations show sharp additional peaks at $q = (\pi/2,\pi)$, indicating the onset of long-range order (see Fig. 9). It is important to highlight that this regime cannot be explained in terms of isolated tetramers, and emerges as an effect of correlations and due to the intermolecule interactions. In this sense, neither dimers nor edge spins are fully disentangled. In order to determine the existence of off-diagonal order in the thermodynamic limit we perform a finite-size scaling of the structure factor, shown in Fig. 10. We carried out a linear extrapolation in 1/N using cylinders with the same aspect ratio. Results indicate a finite window around $m = \frac{1}{2}$ where the off-diagonal



FIG. 10. Transverse structure factor $S^{+-}(\pi/2,\pi)$ for two system sizes with the same aspect ratio, $N = L_x \times L_y = 12 \times 4$ and 24×8 . Results in the thermodynamics limit were obtained through a linear extrapolation in 1/N. Numerical errors are smaller than the symbol size.

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 97, 064414 (2018)

correlations vanish, suggesting the existence of a new phase with a coexistence of fully polarized spins and a disordered state resulting from the "melting" of the valence-bond solid.

VI. SUMMARY

Our experimental data backed by comprehensive theoretical and numerical analysis demonstrate a rich and unconventional magnetic behavior in the organic molecular crystal NIT-2Py with field induced phases that can only be interpreted in terms of a quantum-mechanical description. Specific-heat and magnetocaloric measurements indicated the presence of two domes in H-T phase diagram: at zero field, NIT-2Py shows an antiferromagnetic phase transition. However, the entropy associated with this phase transition is only a fraction of $R \ln 2$, indicating that the ground state of this crystal of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ carrying molecules is quantum mechanical in nature. An applied field suppresses this phase transition at a critical field H_{c1} of 2.2 T. This is the same field at which the magnetization measured at 0.5 K becomes field independent and shows a plateau at half the saturation value up to a H_{c2} of 4.5 T, where a second anomaly appears in the specific heat. Here, the magnetization starts to increase again linearly up to saturation value of $1 \mu_{\rm B}$ to saturate at a field of H_{c3} of 7.3 T, where the second anomaly in specific heat disappears. The exponent ϕ of the power-law behavior $H_{c3}(T) - H_{c3}(0) \propto T^{\phi}$ at H_{c3} of this second dome in the H-T phase diagram corresponds to the value expected for a Bose-Einstein condensation of magnons. This is supported by the magnon gap Δ we see in the specific heat for magnetic fields above H_{c3} , which closes at H_{c3} .

In order to be able to propose an effective model of the interactions in NIT-2Py, we carried out a series of total-energy calculations in the so-called broken symmetry formalism, where the spins on the molecules are polarized by hand. Due to lack of spin-orbit interaction in NIT-2Py, the total energies can be mapped directly to the rotationally invariant Heisenberg Hamiltonian of Eq. (2). By using supercells of up to $2 \times 1 \times 2$ we were able to identify the different exchange interactions between neighboring molecules. As listed in Table I, we found that the leading interactions are all antiferromagnetic. The minimal magnetic model obtained by mapping the coordination and strength of the interactions back to the structure consists in spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ tetramers, which form a corrugated 2D lattice parallel to the $\{-1,0,2\}$ set of crystallographic planes, as shown in Fig. 1(d). The strength of the interactions obtained from the broken symmetry formalism is comparable to the values which result from fitting the exchange constants to the magnetization data of NIT-2Py, as shown Fig. 3(a).

Having established the minimal magnetic model, we carried out DMRG calculations on finite but large systems and determined the magnetic phase diagram. To summarize the qualitative picture that emerges from our results and analysis, we find a low-field BEC formed by the spins at the ends of the tetramers, with the two spins in the middle strongly entangled into dimers. The high-field BEC is formed by the central spins, with the ones at the edges practically fully polarized. The high-field BEC is qualitatively similar to TICuCl₃ [46,47] since right above the plateau the system basically consists of a crystal of dimers, and can be described in the same language with the (practically polarized) edge spins mediating the interactions between the singlets. Unlike most quantum magnets that realize a classical "up-up-down" order in the half-magnetization plateau, NIT-2Py exhibits a true quantum state, similar to the one reported in $CdCu_2(BO_3)_2$ [13,15], formed by a valence-bond solid coexisting with fully polarized spins. We hope that NIT-2Py can become a new exciting playground to realize novel states and study quantum phase transitions, for instance, under chemical doping or hydrostatic pressure.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research at UdeM received support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (Canada), Fonds Québécois de la Recherche sur la Nature et les Technologies (Québec), and the Canada Research Chair Foundation. Part of this work was supported by HLD at HZDR, a member of the European Magnetic Field Laboratory (EMFL). N.G. acknowledges the hospitality of the Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids. A.F. acknowledges the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, for support under Grant No. DE-SC0014407. A.S. and A.F. thank M. Jaime, C. Batista, and G. Radtke for fruitful discussions. D.L. and C.R. are grateful for funding by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) for collaborative research (PICS 2015-2017).

APPENDIX A: ISOLATED TETRAMER

The Hamiltonian of an isolated tetramer with interactions J_4 and J_9 is

$$H_{\text{tetra}} = J_9(S_1 \cdot S_2 + S_3 \cdot S_4) + J_4(S_2 \cdot S_3).$$
(A1)

The system has two singlets (S = 0), three triplets (S = 1), and one quintuplet (S = 2) eigenstates whose energies are [48]

$$E_1^S = -\frac{J_9}{2} - \frac{J_4}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{J_4^2 - 2J_4J_9 + 4J_9^2}}{2}, \quad (A2)$$

$$E_2^S = -\frac{J_9}{2} - \frac{J_4}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{J_4^2 - 2J_4J_9 + 4J_9^2}}{2}, \quad (A3)$$

$$E_1^T = -\frac{J_4}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{J_4^2 + J_9^2}}{2},\tag{A4}$$

$$E_2^T = -\frac{J_4}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{J_4^2 + J_9^2}}{2},\tag{A5}$$

$$E_3^T = -\frac{J_9}{2} + \frac{J_4}{4},\tag{A6}$$

$$E_1^Q = \frac{J_9}{2} + \frac{J_4}{4}.$$
 (A7)

With antiferromagnetic (positive) interactions, at zero magnetic field, the ground state is the E_1^S singlet. With an applied magnetic field at zero temperature a first jump in the magnetization arises when the $S_z = 1$ component of the lowest-energy triplet becomes the ground state at

$$H_{\rm ST} = \frac{1}{g \ \mu_{\rm b}} \left(E_1^{\rm T} - E_1^{\rm S} \right) \tag{A8}$$



FIG. 11. Antiferromagnetic Néel order compatible with the calculated exchange interactions.

and a second jump when the $S_z = 2$ component of the quintuplet crosses the $S_z = 1$ energy of the triplet

$$H_{\rm TQ} = \frac{1}{g \ \mu_{\rm b}} \left(E_1^{\rm Q} - E_1^{\rm T} \right). \tag{A9}$$



FIG. 12. Dependence of the DMRG calculations with the number of states *d* for a cylinder of size $L_x \times L_y = 16 \times 8$. Ground-state energy per tetramer E(d) (in units of J_4) and magnetization equal to (a) m = 0 and (b) $m = \frac{1}{2}$. Off-diagonal structure factor (c) $S^{+-}(\pi/2,\pi)$ for $m = 0, \frac{1}{2}$, and 0.375.

APPENDIX B: DENSITY MATRIX RENORMALIZATION GROUP CALCULATIONS

As described in the text, the geometry of the problem was mapped onto a system of spins at the vertices of a square lattice. Antiferromagnetic Néel order is compatible with the calculated exchange interactions as can be seen in Fig. 11 where the magnetic order is represented in the topologically equivalent lattice and magnetic unit cell used for DMRG calculations. The blue and red circles represent opposite projections of the magnetic moment along z. There is no frustration when the three leading magnetic interactions between the NIT-2Py molecules J_4 (black), J_6 (red), and J_9 (magenta) are all antiferromagnetic. This magnetic order corresponds to a 4×2 superstructure with respect to the underlying square lattice. It would appear as peak at $q = (\pi/2, \pi)$ in the spin structure factor.

DMRG simulations were performed on cylinders of different aspect ratios. We found very small entanglement and

- [1] T. Matsubara and H. Matsuda, Prog. Theor. Phys. 16, 569 (1956).
- [2] V. Zapf, M. Jaime, and C. D. Batista, Rev. Mod. Phys. 86, 563 (2014).
- [3] T. Giamarchi, C. Rüegg, and O. Tchernyshyov, Nat. Phys. 4, 198 (2008).
- [4] R. Coldea, D. A. Tennant, K. Habicht, P. Smeibidl, C. Wolters, and Z. Tylczynski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 137203 (2002).
- [5] T. Radu, H. Wilhelm, V. Yushankhai, D. Kovrizhin, R. Coldea, Z. Tylczynski, T. Lühmann, and F. Steglich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 127202 (2005).
- [6] S. E. Sebastian, V. S. Zapf, N. Harrison, C. D. Batista, P. A. Sharma, M. Jaime, I. R. Fisher, and A. Lacerda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 189703 (2006).
- [7] E. C. Samulon, Y. J. Jo, P. Sengupta, C. D. Batista, M. Jaime, L. Balicas, and I. R. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 77, 214441 (2008).
- [8] E. C. Samulon, Y. Kohama, R. D. McDonald, M. C. Shapiro, K. A. Al-Hassanieh, C. D. Batista, M. Jaime, and I. R. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 047202 (2009).
- [9] H. Tsujii, B. Andraka, M. Uchida, H. Tanaka, and Y. Takano, Phys. Rev. B 72, 214434 (2005).
- [10] Y. Hosokoshi, Y. Nakazawa, K. Inoue, K. Takizawa, H. Nakano, M. Takahashi, and T. Goto, Phys. Rev. B 60, 12924 (1999).
- [11] I. G. Bostrem, V. E. Sinitsyn, A. S. Ovchinnikov, Y. Hosokoshi, and K. Inoue, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 22, 036001 (2010).
- [12] M. Hase, M. Kohno, H. Kitazawa, O. Suzuki, K. Ozawa, G. Kido, M. Imai, and X. Hu, Phys. Rev. B 72, 172412 (2005).
- [13] M. Hase, A. Dönni, V. Y. Pomjakushin, L. Keller, F. Gozzo, A. Cervellino, and M. Kohno, Phys. Rev. B 80, 104405 (2009).
- [14] M. Hase, K. Nakajima, S. Ohira-Kawamura, Y. Kawakita, T. Kikuchi, and M. Matsumoto, Phys. Rev. B 92, 184412 (2015).
- [15] O. Janson, I. Rousochatzakis, A. A. Tsirlin, J. Richter, Yu. Skourski, and H. Rosner, Phys. Rev. B 85, 064404 (2012).
- [16] M. Hase, M. Matsumoto, A. Matsuo, and K. Kindo, Phys. Rev. B 94, 174421 (2016).
- [17] I. Zivkovic, D. M. Djokic, M. Herak, D. Pajic, K. Prsa, P. Pattison, D. Dominko, Z. Mickovic, D. Cincic, L. Forro *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 86, 054405 (2012).

finite-size effects due to the weak coupling between the tetramers. Figures 12(a) and 12(b) show the convergence of the ground-state energy with the number of states d for a system of size $L_x \times L_y = 16 \times 8$.

For m = 0, four significant figures in the ground-state energy are achieved with moderate effort d = 800 while for $m = \frac{1}{2}$ seven significant digits can be obtained with just d =200 states. This can be attributed to the weak entanglement in these gaped phases. Results in the paper where obtained with six to seven significant figures for a lattice size of $L_x \times L_y =$ 32×8 containing 256 spins. Typical runs involved 1000 states for the $m = \frac{1}{2}$ phase and up to 2400 states in the other cases.

The dependence of the off-diagonal structure factor $S^{+-}(\pi/2,\pi)$ on the number of DMRG states is shown in Fig. 12(c). The estimated error with d = 1200 is in the third significant digit, while for magnetization $m = \frac{1}{2}$ the results are fully converged.

- [18] V. Barone, A. Grand, D. Luneau, P. Rey, C. Minichino, and R. Subra, New J. Chem. 17, 545 (1993).
- [19] J. H. Osiecki and E. F. Ullman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90, 1078 (1968).
- [20] E. F. Ullman, L. Call, and J. H. Osiecki, J. Org. Chem. 35, 3623 (1970).
- [21] C. F. Miclea, Ph.D. thesis, School Technische Universität Dresden, 2005.
- [22] G. A. Bain and J. F. Berry, J. Chem. Educ. 85, 532 (2008).
- [23] D.-X. Chen, J. A. Brug, and R. B. Goldfarb, IEEE Trans. Magn. 27, 3601 (1991).
- [24] K. C. Rule, A. U. B. Wolter, S. Süllow, D. A. Tennant, A. Brühl, S. Köhler, B. Wolf, M. Lang, and J. Schreuer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 117202 (2008).
- [25] F. Aimo, S. Krämer, M. Klanjšek, M. Horvatić, C. Berthier, and H. Kikuchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 127205 (2009).
- [26] S. E. Sebastian, P. A. Sharma, M. Jaime, N. Harrison, V. Correa, L. Balicas, N. Kawashima, C. D. Batista, and I. R. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 72, 100404(R) (2005).
- [27] I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. B 43, 3215 (1991).
- [28] T. Giamarchi and A. M. Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. B 59, 11398 (1999).
- [29] T. Nikuni, M. Oshikawa, A. Oosawa, and H. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5868 (2000).
- [30] N. Toyota, M. Lang, and J. Müller, *Low-Dimensional Molecular Metals* (Springer, Berlin, 2007).
- [31] A. Saúl and G. Radtke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 177203 (2011).
- [32] G. Radtke, A. Saúl, H. A. Dabkowska, G. M. Luke, and G. A. Botton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 036401 (2010).
- [33] A. Saúl and G. Radtke, Phys. Rev. B 89, 104414 (2014).
- [34] A. Zheludev, E. Ressouche, J. Schweizer, P. Turek, M. Wan, and H. Wang, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **140–144**, 1441 (1995).
- [35] C. Hirel, D. Luneau, J. Pécaut, L. Öhrström, G. Bussière, and C. Reber, Chemistry (Weinheim an der Bergstrasse, Germany) 8, 3157 (2002).
- [36] P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, G. L. Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, I. Dabo et al., J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21, 395502 (2009).

- [37] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- [38] H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, Phys. Rev. B 13, 5188 (1976).
- [39] H. Xiang, C. Lee, H.-J. Koo, X. Gong, and M.-H. Whangbo, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 42, 823 (2013).
- [40] V. V. Mazurenko, M. V. Valentyuk, R. Stern, and A. A. Tsirlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 107202 (2014).
- [41] S. R. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2863 (1992).
- [42] S. R. White, Phys. Rev. B 48, 10345 (1993).
- [43] M. Jaime, R. Daou, S. A. Crooker, F. Weickert, A. Uchida, A. E. Feiguin, C. D. Batista, H. A. Dabkowska, and B. D. Gaulin, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109, 12404 (2012).

- [44] S. Haravifard, D. Graf, A. E. Feiguin, C. D. Batista, J. C. Lang, D. M. Silevitch, G. Srajer, B. D. Gaulin, H. A. Dabkowska, and T. F. Rosenbaum, Nat. Commun. 7, 11956 (2016).
- [45] Y. H. Matsuda, N. Abe, S. Takeyama, H. Kageyama, P. Corboz, A. Honecker, S. R. Manmana, G. R. Foltin, K. P. Schmidt, and F. Mila, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 137204 (2013).
- [46] H. Tanaka, A. Oosawa, T. Kato, H. Uekusa, Y. Ohashi, K. Kakurai, and A. Hoser, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70, 939 (2001).
- [47] C. Rüegg, N. Cavadini, M. Petrology, A. Furrer, Y. Ablation, H.-U. Güdel, K. J. Mineralogy, K. Krämer, H. Mutka, A. Wildes *et al.*, Nature (London) **423**, 62 (2003).
- [48] M. Hase, K. M. S. Etheredge, S.-J. Hwu, K. Hirota, and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. B 56, 3231 (1997).