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Immersive virtual reality simulators are increasingly being used in different domains. One open research problem of such systems is the user’s representation inside the environment, what is called, the self-avatar representation. Our research focuses on using immersive virtual environments (IVEs) for training motor skills. In this case, the typical self-avatar representation is the user’s hands. Researchers have focused on studying the influence of hand appearance and structure on the user’s sense of presence and embodiment, but little is known about the role it plays in learning motor skills.

In this paper, we present the design and implementation of a virtual reality (VR) training simulator for a basic motor task, as well as the design of an experiment to investigate this research problem.

2 RELATED WORK

The importance of body-based perception in immersive environments has been studied for some time [20] [3]. Usoh et al., during the study of alternate ways to control locomotion in a VE, concluded in 1999 that there is a high correlation between presence and the degree of association with the virtual body [21] (sense of embodiment). Kilteni et al. defines the sense of embodiment towards a body B as the sense that emerges when B’s properties are processed as if they were properties of one’s own biological body [14]. Embodiment can be decomposed into three dimensions, the sense of self-location, the sense of agency, and the sense of body ownership [13]. Self-location defines the space where user perceives he/she is located, providing a frame of reference for his/her body and determining the place where sensations are registered. The sense of agency exists when user perceives that he/she is in control of his/her own actions within the environment. Finally, ownership can be defined as the user’s feeling that the artificial body is experienced as his/her body, and is the source of sensations [12]. Related to this subject, Argelaguet et al. recent results showed that the sense of agency relates to the virtual hand control, and ownership to the visual appearance of the virtual hand [1]. In their experiment, they compared three different visual representations of user’s hand by keeping the same control mechanism.

Experiments based on the rubber hand illusion, initially developed in 1998 [4], show that if the user is presented with a conflict between visual position and proprioceptive position of his hand,
he/she will strongly accept it as being where it is seen, so accepting the visual over the proprioceptive position [16]. González-Franco et al. investigated brain activity in response to pain observation when the user’s virtual hand was attacked with a knife [11]. The results allowed to conclude that when a user is in an IVE, he/she has body ownership towards his/her body representation, up to the point of experiencing observed events to take place in reality. Hoyet et al. also performed a study on the hand representation, but focusing on how users perceive a six-digit realistic virtual hand. They concluded that users responses towards controlling a six-digit hand despite the structural difference was positive, being able to accept, up to an extent, this representation as their own.

Human motor skills can be influenced by visual feedback in general and particularly from the body of the user (user’s avatar). For instance, Ossmy et al. studied how the size of the virtual hand affected short-term motor skill learning [17]. The task in their experiment consisted of learning a sequence of finger movements. The results showed that performance increased with a larger size of the virtual hand, and when the ratio of it and the real hand was close to 1. Moreover, these results were observed only when the hand was controlled by the user, and not when the user was simply a spectator during training. This suggest an importance of the integration of visual and tactile human sensors. In this matter, Mohier et al. results show that performance on distance estimations were higher with the presence of an avatar when it was animated in correspondence with the user’s real body movements [16].

On the other hand, Lok et al. studied the effects of interacting with real objects and the self-avatar fidelity on a block arrangement task [15]. They concluded that the kinematic fidelity of the avatar (movement) is significantly more important than the visual fidelity (appearance) for increasing the sense of presence within the virtual environment, and that avatar fidelity did not affect performance on this manual task. Finally, Van Nguyen et al. also explored the influence of a virtual hand in user’s feeling of accuracy and sense of realism for a needle insertion trainer [22]. The results showed that the static virtual hand increased the feeling of accuracy, but not the overall realism of the environment, which can be possibly explained by the fact that the virtual hand was a non-animated model, hence, not reproducing user’s real position and movements.

As we can see from recent work, self-avatar has been studied to explain its influence on presence and embodiment in general tasks. We want to grow the existence knowledge by focusing on the self-avatar impact on the learning curve of motor skills involving tool manipulation in IVEs. Therefore, we have designed and implemented a VR simulator and an experimental protocol which can be used to answer this research problematic.

3 SIMULATOR DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

To investigate our research question, we have designed and developed a virtual reality simulator for training a basic motor skill task in minimally invasive surgery. In fact, virtual simulators could have an important impact on training in this application domain.

The basic motor task chosen for our simulator is a pick-and-place task, and is designed so as the user must use one tool in each hand to manipulate small objects from one position to another, by switching them from one tool to the other. It is inspired from the peg and transfer task used in VR simulators to train surgeons to master hand-eye coordination and bi-manual dexterity in laparoscopic surgery [9]. The difference between the chosen task and the original laparoscopic training task is that users see the objects in a 3D view and in the same direction where they manipulate them. This is similar to what can be experienced in ear surgery under a microscope, for instance (see Figure 1).

In addition, preview research has shown that haptic feedback is an important requirement for surgical VR trainers. Indeed, surgeons must rely on their haptic skills when interacting with patient’s tissues and organs to avoid fatal mistakes [2] [23]. Taking this into account, the designed prototype includes haptic interfaces to render the necessary feedback.

Following our design choices, we developed a prototype of our simulator using C++. It uses the Chaix3d framework for haptic force feedback simulation and ODE physics engine for collisions detection. This simulator includes two haptic devices (Geomagic Touch desktop with 3DoF for force feedback –forces < 3N– and 6DoF on position) with a handle of a forceps tool prototype attached (interface). Participants are immersed in the virtual environment through an Oculus Rift, which provides a first-person perspective, and though provoking a stronger sense of self-location [19]. In order to reproduce the user’s real hands movement, a pair of 5DT data gloves are employed, which allow for fingers posture position while the user is holding the tool interface (see Figure 2). The virtual environment consists of small pickable objects in the form of cylinders, virtual graspers representing the tools, and the hand representation. In addition, a physical simulator is used for comparison with the virtual trainer. It consists of real objects and tools.

4 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

In this section, we present the design of our experimental protocol. The main goal is to gain insight in the importance of user’s 3D
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User’s participation starts with a consent form signature and a subject information sheet used to collect demographic information.

4.1 Experimental design

The experiment will follow a between subjects design with 1 factor (training group) with 3 levels: training with the presence of the user’s virtual hand representation (group 1), training without the presence of the user’s virtual hand representation (group 2), and a control group without training. The dependent variables are the user’s performance, i.e. the task completion time, the virtual objects manipulation accuracy, and the number of errors.

4.2 Procedure

This study was reviewed and approved by an institutional ethical review committee. The experimental procedure is divided into five sessions (see Figure 3), which are described hereafter.

User’s participation starts with a consent form signature and a subject information sheet used to collect demographic information.

4.2.1 Familiarization session: Participants are exposed to the experimental setup in order to gain familiarity with the environment, interfaces and interactions to use. This phase guarantees that all users had a first contact with the simulator and understand how to interact with it to perform the experimental task.

4.2.2 Pre-test session: All the participants are asked to perform the experimental task 3 times on the physical prototype and 6 times on the virtual one, 3 times for each training condition. They must also answer a comparative presence state questionnaire (based on literature embodiment questionnaires [1]) for both conditions.

This session records the initial performance level for each user. It is done on both, physical and virtual simulators, to compare performance with the post-test (face-validity and transfer validation).

4.2.3 Training sessions: Concern only groups 1 and 2, and starts after the pre-test. Participants are asked to perform the task in the virtual environment as many times as possible during 20 minutes. Session duration was defined by considering the fact that users wear a HMD during the task. It is necessary to find a balance between the practice time and the participants’ fatigue. Training session is repeated 5 days each week during 2 weeks. The virtual environment in which to train depends on the training condition.

4.2.4 Post-test session: Is the last phase of a typical learning curve study and allows to study performance results after training. It is performed after the last training session (groups 1 and 2), or after 15 days (control group). It is important to note that this session should not be performed immediately after the last training session so as to avoid that participants feel tired and distort the results.

All the participants are asked to perform the experimental task 3 times on the physical prototype and 6 times on the virtual one, 3 times for each training condition.

4.2.5 Retention session: This session permits to study the retention of the learned skill sometime after the post-test took place. It could provide better conclusions on the effectiveness of the simulator and the knowledge transfer.

All participants are asked to perform the experimental task 3 times on the physical prototype and 6 times on the virtual one, 3 times for each training condition. At the end, they answer the system usability (SUS) questionnaire [5], used to gain insight in the design choices for following iterations.

4.3 Measures

As mentioned before, performance measures are the task completion time (ms), the accuracy of objects’ placement (mm), and the number of errors (counted as objects dropped during trials). In addition to the quantitative measures, participants are asked to answer the System Usability Questionnaire (SUS) at the end of the experiment [5], and a comparative questionnaire for the sense of presence and embodiment for each condition in the virtual simulator (based on the one presented in [1]). Finally, user’s hand trajectories are recorded in order to study the different behaviors of both training groups. In this matter, the strategy is to analyze the mean trajectory for each user and compare them in terms of minimal length.

4.4 Participants

A minimum of 18 participants older than 18 years old from both genders will be recruited for this experiment. The minimum number of participants to obtain a satisfactory statistical strength was calculated based on the method of Cohen (1988) [10]. Thus, the minimum number of subjects is estimated to 6 per condition. The recruitment targets people who may or not have prior experience
with VR technologies or video games. Subjects who feel uncom-
fortable wearing HMD, or present motor problems on their upper extremities can not be included in this study.

5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper we present the design of a VR simulator and an experimental protocol to study the influence of self-avatar representation on the learning curve of a motor skill training in IVEs.

The experimental protocol is exemplified with the learning of a pick and place motor task, but it could be applied to any task involving the training of a motor skill, in which users’ hands wear tools. We focus on medical skills training, as being today one area of open research. Many are the simulators that exist in the market, but little is known of their effectiveness for training motor skills.

We plan to evaluate the developed VR simulator through the presented experimental protocol in order to gain insight on this research problematic. Firstly, the results will determine if the simulator serves it purpose as a trainer for the motor skill, and the learning curve analysis may thrown insights into its efficiency. Moreover, the difference between the training conditions performance, if it exists, will allow to justify or not the use of the hand representation in addition to the representation of the manipulation tool. This fact is important from an affordable point of view, because tracking systems to detect user’s hands movements are expensive and add a complication factor to the development of VR simulators. All things considered, the evaluation results will allow to determine up to which point VR trainers should replicate reality to guarantee the learning of motor skills like the one presented in this research.
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