

Optimal wind-solar energy mix in Italy: Impact of climate variability

Alexis Tantet, Stéfanon Marc, Drobinski Philippe, Jordi Badosa, Concettini Silvia, Anna Creti, Claudia d'Ambrosio, Thomopulos Dimitri, Tankov Peter

▶ To cite this version:

Alexis Tantet, Stéfanon Marc, Drobinski Philippe, Jordi Badosa, Concettini Silvia, et al.. Optimal wind-solar energy mix in Italy: Impact of climate variability. 2018. hal-01962044v1

HAL Id: hal-01962044 https://hal.science/hal-01962044v1

Preprint submitted on 20 Dec 2018 (v1), last revised 14 Nov 2019 (v4)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Optimal wind-solar energy mix in Italy: Impact of
2	climate variability
3	Tantet A. ^{a,*} , Stéfanon M. ^a , Drobinski P. ^a , Badosa J. ^a , Concettini S. ^{b,c} ,
4	Creti A. ^{c,d} , D'Ambrosio C. ^e , Thomopulos D. ^e , Tankov P. ^f
5	^a LMD/IPSL, École polytechnique, Sorbonne Université, ENS, PSL University, CNRS
6	Palaiseau, France
7	^b IRJI, Université de Tours, Tours, France
8	^c Département d'Economie, École polytechnique, Palaiseau, France
9	^d Université Paris Dauphine, PSL, Leda-CGEMP, Paris, France
10	^e LIX, École polytechnique, CNRS, Palaiseau, France
11	^f CREST, ENSAE, École polytechnique, Palaiseau, France

12 Abstract

In the context of the 2009 EU directive promoting the use of energy from renewable sources, Italy has reached its 2020 target of a 17% share of renewables in the final energy consumption 6 years in advance. In this study, we evaluate the existing renewable energy mix in Italy at regional scale by comparing it to an optimized mix taking into account climate variability and allowing full decommissioning of the currently installed plants. The variability of the production and of the demand over the 1989–2012 period is resolved by plugging regional climate simulations of this period into a model simulating the renewable energy production as well as the Italian electrical consumption at regional scale. The optimal mix is then inferred from a mean-risk analysis with as objectives both to maximize the mean of the total renewable production and to minimize the variance, or risk, of the latter. We consider two cases: in the first one the analysis takes cross-region correlations in the production and the demand into account and in the second one the analysis is local to each region. The optimal mix maximizing the ratio of the total mean penetration over the total risk for the same renewable capacity as installed in 2015 consists of about two thirds wind and one third solar, i.e. twice as much wind as the actual 2015 Italian renewable mix. The spatial distribution also differs significantly from the actual mix and from what would be obtained ignoring the risk and low-frequency climate variability.

¹³ Keywords: Energy, Renewable, Climate, Variability, Mediterranean

Preprint submitted to Energy Reports Corresponding author (alexis.tantet@lmd.polytechnique.fr) December 20, 2018

14 Highlights

- Taking into account the risk strongly impacts the optimal Italian renewable mix.
- The risk should account for climate variability from hours to decades.
- The actual Italian mix could yield a higher renewable penetration at a
 lower risk level after geographical optimization.

20 1. Introduction

The world net electricity generation is expected to increase by 45% be-21 tween 2015 and 2040 (IEA, 2017). In view of climate change and energy 22 security concerns, the renewable energies will inevitably play a major role in 23 satisfying this growing demand. Non-hydropower Renewable Energy Sources 24 (RES) are the fastest-growing energy sources for new generation capacity and 25 their share is expected to grow from 7% of total world generation in 2015 26 to 15% in 2040, with more than half of this growth coming from the wind 27 power (IEA, 2017). 28

However given the variable nature of the RES production and the need 20 for a constant supply-demand balance, increasing penetration of renewables 30 raises structural, technological and economical issues. On one hand, variabil-31 ity may lead to local power shortages or increased transmission congestion. 32 This must be compensated at all times by an increased flexibility of the 33 conventional generation systems such as coal plants or combined cycle gas 34 turbines (Huber et al., 2014). On the other hand, it brings higher price insta-35 bility along with a reduction of the wholesale prices. In the long run falling 36 prices may 'erode' the returns of both renewable and conventional producers, 37 eventually pushing the latter out of the market while they are essential to 38 smooth out the fluctuations of renewable power output and ensure system 30 stability. Thereby the possibilities for a future large-scale renewable capacity 40 are limited (Hirth, 2013; Spiecker and Weber, 2014). 41

Technological and spatial diversification are possible strategies to circumvent the problem of intermittency. In Europe, wind and solar-generated electricity roughly have negatively correlated seasonal cycles, solar generation being maximal in summer and wind generation in winter (Heide et al., ⁴⁶ 2010). Spatial diversification is only applicable at large scale, whenever the
⁴⁷ RES variability is sufficient (see Widén (2011) for a study focusing on Swe⁴⁸ den and Tsuchiya (2012) analyzing Japan). In light of those considerations,
⁴⁹ questions can be asked about how to implement this double strategy of di⁵⁰ versification.

Technological and geographical optimization of renewable energy systems 51 within a multi-objective framework has been discussed by several authors at 52 continent and country scales. Complete electrical systems have been designed 53 to quantify the requirements in installed power, transmission grid and storage 54 capacity for a 100% of renewable energy scenario over Europe. For example, 55 at the European scale, Heide et al. (2011) optimize the wind/solar mix in 56 a fully renewable future European power system to reduce the storage and 57 balancing needs; Rodríguez et al. (2014) do the same for the cross-border 58 transmission capacities in the future; and Becker et al. (2014b) investigate 59 the change in the optimal wind/solar mix in Europe as the transmission grid 60 is enhanced. Becker et al. (2014a) optimize the wind/solar mix in the US 61 to reduce storage needs and Nelson et al. (2012) simulate how a range of 62 generation technologies, storage and transmission may meet the projected 63 energy demand in the US at the least societal cost. Finally, Elliston et al. 64 (2012) analyze how the Australian renewable mix should change in order 65 to reduce the need for backup generation; and Lund and Mathiesen (2009) 66 discuss feasible energy mix scenarios for a fully renewable electricity supply 67 in Denmark. 68

Other conceptual frameworks with less ambitious energy targets have 69 been explored at continental and regional scale by repowering the current 70 installed renewable energy capacity. Repowering consists in fully decommis-71 sioning current renewable energy capacity and in re-allocating this capacity 72 according to specific objectives (Del Río et al., 2011). For example, Bel-73 tran (2009) applies the mean-variance optimization techniques to infer the 74 optimal energy mix; Roques et al. (2010) use similar methods to determine 75 optimal wind power deployment among 5 European countries; Thomaidis 76 et al. (2016) and Santos-Alamillos et al. (2017) use mean-variance optimiza-77 tion to assess the optimal wind and solar deployment and repowering actions 78 in Spain. These studies use the Markovitz mean-variance portfolio theory or 79 analogous methodologies to define the optimal full re-allocation of existing 80 power plants among regions. It relies on a trade-off between maximizing 81 the mean renewable productivity while minimizing the aggregate renewable 82 energy supply risk (i.e. variability). 83

The majority of assessments of the optimal renewable energy mix are 84 based on the statistical properties of the historical production and demand. 85 Due to the only recent deployment of wind and solar energy systems the 86 length of regional production and demand time series is often limited to a 87 few years, which is not sufficient to properly take into account the effect of 88 multi-annual climate non-stationarity at time scales of the life cycle of a wind 89 and solar farm ($\sim 30y$) and reliably estimate statistics such as the mean and 90 the covariance of the production. 91

In this article, we develop a methodology to analyze the repowering strate-92 gies of wind and solar plants in Italy and evaluate the current renewable mix. 93 We determine the optimal geographical distribution of each renewable energy 94 source using a mean-variance optimization. In addition to focusing on a dif-95 ferent region than the aforementioned studies, our work presents the following 96 important methodological novelty. Models of electricity generation and con-97 sumption are designed to feature climate variables such as the wind speed. 98 the solar irradiance and the temperature. These variables are taken from a 90 hindcast of the 1989–2012 period over the Mediterranean region (Fig. 1b). In 100 this way, our mean-variance analysis — and additional statistical diagnostics 101 such as the frequency of occurrence of shortage and saturation situations — 102 takes into account the impact of interannual to intraday climate variability 103 on the renewable production and the demand. Applying the model to Italy, 104 we show that resolving such a large spectrum of time scales is essential to 105 design a renewable energy system that remains optimal over its full life cy-106 cle. By replacing the hindcast simulations with future climate projections 107 it is possible to use our methodology to study the impact of future climate 108 evolutions including antropogenic climate change on the optimal renewable 109 mix, however this is left for further research. 110

Italy offers an interesting case study of a market with high renewables penetration as it has reached its quota of 17% renewables in final energy consumption in 2014, therefore implementing the 2009 Climate Package six years ahead of the 2020 horizon (GSE, 2015). This is the result of ambitious support policies for RES development that have generated and still subsidize a significant amount of new investments, notably in solar and wind power plants.

The analysis of the Italian renewable mix is based on wind and solar photovoltaics (henceforth PV) production and electricity consumption computed from a regional climate simulation performed in the framework of two international programs — the Hydrological Cycle in the Mediterranean Experiment (HyMex Drobinski et al., 2014) and the Coordinated Downscaling Experiment for the Mediterranean (Med-CORDEX Ruti et al., 2016). Two cases for the optimization of the renewable energy mix are investigated: in the first case, the Italian electrical network is considered as unique and the overall mix is optimized; in the second one, each interconnected zone minimizes its own risk in priority, ignoring potential benefits from taking covariance and interconnection between zones into account.

This article gives a first proof of concept that it is possible to design 129 optimal renewable energy mixes taking into account climate variability over 130 a large range of temporal scales. The remainder of the paper is structured 131 as follows. Section 2 details the datasets, the simulation and the produc-132 tion and demand models on which is based the analysis of the optimal mix. 133 The mean-variance optimization problem and its mathematical properties are 134 presented in Section 3. In Section 4 we calculate the optimal geographical 135 distribution of wind and solar generation in Italy and analyze the properties 136 of these mixes in terms of weight given to each technology and in terms of 137 occurrence frequency of shortage and saturation situations. The impact of 138 climate variability on the optimal mixes is discussed in Section 5, together 139 with their comparison with the actual wind-solar mix in Italy. In Section 6 140 we draw conclusions. The robustness of the numerical results to the climate 141 data, the sampling and the model is tested in SI-1. 142

143 **2. Data**

144 2.1. GME and GSE databases

Time series of the hourly Italian regional electricity demand and of the yearly regional renewable capacity factors are used to design the demand and generation models. These variables are extracted from two publicly available databases provided respectively by the market operator GME¹ and the energy operator GSE². For this reason, we first briefly comment on the structure of the Italian electricity market and next describe the databases we use.

The Italian power market consists of 7 foreign virtual zones, 6 regional sub-markets, or bidding zones, and 5 poles of limited production. The 20

¹ Gestore del Mercato Elettrico: https://www.gse.it/dati-e-scenari/statistiche

²Gestore dei Servizi Energetici: https://www.gse.it/dati-e-scenari/statistiche





(b) Domain of the HyMeX/MED-CORDEX simulation covering Europe and the Mediterranean region. The rectangle indicates the domain of investigation of this study.

(a) Italian electrical regions.

administrative regions composing the Italian territory are aggregated in the 154 6 bidding zones (Fig. 1a): Northern Italy (NORD), Central-Northern Italy 155 (CNOR), Central-Southern Italy (CSUD), Southern Italy (SUD), Sardinia 156 (SARD) and Sicily (SICI). Each zone has its own generation mix determined 157 by historical and geographic reasons and characterized by a given level of 158 efficiency. For instance, the Northern regions have larger hydroelectric pro-159 duction due to the proximity to the Alps. Inter-zonal transmission capacities 160 are not equally distributed either. 161

The Italian power exchange, which is managed by the GME³ is composed 162 of a spot market, a forward market and a platform for the physical delivery 163 of contracts concluded on the financial derivatives segment of the Italian 164 Stock Exchange. The spot market is composed of three sub-markets: the 165 day-ahead, the intraday and the ancillary services markets. We focus on the 166 day-ahead submarket. The liquidity of the day-ahead market, calculated as 167 the ratio of volumes traded on the day-ahead market to the total volumes 168 (including bilateral contracts) of the Italian power system, has increased 169 between 2010 to 2015, passing from 62.6% with 198 operators in 2010 to 170 67.8% with 259 operators in 2015. The peak liquidity has been reached in 171 2013 with a 71.6% liquidity and 214 operators (GME, 2017). 172

173

The GME database encompasses hourly bids and offers in the wholesale

 $^{^3}$ The GME manage as well the OTC Registration Platform for forward electricity contracts that have been concluded off the bidding system.

electricity market from 2004 to 2017; the offers are identified by supplier's technology. The hourly electricity demand is appraised from this source. GSE annual reports (e.g. GSE, 2016) contain information about the yearly electrical production and the associated installed capacity⁴ detailed by region and sources from the beginning of 2008 to the end of 2016. At the beginning of this period, the installation of renewable energy capacity has shown a very rapid increase.

The regional time-mean capacity factors for PV and wind are calculated from this source. Since 2013, the PV and wind capacity factors are relatively stable. The demand and the capacity factors for PV and wind for the 2013– 2017 period are presented in Table 1.

Region	Electrical demand $(GWh/day - \%)$	Capacity Factor (PV — Wind)
NORD	312.2 - 56.5	12.1 - 20.4
CNOR	50.4 - 9.1	13.3 - 19.2
CSUD	82.0 - 14.9	14.1 - 18.8
SUD	44.2 - 8.0	15.6 - 20.9
SARD	26.7 - 4.8	14.5 - 19.6
SICI	36.8 - 6.7	15.9 - 18.7

Table 1: Regional electrical demand (from GME) and capacity factors for PV (blue) and wind energy (green, both from GSE) averaged over the 2013–2017 period.

Table 2 summarizes the regional information on electrical installation — 185 in particular the current installed capacity and transmission lines — at the 186 end of 2015. In addition, Figure 2 represents the geographical distribution 187 of the installed RES capacity. The PV (wind) installed capacity is 18.8 GW 188 (8.9 GW). The Northern cross-border region contains the majority of the 180 phovoltaic plants as well as the power lines. The Southern region has most of 190 the Italian wind turbines and has the second transmission capacity, to foreign 191 markets. Note that the electricity demand to Italy from other countries is 192 not taken into account in this study. The share of the renewable energy 193 production in the electricity demand over the six regions in 2015 is found to 194 be 19.4%. 195

⁴In the GSE reports, the capacity for a particular year is the installed capacity at the end of this year.

Region	Transmission lines	PV installed capacity	Wind energy installed capacity
	(MW)	(MW)	(MW)
NORD	53400	8241	113
CNOR	4550	2256	133
CSUD	12720	2631	1582
SUD	34100	3600	4351
SARD	595	721	1001
SICI	10100	1302	1753

Table 2: Characteristic of regional electrical infrastructure based on GSE database.



Figure 2: Geographical and technological distribution of the RES capacity installed by the end of 2015 in Italy (GSE database).

196 2.2. Regional climate simulations

A third variable employed in our study is the multi-year series of RES production. The deployment of RES capacity being relatively recent (starting around 2008 in Italy), available time series of observed RES production are not sufficiently long to estimate statistics taking into account low-frequency climate variability. To take into account climate variability, RES production is instead computed using regional climate simulations covering the historical ²⁰³ 1989 to 2012 period.

We use the version 3.1.1 of the Weather Research and Forecasting Model 204 (WRF). WRF is a limited area model, non-hydrostatic, with terrain following 205 eta-coordinate mesoscale modeling system designed to serve both operational 206 forecasting and atmospheric research needs (Skamarock et al., 2005). The 207 WRF simulation has been performed in the framework of HyMeX and MED-208 CORDEX programs with a 20 km horizontal resolution over the domain 209 shown in Fig. 1b between 1989 and 2012 with initial and boundary condi-210 tions provided by the ERA-interim reanalysis and updated every 6 hr (Dee 211 et al., 2011). The WRF simulation has been relaxed towards the ERA-I 212 large scale fields (wind, temperature and humidity) with a nudging time of 213 6 hr (Salameh et al., 2010; Omrani et al., 2013, 2015). A detailed description 214 of the simulation configuration can be found in e.g. Flaounas et al. (2013). 215

The simulation has been evaluated against ECA&D gridded precipitation 216 and precipitation at the Mediterranean basin scale (Flaounas et al., 2013). 217 and have been used to study heatwaves (Stéfanon et al., 2014; Chiriaco et al., 218 2014), heavy precipitation (Lebeaupin Brossier et al., 2013, 2015; Berthou 219 et al., 2014, 2015, 2016) and offshore wind energy potential assessment (Om-220 rani et al., 2017) in a configuration coupled or not with a regional ocean 221 model for the Mediterranean Sea (Drobinski et al., 2012). The simulation is 222 available on the HyMex/MED-CORDEX database⁵. 223

In the following sections, we describe the models used to estimate the wind and solar production and the electricity demand from the daily climate data. A large fraction of the variance of the production and of the demand is contained in shorter periods than a day and significantly impact the results of the mean-variance analysis (see SI-1). The production and demand models thus all include a parameterization of the intraday variability.

230 2.2.1. Electricity production model

To compute wind energy production simulated daily-mean horizontal wind-speeds are interpolated at hub height (101 m) using an empirical powerlaw with exponent 1/7 (Justus and Mikhail, 1976). A transfer function based on the power curve of a particular wind turbine, the relatively representative Siemens SWT-2.3 MW-101m, is applied to the wind speed to compute the

⁵ ftp://www.medcordex.eu/MED-18/IPSL/ECMWF-ERAINT/evaluation/r1i1p1/ IPSL-WRF311/v1/day/

electrical production at each climate-data gridpoint (Omrani et al., 2017)⁶ Before applying the transfer function, the wind speed at hub height is multiplied by a factor $(\rho/\rho_0)^{(1/3)}$ accounting for deviations of the daily-mean air density ρ from the standard density ρ_0 for which the power curve has been obtained. The air density ρ is computed from the air temperature, pressure, and specific humidity at the surface from the WRF dataset using the ideal gas law for moist air⁷.

In addition, it is essential in the mean-variance analysis to take intraday 243 fluctuations of the wind production into account. In order to take into ac-244 count intraday wind fluctuations in the variance of the wind capacity factors, 245 hourly realizations of the wind speed to be fed to the transfer function are 246 obtained by randomly drawing samples from a Rayleigh distribution with 247 mean given by the daily-mean speed at hub height. In other words, the wind 248 magnitude V(d, h) at day d and hour h at some gridpoint is drawn from the 240 Rayleigh distribution 250

$$f(x|\sigma(d)) = \frac{x}{\sigma^2(d)} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2(d)}}, \quad x \ge 0$$
 (1)

with
$$\sigma(d) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}\overline{V}(d)},$$
 (2)

where the mode $\sigma(d)$ is defined such that the mean of the Rayleigh distribu-251 tion is equal to the available daily-mean wind-speed V(d) at the gridpoint. 252 The effect of this parameterization of intraday wind fluctuations on the wind 253 capacity factor of the north region is shown in Figure 3a and 3b, for a sample 254 week in winter and another in summer 2010, respectively. One can see how 255 the capacity factor increases with the daily-mean wind-speed, as well as the 256 variance of intraday fluctuations due to the parameterization. However, it 257 is clear from the figure that the intraday variability of the capacity factor is 258 underestimated, a discrepancy that should be improved in future versions of 259 the model. 260

⁶ Note that, due to the bias correction (see Sect. 2.2.2), only the variability of the wind production may be sensitive to this choice of power curve.

⁷This correction is applied to the wind speed rather than directly to the wind production in order to shift the power curve horizontally rather than scale it vertically and hence preserve the cut-in and cut-out behavior of the turbine.



Figure 3: Illustration of the intraday parameterization of the wind (top) and solar (bottom) generation for the north region, the first week of January 2010 (left) and of July 2010 (right). Top panels represents both the computed hourly wind capacity factor (blue) and the daily-mean wind-speed (orange) from which the former is calculated. Bottom panels represents both the computed hourly solar capacity factor (blue) and the daily-mean horizontal surface radiation (orange) from which the former is calculated.

We simulate the PV production for arrays at each gridpoint composed 261 of multi-crystalline silicon solar cells. The crystalline silicon solar cell occu-262 pies about 90% of the PV market, among which multi-crystalline solar cells 263 have the highest share at 53% and mono-crystalline solar cells have a 33%264 share (Hosenuzzaman et al., 2015). Each module has a nominal power of 265 $250 \,\mathrm{Wm^{-2}}$ for an area of $1.675 \,\mathrm{m^2}$, resulting in a reference efficiency of about 266 $15\%^8$. The real efficiency of the cell is, however, dependent on its tempera-267 ture, which is itself dependent on the air temperature and the wind from the 268

⁸The nominal power itself is not important here, as only capacity factors are used

WRF dataset and on the global tilted irradiance (see below). This dependence is modeled using the thermal model described in Duffie and Beckman (2013, Chap. 23)⁹. The efficiency of the overall electrical installation behind the modules is assumed to be of 86%.

Solar radiation from WRF is partitioned into direct, diffuse and reflected components (Duffie and Beckman, 2013, Chap. 2.16) at every gridpoint. This partitioning depends on the clearness index \bar{K}_T and elevation angle of the sun at the gridpoint. The quantity $\bar{K}_T(d)$, for some day d, is defined as the ratio of the horizontal radiation at ground level, $\bar{I}(d)$, to the corresponding radiation available at the top of the atmosphere, i.e. the extraterrestrial radiation $\bar{I}_0(d)$.

In order to take into account the effect of the diurnal cycle on the tilted irradiance, which accounts for most of the variance of the solar production (see Sect. SI-1), the hourly extraterrestrial solar radiation, $I_0(d, h)$, is computed for every hour h from the calendar information. The hourly horizontal radiation at the surface, I(d, h), for the hour h of the day d is then computed by multiplying the hourly extraterrestrial radiation $I_0(d, h)$ by the clearness index $\overline{K}_T(d)$, assumed constant throughout the day. In other words,

$$I(d,h) = \overline{K}_T(d) \ I_0(d,h) \tag{3}$$

with
$$\overline{K}_T(d) = \frac{I(d)}{\overline{I}_0(d)}.$$
 (4)

Each array is assumed to be tilted by an angle equal to the latitude of 287 the array and to face due South. To separate the diffuse component from the 288 direct component of the global horizontal irradiance, the model from Reindl 280 et al. (1990a) is used. For solar elevations below 10° and when the sun is 290 behind the array, the direct horizontal irradiance is set to zero. The diffuse 291 component of the tilted irradiance is computed following the model of Reindl 292 et al. (1990b). The reflected component of the tilted irradiance depends on 293 the zenith angle and follows the usual formula given by Duffie and Beckman 294 (2013, Chap. 2.16) with an albedo of 0.2^{10} . 295

⁹ The thermal model is configured for relatively common parameter values for cristalline cells, i.e., for a temperature coefficient of $0.004 \,\mathrm{K^{-1}}$, a reference temperature of 25 °C and a cell temperature at nominal operating cell temperature of 46 °C (Skoplaki and Palyvos, 2009).

¹⁰The global tilted irradiance tends, however, to be dominated by its direct and diffuse

The effect of the diurnal cycle on the solar capacity factor of the north 296 region is shown in Figure 3c and 3d, for a sample week in winter and another 297 in summer 2010, respectively. One can see how the solar production varies 298 with the diurnal cycle and how this cycle is modulated by the clearness of 299 the atmosphere. On the other hand, no variability associated with intraday 300 changes in, e.g., the cloud cover is present since the clearness index remains 301 fixed throughout the day. The variance of the solar capacity factor may thus 302 be underestimated. This discrepancy should, however, remain limited to the 303 extent that intraday variations of the clearness index are averaged out by the 304 regional averages. 305

306 2.2.2. Aggregation and bias correction

The regional wind and PV capacity factors are obtained by dividing the computed production by its nominal value and then aggregating at regional level on an hourly basis. In so doing, a strong bias (up to 100%) is found between the yearly-averages of the computed capacity factors and the region's capacity factors computed from the GSE data (Table 1). Since the second moment of the capacity factors roughly scales with their mean, we re-scale the computed capacity factors so that their average over the climate-data period (1989–2012) coincide with the GSE averages over the 2013–2017 period.



Figure 4: Time evolution of weekly-averaged PV (orange) and wind (blue) capacity factors (a) and demand (b). The shadings represent the standard deviation of the time series.

314

components.

Figure 4a represents the weekly and regionally-averaged PV (orange) and 315 wind (blue) capacity factors for a few sample years. Seasonal cycles of PV 316 and wind energy production are phase shifted, with wind energy produc-317 tion (PV) peak yield in winter (summer). Wind energy production is also 318 characterized by a stronger sub-seasonal variability when compared to PV at 319 large-scale. Both the mean and the variance of the capacity factors for the 320 wind production tend to be larger than those of the photovoltaic production. 321 Albeit complementary over the year the wind and solar production requires 322 additional energy inputs from other sources to counterbalance some recurrent 323 short term deficit between the demand and the wind and solar production. 324

Let us insist that the present bias correction only corrects for differences in the first moment of the capacity factors with the observed values. For the analysis of Section 4, higher moments, in particular the variance and covariance, are also important. Although one expects the variance of the capacity factors to scale with their mean, discrepancies may persist. Our computations are tested against observations for bias in the variance in the SI-1.

Note finally that the conventional production, which includes here thermal as well as hydropower plants, is not explicitly modeled in this study and is left for future work.

334 2.2.3. Electricity demand model

Since we are primarily interested in the impact of climate variability and change on the demand, the objective of the model is to predict the part of the daily regional demand depending on climate, in particular on the surface air temperature¹¹, while preserving the statistics associated with other factors. We follow a statistical learning approach (Hastie et al., 2009) whereby the model is trained against the regionally-averaged temperature data from the

model is trained against the regionally-averaged temperature data from the WRF model as input and the regionally-averaged demand data from GME as output, from the beginning of 2005 to the end of 2011 (i.e. the intersection of the climate with the demand record).

Let the electricity demand D_{in} for the region *i* at the time step *n* and for a particular type of day (see below) be given by

$$D_{in}(T_i) = f_i(T_{in}) + \epsilon_{in}.$$
(5)

¹¹ Other variables, such as the specific humidity, the wind, or the irradiance where not found to significantly affect the demand, in this case.

where $f_i, 1 \le i \le N$ is some real-valued function of the daily-mean temperature T_{in} in the region *i* at the time step *n* (T_{in} is the same for two hours of the same day), and the residual ϵ accounts for other factors impacting the demand, such as changes in the population, the economy, tourism, individuals decisions, etc.

It is known that the demand has a nonlinear dependence on the temper-351 ature. Electric heating is switched on only for lower temperatures, while air 352 conditioning is switched on only for higher temperatures. This can be seen 353 in Figure 4b for Italy. The demand has two main peaks per year, one during 354 winter and one during summer, and lows in spring and fall and during hol-355 idays. In winter, the consumption peak is due to heating, especially in the 356 northern part of Italy (see below). In summer, the consumption peak is due 357 to tourism and air conditioning (Terna, 2016). Figure 4 shows that, except 358 for the summer period, wind energy production is well correlated with the 359 demand, whereas PV production is negatively correlated with the latter. 360

Once an individual appliance is switched on, its electricity consumption 361 is to a first approximation linear in the temperature. Assuming, that all 362 consumers behave in the same way and that a consumer switches the heater 363 (air conditioning) for a constant temperature threshold T_H (T_C), we define 364 the functions f_i as a piecewise-linear function of the temperature. In addi-365 tion, the behaviour of the consumers differs significantly for the week days, 366 Saturdays, and Sundays and holidays (respectively marked work, sat and off, 367 in the following) and the demand is known to strongly depend on the hour 368 of the day (see SI-1). We thus choose to modulate the daily demand by a 369 composite cycle which only depends on the hour of the day and the day type. 370 The resulting model is given by, 371

$$f_i(T_{in}) = \begin{cases} f_i^{\text{work}}(T_{in}) & \text{if the day at } n \text{ is a working day} \\ f_i^{\text{sat}}(T_{in}) & \text{if the day at } n \text{ is a Saturday} \\ f_i^{\text{off}}(T_{in}) & \text{if the day at } n \text{ is a holiday,} \end{cases}$$
(6)
with $f_i^{\text{work}|\text{sat}|\text{off}}(T_{in}) = a_H^{\text{work}|\text{sat}|\text{off}} \Theta(T_H - T_{in})(T_H - T_{in}) \ g_n^{\text{work}|\text{sat}|\text{off}} \\ + a_C^{\text{work}|\text{sat}|\text{off}} \Theta(T_{in} - T_C)(T_{in} - T_C) \ g_n^{\text{work}|\text{sat}|\text{off}} \\ + a_0^{\text{work}|\text{sat}|\text{off}} \ g_n^{\text{work}|\text{sat}|\text{off}} \end{cases}$

where Θ is the Heaviside step function¹² and the coefficients $g_n^{\text{work}|\text{sat}|\text{off}}$ are given by the average — over all days of the same day type and all hours of the same hour of the day — of the observed demand on which the model is trained. The model (6) has a total of 9 parameters $a_H^{\text{work}|\text{sat}|\text{off}}$, $a_C^{\text{work}|\text{sat}|\text{off}}$ and $a_0^{\text{work}|\text{sat}|\text{off}}$ to be adjusted, for each region.

The resulting linear model is fitted assuming that the thresholds T_H and T_C are constant over all regions and all day types. These thresholds constitute two hyper-parameters that we select via a grid-search with a crossvalidation (Hastie et al., 2009) over seven blocks of one year.

The linear model is fitted using the Bayesian ridge regression method 381 (MacKay, 1992) both to avoid over-fitting and to take into account the vari-382 ance arising from factors that are not fully resolved by the deterministic 383 part of the model¹³. A time series of the hourly regional demand over 1989– 384 2012 is predicted from the full length of the temperature record by randomly 385 drawing samples from the posterior distribution of the model at each time 386 step. The way the Bayesian model operates is illustrated in Figure 5 where 387 the predicted demand for the north region the first week of January 2010 is 388 shown (plain blue line) together with the input daily-mean temperature T_{in} 389 (plain orange line) and the series of composite daily cycles $g_n^{\text{work}|\text{sat}|\text{off}}$ (dashed 390 blue line). 391

The resulting prediction of the regional demand is represented (only for 392 daily-means) in Figure 6 versus the input temperature. The overall coeffi-393 cient of determination is 0.73. One can see that the temperature and type 394 of day dependence of the demand is most clear for the economically most 395 dynamic north region. This is also true, yet to a lesser extent, for the central 396 south region. The shaded regions show that the part of the demand that 397 is not explained by the temperature model is compensated by the Bayesian 398 perturbations, although in a random fashion. 399

To conclude, this method, as apposed to using the 13 years of observed demand provided by GME, allows to estimate the demand over the longer

¹² The relationship between the demand and the ambient temperature in European countries is smoother than a piecewise-linear function (Bessec and Fouquau, 2008), in part due to the non-homogeneous behaviour of the consumers. Here, however, we prefer to keep the model as simple as possible using the above linear basis.

¹³ The implementation from *scikit-learn* (Buitinck et al., 2013) of the Bayesian ridge regression is used, whereby the residual and the weights are given zero-mean isotropic Gaussian priors. The variances of the latter are given as priors gamma distributions.



Figure 5: Illustration of the intraday parameterization of the demand for the north region the first week of January 2010. The computed hourly demand (plain blue line) is obtained by modulating the series of composite daily cycles $g_n^{\text{work}|\text{sat}|\text{off}}$ for each day type (dashed blue line) by the function (6) of the daily-mean temperature (plain orange line) and by adding random perturbations drawn from a normal distribution whose variance depends both on the noise in the demand and the uncertainty in the parameters of the model.

record of the climate data so as to take into account variations in the demand
due to low-frequency temperature variability¹⁴.

⁴⁰⁴ 3. Mean-variance analysis

Geographic and technological diversification of renewable power plants is based on a mean-variance analysis that is inspired by Markowitz's modern portfolio theory¹⁵.

¹⁴Note that, assuming that the demand-temperature relation in (6) is valid, lowfrequency climate variability may be responsible in changes in the coefficients of the model over periods longer than the observed demand record over which the model is fitted.

¹⁵ See, for example, Mencarelli and D'Ambrosio (2018) for a survey on mathematical programming approaches for the portfolio selection problem.



Figure 6: Daily-mean electricity demand for each zone versus the surface temperature. Each point is an observed realization of temperature and demand. The lines represent the functions $f_i^{\text{work}|\text{sat}|\text{off}}$ of the demand model, while the associated shaded regions represent the variance of the prediction. Blue, orange and green data points and functions correspond to working days, Saturdays, and Sundays and holidays, respectively. The two vertical dashed lines represent the temperature thresholds $T_H = 9.5$ and $T_C = 13.0$.

In our context, the mean-variance analysis refers to the process of find-408 ing optimal spatial and technological distributions of renewable energy pro-409 duction achieving a trade-off between the mean penetration rate and some 410 measure of the variance in the renewable energy production. The variance 411 is a proxy for the risk: minimizing the variance corresponds to maximizing 412 the diversification of the renewable configuration, which in turn lowers the 413 variability of renewable energy penetration and improves the flexibility of 414 the system and its resistance to shocks. In particular, a lower variance in 415 the renewable energy mix is less demanding in services from conventional 416 production (for which start up and shutting down services have a cost) or 417 demand management. 418

Each renewable mix may then be represented in a mean-variance chart. As a bi-objective optimization problem (Miettinen, 1999), mixes are to be optimal in the Pareto sense. A solution is said to be Pareto optimal if there exists no feasible solution with a better or equal value for each of the objective ⁴²³ functions (with at least one of these values being strictly better).

Let us consider as an example Figure 7. The points under or to the right of the frontier are by definition suboptimal and will be discarded by a rational investor. The area above or to the left of the frontier cannot be reached.

⁴²⁷ Two variations of the mean-variance analysis are considered here. In ⁴²⁸ both, the mean RES penetration μ is given by the fraction of the expected ⁴²⁹ total production over the expected total demand¹⁶, i.e.

$$\mu := \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{\mathbf{k}} w_{\mathbf{k}} \eta_{\mathbf{k}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i} D_{i}\right]} = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{k}} w_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbb{E}\left[\eta_{\mathbf{k}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i} D_{i}\right]},\tag{7}$$

where $\mathbf{k} = (i, j)$ is the multi-index composed of a regional index i in {NORD, 430 CNOR, CSUD, SUD, SARD, SICI and a technological index j in {PV, wind}. 431 the $w_{\mathbf{k}}$ are the installed capacities for each region and technology, the $\eta_{\mathbf{k}}$ are 432 the corresponding predicted time-dependent capacity factors (Sect. 2.2.1) 433 and the D_i are the predicted regional demands (Sect. 2.2.3). Note that, in 434 the following numerical applications, statistics such as the expectation or 435 the covariance are replaced by sample estimates from the full records (1989– 436 2012). 437

In the first strategy, also called *global*, it is assumed that each region produces electricity to satisfy the total demand in priority with no consideration for their local demand or for transmission constraints between regions. In other words, the electricity produced at a given location is immediately available to meet the overall Italian demand. In this case, the risk squared $\sigma_{global}^{2}(\mathbf{w})$ is defined as the variance of the sum of the regional RES productions normalized by the total demand, i.e.

$$\sigma_{\text{global}}^{2}(\mathbf{w}) := \mathbb{V}\left[\frac{\sum_{\mathbf{k}} w_{\mathbf{k}} \eta_{\mathbf{k}}}{\sum_{i} D_{i}}\right] = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{l}} w_{\mathbf{k}} G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^{\text{global}} w_{\mathbf{l}},\tag{8}$$

where $G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^{\text{global}} := \text{Cov}[\eta_{\mathbf{k}} / \sum_{i} D_{i}, \eta_{\mathbf{l}} / \sum_{i} D_{i}]$ is the covariance matrix between the capacity factors normalized by the total demand for each pair of regions and technologies.

¹⁶The normalization by the mean total demand in the definition (7) of the mean penetration has no effect on the relative distribution of the capacities in the optimal problem.

In the second strategy, also called *regional*, each region attempts to satisfy its local demand in priority. If the electrical production is larger than the demand, electricity can be exported. The risk squared $\sigma_{\text{regional}}^2(\mathbf{w})$ is thus defined as the sum of the variances of the regional production normalized by the regional demand.

$$\sigma_{\text{regional}}^{2}(\mathbf{w}) := \sum_{i} \mathbb{V}\left[\frac{w_{(i,\text{PV})}\eta_{(i,\text{PV})} + w_{(i,\text{wind})}\eta_{(i,\text{wind})}}{ND_{i}}\right]$$
(9)
$$= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{l}} w_{\mathbf{k}} G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^{\text{regional}} w_{\mathbf{l}},$$

453 where $G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^{\text{regional}} := \text{Cov}[\eta_{\mathbf{k}}/(ND_i),\eta_{\mathbf{l}}/(ND_j)]$ if i = j, 0 otherwise¹⁷.

⁴⁵⁴ Note that in the global and the regional definitions of the risk, the RES ⁴⁵⁵ production is normalized by the total and by the regional demand, respec-⁴⁵⁶ tively. This is particularly important for the regional optimization as nor-⁴⁵⁷ malizing by the local demand favors installing RES capacity in proportion ⁴⁵⁸ to the latter.

The goal of this study being to assess the optimal recommissioning of the Italian renewable energy mix, we also consider constraining the total installed RES capacity to its observed value $w_{\text{total}} = 27.7 \text{ GW}$ in 2015 (Sect. 2.1). The mean-variance analysis, thus, consists in solving, the optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\min_{\mathbf{w}} & \sigma_{\text{global}|\text{regional}}^2(\mathbf{w}) \\
\end{array} \tag{10a}$$

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} w_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbb{E}[\eta_{\mathbf{k}}]$$
(10b)

subject to $\sum_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{k}} w_{\mathbf{k}} = w_{\text{total}}$ (10c)

$$w_{\mathbf{k}} \ge 0 \quad \forall \ \mathbf{k}. \tag{10d}$$

Assuming that the share of the demand that is not satisfied by RES is supplied by the conventional production normalized by the demand, the optimization problem (10) is equivalent to minimizing both the mean and the variance of the conventional production. Taking into account the full power

¹⁷ The division by the number of regions N in (9) is there for comparison with the global risk (8).

flow of the transmission network together with the conventional productionis left for future work.

The classical method used in the following Section 4 to approximate the 469 optimal frontier numerically is explained in SI-2. In this study, we refer to 470 the optimal frontier as the curve $(\sigma_{\text{global}|\text{regional}}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}), \mu_{\text{global}|\text{regional}}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}))$, where 471 the $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ are the optimal solutions, although, strictly speaking, it is the risk 472 squared that is minimized rather than the risk itself. The numerical results 473 of the following section suggest that the so-defined frontier of the bi-objective 474 problem (10) without the total capacity constraint (10c) is a half line with a 475 positive slope that we refer to as the mean-risk ratio $\alpha_{\text{globallregional}}$. In other 476 words, the optimal mixes for this problem are such that 477

$$\mu_{\text{global}|\text{regional}}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) = \alpha_{\text{global}|\text{regional}} \ \sigma_{\text{global}|\text{regional}}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}), \tag{11}$$

In the following, we assume that this is indeed the case and the mean-risk ratio $\alpha_{\text{global}|\text{regional}}$ is used to diagnose the variants of the optimization problem. The proof of a rigorous mathematical result is left for future work.

481 4. Optimizing the distribution of wind and solar generation

Global and *regional* strategies are represented in Figure 8 (upper and lower panels respectively). Each point of the frontier represents an optimal combination of the capacities that maximizes the penetration for a given risk, while satisfying the constraints. The mixes in the region below or to the right of the efficient frontier are suboptimal. The straight black line represents an approximation of the optimal frontier of the same problem, but with the total capacity constraint (10c) removed.

One may first observe that the optimal frontiers of the *regional* strategy 489 (Fig. 8c) are shifted towards lower risk values compared to the frontiers of 490 the global strategy (Fig. 8a). This shift is due to the different definitions (8) 491 and (9) of the risk for the two strategies, respectively. It does not provide 492 an objective argument to choose a regional policy over a global one. Instead, 493 a trade-off exists between a strategy in which all regions cooperate for the 494 national welfare and a strategy in which each region attempts to meet its 495 own demand in priority¹⁸. 496

¹⁸ Recall from Sect. 3, that in this study, the constraints imposed by the conventional production and transmission network capacities are not considered.

⁴⁹⁷ The mean-risk ratio $\alpha_{\text{global}|\text{regional}}$ (the slope of the black curves) of the ⁴⁹⁸ optimization problems without the total capacity constraint is also given ⁴⁹⁹ in the sub-captions. It is of 1.69 for the *global* strategy and of 3.71 for ⁵⁰⁰ the *regional* strategy. Increasing the mean penetration of the optimal mix ⁵⁰¹ necessarily comes at the price of an increased risk. For the same level of total ⁵⁰² mean penetration, adding the constraint on the present total capacity (blue ⁵⁰³ curve) necessarily deteriorates the risk.

The point at which both frontiers join (in black) corresponds to the opti-504 mal mix for which the total capacity constraint is inactive. This means that, 505 for this level of mean penetration, the mix minimizing the risk naturally sat-506 isfies the total capacity constraint. It is thus the optimal mix satisfying the 507 total capacity constraint that has the maximum mean-risk ratio. If no pref-508 erence is put on maximizing the mean penetration or minimizing the risk, 509 this optimal mix is attractive. In the following, we refer to this mix as the 510 maximum mean-risk ratio scenario. 511

Yet, one may be interested in allowing for the deterioration of the mean-512 risk ratio in order to either decrease the risk or increase the total penetration. 513 The blue dots in Fig. 8a and 8c correspond to the optimal mixes minimizing 514 the risk. Their mean-risk ratio is then lowered to a value of 1.61 for the 515 global strategy and of 3.53 for the regional strategy. We refer to this mix 516 as the *minimum risk scenario*. For comparison with the actual mix the blue 517 diamond in Fig. 8a and 8c represents the optimal mix that satisfies the same 518 level of risk as the actual mix (gray dot) while maximizing the penetration 519 rate. We refer to this mix as the *high penetration scenario*. 520

Some important properties of the optimal mixes with the constraint on 521 the total capacity are represented on the right panels of Fig. 8. The PV ratio, 522 i.e. the fraction of photovoltaic capacity in the mix, is plotted in orange. A 523 value of 100% (0%) corresponds to 100% (0%) PV production 0% (100%) 524 wind energy production. The plain and dashed green curves represent the 525 frequency of occurrence of shortage and saturation, respectively. Shortage 526 situations are associated with insufficient energy production. This situation 527 corresponds to large scale blocking atmospheric patterns associated with cold 528 or heat waves and low renewable energy production, especially from wind. 520 These configurations lead to underproduction of electricity from PV and 530 wind farms. Here, it is assumed that conventional generation units are able 531 to meet up to 80% of the maximum demand modeled. Shortage then occurs 532 if the photovoltaic and wind generation is not able to meet the rest of the 533 demand. In this case, electricity needs to be imported from the neighboring 534

countries (which is not explicitly accounted for in our modeling framework). 535 Moreover, shortage situations result in an increase in the electricity market 536 price and add pressure on power networks at continental scale. The second 537 critical situation corresponds to network saturation, when electricity produc-538 tion from wind and PV plants is too large for the network and exceeds the 539 technical limit of renewable energy fraction in the energy mix. In this study, 540 saturation is defined to occur if more than 40% of the demand is met by pho-541 tovoltaic and wind sources. High probability of occurrence of such situations 542 may jeopardize the funding system for renewable energy infrastructures and 543 may lead to network instability. It can also generate very low or even neg-544 ative electricity prices (in Italy the prices cannot be negative, the floor is 0 545 euro), jeopardizing the profitability of the conventional power plants, which 546 are essential for network security. Figures 8d and 8d show the fraction of 547 installed PV capacity and the frequency of occurrence of shortage and sat-548 uration situations as a function of the mean penetration for the global and 549 the regional strategy, respectively. 550

One can first see that the PV ratio is a decreasing function of the mean 551 penetration reached by the mix. This is explained by the fact that the 552 capacity factors from the wind generation are higher than those from the 553 photovoltaic generation (cf. Tab. 1). Moreover, the shortage and the satu-554 ration curves (in green) have a distinct global minimum. The convexity of 555 both curves is due to the fact that the probability of occurrence of extremes 556 increases with the risk and that the latter increases faster for both smaller 557 and larger values of the mean penetration. The vertical lines in Fig. 8b 558 and 8d represent the level of mean penetration for the minimum risk, max-559 imum mean-risk ratio and high penetration scenarios. The minimum risk, 560 the maximum mean-risk ratio and the high penetration scenarios respectively 561 include 45%, 33% and 19% of PV capacity in the mix. The minimum risk 562 scenario is relatively close to the minimum of saturation occurrence, while 563 the maximum mean-risk ratio and the higher penetration scenarios are close 564 to the minimum of shortage occurrence. Thus, favoring the minimization 565 of the risk also allows to avoid saturation situations, while increasing the 566 mean penetration allows to avoid shortage situations. Overall, the regional 567 problem suggests installing more photovolatic capacity than wind capacity 568 compared to the global problem. 569

We represent in Figure 9 the resulting spatial distributions corresponding to the three scenarios for both the global and the regional problems. Focusing on the global problem, the minimum risk scenario distributes all the PV capacity in the north region, while achieving higher levels of mean penetration requires to move the PV capacity to the south and to Sicily. For all scenarios, the wind capacity is relatively spread over all regions but the central south one.

The resulting capacity distribution for the regional strategy (right panels 577 of Fig. 9) is dramatically different from that for the global strategy. In-578 deed, most of the RES capacity is installed in the north region, whatever the 579 scenario, with more wind capacity to increase the mean penetration. Only 580 minimal capacity is installed in the central north, Sardinia and Sicily. This 581 can be understood from both facts that the definition of the risk for the 582 regional problem favors minimizing the risk of each region individually be-583 fore minimizing the total risk and that the capacity factors in the risk are 584 normalized by the demand. Since most of the demand occurs in the north 585 (cf. Table 1), the variance of the capacity factors in that region is given less 586 weight than the others in the definition of the regional risk. This corresponds 587 to an incentive for the north region to satisfy its demand with its own RES 588 resource first. 589

The results obtained so far show a strong dependence of the optimal mix on the level of risk that should be achieved. A mix favoring a high level of mean production at the expense of the risk — like in the high penetration scenario — yields a very different PV ratio and geographical distribution of the renewable capacity than in mixes favoring a lower level of risk — like in the the maximum mean-risk ratio and minimum risk scenarios.

596 5. Discussion

The methodology developed in this article has specifically been designed (i) to exploit correlations between the RES production and the demand and between regions to optimize the renewable energy mix and (ii) to take into account the impact of the climate variability on this mix. This allows us to discuss in this section the role played by climate variability and the potential for improvement of the actual Italian mix.

⁶⁰³ 5.1. Impact of climate variability on the mix

To assess the impact of interannual climate variability (as found in the CORDEX data) on the mix, we repeat the mean-variance analysis successively using data blocks of one year, from 1989 to 2010. In other words, the mix of the 22 mixes that we obtain is optimized for the climatic conditions of a given year. As a result, the optimal mix for one year may be different from the optimal mix for another year due to low-frequency climate variability.

We focus on the mixes maximizing the mean-risk ratio α_{global} for the 610 global strategy. From the 22 values of the mean-risk ratios associated with 611 each year, we obtain an estimation of their mean value and of an interval 612 containing 95% of their realizations (see SI-1 for more explanations). The 613 mean-risk ratio α_{global} averages to 1.71 and 95% of its distribution belongs to 614 the centered interval [1.57, 1.85]. Thus, even though the average of the yearly 615 mean-risk ratio is close to the one of 1.69 obtained in Section 4 using the full 616 record, interannual climate variability in the CORDEX data is responsible 617 for year-to-year variations of the mean-risk ratio of up to 8.2%. 618

To go further, we represent in Figure 10 the geographical and techno-619 logical distribution of the mixes for the year 1989, with a particularly low 620 mean-risk ratio of 1.60, and for the year 1996, with a particularly high mean-621 risk ratio of 1.85. Due to the steeper optimal frontier for 1996, the mix for 622 the maximum mean-risk ratio scenario achieves both a higher mean total 623 penetration and a lower risk than that for 1989. The distribution of the RES 624 capacity for 1996 also differs from that for 1989 as more wind capacity is 625 installed in the central south and Sardinia regions. 626

It is thus clear that the low-frequency climate variability has a large impact on the optimal mix and that the latter should be taken into account in order to assess future optimal RES mixes that are robust to changes in the climate.

⁶³¹ 5.2. Comparison with the 2015 Italian mix

The 2015 (actual) Italian mix is composed of 68% PV and 32% wind 632 energy capacity (see Table 2). As can be seen from Figure 2, the largest 633 fraction of installed PV capacity is in the North of Italy, whereas most of 634 wind capacity is located in the South. Indeed, Italy has started investing in 635 renewable energy resources since 1991 (with the feed in tariff CIP6), with 636 the objective of developing national energy sources and so decreasing the 637 dependency on imported gas. Historically, the bulk of PV has been developed 638 in Northern Italy, where the entrepreneurial background has favored local 639 business exploiting renewable energy resources subsidies. Wind farms have 640 been installed in Sicily and Sardinia, where regional specific incentives have 641 been set. Southern Italy has invested in renewable energy more recently, 642 after the reinforcement of the main North-South transmission line. 643

To compare the actual mix with the optimization results, the actual mean 644 penetration and risk are computed for the actual capacity distribution using 645 the same capacity factor and demand data as used to obtain the optimal 646 frontiers of Fig. 8. The corresponding mix is represented by the gray dot 647 in the same figure. This point is not visible in Fig. 8c, for the regional 648 problem, because the value of its risk is several orders of magnitude larger 649 than the x-axis limits. For both the global and the regional problem, the 650 gray point is to the right of the optimal frontiers. The actual mix installed 651 in Italy is thus sub-optimal. For the global problem, this mix reaches a level 652 of mean penetration comparable to that of the minimum risk scenario, but 653 its risk is about 29% larger than that of the latter and its PV ratio about 654 49% higher. The capacity distribution of the optimal mixes in Figure 9 655 may also be compared to that of the 2015 Italian mix in Figure 2. The 656 actual mix appears to be closest to the minimum risk and maximum mean-657 risk ratio scenarios of the global problem (Fig. 9a). However, the actual 658 mix favors photovoltaic over wind capacities, especially in the north, and 659 that more RES capacity is installed in the central south region. This is 660 in strong contrast with all optimal scenarios. For instance, the maximum 661 mean-risk ratio scenario yields about two third (one third) of wind (solar) 662 capacity. However, these differences may partly be attributable to biases in 663 the intraday variance, as the model tends to underestimate intraday variance 664 of the wind capacity factors and to overestimate the intraday variance of the 665 solar capacity factors (see Table 1)¹⁹. 666

667 6. Conclusion

This work is aimed at developing a proof-of-concept of an integrated 668 modelling framework dedicated to the elaboration of optimal scenarios of 669 renewable energy mix. The proposed framework relies on regional climate 670 simulations. It is shown to be of practical interest for both short and long 671 term renewable energy management, as the model is able to take into account 672 variability in the renewable production and electricity demand from hourly 673 to interannual time scales. The model allows to derive different scenarios 674 consisting in either maximizing the total renewable energy penetration or 675

¹⁹ Improving the resolution of the intraday variability of the production in the model may require to develop a better parameterization or to rely on additional data. This is left for future work.

minimizing the total risk by taking advantage of (anti-)correlations between 676 regions and technologies in an optimal way. Different optimization strategies 677 have been chosen to establish the renewable energy mixes: the first optimiza-678 tion strategy, the global one, maximizes the national Italian welfare by taking 679 full advantage of correlations between region and by ignoring any potential 680 network constraint; the second strategy, the regional one, assumes that each 681 region maximizes its own welfare in priority, disregarding the potential ben-682 efit of exploiting cross-regional correlations to improve the national welfare. 683

The main results have been obtained by relying on the full length of the climate record (23 years), in order resolve the impact of interannual climate variability on the optimal mixes as well as possible. By computing additional mixes using only one year of climate data for all available years and by assessing the differences between these mixes, we could show that the impact of interannual climate variability on the optimal renewable energy mix should not be neglected.

Comparison with the actual Italian renewable energy mix shows that the 691 actual mix is closer to the global strategy that to the regional strategy as 692 the renewable capacity is relatively evenly spread among regions. However, 693 the scenario maximizing the ratio of the total mean penetration over the risk 694 yields about two thirds (one third) of wind (solar) capacity, in strong contrast 695 with the actual mix containing one third of installed wind capacity. The 696 reasons for such differences are difficult to identify as the actual renewable 697 energy capacity deployment did not follow an optimization elaborated at 698 country scale, but relied on regional policies. Conversely, our optimal energy 699 mix scenarios rely on selected optimization strategies and may be prone to 700 biases in the resolution of the intraday variance of the production. 701

The current framework takes the variance, or risk, of the fraction of the 702 demand covered by the renewable production as a proxy for the flexibility 703 service needed from the hydro and conventional production. Our modeling 704 framework would benefit from the translation of this risk into an economic or 705 a climate cost. For that purpose, the hydro and the conventional production 706 would have to be modeled, taking into account reserve constraints and pri-707 ority orders between these energy sources. The transmission network would 708 also have to be modeled to take network constraints into account as well as 709 the arbitrage between producing locally and importing/exporting between 710 regions. 711

The current framework is also adapted to consider optimal strategies in a warming climate using 21st century projections from general circulation ⁷¹⁴ models. Finally, the generalization of such integrated modeling tool at Euro⁷¹⁵ Mediterranean scale is a priority. Our framework opens the way for the study
⁷¹⁶ of energy transition scenarios at the European scale based on precise mod⁷¹⁷ eling of climate variability and climate change. The interconnected regions
⁷¹⁸ will then be replaced with interconnected countries.

719 7. Acknowledgments

AT is grateful to Mathilde Mougeot and Jérôme Collet for insightful dis-720 cussions on modeling the electricity demand. This work was conducted in the 721 framework of the TREND-X research program on energy transition at Ecole 722 Polytechnique, which benefited from the support of the Ecole polytechnique 723 fund raising – TREND-X Initiative. This research was also supported by the 724 ANR project FOREWER (ANR-14-414 CE05-0028) and the HyMeX project 725 (HYdrological cycle in The Mediterranean EXperiment) through the work-726 ing group Renewable Energy, funded by INSU-MISTRALS program. The 727 TREND-X model is open-source and available on demand. The full docu-728 mentation of the model is available at https://www.lmd.polytechnique. 729 fr/~atantet/TRENDX/. It includes a reference guide and a tutorial, allowing 730 one to easily reproduce the results of this study. 731

732 **References**

Becker, S., Frew, B. A., Andresen, G. B., Zeyer, T., Schramm, S., Greiner,
M., Jacobson, M. Z., 2014a. Features of a fully renewable US electricity
system: Optimized mixes of wind and solar PV and transmission grid
extensions. Energy 72, 443–458.

- Becker, S., Rodriguez, R. A., Andresen, G. B., Schramm, S., Greiner, M.,
 2014b. Transmission grid extensions during the build-up of a fully renewable pan-European electricity supply. Energy 64, 404–418.
- Beltran, H., 2009. Modern Portfolio Theory Applied To Electricity Genera tion Planning. PhD Thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- Berthou, S., Mailler, S., Drobinski, P., Arsouze, T., Bastin, S., Béranger, K.,
 Flaounas, E., Brossier, C. L., Somot, S., Stéfanon, M., 2016. Influence of
 submonthly air-sea coupling on heavy precipitation events in the Western Mediterranean basin. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological
 Society 142 (S1), 453-471.

Berthou, S., Mailler, S., Drobinski, P., Arsouze, T., Bastin, S., Béranger,
K., Lebeaupin-Brossier, C., Dec. 2014. Prior history of Mistral and Tramontane winds modulates heavy precipitation events in southern France.
Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography 66 (1), 24064.

Berthou, S., Mailler, S., Drobinski, P., Arsouze, T., Bastin, S., Béranger,
K., Lebeaupin-Brossier, C., 2015. Sensitivity of an intense rain event between atmosphere-only and atmosphere-ocean regional coupled models:
19 September 1996. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society
141 (686), 258-271.

Bessec, M., Fouquau, J., 2008. The non-linear link between electricity consumption and temperature in Europe: A threshold panel approach. Energy
Econ. 30 (5), 2705–2721.

Buitinck, L., Louppe, G., Blondel, M., Pedregosa, F., Müller, A. C., Grisel,
O., Niculae, V., Prettenhofer, P., Gramfort, A., Grobler, J., Layton, R.,
Vanderplas, J., Joly, A., Holt, B., Varoquaux, G., 2013. API design for
machine learning software: experiences from the scikit-learn project. arXiv
1309.0238 [cs.LG], 15.

Chiriaco, M., Bastin, S., Yiou, P., Haeffelin, M., Dupont, J.-C., Stéfanon,
M., 2014. European heatwave in July 2006: Observations and modeling
showing how local processes amplify conducive large-scale conditions. Geophysical Research Letters 41 (15), 5644–5652.

Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, 768 S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., 769 Beljaars, A. C. M., Van De Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, 770 C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., 771 Hersbach, H., Hólm, E. V., Isaksen, L., K\a allberg, P., Köhler, M., Ma-772 tricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J. J., Park, 773 B. K., Peubey, C., De Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thépaut, J. N., Vitart, F., 774 2011. The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the 775 data assimilation system. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 137 (656), 553–597. 776

Del Río, P., Calvo Silvosa, A., Iglesias Gómez, G., 2011. Policies and design elements for the repowering of wind farms: A qualitative analysis of
different options. Energy Policy 39 (4), 1897–1908.

Drobinski, P., Anav, A., Lebeaupin Brossier, C., Samson, G., Stéfanon, M., 780 Bastin, S., Baklouti, M., Béranger, K., Beuvier, J., Bourdallé-Badie, R., 781 Coquart, L., D'Andrea, F., de Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Diaz, F., Dutay, J.-782 C., Ethe, C., Foujols, M.-A., Khvorostyanov, D., Madec, G., Mancip, M., 783 Masson, S., Menut, L., Palmieri, J., Polcher, J., Turquety, S., Valcke, 784 S., Viovy, N., Jul. 2012. Model of the Regional Coupled Earth system 785 (MORCE): Application to process and climate studies in vulnerable re-786 gions. Environmental Modelling & Software 35, 1–18. 787

Drobinski, P., Ducrocq, V., Alpert, P., Anagnostou, E., Béranger, K., 788 Borga, M., Braud, I., Chanzy, A., Davolio, S., Delrieu, G., Estournel, C., 789 Boubrahmi, N. F., Font, J., Grubišić, V., Gualdi, S., Homar, V., Ivančan-790 Picek, B., Kottmeier, C., Kotroni, V., Lagouvardos, K., Lionello, P., 791 Llasat, M. C., Ludwig, W., Lutoff, C., Mariotti, A., Richard, E., Romero, 792 R., Rotunno, R., Roussot, O., Ruin, I., Somot, S., Taupier-Letage, I., Tin-793 tore, J., Uijlenhoet, R., Wernli, H., Jul. 2014. HyMeX A 10-Year Multidis-794 ciplinary Program on the Mediterranean Water Cycle. Bull. Am. Meteorol. 795 Soc. 95 (7), 1063–1082. 796

- Duffie, J., Beckman, W., 2013. Solar Engineering of Thermal Processes, 4th
 Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken.
- Elliston, B., Diesendorf, M., MacGill, I., Jun. 2012. Simulations of scenarios with 100% renewable electricity in the Australian National Electricity
 Market. Energy Policy 45, 606–613.
- Flaounas, E., Drobinski, P., Vrac, M., Bastin, S., Lebeaupin-Brossier, C.,
 Stéfanon, M., Borga, M., Calvet, J.-C., Jun. 2013. Precipitation and temperature space-time variability and extremes in the Mediterranean region:
 evaluation of dynamical and statistical downscaling methods. Climate Dynamics 40 (11-12), 2687–2705.
- GSE, 2015. Rapporto Statistico 2015: Energia da fonti rinnovabili in Italia.
 Tech. rep., GSE.
- GSE, 2016. Rapporto Statistico 2016: Energia da fonti rinnovabili in Italia.
 Tech. rep., GSE.
- Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., Friedman, J., 2009. The Elements of Statistical
 Learning, 2nd Edition. Springer, New York.

Heide, D., Greiner, M., von Bremen, L., Hoffmann, C., 2011. Reduced storage
and balancing needs in a fully renewable European power system with
excess wind and solar power generation. Renew. Energy 36 (9), 2515–2523.

Heide, D., von Bremen, L., Greiner, M., Hoffmann, C., Speckmann, M.,
Bofinger, S., 2010. Seasonal optimal mix of wind and solar power in a
future, highly renewable Europe. Renew. Energy 35 (11), 2483–2489.

Hirth, L., 2013. The market value of variable renewables. The effect of solar
wind power variability on their relative price. Energy Econ. 38, 218–236.

Hosenuzzaman, M., Rahim, N. A., Selvaraj, J., Hasanuzzaman, M., Malek,
A. B. M. A., Nahar, A., Jan. 2015. Global prospects, progress, policies, and
environmental impact of solar photovoltaic power generation. Renewable
and Sustainable Energy Reviews 41, 284–297.

- Huber, M., Dimkova, D., Hamacher, T., 2014. Integration of wind and solar
 power in Europe: Assessment of flexibility requirements. Energy 69, 236–
 246.
- ⁸²⁸ IEA, 2017. World Energy Outlook 2017. Tech. rep., IEA.
- Justus, C. G., Mikhail, A., 1976. Height variation of wind speed and wind distributions statistics. Geophysical Research Letters 3 (5), 261–264.

Lebeaupin Brossier, C. L., Bastin, S., Béranger, K., Drobinski, P., Feb. 2015.
Regional mesoscale air-sea coupling impacts and extreme meteorological
events role on the Mediterranean Sea water budget. Climate Dynamics
44 (3-4), 1029–1051.

- Lebeaupin Brossier, C. L., Drobinski, P., Béranger, K., Bastin, S., Orain, F.,
 2013. Ocean memory effect on the dynamics of coastal heavy precipitation
 preceded by a mistral event in the northwestern Mediterranean. Quarterly
 Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 139 (675), 1583–1597.
- Lund, H., Mathiesen, B. V., 2009. Energy system analysis of 100% renewable
 energy systems-The case of Denmark in years 2030 and 2050. Energy 34 (5),
 524–531.
- MacKay, D. J. C., 1992. Bayesian Interpolation. Neural Computation 4 (3),
 415–447.

- Mencarelli, L., D'Ambrosio, C., 2018. Complex portfolio selection via convex
 mixed-integer quadratic programming: a survey. International Transactions in Operational Research.
- Miettinen, K. M., 1999. Nonlinear Multiobjective Optimization. Springer,
 New York.
- Nelson, J., Johnston, J., Mileva, A., Fripp, M., Hoffman, I., Petros-Good,
 A., Blanco, C., Kammen, D. M., 2012. High-resolution modeling of the
 western North American power system demonstrates low-cost and lowcarbon futures. Energy Policy 43, 436–447.
- Omrani, H., Drobinski, P., Arsouze, T., Bastin, S., Lebeaupin-Brossier, C.,
 Mailler, S., Feb. 2017. Spatial and temporal variability of wind energy
 resource and production over the North Western Mediterranean Sea: Sensitivity to air-sea interactions. Renewable Energy 101, 680–689.
- Omrani, H., Drobinski, P., Dubos, T., Nov. 2013. Optimal nudging strategies
 in regional climate modelling: investigation in a Big-Brother experiment
 over the European and Mediterranean regions. Climate Dynamics 41 (910), 2451–2470.
- Omrani, H., Drobinski, P., Dubos, T., Mar. 2015. Using nudging to improve global-regional dynamic consistency in limited-area climate modeling: What should we nudge? Climate Dynamics 44 (5-6), 1627–1644.
- Reindl, D. T., Beckman, W. A., Duffie, J. A., Jan. 1990a. Diffuse fraction
 correlations. Solar Energy 45 (1), 1–7.
- Reindl, D. T., Beckman, W. A., Duffie, J. A., Jan. 1990b. Evaluation of
 hourly tilted surface radiation models. Solar Energy 45 (1), 9–17.
- Rodríguez, R. A., Becker, S., Andresen, G. B., Heide, D., Greiner, M., 2014.
 Transmission needs across a fully renewable European power system. Renew. Energy 63, 467–476.
- Roques, F., Hiroux, C., Saguan, M., 2010. Optimal wind power deployment
 in Europe-A portfolio approach. Energy Policy 38 (7), 3245–3256.
- Ruti, P. M., Somot, S., Giorgi, F., Dubois, C., Flaounas, E., Obermann, A.,
 Dell'Aquila, A., Pisacane, G., Harzallah, A., Lombardi, E., Ahrens, B.,

Akhtar, N., Alias, A., Arsouze, T., Aznar, R., Bastin, S., Bartholy, J., 875 Béranger, K., Beuvier, J., Bouffies-Cloché, S., Brauch, J., Cabos, W., Cal-876 manti, S., Calvet, J. C., Carillo, A., Conte, D., Coppola, E., Djurdjevic, 877 V., Drobinski, P., Elizalde-Arellano, A., Gaertner, M., Galàn, P., Gal-878 lardo, C., Gualdi, S., Goncalves, M., Jorba, O., Jordà, G., L'Heveder, B., 879 Lebeaupin-Brossier, C., Li, L., Liguori, G., Lionello, P., Maciàs, D., Nabat, 880 P., Onol, B., Raikovic, B., Ramage, K., Sevault, F., Sannino, G., Struglia, 881 M. V., Sanna, A., Torma, C., Vervatis, V., 2016. Med-CORDEX initia-882 tive for Mediterranean climate studies. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. 97 (7), 883 1187 - 1208.884

Salameh, T., Drobinski, P., Dubos, T., 2010. The effect of indiscriminate
nudging time on large and small scales in regional climate modelling: Application to the Mediterranean basin. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 136 (646), 170–182.

Santos-Alamillos, F. J., Thomaidis, N. S., Usaola-García, J., Ruiz-Arias,
 J. A., Pozo-Vázquez, D., 2017. Exploring the mean-variance portfolio op timization approach for planning wind repowering actions in Spain. Renew.
 Energy 106, 335–342.

Skamarock, W. C., Klemp, J. B., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., Barker,
D. M., Wang, W., Powers, J. G., Jun. 2005. A Description of the
Advanced Research WRF Version 2. Tech. Rep. NCAR/TN-468+STR,
NATIONAL CENTER FOR ATMOSPHERIC RESEARCH BOULDER
CO MESOSCALE AND MICROSCALE METEOROLOGY DIV, NATIONAL CENTER FOR ATMOSPHERIC RESEARCH BOULDER CO
MESOSCALE AND MICROSCALE METEOROLOGY DIV.

- Skoplaki, E., Palyvos, J. A., May 2009. On the temperature dependence of
 photovoltaic module electrical performance: A review of efficiency/power
 correlations. Solar Energy 83 (5), 614–624.
- Spiecker, S., Weber, C., 2014. The future of the european electricity system and the impact of fluctuating renewable energy A scenario analysis.
 Energy Policy 65, 185–197.
- Stéfanon, M., Drobinski, P., D'Andrea, F., Lebeaupin-Brossier, C., Bastin,
 S., Mar. 2014. Soil moisture-temperature feedbacks at meso-scale during

- summer heat waves over Western Europe. Climate Dynamics 42 (5-6),
 1309–1324.
- ⁹¹⁰ Terna, 2016. Sustainability Report 2016. Tech. rep., Terna, Rome.
- Thomaidis, N. S., Santos-Alamillos, F. J., Pozo-Vázquez, D., Usaola-García,
 J., 2016. Optimal management of wind and solar energy resources. Comput. Oper. Res. 66, 284–291.
- Tsuchiya, H., 2012. Electricity supply largely from solar and wind resources
 in Japan. Renew. Energy 48, 318–325.
- Widén, J., 2011. Correlations between large-scale solar and wind power in a
 future scenario for Sweden. IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy 2 (2), 177–184.



Figure 7: Example of the optimal frontier of a mean-variance bi-objective optimization problem. The optimal frontier is one-dimensional and represented by a plain blue line. Mixes in the white region to the right of the frontier are suboptimal. Points in the gray region to the left of the frontier are not feasible. In this example, the optimal frontier is bounded below by a minimum-risk optimal-mix (blue dot) below which the risk may only increase. The optimal frontier is bounded above by a maximum-penetration optimalmix above which higher penetration mixes are not feasible due to the constraints of the problem. The point B is an example of suboptimal mix, since a higher mean penetration is achievable for the same risk (point A) and a lower risk is achievable for the same mean penetration (point D). The dashed blue line is obtained by minimizing the risk for a range of target mean penetration values. These solutions are, however, not Pareto optimal. For instance, point C yields the same risk as point A but achieves a lower mean penetration. Thus, A "dominates" C.



Figure 8: Approximations of the optimal frontiers (left) and of the corresponding electricity mix characteristics (right) for the global (top) and the regional (bottom) optimization problems. The blue curve in the left panels represents the approximation of the optimal frontier of the optimization problem (10). The straight black line is the optimal frontier of the same problem, but with the total capacity constraint (10c) removed. The approximations were obtained using a discretization step of 0.1%. The black dot where the black frontier is tangent to the blue one corresponds to the optimal electricity mix for which the total capacity constraint is inactive (i.e. where adding this constraint has no effect on the results of the optimization problem). The blue dots in panels (a) and (c) correspond to the optimal energy mix for which the risk is minimized while satisfying the total capacity constraint. The gray dot in panel (a) is obtained from the same capacity factor and demand data but applying the actual capacities installed in Italy in 2015 (cf. Tab. 2). The blue diamond corresponds to the optimal mix achieving the same level of risk as the actual mix in gray while maximizing the mean penetration. The blue squares correspond to limits beyond which it is not possible to further decrease or increase the mean total penetration while satisfying the total capacity constraint and the bounds. The values of the mean-risk ratio of the unconstrained optimal frontiers, given by (11), are also reported in the sub-captions. On the right panels are represented the fraction of photovoltaic capacity in the mix (plain orange line), or PV ratio as well as the shortage (plain green line) and saturation (dashed green line) frequencies versus the mean penetration. The blue and black dashed vertical lines mark the mean penetration values corresponding to the blue and black dots and the blue diamond on the left panels. The orange dot represents the PV ratio for the actual capacities installed in Italy in 2015.



Figure 9: Geographical and technological distribution of the RES capacity for the global (left) and regional (right) optimal mixes respecting the total capacity constraint. The top, middle and bottom panels represent the optimal mixes for the minimum risk, maximum mean-risk ratio and higher penetration scenarios, respectively (blue dot, black dot and blue diamond in Fig. 8a and 8c). Note that there is no plot of the higher penetration scenario for the regional strategy since the regional risk of the actual mix is too high to be achieved by an optimal mix.



Figure 10: Approximated Pareto frontiers (top) and geographical and technological distribution of the RES capacity (bottom) for the global optimization problem solved for the years 1989 (left) and 1996 (right). The approximations were obtained using a discretization step of 0.1%. The legend is the same as for Fig. 8 and Fig. 9.

.