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#### Abstract

We show how the solutions to a $2 \times 2$ linear system involving Schrödinger operators blow up as the parameter $\mu$ tends to some critical value which is the principal eigenvalue of the system; here the potential is continuous positive with superquadratic growth and the square matrix of the system is with constant coefficients and may have a double eigenvalue.


## 1 Introduction

We study here the behavior of the solutions to a $2 \times 2$ system (considered in its variational formulation):

$$
\begin{gather*}
L U:=(-\Delta+q(x)) U=A U+\mu U+F(x) \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{S}\\
U(x)_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow 0
\end{gather*}
$$

where $q$ is a continuous positive potential tending to $+\infty$ at infinity with superquadratic growth; $U$ is a column vector with components $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ and $A$ is a $2 \times 2$ square matrix with constant coefficients. $F$ is a column vector with components $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$.
Such systems have been intensively studied mainly for $\mu=0$ and for $A$ with 2 distinct eigenvalues; here we consider also the case of a double eigenvalue. In both cases, we show the blow up of solutions as $\mu$ tends to some critical value $\nu$ which is the principal eigenvalue of System $(S)$. This extends to systems involving Schrödinger operators defined on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ earlier results valid for systems involving the classical Laplacian defined on smooth bounded domains with Dirichlet boundary conditions.
This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we recall known results for one equation. In Section 3 we consider first the case where $A$ has two different eigenvalues and then we study the case of a double eigenvalue.

## 2 The equation

We shortly recall the case of one equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
L u:=(-\Delta+q(x)) u=\sigma u+f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{E}\\
\lim _{|x| \Rightarrow+\infty} u(x)=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

$\sigma$ is a real parameter.

## Hypotheses

$\left(H_{q}\right) q$ is a positive continuous potential tending to $+\infty$ at infinity.
$\left(H_{f}\right) \quad f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), f \geq 0$ and $f>0$ on some subset with positive Lebesgue measure.
It is well knwon that if $\left(H_{q}\right)$ is satisfied, $L$ possesses an infinity of eigenvalues tending to $+\infty: 0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \ldots$.

Notation: $(\Lambda, \phi)$ Denote by $\Lambda$ the smallest eigenvalue of $L$; it is positive and simple and denote by $\phi$ the associated eigenfunction, positive and with $L^{2}$-norm $\|\phi\|=1$.

It is classical ([15], [19]) that if $f>0$ and $\sigma<\Lambda$ the positivity is improved, or in other words, the maximum principle (MP) is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \geq 0, \not \equiv 0 \Rightarrow u>0 \tag{MP}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lately, for potentials growing fast enough (faster than the harmonic oscillator), another notion has been introduced ([4], [5], [9], [10]) which improves the maximum (or antimaximum principle): the "groundstate positivity" (GSP) (resp. " negativity" (GSN)) which means that there exists $k>0$ such that

$$
u>k \phi(\text { GSP })(\text { resp. } u<-k \phi(\operatorname{GSN}))
$$

We also say shortly "fundamenal positivity" or" negativity", or also " $\phi$ positivity" or "negativity".
The first steps in this direction use a radial potential. Here we consider a small perturbation of a radial one as in [9].

The potential $q$ We define first a class $\mathcal{P}$ of radial potentials:
$\mathcal{P}:=\left\{Q \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+},(0, \infty)\right) / \exists R_{0}>0, Q^{\prime}>0\right.$ a.e. on $\left.\left[R_{0}, \infty\right), \int_{R_{0}}^{\infty} Q(r)^{-1 / 2}<\infty\right\}$.

The last inequality holds if $Q$ is growing sufficiently fast $\left(>r^{2}\right)$. Now we give results of GSP or GSN for a potential $q$ which is a small perturbation of $Q$; we assume:
$\left(H_{q}^{\prime}\right) \quad q$ satisfies $\left(H_{q}\right)$ and there exists two functions $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ in $\mathcal{P}$, and two positive constants $R_{0}$ and $C_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q_{1}(|x|) \leq q(x) \leq Q_{2}(|x|) \leq C_{0} Q_{1}(|x|), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{2}\\
\int_{R_{0}}^{\infty}\left(Q_{2}(s)-Q_{1}(s)\right) \int_{R_{0}}^{s} \exp \left(-\int_{r}^{s}\left[Q_{1}(t)^{1 / 2}+Q_{2}(t)^{1 / 2}\right] d t\right) d r d s<\infty \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Denoting by $\Phi_{1}$ (resp. $\Phi_{2}$ ) the groundstate of $L_{1}:=-\Delta+Q_{1}$ (resp. $L_{2}=-\Delta+Q_{2}$ ), Corollary 3.3 in [9] says that all these groundstates are "comparable" that is there exists constants $0<k_{1} \leq k_{2} \leq \infty$ such that $k_{1} \phi \leq \Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2} \leq k_{2} \phi$. Finally

Theorem 1 (GSP) ([g]) If ( $H_{q}^{\prime}$ ) and ( $H_{f}$ ) are satisfied, then, for $\sigma<\Lambda$, there is a unique solution $u$ to $(E)$ which is positive, and there exists a constant $c>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u>c \phi \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if also $f \leq C \phi$ with some constant $C>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \leq \frac{C}{\Lambda-\sigma} \phi \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The space $\mathcal{X}$ It is convenient for several results to introduce the space of "groundstate bounded functions":

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}:=\left\{h \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): h / \phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

equipped with the norm $\|h\|_{\mathcal{X}}=$ ess $\sup _{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(|h| / \phi)$.
For a potential satisfying $\left(H_{q}^{\prime}\right)$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{X}$, there is also a result of "groundstate negativity" (GSN) for $(E)$; it is is an extension of the antimaximum principle, introduced by Clément and Peletier in 1978 ([13]) for the Laplacian when the parameter $\sigma$ crosses $\Lambda$.

Theorem 2 (GSN) ([9]) Assume $\left(H_{q}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(H_{f}\right)$ are satisfied and $f \in \mathcal{X}$; then there exists $\delta(f)>0$ and a positive constant $c^{\prime}>0$ such that for all $\sigma \in(\Lambda, \Lambda+\delta)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \leq-c^{\prime} \phi \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1 This holds also if we only assume $f^{1}:=\int f \phi>0$.

Hypothesis $\left(H_{f}^{\prime}\right)$ We consider now functions $f$ which are such that $\left(H_{f}^{\prime}\right): \quad f \in \mathcal{X}$ and $f^{1}:=\int f \phi>0$.

Theorem 3 Assume $\left(H_{q}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(H_{f}^{\prime}\right)$ are satisfied. Then there exists $\delta>0$ such that for $\Lambda-\delta<\sigma<\Lambda$ there exists positive constants $k^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime}$, depending on $f$ and $\delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{k^{\prime}}{\Lambda-\sigma} \phi<u<\frac{K^{\prime}}{\Lambda-\sigma} \phi . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Lambda<\sigma<\Lambda+\delta$, there exists positive constants $k$ " and $K$ ", depending on $f$ and $\delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{k^{\prime \prime}}{\Lambda-\sigma} \phi<-u<-\frac{K^{\prime \prime}}{\Lambda-\sigma} \phi<0 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result extends earlier one in [17] and a a close result is Theorem 2.03 in [11]. It shows in particular that $u \in \mathcal{X}$ and $|u| \rightarrow \infty$ as $|\nu-\mu| \rightarrow 0$.
Proof: Decompose $u$ and $f$ on $\phi$ and its orthogonal:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u^{1} \phi+u^{\perp} ; f=f^{1} \phi+f^{\perp} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We derive from $(E) L u=\sigma u+f$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
L u^{\perp}=\sigma u^{\perp}+f^{\perp}  \tag{11}\\
L u^{1} \phi=\Lambda u^{1} \phi=\sigma u^{1} \phi+f^{1} \phi . \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

We notice that since $q$ is smooth; so is $u$. Also, since $f \in \mathcal{X}, f^{\perp}, u$ and $u^{\perp}$ are also in $\mathcal{X}$ and hence are bounded. Choose $\sigma<\Lambda$ and assume ( $H_{f}^{\prime}$ ). We derive from Equation (11) (by [6]Thm 3.2) that : $\left\|u^{\perp}\right\| \mathcal{X}<K_{1}$. Therefore $\left|u^{\perp}\right|$ is bounded by some cste. $\phi>0$.
From Equation (12) we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{1}=\frac{f^{1}}{(\Lambda-\sigma)} \rightarrow \pm \infty \operatorname{as}(\Lambda-\sigma) \rightarrow 0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $\Lambda-\delta$ small enough and $\sigma \in(\Lambda-\delta, \Lambda)$. Hence

$$
\frac{K^{\prime}}{\Lambda-\sigma} \phi<u ; \quad u<\frac{K^{\prime \prime}}{\Lambda-\sigma} \phi .
$$

For $\sigma>\Lambda$. we do exactly the same, except that the signs are changed for $u^{1}$ in (13).

## 3 A $2 \times 2$ Linear system

Consider now a linear system with constant coefficients.

$$
\begin{equation*}
L U=A U+\mu U+F(x) \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{S}
\end{equation*}
$$

As above, $L:=-\Delta+q$ where the potential $q$ satisfies $\left(H_{q}^{\prime}\right)$, and where $\mu$ is a real parameter. $L$ can be detailed as 2 equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\{\begin{aligned}
L u_{1}= & a u_{1}+b u_{2}+\mu u_{1}+f_{1}(x) \\
L u_{2}= & c u_{1}+d u_{2}+\mu u_{2}+f_{2}(x)
\end{aligned} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, .\right.  \tag{S}\\
& u_{1}(x), u_{2}(x)_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Assume
$\left(H_{A}\right) \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ with $b>0$ and $D:=(a-d)^{2}+4 b c \geq 0$.
Note that $b>0$ does not play any role since we can always change the order of the equations.
The eigenvalues of $A$ are

$$
\xi_{1}=\frac{a+d+\sqrt{D}}{2} \geq \xi_{2}=\frac{a+d-\sqrt{D}}{2} .
$$

As far as we know, all the previous studies suppose that the largest eigenvalue $\xi_{1}$ is simple (i.e. $D=(a-d)^{2}+4 b c>0$ ). Here we also study, in the second subsection, the case of a double eigenvalue $\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}$, that is $D=0$; this implies necessarily $b c<0$ and necessarily the matrix is not cooperative.

### 3.1 Case $\xi_{1}>\xi_{2}$

This is the classical case where $\xi_{1}$ is simple. Set $\xi_{1}>\xi_{2}$. The eigenvectors are

$$
X_{k}=\binom{b}{\xi_{k}-a},
$$

Set $X:=X_{1}$.
As above, denote by $(\Lambda, \phi), \quad \phi>0$, the principal eigenpair of the operator $L=(-\Delta+q(x))$.
It is easy to see that

$$
L(X \phi)-A X \phi=\left(\Lambda-\xi_{1}\right) X \phi .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=\Lambda-\xi_{1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the principal eigenvalue of $(S)$ with associated eigenvector $X \phi$. Note that the components of $X \phi$ do not change sign, but, in the case of a non cooperative matrix they are not necessarily both positive. We prove:

Theorem 4 Assume $\left(H_{q}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(H_{A}\right) ; f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ satisfy $\left(H_{f}^{\prime}\right)$; assume also $D>0$ and $d-a>0$. If

$$
\left(\xi_{2}-a\right) f_{1}^{1}<b f_{2}^{1}
$$

there exists $\delta>0$, independant of $\mu$, such that if $\nu-\delta<\mu<\nu$, there exists a positive constant $\gamma$ depending only on $F$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}, u_{2} \geq \frac{\gamma}{\nu-\mu} \phi>0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\nu<\mu<\nu+\delta$, the sign are reversed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}, u_{2} \leq-\frac{\gamma}{\nu-\mu} \phi<0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2 If $\left(H_{q}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(H_{A}\right)$ are satisfied; if $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ satisfy $\left(H_{f}^{\prime}\right)$ as in Theorem 4, but if $d-a<0$ we have, if $\left(a-\xi_{2}\right) f_{1}^{1}+b f_{2}^{1}>0$ and $\nu-\delta<\mu<\nu$

$$
u_{1} \geq \frac{\gamma}{\nu-\mu} \phi>0, u_{2} \leq-\frac{\gamma}{\nu-\mu} \phi<0
$$

Remark 3 It is noticeable that for all these cases, $\left|u_{1}\right|,\left|u_{2}\right| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $|\nu-\mu| \rightarrow 0$.

These results extend Theorem 4.2 in [4].
Proof: As in [3], we use $J$ the associated Jordan matrix (which in this case is diagonal) and $P$ the change of basis matrix which are such that

$$
A=P J P^{-1}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{gather*}
P=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b & b \\
\xi_{1}-a & \xi_{2}-a
\end{array}\right), \quad P^{-1}=\frac{1}{b\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a-\xi_{2} & b \\
\xi_{1}-a & -b
\end{array}\right) .  \tag{17}\\
J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\xi_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \xi_{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Denoting $\tilde{U}=P^{-1} U$ and $\tilde{F}=P^{-1} F$, we derive from System ( $S$ ) (after multiplication by $P^{-1} U$ to the left):

$$
L \tilde{U}=J \tilde{U}+\mu \tilde{U}+\tilde{F}
$$

Since $J$ is diagonal we have two independant equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \tilde{u}_{k}=\left(\xi_{k}+\mu\right) \tilde{u}_{k}+\tilde{f}_{k}, k=1 \text { or } 2 . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The projection on $\phi$ and on its orthogonal for $k=1$ and 2 gives

$$
\tilde{u}_{k}=\left(\tilde{u}_{k}\right)^{1} \phi+\tilde{u}_{k}^{\perp}, \quad \tilde{f}_{k}=\left(\tilde{f}_{k}\right)^{1} \phi+\tilde{f}_{k}^{\perp} ;
$$

hence

$$
\begin{gather*}
L\left(\tilde{u}_{k}\right)^{1} \phi=\Lambda\left(\tilde{u}_{k}\right)^{1} \phi=\xi_{k}\left(\tilde{u}_{k}\right)^{1} \phi+\mu\left(\tilde{u}_{k}\right)^{1} \phi+\left(\tilde{f}_{k}\right)^{1} \phi,  \tag{19}\\
L \tilde{u}_{k}^{\perp}=\xi_{k} \tilde{u}_{k}^{\perp}+\mu \tilde{u}_{k}^{\perp}+\tilde{f}_{k}^{\perp} . \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

If both $f_{k}$ verify $\left(H_{f}^{\prime}\right)$, they are are in $\mathcal{X}$ and bounded and hence both $\tilde{f}_{k}^{\perp}$ are bounded; therefore, by (20) both $\tilde{u}_{k}^{\perp}$ are also bounded. We derive from (19) that

$$
\left(\tilde{u}_{k}\right)^{1}=\frac{\left(\tilde{f}_{k}\right)^{1}}{\Lambda-\xi_{k}-\mu}=\frac{\left(\tilde{f}_{k}\right)^{1}}{\nu-\mu}
$$

Consider again Equation (19) for $k=2$; obviously, $\left(\tilde{u}_{2}\right)^{1}$ stays bounded as $\mu \rightarrow \nu=\Lambda-\xi_{1}$ and therefore $\tilde{u}_{2}$ stays bounded. .
For $k=1,\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right)^{1}=\frac{\left(\tilde{f}_{1}\right)^{1}}{\nu-\mu} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\mu \rightarrow \nu=\Lambda-\xi_{1}$, since $\left(\tilde{f}_{1}\right)^{1}=\frac{1}{\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}}((a-$ $\left.\left.\xi_{2}\right) f_{1}^{1}+b f_{2}^{1}\right)>0$; this is the condition which appears in Theorem 4. Then, we simply apply Theorem 3 to (18) for $k=1$ and deduce that there existes $\delta>0$, such that, for $\left|\Lambda-\xi_{1}-\mu\right|=|\nu-\mu|<\delta$, there exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that $\tilde{u}_{1} \phi \geq \frac{C}{\nu-\mu} \phi$. Now, it follows from $U=P \tilde{U}$, that

$$
u_{1}=b\left(\tilde{u}_{1}+\tilde{u}_{2}\right), u_{2}=\left(\xi_{1}-a\right) \tilde{u}_{1}+\left(\xi_{2}-a\right) \tilde{u}_{2} .
$$

As $\nu-\mu \rightarrow 0$, since $\tilde{u}_{2}$ stays bounded, $u_{1}$ behaves as $b\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right)^{1} \phi, u_{2}$ as $\left(\xi_{1}-\right.$ $a)\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right)^{1} \phi$. More precisely, if $|\mu-\nu|$ small enough

$$
\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right)^{1} \geq \frac{K}{\nu-\mu} \text { if } \mu<\nu ; \tilde{u}_{1}^{1} \leq-\frac{K}{\nu-\mu} \text { if } \mu>\nu
$$

where $K$ is a positive constant depending only on $F$.
Remark 4 Indeed, we always assume that $b>0$, hence $u_{1}>0$ for $\nu-\mu>0$ small enough. For the sign of $u_{2}$ we remark that $\left(\xi_{1}-a\right)$ and $(d-a)$ have the same sign.

### 3.2 Case $\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}$

Consider now the case where the coefficients of the matrix $A$ satisfy $\left(H_{A}\right)$ and

$$
D:=(a-d)^{2}+4 b c=0 .
$$

Of course this implies $b c<0$ and since $b>0$, then $c<0$ : we have a non cooperative system. Now $\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}=\xi=\frac{a+d}{2}$. We prove here

Theorem 5 Assume $\left(H_{q}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(H_{A}\right)$ with $(a-d)^{2}+4 b c=0$; assume also that $f_{1}, f_{2}$ satisfy $\left(H_{f}^{\prime}\right)$ and:

$$
\frac{(a-d)}{2} f_{1}^{1}+b f_{2}^{1}>0
$$

If $\mu<\nu=\Lambda-\xi, \nu-\mu<\delta$, small enough, there exists a positive constant $\gamma$ such that

$$
u_{1} \geq \frac{\gamma}{\nu-\mu} \phi, \quad u_{2} \leq-\frac{\gamma}{\nu-\mu} \phi
$$

If $\nu=\Lambda-\xi<\mu<\nu+\delta$, ( $\delta$ small enough), there exists a positive constant $\gamma^{\prime}$ such that

$$
u_{1} \leq-\frac{\gamma}{\nu-\mu} \phi, \quad u_{2} \geq \frac{\gamma}{\nu-\mu} \phi .
$$

Remark 5 Note that the condition $\frac{(a-d)}{2} f_{1}^{1}+b f_{2}^{1}>0$ in the theorem above is the same than in theorem $4\left(\xi_{2}-a\right) f_{1}^{1}<b f_{2}^{1}$, since in theorem $5 \xi_{2}=\xi=$ $\frac{a-d}{2}$.

Prrof The eigenvector associated to eigenvalue $\xi$ is

$$
X=\binom{b}{\frac{d-a}{2}} .
$$

The vector $X \phi$ is thus an eigenvector for $L-A$,

$$
L(X \phi)-A X \phi=(\Lambda-\xi) X \phi=\nu X \phi .
$$

We use again $J$ the associated Jordan matrix and $P$ the change of basis matrix; we have

$$
A=P J P^{-1}
$$

Here

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b & \frac{2 b}{a-d} \\
\frac{d-a}{2} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad P^{-1}=\frac{1}{b}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\frac{2 b}{a-d} \\
\frac{a-d}{2} & b
\end{array}\right) .
$$

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\xi & 1 \\
0 & \xi
\end{array}\right)
$$

As above, setting $\tilde{U}=P^{-1} U$ and $\tilde{F}=P^{-1} F$, we derive from System $(S)$

$$
L \tilde{U}=J \tilde{U}+\mu \tilde{U}+\tilde{F} .
$$

We do not have anymore a decoupled system but

$$
\begin{cases}L \tilde{u}_{1}=(\xi+\mu) \tilde{u}_{1} & +\tilde{u}_{2}+\tilde{f}_{1}  \tag{21}\\ L \tilde{u}_{2}= & +(\xi+\mu) \tilde{u}_{2}+\tilde{f}_{2}\end{cases}
$$

If $\xi+\mu<\Lambda$ (that is $\mu<\nu$ ) and if $\tilde{f}_{2}=\frac{(a-d)}{2 b} f_{1}+f_{2}$ and $\tilde{f}_{1}=\frac{-2}{a-d} f_{2}$ satisfies $\left(H_{f}^{\prime}\right)$, hence are in $\mathcal{X}$ and $\frac{(a-d)}{2 b} f_{1}^{1}+f_{2}^{1}>0$. By Theorem 3 applied to the second equation, there exists a constant $K>0$, such that $\tilde{u}_{2}>\frac{K}{\nu-\mu} \phi$. Hence, for $\nu-\mu$ small enough fo any $\tilde{f}_{1} \in \mathcal{X}, \tilde{u}_{2}+\tilde{f}_{1}>0$ and is in $X$; then again Theorem 3 for the first equation implies that there exists a constant $K^{\prime}>0$, such that $\tilde{u}_{1}>\frac{K^{\prime}}{\nu-\mu} \phi$.
Since here $a>d$., there exists a constant $\gamma>0$,

$$
U=P \tilde{U}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{1}=b \tilde{u}_{1}+\frac{2 b}{a-d} \tilde{u}_{2}>\frac{\gamma}{\nu-\mu} \phi \\
u_{2}=\frac{d-a}{2} \tilde{u}_{1}<-\frac{\gamma}{\nu-\mu} \phi
\end{array}\right.
$$

Again $u_{1} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\nu-\mu \rightarrow 0$ and $u_{2} \rightarrow-\infty$ as $\nu-\mu \rightarrow 0$.
If $\mu>\Lambda$ ( and $\mu-\nu>0$ small enough we have analogous calculation with signs reversed.

Remark 6 The results in theorem 5 coincide with those of theroem 4 in the case $D=0$.

## References

[1] A.Abakhti-Machachti Systèmes semilinéaires d'équations de Schrödinger Université Paul Sabatier-Toulouse III, thèse N.1338, 1993.
[2] B.Alziary, N.Besbas
[3] Alziary, Fleckinger Sign of the solution to a non-cooperative system RoMaKo 2016
[4] B.Alziary, J. Fleckinger, P. Takac, Maximum and anti-maximum principles for some systems involving Schrödinger operator, Operator Theory: Advances and applications, 110, 1999, p.13-21.
[5] B.Alziary, J. Fleckinger, P. Takac, An extension of maximum and antimaximum principles to a Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ Positivity , 5, (4), 2001, pp. 359-382
[6] Groundstate positivity, negativity, and compactness for Schrödinger operator in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Jal Funct. Anal. 245, 2007, p.213-248.
[7] B.Alziary, L.Cardoulis, J. Fleckinger
[8] B. Alziary, J. Fleckinger, M. H. Lecureux , N. Wei Positivity and negativity of solutions to $n \times n$ weighted systems involving the Laplace operator defined on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, $N \geq 3$ Electron. J. Diff. Eqns, 101, 2012, p.1-14.
[9] B.Alziary, P.Takac Compactness for a Schrödinger operator in the groundstate space over $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ Electr. J Diff. Eq., Conf. 16, (2007) p.35-58.
[10] B.Alziary, P.Takac Intrinsic ultracontractivity of a Schrödinger semigroup in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. J. Funct. Anal. 256 (2009), no. 12, 40954127.
[11] N.Besbas Principe d'antimaximum pour des equations et des systmes de type Schrödinger dans $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. These de doctorat de l'Universit Toulouse I (2004).
[12] L.Cardoulis Problèmes elliptiques:applications de la théorie spectrale et étude des systèmes, existence des solutions Thèse, Université Toulouse, 1997.
[13] P. Clément, L. Peletier, An anti-maximum principle for second order elliptic operators., J. Diff. Equ. 34, 1979, p.218-229.
[14] D.G.de Figueiredo, E.Mitidieri , A Maximum Principle for an Elliptic System and Applications to semilinear Problems, SIAM J. Math and Anal. N17 (1986), 836-849.
[15] DE..Edmunds, W.D. Evans, Spectral Theory and Differential Operators Oxford Scoence Publ. Clarendon Press, 1987
[16] M.H.Lécureux, Au-delà du principe du maximum pour des systèmes d'opérateurs elliptiques. Thèse, Université de Toulouse, Toulouse 1, 13 juin 2008.
[17] M.H.Lécureux, Comparison with groundstate for solutions of non cooperative systems for Schrödinger operators in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Rostok Math. Kolloq. 65, 2010, p51-69.
[18] M.H.Protter, H.Weinberger, Maximum Principles in Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
[19] M.Reed, B.Simon Methods of modern mathematical physics IV. Analysis of operators Acad.Press, New York, 1978.

