

# **Mining Patterns With Durations from E-commerce Dataset**

Mohamad Kanaan, Hamamache Kheddouci

# **To cite this version:**

Mohamad Kanaan, Hamamache Kheddouci. Mining Patterns With Durations from E-commerce Dataset. Complex Network, Dec 2018, Cambridge, United Kingdom. 10.1007/978-3-030-05411-3  $49$ . hal-01960321

# **HAL Id: hal-01960321 <https://hal.science/hal-01960321v1>**

Submitted on 19 Dec 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Mining Patterns With Durations from E-commerce Dataset

Mohamad Kanaan<sup>1</sup> and Hamamache Kheddouci<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Sistema Strategy, Lyon, France, mohamad.kanaan@sistema-strategy.com, WWW home page: http://www.sistema-strategy.com/Home  $^2$ Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Laboratoire LIRIS, Lyon, France hamamache.kheddouci@univ-lyon1.fr, WWW home page: http://perso.univ-lyon1.fr/hamamache.kheddouci

Abstract. Given a dataset of clickstream extracted from e-commerce logs, can we find a clear usage of the website? Are there hidden relationships between the purchased products? Are there any discriminatory behaviors leading to the purchase? To answer these questions, we propose in this paper a new Sequential Event Pattern Mining algorithm (SEPM). The endeavor is to mine clickstream data in order to extract and analyze useful sequential patterns of clicks. Also, in order to make these patterns clearer, the time spent on each page is taken into account. SEPM maintains the items durations during the mining process and extracts patterns with the average durations of these items without multiple scans of the dataset. Our experimental results on both real and synthetic datasets indicate that SEPM is efficient and scalable.

Keywords: Data mining, frequent pattern, customer behavior, e-commerce

# 1 Introduction

Over the last years, electronic (e)-commerce has revolutionized the retail by changing the way in which the clients purchase. User's choices are no longer limited by the product availability in the stores of his region. Today, he can search and buy products from any international store, anywhere and anytime, by using e-commerce websites. However, e-merchants have some difficulties in understanding their customers' behaviour. They want to know how customers purchase products, how they navigate through the products catalogs or even why they abandon their purchase process. Such behaviors are very complex since they are influenced by (1) supplying factors of the e-commerce website such as prices, product availability, delivery time, rating, users' opinion, etc., (2) and external factors, such as international events (e.g. Black Friday), holidays, customer's budget, etc. These behaviors help e-merchants to understand and discover customers' needs. Therefore, e-merchants can recommend relevant products to their customers, predict future purchases, and also ensure products' availability. Their key tasks are more focused on identifying and understanding the abandonment

and purchasing processes, starting from the first stimulus of customer until his decision.

To achieve this goal, users' actions could be traced. These actions are known as clickstream. To analyze them and extract useful information, several mining tools have been developed. They aim to analyze the user's journey and discover their hidden behaviors. Some well known data mining techniques are: pattern mining, trend discovery, customers clustering and classification, collaborative filtering in recommending systems, and purchase prediction.

In this paper, we are interested in pattern mining. This mining tools is used to discover the hidden relationships between the products (the product network), and to extract discriminatory behaviors. Therefore, two aspects were taken into consideration: the order of browsed products and the time spent by customer on the page of this product. These aspects can help e-merchant to find more discriminatory behaviors and mine more precisely the pattern's skeleton. In data mining, this task is known as "Sequential pattern mining".

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents a state of art of the sequential pattern mining. In section 3, a new algorithm is developed to mine sequential event pattern. The experimental results are shown in section 4. Finally, the conclusion is elaborated in section 5.

### 2 Related Work

Sequence pattern mining is a challenging task that aims to discover frequent patterns from a sequential database. It was proposed in [7] as a problem of mining customer's sales transactions in order to discover frequent sequences of purchasing constrained by a user-specified minimum support. Later, several efficient algorithms were developed [12], and can be divided into two categories: candidate generation and pattern growth.

- 1. Candidate generation: GSP [6] and SPADE [1] are the two well-known algorithms in this category. These algorithms are extensions of Apriori algorithm, and they are based on two main steps: candidate generation and support counting.
	- (a) GSP algorithm: it extracts the patterns level by level. At each level k, all possible frequent patterns with k items (called k-patterns) are mined. To start, GSP scans the database at the level k=1 to identify all single frequent items. Then, it generates for next level  $k+1$  all potentially patterns  $(k+1)$ -candidates. The  $(k+1)$ -candidates are generated by joining k-patterns with itself. For example, considering  $P_1, P_2 \in k$ patterns such as  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  have the same items except the last one: a new  $(k+1)$ -candidate is generated by joining  $P_1$  with the last item of  $P_2$ , and another (k+1)-candidate is generated by joining  $P_2$  with the last item of  $P_1$ . When all  $(k+1)$ -candidates are generated, GSP re-scans the database to count their supports and keeps only the most frequent among them. This process is repeated until no more patterns are found.
- (b) SPADE algorithm: it converts the original sequences database (in horizontal format) to a vertical database. In this vertical database, each table is called IDList and contains a frequent item with all its positions in the original database. The vertical database is built by scanning the original database twice. The main steps of SPADE are similar to those of GSP but without multi-scan. In fact, IDList is used also to represent a pattern. For example, the IDList of a pattern P contains all items' positions in the original database where  $P$  occurs. Thereby, the support of a pattern can be defined as the number of distinct id of the sequence list in its IDList (discribed in details in Sect. 3). Also, by using IDList, the joining operation between two patterns can be done without re-scanning the database. It becomes a simple join of their IDList.
- 2. Pattern growth: most of algorithms in this category  $([2], [3]$  and  $[10]$ ) are inspired by depth-first search algorithm. One of well-known algorithms is PrefixSpan [3]. It avoids the recursive exploring of its original database (which is very expensive) by projecting it to a set of smaller databases. Then, in each local database, patterns are grown by appending items to them to create larger patterns. To avoid creating the same pattern twice during the pattern growing, the items are appended according to a total order  $\prec$  which can be a lexicographical order or any other total order on items.

#### 3 Sequential Event Pattern Mining Algorithm

#### 3.1 Definitions

**Definition 1.** Event: An event is denoted by  $\mathbf{E} = (label, duration)$  where E.label and E.duration denotes the event label and duration  $(> 0)$  respectively.

**Definition 2.** List of sequential events: A list of sequential events  $\mathbf{SE} = \{E_1, E_2, ..., E_n\}$ is a sequence of events sorted by their starting times in ascending order (we assume that events will be appended to the SE by order).

SE is identified in the original database by a unique identifier called SID, and each of its events  $E$  has also a unique identifier called **EID**. The  $EID$  indicates the position of  $E$  in  $SE$ . The length of  $SE$  is given by the number of its events  $l = |SE|.$ 

A canonical representation of SE can be obtained by merging all the labels of its events in their orders (e.g., in Table 1,  $SE$  with  $SID = 3$  can be represented by  $\langle ACBD \rangle$ .

At this point, it is worth noting that for two given events  $E_i$  and  $E_j$ , we denote  $E_i \prec E_j$ , if  $1 \leq \{i, j\} \leq l$ ,  $\{E_i, E_j\} \in SE$  and  $E_i$  occurs before  $E_j$  in  $SE$ . As events are appended by order of their start time to  $SE$ , the total order  $\prec$  on events can be reduced to a simple comparison test of their  $EID \{i, j\}$  in  $SE$ .

The input database (list of SE) is represented in vertical format [1]. Each distinct event in the database becomes an IDList containing the label and the positions list  $\langle SID, EID \rangle$  of the event.

			Patterns detected
<b>SID</b>	Events	Event list $(Label_{\rm ed})$	$A(8.5)$ , B $(7.67)$
	$E_1$ (A, 7), $E_2$ (B, 15)	$EL_1 = A_1 \rightarrow B_2 \rightarrow D_3 \rightarrow C_4$	$C(5.25)$ , D $(6.25)$
	$E_3$ (D, 6), $E_4$ (C, 3)		A $(8.5)$ B $(10)$ A $(8.5)$ C $(5.5)$
$\overline{2}$	$E_1$ (D, 2), $E_2$ (C, 6)	$EL_2 = D_1 \rightarrow C_2$	A $(8.5)$ D $(7)$
3	$E_1$ (A, 10), $E_2$ (C, 8) $E_3$ (B, 5), $E_4$ (D, 8)	$EL_3 = A_1 \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow B_3 \rightarrow D_4$	B(9) C(3.5)
	$E_1$ (B, 3), $E_2$ (D, 9)		B (7.67) D (7.67)
$\overline{4}$	$E_3$ (C, 4)	$EL_4 = B_1 \rightarrow D_2 \rightarrow C_3$	D $(5.67)$ C $(4.33)$
			A $(8.5)$ B $(10)$ D $(7)$
			B(9) D(7.5) C(3.5)

Table 1. Example database of event lists and patterns detected

**Definition 3.** Subsequence: given two sequence list  $SE = \{E_1, E_2, ..., E_k\}$  and  $SE' = \{E'_1, E'_2, ..., E'_k\}, \ SE \subseteq SE'$ , if and only if there exists an injective function f: SE.events  $\rightarrow$  SE'.events such that for any  $\{E_i, E_j\} \in SE$ , if  $E_i \prec$  $E_j \to f(E_i) \prec f(E_j)$  and  $\{f(E_i), f(E_j)\} \in SE'$ . For example,  $EL_4 \subset EL_1$  and  $EL_2 \nsubseteq EL_3$  can be deduced from Table 1.

Definition 4. Pattern: a pattern is a frequent k-subsequence where k is the number of its items.

Patterns are also represented in vertical format. Each pattern is associated with an IDList containing a list of labels of its items, and a list of  $\langle SID, EID \rangle$ where its items occur in the database. This vertical representation enables a very fast compute of support of the pattern  $PSupp$ , by simply counting the number of distinct SID in its IDList.

A valid pattern is constrained as follows: (1) frequency:  $PSupp > minSupp$ , where  $minSupp$  is a user-specified minimum support threshold, (2) event order:  $\forall \{Item_i, Item_j\} \in pattern, \text{ if } 1 \leq i < j \leq k \text{ (Item }_i \text{ is discovered before } Item_j)$ and  $Item_i.SID = Item_j.SID \rightarrow Item_i.EID < Item_j.EID$ .

#### 3.2 Problem Reformulation

Let  $D$  be an input database of  $SE$  and  $minSupp$  a user-specified minimum support threshold. The goal is to find all possible and valid patterns including the average durations of their items. To achieve this goal, we choose to maintain the durations of the items in patterns during the mining process to accelerate the computing of the average durations at the end. For this reason, we choose to augment the representation of IDList by introducing IDListExt.

Definition 5. IDListExt: is an extension of IDList. It contains in addition, a list of neighbors INeighbors and a list of durations IDurations.

**Definition 6.** INeighbors: is the list of items that follows the last item in pattern (or the item of event) in at least  $minSupp$  sequence lists. For example, in Table 1,

for minSupp = 2, the neighbors of A are  $\{< B, freq = 2>, < C, freq = 2 > \}$  $, < D, freq = 2 > \}$  and those of D are  $\{ < C, freq = 3 > \}.$  The list of neighbors can help pattern growing and avoid false candidates (cf. Sec. 3.4).

**Definition 7.** IDurations: is the list of durations of the item in each  $\langle$  SID, EID  $\rangle$ where it occurs. IDurations of a pattern is the list of durations of its last item, collected from the original lastItem.IDList where the pattern occurs. An exemple of IDListExt is shown in Table 2 for items  $\{A, B, C, D\}$  and pattern  $\{AB\}$ extracted from database in Table 1.

					B						
	А			Duration				<b>SID</b>	EID	Duration	
<b>SID</b>	EID		Duration	<b>SID</b>	EID				4	3	
					$\overline{2}$	15		2	$\overline{2}$		
З		10		3	3	5		3	2		
				4		З		4	3		
INeighbors: $\{B, C, D\}$				INeighbors: $\{ C, D \}$			INeighbors: $\{\emptyset\}$				
		<b>SID</b>	EID		Duration		AB				
			3	6		<b>SID</b>	EID		Duration		
		2		2			$\overline{2}$	15			
		3	4	8		3	3	5			
		4	2	9				INeighbors: $\{ C, D \}$			
INeighbors: $\{ C \}$											

Table 2. Example of IDListExt built from Table 1 with  $minSupp = 2$ 

#### 3.3 Algorithms

We describe here our proposed algorithms to build IDListExt and detect patterns with SEPM.

Build IDListExt: Algorithm 1 is proposed to build the IDListExt of each frequent event in the database. It starts by scanning the database to obtain all single frequent events  $f_1$  (infrequent events can be removed from database to accelerate the second scan). A second scan is performed to build the IDListExt of each frequent event (line 5-13). The last operation (line 15) will remove all infrequent neighbors from all INeighbor.

Extract pattern with SEPM: Algorithm 2 is proposed to extract all patterns without scanning the original database. It is based on two main steps: generating candidates, and then filtering them. It starts by generating the 1 patterns from every item IDListExt (line 1). For each item and for all its SID, SEPM picks the minimum EID and the duration associated with it.

Then at each level k,  $(k+1)$ -candidates are generated from the found kpatterns. From each pattern in k-patterns, new candidates are generated by joining the pattern with every item in its IDListExt.INeigbor (line 5-18) called neighbor. When the support of a candidate meets the requirements (line 10), a

Algorithm 1 Build all IDListExt

Input: Event List db 1:  $f1 \leftarrow$  all single frequent events 2: for all  $(el \in db)$  do 3: antecedents  $\leftarrow \emptyset$ 4:  $EID \leftarrow 1$ 5: for all  $(e \in elements)$  do 6: if  $f1$  contains  $e$  then 7:  $IDListExt \leftarrow findOrCreateIDListExt(e.label)$ 8: IDListExt.add(el.SID, EID, e.duration) 9: add *IDListExt.item* to all *INeighbor* in antecedents 10:  $antecedents \leftarrow antecedents \cup IDListExt$ 11:  $EID \leftarrow EID + 1$ 12: end if 13: end for 14: end for 15: remove infrequent neighbors from all INeighbors

new pattern is created from: (1) current pattern, (2) neighbor, (3) and IDListExt resulting from the join between pattern.IDListExt and neighbor.IDListExt.

The average durations of the items in a pattern P can be obtained from the list of durations in its IDListExt and those in all its predecessors. This operation can be performed by recursively exploring the predecessors of P and obtaining duration of each position <SID, EID> where SID appears in P.IDListExt. For example, we obtain from the database in Table 1, a 1-pattern  $\langle A \rangle$  that has a neighbor B. When  $\langle A \rangle$  joins its neighbor B, a new pattern  $\langle AB \rangle$  is created (see Table 3). In this new pattern  $\langle AB \rangle$ , we keep only the durations of the last item B. We can get those of item A, by referring to the pattern  $\langle A \rangle$  the predecessor of  $\langle AB \rangle$ .

Table 3. Example of 2-pattern  $\langle AB \rangle$  and 3-pattern  $\langle ABD \rangle$  with minSupp = 2

	ΑB			AΒΓ	
SID	EHD	Duration	SID	EID	Duration
		15			
		Output: $A(8.5) B(7.67)$			Output: <b>A</b> (8.5) <b>B</b> (10) <b>D</b> (7)

#### 3.4 Pruning

Two pruning mechanisms are proposed to reduce the search space and accelerate the execution time. These mechanisms eliminate false candidates and avoid unnecessary join operations.

Input: Set of IDListExt setIDListExt 1: patterns  $\leftarrow$  obtain 1-pattern from setIDListExt 2: while patterns  $\neq \emptyset$  do 3: Print patterns 4: candidates  $\leftarrow \emptyset$ 5: for all  $(\text{pattern} \in \text{patterns})$  do 6: for all  $(neighbour \in pattern.IDListExt.INeighbors)$  do 7: if neighbor  $\notin$  pattern.blackList and pattern.canJoin(neighbor) then 8:  $neighborIDListExt \leftarrow setIDListExt.find(neichbor)$ 9:  $newIDListExt \leftarrow pattern.IDListExt \, \textbf{join} \, \textit{neighbor} IDListExt$ 10: **if**  $newIDListExt.|SID| \geq minSupp$  then 11:  $newPattern \leftarrow createPattern(pathern, neighbor, new IDListExt)$ 12: candidates ← candidates ∪ newPattern 13: else 14: pattern.blacklist ← pattern.blacklist ∪ neighbor 15: end if 16: end if 17: end for 18: end for 19:  $patterns \leftarrow candidates$ 20: end while

Algorithm 2 SEPM

1. The first mechanism is based on the following property:

If joining a pattern P with an item I generates an infrequent pattern  $P' \rightarrow$ adding I to any superpattern of P will generate an infrequent pattern

To handle this property, a blacklist of items is built and if an item cannot join a pattern, it will be added to the blacklist of this pattern. Then we can avoid joining items with patterns when they appear in their blacklists. 2. The second mechanism is based the following property:

Let the item  $N$  be the neighbor of a pattern  $P$ . If  $N$  is not neighbor of all items in  $P \rightarrow$  joining P with N will generate an infrequent pattern

Based on this property, we can safely reject candidates resulting from joining a pattern with an item that do not appear in all INeighbors of items in P.

Fig. 1 shows the tree of patterns mined from the database in Table 1. Red nodes represent the candidates rejected by the pruning mechanism without any join operation.

## 4 Experimental Evaluation

SEPM was applied on several real and synthetic datasets to study its performance. The real datasets were used to demonstrate the relevance of the mined



Fig. 1. Tree showing the patterns and their children. The red nodes are rejected.

patterns, and the synthetic datasets to prove the efficiency and the scalability of the algorithm. All experiments were performed on a computer with Core i5 processor, running on Windows 10 and 16 GB of free RAM.

#### 4.1 E-commerce Datasets

Datasets. The experiments were carried out on three real datasets presented in Table 4. These datasets only contain clicks on the products. The minimum support is set to 0.01% for experimental purposes. When it changes, it affects directly the number and length of the found patterns. Since it is hard to define a common value for all datasets, this threshold must be fixed by analysts according to their needs and their dataset dimensions.

Table 4. Three real datasets used in experiments

Dataset	Event lists	Events	Nb Patterns	Max Length	Reference
cikm	238k	2.121k	25.855		$\left[14\right]$
voochoose 670k		3.870k	32.474		[15]
alibaba	1.119k	13.966k	10.923		$\lceil 16 \rceil$

Preparing data. To be able to apply SEPM, raw clickstream data must be converted into sequential event data by following these steps: (1) every session is converted into an event-list, (2) and every click in a session is converted into an event attached to its corresponding event-list. The duration of an event is defined as the time between the start time of a click and that of the next click in the same session (we suppose that the user will not be on two pages at the same time). All the clicks durations in a session can be obtained except the duration of the last one as it is hard to know when the user left the website. This duration can be replaced by the average duration of all clicks in the current session.

Patterns categorization. Table 5 shows some 4-patterns found. As we can see, this temporal representation of patterns is very useful to analyze the product network and discover the discriminatory behaviours. We notice that the durations of items in the same pattern are not equal all the time. The gap between them is sometimes small, and sometimes very important. Based on this observation, we categorize the patterns according to two variants: the standard deviation of the durations called sd, and the number of variations of the durations called variation, such as:

$$
sd_p = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{l_p} (d_i - v)^2}{l_p}}
$$
 (1)

where  $l_p$  is the length of pattern **p**,  $d_i$  is the duration of its i<sup>th</sup> item, and v is the mean of all durations in p,

$$
variation_p = \sum_{i=1}^{l_p - 1} (v_i)
$$
\n
$$
(2)
$$

where  $v_i$  is a Boolean indicating whether there is a significant gap between the durations of  $(i)^{\text{th}}$ , and  $(i+1)^{\text{th}}$  items, such as  $v_i = 1$  if  $|d_{i+1} - d_i| \ge \sigma$ , and 0 otherwise ( $\sigma$  is a user-specified threshold, set to 1 minutes in our tests). To distinguish the direction of variation, we denote  $v_i^+$  the positive variation if  $d_{i+1} \geq d_i$  and  $v_i^-$  the negative variation in the other case.

Table 5. Example of 4-patterns found

Label of product (duration in minutes)								
45925(0.6)				$\rightarrow$ 90884(0.18) $\rightarrow$ 36425(3.97) $\rightarrow$ 10450(1.9)				
				$8644(2.74)$ $\rightarrow$ 768(0.77) $\rightarrow$ 8644(10.92) $\rightarrow$ 48580(3.92)				
				$36343(5.18) \rightarrow 36343(8.78) \rightarrow 31163(4.11) \rightarrow 31163(0.73)$				
				$54421(0.32) \rightarrow 11338(2.36) \rightarrow 33890(1.23) \rightarrow 4759(1.35)$				
				$11338(0.95)$ $\rightarrow$ $4926(1.35)$ $\rightarrow$ $54421(0.52)$ $\rightarrow$ $11338(3.12)$				

Based on these variants, five important categories are identified:

**Category-A:** includes standard patterns, with variation = 0 and sd  $\simeq 2.57$ . The products found in these patterns have strong relationships with each other. Users spend almost the same duration when they consult these products together. These patterns can be used to recommend products to users during their navigations.

**Category-B:** includes patterns with variation = 1 and sd  $\leq$  12.57. In this category, two subcategories of patterns are discovered depending on the direction of variation of their durations (positive or negative variation). The patterns with positive variation indicate that users, before focusing on their main products,

consult some related ones (e.g. mobile phone accessories before mobile phones, printer cartridges before printers, ...). These related products, even if they are not bought in the same session, can influence directly the users' opinions. These patterns can help e-merchants to offer new products collections. In the other case, when the patterns contain a negative variation, we notice that users are moving away from their target products (most sessions containing these patterns end with quick navigation without focusing on a particular product).

**Category-C:** includes patterns with *variation* = 2 and sd  $\leq$  25.13. Many patterns in this category contain noises especially when the first variation is negative and the second one is positive. In this case, the users may consult non-target products during their navigations.

**Category-D:** includes patterns with *variation*  $\geq 3$  and sd  $\leq 30.33$ . These patterns reflect different types of customer's behaviors, e.g. product comparison, random navigation without purchase intention, etc.

**Category-E:** includes patterns with  $sd \geq 31$ . Most of these patterns reflect abnormal behaviours. This can be due to several reasons: bugs in tracing tool, error while page loading, etc.

Based on these categories, several mining techniques can be applied to understand more the customers' behaviors. E.g. customers can be categorized based on frequent pattern categories in their sessions, and then each customer's profile can be treated separately. Also, various customers' demographics data can be combined with the patterns categories to recommend more personalized websites.

#### 4.2 Synthetic Datasets

In this section, we apply four algorithms (SPEM, PrefixSpan, SPAM and SPADE) on synthetic datasets. The source codes of algorithms (PrefixSpan, SPAM and SPADE) are provided by [13].

Datasets. We have examined the effect of varying some dimensions of the database. We used for these experiments the "IBM data quest generator" to generate synthetic datasets. The generation of datasets can be controlled through several parameters:

- 1. ncrust (number of customers in the database) to vary the number of event lists (i.e. D)
- 2. slen (average of transactions per customer) to vary the number of events per list (i.e.  $L$ )
- 3. nitems (the number of different items available) to vary the type (label) of events (i.e. T)

To analyze the effect of  $D$  variation, we set minSupp to 10% and we vary  $D$ between 250k and 400k. In the case of L, minSupp is set to  $10\%$  and L is varied between 8 and 15. Lastly, for that of  $T$ , minSupp is set to 5% and  $T$  is varied between 3 and 10. Fig. 2 shows the results. In all cases, SEPM behaves in the same way as the other algorithms when these parameters change. Results show us that SEPM behaves correctly according to the different types of database.





Pruning. Finally, we investigate the effectiveness of the proposed pruning mechanisms. For this test, two variations of SEPM are implemented with and without pruning. Fig. 3 shows the results when they are applied on a synthetic dataset of 300k event list. As we can see, the pruning mechanisms are very efficient and can decrease the execution time by avoiding the generation of false candidates.



## 5 Conclusion

The duration that takes the customer to check products in an e-commerce website is crucial to understand his preference. Motivated by this reason, we have examined in this paper the problem of mining sequential pattern from datasets of clickstream. We augmented the existing vertical database representation with additional duration information to detect patterns with the average durations of its items. Then based on this new representation, we have proposed a new algorithm called SEPM to detect sequential patterns including the average durations of their items without multiple scans of the database. These patterns are very useful for building the product network and discovering the strong and hidden relationships between products. They are also categorized to simplify their interpretations and detect the discriminatory behaviors. Experimental results on real and synthetic datasets show the efficiency and the scalability of our proposed algorithm.

### References

- 1. Zaki, M.J.: SPADE: An efficient algorithm for mining frequent sequences. Machine Learning 42(1), 31–60 (2001).
- 2. J. Han, J. Pei, B. Mortazavi-Asl, Q. Chen, U. Dayal, and M. C. Hsu, "FreeSpan: frequent pattern-projected sequential pattern mining" ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, pp. 355-359, 2000.
- 3. Srikant, R., Agrawal, R.: Mining Sequential Patterns: Generalizations and Performance Improvements. In: Apers, P.M.G., Bouzeghoub, M., Gardarin, G. (eds.) EDBT 1996. LNCS, vol. 1057, pp. 3–17. Springer, Heidelberg (1996).
- 4. Ayres, J., Flannick, J., Gehrke, J., Yiu, T.: Sequential pattern mining using a bitmap representation. In: Proc. 8th ACM SIGKDD Intern. Conf. Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, pp. 429–435. ACM (2002).
- 5. Svatošová, Veronika. (2013). Motivation of Online Buyer Behavior. Journal of Competitiveness. 5. 14-30. 10.7441/joc.2013.03.02.
- 6. R. Srikant, and R. Agrawal, "Mining sequential patterns: Generalizations and performance improvements" The International Conference on Extending Database Technology, pp. 1-17, 1996.
- 7. R. Agrawal and R. Srikant. Mining Sequential Patterns. In Proc. of the 11th Int'l Conference on Data Engineering, Taipei, Taiwan, March 1995.
- 8. R. Agrawal and R. Srikant. Fast algorithms for mining association rules. In VLDB'94, pp. 487-499.
- 9. Patel, D., Hsu, W., Lee, M.L.: Mining relationships among interval-based events for classification. In: Proceedings of ACM SIGMOD, pp. 393–404 (2008)
- 10. J. Han, J. Pei, Y. Ying, and R. Mao, "Mining frequent patterns without candidate generation: a frequent-pattern tree approach" Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, vol. 8(1), pp. 53-87, 2004.
- 11. J. Pei, J. Han, H. Lu, S. Nishio, S. Tang, and D. Yang, "H-mine: Hyper-structure mining of frequent patterns in large databases" IEEE International Conference on Data Mining, pp. 441–448, 2001
- 12. P. Fournier-Viger, J. C. W. Lin, R. U. Kiran, Y. S. Koh, and R. Thomas, "A survey of sequential pattern mining," Data Sci. Pattern Recognit., vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 54–77, 2017.
- 13. http://www.philippe-fournier-viger.com/spmf/
- 14. https://competitions.codalab.org/competitions/11161
- 15. http://recsys.yoochoose.net/challenge.html
- 16. https://tianchi.aliyun.com/datalab/dataSet.html?dataId=649

<sup>12</sup> Mohamad Kanaan et al.