

Detection and identification of archaeological features using aerial LIDAR data in a forested environment (Châtillon-sur-Seine, Côte-d'Or, France).

Emmanuel Chevigny, Ludovic Granjon, Laure Saligny, Dominique Goguey,

Yves Pautrat, Alexandra Cordier, Matthieu Delcamp

▶ To cite this version:

Emmanuel Chevigny, Ludovic Granjon, Laure Saligny, Dominique Goguey, Yves Pautrat, et al.. Detection and identification of archaeological features using aerial LIDAR data in a forested environment (Châtillon-sur-Seine, Côte-d'Or, France).. TRAIL 2014: Formation et recherche pour l'interprétation archéologique des données LiDAR, 2014, Fragnes, France. 2015. hal-01959838

HAL Id: hal-01959838 https://hal.science/hal-01959838v1

Submitted on 25 Feb 2019 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.







GPS typology VS LiDAR data

GPS Definition

Detection and identification of archaeological features using aerial LiDAR data in a forested environment (Chatillon-sur-Seine, Côte d'Or, France)

E.Chevigny^a, L.Granjon^a, L.Saligny^a, D.Goguey^b, Y.Pautrat^c, A.Cordier^b, M.Delcamp^d ^a MSH Dijon, USR CNRS-UB 3516, plate-forme technologique géomatique Bourgogne/Franche-Comté (GEOBFC), France ^b UMR CNRS 6298 ARTeHIS, France

^c Service Régional d'Archéologie, DRAC de Bourgogne, France ^d GIP des forêts de Champagne et Bourgogne, France



The study area is located in the northern part of the Côte-d'Or (Burgundy, France) in the state forest of Châtillon-sur-Seine (a). The place is covered by sets of protohistoric to medieval dry-stone structures were GPS prospection investigations were performed during 10 years (Vix Program). To complet this prospection, LiDAR data were acquired by PNF (Parcs Nationaux de France) in 2012, on a 400 km² area.

The objective of this work is to identify feature types defined for GPS prospection from LiDAR data indices. To evaluate the recognition of feature type with LiDAR data, we used two indices calculated on the LiDAR DEM (50 cm resolution). The local slope map highlights morphological variations of each feature, leading to define feature type. As some features present the same appearance on slope map, the topographic positive openness was calculated to determine the negative or positive elevation of features. For openness index, we used 8 directions on a 20 pixels radius distance (10 m diameter).

2D representation

Local Positive slope openness

Key factors of recognition



arcs nationaux

Linear forms	Murée (b)	Dry-stone wall; plot limits			low slope in centerline = top of the wall; medium slope with the same footprint around centerline = two sides of the wall
	Murée sur épaulement	Dry-stone wall located on a naturel or anthropogenic embankment; plot limits			<pre>low slope in centerline = top of the wall; medium slope with wide footprint = embankment and wall side; medium slope with small footprint = wall side</pre>
	Epaulement	Naturel or anthropogenic embankment; plot limits			medium slope with wide footprint = embankment
	Fossé	Ditch; plot limits			low slope in centerline = ditch bottom ; medium slope with the same footprint around centerline = two sides of the ditch
	Chemin/Voie (c)	Path/Roman road; communication road			low slope in center area (3 to 10 m width) = path/road footprint; two parallel lines with medium slope around center area = two edges of the path or two dry-stone walls
oint forms	Tertre (d)	Dry-stone mound; sree or plot limit (aligned) or tumulus			Circular to ovoid forms (rarely quadrangular); low slope in center = top of the mound, medium slope surrounding center = mound sides
	Excavation (minière ou lavière)	Excavation; mining or quarry			Circular form; low slope in center = bottom of the excavation , medium slope surrounding center = excavation sides
				STREET, ST	



Application and validation

This method was applied on a 2,5 km² area, where:

- 106 line, 192 point and 12 surface features were recorded by GPS
- 164 line, 398 point and surface features were recorded by LiDAR

Feature types recognized by LiDAR were compared to GPS records.

- **70%** of **linear** features observed with LiDAR were great classified -> 1% forgetten
 - => 1 % forgotten
 - => 5 % misclassified «Murée <=> Epaulement»
 - => 10 % misclassified «Epaulement <=> Murée sur épaulement»



=> 14 % misclassified «Murée <=> Murée sur épaulement»

- 81 % of point features from LiDAR were great classified

- => 5 % forgotten
- => 5 % not visible
- => 3 % double GPS acquisiton on the field

=> 6 % misclassified (elongated mound were classified as small embankement)

- 84 % of surface features from LiDAR were great classified
 - => 8 % classified as multi features
 - => 8 % misclassified with GPS (lower than 10 m diameter)

To evaluate GPS and LiDAR classification availability, 2D cross-sections were performed on the LiDAR DEM for all misclassification areas.

The results show that LiDAR data recognition is conform to 2D topographical profil.

The misclassification of features observed on GPS data may be due to: - a bad estimation of low topographical variations on the field - a «continuous» record on the field, which do not take into account all morphological changes (observable on LiDAR) on a linear structure Archaeological features recorded from GPS (red) and LiDAR data Slope map highlinting different types of archaelogical features (yellow) (GPS data, ENVIX BD, 2012)

Discussion

This work shows that local variations of slope values combined with topographic positive openness facilitate the detection of new structures and their assignments to one of the typology defined by the GPS prospection.

The high altimetric resolution of LiDAR data allows to observed low topographical changes, with decimetric variations. However, the location of these features is not easy to be assessed, and the determination of feature types is almost impossible. Therefore, a return to the field is needed to validate the assumptions from LiDAR data.