

Common mathematical framework for stochastic reverberation models

Roland Badeau

▶ To cite this version:

Roland Badeau. Common mathematical framework for stochastic reverberation models. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2019, 145 (4), pp.2733-2745. 10.1121/1.5096153 . hal-01958485

HAL Id: hal-01958485 https://hal.science/hal-01958485v1

Submitted on 9 May 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Common mathematical framework for stochastic reverberation models

Roland Badeau¹

LTCI, Télécom ParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, Image, Data, Signal department (IDS),

46 rue Barrault, 75013 Paris, France a)

In the field of room acoustics, it is well known that reverberation can be character-1 ized statistically in a particular region of the time-frequency domain (after the tran-2 sition time and above Schroeder's frequency). Since the 1950s, various formulas have 3 been established, focusing on particular aspects of reverberation: exponential decay 4 over time, correlations between frequencies, correlations between sensors at each fre-5 quency, and time-frequency distribution. In this paper, we introduce a stochastic 6 reverberation model, that permits us to retrieve all these well-known results within 7 a common mathematical framework. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first 8 time that such a unification work is presented. The benefits are multiple: several 9 formulas generalizing the classical results are established, that jointly characterize 10 the spatial, temporal and spectral properties of late reverberation. 11

Keywords: Reverberation; Diffusion; Room impulse response; Stochastic models

^{a)}roland.badeau@telecom-paristech.fr; This article appeared in The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America (Vol.145, No.4) and may be found at https://doi.org/10.1121/1.5096153.

12 I. INTRODUCTION

13

When a microphone records a sound produced by an audio source in a room, the received 14 signal is made of several contributions (Kuttruff, 2014): firstly, the *direct sound*, that cor-15 responds to the direct propagation of the sound wave from the source to the microphone, 16 then a few *early reflections*, that are due to the sound wave reflections on the various room 17 surfaces (walls, floor, ceiling...), and finally the *late reverberation*: after a time called *tran*-18 sition time (Polack, 1992, 1993), reflections are so frequent that they form a continuum and, 19 because the sound is partially *absorbed* by the room surfaces at every reflection, the sound 20 level decays exponentially over time. This phenomenon is called *reverberation*, and it can be 21 modeled as the convolution between the source signal and a causal room impulse response 22 (RIR), made of a few isolated impulses before the transition time, and of a continuous, 23 exponentially decaying, random process in late reverberation. The Fourier transform of the 24 RIR is called *room frequency response* (RFR), and the modal theory (Balian and Bloch, 25 1970; Maa, 1939; Polack, 2007) shows that its profile is qualitatively similar to that of the 26 RIR: below a frequency called *Schroeder's frequency*, the RFR is made of a few isolated 27 modes, and above this frequency the modes become so dense that they can be represented 28 as a continuous random process (Schroeder, 1962, 1987; Schroeder and Kuttruff, 1962). 29

To sum up, reverberation can be modeled as a stochastic process in a rectangular region of the time-frequency domain (Jot *et al.*, 1997), as depicted in Fig. 1. If in addition the source and the microphones are located at least a half-wavelength away from the walls, then in this time-frequency region, the sound field can generally be approximated as *diffuse* (Cremer *et al.*, 1982; Joyce, 1975; Schultz, 1971). Diffusion is a consequence of the reflections on the room surfaces not being *specular* (*i.e.* mirror-like), but rather *scattered* in various directions, as represented in Fig. 2. After many reflections, the sound field can be considered as *isotropic*: the sound waves come uniformly from all directions.



FIG. 1. Time-frequency profile of reverberation (adapted from (Jot *et al.*, 1997, p. 30) and (Baskind, 2003, p. 20)).

Historically, the first stochastic reverberation model is due to Schroeder (1962) and Moorer (1979): the RIR at microphone i is

$$h_i(t) = b_i(t)e^{-\alpha t}\mathbf{1}_{t\ge 0} \tag{1}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $b_i(t)$ is a centered white Gaussian process. Parameter α is related to the reverberation time T_r in seconds by the equation $T_r = \frac{3 \ln(10)}{\alpha}$. The Gaussian distribution of ⁴² $b_i(t)$ arises from the central limit theorem: in late reverberation, $h_i(t)$ is the sum of many ⁴³ independent contributions.

Schroeder (1962, 1987); Schroeder and Kuttruff (1962) also noticed that the independency of the samples $h_i(t)$ implies that the RFR, defined as their Fourier transform¹ $\mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f)$, is a stationary random process. From (1), he derived several formulas that can be summarized by expressing the complex autocorrelation function² of $\mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f)$:

corr
$$[\mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f_1), \mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f_2)] = \frac{1}{1 + \imath \pi \frac{f_1 - f_2}{\alpha}}.$$
 (2)

Following a similar approach in the spectral domain, under the diffuse field assumption, Cook et al. (1955) computed the correlation at frequency f between two sensors at distance D(with c > 0 the speed of sound in the air):

$$\operatorname{corr}\left[\mathcal{F}_{h_1}(f), \, \mathcal{F}_{h_2}(f)\right] = \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{2\pi f D}{c}\right). \tag{3}$$

Equation (3) was later generalized to combinations of pressure and velocity sensors (Jacobsen and Roisin, 2000) and to differential microphones (Elko, 2001).

Finally, Polack (1988) generalized model (1) by assuming that $b_i(t)$ is a centered stationary Gaussian process, whose power spectral density (PSD) B(f) has slow variations³. Then he showed that the Wigner distribution⁴ (Cohen, 1989) of the RIR is

$$\mathcal{W}_{h_i,h_i}(t,f) = B(f)e^{-2\alpha t}\mathbf{1}_{t\geq 0}.$$
(4)

In order to account for the fact that the attenuation coefficient α actually depends on the frequency f, he also proposed an empirical generalization of (4):

$$\mathcal{W}_{h_i,h_i}(t,f) = B(f)e^{-2\alpha(f)t}\mathbf{1}_{t\geq 0}.$$
(5)

In other respects, based on the billiard theory, Polack (1992, 1993) also showed that the durations of the various trajectories in a room, from a given source position to the microphone, are distributed according to a Poisson process (Chiu *et al.*, 2013).



FIG. 2. Specular vs. diffuse reflection.

In this paper, we propose a unified stochastic model of reverberation, that will permit us to retrieve all formulas (1) to (4) in a common mathematical framework⁵, to establish a link with the Poisson distribution proposed by Polack, and to show how the probability distribution of the RIR, which is impulsive in early reverberation, converges to the Gaussian distribution in late reverberation. In addition, this model will also permit us to go deeper into the description of the statistical properties of the RIR over the space, time and frequency domains, and to prove several new results.

This paper is structured as follows: Section II presents important mathematical definitions and notation that will be used throughout the paper. Then our general stochastic reverberation model is introduced in Section III. The statistical properties of this model at one sensor are investigated in Section IV. The statistical relationships between two sensors are then analyzed in Section V. The new model is validated experimentally in Section VI, JASA/Common mathematical framework for stochastic reverberation models ⁷³ by comparing the predicted second order statistics with their estimates from a database of ⁷⁴ synthetic RIRs. Finally, some conclusions and perspectives are presented in Section VII. In ⁷⁵ order to make the main discussion as clear as possible, all mathematical proofs were moved ⁷⁶ to Appendices A to G.

77 II. MATHEMATICAL DEFINITIONS

- \mathbb{N} : set of whole numbers
- \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} : sets of real and complex numbers, respectively
- \mathbb{R}_+ : set of nonnegative real numbers

•
$$i = \sqrt{-1}$$
: imaginary unit

- \boldsymbol{x} (bold font), z (regular): vector and scalar, respectively
- [a, b]: closed interval, including a and $b \in \mathbb{R}$
-]a, b[: open interval, excluding a and $b \in \mathbb{R}$
- $L^p(V)$, where V is a Borel set and $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$: Lebesgue space of measurable functions

f of support V, such that
$$||f||_p = (\int_V |f(\boldsymbol{x})|^p d\boldsymbol{x})^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty$$

- $L^{\infty}(V)$, where V is a Borel set: Lebesgue space of essentially bounded functions f of support V (i.e. such that $||f||_{\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{V} |f| < +\infty$)
- δ : Dirac delta function
- $\|.\|_2$: Euclidean/Hermitian norm of a vector or a function

- \overline{z} : complex conjugate of $z \in \mathbb{C}$
- \boldsymbol{x}^{\top} : transpose of vector \boldsymbol{x}

•
$$\mathcal{S}^2$$
: unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 ($\mathcal{S}^2 = \{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3; \| \boldsymbol{x} \|_2 = 1 \}$)

- $\mathbb{E}[X]$: expected value of a random variable X
- $\phi_X(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[e^{i\theta X}]$: characteristic function of a real random variable X
- Covariance of two complex random variables X and Y:

$$\operatorname{cov}[X,Y] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])\overline{(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])}]$$
(6)

- $\operatorname{var}[X] = \operatorname{cov}[X, X]$: variance of a random variable X
- Correlation of two complex random variables X and Y:

$$\operatorname{corr}[X, Y] = \frac{\operatorname{cov}[X, Y]}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}[X] \operatorname{var}[Y]}}$$
(7)

• $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$: Poisson distribution of parameter $\lambda > 0$:

$$N \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda) \Leftrightarrow P(N=n) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \Leftrightarrow \phi_N(\theta) = e^{\lambda(e^{i\theta} - 1)}$$
(8)

• $\operatorname{sinc}(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x}$: cardinal sine function

- $\mathbf{1}_A$: indicator function of a set A ($\mathbf{1}_A(x)$ is 1 if $x \in A$ or 0 if $x \notin A$)
- $\tilde{\psi}(t) = \overline{\psi(-t)}$: conjugate and time-reverse of $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$
 - Convolution of two functions ψ_1 and $\psi_2 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$:

$$(\psi_1 * \psi_2)(t) = \int_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \psi_1(u) \psi_2(t-u) du$$

• Fourier transform of a function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\psi}(f) = \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \psi(t) e^{-2i\pi f t} dt \quad (f \in \mathbb{R})$$
(9)

• Two-sided Laplace transform of a function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(s) = \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \psi(t) e^{-st} dt \quad (s \in \mathbb{C})$$
(10)

• Wigner distribution (*a.k.a.* Wigner-Ville distribution) of two second-order random processes $\psi_1(t)$ and $\psi_2(t)$:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}(t,f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos[\psi_1(t+\frac{u}{2}),\psi_2(t-\frac{u}{2})] e^{-2i\pi f u} du.$$
(11)

107 III. DEFINITION OF THE STOCHASTIC MODEL

The model that we present in this section is based on the source image principle (Allen 108 and Berkley, 1979; Kuttruff, 2014). As illustrated in Fig. 3 in the case of specular reflections 109 in a rectangular room⁶, the trajectory inside the room from the real source to the microphone 110 is equivalent to a virtual straight trajectory from a so-called *source image* which is outside 111 the room. A remarkable property of this principle is that, regardless of the room dimen-112 sions, the density of the source images is uniform in the whole space: the number of source 113 images contained in a given disk, of radius sufficiently larger than the room dimensions, is 114 approximately invariant under any translation of this disk. 116

Since we aim to define a general stochastic reverberation model that holds for any room geometry, based on the billiard theory (Polack, 1992, 1993), we will consider that the positions of the source images are random and uniformly distributed (note that this assumption



FIG. 3. Positions of microphone (plus sign), source (thick point) and source images (other points). The original room walls are drawn with thick lines. A virtual straight trajectory from one source image to the microphone is drawn, along with the real trajectory in the original room.

is *a fortiori* valid in the case of a diffuse sound field, which is uniform). More precisely, given 120 a Borel set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ of finite volume |V|, we will assume that the number N(V) of source 121 images contained in V follows a Poisson distribution of parameter $\lambda |V|$: $N(V) \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda |V|)$. 122 Mathematically, this is formalized through the concept of Poisson random measures with 123 independent increments: given a non-negative, locally integrable function $\Lambda(\boldsymbol{x})$ on \mathbb{R}^p , the 124 Poisson random increment $dN(\mathbf{x}) \sim \mathcal{P}(\Lambda(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x})$ corresponds to an infinitesimal volume 125 |V| = dx. Then for any Borel set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ of finite Lebesgue measure, the number 126 $N(V) = \int_V dN(\boldsymbol{x})$ of points contained in V follows a Poisson distribution of parameter 127 $\int_V \Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x}$: $N(V) \sim \mathcal{P}(\int_V \Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x})$. In the proposed stochastic reverberation model, we 128 will consider a spatially uniform distribution of the source images in the 3D-space, so that 129 p = 3 and $\Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) = \lambda > 0$ is constant. 130

In other respects, we will assume that the sound waves undergo an exponential attenuation along their trajectories, that is due to the multiple reflections on the room surfaces ¹³³ and to the propagation in the air. In this paper we focus on the case of omnidirectional ¹³⁴ microphones, so we will further assume that this attenuation is isotropic (in accordance with ¹³⁵ the diffuse field approximation) and independent of the frequency. It will thus only depend ¹³⁶ on the length of the trajectory, as in (Polack, 1988).

Finally, we suppose that several microphones⁷ indexed by an integer i are placed at arbitrary positions \boldsymbol{x}_i in the room.

¹³⁹ We end up with the following model:

$$h_i(t) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3} h_i(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \, e^{-\frac{\alpha}{c} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2} \, dN(\boldsymbol{x}), \tag{12}$$

where $h_i(t)$ is the RIR at microphone i, $\alpha > 0$ is the attenuation coefficient (in Hz), and c > 0 is the speed of sound in the air (approximately 340 m/s in usual conditions). The impulse $h_i(t, \boldsymbol{x})$, propagated from the source image at position \boldsymbol{x} , is modeled as a coherent sum of monochromatic spherical waves:

$$h_i(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{f \in \mathbb{R}} A(f) \frac{e^{2i\pi f \left(t - \frac{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2}{c}\right)}}{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2} df,$$
(13)

where A(f) is a linear-phase frequency response (in order to ensure coherence). In Appendix A, we show that (12) and (13) are equivalent to the following model:

¹⁴⁶ **Definition 1** (Unified stochastic reverberation model).

Let $\lambda > 0$, T > 0, $\alpha > 0$, and c > 0. Let $dN(\mathbf{x})$ be a uniform Poisson random measure on 148 \mathbb{R}^3 with independent increments:

$$dN(\boldsymbol{x}) \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda d\boldsymbol{x}).$$
 (14)

Let $g(t) \in L^{\infty}([-T,T])$, such that

$$\mathcal{F}_g(0) = \frac{d\mathcal{F}_g}{df}(0) = 0, \tag{15}$$

$$\forall f \in \mathbb{R}, \ \mathcal{L}_g(\alpha + 2i\pi f) \ge 0.$$
(16)

150 At any sensor position $\boldsymbol{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $h_i(t)$ is defined as

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \ h_i(t) = e^{-\alpha(t-T)} b_i(t), \tag{17}$$

151 where

$$b_i(t) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3} g\left(t - T - \frac{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2}{c}\right) \frac{dN(\boldsymbol{x})}{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2}.$$
(18)

¹⁵² Equivalently, the Fourier transform of $h_i(t)$ is

$$\mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f) = \mathcal{L}_g(\alpha + 2i\pi f)e^{-2i\pi fT} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha + 2i\pi f}{c}} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2}{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2} dN(\boldsymbol{x}).$$
(19)

This definition calls for comments. Firstly, the linear-phase frequency response A(f)in (13) was parameterized as

$$A(f) = \mathcal{L}_q(\alpha + 2\imath\pi f)e^{-2\imath\pi fT}.$$
(20)

This technical definition aims to simplify the mathematical developments in the next sections. Secondly, any function $g \in L^{\infty}([-T,T])$ is such that $\mathcal{F}_g(f)$ and $f \mapsto \mathcal{L}_g(\alpha + 2i\pi f)$ are smooth, so $\mathcal{F}_g(0)$, $\frac{d\mathcal{F}_g}{df}(0)$ and $\mathcal{L}_g(\alpha + 2i\pi f)$ are well-defined. The constraints (15) and (16) imposed to g are required to prove Lemma 1 and Proposition 2 in Section IV ⁸. Moreover, the support of g is chosen so that $h_i(t)$ in (17) and $b_i(t)$ in (18) are causal. Thirdly, the existence of functions g that satisfy these constraints is guaranteed by Lemma 3 in Appendix A. Now it is time to investigate the properties of this model. In Section IV, we will focus on one sensor at spatial position x_i . Then in Section V, we will analyze the spatial relationships between two sensors at different positions x_i and x_j .

164 IV. STATISTICAL PROPERTIES AT ONE SENSOR

¹⁶⁵ Let us first introduce an equivalent model definition:

Proposition 1 (Equivalent model definition at one sensor). With the same notation as in
Definition 1, we have:

$$b_i(t) = \int_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+} g\left(t - T - \frac{r}{c}\right) \frac{dN(r)}{r},\tag{21}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f) = \mathcal{L}_g(\alpha + 2\imath \pi f) e^{-2\imath \pi f T} \int_{\substack{r \in \mathbb{R}_+}} \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha + 2\imath \pi f}{c} r} dN(r)}{r}$$
(22)

where dN(r) are independent Poisson increments on \mathbb{R}_+ :

$$dN(r) \sim \mathcal{P}(4\pi\lambda r^2 dr). \tag{23}$$

Proposition 1 is proved in Appendix A. Let us now investigate the statistical properties
of this model:

Lemma 1. The random process $b_i(t)$ introduced in Definition 1 is such that $\forall t \geq 2T$,

172 1. $b_i(t)$ is a centered wide sense stationary (WSS) process ⁹, of autocovariance function

$$\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \Gamma(\tau) = \operatorname{cov}[b_i(t+\tau), b_i(t)] = 4\pi\lambda c \,(\widetilde{g} * g)(\tau), \tag{24}$$

173 autocorrelation function

$$\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \ \gamma(\tau) = \operatorname{corr}[b_i(t+\tau), b_i(t)] = \frac{(\widetilde{g} * g)(\tau)}{\|g\|_2^2},$$
(25)

and power spectral density

$$\forall f \in \mathbb{R}, \ B(f) = \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}(f) = 4\pi\lambda c \, |\mathcal{F}_g(f)|^2.$$
(26)

175 2. When $t \to +\infty$ (i.e. $t \gg T$), $b_i(t)$ converges in law to a stationary Gaussian process.

- Lemma 1 is proved in Appendix C.
- Proposition 2 (Statistical properties at one sensor position). With the notation introduced
 in Lemma 1, the reverberation model in Definition 1 has the following properties:
- 179 1. First order moments:
- 180

• in the spectral domain:

$$\forall f \in \mathbb{R}, \ \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f)] = \frac{4\pi\lambda c^2 \mathcal{L}_g(\alpha + 2i\pi f) e^{-2i\pi fT}}{\left(\alpha + 2i\pi f\right)^2}$$
(27)

181

$$\forall t \ge 2T, \ \mathbb{E}[h_i(t)] = 0.$$
(28)

- 182 2. Second order moments:
- 183

• in the spectral domain:

$$\forall f \in \mathbb{R}, \ \operatorname{var}[\mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f)] = 2\pi\lambda c \,\mathcal{L}_g(\alpha + 2\imath\pi f)^2/\alpha$$
 (29)

$$\forall f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \ \operatorname{corr}[\mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f_1), \mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f_2]) = \frac{e^{-2i\pi(f_1 - f_2)T}}{1 + i\pi \frac{f_1 - f_2}{\alpha}}$$
(30)

184

• in time domain:

$$\forall t \ge 2T, \ \operatorname{var}[h_i(t)] = 4\pi\lambda c \|g\|_2^2 e^{-2\alpha(t-T)}$$
 (31)

$$\forall t_1, t_2 \ge 2T, \ \operatorname{corr}[h_i(t_1), h_i(t_2)] = \gamma(t_1 - t_2)$$
(32)

• in the time-frequency domain:

$$\forall f \in \mathbb{R}, \forall t \ge 2T, \ \mathcal{W}_{h_i,h_i}(t,f) = B(f) e^{-2\alpha(t-T)}.$$
(33)

186 3. Asymptotic normality: when $t \to +\infty$, $h_i(t)$ converges in law to a Gaussian process.

Proposition 2 is proved in Appendix D. Note that (26) and (15) show that B(f) is very flat at f = 0: $B(0) = \frac{dB}{df}(0) = \frac{d^2B}{df^2}(0) = \frac{d^3B}{df^3}(0) = 0$. The asymptotic normality is related to the central limit theorem: when r becomes large, the volume contained between the spheres of radius r and r + dr increases as r^2dr , and so does the number of source images included in this volume as shown in (23), which leads to the addition of an increasing number of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random increments $dN(\mathbf{x})$.

This proposition permits us to retrieve most of the classical results listed in the intro-193 duction. Firstly, $h_i(t)$ is centered for $t \ge 2T$ (the fact that it is not centered for $t \in [0, 2T]$) 194 explains why the expected value of the frequency response $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f)]$ in (27) is not zero). 195 Secondly, (17) corresponds to Schroeder and Moorer's model defined in (1) when $T \to 0$ (in 196 this case the process $b_i(t)$ becomes white, and it is Gaussian when $t \gg T$), and in the general 197 case it is equivalent to Polack's model (Polack, 1988, chap. 1) $(b_i(t))$ is a centered stationary 198 Gaussian process when $t \gg T$). When $T \rightarrow 0$, (30) reduces to Schroeder's formula (2), 199 which was indeed established by assuming that $b_i(t)$ is white. Finally, (33) is equivalent 200 to Polack's time-frequency model defined in (4). To the best of our knowledge, the other 201 formulas in Proposition 2 are novel. 202

203 V. STATISTICAL PROPERTIES BETWEEN TWO SENSORS

Let us now focus on the relationships between two sensors:

Lemma 2. Let us consider the model in Definition 1 at two positions x_i and $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Let us define the rectangular window

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \ w(t) = \frac{c}{2D} \mathbf{1}_{\left[-\frac{D}{c}, \frac{D}{c}\right]}(t), \tag{34}$$

where $D = \|\boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{x}_j\|_2$. Then, in addition to the properties listed in Lemma 1, the random process $\boldsymbol{b}(t) = [b_i(t), b_j(t)]^\top$ is also such that

• $\forall t \geq 2T + \frac{D}{c}, \ \boldsymbol{b}(t)$ is a centered WSS process ⁹, of cross-autocovariance function

$$\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \Gamma_{i,j}(\tau) = \operatorname{cov}[b_i(t+\tau), b_j(t)] = w * \Gamma(\tau),$$
(35)

210 cross-autocorrelation function

$$\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma_{i,j}(\tau) = \operatorname{corr}[b_i(t+\tau), b_j(t)] = w * \gamma(\tau), \tag{36}$$

and cross-power spectral density

$$\forall f \in \mathbb{R}, B_{i,j}(f) = \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{i,j}}(f) = B(f)\operatorname{sinc}(\frac{2\pi fD}{c}).$$
(37)

• When $t \to +\infty$ (i.e. $t \gg T$), $\mathbf{b}(t)$ converges in law to a stationary Gaussian process.

Lemma 2 is proved in Appendix F.

²¹⁴ **Proposition 3** (Statistical properties between two sensors).

Let us consider the reverberation model in Definition 1 at two positions x_i and $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and

the rectangular window w(t) defined in (34) with $D = \|\boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{x}_j\|_2$. Then, with the notation

²¹⁷ introduced in Lemma 1 and in addition to the properties listed in Proposition 2, we also ²¹⁸ have:

• in the spectral domain:

$$\forall f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \ \operatorname{corr}[\mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f_1), \mathcal{F}_{h_j}(f_2)] = \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha D}{c} - 2i\pi(f_1 - f_2)(T + \frac{D}{2c})}\operatorname{sinc}(\frac{\pi(f_1 + f_2)D}{c})}{1 + i\pi \frac{f_1 - f_2}{\alpha}} \tag{38}$$

• in the time domain:

$$\forall t_1, t_2 \ge 2T + \frac{D}{c}, \ \operatorname{corr}[h_i(t_1), h_j(t_2)] = w * \gamma (t_1 - t_2)$$
 (39)

• in the time-frequency domain:

$$\forall f \in \mathbb{R}, \forall t \ge 2T + \frac{D}{2c}, \mathcal{W}_{h_i, h_j}(t, f) = B(f)e^{-2\alpha(t-T)}\operatorname{sinc}(\frac{2\pi fD}{c}).$$
(40)

• Asymptotic normality: when $t \to +\infty$, h(t) converges in law to a Gaussian process.

Proposition 3 is proved in Appendix G. Applying equation (38) to $f_1 = f_2 = f$, we get Cook's formula (3) when $\alpha \to 0$ (no exponential decay). This formula was indeed originally proved by considering plane waves under a far-field assumption (Cook *et al.*, 1955). Besides, equation (39) shows that $h_i(t)$ and $h_j(t)$ are correlated on a time interval that corresponds to the wave propagation from one sensor to the other. To the best of our knowledge, all formulas in Proposition 3 are novel.

229 VI. SIMULATION RESULTS

In Propositions 2 and 3, we have listed several statistical properties of the reverberation model introduced in Definition 1. Some of these properties are already-known experimental facts, such as the zero mean and asymptotic Gaussianity of late reverberation. In this section, we aim to experimentally validate the second-order statistics specific to this new stochastic reverberation model, that were not predicted by the existing models listed in Section I. Firstly, we note that at the second order, the spectral domain, time domain, and time-frequency domain formulas in both Propositions 2 and 3 are mutually equivalent; we can thus focus on the time-frequency domain only. Secondly, from (33) and (40), we get the closed-form expression of the time-frequency correlation: $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}, \forall t \geq 2T + \frac{D}{2c}$,

$$\frac{\mathcal{W}_{h_i,h_j}(t,f)}{\mathcal{W}_{h_i,h_i}(t,f)} = \operatorname{sinc}(\frac{2\pi f D}{c}).$$
(41)

Since (33) was already known (Polack, 1988) and since (40) can actually be deduced from (33) and (41), the novelty regarding the second order statistics of late reverberation in Propositions 2 and 3 lies in the single equation (41). Therefore we just need to experimentally validate (41).

For this purpose, we need an experimental setup with the greatest spatial diversity possi-243 ble: a high number (typically a thousand) of RIRs, with random source position \boldsymbol{x} , random 244 middle position of the sensors $\frac{x_i + x_j}{2}$, random orientation of the sensor axis $\frac{x_j - x_i}{D}$, for a fixed 245 distance $\|\boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2 = D$. Because we do not have access to a suitable database of mea-246 sured RIRs, we used synthetic RIRs instead. These synthetic RIRs were generated with 247 the Roomsimove toolbox (Vincent and Campbell, 2008), which is a state-of-the-art RIR 248 generator based on the source image principle. Roomsimove is dedicated to parallelepipedic 249 ("shoebox") rooms and applies high-pass filtering above 20 Hz. We used it with the default 250 physical parameters (humidity: 40%, temperature: 20 °C, speed of sound: c = 343 m/s), to 251 simulate a shoebox room matching the two properties of the classroom described in (Kut-252

truff, 2014, p. 84): a volume of 200 m³ (the room dimensions are 7.4 m \times 9 m \times 3 m), and 253 a reverberation time of 1 s (the absorption coefficient is set to 0.13 for all room surfaces). 254 For this setup, Schroeder's frequency is about 140 Hz. In accordance with our stochastic 255 model, we considered omnidirectional sources and omnidirectional microphones. We thus 256 generated M = 1000 RIRs sampled at 16 kHz, with random source positions and random 257 middle positions of the sensors (both uniformly distributed inside the room volume), ran-258 dom orientation of the sensor axis (uniformly distributed on the unit sphere), for a fixed 259 microphone distance D = 20 cm. 260



FIG. 4. Time-frequency correlation between sensors of the late RIR

Fig. 4 represents the estimate of the time-frequency correlation (41) that we obtained with this experimental setup. The Wigner distributions $\mathcal{W}_{h_i,h_i}(t,f)$ and $\mathcal{W}_{h_i,h_j}(t,f)$ were estimated as $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{h_i,h_i}(t,f) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} |S_{h_i}^{(m)}(t,f)|^2$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{h_i,h_j}(t,f) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} S_{h_i}^{(m)}(t,f) \overline{S_{h_j}^{(m)}(t,f)}$, where $S_{h_i}^{(m)}(t,f)$ (resp. $S_{h_j}^{(m)}(t,f)$) is the short time Fourier transform (STFT) of the m^{th} generated RIR $h_i^{(m)}(t)$ (resp. $h_j^{(m)}(t)$), computed with a 128-sample long Hann window and



FIG. 5. Correlation between sensors of the late RFR over frequency (a) and over time (b)

an overlap of 64 samples in the time domain. The distributions $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{h_i,h_i}(t,f)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{h_i,h_j}(t,f)$ obtained in this way are smoothed estimates of $\mathcal{W}_{h_i,h_i}(t,f)$ and $\mathcal{W}_{h_i,h_j}(t,f)$ in the timefrequency domain (Cohen, 1989). We observe in Fig. 4 that the estimated time-frequency correlation is noisy but, as expected, it seems approximately constant as a function of time, and looks like a cardinal sine function as a function of frequency.

In order to accurately compare the frequency variation with Cook's formula (3), we computed the ratio $\hat{\rho}_{i,j}(f)$ of the projections of the estimated distributions onto the frequency axis, i.e.

$$\hat{\rho}_{i,j}(f) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{h_i,h_j}(t,f) dt}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{h_i,h_i}(t,f) dt}$$
(42)

(represented by the dashed curve in Fig. 5-(a)), which is an estimate of (3) (the cardinal sine function is represented by the solid curve in Fig. 5-(a)). We can observe a good match between the two curves¹⁰. Finally, the dash-dotted curve in Fig. 5-(b) represents, as a function of time, the same noisy estimate of the time-frequency correlation as in Fig. 4, at a fixed frequency f = 3860 Hz (which corresponds to a local maximum of the cardinal sine function in Fig. 5-(a)), and the solid curve represents a smoothed (i.e. low-pass filtered) version of this noisy signal. We can observe that the average time-frequency correlation is indeed approximately independent of time.

282 VII. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

In this paper, we proposed a new stochastic model of reverberation, that permitted us to retrieve various well-known results within a common framework. This unification work resulted in several new results, that jointly characterize the properties of late reverberation in the space, time, and frequency domains. The most noticeable result in our opinion is (40), which very simply makes the connection between Polack's time-frequency model (4) and Cook's formula (3).

Although this model was motivated by physical assumptions that only hold in a partic-289 ular region of the time-frequency domain (after the transition time and above Schroeder's 290 frequency), from a signal processing perspective however, one of its most interesting features 291 is that it is also applicable *before* the transition time and *below* Schroeder's frequency. In-292 deed, since the parameter of the Poisson distribution dN(r) in (23) increases quadratically 293 with the distance r, the model permits us to describe both the impulsiveness of the RIR 294 before the transition time, and its asymptotic normality in late reverberation. However, be-295 cause it does not take the exact geometry of the acoustic scene into account (i.e. the room 296 geometry and the source and sensors positions), it is able to capture neither the accurate 297 temporal localization in the RIR of the isolated peaks related to early reflections before the 298

JASA/Common mathematical framework for stochastic reverberation models

transition time, nor the spectral localization of the isolated room modes below Schroeder's 299 frequency. In practice, this blindness to such fine details does not prevent us to estimate 300 the model parameters from the full RIRs, in the whole time-frequency domain. The missing 301 geometrical information can still be recovered afterwards in an ad-hoc manner, for instance 302 by estimating the Poisson random measure $dN(\mathbf{x})$ given the observed RIRs. Indeed, the 303 knowledge of $dN(\boldsymbol{x})$ permits us to identify the spatial positions of the source images, which 304 carry the geometrical information related to both the early reflections and the room modes. 305 Finally, we end up with a stochastic model involving very few parameters (α , λ , filter q, 306 and the distances between microphones), that is able to describe reverberation in the whole 307 time-frequency domain. We thus believe that this model has an interesting potential in a 308 variety of signal processing applications. 309

However this reverberation model, as it is presented in this paper, is not yet suitable for 310 modeling real RIRs. Indeed, one assumption has to be relaxed: the attenuation coefficient 311 α is not constant but rather depends on frequency in practice, as in Polack's generalized 312 time-frequency model (5). In a future paper, we will thus present a generalization of the 313 proposed model where we will introduce a frequency-varying attenuation coefficient. А 314 second generalization of this model would be to represent acoustic fields that are not perfectly 315 Finally, the generalization to directional microphones is straightforward, by using diffuse. 316 the same approach as presented in (Elko, 2001). 317

Our future contributions will also focus on the signal processing aspects of this work: we will propose a fast algorithm for estimating the parameters of the model in discrete time. JASA/Common mathematical framework for stochastic reverberation models Finally, our ultimate goal is to investigate the potential of this model in applications such as source separation, dereverberation, and synthetic reverberation.

322 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

320

321

Ahead of this work, I had some very interesting and inspiring discussions about reverberation with several persons that I would like to thank here, including my former PhD students Simon Leglaive and Arthur Belhomme, and my colleagues Gaël Richard, Yves Grenier, Laurent Girin, Antoine Liutkus, Philippe Depalle and Xavier Boutillon.

327 APPENDIX A: PROOFS FOR THE DEFINITION OF THE STOCHASTIC MODEL

The following lemma aims to prove the existence of functions g that satisfy conditions (15) and (16) in Definition 1.

Lemma 3. Let $\psi(t) \in L^2([0,T])$ with T > 0, such that $\int_{t=0}^T \psi(t)e^{\alpha t}dt = \int_{t=0}^T \psi(t)e^{-\alpha t}dt = 0$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{-11}$. Let $g(t) = (\widetilde{\psi} * \psi)(t)e^{\alpha(t-T)}$. Then function g satisfies conditions (15) and (16) in Definition 1.

Proof of Lemma 3. Since $\psi(t) \in L^2([0,T])$, function g is continuous and bounded. Firstly, $\mathcal{F}_g(f) = e^{-\alpha T} \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\alpha + 2i\pi f)} \mathcal{L}_{\psi}(-\alpha + 2i\pi f)$. Moreover, since $\psi(t)$ has finite support, both functions $f \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\psi}(-\alpha + 2i\pi f)$ and $f \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\alpha + 2i\pi f)$ are infinitely differentiable. In addition, $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(-\alpha) = \mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\alpha) = 0$, which finally proves (15). Secondly, $\mathcal{L}_g(\alpha + 2i\pi f) = e^{-\alpha T} |\mathcal{F}_{\psi}(f)|^2$, which proves (16). We can now derive equations (17), (18) and (19) in Definition 1. By substituting (13) and (20) into (12), we get

$$h_i(t) = \int_{f \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}_g(\alpha + 2i\pi f) e^{2i\pi f(t-T)} \int_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha + 2i\pi f}{c} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2}}{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2} dN(\boldsymbol{x}) df$$

which shows that $h_i(t)$ as defined in (12) is the inverse Fourier transform of (19) and therefore proves (19). Besides, applying the Fourier transform (9) to (17) also leads to (19), which proves the equivalence between (17)-(18), and (12)-(13)-(20).

³⁴¹ Finally, let us prove Proposition 1.

Proof of Proposition 1. Let $dN(r) = \int_{\boldsymbol{u} \in S^2} dN(r\boldsymbol{u})$. Then (14) implies (23). The change of variables $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}_i + r\boldsymbol{u}$ with $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\boldsymbol{u} \in S^2$ in (18) and (19) leads to (21) and (22).

344 APPENDIX B: PROPERTIES OF THE POISSON RANDOM MEASURE

The following Proposition 4 characterizes the distribution of the Poisson random measure introduced in Section III, by providing the closed-form expression of the characteristic functional of this random measure:

Proposition 4. Let $dN(\mathbf{x})$ be a Poisson random measure on \mathbb{R}^p with independent increments: $dN(\mathbf{x}) \sim \mathcal{P}(\Lambda(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x})$, where Λ is a non-negative, locally integrable function. We consider the stochastic integral $I = \int \psi(\mathbf{x}) dN(\mathbf{x})$, where ψ is an essentially bounded realvalued function with compact support, and its characteristic function $\phi_I(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[e^{i\theta I}]$. Then we have

$$\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \ \phi_I(\theta) = \exp\left(\int (e^{i\theta\psi(\boldsymbol{x})} - 1)\Lambda(\boldsymbol{x})d\boldsymbol{x}\right),\tag{B1}$$

353 which yields

$$\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \ \ln \phi_I(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{i^n}{n!} \theta^n \kappa_n \tag{B2}$$

where $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\kappa_n = \int \psi(\boldsymbol{x})^n \Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x}$$
(B3)

is the n-th order cumulant of I. In particular, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[I] = \int \psi(\boldsymbol{x}) \Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x}.$$
 (B4)

Proof. In the particular case where ψ is the indicator function of a Borel set V of finite Lebesgue measure, we have $I = N(V) \sim \mathcal{P}(\int_V \Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x})$. Therefore (8) yields (B1), because both members of (B1) are equal to $\exp((e^{i\theta} - 1) \int_V \Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x})$. Consequently, since (B1) holds for any indicator function and since the increments $dN(\boldsymbol{x})$ are assumed independent, it is easy to show that (B1) also holds for simple functions¹². Following the construction of the Lebesgue integral, we conclude that (B1) also holds for any essentially bounded function ψ with compact support.

Then the Taylor series expansion of the second exponential function in (B1) yields $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}$, 364

$$\ln \phi_I(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{i^n}{n!} \theta^n \int \psi(\boldsymbol{x})^n \Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x},$$
(B5)

which proves (B2). Note that the permutation of the integral and the sum in (B5) is allowed thanks to Fubini's theorem, because $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} |\theta|^n \int |\psi(\boldsymbol{x})|^n \Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} \leq e^{|\theta| ||\psi||_{\infty}} \int_V \Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} < +\infty$, since ψ is essentially bounded, $V = \text{support}(\psi)$ is compact, and Λ is locally integrable. In particular, for n = 1, we get $\mathbb{E}[I] = \kappa_1$ thus (B3) yields (B4). The following Proposition 5 provides the closed-form expression of the joint cumulants of two stochastic integrals involving the Poisson random measure:

Proposition 5. Let $dN(\mathbf{x})$ be a Poisson random measure on \mathbb{R}^p with independent increments: $dN(\mathbf{x}) \sim \mathcal{P}(\Lambda(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x})$, where Λ is a non-negative, locally integrable function. We consider two stochastic integrals $I_1 = \int \psi_1(\mathbf{x})dN(\mathbf{x})$ and $I_2 = \int \psi_2(\mathbf{x})dN(\mathbf{x})$, where ψ_1 and ψ_2 are essentially bounded real-valued functions with compact support, and their joint characteristic function $\phi_{I_1,I_2}(\theta_1,\theta_2) = \mathbb{E}[e^{i(\theta_1I_1+\theta_2I_2]}]$. Then we have

$$\forall \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \ \ln(\phi_{I_1, I_2}(\theta_1, \theta_2)) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\imath^n}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \theta_1^k \theta_2^{n-k} \kappa_{k, n-k}, \tag{B6}$$

where $\forall n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_1 + n_2 \geq 1$,

$$\kappa_{n_1,n_2} = \int \psi_1(\boldsymbol{x})^{n_1} \psi_2(\boldsymbol{x})^{n_2} \Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x}$$
(B7)

is the (n_1, n_2) -th order joint cumulant of (I_1, I_2) . In particular, we get

$$\operatorname{cov}[I_1, I_2] = \int \psi_1(\boldsymbol{x}) \psi_2(\boldsymbol{x}) \Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x}.$$
 (B8)

Proof. By applying (B1) to $\theta = 1$ and to $\psi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \theta_1 \psi_1(\boldsymbol{x}) + \theta_2 \psi_2(\boldsymbol{x})$, we get $\forall \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\ln \phi_{I_1,I_2}(\theta_1,\theta_2) = \int (e^{i(\theta_1\psi_1(\boldsymbol{x})+\theta_2\psi_2(\boldsymbol{x}))} - 1)\Lambda(\boldsymbol{x})d\boldsymbol{x}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{i^n}{n!} \int (\theta_1\psi_1(\boldsymbol{x}) + \theta_2\psi_2(\boldsymbol{x}))^n\Lambda(\boldsymbol{x})d\boldsymbol{x}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{i^n}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \theta_1^k \theta_2^{n-k} \int \psi_1(\boldsymbol{x})^k \psi_2(\boldsymbol{x})^{n-k}\Lambda(\boldsymbol{x})d\boldsymbol{x},$$
 (B9)

which proves (B6). Note that the permutation of the integral and the two sums in (B9) is allowed thanks to Fubini's theorem, because

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} |\theta_1|^k |\theta_2|^{n-k} \int |\psi_1(\boldsymbol{x})|^k |\psi_2(\boldsymbol{x})|^{n-k} \Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} \le e^{|\theta_1| \|\psi_1\|_{\infty} + |\theta_2| \|\psi_1\|_{\infty}} \int_V \Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} < +\infty$$

since ψ_1 and ψ_2 are essentially bounded, $V = \text{support}(\psi_1) \cup \text{support}(\psi_2)$ is compact, and A is locally integrable. In particular, for $n_1 = 1$ and $n_2 = 1$, we get $\text{cov}[I_1, I_2] = \kappa_{1,1}$, thus (B7) yields (B8).

382 APPENDIX C: PROOF OF LEMMA 1

1. Wide sense stationarity

384 a. First order moments

Considering the Poisson random measure dN(r) defined in (23), equation (B4) in Proposition 4, applied to p = 1 and to function $\Lambda(r) = 4\pi\lambda r^2$ defined on \mathbb{R}_+ , shows that for any essentially bounded (possibly complex) function $r \mapsto \psi(r)$ with compact support in \mathbb{R}_+ , $\mathbb{E}[\int_{r\in\mathbb{R}_+}\psi(r)dN(r)] = 4\pi\lambda\int_{r\in\mathbb{R}_+}\psi(r)r^2dr$, which we will rewrite in the symbolic, more compact form:

$$\mathbb{E}[dN(r)] = 4\pi\lambda r^2 dr. \tag{C1}$$

From (C1), since $\int_{u=-T}^{\min(t-T,T)} |g(u)|(t-T-u)du < +\infty$ (with the change of variable $r \mapsto u = t - T - \frac{r}{c}$), Fubini's theorem shows that we can permute the mathematical expectation with the integral over r in (21), leading to:

$$\mathbb{E}[b_i(t)] = 4\pi\lambda c^2 \left(\int_{u=-T}^{\min(t-T,T)} (t-T-u)g(u)du \right).$$
(C2)

Finally, substituting (15) into (C2) shows that $\forall t \geq 2T$, $\mathbb{E}[b_i(t)] = 0$.

394 b. Second order moments

³⁹⁵ Considering the Poisson random measure dN(r) defined in (23), equation (B8) in Propo-³⁹⁶ sition 5, applied to p = 1 and to function $\Lambda(r) = 4\pi\lambda r^2$ defined on \mathbb{R}_+ , shows that for any ³⁹⁷ essentially bounded (possibly complex) functions $r \mapsto \psi_1(r)$ and $r \mapsto \psi_2(r)$ with compact ³⁹⁸ supports in \mathbb{R}_+ , cov $\left[\int_{r_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+} \psi_1(r_1) dN(r_1), \int_{r_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+} \psi_2(r_2) dN(r_2)\right] = 4\pi\lambda \int_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+} \psi_1(r) \overline{\psi_2(r)} r^2 dr$, ³⁹⁹ which we will rewrite in the symbolic, more compact form:

$$cov[dN(r_1), dN(r_2)] = 4\pi\lambda\,\delta(r_2 - r_1)r_1r_2dr_1dr_2.$$
(C3)

From (C3), since $\int_{u\in\mathbb{R}} |g(u+\tau) g(u)| du < +\infty$ (with the change of variable $r \mapsto u = t - T - \frac{r}{c}$), Fubini's theorem shows that we can permute the mathematical expectation with the integral over r in (21), leading to (24), which jointly proves both (25) and (26).

403 2. Asymptotic normality

From (21), $b_i(t)$ can be written as the stochastic integral I in Proposition 4, applied to p = 1, to function $\Lambda(r) = 4\pi\lambda r^2$ defined on \mathbb{R}_+ , and to $\psi(r) = \frac{g(t-T-\frac{r}{c})}{r}$. Then (B2) yields

$$\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \ \ln(\phi_{b_i(t)}(\theta)) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(i\theta)^n}{n!} \kappa_n(t)$$
 (C4)

where $\kappa_n(t)$ is the *n*-th order cumulant of $b_i(t)$, whose expression is given by (B3):

$$\kappa_n(t) = 4\pi\lambda \int_{r\in\mathbb{R}_+} g\left(t - T - \frac{r}{c}\right)^n r^{2-n} dr.$$
 (C5)

The change of variables r = c(t - T - u) in (C5) implies

$$\forall t \ge 2T, \ \kappa_n(t) = 4\pi\lambda c^{3-n} \int_{u=-T}^T g(u)^n (t-T-u)^{2-n} du.$$

407 Therefore, $\forall n \geq 2, \forall t > 2T$,

$$|\kappa_n(t)| \le \frac{4\pi\lambda c^{3-n} \int_{u=-T}^{T} |g(u)|^n du}{(t-2T)^{n-2}}.$$
(C6)

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Substituting (C6) into (C4), we get: $\forall t \ge (2 + \varepsilon)T$,

$$\left|\ln(\phi_{b_i(t)}(\theta)) - \left(i\theta\kappa_1(t) - \frac{\theta^2}{2}\kappa_2(t)\right)\right| \le \frac{\psi(\theta)}{t - 2T} \underset{t \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0,$$

where $\psi(\theta) = 4\pi\lambda(\varepsilon cT)^3 \int_{u=-T}^T \left(e^{\left|\frac{\theta g(u)}{\varepsilon cT}\right|} - \sum_{n=0}^2 \frac{\left|\frac{\theta g(u)}{\varepsilon cT}\right|^n}{n!} \right) du.$

Therefore the characteristic function of $b_i(t)$ converges pointwise to that of the normal distribution when $t \to +\infty$, which proves that $b_i(t)$ is asymptotically normally distributed. In the same way, it can be proved¹³ that the random variables $b_i(t + t_1) \dots b_i(t + t_K)$ for all $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t, t_1 \dots t_K \in \mathbb{R}$ are jointly normally distributed when $t \to +\infty$ and $t_1 \dots t_K$ are fixed, which shows that $b_i(t)$ converges in law to a stationary Gaussian process.

414 APPENDIX D: PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2

415 **1.** First order moments

From (C1), since $\int_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{c}r} r dr < +\infty$, Fubini's theorem shows that we can permute the mathematical expectation with the integral over r in (22), leading to (27). Besides, since $\mathbb{E}[b_i(t)] = 0$ as shown in Lemma 1, equation (17) implies (28). 419 2. Second order moments

420 a. In the spectral domain

From (C3), since $\int_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\frac{2\alpha}{c}r} dr < +\infty$, Fubini's theorem shows that we can permute the mathematical expectation with the integral over r in (22), leading to:

$$\operatorname{cov}[\mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f_1), \mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f_2)] = 2\pi\lambda c \,\mathcal{L}_g(\alpha + 2\imath\pi f_1)\mathcal{L}_g(\alpha + 2\imath\pi f_2)\frac{e^{-2\imath\pi (f_1 - f_2)T}}{\alpha + \imath\pi (f_1 - f_2)}.$$
 (D1)

423 Equation (D1) implies both (29) and (30).

424 b. In the time domain

Equations (24) and (17) imply both (31) and (32).

426 c. In the time-frequency domain

Finally, from (C3) and (17), since

$$\int_{u\in\mathbb{R}}\int_{r\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|g\left(t+\frac{u}{2}-T-\frac{r}{c}\right)g\left(t-\frac{u}{2}-T-\frac{r}{c}\right)\right|drdu<+\infty,$$

Fubini's theorem shows that we can permute the mathematical expectation with the integrals over r in (21) and over u in (11) (with $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = h_i$), leading to:

$$\mathcal{W}_{h_i,h_i}(t,f) = 4\pi\lambda e^{-2\alpha(t-T)} \int_{r\in\mathbb{R}_+} I(t-T-\frac{r}{c},f)dr$$
(D2)

429 where

$$I(t,f) = \int_{u \in \mathbb{R}} g\left(t + \frac{u}{2}\right) g\left(t - \frac{u}{2}\right) e^{-2i\pi f u} du = \mathcal{W}_{g,g}(t,f).$$
(D3)

In order to conclude, we will use two properties of the Wigner distribution. Firstly, the time support of $\mathcal{W}_{g,g}$ is the same as that of g. Secondly, the *projection property* shows that $\int_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{W}_{g,g}(t,f) dt = |\mathcal{F}_g(f)|^2$. Therefore substituting (D3) and (26) into (D2) finally implies (33).

434 3. Asymptotic normality

Since $b_i(t)$ converges in law to a stationary Gaussian process as shown in Lemma 1, equation (17) shows that $h_i(t)$ converges in law to a Gaussian process.

437 APPENDIX E: GEOMETRY WITH TWO MICROPHONES

2

Let $x_i, x_j \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Let $\xi_1, \xi_2 : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$. In the next sections, we will have to compute several integrals of the form:

$$J_{\xi_{1},\xi_{2}} = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\xi_{1}(\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\|_{2})}{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\|_{2}} \frac{\overline{\xi_{2}(\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{j}\|_{2})}}{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{j}\|_{2}} d\boldsymbol{x}.$$
 (E1)

FIG. 6. Geometry with two microphones at x_i , x_j and a source image at x.

2

To compute such an integral, we will use the spherical coordinates (r, θ, φ) , as illustrated in Fig. 6, where $\theta = 0$ corresponds to the direction of vector $\boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{x}_i$, and the origin of the coordinates is the middle of the line segment $[\boldsymbol{x}_i, \, \boldsymbol{x}_j]$ (so that $\frac{\boldsymbol{x}_i + \boldsymbol{x}_j}{2} = 0$ as represented in Fig. 6). We thus get $\boldsymbol{x} = [r\sin(\theta)\cos(\varphi), r\sin(\theta)\sin(\varphi), r\cos(\theta)]^{\top}$ and $d\boldsymbol{x} = r^2 dr\sin(\theta) d\theta d\varphi$, with $r \in \mathbb{R}_+, \, \theta \in [0, \pi]$ and $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$. Moreover, as can be seen in Fig. 6, we have

•
$$\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2 = \sqrt{r^2 + \frac{D^2}{4} + rD\cos(\theta)},$$

•
$$\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_j\|_2 = \sqrt{r^2 + \frac{D^2}{4} - rD\cos(\theta)}.$$

 $_{447}$ By substitution into (E1), we get

$$J_{\xi_1,\xi_2} = 2\pi \int_{r=0}^{+\infty} \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \frac{\xi_1 \left(\sqrt{r^2 + \frac{D^2}{4} + rD\cos(\theta)} \right)}{\sqrt{r^2 + \frac{D^2}{4} + rD\cos(\theta)}} \frac{\overline{\xi_2 \left(\sqrt{r^2 + \frac{D^2}{4} - rD\cos(\theta)} \right)}}{\sqrt{r^2 + \frac{D^2}{4} - rD\cos(\theta)}} r^2 dr \sin(\theta) d\theta.$$
(E2)

Finally, we make a last change of variables, that is also illustrated in Fig. 6:

$$\rho = \frac{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2 + \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_j\|_2}{2} = \frac{\sqrt{r^2 + \frac{D^2}{4} + rD\cos(\theta)} + \sqrt{r^2 + \frac{D^2}{4} - rD\cos(\theta)}}{2},$$

$$v = \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2 - \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_j\|_2 = \sqrt{r^2 + \frac{D^2}{4} + rD\cos(\theta)} - \sqrt{r^2 + \frac{D^2}{4} - rD\cos(\theta)},$$

which is such that $\rho \in \left[\frac{D}{2}, +\infty\right[, v \in [-D, D]$, and

$$\frac{r^2 dr \sin(\theta) d\theta}{\sqrt{r^2 + \frac{D^2}{4} + rD\cos(\theta)}\sqrt{r^2 + \frac{D^2}{4} - rD\cos(\theta)}} = \frac{d\rho dv}{D}.$$

448 Equation (E2) thus becomes

$$J_{\xi_{1},\xi_{2}} = \frac{2\pi}{D} \int_{\rho=\frac{D}{2}}^{+\infty} \int_{v=-D}^{D} \xi_{1} \left(\rho + \frac{v}{2}\right) \overline{\xi_{2} \left(\rho - \frac{v}{2}\right)} d\rho dv.$$
(E3)

449 APPENDIX F: PROOF OF LEMMA 2

450 1. Wide sense stationarity

⁴⁵¹ Considering the Poisson random measure $dN(\boldsymbol{x})$ defined in (14), equation (B8) in Propo-⁴⁵² sition 5, applied to p = 3 and to the constant function $\Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) = \lambda$, shows that for any essentially bounded (possibly complex) functions $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \psi_1(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \psi_2(\boldsymbol{x})$ with compact supports in \mathbb{R}^3 , cov $\left[\int_{\boldsymbol{x}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^3} \psi_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1) dN(\boldsymbol{x}_1), \int_{\boldsymbol{x}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3} \psi_2(\boldsymbol{x}_2) dN(\boldsymbol{x}_2)\right] = \lambda \int_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3} \psi_1(\boldsymbol{x}) \overline{\psi_2(\boldsymbol{x})} d\boldsymbol{x},$ which we will rewrite in the symbolic, more compact form:

$$\operatorname{cov}[dN(\boldsymbol{x}_1), dN(\boldsymbol{x}_2)] = \lambda \,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{x}_1) d\boldsymbol{x}_1 d\boldsymbol{x}_2. \tag{F1}$$

From (F1), since

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\left|g\left(t_1 - T - \frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2}{c}\right)g\left(t_2 - T - \frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_j\|_2}{c}\right)\right|}{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_j\|_2} d\boldsymbol{x} < +\infty,$$

Fubini's theorem shows that we can permute the mathematical expectation with the integral 457 over \boldsymbol{x} in (18), leading to:

$$\operatorname{cov}[b_i(t_1), b_j(t_2)] = \lambda J_{\xi_1, \xi_2} \tag{F2}$$

where $\xi_1(r) = g\left(t_1 - T - \frac{r}{c}\right)$, $\xi_2(r) = g\left(t_2 - T - \frac{r}{c}\right)$, and J_{ξ_1,ξ_2} was defined in (E1). Then substituting (E3) into (F2) shows that

$$\operatorname{cov}[b_i(t_1), b_j(t_2)] = 4\pi\lambda \int_{\rho=\frac{D}{2}}^{+\infty} I(t_1 - T - \frac{\rho}{c}, t_2 - T - \frac{\rho}{c})d\rho$$
(F3)

460 where

$$I(t_1, t_2) = \frac{1}{2D} \int_{v=-D}^{D} g\left(t_1 - \frac{v}{2c}\right) g\left(t_2 + \frac{v}{2c}\right) dv.$$
(F4)

With v = cu, substituting (34) into (F4) proves that

$$I(t_1, t_2) = \int_{u \in \mathbb{R}} g\left(t_1 - \frac{u}{2}\right) g\left(t_2 + \frac{u}{2}\right) w(u) du.$$
 (F5)

⁴⁶² Substituting (F5) into (F3) proves (35), which with (25) implies (36). Moreover, applying ⁴⁶³ the Fourier transform (9) to (35) and substituting (26) leads to (37).

464 2. Asymptotic normality

Let $\mathbf{b}(t) = [b_i(t), b_j(t)]^{\top}$. From (18), $b_i(t)$ (resp. $b_j(t)$) can be written as the stochastic

integral I_1 (resp. I_2) in Proposition 5, applied to p = 3, to the constant function $\Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) = \lambda$,

467 and to
$$\psi_1(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{g(t-T-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2}{c})}{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2}$$
 and $\psi_2(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{g(t-T-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_j\|_2}{c})}{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_j\|_2}$. Then (B6) yields

$$\forall \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \ \ln(\phi_{\boldsymbol{b}(t)}(\theta_1, \theta_2)) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\imath^n}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \theta_1^k \theta_2^{n-k} \kappa_{k,n-k}(t)$$
(F6)

where $\kappa_{n_1,n_2}(t)$ is the (n_1, n_2) -th order cumulant of $\boldsymbol{b}(t)$, whose expression is given by (B7): 469

$$\kappa_{n_1,n_2}(t) = \lambda J_{\xi_1,\xi_2},\tag{F7}$$

470 where $\xi_1(r) = \frac{\left(g\left(t-T-\frac{r}{c}\right)\right)^{n_1}}{r^{n_1-1}}, \ \xi_2(r) = \frac{\left(g\left(t-T-\frac{r}{c}\right)\right)^{n_2}}{r^{n_2-1}}, \ \text{and} \ J_{\xi_1,\xi_2} \ \text{was defined in (E1)}.$

471 Then substituting (E3) into (F7) shows that

$$\kappa_{n_1,n_2}(t) = \frac{2\pi\lambda}{D} \int_{\rho=\frac{D}{2}}^{+\infty} \int_{v=-D}^{D} \frac{\left(g\left(t-T-\frac{\rho+\frac{v}{2}}{c}\right)\right)^{n_1}}{(\rho+\frac{v}{2})^{n_1-1}} \frac{\left(g\left(t-T-\frac{\rho-\frac{v}{2}}{c}\right)\right)^{n_2}}{(\rho-\frac{v}{2})^{n_2-1}} d\rho dv.$$
(F8)

The change of variables $\rho = c(t - T - u)$ and v = cw in (F8) implies that $\forall t \ge 2T + \frac{D}{c}$,

$$\kappa_{n_1,n_2}(t) = \frac{2\pi\lambda c^{4-n_1-n_2}}{D} \int_{u=-T-\frac{D}{2c}}^{T+\frac{D}{2c}} \int_{w=-\frac{D}{c}}^{\frac{D}{c}} \frac{\left(g\left(u-\frac{w}{2}\right)\right)^{n_1}}{(t-T-u+\frac{w}{2})^{n_1-1}} \frac{\left(g\left(u+\frac{w}{2}\right)\right)^{n_2}}{(t-T-u-\frac{w}{2})^{n_2-1}} du dw.$$

472 Therefore $\forall n_1 + n_2 \ge 2, \ \forall t \ge 2T + \frac{D}{c},$

$$|\kappa_{n_1,n_2}(t)| \le \frac{2\pi\lambda c^{4-n_1-n_2}}{D} \frac{\int_{u=-T-\frac{D}{2c}}^{T+\frac{D}{2c}} \int_{w=-\frac{D}{c}}^{\frac{D}{c}} \left|g\left(u-\frac{w}{2}\right)\right|^{n_1} \left|g\left(u+\frac{w}{2}\right)\right|^{n_2} du dw}{(t-2T)^{n_1+n_2-2}}.$$
 (F9)

473 Substituting (F9) into (F6), we get $\forall t \ge 2T + \frac{D}{c}$,

$$\left|\ln(\phi_{\boldsymbol{b}(t)}(\theta)) - \sum_{n=1}^{2} \frac{\imath^{n}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \theta_{1}^{k} \theta_{2}^{n-k} \kappa_{k,n-k}(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{(t-2T)} \psi(\theta_{1},\theta_{2}) \underset{t \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0,$$

474 where

 $\psi(\theta_1, \theta_2) = 2\pi \lambda c D^2 \int_{u=-T-\frac{D}{2c}}^{T+\frac{D}{2c}} \int_{w=-\frac{D}{c}}^{\frac{D}{c}} e^{\frac{|\theta_1 g(u-\frac{w}{2})| + |\theta_2 g(u+\frac{w}{2})|}{D}} - \sum_{n=0}^{2} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{|\theta_1 g(u-\frac{w}{2})| + |\theta_2 g(u+\frac{w}{2})|}{D}\right)^n du dw.$ Therefore the characteristic function of $\boldsymbol{b}(t)$ converges pointwise to that of the normal distribution when $t \to +\infty$, which proves that $\boldsymbol{b}(t)$ is asymptotically normally distributed. In the same way, it can be proved¹³ that the random variables $\boldsymbol{b}(t+t_1)\dots \boldsymbol{b}(t+t_K)$ for all $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t, t_1 \dots t_K \in \mathbb{R}$ are jointly normally distributed when $t \to +\infty$ and $t_1 \dots t_K$ are fixed, which proves that $\boldsymbol{b}(t)$ converges in law to a stationary Gaussian process.

481 APPENDIX G: PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3

482 1. In the spectral domain

From (F1), since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha}{c}\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2}}{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2} \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha}{c}\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_j\|_2}}{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_j\|_2} d\boldsymbol{x} < +\infty,$$

Fubini's theorem shows that we can permute the mathematical expectation with the integral over \boldsymbol{x} in (19), leading to:

$$\operatorname{cov}[\mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f_1), \mathcal{F}_{h_j}(f_2)] = \lambda \mathcal{L}_g(\alpha + 2\imath \pi f_1) \mathcal{L}_g(\alpha + 2\imath \pi f_2) e^{-2\imath \pi (f_1 - f_2)T} J_{\xi_1, \xi_2}, \qquad (G1)$$

where $\xi_1(r) = e^{-\frac{\alpha+2i\pi f_1}{c}r}$, $\xi_2(r) = e^{-\frac{\alpha+2i\pi f_2}{c}r}$, and J_{ξ_1,ξ_2} was defined in (E1). Then equation (E3) shows that

$$J_{\xi_1,\xi_2} = \frac{2\pi}{D} \int_{\rho=\frac{D}{2}}^{+\infty} e^{-2\frac{\alpha+i\pi(f_1-f_2)}{c}\rho} d\rho \int_{v=-D}^{D} e^{-2i\pi\frac{f_1+f_2}{2c}v} dv = 2\pi c \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha+i\pi(f_1-f_2)}{c}D}}{\alpha+i\pi(f_1-f_2)} \operatorname{sinc}\left(2\pi\frac{f_1+f_2}{2c}D\right).$$
(G2)

 $_{487}$ By substituting (G2) and (29) into (G1), we finally get (38).

488 2. In the time domain

Equations (17) and (35) prove that

$$\operatorname{cov}[h_i(t_1), h_j(t_2)] = 4\pi\lambda c e^{-2\alpha(\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}-T)} w * \widetilde{g} * g(t_1 - t_2).$$
(G3)

Finally, substituting (31) and (25) into (G3) implies (39).

491 3. In the time-frequency domain

Finally, from (F1) and (17), since

$$\int_{u\in\mathbb{R}}\int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\left|g\left(t+\frac{u}{2}-T-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2}{c}\right)g\left(t-\frac{u}{2}-T-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_j\|_2}{c}\right)\right|}{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_j\|_2}d\boldsymbol{x}d\boldsymbol{u}<+\infty,$$

Fubini's theorem shows that we can permute the mathematical expectation with the integrals over \boldsymbol{x} in (18) and over u in (11) (with $\psi_1 = h_i$ and $\psi_2 = h_j$), leading to:

$$\mathcal{W}_{h_i,h_j}(t,f) = \lambda e^{-2\alpha(t-T)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_{\xi_1,\xi_2} e^{-2i\pi f u} du.$$
(G4)

where $\xi_1(r) = g\left(t + \frac{u}{2} - T - \frac{r}{c}\right), \ \xi_2(r) = g\left(t - \frac{u}{2} - T - \frac{r}{c}\right), \ \text{and} \ J_{\xi_1,\xi_2} \ \text{was defined in (E1)}.$

495 Then substituting (E3) into (G4) shows that

$$\mathcal{W}_{h_i,h_j}(t,f) = \frac{4\pi\lambda e^{-2\alpha(t-T)}}{2D} \int_{\rho=\frac{D}{2}}^{+\infty} \int_{v=-D}^{D} I(t-T-\frac{\rho}{c},f,v)d\rho dv \tag{G5}$$

496 with

$$I(t, f, v) = \int_{u \in \mathbb{R}} g\left(t + \frac{u}{2} - \frac{v}{2c}\right) g\left(t - \frac{u}{2} + \frac{v}{2c}\right) e^{-2i\pi f u} du = e^{-2i\pi f \frac{v}{c}} \mathcal{W}_{g,g}(t, f), \qquad (G6)$$

⁴⁹⁷ where $\mathcal{W}_{g,g}(t,f)$ was expressed in (D3), and we have used the change of variable $u' = u - \frac{v}{c}$.

In order to conclude, we will use the two properties of the Wigner distribution that we already used in Appendix D 2 c. Firstly, the time support of $\mathcal{W}_{g,g}$ is the same as that of g. Secondly, $\int_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{W}_{g,g}(t,f) dt = |\mathcal{F}_g(f)|^2$. Substituting (G6) and (26) into (G5) finally implies (40).

502 4. Asymptotic normality

Since $\boldsymbol{b}(t)$ converges in law to a stationary Gaussian process as shown in Lemma 2, equation (17) shows that $\boldsymbol{h}(t)$ converges in law to a Gaussian process.

- ⁵⁰⁵ ¹The Fourier transform is defined in equation (9).
- 2 The correlation of two complex random variables is defined in equation (7).
- ⁵⁰⁷ ³Note that in this case, $\mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f)$ is no longer a stationary process.
- ⁵⁰⁸ ⁴The Wigner distribution is defined in equation (11).
- 509 ⁵A straightforward generalization of this model will also permit us to prove (5), that will be presented in a future paper .
- ⁵¹¹ ⁶Fig. 3 illustrates the source image principle in 2D-space for convenience, but of course our model will be ⁵¹² defined in the 3D-space.
- ⁵¹³ ⁷For the sake of simplicity, we will focus on the case of two microphones; the generalization to an arbitrary
 ⁵¹⁴ number of microphones is straightforward.
- ⁵¹⁵ ⁸More precisely, (15) is required to prove that the random processes $b_i(t)$ and $h_i(t)$ are centered after a
- given time (cf. Appendix C1a), and (16) enforces the nonnegativity of $\mathcal{L}_g(\alpha + 2i\pi f)$ in (20), which makes

the filter A(f) linear-phase, and which is required to get an expression (30) of corr $[\mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f_1), \mathcal{F}_{h_i}(f_2])$ in Proposition 2 that simplifies to Schroeder's equation (2) when $T \to 0$.

⁵¹⁹ ⁹A WSS process is a random process with finite second order moments, whose mean and covariances are ⁵²⁰ invariant with respect to any translation of time.

⁵²¹ ¹⁰Actually, the reader may notice that the magnitudes of the extrema of the dashed curve provided by (42) ⁵²² are slightly lower than those of the solid curve given by Cook's formula (3). This difference is due to the way ⁵²³ Wigner distributions are estimated: the STFT produces estimated time-frequency distributions $\widehat{W}_{h_i,h_i}(t, f)$ ⁵²⁴ and $\widehat{W}_{h_i,h_j}(t, f)$ that are smoothed both in time and frequency. Therefore the estimate (42) is the ratio ⁵²⁵ between two spectra that are both smoothed in frequency, which explains the deviations between the two ⁵²⁶ curves in Fig. 5-(a).

¹¹An example of function $\psi(t)$ satisfying the conditions in Lemma 3 is

$$\psi(t) = \left(\left(\alpha^2 + \frac{4\pi^2}{T^2} \right) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{T}\right) - \alpha^2 \right) \mathbf{1}_{[0,T]}(t).$$

⁵²⁷ ¹²A *simple function* is defined as a linear combination of indicator functions of measurable sets. ⁵²⁸ ¹³The proof is the same and it is omitted here for the sake of conciseness.

529

- Allen, J. B., and Berkley, D. A. (1979). "Image method for efficiently simulating small-room
 acoustics," Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 65(4), 943–950.
- ⁵³² Balian, R., and Bloch, C. (1970). "Distribution of eigenfrequencies for the wave equation
- in a finite domain: I. three-dimensional problem with smooth boundary surface," Annals
- $_{534}$ of Physics **60**(2), 401–447.
- ⁵³⁵ Baskind, A. (2003). "Modèles et méthodes de description spatiale de scènes sonores : appli-
- cation aux enregistrements binauraux (Models and methods of spatial description of sound

- scenes: application to binaural recordings)," Ph.D. thesis, Université Pierre et Marie Curie 537 (UPMC), Paris, France. 538
- Chiu, S. N., Stovan, D., Kendall, W. S., and Mecke, J. (2013). Stochastic Geometry and 539
- Its Applications, Chap. 2 "Point processes I The Poisson point process", 3rd edition ed. 540
- (Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, USA). 541

557

- Cohen, L. (1989). "Time-frequency distributions-a review," Proc. IEEE 77(7), 941–981. 542
- Cook, R. K., Waterhouse, R. V., Berendt, R. D., Edelman, S., and Thompson Jr., M. C. 543
- (1955). "Measurement of correlation coefficients in reverberant sound fields," The Journal 544
- of the Acoustical Society of America 27(6), 1072–1077. 545
- Cremer, L., Müller, H. A., and Schultz, T. J. (1982). Principles and applications of room 546 acoustics, 1, Chap. (ii) "Statistical Room Acoustics" (Applied Science Publishers, London, 547 UK). 548
- Elko, G. W. (2001). "Spatial coherence functions for differential microphones in isotropic 549 noise fields," Microphone Arrays 61–85. 550
- Jacobsen, F., and Roisin, T. (2000). "The coherence of reverberant sound fields," The 551 Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **108**(1), 204–210. 552
- Jot, J.-M., Cerveau, L., and Warusfel, O. (1997). "Analysis and synthesis of room rever-553
- beration based on a statistical time-frequency model," in AES Convention 103, Audio 554 Engineering Society, New, NY, USA, pp. 4629–4658. 555
- Joyce, W. B. (1975). "Sabine's reverberation time and ergodic auditoriums," The Journal 556 of the Acoustical Society of America 58(3), 643-655.

- ⁵⁵⁸ Kuttruff, H. (2014). Room Acoustics, Fifth Edition (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA),
 ⁵⁵⁹ pp. 1–374.
- ⁵⁶⁰ Maa, D.-Y. (**1939**). "Distribution of eigentones in a rectangular chamber at low frequency ⁵⁶¹ range," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **10**(3), 235–238.
- Moorer, J. A. (1979). "About this reverberation business," Computer Music Journal 3(2), 13–28.
- ⁵⁶⁴ Polack, J. D. (1988). "La transmission de l'énergie sonore dans les salles (*The transmission*⁵⁶⁵ of sound energy in rooms)," Ph.D. thesis, Université du Maine, Le Mans, France.
- ⁵⁶⁶ Polack, J.-D. (1992). "Modifying chambers to play billiards: the foundations of reverbera-
- tion theory," Acta Acustica united with Acustica 76(6), 256–272(17).
- ⁵⁶⁸ Polack, J.-D. (1993). "Playing billiards in the concert hall: The mathematical foundations
 ⁵⁶⁹ of geometrical room acoustics," Applied Acoustics 38(2), 235–244.
- ⁵⁷⁰ Polack, J.-D. (2007). "The relationship between eigenfrequency and image source distribu-
- tions in rectangular rooms," Acta Acustica united with Acustica 93, 1000–1011.
- 572 Schroeder, M. R. (1962). "Frequency-correlation functions of frequency responses in rooms,"
- The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 34(12), 1819–1823.
- ⁵⁷⁴ Schroeder, M. R. (1987). "Statistical parameters of the frequency response curves of large
- rooms," The Journal of the Audio Engineering Society 35(5), 299–306.
- 576 Schroeder, M. R., and Kuttruff, K. H. (1962). "On frequency response curves in rooms.
- 577 Comparison of experimental, theoretical, and Monte Carlo results for the average frequency
- spacing between maxima," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 34(1), 76–80.

- Schultz, T. (1971). "Diffusion in reverberation rooms," Journal of Sound and Vibration
 16(1), 17–28.
- ⁵⁸¹ Vincent, E., and Campbell, D. R. (2008). "Roomsimove" GNU Public License, http://
- homepages.loria.fr/evincent/software/Roomsimove_1.4.zip.