



HAL
open science

A variational approach to nonlinear and interacting diffusions

Marc Arnaudon, Pierre del Moral

► **To cite this version:**

Marc Arnaudon, Pierre del Moral. A variational approach to nonlinear and interacting diffusions. 2018. hal-01950673v1

HAL Id: hal-01950673

<https://hal.science/hal-01950673v1>

Preprint submitted on 11 Dec 2018 (v1), last revised 28 Jan 2019 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A variational approach to nonlinear and interacting diffusions

M. Arnaudon¹ and P. Del Moral^{*2}

¹Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux (IMB), Bordeaux University, France

²INRIA, Bordeaux Research Center & CMAP, Polytechnique Palaiseau, France

Abstract

The article presents a novel variational calculus to analyze the stability and the propagation of chaos properties of nonlinear and interacting diffusions. This differential methodology combines gradient flow estimates with backward stochastic interpolations, Lyapunov linearization techniques as well as spectral theory. This framework applies to a large class of stochastic models including non homogeneous diffusions, as well as stochastic processes evolving on differentiable manifolds, such as constraint-type embedded manifolds on Euclidian spaces and manifolds equipped with some Riemannian metric. We derive uniform as well as almost sure exponential contraction inequalities at the level of the nonlinear diffusion flow, yielding what seems to be the first result of this type for this class of models. Uniform propagation of chaos properties w.r.t. the time parameter are also provided. Illustrations are provided in the context of a class of gradient flow diffusions arising in fluid mechanics and granular media literature

Keywords : Nonlinear diffusions, mean field particle systems, variational equations, logarithmic norms, gradient flows, contraction inequalities, Wasserstein distance, Riemannian manifolds.

Mathematics Subject Classification : 65C35, 82C80, 58J65, 47J20.

1 Introduction

1.1 Description of the models

We denote by $\|A\|_2 := \lambda_{max}(AA')^{1/2}$, resp. $\|A\|_F = \text{Tr}(AA')^{1/2}$ and $\rho(A) = \lambda_{max}((A + A')/2)$ the spectral norm, the Frobenius norm, and the logarithmic norm of some matrix A , where A' stands for the transpose of A , and $\lambda_{max}(\cdot)$ the maximal eigenvalue. With a slight abuse of notation, we denote by I the identity ($d \times d$)-matrix, for any $d \geq 1$.

Let b_t be some time varying differentiable vector field with Jacobian matrix ∇b_t on \mathbb{R}^d , for some parameter $d \geq 1$. Consider the deterministic flow $t \in [s, \infty[\mapsto X_{s,t}(x)$ starting at $X_{s,s}(x) = x$ associated with the evolution equation

$$\partial_t X_{s,t}(x) = b_t(X_{s,t}(x)) \implies \partial_t \nabla X_{s,t}(x) = \nabla X_{s,t}(x) \nabla b_t(X_{s,t}(x)) \quad \text{with} \quad \nabla X_{s,s}(x) = I \quad (1.1)$$

The r.h.s. equation is often called the first order variational equation associated with the flow $X_{s,t}(x)$ along the trajectory $X_{s,t}(x)$. This equation plays a central role in the sensitivity analysis

*P. Del Moral was supported in part by funding from the Chaire Stress Test, BNP Paribas SFTS and CMAP, Polytechnique Palaiseau, France

of nonlinear dynamical systems w.r.t. their initial conditions. For instance, the spectral norm of $\nabla X_{s,t}(x)$ can be estimated in terms of the logarithmic norm using the inequalities

$$-\int_s^t \rho(-\nabla b_u(X_{s,u}(x))) du \leq \log \|\nabla X_{s,t}(x)\|_2 \leq \int_s^t \rho(\nabla b_u(X_{s,u}(x))) du \quad (1.2)$$

A proof of this assertion can be found in [14], see also [27] for extensions to Lipschitz functions on Banach spaces. Whenever $\rho(\nabla b_u(x)) \leq -\lambda$ for some $\lambda > 0$, the r.h.s. estimate in (1.2) readily implies the exponential stability estimate

$$\begin{aligned} X_{s,t}(x) - X_{s,t}(y) &= \int_0^1 \langle \nabla X_{s,t}(\epsilon x + (1-\epsilon)y), (x-y) \rangle d\epsilon \\ \implies \|X_{s,t}(x) - X_{s,t}(y)\| &\leq e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|x-y\| \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

The linearization technique discussed above is often referred as the Lyapunov first or indirect method to analyze the stability of nonlinear dynamical systems. For a more thorough discussion on this subject we refer to the pioneering work by Lyapunov [24], as well as to chapter 4 in the more recent monograph by Khalil [23].

The main objective of this article is to extend these results to nonlinear diffusions and their mean field particle interpretations on Euclidian as well as on differentiable manifolds. The differential analysis of conventional diffusions w.r.t. initial conditions is also one of the stepping stones of Bismut and Malliavin calculus. This framework is mainly designed to study the existence and the properties of smooth probability densities in terms of the differential properties of the diffusion semigroup. For a more thorough discussion on this subject we refer to [13, 30], and references therein.

The relevant mathematical apparatus for the description and the variational analysis of stochastic processes on manifolds being technically more sophisticated than conventional differential calculus, this introduction only discusses nonlinear and interacting diffusions on Euclidian spaces. The extended versions of these models on Riemannian manifolds are discussed in some details in section 3.2, as well as in section 4.3.

Let $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the set of Borel probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d with finite second absolute moment, equipped with the 2-Wasserstein distance given by

$$\mathbb{W}_2(\eta, \mu) = \inf \mathbb{E}(\|X - Y\|^2)^{1/2}$$

In the above display, the infimum is taken over all pairs of random variables (X, Y) with respective distributions η and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$; and $\|X - Y\|$ stands for the Euclidian distance between X and Y on the product space \mathbb{R}^d .

Also let b_t and σ_t be differentiable functions from \mathbb{R}^{2d} into \mathbb{R}^d and $\mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$, for some $r \geq 1$; and let W_t be an r -dimensional Brownian motion. For any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and any time horizon $s \geq 0$ we denote by $X_{s,t}^\mu(x)$ be the stochastic flow defined for any $t \in [s, \infty[$ and any starting point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by the McKean-Vlasov diffusion

$$dX_{s,t}^\mu(x) = b_t(\phi_{s,t}(\mu), X_{s,t}^\mu(x)) dt + \sigma_t(\phi_{s,t}(\mu), X_{s,t}^\mu(x)) dW_t \quad (1.4)$$

In the above display, $\phi_{s,t}$ stands for the evolution semigroup

$$\phi_{s,t}(\mu)(dy) = \mu P_{s,t}^\mu(dy) := \int \mu(dx) P_{s,t}^\mu(x, dy) \quad \text{with} \quad P_{s,t}^\mu(x, dy) := \mathbb{P}(X_{s,t}^\mu(x) \in dy)$$

We further assume that the mean field drift and diffusion functions are given by

$$b_t(\eta, y) := \int \eta(dx) b_t(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_t(\eta, y) := \int \eta(dx) \sigma_t(x, y)$$

We shall assume that the nonlinear diffusion flow (1.4) is well defined. For instance, the existence of this flow is ensured as soon as b_t and σ_t are Lipschitz, see for instance [18, 22].

The mean field particle system associated with (1.4) is defined by the stochastic flow $\xi_{s,t}(z) = (\xi_{s,t}^i(z))_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ of a system of N interacting diffusions

$$d\xi_{s,t}^i(z) = b_t(m(\xi_{s,t}^i(z)), \xi_{s,t}^i(z)) dt + \sigma_t(m(\xi_{s,t}^i(z)), \xi_{s,t}^i(z)) dW_t^i \quad (1.5)$$

with the empirical measures

$$m(\xi_{s,t}^i(z)) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} \delta_{\xi_{s,t}^j(z)}$$

In the above displayed formulae, $\xi_{s,s}(z) = z = (z^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N} \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ stands for the initial configuration and W_t^i are N independent copies of W_t .

1.2 Statement of some main results

To motivate this study, the variational calculus developed in the article is illustrated with the following example

$$r = d \quad \sigma(x, y) = \sigma_0 I \quad \text{and} \quad b(x, y) = -\nabla U(y) - \nabla V(y - x) \quad (1.6)$$

for some $\sigma_0 > 0$, some confinement type potential function U (a.k.a. the exterior potential) and some interaction potential function V . This class of nonlinear diffusions and the corresponding particle interpretations were introduced by H. P. McKean in [28, 29]. The extended versions of these Langevin-type nonlinear diffusions on Riemannian manifolds are discussed in the end of section 3.2 as well as in section 4.3.

Nonlinear diffusions (1.4) with constant diffusion and gradient-type drifts (1.6) arise in fluid mechanics, and more particularly in the modeling of granular flows [6, 7, 35, 42]. In this context, $\phi_{s,t}$ represents the evolution semigroup of the velocity of a diffusive particule interacting with the distribution of the particles around its location and following some confinement exterior potential. In this interpretation, the mean field particle model (1.5) can be seen as a particle-type representation of the granular flow.

In the last two decades, the analysis of the long time behavior of this particular class of gradient type flow diffusions have been developed in various directions:

The first articles on the long time behavior of these models are the couple of articles by Tamura [33, 34]. The stability properties of one dimensional models has been started in [4, 5] as well as in [6], see also [9, 11, 35].

Since this period, several sophisticated probabilistic techniques have been developed to analyze the long time behavior of these Langevin-type nonlinear diffusions, including log-Sobolev functional inequalities [25, 26], entropy dissipation [10, 15], as well as gradient flows in Wasserstein metric spaces and optimal transportation inequalities [8, 10, 12, 31], combining the functional Γ_2 Bakry-Emery method [3], with the Otto-Villani approach [32]. The long time self-stabilizing behavior of this class of processes in multi-wells landscapes has also been developed by J. Tugaut in a series of articles [36, 37, 39, 40, 41]. For a more thorough discussion on this subject we refer to the recent article [17], and the references therein.

Unfortunately, most of the probabilistic techniques discussed above only apply to gradient flow type diffusions of the form (1.6). The variational calculus developed in the present article is not restricted to this class of gradient-type nonlinear models. Nevertheless, because of their importance in practice this introduction illustrates some of our main results in this context.

Firstly, and rather surprisingly, the variational methodology developed in the present article applies directly to gradient flow models of the form (1.6), simplifying considerably both of their stability analysis as well as the convergence analysis of their mean field particle interpretations.

This framework also allows to relax unnecessary technical conditions such as the symmetry of the interaction potential function, or the invariance of the center of mass, currently used in the literature on this subject (see for instance [33], as well as section 2 in [10], and section 1 in the more recent article [8]). It also allows to derive uniform as well as almost sure exponential stability inequalities at the level of the nonlinear diffusion flow. For instance, when V is an even convex function with bounded Hessian, and when $\nabla^2 U \geq \lambda I$, for some $\lambda > 0$ we have the almost sure estimates

$$\|X_{s,t}^\eta(x) - X_{s,t}^\mu(y)\| \leq (t-s) e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \mathbb{W}_2(\eta, \mu) + e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|x - y\| \quad (1.7)$$

The above estimate is also met for odd interaction potential, as soon as $\nabla^2 U(y) + \nabla^2 V(y-x) \geq \lambda I$. In the above display, it is implicitly assumed that the stochastic flows are driven by the same Brownian motion.

These almost sure inequalities are direct consequence of the contraction inequality (2.6), the remark (2.15) and the almost sure estimates stated in corollary 3.2.

To the best of our knowledge, the almost sure exponential decays (1.7) are the first result of this type for this class of nonlinear gradient flow diffusions.

Consider a pair of random variables (Z_0, Z_1) with distributions (μ_0, μ_1) on \mathbb{R}^d and set

$$Z_\epsilon := (1 - \epsilon) Z_0 + \epsilon Z_1 \quad \mu_\epsilon = \text{Law}(Z_\epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad X_{s,t}^\epsilon := X_{s,t}^{\mu_\epsilon}(Z_\epsilon) \quad (1.8)$$

Under the assumptions on the potential functions discussed above, for any differentiable function f on \mathbb{R}^d with bounded gradient we have the first order differential formula

$$[\phi_{s,t}(\mu_1) - \phi_{s,t}(\mu_0)](f) = \int_0^1 \partial_\epsilon \phi_{s,t}(\mu_\epsilon)(f) d\epsilon \quad (1.9)$$

with the linear differential operator

$$\partial_\epsilon \phi_{s,t}(\mu_\epsilon)(f) := \mathbb{E} \left(\langle \partial_\epsilon X_{s,t}^\epsilon, \nabla f(X_{s,t}^\epsilon) \rangle \right) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad |\partial_\epsilon \phi_{s,t}(\mu_\epsilon)(f)| \leq e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|\nabla f\|$$

For a more precise statement we refer to theorem 2.2. Almost sure and uniform estimates of the first order differential maps $\epsilon \mapsto \partial_\epsilon X_{s,t}^\epsilon$ are also provided in theorem 2.3.

Section 4.1 also presents a differential calculus to estimate the gradient $\nabla \xi_{s,t}(z)$ of the stochastic flow $\xi_{s,t}(z)$ of the interacting particle model (1.5). Under the assumptions on the potential functions discussed above, we shall prove the following uniform spectral norm estimate

$$\|\nabla \xi_{s,t}(z)\|_2 \leq e^{-\lambda(t-s)}$$

The above result is a direct consequence of theorem 4.1. The above estimate ensures that the N -particle model converges exponentially fast to its invariant measure with some exponential decay that doesn't depends on the number of particles. The latter property can also be checked using more sophisticated Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities [25]. To the best of our knowledge, the almost sure exponential decays stated above are the first result of this type for this class of interacting Langevin-type diffusions.

Section 4.2 also provides a natural differential calculus to derive quantitative and uniform propagation of chaos estimates for nonlinear diffusions of the form (1.5). Applying these results to interacting Langevin-type diffusions, without further work we recover the uniform estimates stated in theorem 1.2 in [25].

We underline that the differential calculus presented in this article allows to consider nonlinear diffusions evolving in differential manifolds. This should not come as a surprise since our framework allows to enter the variations of the diffusion matrices associated with these stochastic models which encapsulates the Riemannian structure of the manifold. We illustrate these comments in the end of section 2.2 with a rather detailed discussion of an elementary nonlinear geometric-type diffusion.

The stability properties of nonlinear diffusions on Riemannian manifolds are discussed in some details in section 3.2. Quantitative propagation of chaos estimates of their mean field particle interpretations are provided in section 4.3.

2 Nonlinear diffusion semigroups

2.1 Some gradient flow estimates

This section presents some basic properties of the first variational equation associated with the nonlinear diffusion (1.4). Let $\sigma_{k,t}$ be the k -th column vector of σ_t , and let $\nabla_u b_t(x, y)$ and $\nabla_u \sigma_{k,t}(x, y)$ be the gradient of the functions $b_t(x, y)$ and $\sigma_{k,t}(x, y)$ w.r.t. the coordinate $u \in \{x, y\}$. We also let $X_{s,t}^{i,\mu}(x)$ be the i -th coordinate of the column vector $X_{s,t}^\mu(x)$. The gradient-matrix $\nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x)$ of the diffusion flow $X_{s,t}^\mu(x)$ is given by the $(d \times d)$ -matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x) &:= \left(\nabla X_{s,t}^{1,\mu}(x), \dots, \nabla X_{s,t}^{d,\mu}(x) \right) \\ \implies d \nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x) &= \nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x) \left[\nabla b_t(\phi_{s,t}(\mu), X_{s,t}^\mu(x)) dt + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \nabla \sigma_{t,k}(\phi_{s,t}(\mu), X_{s,t}^\mu(x)) dW_t^k \right] \end{aligned}$$

Consider the regularity condition stated below:

(H_A) : *There exists some $\lambda_A \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \geq 0$ we have*

$$A_t(x, y) := \nabla_y b_t(x, y) + \nabla_y b_t(x, y)' + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \nabla_y \sigma_{k,t}(x, y) \nabla_y \sigma_{k,t}(x, y)' \leq -2\lambda_A I \quad (2.1)$$

This spectral condition produces several gradient estimates. For instance, we have the following uniform estimate

$$(H_A) \implies \mathbb{E} (\|\nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x)\|_2^2)^{1/2} \leq \mathbb{E} (\|\nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x)\|_F^2)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{d} e^{-\lambda_A(t-s)} \quad (2.2)$$

In addition, we have the almost sure estimate

$$(H_A) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_y \sigma_{k,t}(x, y) = 0 \implies \|\nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x)\|_2 \leq e^{-\lambda_A(t-s)} \quad (2.3)$$

The proofs of the above assertions are provided in the appendix, on page 24. For the nonlinear Langevin diffusion discussed in (1.6) we have

$$(H_A) \iff \nabla^2 U(y) + \nabla^2 V(y-x) \geq \lambda_A I \implies \|\nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x)\|_2 \leq e^{-\lambda_A(t-s)} \quad (2.4)$$

Arguing as in (1.3) we readily check the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume (H_A) is satisfied. In this situation, we have*

$$\mathbb{E} (\|X_t^\mu(x) - X_t^\mu(y)\|^2)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{d} e^{-\lambda_A(t-s)} \|x - y\| \quad (2.5)$$

In addition, we have the almost sure estimate

$$\nabla_y \sigma_{k,t} = 0 \implies \|X_t^\mu(x) - X_t^\mu(y)\| \leq e^{-\lambda_A(t-s)} \|x - y\| \quad (2.6)$$

Whenever $\lambda_A < 0$ the above estimates ensure that the transition semigroup $P_{s,t}^\mu$ is exponentially stable, that is we have that

$$\mathbb{W}_2(\eta_0 P_{s,t}^\mu, \eta_1 P_{s,t}^\mu) \leq c \exp[-\lambda_A(t-s)] \mathbb{W}_2(\eta_0, \eta_1) \quad (2.7)$$

These contraction inequalities quantify the stability of the stochastic flow $X_{s,t}^\mu(x)$ w.r.t. the initial state x , but they don't give any information of the stability properties of the nonlinear semigroup $\phi_{s,t}(\mu)$ w.r.t. the initial measure μ .

2.2 A first order differential calculus

This section presents a natural first order differential calculus to analyze the stability properties of the nonlinear semigroup $\phi_{s,t}(\mu)$. Consider the matrices

$$B_t(z_1, z_2) := \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_y b_t(z_2, z_1) & \nabla_x b_t(z_1, z_2) \\ \nabla_x b_t(z_2, z_1) & \nabla_y b_t(z_1, z_2) \end{bmatrix} \quad D_t := \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_x \sigma_{t,k} & \nabla_x \sigma'_{t,k} & \nabla_x \sigma_{t,k} & \nabla_y \sigma'_{t,k} \\ \nabla_y \sigma_{t,k} & \nabla_x \sigma'_{t,k} & \nabla_y \sigma_{t,k} & \nabla_y \sigma'_{t,k} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.8)$$

In this notation, our second regularity condition takes the following form:

(H_C) : *There exists some $\lambda_C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \geq 0$ we have*

$$C_t(x, y) := \frac{1}{2} [B_t(x, y) + B_t(x, y)'] + D_t(x, y) \leq -\lambda_C I \quad (2.9)$$

Let Z_ϵ be the collection of random variables with distribution μ_ϵ defined in (1.8). We also consider a couple of independent stochastic flows

$$X_{s,t}^\epsilon := X_{s,t}^{\mu_\epsilon}(Z_\epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_{s,t}^\epsilon := Y_{s,t}^{\mu_\epsilon}(\bar{Z}_\epsilon)$$

driven by independent Brownian motions, say $W_t = (W_t^k)_{1 \leq k \leq d}$ and $\bar{W}_t = (\bar{W}_t^k)_{1 \leq k \leq d}$, and starting from a couple of independent random variables Z_ϵ and \bar{Z}_ϵ with the same law.

In the further development of this section, we denote by $\mathbb{E}_X(\cdot)$ the expectation operator w.r.t. the Brownian motion $W_t = (W_t^k)_{1 \leq k \leq d}$ and the random variable Z_ϵ . In this notation, we have

$$dY_{s,t}^\epsilon = \mathbb{E}_X [b_t(X_{s,t}^\epsilon, Y_{s,t}^\epsilon)] dt + \mathbb{E}_X [\sigma_t(X_{s,t}^\epsilon, Y_{s,t}^\epsilon)] d\bar{W}_t$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d[\partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon] &= \mathbb{E}_X \left[\nabla_x b_t(X_{s,t}^\epsilon, Y_{s,t}^\epsilon)' \partial_\epsilon X_{s,t}^\epsilon + \nabla_y b_t(X_{s,t}^\epsilon, Y_{s,t}^\epsilon)' \partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon \right] dt \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \mathbb{E}_X \left[\nabla_x \sigma_{t,k}(X_{s,t}^\epsilon, Y_{s,t}^\epsilon)' \partial_\epsilon X_{s,t}^\epsilon + \nabla_y \sigma_{t,k}(X_{s,t}^\epsilon, Y_{s,t}^\epsilon)' \partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon \right] d\bar{W}_t^k \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

with the initial condition

$$\partial_\epsilon Y_{s,s}^\epsilon = \partial_\epsilon Z_\epsilon = Z_1 - Z_0$$

A simple calculation yields the following estimate

$$\partial_t \mathbb{E} \left[\|\partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon\|^2 \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left([\partial_\epsilon X_{s,t}^\epsilon, \partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon]' C_t (X_{s,t}^\epsilon, Y_{s,t}^\epsilon) \begin{bmatrix} \partial_\epsilon X_{s,t}^\epsilon \\ \partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad (2.11)$$

The inequality in the above display can be turned into an equality when $D_t = 0$. Also note that

$$(H_C) \implies \mathbb{E} (\|Y_{s,t}^0 - Y_{s,t}^1\|^2) \leq \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} (\|\partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon\|^2) d\epsilon \leq e^{-2\lambda_C(t-s)} \mathbb{E} (\|Z_1 - Z_0\|^2)$$

Let $\mathcal{C}_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the set of differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^d with bounded derivative. A direct consequence of the fundamental theorem of calculus yields the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *For any $s \leq t$ and any $f \in \mathcal{C}_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have the formula*

$$[\phi_{s,t}(\mu_1) - \phi_{s,t}(\mu_0)](f) = \int_0^1 \partial_\epsilon \phi_{s,t}(\mu_\epsilon)(f) d\epsilon \quad \text{with} \quad \partial_\epsilon \phi_{s,t}(\mu_\epsilon)(f) := \mathbb{E} (\langle \partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon, \nabla f(Y_{s,t}^\epsilon) \rangle) \quad (2.12)$$

with the measure μ_ϵ and the process $Y_{s,t}^\epsilon$ defined in (1.8) and (2.10). In addition, we have the exponential contraction inequality

$$(H_C) \implies \mathbb{W}_2(\phi_{s,t}(\mu_0), \phi_{s,t}(\mu_1)) \leq e^{-\lambda_C(t-s)} \mathbb{W}_2(\mu_0, \mu_1) \quad (2.13)$$

When $\lambda_C > 0$, the above theorem provides an alternative and rather elementary proof of the exponential asymptotic stability of time varying McKean-Vlasov diffusions with non necessarily homogenous diffusion functions. To the best of our knowledge this stability property is the first result of this type for this general class of nonlinear diffusions.

For the Langevin-type diffusion discussed in (1.6) we have $D_t = 0$ and the matrix C_t reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} -C_t(z_1, z_2) &= \begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 U(z_1) & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla^2 U(z_2) \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 V(z_1 - z_2) & -\frac{[\nabla^2 V(z_2 - z_1) + \nabla^2 V(z_1 - z_2)]}{2} \\ -\frac{[\nabla^2 V(z_2 - z_1) + \nabla^2 V(z_1 - z_2)]}{2} & \nabla^2 V(z_2 - z_1) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

When V is odd we have

$$(H_C) \iff \nabla^2 U(z_1) + \nabla^2 V(z_1 - z_2) \geq \lambda_C I \iff (H_A) \quad (2.14)$$

In the reverse angle, if V is even and convex then we have

$$(H_C) \iff \nabla^2 U \geq \lambda_C I \implies (H_A) \quad (2.15)$$

It may happen the stochastic flow (1.4) remains in some domain $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The simplest model we have in head is the geometric diffusion on $S = [0, \infty[$ associated with the parameters

$$b(x, y) = [a_1 - a_2 x] y \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(x, y) = \sigma_0^{1/2} y \quad \text{with} \quad a_1 \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad a_2, \sigma_0 > 0$$

In this situation, the diffusion flow $X_{s,t}^\mu(x) \in S$ is nonlinear w.r.t. μ and given for any S by

$$X_{s,t}^\mu(x) = \psi_{t-s}(\mu) \mathcal{E}_{s,t}(W) x \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{E}_{s,t}(W) := \exp \left[\sigma_0^{1/2} (W_t - W_s) - \frac{\sigma_0}{2} (t - s) \right] \quad (2.16)$$

with the function ψ_t defined by

$$\psi_t(\mu) = \begin{cases} [1 + a_2 \mu(e) t]^{-1} & \text{if } a_1 = 0 \\ \frac{a_1}{a_1 e^{-a_1 t} + a_2 \mu(e) (1 - e^{-a_1 t})} & \text{if } a_1 \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

In the above display, $e(x) = x$ stands for the identity function on S . In this situation, for any $x, y \in S$ we have

$$A_t(x, y) = 2[a_1 - a_2 x] + \sigma_0 \leq 2a_1 + \sigma_0$$

Also observe that

$$C_t(x, y) := \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & r + a_1 \end{bmatrix} - a_2 \begin{bmatrix} y & (x+y)/2 \\ (x+y)/2 & x \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & r + a_1 \end{bmatrix} \leq (a_1 + \sigma_0) I$$

Therefore, the geometric diffusion (2.16) satisfies conditions (H_A) and (H_C) are met on S , as soon as $a_1 + \sigma_0 < 0$. The analysis of nonlinear diffusions on more general differentiable manifolds is based on more sophisticated differential techniques. The extension of the variational calculus developed above to this class of stochastic processes on manifolds is provided in section 3.2.

We end this section with some illustrations of our results on time homogeneous models $(b_t, \sigma_t) = (b, \sigma)$ satisfying condition (H_C) . We set $\phi_t := \phi_{0,t}$, and $P_t^\mu := P_{0,t}^\mu$. By theorem 2.2, there exists an unique invariant measure

$$\pi = \phi_t(\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{W}_2(\phi_t(\mu), \pi) \leq e^{-\lambda_C t} \mathbb{W}_2(\mu, \pi)$$

For the nonlinear Langevin diffusion discussed in (1.6) condition (H_C) is met when (2.14) or (2.15) are satisfied. In this context, $X_t^\pi := X_{0,t}^\pi$ is a conventional Langevin diffusion given by the time homogeneous stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t^\pi = -\nabla V^\pi(X_t^\pi) dt + \sigma_0 dW_t \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{2} V^\pi(y) = U(y) + \int \pi(dx) V(y - x)$$

In this situation, the unique invariant measure of X_t^π is given by

$$\varpi(\pi)(dx) := \frac{1}{v_\pi} \exp \left[-\frac{2}{\sigma_0} \frac{1}{2} V^\pi(x) \right] dx \quad \text{with} \quad v_\pi := \int \exp \left[-\frac{2}{\sigma_0} \frac{1}{2} V^\pi(x) \right] dx$$

In the above display, dx stands for the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d . In this case the measure $\pi = \phi_t(\pi) = \pi P_t^\pi$ is the unique solution of the equation $\pi = \varpi(\pi)$. We underline that the uniqueness of the invariant measure is not ensured for double-well confinement potential functions and too small noise. Further details on this subject including a description of the invariant measures for small noise can be found in the series of articles [19, 20, 21].

Whenever (H_C) is met, we also have the uniform moment estimates

$$\phi_t(\mu)(\|e\|^2)^{1/2} \leq \pi(\|e\|^2)^{1/2} + \mathbb{W}_2(\mu, \pi) \quad (2.17)$$

In the same vein, when when (H_A) and (H_C) are met we have

$$\mathbb{E} [\|X_t^\mu(x)\|^2]^{1/2} \leq \pi(\|e\|^2)^{1/2} + \mathbb{W}_2(\delta_x P_t^\mu, \pi) \leq c [\pi(\|e\|^2) \vee \mu(\|e\|^2)]^{1/2} [1 + \|x\|]$$

for some finite constant c . The last assertion comes from the fact that

$$\mathbb{W}_2(\delta_x P_t^\mu, \pi) \leq \mathbb{W}_2(\delta_x P_t^\mu, \phi_t(\mu)) + \mathbb{W}_2(\phi_t(\mu), \pi) \leq c e^{-(\lambda_A \wedge \lambda_C)t} [\mathbb{W}_2(\delta_x, \mu) + \mathbb{W}_2(\mu, \pi)]$$

2.3 Some almost sure estimates

We fix the parameters ϵ and the time horizon $s \geq 0$ and we set $y_t := \partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon$, for any $t \in [s, \infty[$, with the process $Y_{s,t}^\epsilon$ defined in (2.10). Also consider the processes

$$dz_t := z_{0,t} dt + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} z_{k,t} d\bar{W}_t^k \quad \text{and} \quad dZ_t := Z_{0,t} dt + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} Z_{k,t} d\bar{W}_t^k$$

with the collection of processes

$$\begin{aligned} z_{0,t} &:= \mathbb{E}_X \left[\nabla_x b_t (X_{s,t}^\epsilon, Y_{s,t}^\epsilon)' \partial_\epsilon X_{s,t}^\epsilon \right] & z_{k,t} &:= \mathbb{E}_X \left[\nabla_x \sigma_{t,k} (X_{s,t}^\epsilon, Y_{s,t}^\epsilon)' \partial_\epsilon X_{s,t}^\epsilon \right] \\ Z_{0,t} &:= \mathbb{E}_X \left[\nabla_y b_t (X_{s,t}^\epsilon, Y_{s,t}^\epsilon)' \right] & \text{and} & & Z_{k,t} &:= \mathbb{E}_X \left[\nabla_y \sigma_{t,k} (X_{s,t}^\epsilon, Y_{s,t}^\epsilon)' \right] \end{aligned}$$

In this notation, the evolution equation (2.10) reduces to

$$dy_t := dz_t + dZ_t y_t$$

Let $t \in [s, \infty[\mapsto \mathcal{E}_t$ be the solution of the matrix evolution equation

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}_t := dZ_t \mathcal{E}_t \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{E}_s = I \quad \text{and set} \quad \forall s \leq u \leq t \quad \mathcal{E}_{u,t} := \mathcal{E}_t \mathcal{E}_u^{-1} \implies \partial_t \mathcal{E}_{u,t} := dZ_t \mathcal{E}_{u,t}$$

In this notation, we readily check that

$$y_t = \mathcal{E}_{s,t} y_s + \int_s^t \mathcal{E}_{u,t} \left(dz_u - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} Z_{k,u} z_{k,u} du \right)$$

Whenever condition (H_A) is met we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t [\mathcal{E}'_{u,t} \mathcal{E}_{u,t}] &= \mathcal{E}'_{u,t} \left[Z_{0,t} + Z'_{0,t} + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} Z'_{k,t} Z_{k,t} \right] \mathcal{E}_{u,t} dt + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \mathcal{E}'_{u,t} (Z_{k,t} + Z'_{k,t}) \mathcal{E}_{u,t} d\bar{W}_t^k \\ &\leq -2\lambda_A \mathcal{E}'_{u,t} \mathcal{E}_{u,t} + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \mathcal{E}'_{u,t} (Z_{k,t} + Z'_{k,t}) \mathcal{E}_{u,t} d\bar{W}_t^k \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$(H_A) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_y \sigma_{k,t} = 0 \implies \mathcal{E}'_{u,t} \mathcal{E}_{u,t} \leq e^{-2\lambda_A(t-u)} I$$

In addition, when $\nabla_x b_t$ is uniformly bounded, $\nabla_x \sigma_{k,t} = 0$ and (H_C) is met, using (2.11) we have almost sure estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon\| &\leq e^{-\lambda_A(t-s)} \|Z_1 - Z_0\| + \|\nabla_x b_t\|_2 \int_s^t e^{-\lambda_A(t-u)} \mathbb{E} (\|\partial_\epsilon X_{s,u}^\epsilon\|) du \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda_A(t-s)} \|Z_1 - Z_0\| + \frac{\|\nabla_x b_t\|_2}{\lambda_A - \lambda_C} \left(e^{-\lambda_C(t-s)} - e^{-\lambda_A(t-s)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

with the uniform spectral norm

$$\|\nabla_x b_t\|_2 := \sup_{x,y} \|\nabla_x b_t(x,y)\|_2$$

We summarize the above discussion with the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that $\nabla_x b_t$ is uniformly bounded, $\nabla_x \sigma_{k,t} = 0 = \nabla_y \sigma_{k,t} = 0$ and conditions (H_A) and (H_C) are met. In this situation, we have the almost sure estimate*

$$\|\partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon\| \leq e^{-\lambda_A(t-s)} \|Z_1 - Z_0\| + (t-s) e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|\nabla_x b_t\|_2 \mathbb{E} (\|Z_1 - Z_0\|^2)^{1/2}$$

with the process $Y_{s,t}^\epsilon$ defined in (2.10) and the parameter $\lambda := \lambda_A \wedge \lambda_C$.

3 Some extensions

3.1 A backward variational formula

The stochastic transition semigroup associated with the flow $X_{s,t}^\mu(x)$ is defined for any measurable function f on \mathbb{R}^d by the formula

$$\mathbb{P}_{s,t}^\mu(f)(x) := f(X_{s,t}^\mu(x)) \implies P_{s,t}^\mu(f)(x) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{P}_{s,t}^\mu(f)(x))$$

For twice differentiable function f we have the gradient and the Hessian formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \mathbb{P}_{s,t}^\mu(f)(x) &= \nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x) \mathbb{P}_{s,t}^\mu(\nabla f)(x) \\ \nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s,t}^\mu(f)(x) &= [\nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x) \otimes \nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x)] \mathbb{P}_{s,t}^\mu(\nabla^2 f)(x) + \nabla^2 X_{s,t}^\mu(x) \mathbb{P}_{s,t}^\mu(\nabla f)(x) \end{aligned}$$

In the above display, $\nabla^2 X_{s,t}^\mu(x)$ stand for the tensors functions

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 X_{s,t}^\mu(x)_{(i,j),k} &= \partial_{i,j} X_{s,t}^{\mu,k}(x) \\ [\nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x) \otimes \nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x)]_{(i,j),(k,l)} &= \nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x)_{i,k} \nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x)_{j,l} \end{aligned}$$

Also recall that the infinitesimal generator $L_{t,\phi_{s,t}(\mu)}$ of the stochastic flow (1.4) is given for any twice differentiable function f by the second order operator

$$L_{t,\phi_{s,t}(\mu)}(f)(x) := \langle b_t(\phi_{s,t}(\mu), x), \nabla f(x) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [\nabla^2 f(x) \sigma_t(\phi_{s,t}(\mu), x) \sigma_t(\phi_{s,t}(\mu), x)']$$

Next theorem is an extension of a theorem by Da Prato-Menaldi-Tubaro [16] to nonlinear diffusions.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $b_t(x, y)$ and $\sigma_t(x, y)$ are Lipschitz functions w.r.t. the parameters (t, x, y) . In this situation, for any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{s,t}^\mu(f)(x) &= f(x) + \int_s^t L_{u,\phi_{s,u}(\mu)} \left(\mathbb{P}_{u,t}^{\phi_{s,u}(\mu)}(f) \right) (x) du \\ &\quad + \int_s^t \nabla \mathbb{P}_{u,t}^{\phi_{s,u}(\mu)}(f)(x)' \sigma_u(\phi_{s,u}(\mu), x) \widehat{d}W_u \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $\widehat{d}W_u$ stands for the backward integration notation, so that the r.h.s. term in the above formula is a square integrable backward martingale.

The proof of the above formula follows the elegant stochastic backward variational analysis developed in [16]. A sketched proof is provided in the appendix, on page 25.

Using the backward formula (3.1) we check the stochastic interpolation formula

$$\partial_u \left(X_{u,t}^{\phi_{s,u}(\mu)} \circ X_{s,u}^\eta \right) (y)' = [\phi_{s,u}(\eta) - \phi_{s,u}(\mu)] (b_u(\cdot, X_{s,u}^\eta(y)))' \left[\nabla X_{u,t}^{\phi_{s,u}(\mu)} \right] (X_{s,u}^\eta(y))$$

Equivalently, we have

$$X_{s,t}^\eta(x) - X_{s,t}^\mu(x) = \int_s^t \left[\nabla X_{u,t}^{\phi_{s,u}(\mu)} \right] (X_{s,u}^\eta(x))' [\phi_{s,u}(\eta) - \phi_{s,u}(\mu)] (b_u(\cdot, X_{s,u}^\eta(x))) du \quad (3.2)$$

Combining (2.2) and (2.3) with (2.13) we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Assume the conditions of theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Also assume that (H_A) and (H_C) are met for some parameters λ_A and λ_C . In this situation we have the exponential decay estimates*

$$\mathbb{E}(\|X_{s,t}^\eta(x) - X_{s,t}^\mu(x)\|^2)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{d} (t-s) e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \mathbb{W}_2(\eta, \mu) \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda := \lambda_A \wedge \lambda_C$$

In addition, when $\nabla_y \sigma_{k,t} = 0$ we have the uniform and almost sure estimates

$$\|X_{s,t}^\eta(x) - X_{s,t}^\mu(x)\| \leq (t-s) e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \mathbb{W}_2(\eta, \mu)$$

3.2 Diffusions on smooth manifolds

This section is concerned with the extension of our results to nonlinear diffusions on Riemannian manifolds. We want to stress the fact that it also gives another view and additional results for the diffusions in \mathbb{R}^d we already considered, but with now \mathbb{R}^d endowed, when possible, with the Riemannian metric under which these diffusions are Brownian motion with drift. We will see that different types of synchronous coupling will lead to gradient flow estimates where conditions on gradient of the diffusion term will disappear, replaced by Ricci curvatures.

Let us begin with the general necessary facts about nonlinear diffusions in manifolds. Our presentation will be made as similar as possible to the one in Euclidean space. For this we will need Itô differentials of manifold valued diffusions, parallel translation, covariant differential of tangent bundle valued semimartingales.

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension d . Stratonovich calculus is similar on M and on \mathbb{R}^d . So we are able to deal with Stratonovich SDE's of the type

$$\circ dX_{s,t}^\mu(x) = b_t^S(\phi_{s,t}(\mu), X_{s,t}^\mu(x)) dt + \sigma(X_{s,t}^\mu(x)) \circ dW_t, \quad (3.3)$$

where for $y \in M$

$$b_t^S(\eta, y) = \int_M \eta(dx) b_t^S(x, y), \quad b_t^S(x, y) \in T_y M,$$

W_t is a \mathbb{R}^m -valued Brownian motion and $\sigma(y)$ is a linear map $\mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow T_y M$. For simplicity σ will not depend on time, but the time-dependent σ can also be treated, we refer to [1] for this extension, and also for the details of the constructions below.

The only situation we will be interested in is when for all $y \in M$ the map

$$(\sigma\sigma^*)(y) : T_y^* M \rightarrow T_y M$$

is a linear diffeomorphism. In this situation a scalar product can be defined in $T_y^* M$ and then in $T_y M$, leading to a Riemannian structure on M . The scalar product in $T_y^* M$ is

$$g^*(y)(\alpha, \beta) = \langle \sigma^*(y)(\alpha), \sigma^*(y)(\beta) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m}, \quad (3.4)$$

and the scalar product in $T_y M$ is

$$g(y)(u, v) = g^*(y) \left((\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}(y)(u), (\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}(y)(v) \right). \quad (3.5)$$

Associated to the metric g is the Levi-Civita connection ∇ , which will be used to define parallel transport, Itô equations, Itô covariant differentials. Recall that the parallel transport along a continuous M -valued semimartingale X is the linear map $//_t : T_{X_0} M \rightarrow T_{X_t} M$ which satisfies $//_0 = \text{Id}$ and the Stratonovich SDE $\nabla_{\circ dX_t} //_t = 0$. It is the natural extension to parallel transport along smooth paths, and it is an isometry. Parallel translation allows to anti-develop X_t in $T_{X_0} M$ with the Stratonovich integral

$$\mathcal{A}(X)_t = \int_0^t //_s^{-1} \circ dX_s$$

The process $\mathcal{A}(X)$ takes its values in the vector space, it has an Itô differential $d\mathcal{A}(X)_t$, which allows to define the Itô differential of X_t

$$d^\nabla X_t := //_t d\mathcal{A}(X)_t. \quad (3.6)$$

This Itô differential is formally a vector which can be expressed in local coordinates as

$$d^\nabla X_t = \left(dX_t^i + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{j,k}^i(X_t) d\langle X^j, X^k \rangle_t \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}(X_t), \quad \text{with the Christoffel symbols } \Gamma_{j,k}^i.$$

The next object to consider is Itô covariant derivative DU_t of a $T_{X_t}M$ -valued continuous semimartingale U_t :

$$DU_t := //_{t}d(//_{t}^{-1}U_t), \quad (3.7)$$

easily defined from the fact that $//_{t}^{-1}U_t$ is vector valued. From the isometry property of parallel translation we easily get the formula for V_t another $T_{X_t}M$ -valued semimartingale and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle := g$,

$$d\langle U_t, V_t \rangle = \langle DU_t, V_t \rangle + \langle U_t, DV_t \rangle + \langle DU_t, DV_t \rangle. \quad (3.8)$$

Defining $b_t(x, y) := b_t^S(x, y) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \nabla \sigma_k(\sigma_k(y))$ (where for two vector fields A, B , $\nabla A(B(y))$

denotes the covariant derivative of A in the direction $B(y)$), it is well known that the Stratonovich SDE (3.3) is equivalent to the Itô SDEs

$$d^\nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x) = b_t(\phi_{s,t}(\mu), X_{s,t}^\mu(x)) dt + \sigma(X_{s,t}^\mu(x)) dW_t. \quad (3.9)$$

A remarkable fact concerning this equation, is that whenever it exists, a solution to equation (3.9) is a diffusion with nonlinear generator $L_{t, \phi_{s,t}}(\mu)$, where

$$L_{t, \eta} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta + \int_M \eta(dx) b_t(x, y). \quad (3.10)$$

So we can consider that the starting point of our study is SDE (3.9) in a Riemannian manifold (M, g) .

Let us adapt the regularity conditions (H_A) and (H_C) :

Define $A_t^g(x, y) := \nabla_y b_t(x, y) + \nabla_y b_t(x, y)'$, where $\nabla_y b_t(x, y)$ is the covariant derivative with respect to the variable y , it is a linear map from $T_y M$ into itself, and $\nabla_y b_t(x, y)'$ is its adjoint with respect to the Riemannian metric.

(H_A^g) : There exists some $\lambda_A^g \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $x, y \in M$ and $t \geq 0$ we have

$$A_t^g(x, y) - \text{Ric}(y) \leq -2\lambda_A^g g(y) \quad (3.11)$$

where Ric is the Ricci curvature tensor of M .

Let B_t^g be as in (2.8) with gradient replaced by covariant derivative.

Define $C_t^g(x, y) := \frac{1}{2} [B_t^g(x, y) + B_t^g(x, y)']$.

(H_C^g) : There exists some $\lambda_C^g \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $x, y \in M$ and $t \geq 0$ we have

$$C_t^g(x, y) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}_{M \times M}(x, y) \leq -\lambda_C^g g_{M \times M}(x, y) \quad (3.12)$$

where $g_{M \times M}(x, y)$, $\text{Ric}_{M \times M}(x, y)$ are the product metric and Ricci curvature on $M \times M$.

Theorem 3.3. *We have the exponential expansion or contraction inequalities*

$$(H_A^g) \implies \mathbb{W}_2(\eta_0 P_{s,t}^\mu, \eta_1 P_{s,t}^\mu) \leq c e^{-\lambda_A^g(t-s)} \mathbb{W}_2(\eta_0, \eta_1) \quad (3.13)$$

for some finite constant c . In addition, we have

$$(H_C^g) \implies \mathbb{W}_2(\phi_{s,t}(\mu_0), \phi_{s,t}(\mu_1)) \leq e^{-\lambda_C^g(t-s)} \mathbb{W}_2(\mu_0, \mu_1) \quad (3.14)$$

Remark: The results of Theorem 3.3 still hold when $\sigma = \sigma_t$ and $g = g_t$ depend on time, one just has to replace in (H_A^g) Ric by $\text{Ric} - \dot{g}$ and in (H_C^g) $\text{Ric}_{M \times M}$ by $\text{Ric}_{M \times M} - \dot{g}_{M \times M}$.

Proof. The proof of the first estimate is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [1] (where time dependent metrics are considered), so we will omit it. The proof of the second one is a combination of this proof and to the one of Theorem 2.2 in the present article. Let us go into the details.

Let Z_0, Z_1 two random variables with values in M , and such that (Z_0, Z_1) minimizes $\mathbb{E}[d^2(Z_0, Z_1)]$ under the condition that Z_0 has law μ_0 and Z_1 has law μ_1 . For all ω , let $\epsilon \mapsto Z_\epsilon(\omega)$ be a geodesic between $Z_0(\omega)$ and $Z_1(\omega)$.

As in the proof of Theorem 2.2, let $Y_{s,s}^{\mu_0}(x) = x$ and $t \in [s, \infty[\mapsto Y_{s,t}^{\mu_0}(x)$ solve the equation

$$dY_{s,t}^{\mu_0}(x) = b_t(\phi_{s,t}(\mu_0), Y_{s,t}^{\mu_0}(x)) dt + \sigma(Y_{s,t}^{\mu_0}(x)) d\bar{W}_t$$

where \bar{W}_t is a \mathbb{R}^m valued Brownian motion independent of W_t . Let $(\bar{Z}_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \in [0,1]}$ be independent of $(Z_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \in [0,1]}$ with the same law, $Y_{s,s}^\epsilon = \bar{Z}_\epsilon$ and $Y_{s,t}^\epsilon$ the solution to the Itô SDE

$$dY_{s,t}^\epsilon = \mathbb{E}_X [b_t(X_{s,t}^\epsilon, Y_{s,t}^\epsilon)] dt + //_{s,t}^{0,\epsilon} (\sigma(Y_{s,t}^0) d\bar{W}_t), \quad (3.15)$$

where $\epsilon \mapsto //_{s,t}^{0,\epsilon}(\omega)$ is the parallel transport along the $\epsilon \mapsto Y_{s,t}^\epsilon(\omega)$. Notice that $Y_{s,t}^0 \equiv Y_{s,t}^{\mu_0}(\bar{Z}_0)$.

The equation (3.15) is not an SDE on the manifold M , it is an SDE on C^1 M -valued paths. Existence of solutions have been established in [1]. The processes $t \mapsto Y_{s,t}^\epsilon$ are obtained one from the others by infinitesimal synchronous coupling, and it is the only construction where a.s. the paths $\epsilon \mapsto Y_{s,t}^\epsilon(\omega)$ has finite variation. Moreover, the derivatives of these paths satisfy

$$D\partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon = \mathbb{E}_X [\nabla_x b_t(X_{s,t}^\epsilon, Y_{s,t}^\epsilon) \partial_\epsilon X_{s,t}^\epsilon] dt + \mathbb{E}_X [\nabla_y b_t(X_{s,t}^\epsilon, Y_{s,t}^\epsilon)] \partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon dt - \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}^\sharp(\partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon) dt \quad (3.16)$$

where $\text{Ric}^\sharp(u)$ is the vector such that $\langle \text{Ric}^\sharp(u), v \rangle = \text{Ric}(u, v)$. The advantage of this construction is that the above covariant derivative has finite variation, and this implies

$$d\|\partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon\|^2 = 2 \langle \partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon, D\partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon \rangle.$$

Then the proof is similar to the one of Theorem 2.2:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \mathbb{E} [\|\partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon\|^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\epsilon X_{s,t}^\epsilon \\ \partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon \end{pmatrix}, B_t(X_{s,t}^\epsilon, Y_{s,t}^\epsilon) \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\epsilon X_{s,t}^\epsilon \\ \partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \right] - \mathbb{E} [\text{Ric}(\partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon, \partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon)] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\epsilon X_{s,t}^\epsilon \\ \partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon \end{pmatrix}, B_t(X_{s,t}^\epsilon, Y_{s,t}^\epsilon) \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\epsilon X_{s,t}^\epsilon \\ \partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \right] - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Ric}_{M \times M} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \partial_\epsilon X_{s,t}^\epsilon \\ \partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\epsilon X_{s,t}^\epsilon \\ \partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \right) \right] \\ &\leq -\lambda_C^g \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\epsilon X_{s,t}^\epsilon \\ \partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \right] = -2\lambda_C^g \mathbb{E} [\|\partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\mathbb{E} [\|\partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon\|^2] \leq \mathbb{E} [\|\partial_\epsilon|_{\epsilon=0} Z_\epsilon\|^2] e^{-2\lambda_C^g(t-s)} = e^{-2\lambda_C^g(t-s)} \mathbb{W}_2^2(\mu_0, \mu_1).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{W}_2^2(\phi_{s,t}(\mu_0), \phi_{s,t}(\mu_1)) &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^1 \|\partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon\| d\epsilon \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} [\|\partial_\epsilon Y_{s,t}^\epsilon\|^2] d\epsilon \leq e^{-2\lambda_C^g(t-s)} \mathbb{W}_2^2(\mu_0, \mu_1) \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof of the theorem. ■

An important example of nonlinear diffusions in manifolds is again given by Langevin diffusions, defined as in (3.9), with now

$$b_t(x, y) = -\nabla U(y) - \nabla(F \circ \rho_x)(y) \quad (3.17)$$

where U is a potential function, ρ is the Riemannian distance associated to the metric g , ρ_x is the distance to x and $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^2 function. A sufficient condition $b_t(x, y)$ defined by (3.17) to be well defined and smooth is that the derivative of F is nul at the origin and the support of F is included in $[0, \iota(M))$, where $\iota(M)$ denotes the injectivity radius of M . But smoothness of $b_t(x, y)$ is not a necessary condition for defining nonlinear diffusions.

We find that for $u, v \in T_y M$,

$$\nabla_y b(u, v) = -\nabla^2 U(u, v) - \nabla^2(F \circ \rho_x)(u, v). \quad (3.18)$$

In this context, condition (H_A^g) reduces to

$$\nabla^2 U(y) + \nabla^2(F \circ \rho_x)(y) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}(y) \geq \lambda_A^g g(y). \quad (3.19)$$

If for instance M is simply connected with nonpositive curvature (which implies that the distance function ρ is convex), and F is nondecreasing, a sufficient condition is

$$\nabla^2 U(y) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}(y) \geq \lambda_A^g g(y). \quad (3.20)$$

The computation of B_t reveals that it is symmetric, and that for $(u, v) \in T_x M \times T_y M$,

$$B_t(x, y)((u, v), (u, v)) = -\nabla^2 U(x)(u, u) - \nabla^2 U(y)(v, v) - \nabla^2(F \circ \rho)(x, y)((u, v), (u, v)), \quad (3.21)$$

In this context condition (H_C^g) reduces to

$$\nabla^2 U^{\oplus 2}(x, y) + \nabla^2(F \circ \rho)(x, y) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}_{M \times M}(x, y) \geq \lambda_C^g g_{M \times M}(x, y) \quad (3.22)$$

where $U^{\oplus 2}(x, y) = U(x) + U(y)$. Here again, when M is simply connected with nonpositive curvature, F is convex and nondecreasing, the above condition is met as soon as

$$\nabla^2 U(y) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}(y) \geq \lambda_C^g g(y). \quad (3.23)$$

4 Mean field interacting diffusions

4.1 Stability properties

The interacting diffusion flow $\xi_{s,t}^j(z) = (\xi_{s,t}^{j,k}(z))_{1 \leq k \leq d} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ presented in (1.5) can be rewritten as

$$d\xi_{s,t}^j(z) = \mathcal{F}_t^j(\xi_{s,t}(z)) dt + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq r} \mathcal{G}_{t,\alpha}^j(\xi_{s,t}(z)) dW_t^{j,\alpha}$$

with the drift and the diffusion functions defined for any $z = (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ with $z_i = (z_i^l)_{1 \leq l \leq d} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by the formulae

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{j,k}(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} b_t^k(z_n, z_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_{t,\alpha}^{j,k}(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \sigma_{t,\alpha}^k(z_n, z_j)$$

For any differentiable function $\mathcal{H} : z \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N \mapsto \mathcal{H}(z) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ and any $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ and $1 \leq l, k \leq d$ we consider the gradient blocks

$$[\nabla \mathcal{H}(z)]_{i,j} = \nabla_{z_i} \mathcal{H}^j(z) \quad \text{with} \quad [\nabla_{z_i} \mathcal{H}^j(z)]_{l,k} = \partial_{z_i^l} \mathcal{H}^{j,k}(z)$$

In this notation, for any $i \neq j$ we have

$$\left[\nabla_{z_i} \mathcal{F}_t^j(z) \right]_{l,k} = \frac{1}{N} \partial_{z_i^l} b_t^k(z_i, z_j) \implies [\nabla \mathcal{F}_t(z)]_{i,j} = \frac{1}{N} \nabla_x b_t(z_i, z_j)$$

and the diagonal term

$$[\nabla \mathcal{F}_t(z)]_{i,i} = \frac{1}{N} \nabla_x b_t(z_i, z_i) + \nabla_y b_t(m(z), z_i)$$

Using the composition rule

$$\nabla [\mathcal{H}_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_2](z) = \nabla \mathcal{H}_2(z) (\nabla \mathcal{H}_1)(\mathcal{H}_2(z)) \quad (4.1)$$

we check that

$$d[\nabla \xi_{s,t}(z)]_{i,j} = [\nabla \xi_{s,t}(z) \nabla \mathcal{F}_t(\xi_{s,t}(z))]_{i,j} dt + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq d} [\nabla \xi_{s,t}(z) \nabla \mathcal{G}_{t,\alpha}(\xi_{s,t}(z))]_{i,j} dW_t^{j,\alpha} \quad (4.2)$$

(\mathcal{H}_A) : There exists some $\lambda_A \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $z \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ and $t \geq 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{A}_t(z) = \nabla \mathcal{F}_t(z) + \nabla \mathcal{F}_t(z)' + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq r} \nabla \mathcal{G}_{t,\alpha}(z) \nabla \mathcal{G}_{t,\alpha}(z)' \leq -2\lambda_A I \quad (4.3)$$

This spectral condition produces several gradient estimates. For instance, arguing as in (2.6) we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume condition (\mathcal{H}_A) is satisfied. In this situation we have the uniform exponential decay estimates*

$$\mathbb{E} [\|\nabla \xi_{s,t}(z)\|_2^2]^{1/2} \leq \mathbb{E} [\|\nabla \xi_{s,t}(z)\|_F^2]^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{dN} e^{-\lambda_A(t-s)} \quad (4.4)$$

In addition, when $\nabla \mathcal{G}_{t,\alpha}(z) = 0$ we have the uniform almost sure exponential decay estimate

$$\|\nabla \xi_{s,t}(z)\|_2 \leq e^{-\lambda_A(t-s)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\xi_{s,t}(z) - \xi_{s,t}(\bar{z})\| \leq e^{-\lambda_A(t-s)} \|z - \bar{z}\| \quad (4.5)$$

The proof of the above theorem is provided in the appendix, on page 27.

For the nonlinear Langevin diffusion discussed in (1.6) we have $\nabla \mathcal{G}_{t,\alpha}(z) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla \mathcal{F}_t(z)]_{i,j} &= \frac{1}{N} \nabla^2 V(z_j - z_i) \\ [\nabla \mathcal{F}_t(z)]_{i,i} &= -\nabla^2 U(z_i) + \frac{1}{N} \nabla^2 V(0) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \nabla^2 V(z_i - z_n) \end{aligned}$$

In this situation we have

$$2^{-1} \mathcal{A}_t(z) = -\text{Diag}(\nabla^2 U(z_1), \dots, \nabla^2 U(z_N)) - \frac{1}{N} \mathcal{E}_t(z)$$

with the matrix $\mathcal{E}_t(z)$ with block entries

$$[\mathcal{E}_t(z)]_{i,j} = -\frac{1}{2} [\nabla^2 V(z_j - z_i) + \nabla^2 V(z_i - z_j)] \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathcal{E}_t(z)]_{i,i} = \sum_{1 \leq n \neq i \leq N} \nabla^2 V(z_i - z_n)$$

When V is odd we have

$$\nabla^2 U(y) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) \nabla^2 V(y - x) \geq \lambda_{\mathcal{A}} I \implies (\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$$

When V is even and convex we have $\mathcal{E}_t(z) \geq 0$ and therefore

$$\nabla^2 U(y) \geq \lambda_{\mathcal{A}} I \implies (\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$$

In this situation, we also have

$$d\xi_t^i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} \left[\nabla V(\xi_t^i - \xi_t^j) + \nabla U(\xi_t^i) \right] dt + dW_t^i \quad \text{with} \quad 1 \leq i \leq N \quad (4.6)$$

Last but not least, whenever $\nabla V(0) = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(z) &:= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{V(z_i - z_j) + V(z_j - z_i)}{2} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} U(z_i) \\ \implies \nabla_{z_i} \mathcal{V}(z) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} \nabla V(z_i - z_j) + \nabla U(z_i) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\nabla V(0) = 0$ holds when V is even. In this situation, the diffusion ξ_t reduces to a conventional Langevin diffusion

$$d\xi_t = -\nabla \mathcal{V}(\xi_t) dt + d\mathcal{W}_t \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{W}_t = (W_t^1, \dots, W_t^N)'$$

In this context, the stationary measure of the particle model ξ_t is given by the Gibbs measure

$$\nu(dz) \propto \exp[-2\mathcal{V}(z)] dz$$

4.2 Propagation of chaos properties

For any differentiable function $g(x, y)$ from \mathbb{R}^{2d} into \mathbb{R}^d we let $\nabla_u g(x, y)$ be the gradient matrices w.r.t. the coordinate $u \in \{x, y\}$, and we set

$$\nabla_{x/y} g := \nabla_x g + \nabla_y g$$

We extend matrix-valued functions $G : z \in \mathbb{R}^k \mapsto G(z) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ to the product space \mathbb{R}^{2k} by setting

$$G[z; \bar{z}] := \int_0^1 G(\bar{z} + \epsilon(z - \bar{z})) d\epsilon \implies G[z; z] = G(z)$$

We also consider the mapping $\delta : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $x \mapsto (x, x)$, and for any $x; \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we set

$$\nabla_{x/y}^\delta b_t[x; \bar{x}] := \nabla_{x/y} (b_t \circ \delta)[x; \bar{x}] \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{x/y}^\delta \sigma_{t,k}[x; \bar{x}] := \nabla_{x/y} (\sigma_{t,k} \circ \delta)[x; \bar{x}]$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_t(z, \bar{z})$ and $\mathcal{D}_t(z, \bar{z})$ be the functions defined for any $z = (x, y)$ and $\bar{z} := (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_t(z, \bar{z}) &:= \frac{1}{N} \mathcal{B}_t^{(1)}(z, \bar{z}) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) \mathcal{B}_t^{(0)}(z, \bar{z}) \\ \mathcal{D}_t(z, \bar{z}) &:= \frac{1}{N} \mathcal{D}_t^{(1)}(z, \bar{z}) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) \mathcal{D}_t^{(0)}(z, \bar{z})\end{aligned}$$

The matrices $\mathcal{B}_t^{(i)}(z, \bar{z})$ in the above display are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_t^{(1)}(z, \bar{z}) &:= \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{x/y}^\delta b_t[x; \bar{x}] & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla_{x/y}^\delta b_t[y; \bar{y}] \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathcal{B}_t^{(0)}(z, \bar{z}) &:= \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_y b_t[(y, x); (\bar{y}, \bar{x})] & \nabla_x b_t[(y, x); (\bar{y}, \bar{x})] \\ \nabla_x b_t[(x, y); (\bar{x}, \bar{y})] & \nabla_y b_t[(x, y); (\bar{x}, \bar{y})] \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

and the matrices $\mathcal{D}_t^{(i)}(z, \bar{z})$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_t^{(1)}(z, \bar{z}) &:= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{x/y}^\delta \sigma_{t,k}[x; \bar{x}]' & \nabla_{x/y}^\delta \sigma_{t,k}[x; \bar{x}] & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla_{x/y}^\delta \sigma_{t,k}[y; \bar{y}]' & \nabla_{x/y}^\delta \sigma_{t,k}[y; \bar{y}] \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathcal{D}_t^{(0)}(z, \bar{z}) &:= 2 \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x \sigma_{t,k}[z; \bar{z}]' & \nabla_x \sigma_{t,k}[z; \bar{z}] & \nabla_x \sigma_{t,k}[z; \bar{z}]' & \nabla_y \sigma_{t,k}[z; \bar{z}] \\ \nabla_y \sigma_{t,k}[z; \bar{z}]' & \nabla_x \sigma_{t,k}[z; \bar{z}] & \nabla_y \sigma_{t,k}[z; \bar{z}]' & \nabla_y \sigma_{t,k}[z; \bar{z}] \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Consider the following regularity condition:

(\mathcal{H}_C) : There exists some $\lambda_C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $z, \bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ and $t \geq 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{C}_t(z, \bar{z}) := \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{B}_t(z, \bar{z}) + \mathcal{B}_t(z, \bar{z})'] + \mathcal{D}_t(z, \bar{z}) \leq -\lambda_C I \quad (4.7)$$

Let $\zeta_0 = (\zeta_0^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ be N independent copies of a random variable with distribution μ on \mathbb{R}^d . Let $\xi_t := \xi_{0,t}(\zeta_0)$ and consider the diffusion processes $\zeta_t = (\zeta_t^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ defined as ξ_t by replacing the occupation measures $m(\xi_t)$ by the distributions $\mu_t = \phi_t(\mu) := \phi_{0,t}(\mu)$; that is, for any $1 \leq i \leq N$ we have

$$d\zeta_t^i = b_t(\mu_t, \zeta_t^i) dt + \sigma_t(\mu_t, \zeta_t^i) dW_t^i$$

Theorem 4.2. Assume condition (\mathcal{H}_C) is satisfied. In this situation, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any distribution μ on \mathbb{R}^d we have

$$\mathbb{E} (\|\xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1\|^2) \leq \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t e^{-2(\lambda_C - \epsilon)(t-s)} \left(2\alpha_s(\mu) + \frac{\beta_s(\mu)}{2\epsilon} \right) ds \quad (4.8)$$

with the parameters

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_t(\mu) &:= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \int \phi_t(\mu)(dx) \|\sigma_{t,k}(x, x) - \sigma_{t,k}(\phi_t(\mu), x)\|^2 \\ \beta_t(\mu) &:= \frac{1}{N} \int \phi_t(\mu)(dx) \|b_t(x, x) - b_t(\phi_t(\mu), x)\|^2 \\ &\quad + \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) \int \phi_t(\mu)(dx) \phi_t(\mu)(dy) \|b_t(x, y) - b_t(\phi_t(\mu), y)\|^2\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We set $S_t := \mathbb{E} (\|\xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1\|^2)$. Using the decomposition

$$d(\xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1) = [b_t(m(\xi_t), \xi_t^1) - b_t(\mu_t, \zeta_t^1)] dt + [\sigma_t(m(\xi_t), \xi_t^1) - \sigma_t(\mu_t, \zeta_t^1)] dW_t^1$$

we check that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t S_t &= 2 \mathbb{E} (\langle \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, b_t(m(\xi_t), \xi_t^1) - b_t(\mu_t, \zeta_t^1) \rangle) + \Sigma_t + \Gamma_t \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \mathbb{E} (\langle \sigma_{t,k}(m(\xi_t), \xi_t^1) - \sigma_{t,k}(m(\zeta_t), \zeta_t^1), \sigma_{t,k}(m(\zeta_t), \zeta_t^1) - \sigma_{t,k}(\mu_t, \zeta_t^1) \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

with Σ_t and Γ_t defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_t &:= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \mathbb{E} (\|\sigma_{t,k}(m(\xi_t), \xi_t^1) - \sigma_{t,k}(m(\zeta_t), \zeta_t^1)\|^2) \\ \Gamma_t &:= \sum_r \mathbb{E} (\|\sigma_{t,k}(m(\zeta_t), \zeta_t^1) - \sigma_{t,k}(\mu_t, \zeta_t^1)\|^2) \end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we find that

$$2^{-1} \partial_t S_t \leq I_t + \Sigma_t + J_t + \Gamma_t$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_t &:= \mathbb{E} (\langle \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, b_t(m(\xi_t), \xi_t^1) - b_t(m(\zeta_t), \zeta_t^1) \rangle) \\ J_t &:= \mathbb{E} (\langle \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, b_t(m(\zeta_t), \zeta_t^1) - b_t(\mu_t, \zeta_t^1) \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the term Σ_t we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} (\|\sigma_{t,k}(m(\xi_t), \xi_t^1) - \sigma_{t,k}(m(\zeta_t), \zeta_t^1)\|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \mathbb{E} (\langle \sigma_{t,k}(\xi_t^i, \xi_t^1) - \sigma_{t,k}(\zeta_t^i, \zeta_t^1), \sigma_{t,k}(\xi_t^j, \xi_t^1) - \sigma_{t,k}(\zeta_t^j, \zeta_t^1) \rangle) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \mathbb{E} (\|\sigma_{t,k}(\xi_t^i, \xi_t^1) - \sigma_{t,k}(\zeta_t^i, \zeta_t^1)\| \|\sigma_{t,k}(\xi_t^j, \xi_t^1) - \sigma_{t,k}(\zeta_t^j, \zeta_t^1)\|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} (\|\sigma_{t,k}(\xi_t^1, \xi_t^1) - \sigma_{t,k}(\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^1)\|^2) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) \mathbb{E} (\|\sigma_{t,k}(\xi_t^1, \xi_t^2) - \sigma_{t,k}(\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^2)\|^2) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any differentiable function g from \mathbb{R}^{2d} into \mathbb{R}^d , and for any $z = (x, y)$ and $\bar{z} = (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ we have the first order decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) - g(\bar{z}) &= \nabla_x g[z, \bar{z}] (x - \bar{x}) + \nabla_y g[z, \bar{z}] (y - \bar{y}) \\ \implies &\begin{cases} \|g(z) - g(\bar{z})\|^2 &= (x - \bar{x}, y - \bar{y})' \bar{\nabla} g[z, \bar{z}] \begin{pmatrix} x - \bar{x} \\ y - \bar{y} \end{pmatrix} \\ \|g(x, x) - g(\bar{x}, \bar{x})\|^2 &= (x - \bar{x})' \nabla_{x/y} g[x, \bar{x}]' \nabla_{x/y} g[x, \bar{x}] (x - \bar{x}) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

with the matrix

$$\bar{\nabla} g[z, \bar{z}] := \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x g[z, \bar{z}]' & \nabla_x g[z, \bar{z}] & \nabla_x g[z, \bar{z}]' & \nabla_y g[z, \bar{z}] \\ \nabla_y g[z, \bar{z}]' & \nabla_x g[z, \bar{z}] & \nabla_y g[z, \bar{z}]' & \nabla_y g[z, \bar{z}] \end{pmatrix}$$

By symmetry arguments, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \mathbb{E} (\|\sigma_{t,k}(\xi_t^1, \xi_t^1) - \sigma_{t,k}(\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^1)\|^2) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, \xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2)' \mathcal{D}_t^{(1)}((\xi_t^1, \xi_t^2), (\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^2)) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1 \\ \xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2 \end{pmatrix} \right] \end{aligned}$$

In the same vein, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \mathbb{E} (\|\sigma_{t,k}(\xi_t^1, \xi_t^2) - \sigma_{t,k}(\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^2)\|^2) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, \xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2)' \mathcal{D}_t^{(0)}((\xi_t^1, \xi_t^2), (\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^2)) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1 \\ \xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2 \end{pmatrix} \right] \end{aligned}$$

This yields the estimate

$$2\Sigma_t \leq \mathbb{E} \left[(\xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, \xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2)' \mathcal{D}_t((\xi_t^1, \xi_t^2), (\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^2)) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1 \\ \xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2 \end{pmatrix} \right], \quad (4.9)$$

To estimate the term I_t we use the decomposition

$$I_t = \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} (\langle \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, b_t(\xi_t^1, \xi_t^1) - b_t(\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^1) \rangle) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) \mathbb{E} (\langle \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, b_t(\xi_t^2, \xi_t^1) - b_t(\zeta_t^2, \zeta_t^1) \rangle) \quad (4.10)$$

Also notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathbb{E} (\langle \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, b_t(\xi_t^1, \xi_t^1) - b_t(\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^1) \rangle) \\ &= 2 \mathbb{E} (\langle \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, \nabla_{x/y}(b_t \circ \delta)[\xi_t^1, \zeta_t^1] (\xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1) \rangle) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, \xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2)' \mathcal{B}_t^{(1)}[(\xi_t^1, \xi_t^2); (\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^2)] \begin{pmatrix} \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1 \\ \xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2 \end{pmatrix} \right] \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} (\langle \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, b_t(\xi_t^2, \xi_t^1) - b_t(\zeta_t^2, \zeta_t^1) \rangle) \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\langle \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, \nabla_x b_t[(\xi_t^2, \xi_t^1); (\zeta_t^2, \zeta_t^1)] (\xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2) \rangle) + \mathbb{E} (\langle \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, \nabla_y b_t[(\xi_t^2, \xi_t^1); (\zeta_t^2, \zeta_t^1)] (\xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1) \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\mathbb{E} (\langle \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, b_t(\xi_t^2, \xi_t^1) - b_t(\zeta_t^2, \zeta_t^1) \rangle) \\ &= \mathbb{E} (\langle \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, \nabla_x b_t[(\xi_t^2, \xi_t^1); (\zeta_t^2, \zeta_t^1)] (\xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2) \rangle) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} (\langle \xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2, \nabla_x b_t[(\xi_t^1, \xi_t^2); (\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^2)] (\xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1) \rangle) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} (\langle \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, \nabla_y b_t[(\xi_t^2, \xi_t^1); (\zeta_t^2, \zeta_t^1)] (\xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1) \rangle) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} (\langle \xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2, \nabla_y b_t[(\xi_t^1, \xi_t^2); (\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^2)] (\xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2) \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

from which we check that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\mathbb{E} \left(\langle \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, b_t(\xi_t^2, \xi_t^1) - b_t(\zeta_t^2, \zeta_t^1) \rangle \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, \xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2 \right)' \mathcal{B}_t^{(0)}[(\xi_t^1, \xi_t^2); (\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^2)] \begin{pmatrix} \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1 \\ \xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2 \end{pmatrix} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above decompositions we check that

$$2I_t = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, \xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2 \right)' \mathcal{B}_t[(\xi_t^1, \xi_t^2); (\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^2)] \begin{pmatrix} \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1 \\ \xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

Combining the above estimate with (4.9) we find that

$$\partial_t S_t \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1, \xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2 \right)' \mathcal{C}_t[(\xi_t^1, \xi_t^2); (\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^2)] \begin{pmatrix} \xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1 \\ \xi_t^2 - \zeta_t^2 \end{pmatrix} \right] + 2J_t(\xi_t, \zeta_t) + 2\Gamma_t(\zeta_t)$$

from which we conclude that

$$2^{-1} \partial_t S_t \leq -\lambda_C S_t + J_t + \Gamma_t$$

Applying twice Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we check the estimate

$$|J_t(\xi_t, \zeta_t)| \leq \sqrt{S_t} \mathbb{E} \left(\|b_t(m(\zeta_t), \zeta_t^1) - b_t(\mu_t, \zeta_t^1)\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\|b_t(m(\zeta_t), \zeta_t^1) - b_t(\mu_t, \zeta_t^1)\|^2 \right) = \frac{1}{N} \beta_t(\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_t(\zeta_t) = \frac{1}{N} \alpha_t(\mu)$$

This implies that

$$2^{-1} \partial_t S_t \leq -\lambda_C S_t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sqrt{\beta_t(\mu) S_t} + \frac{1}{N} \alpha_t(\mu)$$

Recalling that $2ab \leq \epsilon a^2 + b^2/\epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we check that

$$\partial_t S_t \leq -2(\lambda_C - \epsilon) S_t + \frac{1}{N} \left(2\alpha_t(\mu) + \frac{\beta_t(\mu)}{2\epsilon} \right)$$

This ends the proof of the theorem. ■

We end this section with some comments on the regularity condition (\mathcal{H}_C) .

For the nonlinear Langevin diffusion discussed in (1.6) we have $\mathcal{D}_t(z, \bar{z}) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_x b_t[(x, y); (\bar{x}, \bar{y})] &= \nabla^2 V[y - x; \bar{y} - \bar{x}] \\ \nabla_y b_t[(x, y); (\bar{x}, \bar{y})] &= -\nabla^2 U[y; \bar{y}] - \nabla^2 V[y - x; \bar{y} - \bar{x}] \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{x/y}^\delta b_t[y; \bar{y}] = -\nabla^2 U[y; \bar{y}] \end{aligned}$$

In this context, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{C}_t(z, \bar{z}) &:= \begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 U[x; \bar{x}] & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla^2 U[y; \bar{y}] \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \left(1 - \frac{1}{N} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 V[x - y; \bar{x} - \bar{y}] & -\frac{\nabla^2 V[x - y; \bar{x} - \bar{y}] + \nabla^2 V[y - x; \bar{y} - \bar{x}]}{2} \\ -\frac{\nabla^2 V[x - y; \bar{x} - \bar{y}] + \nabla^2 V[y - x; \bar{y} - \bar{x}]}{2} & \nabla^2 V[y - x; \bar{y} - \bar{x}] \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Also observe that for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ we have the decomposition

$$\mathcal{C}_t^{(1)}(z) := \mathcal{C}_t(z, z) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) C_t(z) + \frac{1}{N} C_t^{(1)}(z)$$

with the matrices

$$C_t^{(1)}(z) := \frac{1}{2} \left[B_t^{(1)}(z) + B_t^{(1)}(z)' \right] + D_t^{(1)}(z)$$

In the above display $C_t(z)$ stands for the matrix defined in (2.9), $B_t^{(1)}(z)$ and $D_t^{(1)}(z)$ stand for the matrices defined for any $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} B_t^{(1)}(x, y) &:= \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{x/y} b_t(x, x) & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla_{x/y} b_t(y, y) \end{bmatrix} \\ D_t^{(1)}(x, y) &:= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{x/y} \sigma_{t,k}(x, x)' & \nabla_{x/y} \sigma_{t,k}(x, x) & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla_{x/y} \sigma_{t,k}(y, y)' & \nabla_{x/y} \sigma_{t,k}(y, y) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following regularity condition:

$(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}(1)})$: There exists some $\lambda_{\mathcal{C}(1)} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ and $t \geq 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{C}_t^{(1)}(x, y) \leq -\lambda_{\mathcal{C}(1)} I \quad (4.11)$$

Assume that $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}(1)})$ is met. Using the fact that $\mathbb{E}(\Sigma')\mathbb{E}(\Sigma) \leq \mathbb{E}(\Sigma'\Sigma)$, for any random matrix Σ , we check that

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}) \quad \text{are met} \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_{\mathcal{C}} = \lambda_{\mathcal{C}(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{\mathcal{C}} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right)^{-1} \lambda_{\mathcal{C}(1)} \quad (4.12)$$

Several uniform estimates can be derived combining (4.8) with the moments estimates (2.17). For instance, suppose we are given a time homogeneous model $(b_t, \sigma_t) = (b, \sigma)$, for some functions (b, σ) with uniformly bounded first order derivatives. Also assume $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}(1)})$ is met for some $\lambda_{\mathcal{C}(1)} > 0$. In this context, the moments estimates (2.17) ensure that

$$\alpha_t(\mu) \vee \beta_t(\mu) \leq c(\mu)$$

for some constant $c(\mu)$ whose values only depends on the measure μ . Choosing $\epsilon = \lambda_{\mathcal{C}}/2$ in (4.8) we readily check that

$$\mathbb{E}(\|\xi_t^1 - \zeta_t^1\|^2) \leq \frac{c(\mu)}{N} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\mathcal{C}}} \left(2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_{\mathcal{C}}}\right)$$

4.3 Propagation of chaos in manifolds

Our aim is to state an analogous of Theorem 4.2 in a Riemannian manifold (M, g) . We will take the notations of Section 3.2. Let us denote by ρ the Riemannian distance in M . Now $\zeta_0 = (\zeta_0^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ are independent copies of a random variable with distribution μ on M . For $1 \leq i \leq N$ the diffusions $\zeta_{s,t}^i(x)$ satisfy the Itô SDE

$$d^\nabla \zeta_{s,t}^i(x) = b_t(\phi_{s,t}(\mu), \zeta_{s,t}^i(x)) dt + \sigma(\zeta_{s,t}^i(x)) dW_t^i, \quad (4.13)$$

with $\sigma(y) : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow T_y M$ linear, $\sigma\sigma^* = g^*$, and $(W_t^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ independent \mathbb{R}^m -valued Brownian motions independent of ζ_0 . Denote $\mu_t := \phi_{0,t}(\mu)$, $\zeta_t := \zeta_{0,t}(\zeta_0)$. The diffusions ζ_t^i are independent

and identically distributed, with law μ_t at time t . Define an approximation of ζ_t with the Markov process $\xi_t = (\xi_t^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ satisfying $\xi_0 = \zeta_0$ and for all i ,

$$d^\nabla \xi_t^i = b_t(m(\xi_t), \xi_t^i) dt + //_{\zeta_t^i, \xi_t^i}(\sigma(\zeta_t^i) dW_t^i) \quad (4.14)$$

where for $x, y \in M$, $//_{x,y}$ denotes parallel translation along the minimal geodesic from x to y . It is well-known that such an equation has a solution, which realizes the coupling by parallel translation of martingale parts of ζ_t^i and ξ_t^i (see e.g. [2] or [43]). The only difficulty is when ξ_t^i is in the cutlocus of ζ_t^i , but this difficulty can be overcome by constructing approximations of the solutions which are decoupled in an ϵ -neighbourhood of the cutlocus, and by letting then ϵ tend to 0. However the solution obtained is not strong. Anyway, since $//_{\zeta_t^i, \xi_t^i}$ is an isometry and the W_t^i are independent, the process ξ_t is a Brownian motion in M^N with drift $(b_t(m(\xi_t), \xi_t^i))_{1 \leq i \leq N}$, so it is a diffusion process. Moreover independent \mathbb{R}^m valued Brownian motions w_t^i can be found such that

$$d^\nabla \xi_t^i = b_t(m(\xi_t), \xi_t^i) dt + \sigma(\xi_t^i) dw_t^i, \quad (4.15)$$

they satisfy

$$dw_t^i = \sigma^*(\xi_t^i)(\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}(\xi_t^i) //_{\zeta_t^i, \xi_t^i}(\sigma(\zeta_t^i) dW_t^i) + dm_t^i$$

for some ‘‘complementary’’ martingale m_t^i .

The important fact about this construction is that the distance $\rho^2(\zeta_t^i, \xi_t^i)$ has finite variation. More precisely, letting for $x, y \in M$ with y not belonging to the cutlocus of x $s \mapsto \gamma(x, y)(s)$ the geodesic from x to y in time 1 and $\vec{x}\vec{y} = \dot{\gamma}(x, y)(0)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\rho^2(\zeta_t^1, \xi_t^1) &= 2\langle \dot{\gamma}(\zeta_t^1, \xi_t^1)(1), b_t(m(\xi_t), \xi_t^1) \rangle dt - 2\langle \dot{\gamma}(\zeta_t^1, \xi_t^1)(0), b_t(\mu_t, \zeta_t^1) \rangle dt \\ &\quad + 2\rho(\zeta_t^1, \xi_t^1) \frac{1}{2} I(\zeta_t^1, \xi_t^1) dt - dL_t \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

In the above display L_t stands for a nondecreasing process which increases only when ξ_t^1 is in the cutlocus of ζ_t^1 , and I is the index map defined for $x, y \in M$, and $y \notin \text{Cut}(x)$, by

$$I(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \int_0^{\rho(x,y)} \left(\|\nabla_{\dot{\varphi}(s)} J_i(s)\|^2 - \langle R(\dot{\varphi}(s), J_i(s)) J_i(s), \dot{\varphi}(s) \rangle \right) ds \quad (4.17)$$

where φ is a unit speed geodesic from x to y started at time 0, $(J_i(0))_{1 \leq i \leq d-1}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\dot{\varphi}(0)^\perp$, $J_i(\rho(x, y)) = //_{x,y} J_i(0)$ and $s \mapsto J(s)$ is a Jacobi field along $s \mapsto \varphi(s)$ (see e.g. [2]). It is well known that when $\text{Ric}_M \geq \kappa$ then $I(x, y) \leq I(\rho(x, y), \kappa)$ where $I(\rho(x, y), \kappa)$ is the same quantity computed in a constant curvature manifold, for two points at the same distance. Moreover we have the explicit values

$$I(\rho, \kappa) = \begin{cases} -2\sqrt{(d-1)\kappa} \tan\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{d-1}}\right) & \text{if } \kappa > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \kappa = 0 \\ 2\sqrt{(d-1)(-\kappa)} \tanh\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\sqrt{\frac{-\kappa}{d-1}}\right) & \text{if } \kappa < 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.18)$$

In any case, $I(\rho, \kappa) \leq -\kappa\rho$, so we obtain as a general result that when $\text{Ric}_M \geq \kappa$

$$I(x, y) \leq -\kappa\rho(x, y). \quad (4.19)$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\rho^2(\zeta_t^1, \xi_t^1) &\leq 2\langle \dot{\gamma}(\zeta_t^1, \xi_t^1)(1), b_t(m(\xi_t), \xi_t^1) \rangle dt \\ &\quad - 2\langle \dot{\gamma}(\zeta_t^1, \xi_t^1)(0), b_t(\mu_t, \zeta_t^1) \rangle dt - \kappa \rho(\zeta_t^1, \xi_t^1)^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Define similarly to the previous section for a Riemannian manifold M and a map $G : M \times M \rightarrow TM$ such that $G(z, \bar{z}) \in T_{\bar{z}}M$:

$$G[z; \bar{z}] := \int_0^1 //_{z, \gamma(z, \bar{z})(\epsilon)}^{-1} G(\gamma(z, \bar{z})(\epsilon)) d\epsilon \in T_z M. \quad (4.21)$$

Also define for $z = (x, y)$, $\bar{z} = (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ elements of $M \times M$

$$\mathcal{B}_t^{(0)}(z, \bar{z}) := \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_y b_t[(y, x); (\bar{y}, \bar{x})] & \nabla_x b_t[(y, x); (\bar{y}, \bar{x})] \\ \nabla_x b_t[(x, y); (\bar{x}, \bar{y})] & \nabla_y b_t[(x, y); (\bar{x}, \bar{y})] \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.22)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_t^{(1)}(z, \bar{z}) := \begin{bmatrix} \nabla(b_t \circ \delta)[x; \bar{x}] & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla(b_t \circ \delta)[y; \bar{y}] \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.23)$$

where $\delta : M \rightarrow M \times M$, $x \mapsto (x, x)$, and set

$$\mathcal{B}_t(z, \bar{z}) := \frac{1}{N} \mathcal{B}_t^{(1)}(z, \bar{z}) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) \mathcal{B}_t^{(0)}(z, \bar{z}) \quad (4.24)$$

Consider the following regularity condition:

(\mathcal{H}_C^g) : There exists some $\lambda_C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $z, \bar{z} \in M \times M$ and $t \geq 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{C}_t(z, \bar{z}) := \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{B}_t(z, \bar{z}) + \mathcal{B}_t(z, \bar{z})'] \leq -\lambda_C g_{M \times M}(z) \quad (4.25)$$

Theorem 4.3. Assume that the Ricci curvatures of M are bounded below by $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ and that the condition (\mathcal{H}_C^g) is satisfied. Then

$$\mathbb{E} [\rho^2(\zeta_t^1, \xi_t^1)]^{1/2} \leq \frac{2}{2\lambda_C + \kappa} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(2\lambda_C + \kappa)t}{2}}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\beta_t(\mu)}{N}} \quad (4.26)$$

with the parameter $\beta_t(\mu)$ defined as in Theorem 4.2.

Remark: The result of Theorem 3.3 extends to the case when $\sigma = \sigma_t$ and $g = g_t$ depend on time, if we replace the bound below of the Ricci curvatures by the assumption that $\text{Ric}_M - \dot{g} \geq \kappa g$.

Proof. The proof is completely similar to the one of Theorem 4.2, thus it is only sketched. Letting $S_t := \mathbb{E} [\rho^2(\zeta_t^1, \xi_t^1)]$ we arrive at

$$\partial_t S_t \leq (2I_t + 2J_t - \kappa S_t) dt \quad (4.27)$$

where

$$I_t := \mathbb{E} [\langle \dot{\gamma}(\zeta_t^1, \xi_t^1)(1), b_t(m(\xi_t), \xi_t^1) \rangle - \langle \dot{\gamma}(\zeta_t^1, \xi_t^1)(0), b_t(m(\zeta_t), \zeta_t^1) \rangle dt] \quad (4.28)$$

and

$$J_t := \mathbb{E} [\langle \dot{\gamma}(\zeta_t^1, \xi_t^1)(0), b_t(m(\zeta_t), \zeta_t^1) \rangle - \langle \dot{\gamma}(\zeta_t^1, \xi_t^1)(0), b_t(\mu_t, \zeta_t^1) \rangle dt] \quad (4.29)$$

which leads to

$$\partial_t S_t \leq -(2\lambda_C + \kappa) S_t + \frac{2}{\sqrt{N}} \sqrt{S_t} \sqrt{\beta_t(\mu)} \quad (4.30)$$

so letting $s_t = \sqrt{S_t}$ we get

$$\partial_t s_t \leq -\frac{2\lambda_C + \kappa}{2} s_t + \sqrt{\frac{\beta_t(\mu)}{N}} \quad (4.31)$$

This ends the proof of (4.26). \blacksquare

Let us investigate condition (4.25) for the Langevin diffusion with drift (3.17), namely

$$b_t(x, y) = -\nabla U(y) - \nabla(F \circ \rho_x)(y) \quad (4.32)$$

We need the additional assumption $F'(0) = 0$. In this situation, the computation of I_t in (4.28) yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_t = & -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\nabla^2 U^{\oplus 2} \left[(\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^2); (\xi_t^1, \xi_t^2) \right] \left(\overrightarrow{\zeta_t^1 \xi_t^1}, \overrightarrow{\zeta_t^2 \xi_t^2} \right) \left(\overrightarrow{\zeta_t^1 \xi_t^1}, \overrightarrow{\zeta_t^2 \xi_t^2} \right) \right] \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N} \right) \mathbb{E} \left[\nabla^2 (F \circ \rho) \left[(\zeta_t^1, \zeta_t^2); (\xi_t^1, \xi_t^2) \right] \left(\overrightarrow{\zeta_t^1 \xi_t^1}, \overrightarrow{\zeta_t^2 \xi_t^2} \right) \left(\overrightarrow{\zeta_t^1 \xi_t^1}, \overrightarrow{\zeta_t^2 \xi_t^2} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

where we denoted $\overrightarrow{\zeta_t^1 \xi_t^1} = \dot{\gamma}(\zeta_t^1, \xi_t^1)(0)$, leading to the condition (\mathcal{H}_C^g) : for all $z, \bar{z} \in M \times M$,

$$\nabla^2 U^{\oplus 2}[z; \bar{z}] + \left(1 - \frac{1}{N} \right) \nabla^2 (F \circ \rho)[z; \bar{z}] \geq \lambda_C g_{M \times M}(z). \quad (4.34)$$

This condition is met for instance when for all $z \in M \times M$,

$$\nabla^2 U^{\oplus 2}(z) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{N} \right) \nabla^2 (F \circ \rho)(z) \geq \lambda_C g_{M \times M}(z). \quad (4.35)$$

Appendix

Proof of (2.2) and (2.3)

After some calculations we check that

$$d \left[\nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x) \nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x)' \right] = \nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x) \mathcal{A}_t(\phi_{s,t}(\mu), X_{s,t}^\mu(x)) \nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x)' dt + dM_{s,t}^\mu(x) \quad (4.36)$$

with the matrix valued martingale

$$dM_{s,t}^\mu(x) := \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x) \left[\nabla_y \sigma_{t,k}(\phi_{s,t}(\mu), X_{s,t}^\mu(x)) + \nabla_y \sigma_{t,k}(\phi_{s,t}(\mu), X_{s,t}^\mu(x))' \right] \nabla X_{s,t}^\mu(x)' dW_t^k$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_t(\mu, y) := \nabla_y b_t(\mu, y) + \nabla_y b_t(\mu, y)' + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \nabla_y \sigma_{t,k}(\mu, y) \nabla_y \sigma_{t,k}(\mu, y)' \leq \int \mu(dx) A_t(x, y)$$

In the above display, we have used the fact that $\mathbb{E}(\Sigma')\mathbb{E}(\Sigma) \leq \mathbb{E}(\Sigma'\Sigma)$, for any random matrix Σ . The end of the proof of (2.2) and (2.3) is now clear. \blacksquare

Proof of (3.1)

For any time mesh $t_k \leq t_{k+1}$ with $s_0 = s$ and $s_n = t$ with $h := \max |s_k - s_{k-1}|$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{s_{k-1}, t}^{\phi_{s, s_{k-1}}(\mu)} = \mathbb{P}_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^{\phi_{s, s_{k-1}}(\mu)} \mathbb{P}_{s_k, t}^{\phi_{s_{k-1}, s_k}(\phi_{s, s_{k-1}}(\mu))} = \mathbb{P}_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^{\phi_{s, s_{k-1}}(\mu)} \mathbb{P}_{s_k, t}^{\phi_{s, s_k}(\mu)}$$

Also observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^{\phi_{s, s_{k-1}}(\mu)}(x) &:= X_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^{\phi_{s, s_{k-1}}(\mu)}(x) - x \\ &= \int_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} b_u \left(\phi_{s_{k-1}, u}(\phi_{s, s_{k-1}}(\mu)), X_{s_{k-1}, u}^{\phi_{s, s_{k-1}}(\mu)}(x) \right) du \\ &\quad + \int_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} \sigma_u \left(\phi_{s_{k-1}, u}(\phi_{s, s_{k-1}}(\mu)), X_{s_{k-1}, u}^{\phi_{s, s_{k-1}}(\mu)}(x) \right) dW_u \\ &= Y_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^{\phi_{s, s_{k-1}}(\mu)}(x) + Z_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^{\phi_{s, s_{k-1}}(\mu)}(x) \end{aligned}$$

with the random fields

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^\mu(x) &:= b_{s_k}(\mu, x) (s_k - s_{k-1}) + \sigma_{s_k}(\mu, x) (W_{s_k} - W_{s_{k-1}}) \\ Z_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^\mu(x) &:= \int_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} \left[b_u(\phi_{s_{k-1}, u}(\mu), X_{s_{k-1}, u}^\mu(x)) - b_{s_k}(\mu, x) \right] du \\ &\quad + \int_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} \left[\sigma_u(\phi_{s_{k-1}, u}(\mu), X_{s_{k-1}, u}^\mu(x)) - \sigma_{s_k}(\mu, x) \right] dW_u \end{aligned}$$

Using elementary manipulations, for any $0 \leq h \leq 1$ we check that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\|X_{s, s+h}^\mu(x) - x\|^n \right)^{1/n} \leq c_n h \left[\|x\| + \mu(\|e\|^2)^{1/2} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{W}_2(\phi_{s, s+h}(\mu), \mu) \leq c h \mu(\|e\|^2)^{1/2}$$

for some finite constants c and c_n . Recalling that $(t, x, y) \mapsto b_t(x, y)$ and $(t, x, y) \mapsto \sigma_t(x, y)$ are Lipschitz functions we check that the almost sure convergence

$$Y_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^\mu(x) \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0 \quad Z_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^\mu(x) \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^\mu(x) \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0$$

Using the Taylor expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{s, t}^\mu(f)(x+y) &= \mathbb{P}_{s, t}^\mu(f)(x) + \text{Tr} \left[\nabla \mathbb{P}_{s, t}^\mu(f)(x) y' \right] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s, t}^\mu(f)(x) y y' \right] \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 (1-\epsilon) \text{Tr} \left[\left(\nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s, t}^\mu(f)(x + \epsilon y) - \nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s, t}^\mu(f)(x) \right) y y' \right] d\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

we check that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}_{s_k, t}^\mu(f) \left(x + \Delta_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^\mu(x) \right) - \mathbb{P}_{s_k, t}^\mu(f)(x) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left[\nabla \mathbb{P}_{s_k, t}^\mu(f)(x) \Delta_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^\mu(x)' \right] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s_k, t}^\mu(f)(x) \Delta_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^\mu(x) \Delta_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^\mu(x)' \right] \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 (1-\epsilon) \text{Tr} \left[\left(\nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s_k, t}^\mu(f)(x + \epsilon \Delta_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^\mu(x)) - \nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s_k, t}^\mu(f)(x) \right) \Delta_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^\mu(x) \Delta_{s_{k-1}, s_k}^\mu(x)' \right] d\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging the terms we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)\left(x + \Delta_{s_{k-1},s_k}^\mu(x)\right) - \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left[\nabla \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) Y_{s_{k-1},s_k}^\mu(x)' \right] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) Y_{s_{k-1},s_k}^\mu(x) Y_{s_{k-1},s_k}^\mu(x)' \right] + R_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) \end{aligned}$$

with the remainder term

$$\begin{aligned} R_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) &:= \text{Tr} \left[\nabla \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) Z_{s_{k-1},s_k}^\mu(x)' \right] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) Z_{s_{k-1},s_k}^\mu(x) Z_{s_{k-1},s_k}^\mu(x)' \right] \\ &+ \int_0^1 (1-\epsilon) \text{Tr} \left[\left(\nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x + \epsilon \Delta_{s_{k-1},s_k}^\mu(x)) - \nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) \right) \Delta_{s_{k-1},s_k}^\mu(x) \Delta_{s_{k-1},s_k}^\mu(x)' \right] d\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

This yields the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)\left(x + \Delta_{s_{k-1},s_k}^\mu(x)\right) - \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) \\ &= \left\{ \text{Tr} \left[\nabla \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) b_{s_k}(\mu, x)' \right] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) \sigma_{s_k}(\mu, x) \sigma_{s_k}(\mu, x)' \right] \right\} (s_k - s_{k-1}) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr} \left[\nabla \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) (W_{s_k} - W_{s_{k-1}})' \sigma_{s_k}(\mu, x)' \right] + \bar{R}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) \end{aligned}$$

with the remainder term

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) &:= R_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) b_{s_k}(\mu, x) (W_{s_k} - W_{s_{k-1}})' \sigma_{s_k}(\mu, x)' \right] (s_k - s_{k-1}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) \sigma_{s_k}(\mu, x) (W_{s_k} - W_{s_{k-1}}) b_{s_k}(\mu, x)' \right] (s_k - s_{k-1}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) b_{s_k}(\mu, x) b_{s_k}(\mu, x)' \right] (s_k - s_{k-1})^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^\mu(f)(x) \sigma_{s_k}(\mu, x) [(W_{s_k} - W_{s_{k-1}})(W_{s_k} - W_{s_{k-1}})' - (s_k - s_{k-1}) I] \sigma_{s_k}(\mu, x)' \right] \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{s,t}^\mu(f)(x) - f(x) &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left[\mathbb{P}_{s_{k-1},t}^{\phi_{s,s_{k-1}}(\mu)}(f)(x) - \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^{\phi_{s,s_k}(\mu)}(f)(x) \right] \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left[\mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^{\phi_{s,s_k}(\mu)}(f)\left(x + \Delta_{s_{k-1},s_k}^{\phi_{s,s_{k-1}}(\mu)}(x)\right) - \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^{\phi_{s,s_k}(\mu)}(f)(x) \right] \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{s,t}^\mu(f)(x) - f(x) &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\{ \text{Tr} \left[\nabla \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^{\phi_{s,s_k}(\mu)}(f)(x) b_{s_k}(\phi_{s,s_k}(\mu), x)' \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^{\phi_{s,s_k}(\mu)}(f)(x) \sigma_{s_k}(\phi_{s,s_k}(\mu), x) \sigma_{s_k}(\phi_{s,s_k}(\mu), x)' \right] \right\} (s_k - s_{k-1}) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr} \left[\nabla \mathbb{P}_{s_k,t}^{\phi_{s,s_k}(\mu)}(f)(x) (W_{s_k} - W_{s_{k-1}})' \sigma_{s_k}(\phi_{s,s_k}(\mu), x)' \right] + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \bar{R}_{s_k,t}^{\phi_{s,s_k}(\mu)}(f)(x) \end{aligned}$$

We end the proof of (3.1) by letting the time step $h \rightarrow 0$. ■

Proof of theorem 4.1

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{z_i^l} \left[\mathcal{H}_1^{j,k} \circ \mathcal{H}_2 \right] (z) &= \sum_{1 \leq m \leq d} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left(\partial_{z_n^m} \mathcal{H}_1^{j,k} \right) (\mathcal{H}_2(z)) \partial_{z_i^l} \mathcal{H}_2^{n,m}(z) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq m \leq d} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} [\nabla_{z_i} \mathcal{H}_2^n]_{l,m}(z) \left[\nabla_{z_n} \mathcal{H}_1^j \right]_{m,k} (\mathcal{H}_2(z)) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$[\nabla (\mathcal{H}_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_2) (z)]_{i,j} = \nabla_{z_i} \left[\mathcal{H}_1^j (\mathcal{H}_2(z)) \right] = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} [\nabla \mathcal{H}_2(z)]_{i,n} [(\nabla \mathcal{H}_1) (\mathcal{H}_2(z))]_{n,j}$$

This ends the proof of (4.1). The proof of (4.4) and (4.5) come from the formula

$$d \nabla \xi_{s,t}(z) \nabla \xi_{s,t}(z)' = \nabla \xi_{s,t}(z) \mathcal{A}_t (\xi_{s,t}(z)) \nabla \xi_{s,t}(z)' dt + d\mathcal{M}_t(z)$$

with the martingale

$$\mathcal{M}_t(z)_{i,k} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq d} \mathcal{M}_t^{j,\alpha}(z)_{i,k}$$

defined in terms of the diffusion processes

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{M}_t^{j,\alpha}(z)_{i,k} &:= [\nabla \xi_{s,t}(z) \nabla \mathcal{G}_{t,\alpha} (\xi_{s,t}(z))]_{i,j} dW_t^{j,\alpha} \nabla \xi_{s,t}(z)'_{j,k} \\ &\quad + \nabla \xi_{s,t}(z)_{i,j} dW_t^{j,\alpha} ([\nabla \xi_{s,t}(z)] [\nabla \mathcal{G}_{t,\alpha} (\xi_{s,t}(z))]']_{j,k} \end{aligned}$$

The end of the proof of (4.4) and (4.5) follows the same lines of arguments as the proof of (2.2) and (2.3), thus it is skipped. This ends the proof of the theorem. ■

References

- [1] M. Arnaudon, A. Coulibaly, A. Thalmaier, Horizontal diffusions in C^1 path space. Séminaire de Probabilités XLIII, 73–94, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2006, Springer (2010)
- [2] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier and F.Y. Wang, Harnack inequality and heat kernel estimates on manifolds with curvature unbounded below, Bull. Sci. Math. 130 (2006) 223–233
- [3] D. Bakry, M. Emery. Diffusions hypercontractives. In Séminaire de probabilités, XIX, 1983/84, pp. 177–206. Lect. Notes in Math. 1123, Springer (1985).
- [4] S. Benachour, B. Roynette, D. Talay, P. Vallois. Nonlinear self-stabilizing processes, part I. Existence, invariant probability, propagation of chaos. Stochastic processes and their applications, vol. 75, no. 2, pp. 173–201 (1998).

- [5] S. Benachour, B. Roynette, P. Vallois. Nonlinear self-stabilizing processes, part II: Convergence to invariant probability. *Stochastic processes and their applications*, vol. 75, no.2, pp. 203–224 (1998).
- [6] D. Benedetto, E. Caglioti, M. Pulvirenti. A kinetic equation for granular media. *RAIRO Modél. Math. Anal. Numér.* vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 615–641 (1997).
- [7] D. Benedetto, E. Caglioti, E., Carrillo, M. Pulvirenti. A non-Maxwellian steady distribution for one-dimensional granular media. *J. Statist. Phys.* vol. 91, pp. 979–990 (1998).
- [8] F. Bolley, I. Gentil, A. Guillin. Uniform convergence to equilibrium for granular media. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 208, no. 2, pp. 429–445 (2013).
- [9] V. Calvez, Vincent, J. Carrillo. Refined asymptotics for the subcritical Keller-Segel system and related functional inequalities. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 140, no. 10, pp. 3515–3530 (2012).
- [10] J. A. Carrillo, R. J. McCann, and C. Villani. Contractions in the 2-Wasserstein length space and thermalization of granular media. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, vol. 179, pp. 217–263, (2006).
- [11] J. A. Carrillo and G. Toscani. Wasserstein metric and large-time asymptotics of nonlinear diffusion equations. In *New trends in math. physics*. World Sci., Singapore (2005).
- [12] P. Cattiaux, A. Guillin, and F. Malrieu. Probabilistic approach for granular media equations in the non uniformly convex case. *Prob. Theor. Rel. Fields*, vol. 140, no. 1-2, pp. 19–40 (2008).
- [13] A.P. Carverhill and K.D. Elworthy, *Flows of Stochastic Dynamical Systems: The Functional Analytic Approach*. *Z. Wahrs* vol. 65, pp. 245-267 (1983).
- [14] W. A. Coppel. *Stability and asymptotic behavior of differential equations*. D. C. Heath and Co., Boston, Mass. (1965).
- [15] D. Cordero-Erausquin, W. Gangbo, and C. Houdré. Inequalities for generalized entropy and optimal transportation. In *Recent Advances in the Theory and Applications of Mass Transport*, *Contemp. Math.* 353. A. M. S., Providence (2004).
- [16] G. Da Prato, J.L. Menaldi, L. Tubaro. Some results of backward Ito formula. *Stochastic analysis and applications*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 679–703 (2007).
- [17] M.H. Duong, J. Tugaut. The Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation in non-convex landscapes: convergence to equilibrium. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, vol. 23 (2018).
- [18] C. Graham. McKean-Vlasov, Ito-Skorohod equations and nonlinear diffusions with discrete jumps. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*. vol. 40, pp. 69-82 (1992).
- [19] S. Herrmann, J. Tugaut. Non-uniqueness of stationary measures for self-stabilizing processes. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, vol.120, no. 7, pp. 1215–1246 (2010).
- [20] S. Herrmann, J. Tugaut. Stationary measures for self-stabilizing processes: asymptotic analysis in the small noise limit. *Electron. J. Probab.*, vol. 15, pp. 2087–2116 (2010).
- [21] S. Herrmann, J. Tugaut. Self-stabilizing processes: uniqueness problem for stationary measures and convergence rate in the small-noise limit. *ESAIM: Probability and Statistics*, vol. 16, pp. 277–305 (2012).

- [22] X. Huang, M. Röckner, F.Y. Wang. . Nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations for probability measures on path space and path-distribution dependent SDEs. arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.00556 (2017).
- [23] H.K. Khalil, Nonlinear Systems. Prentice Hall (2002).
- [24] A.M. Lyapunov, The general problem of the stability of motion, CRC Press (1992).
- [25] F. Malrieu. Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for some nonlinear PDE's. Stochastic Process. Appl. vol. 95, no. 1, 109–132 (2001).
- [26] F. Malrieu. Convergence to equilibrium for granular media equations and their Euler schemes. Ann. Appl. Probab., vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 540–560 (2003).
- [27] R.H Martin Jr. Bounds for solutions of a class of nonlinear differential equations. Journal of Differential Equations, vol. 8, no. 3, pp.416 –430 (1970).
- [28] H. P. McKean. A class of Markov processes associated with nonlinear parabolic equations. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., vol. 56, pp. 1907–1911 (1966).
- [29] H. P. McKean. Propagation of chaos for a class of non-linear parabolic equations. In Stochastic Differential Equations, Lecture Series in Differential Equations, Session 7, Catholic Univ. (1967), pp. 41–57. Air Force Office Sci. Res., Arlington, Va., (1967).
- [30] J.R. Norris. Simplified Malliavin calculus. Séminaire de Probabilités, vol. 20, pp. 101-130 (1986).
- [31] F. Otto. The geometry of dissipative evolution equations: the porous medium equation. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, vol. 26, pp. 101–174 (2001).
- [32] F. Otto, V. Villani. Generalization of an inequality by Talagrand, and links with the logarithmic Sobolev inequality. J. Funct. Anal. vol. 173, pp. 361–400 (2000).
- [33] Y. Tamura. On asymptotic behaviors of the solution of a nonlinear diffusion equation. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math., vol.31, no. 1, pp. 195–221 (1984).
- [34] Y. Tamura. Free energy and the convergence of distributions of diffusion processes of McKean type. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math., vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 443–484, (1987).
- [35] G. Toscani. One-dimensional kinetic models of granular flows. RAIRO Modél. Math. Anal. Numér. no. 34, no. 6, pp. 1277–1291 (2000).
- [36] J. Tugaut. Convergence to the equilibria for self-stabilizing processes in double well landscape. Annals of Probability, vol. 41, no. 3A, pp. 1427–1460 (2013).
- [37] J. Tugaut. Self-stabilizing processes in multi-wells landscape in \mathbb{R}^d - Invariant probabilities. J Theor Probab. vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 27–57 (2014).
- [38] J. Tugaut. Convergence in Wasserstein distance for self-stabilizing diffusion evolving in a double-well landscape. Comptes Rendus Mathématiques, vol. 356, no. 6, pp. 657–660 (2018).
- [39] J. Tugaut. Exit problem of McKean-Vlasov diffusions in convex landscapes. Electronic Journal of Probability, vol. 17, no. 76 , pp. 1-26 (2012).

- [40] J. Tugaut. A simple proof of a Kramers' type law for self-stabilizing diffusions. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, vol. 21 (2016).
- [41] J. Tugaut. McKean-Vlasov diffusions: from the asynchronization to the synchronization. *CR Math. Acad. Sci*, vol. 349, no. 17-18, pp. 983-986 (2011).
- [42] C. Villani. A survey of mathematical topics in the collisional kinetic theory of gases. *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics*, vol. 1, no 71–305, p. 3–8 (2002).
- [43] Feng-Yu Wang, *Analysis for diffusion processes on Riemannian manifolds*, *Advanced Series on Statistical Science and Applied Probability*, Vol. 18, World Scientific (2014).