

Michael H. R. Beh, Carlotta Figliola, Kate-Lyn A. R. Lund, Aleksandra K. Kajetanowicz, Ann E. Johnsen, Elise M. Aronitz, Alison Thompson

▶ To cite this version:

Michael H. R. Beh, Carlotta Figliola, Kate-Lyn A. R. Lund, Aleksandra K. Kajetanowicz, Ann E. Johnsen, et al.. Regioselective substituent effects upon the synthesis of dipyrrins from 2-formyl pyrroles. Canadian Journal of Chemistry, 2018, 10.1139/cjc-2017-0662. hal-01950271

HAL Id: hal-01950271

https://hal.science/hal-01950271

Submitted on 14 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Michael H. R. Beh; Carlotta Figliola; Kate-Lyn A. R. Lund; Aleksandra K. Kajetanowicz; Ann
2	E. Johnsen; Elise M. Aronitz; Alison Thompson*
3	Department of Chemistry, Dalhousie University, P.O. Box 15000, Halifax, NS, B3H 4R2, Canada
4	<u>Alison.Thompson@dal.ca</u>
5	
6	This article is part of a Special Issue dedicated to celebrating the 200 th Anniversary of Dalhousie
7	University and to highlight the chemical research being performed by faculty and alumni
8	
9	
10	Abstract
11	The synthesis of symmetric α -free <i>meso</i> -H-dipyrrin hydrobromides from 5-H-2-formyl pyrroles
12	was investigated. The self-condensation produces regioisomeric dipyrrins through adoption of
13	two mechanistic pathways. The key difference between the two pathways lies in which position
14	of the pyrrole directs nucleophilic attack. Through a systematic study involving various
15	substituted and/or isotopically labelled 5-H-2-formyl pyrroles, we herein provide evidence to
16	suggest that not only do two mechanistic pathways exist, but that the steric bulk of the
17	substituent adjacent to the 5-unsubstituted position influences which pathway dominates.
18	
19	Keywords: Dipyrrins, pyrroles, steric effects, condensation, synthesis
20	
21	

Introduction

Although historically used as a building block for porphyrins, ¹ in recent years the dipyrrinato unit²⁻⁶ (Figure 1) has come to be appreciated as a useful chromophore by which to invoke desirable features such as energy transfer and storage. ^{7,8} There are numerous reports describing the use of dipyrrinato complexes in applications as diverse as biological stains/probes, light harvesters and anticancer agents. ⁹ Of the dipyrrinato complexes, boron difluoride complexes are the most thoroughly studied due to their high thermal and photochemical stability, chemical robustness, high fluorescence quantum yields and tuneable fluorescence properties. These complexes are formally known as 4,4'-diflouro-4-bora-diaza-s-indacenes and are commonly referred to as *F*-BODIPYs (Figure 1). Beyond the established utility of *F*-BODIPYs, ^{11–14} the luminescence properties of these complexes, and those of other metals, ¹⁰⁻¹² have fostered the use of this framework in dye-sensitized solar cells and catalysts for hydrogenation and hydroamination. ¹³⁻¹⁶ all pointing towards a promising future for this underdeveloped ligand.

Figure 1 Dipyrrin (left) and *F*-BODIPY (right)

Based on a one-pot approach to transform 2-formyl pyrroles into *F*-BODIPYs,¹⁷ we previously reported the efficient synthesis of symmetric *meso*-H-dipyrrins from 2-formyl pyrroles in the presence of acid (Scheme 1, top).¹⁸ Using methanol as a solvent, and heating 2-formyl pyrroles at 70 °C for 1 hour in the presence of excess aqueous 48% hydrobromic acid, we produced the requisite symmetric dipyrrin hydrobromide salts in moderate-to-high isolated yields (60-90%). Substituents such as alkyl, keto, alkanoate and conjugated esters were well tolerated. As well as being convenient and efficient, this strategy complements existing literature methods

- by enabling the high-yielding synthesis of symmetric dipyrrins from pyrroles that bear electron
- 45 withdrawing functional groups.

This work

Scheme 1 *meso-*H-dipyrrin formation from 2-formyl pyrroles

When subjected to these reaction conditions, a 5-H-2-formyl pyrrole (R¹ = H in Scheme 1, top) produced both symmetric and asymmetric regioisomers in a 9:1 ratio, respectively. The reaction mechanism for formation of dipyrrins under these conditions was presumed to proceed analogously to that for a fully substituted 2-formyl pyrrole, whereby the carbonyl carbon atom of one pyrrole undergoes nucleophilic attack by another to generate the requisite dipyrrin (Scheme 2). However, this does not explain the presence of both asymmetric and symmetric products. We suspected that by virtue of the unsubstituted 5-position, attack could originate from either the 2- or 5-position of 5-H-2-formyl pyrroles. Thus, with two nucleophilic sites, two possible regioisomeric dipyrrins could form (Scheme 1, bottom).

Results and Discussion

Curious as to the origin of this regioselectivity, the reaction pathways for formation of α -free dipyrrins from 5-H-2-formyl pyrroles were investigated. Accordingly, several 5-H-2-formyl

- 60 pyrroles bearing alkyl and isotopically labelled substituents at the 3- and 4-positions were
- prepared, and their condensation products are reported herein.

Scheme 2: Suggested pathway for the formation of symmetrical dipyrrins from fully substituted 2-formyl pyrroles

With the hypothesis that nucleophilic attack could occur from both the unsubstituted 5-position and the 2-formyl position of a 5-H-2-formyl pyrrole, we investigated four 5-H-2-formyl pyrroles **1a-d** with variation of methyl and ethyl substituents across R^2 and R^3 . Upon exposure of the pyrroles to the reaction conditions typically used for dipyrrin formation (HBr, MeOH, 70 °C, 1 h), evidence of a steric influence emerged. Indeed, when R^2 = Et (Table 1, entries 1 and 4), dipyrrin formation was successful. On the contrary, when using pyrroles bearing R^2 = Me (entries 2 and 3) dipyrrin formation was not observed, and the reaction instead produced an intractable tar. These results suggest that an ethyl group provides sufficient steric bulk to hinder reaction at the adjacent α -position (5-H), and thus prevent polymerization by instead promoting the desired dipyrrin formation via reaction at the position bearing the formyl group. In contrast, a methyl group at R^2 offers insufficient bulk to hinder reactivity at the adjacent unsubstituted α -position, and thus facilitates polymerization. Furthermore, it appears that increasing steric bulk at

R² has a more pronounced effect than at R³: note that when R² is Me (**1b** and **1c**, entries 2 and 3)
polymeric tars result no matter the nature of R³.

Table 1: *meso-*H-dipyrrin formation from alkyl substituted 5-H-2-formyl pyrrole 1

Et

Et

^a sym-2 was the major isomer produced

1d

Given that exposure of 1c, and a labelled variant 1c* (see Supporting Information), to our dipyrrin-formation conditions produced a polymeric tar (Table 1, entry 3), we evaluated whether thermal (not acid-catalyzed) deformylation, and/or condensation, of 1c occurred at the reaction temperature (70 °C). However, despite heating a solution of 1c in MeOH at 70 °C for prolonged periods of time, neither deformylation nor condensation occurred. This indicated that protonation of 2-formyl pyrroles is necessary for reaction to occur. Cognizant of this fact, the reaction conditions were modified to enable isolation of dipyrrin rather than allow subsequent reaction to lead to polymerization. Exposure of a solution of 1c to HBr at 0 °C resulted in isolation of the new acetal-containing dipyrrin 3 (Scheme 3, top). As the acetal moiety of 3 is merely a protected formyl group formed under the acidic methanolic reaction conditions, this result suggested that nucleophilicity originated from the 5-position of pyrrole 1c. When the reaction was repeated at 70 °C (vessel immersed into oil bath held at 70 °C) and quenched after just 10 s, the new dipyrrin 4 was isolated with no hint of the formation of 3 (Scheme 3, bottom). The retention of the formyl functionality of 4 provides further evidence for the nucleophilic role of the

unsubstituted 5-position of 1c. The small adjacent substituent ($R^2 = Me$) would provide little steric hindrance to the approach of the electrophile.

Scheme 3 Reaction of pyrrole **1c** at 0 °C and at 70 °C

Isolation of the α -acetal and α -formyl dipyrrins 3 and 4 from the self-condensation of 1c indicated that attack from the unsubstituted 5-position of 5-H-2-formyl pyrroles was not only possible, but was the only observed outcome when $R^2 = R^3 = Me$. We then utilised the labeled 5-H-2-formyl pyrrole 1d*, bearing ethyl substituents at the 3- and 4-positions. As shown in Table 1, 1d reacts to form dipyrrin: evidently the presence of the R^2 ethyl group adjacent to the unsubstituted 5-position results in a significant change to reactivity (compare entries 2 and 3, where $R^2 = Me$, with entry 4). Our goal with the labelled pyrrole 1d* was to ascertain whether nucleophilicity originated at the 2-position (to give the symmetric dipyrrin, sym-2) or the unsubstituted 5-position (to give the asymmetric dipyrrin, asym-2). Incorporation of a deuterium labell within the ethyl substituent would serve to differentiate the otherwise identical dipyrrin products.

The synthetic strategy for the preparation of the labelled 5-H-2-formyl pyrrole 1d* began with Vilsmeier-Haack formylation of pyrrole 5¹⁹ (Scheme 4) with the intention of then effecting

deuterative reduction of the acyl group. Surprisingly, the desired 5-H-2-formyl pyrrole **6** was obtained in only 14% yield. The remainder of the recovered material consisted of the two regioisomeric alkynes **7** and **7'** (Scheme 4). The position of the alkynyl group in the major and minor isomer was assigned using 2-D NMR spectroscopy.

Scheme 4 Formylation of 5

The terminal alkynyl group could potentially be deuterated and subsequently reduced to give the desired D_1 -labelled 5-H-2-formyl pyrrole $1d^*$ in two steps. However, treatment of 7 with nBuLi, 28 followed by quenching with D_2O , resulted only in the degradation of the starting material. Instead, the separated regioisomers 7 and 7' were each reduced using D_2 gas to give $1d^*(D_4)$ and $1d^{**}(D_4)$, alongside the D_3 analogues $1d^*(D_3)$ and $1d^{**}(D_3)$ in a 1:0.6 and 1:0.7 ratio (Scheme 5). This was likely due to traces of HD in the D_2 gas supply as a result of air permeation during the heavy water electrolysis for the generation of D_2 , 21 or the presence of trace water during reaction. 22 The two mixtures were each submitted to the acid-catalyzed condensation reaction, under typical conditions, to afford the desired labelled α -free dipyrrins bearing 6-8 deuterium labels (Scheme 5 shows the D_6 and D_8 variants). We determined the ratio of products using the integration for two 1 H NMR signals of $2d^*$ and $2d^*$, located at $\delta 2.5$ and $\delta 2.7$ (see Supporting Information). The 1 H NMR signals were assigned using 2-D methods, and comparing to the unlabelled analogue 2d. According to NMR spectroscopic analysis (1 H, 13 C, HMBC and HSQC) and based on the ratio of (D_4) : (D_3) for the product outcome corresponding to

reaction of 1d* regioisomers, sym-2d* and asym-2d* were obtained in a 1:1 ratio. Likewise, reaction of 2d'* led to a 1:1 mixture of sym-2d'* and asym-2d*, again with the expected (D₄):(D₃) isomeric ratio.

Scheme 5 Synthesis of the labelled α -free dipyrrin 2d*

The production of both symmetric and asymmetric dipyrrins indicates that the reaction can proceed via two pathways (Scheme 6), with nucleophilicity originating at both the 5-unsubstituted and 2-formyl positions of **1d**. Route 1 (Scheme 6, top) involves nucleophilic attack from the 2-formyl position onto the formyl group of another molecule. Subsequent loss of water and deformylation gives the symmetric dipyrrin. Given the very high yields of dipyrrins obtained when exposing tri-substituted pyrroles to these reaction conditions (R^1 , R^2 , $R^3 \neq H$ in Scheme 1), ¹⁸ this pathway must operate efficiently. However, in the case of 5-unsubstituted pyrroles,

nucleophilicity at the unsubstituted 5-position of 5-H-2-formyl pyrroles becomes competitive (Route 2, Scheme 6). Notwithstanding that the analysis of products resulting from the self-condensation of $1d^*$ or $1d^{**}$ is complicated by the presence of pyrroles containing D_3 and D_4 labels, it is clear that a mixture of both symmetric and asymmetric dipyrrins form from 1d. In the self-condensation of 1c ($R^2 = R^3 = Me$), dipyrrin formation presumably proceeds through Route 2, and the asymmetric product is formed before polymerization begins to dominate. However, when $R^2 = R^3 = Et$, a 1:1 ratio of symmetric and asymmetric products was observed, thereby suggesting that the influence of increased steric bulk decreased the nucleophilicity of the adjacent 5-position such that both routes are equally preferred, and thus an equal mixture of dipyrrin isomers is obtained.

Scheme 6 Proposed mechanistic routes for formation of symmetric and asymmetric dipyrrins from 5-H-2-formyl pyrroles

Hailing back to the reaction of $\mathbf{1a}$ (Table 1, entry 1), which spurred our initial investigation, we believe that our findings help support a rationale for the acquired 9:1 symmetric:asymmetric product ratio. Pyrrole $\mathbf{1a}$ has a methyl group ($\mathbf{R}^3 = \mathbf{Me}$) adjacent to the 2-formyl group and an ethyl group ($\mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{Et}$) adjacent to the unsubstituted 5-position. The ethyl group renders the adjacent 5-position somewhat sterically hindered and decreases the likelihood

of nucleophilic attack from the 5-position (Scheme 6, Route 2), thus limiting asymmetric dipyrrin production. In this way, we would expect nucleophilic attack from the 2-position of 1a to dominate (Scheme 6, Route 1) to thus give the symmetric dipyrrin as the major product. Conclusion In conclusion, the reaction pathway for formation of *meso*-H-dipyrrins from 5-H-2-formyl pyrroles was investigated. We found that nucleophilic attack can originate from the 2-position (bearing the formyl group, Route 1) or from the unsubstituted 5-position (Route 2) of 5-H-2formyl pyrroles, resulting in symmetric or asymmetric regioisomers, respectively. Steric effects imparted by the substituents in the 4-position influence the course of reactivity: the presence of bulkier groups adjacent to the unsubstituted 5-position promotes formation of the symmetric dipyrrin akin to the case when 2,4,5-trisubstituted 2-formyl pyrroles are used. **Experimental Section** All chemicals were purchased and used as received unless otherwise indicated. Moisturesensitive reactions were performed in oven-dried glassware and under a positive pressure of nitrogen. Air- and moisture-sensitive compounds were introduced via syringe or cannula through a rubber septum. Flash chromatography was performed using ultra-pure silica (230-400 mm). NMR spectra were recorded using a 500 MHz spectrometer instrument using CDCl₃ as solvents and are reported in parts per million (ppm) against solvent peaks referenced as follows: CDCl₃ at 7.26 ppm for ¹H and at 77.16 ppm for ¹³C; CD₂Cl₂. at 5.31 ppm for ¹H and at 53.84 ppm for ¹³C. Coupling constants (J) are given in Hertz (Hz). Mass spectra were obtained using TOF and LCQ Duo ion trap instruments operating in ESI^{+/-} mode, as indicated.

Regioselective Substituent Effects upon the Synthesis of Dipyrrins from 2-Formyl Pyrroles

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

General Procedure for the preparation of 2 HBr (48% aqueous solution, 0.5 mL) was added drop-wise to a solution of 2-formyl pyrrole 1 (0.4 mmol) in MeOH (1 mL) and the solution was slowly heated to 70 °C and stirred, monitoring visually and by TLC. If the mixture had not visibly polymerized (black reaction mixture and intractable tar), and all starting material was consumed, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and stored in the freezer for 19 h. If product formed, the precipitate was isolated via filtration to provide dipyrrin 2 as a crystalline solid. 1-Dimethoxymethyl-2,3,7,8-tetramethyl-5-H-4,6-dipyrrin hydrobromide (3) The title compound was synthesized following a modified literature procedure. ¹⁸ Chilled (0 °C) aqueous HBr (48%, 50 µL) was added to a solution of 1c (40.5 mg, 0.329 mmol) in methanol (3.3 mL) at 0 °C. Red precipitate began to form after 5 min of stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h, and the solid was collected via suction filtration, yielding the title compound as a dark red solid (23 mg, 50%). M.p. 196-200 °C (decomp.); 1 H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 13.56 (br s, 1H), 13.23 (br s, 1H), 7.66-7.64 (m, 1H), 7.27 (s, 1H), 6.05 (s, 1H), 3.55 (s, 6H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 2.07 (s, 3H); ${}^{13}C\{{}^{1}H\}$ NMR (126 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 152.6, 144.5, 143.3, 142.1, 128.1, 126.8, 125.7, 124.7, 123.1, 99.9, 56.2, 10.4, 10.3, 10.1, 9.5; HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[M+H]^+$ calculated for $C_{16}H_{23}N_2O_2$ 275.1754; found 275.1751. 1-Formyl-2,3,7,8-tetramethyl-5-H-4,6-dipyrrin hydrobromide (4) The title compound was synthesized following a modified literature procedure. 18 Aqueous HBr (48%, 50 μL) was added to a solution of 1c (37 mg, 0.300 mmol) in methanol (3 mL) at reflux temperature. After 5-10 seconds the mixture turned a dark red, and the reaction vessel was immediately plunged into an ice bath (≤ 0 °C), followed by addition of ether to dilute the mixture and assist in rapid cooling. The resulting ethereal solution was concentrated *in vacuo*, keeping

208 the temperature below 25 °C, until a solid precipitate formed. The precipitate was collected via 209 suction filtration and washed with ether to yield the title compound (14 mg, 20%). M.p. 185-189 210 °C (decomp); ¹H NMR (300 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 14.19 (br s, 1H), 13.64 (br s, 1H), 10.69 (s, 1H), 7.99-7.97 (m, 1H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.15 (s, 3H); ${}^{13}C\{{}^{1}H\}$ 211 212 NMR (126 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 184.2, 149.8, 147.6, 142.4, 141.6, 131.9, 128.9, 127.8, 127.8, 125.2, 213 10.7, 10.3, 10.0, 9.7; HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[M+H]^+$ calculated for $C_{14}H_{17}N_2O_1$ 229.1335; found 214 229.1340. 215 3-Acetyl-4-ethylpyrrole (5) The title compound was synthesized following a modified literature procedure. ²³ A solution of 3-216 217 hexen-2-one (8.8 g, 90 mmol) and TosMIC (17.8 g, 91.2 mmol) in a mixture of anhydrous 218 DMSO/Et₂O (450 mL, 1:2) was slowly added to a well-stirred suspension of sodium hydride (8 219 g, 200 mmol, 60% in oil) in anhydrous ether (180 mL) via cannula transfer. After completion of 220 the addition, the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, then treated with water to 221 quench excess NaH, and thoroughly extracted with ethyl acetate (4 x 350 mL). The combined 222 organic extracts were washed with brine (12 x 300 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and the 223 solvent removed in vacuo to furnish a dark brown oil. The oil was placed in a freezer overnight, 224 producing a greasy solid which was continually extracted with pentane for 26 hours (Soxhlet) to yield the desired product as a light brown solid after removal of the solvent in vacuo (7.9 g. 225 226 63%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 8.44 (br s, 1H), 7.37-7.36 (m, 1H), 6.59-6.57 (m, 1H), 2.80 $(q, J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 2.40 \text{ (s, 3H)}, 1.20 \text{ (t, } J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, 3H), in accordance with literature.}$ 227 4-Acetyl-3-ethyl-2-formylpyrrole (6) 228 229 The title compound and alkynyl by-products were synthesized following a modified literature procedure. 20, 24 POCl₃ (2.04 mL, 21.9 mmol) was added, drop-wise, at 0 °C under N₂ to DMF (16 230

- 231 mL). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, and then stirred for 15 minutes.
- 232 This mixture was added drop-wise to a solution of 3-acetyl-4-ethylpyrrole (5) (2.00 g, 14.6
- 233 mmol) in DCE (49 mL), at 0 °C under N₂. The resulting mixture was heated to 80 °C and stirred
- for an additional 80 minutes. Aqueous NaOH (2 M) was added to the reaction until pH > 8 and
- 235 the resulting emulsion was heated at reflux temperature for 20 minutes. After cooling to room
- temperature, water (50 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture extracted with EtOAc (3 x 50
- 237 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over anhydrous
- Na₂SO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude mixture was purified via column chromatography
- on silica, eluting with an EtOAc/hexanes gradient (20/80, 30/70) to afford the desired product 6
- as a brown solid (0.33 g, 14%), along with the two 4-alkynyl-2-formylpyrroles 7 (0.44, 21%) and
- 241 **7'** (0.22 g, 10%). **6**: M.p. 119-123 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 10.03 (br s, 1H), 9.74 (s,
- 242 1H), 7.61-7.60 (m, 1H), 3.11 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H); 13 C{ 1 H}
- NMR (126 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 193.5, 179.1, 140.4, 130.7, 130.4, 125.0, 28.5, 17.9, 16.7; HRMS-
- ESI (m/z): $[M+Na]^+$ calculated for $C_9H_{11}NO_2Na$ 188.0682; found 188.0680.
- 245 **3-Ethyl-4-ethynyl-2-formylpyrrole (7)**
- 246 M.p. 80 °C (blackened), followed by melting at 119-120 °C; 1 H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 9.64
- 247 (s, 1H), 9.46 (br s, 1H), 7.23-7.22 (m, 1H), 3.10 (s, 1H), 2.85 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.30 (t, J = 7.6
- 248 Hz, 3H); ${}^{13}C\{{}^{1}H\}$ NMR (125 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 177.9, 141.6, 129.6, 128.6, 106.8, 79.6, 76.3, 18.0,
- 249 16; HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[M+Na]^+$ calculated for C₉H₉NONa 170.0576; found 170.0575.
- 250 4-Ethyl-3-ethynyl-2-formylpyrrole (7')
- 251 M.p. 95 °C (blackened), followed by melting at 104-105 °C; 1 H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 9.68
- 252 (s, 1H), 9.28 (br s, 1H), 6.86 (br s, 1H), 3.35 (s, 1H), 2.58 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.23 (t, J = 7.6 Hz,

- 253 3H); ${}^{13}C\{{}^{1}H\}$ NMR (125 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 178.5, 134.2, 132.5, 123.3, 114.7, 83.8, 75.2, 18.8,
- 254 14.5; HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[M+Na]^+$ calculated for C₉H₉NONa 170.0576; found 170.0578.
- 255 Pyrroles 1d*(D₃) and 1d*(D₄)
- A mixture of pyrrole 7 (0.30 g, 2.04 mmol) and Pd (10% on activated carbon, 30 mg, 10% w/w)
- in THF (25 mL) was stirred at room temperature under deuterium atmosphere for 19 hours. The
- reaction mixture was filtered through Celite[®], which was then washed with MeOH (×3). The
- combined washings were concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude mixture was
- purified via column chromatography on SiO₂ (hexanes:EtOAc, 70:30) to give a pale yellow solid
- 261 (0.27 g), containing both 1d*(D₄) and 1d*(D₃) in a 1:0.6 ratio. The following data correspond to
- 262 the deuterated compound **1d*(D₄)**. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 500 MHz) 10.58 (br s, 1H), 9.62 (s, 1H),
- 263 6.85 (d, 1H, J = 3.0 Hz), 2.77 (q, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz), 1.24 (t, 3H, J = 7.6 Hz), 1.17 (br s, 1H).
- Multiplet at 2.43-2.44 ppm is the result of the CHD group of the deuterated compound 1d*(D₃).
- ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 125 MHz) 177.9, 138.0, 129.4, 127.5, 125.2,13.9-14.7 (m, CHD₂), 17.8-16.9
- 266 (m, CD₂), 17.3 (CH₂), 17.2 (CH₃). HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₉H₉D₄NNaO,
- 267 178.1140; found, 178.1139.
- 268 Pyrroles 1d'*(D₃) and 1d'*(D₃)
- A mixture of pyrrole 7' (0.19 g, 1.28 mmol) and Pd (10% on activated carbon, 19 mg, 10% w/w)
- in THF (16 mL) was stirred at room temperature under deuterium atmosphere for 19 hours. The
- reaction mixture was filtered through Celite[®], which was then washed with MeOH (×3). The
- 272 combined washings were concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude mixture was
- purified via column chromatography on SiO₂ (hexanes:EtOAc, 70:30) to give a pale yellow solid
- 274 (0.13 g), containing both 1d'*(D₄) and 1d'*(D₃) in a 1:0.7 ratio. The following data correspond to
- 275 the deuterated compound **1d**'*(**D**₄). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 500 MHz) 9.58 (s, 1H), 9.34 (br s, 1H),

- 276 6.87 (d, 1H, J = 3.0 Hz), 2.46 (q, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz), 1.19 (t, 3H, J = 7.6 Hz), 1.15 (br s, 1H).
- 277 Multiplet at 2.69-2.74 ppm is the result of the CHD group of the deuterated compound *cis*-
- 278 **1d*(D₄)**. ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 125 MHz) 177.7, 137.1, 129.4, 127.7, 124.0, 18.0 (CH₂), 17.2-16.3
- 279 (m, CHD₂ and CD₂), 14.9 (CH₃). HRMS-ESI (*m/z*): [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₉H₉D₄NNaO, 178.1140;
- 280 found, 178.1138.
- 281 Dipyrrins sym-2d* sym and asym-2d* from 1d*
- HBr (48% aqueous solution, 0.4 mL) was added drop-wise to a solution of 1d*(D₄) and cis-
- 283 1d*(D₃) (0.04 g, 0.26 mmol) in MeOH (0.8 mL) and the solution was slowly heated to 70 °C and
- stirred for 5 minutes until complete consumption of the starting material according to TLC
- analysis. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and stored in the freezer for 19 h.
- Filtration resulted in isolation of the precipitate as a crystalline dark green solid (22 mg)
- containing dypirrins sym-2d* and asym-2d* from aldehyde 1d*(D₄) and 1d*(D₃), both in a 1:1
- ratio. The following data correspond to sym-2d*(D₄). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) 13.28 (br s,
- 289 2H), 7.74 (d, 2H, J = 3.0 Hz), 7.28 (br s, 1H), 2.72 (q, 4H, J = 7.6 Hz), 1.21 (t, 6H, J = 7.6 Hz),
- 290 1.18-1.17 (m, 1H). Multiplet at 2.48 ppm is the result of the CH₂ group of the **asym-2d*** from
- 291 **1d*(D₄)** and **1d*(D₃)**. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) 148.9, 141.7, 131.7, 127.4, 123.2, 18.2,
- 292 18.1-17.3 (m, CD₂), 17.9, 16.7, 16.6-15.7 (m, CHD₂), 14.3. Carbons at 17.9 and 14.3 ppm are the
- results of the CH_3 (×2) and CH_2 (×2), respectively, of the **asym-2d*** from **1d*(D₄)** and **1d*(D₃)**.
- 294 HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M–Br]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₇D₈N₂, 265.2514; found, 265.2509.
- 295 Dipyrrins sym-2d'* sym and asym-2d* from 1d'*
- HBr (48% aqueous solution, 0.4 mL) was added drop-wise to a solution of $1d'*(D_4)$ and $1d'*(D_3)$
- 297 (0.04 g, 0.26 mmol) in MeOH (0.8 mL) and the solution was slowly heated to 70 °C and stirred
- 298 for 5 minutes until complete consumption of the starting material according to TLC analysis. The

- 299 reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and stored in the freezer for 19 h. Filtration
- 300 resulted in isolation of the precipitate as a crystalline dark green solid (22 mg) containing
- 301 dypirrins sym-2d'* and asym-2d* from aldehyde 1d'*(D₄) and 1d'*(D₃), both in a 1:1 ratio. The
- 302 following data correspond to sym-2d'*. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) 13.28 (br s, 2H), 7.74 (d,
- 303 2H, J = 3.0 Hz), 7.28 (br s, 1H), 2.48 (q, 4H, J = 7.6 Hz), 1.21 (t, 6H, J = 7.6 Hz), 1.18-1.17 (m,
- 304 1H). Multiplet at 2.70 ppm is the result of the CH₂ group of the asym-2c* from 1d*(D₄) and
- 305 1d'*(D₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) 148.9, 141.7, 131.7, 127.4, 123.2, 18.1, 17.9, 17.3-18.1
- 306 (m, CD₂), 16.6-15.7 (m, CHD₂), 14.3. Carbons at 16.8 and 18. 1 ppm are the results of the CH₃
- 307 (\times 2) and CH₂ (\times 2), respectively, of the **asym-2d*** from 1d'*(D₄) and 1d'*(D₃). HRMS-ESI (m/z):
- 308 $[M-Br]^+$ calcd for $C_{17}H_{17}D_8N_2$, 265.2514; found, 265.2509.
- 309 Acknowledgment
- 310 This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada
- 311 (NSERC). We thank Dr. A. W. H. Speed (Dalhousie) for access to D₂ (g). This work was
- 312 supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).
- 313 **Supplementary Material**
- Supplementary material is available with the article through the journal Web site at XXX. 314
- 315 References
- 316 T. E. Wood and A. Thompson, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 1831-1861. 1.
- 317 2. M. Benstead, G. H. Mehl and R. W. Boyle, *Tetrahedron*, 2011, 67 3573-3601.
- 318 3. N. Boens, V. Leen and W. Dehaen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, 41, 1130-1172.
- 319 4. N. Boens, B. Verbelen and W. Dehaen, Eur. J. Org. Chem, 2015, 6577-6595.
- 320 5. A. Loudet and K. Burgess, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 4891-4932.
- 321 G. Ulrich, R. Ziessel and A. Harriman, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 1184-1201. 6.
- 322 T. K. Khan, M. Bröring, S. Mathur and M. Ravikanth, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2013, 257(s 7.
- 323 15-16), 2348-2387.
- 324 8. P. Mondal, A. Chaudharya and S. P. Rath, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, 42, 12381-12394.
- 325 A. Bessette and G. S. Hanan, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 3342-3405. 9.
- 326 10. S. A. Baudron, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, 42, 7498-7509.

- G. Li, P. G. Bomben, K. C. D. Robson, S. I. Gorelsky, C. P. Berlinguette and M. Shatruk,
 Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 8790-8792.
- 329 12. G. Li, K. Hu, C. Yi, K. L. Knappenberger, G. J. Meyer, S. I. Gorelsky and M. Shatruk, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, 117, 17399-17411.
- 331 13. E. T. Hennessy and T. A. Betley, *Science*, 2013, 340, 591-595.
- 332 14. E. R. King and T. A. Betley, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 48, 2361-2363.
- 333 15. E. R. King, E. T. Hennessy and T. A. Betley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, 133, 4917-4923.
- 334 16. M. Yadav, A. K. Ashish Kumar Singh and D. S. Pandey, *Organometallics*, 2009, 28, 4713-4723.
- 336 17. L. Wu and K. Burgess, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 4933-4935.
- 337 18. K.-l. Lund and A. Thompson, *Synlett*, 2014, 25, 1142-1144.
- 338 19. S. E. Vitols, J. S. Roman, D. E. Ryan, M. E. J. Blackwood and T. G. Spiro, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, 36, 764-769.
- 340 20. D. T. Kozhich, L. V. Akimenko, A. F. Mironov and R. P. Evstigneeva, *Zh. Org. Khim.*, 341 1977, 13, 2604-2608.
- 342 21. Z. Junbo, Z. Xiang and G. Liping, *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy*, 2012, 37, 1089-1093.
- 343 22. T. Kurita, F. Aoki, T. Mizumoto, T. Maejima, H. Esaki, T. Maegawa, Y. Monguchi and H. Sajiki, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2008, 14, 3371-3379.
- 345 23. A. Lavecchia, R. Costi, M. Artico, G. Miele, E. Novellino, A. Bergamini, E. Crespan, G. Maga and R. Di Santo, *ChemMedChem*, 2006, 1, 1379-1390.
- 347 24. K. M. Smith and G. M. F. Bisset, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1979, 44, 2077-2081.

348

349

TOC artwork