



HAL
open science

Identifying the reasons behind students' engagement patterns

Emma Howard, Maria Meehan, Andrew Parnell

► **To cite this version:**

Emma Howard, Maria Meehan, Andrew Parnell. Identifying the reasons behind students' engagement patterns. CERME 10, Feb 2017, Dublin, Ireland. hal-01946320

HAL Id: hal-01946320

<https://hal.science/hal-01946320>

Submitted on 5 Dec 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Identifying the reasons behind students' engagement patterns

Emma Howard¹, Maria Meehan¹ and Andrew Parnell¹

¹University College Dublin, Ireland; emma.howard@ucdconnect.ie

Keywords: Engagement patterns, blended mathematics education, college mathematics.

Introduction/Literature review

Previous studies of courses with both face-to-face lectures and online lectures/videos (Inglis, Palipana, Trenholm, & Ward, 2011) have identified clusters of students based on their resource engagement. They found that students who attended face-to-face lectures or the maths support centre achieved higher grades than students who predominantly used online lectures. Inglis et al. (2011, p. 490) furthermore discuss how “what remains poorly understood is the overall pattern of study choices made when students are presented with many options”, and comments on how valuable research into examining student choices would be. Other studies have made suggestions as to why students might opt for a particular engagement pattern including: performance in course to date; proficiency of IT; convenience; and personality type (Bassili, 2006). Bassili found that both promotion and prevention factors influence students' engagement decisions. This study seeks to expand on the literature by explaining reasons behind students' choices. Subsequently, the research questions for this study are:

- Which resources do students engage with when studying the course content?
- Why do students choose to engage with these resources?

Method

This study took place in University College Dublin (UCD). Data was collected from a large first year undergraduate module, Maths for Business. This is not a traditional, blended or e-learning course. Maths for Business is a unique course in that students have a choice of whether to complete the course material through lectures, online videos or a combination of both. The e-learning segment of the course consists of 68 short videos with average length of 7.6 minutes. The module co-ordinator has chosen to offer online support for students in response to: the large class sizes; acknowledging differences in learning styles and abilities of students; and additional support needed by ‘weaker’ students. This form of online learning is particularly suited for procedural mathematics courses of large mixed ability cohorts. For our study, we combine quantitative and qualitative survey data to identify engagement clusters based on resource usage, and explain the reasons behind students' engagement clusters.

In order to develop a complete understanding of students' engagement, the data for this study broadly covers three areas: survey response data, background information of students, and engagement data. Students' data was linked together from each of the sections. The first stage was cluster analysis. Rather than cluster students under total videos and lectures, we decided to cluster students based on what resources they engaged with for the lecture material they covered. We developed three variables to describe this; lecture usage, video usage and overlap of resources. Subsequently, cluster analysis was performed on the three variables; lecture usage, video usage and overlap of resources using model-based clustering. Qualitative data analysis is currently being

performed under the Braun and Clarke (2006) framework. Themes are considered to be semantic as students' responses are direct.

Initial results

Cluster analysis has identified four distinct clusters: high lecture usage cluster; high video usage cluster; a cluster with high lecture, high video usage and high overlap between resources; and a cluster which features both lecture usage and video usage but with little overlap. Initial qualitative analysis has suggested the high lecture usage cluster is formed by students who perceive videos as a secondary tool; they find the lecture content has more depth, and enjoy the interactive lecture environment. In comparison, the high video usage cluster is formed by students who have issues with the lecture environment, and find little if any benefit from lectures. Videos offer these students an efficient and flexible method to study. The third cluster, the cluster with high overlap, has occurred owing to weak students accessing all available resources and needing extra support. The final cluster with little overlap of resources is formed by students who have switched from lectures to videos during the semester or are avoiding a specific lecture every week owing to the inconvenience of the timetable.

Mathematical procedural courses differ in their nature and design from other disciplines. Maths for Business use of e-learning allows 'stronger' students to progress at a fast, flexible pace while supporting the 'weaker' students through providing access to multiple resources which can be repeatedly used. Students can choose their resources to suit their learning. Overall there is an opinion from students that "[online learning] works very well for maths however [students] don't know if it would work well for other modules". Understanding students' reasons for choosing their engagement pattern may help in the future design of resources, and identifying whether online resources are particularly beneficial for large mathematics classes of mixed abilities.

The poster will expand on the initial qualitative analysis of the survey responses by explaining in detail the reasons behind each engagement cluster. We would like to thank UCD IT services for providing the Virtual Learning Environment data.

References

- Bassili (2006). Promotion and prevention orientations in the choice to attend lectures or watch them online. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning* 22, 444-455.
Doi:10.1111/j.13652729.2006.00192.x
- Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. Doi:10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
- Inglis, M., Palipana, A., Trenholm, S. & Ward, J. (2011). Individual differences in students' use of optional learning resources. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 27(6), 490–502.