

Morphometric variations at an ecological scale: Seasonal and local variations in feral and commensal house mice

Sabrina Renaud, Emilie A. Hardouin, Jean-Pierre Quéré, Pascale Chevret

▶ To cite this version:

Sabrina Renaud, Emilie A. Hardouin, Jean-Pierre Quéré, Pascale Chevret. Morphometric variations at an ecological scale: Seasonal and local variations in feral and commensal house mice. Mammalian Biology: Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde, 2017, 87, pp.1-12. 10.1016/j.mambio.2017.04.004. hal-01943791

HAL Id: hal-01943791 https://hal.science/hal-01943791v1

Submitted on 5 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Morphometric variations at an ecological scale: Seasonal and local variations in feral and

2 commensal house mice

3

- 4 Sabrina Renaud ¹, Emilie A. Hardouin ², Jean-Pierre Quéré ³, Pascale Chevret ¹
- ¹ Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, UMR 5558, CNRS, Université Lyon 1, Université de
- 6 Lyon, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France
- 7 Department of Life and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Bournemouth
- 8 University, Christchurch House, Talbot Campus, Poole, Dorset BH12 5BB, United Kingdom
- 9 ³ Centre de Biologie et Gestion des Populations (INRA / IRD / Cirad / Montpellier SupAgro), Campus
- international de Baillarguet, CS 30016, F-34988, Montferrier-sur-Lez Cedex, France

11

12

14

15

16

18

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

Abstract

13 The time scales of evolutionary and ecological studies tend to converge, due to increasing evidences

of contemporary evolution occurring as fast as ecological processes. This ranges new questions

regarding variation of characters usually considered to change mostly along the evolutionary time

scale, such as morphometric traits, including osteological and dental features such as mandibles and

17 teeth of mammals. Using two-dimensional geometric morphometric approach, we questioned

whether such features can change on a seasonal and local basis, in relation with the ecological

19 dynamics of the populations. Our model comprised populations of house mice (Mus musculus

domesticus) in two contrasted situations in mainland Western Europe: a feral population vs. two

close commensal populations. Mitochondrial DNA (D-loop) provided insight into the diversity and

dynamics of the populations.

23 The feral population appeared as genetically highly diversified, suggesting a possible functioning as a

sink in relation to the surrounding commensal populations. In contrast, commensal populations were

highly homogeneous from a genetic point of view, suggesting each population to be isolated. This

triggered morphological differentiation between neighboring farms. Seasonal differences in

morphometric traits (mandible size and shape and molar size and shape) were significant in both

settings, although seasonal variations were of larger amount in the feral than in the commensal

population. Seasonal variations in molar size and shape could be attributed to differential wear in

young or overwintered populations. Differences in mandible shape could be related to aging in

overwintered animals, but also possibly to differing growth conditions depending on the season. The impact of these ecological processes on morphometric traits is moderate compared to divergence over a large biogeographic scale, but their significance nevertheless underlines that even morphological characters may trace populations dynamics at small scale in time and space.

35

36

31 32

33

34

Keywords

37 *Mus musculus domesticus*; Murinae; rodent; geometric morphometrics; mandible; molar shape;38 phylogeny; D-loop.

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

Introduction

Issues of important current concern, such as adaptation of invasive species to their new environments, response of local species to invasions and/or climate change, deliver growing evidences that evolution can take place at time scales usually considered to be characteristic of ecological processes (Kinnison & Hairston, 2007; Lambrinos, 2004). Morphological characters were traditionally thought to vary at evolutionary timescales. Nevertheless, due to plasticity but also to microevolutionary changes, they can also vary at fast rates and be involved in contemporary evolution (Collyer, Stockwell, Adams, & Reiser, 2007; Ghalambor, McKay, Carroll, & Reznick, 2007). This growing body of evidences may also be due to the development of geometric morphometric methods, allowing to quantify such fine-scale variation. To provide a background for such fine-scale processes, reference studies to assess the amount of variation expected for morphological traits due to background ecological processes, such as seasonal variations in populations, are often lacking because sampling designed for ecology, such as mark-recapture, are not designed for delivering osteological material for morphometric studies. The aim of this study is to provide a hint into morphometric variations related to small-scale processes such as seasonal variation and local differentiation. The model is the Western house mouse (Mus musculus domesticus). It is known for its great adaptability and behavioral flexibility, thus exposing it to contrasted ecological conditions even at small scale in time and space. We investigated two features well studied in mice, having different developmental characteristics and hence potentially responding differently to processes at an ecological scale. The mandible is an osteological structure that grows postnatally, reaching ~95% of its final adult shape shortly after

weaning (Swiderski & Zelditch, 2013). Bone remodeling occurs nevertheless during the whole

62 animal's life, and this can trigger plastic differences in response to food resources even during adult, post-weaning life (Anderson, Renaud, & Rayfield, 2014). In contrast, the first upper molar is 63 64 mineralized during pre-natal life and once erupted, it is only affected by wear. This may make the 65 mandible more prone to respond to short term processes. 66 The size and shape of both features were quantified using a 2D outline analysis (Renaud, Hardouin, 67 Pisanu, & Chapuis, 2013). Several small-scale sources of variations were investigated. (1) Seasonal 68 variations in two populations sampled successively in time. (2) Way of life, by considering feral and 69 commensal populations. Way of life may interfere with seasonal variation. Commensal mice may 70 reproduce all year round when food of sufficient quality and quantity is available (Berry, 1981; 71 Pocock, Searle, & White, 2004; Pryor & Bronson, 1981; Rowe, Swinney, & Quy, 1983). In contrast, 72 feral populations are more exposed to seasonal variations in climate and food supply, and consequently, they should display seasonal breeding (Berry, 1981; Efford, Karl, & Moller, 1988; 73 74 Matthewson, Van Aarde, & Skinner, 1994; Triggs, 1991). (3) Local differences between neighboring 75 farms. 76 Wear stage based on the upper molar row has been proposed to be the best estimate of age for wild 77 populations of mice (Lidicker, 1966). It was used to assess age structure in the different populations. 78 Body size measurements were further used to evaluate body condition of the animals, and to 79 compare the overall growth to the size of the osteological trait (mandible). Genetics (mitochondrial 80 DNA) was assessed for a subset of mice, to describe the phylogenetic background of the investigated 81 populations. Altogether, these informations provided the background to investigate morphometric 82 variations of the mandible and molar through seasons and across populations. Finally, the variations 83 due to seasonality and local differentiation were compared to a set of mainland localities, in order to 84 assess the importance of the small-scale processes compared to larger scale differentiation. 85 86 Material 87 Commensal samples were sampled from two farms in Tourch (Brittany, France). Most mice were trapped in a large pigsty in Kerloyou, in August 2011, November 2011 and May 2012 (Table 1, Fig. 88 89 1F). A farm in the nearby place Kerc'hoaler, 1.8 km away, was further sampled in June 2012.

Mice from Frontignan corresponded to an outdoor, feral population found in the Aresquiers spit of

land between the Mediterranean Sea and the Étang de Vic, close to Montpellier (France). Mice were

trapped in April 2009, October 2009 and March 2010 over less than 1 km (Table 1). Despite the

90

93 uneven sample size (7, 20 and 4 specimens respectively), the trapping effort was similar for the three 94 seasons. One additional mouse was captured in March 2013. 95 The commensal population of Gardouch, near Toulouse, was further documented (Renaud, Dufour, 96 Hardouin, Ledevin, & Auffray, 2015). It was trapped in a roe deer enclosure from November 2003 to 97 April 2004 (68 specimens). This context of roe deer breeding provided the mice with food ad libitum, 98 as in the Kerloyou pigsty. 99 In Frontignan, Tourch and Gardouch, animals were live trapped, weighted and measured for head + 100 body length (HBL) by the same operator (JPQ). Wear stage was evaluated on the upper molar row for 101 all animals, using classes from one (juvenile, third molar not erupted) up to seven (heavily worn 102 molars with all cusps associated on the three molars of the row (Avenant & Smith, 2004). Each molar 103 row was scored twice, and the average of the two scorings was used as proxy for the age of the 104 animals. Although wear pattern may vary from one population to the other, depending on tooth 105 morphology and food processed, it provided a proxy for assessing the age structure of the 106 populations. 107 All these specimens are housed at the collection of the Centre de Biologie et Gestion des Populations 108 (Baillarguet, France). The animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation according to the 2010/63/UE 109 directive with an official authorization to JPQ (permit 34-107 from the Préfecture de l'Hérault). 110 All mice were considered in the morphometric analysis, except fot the only mouse from March 2013 111 in Frontignan (Table 1; Fig. 1F). Adults and sub-adults animals with their third molars erupted were 112 considered for morphometric analyses. This discarded one juvenile specimen from November 2011 in 113 Kerloyou, Tourch. It was represented on the size plots but discarded from all tests. 114 Mandible and tooth shape in Tourch, Frontignan and Gardouch was compared to the geographic 115 variation among a set of commensal populations in mainland Western Europe: Montpellier (Southern 116 France), Cologne-Bonn (Germany), Reggiolo, San Bernardino and Lombardy (Northern Italy) (Renaud, Dufour, et al., 2015; Renaud, Gomes Rodrigues, et al., 2015). 117 118 119 **Phylogenetics** 120 The morphometrics study was complemented by a genetic analysis of the D-loop (mtDNA) 121 sequences. Twenty-six mice were sequenced in Tourch, corresponding to six randomly sampled for 122 each of the three seasons at the Kerloyou pigsty and eight at the Kerc'hoaler farm (Table 1). Twenty123 two mice were sequenced in Frontignan, most of them (14) trapped in October 2009 and the other 124 ones trapped in April 2009 (5) March 2010 (2) and March 2013 (1) (Table 1). 125 For these samples, DNA was extracted from ethanol-preserved tissue, using the DNeasy Blood and 126 Tissue kit (Qiagen, France). The D-loop was amplified using previously described primers and 127 protocol (Hardouin et al., 2010). The sequences generated were visualized using MEGA6 (Tamura, 128 Stecher, Peterson, Filipski, & Kumar, 2013). The new sequences were deposited in GenBank under 129 accession numbers LT718851 to LT718898 (Supplementary Table 1). 130 This sampling was completed by sequences of various mainland Western Europe locations retrieved 131 from GenBank (Supplementary Table 2). 132 133 Methods 134 Phylogenenetic analyses 135 Haplotypes and genetic diversity indices for Frontignan and Tourch sequences were determined with DNAsp v 5 (Librado and Rozas, 2009). The haplotypes were combined with sequences retrieved from 136 137 GenBank and aligned with MUSCLE implemented in SeaView (Gouy, Guindon, Gascuel, & Lyon, 138 2010). The final alignments comprised 313 sequences and 833 base pairs. The phylogenetic tree was 139 reconstructed using Bayesian inference (BI) with MrBayes v3.2 (Ronquist et al., 2012). The best 140 model (TrN+I+G) was determined with jModelTest (Darriba, Taboada, Doallo, & Posada, 2012) using 141 the Akaike criterion (AIC) (Akaike, 1973). As TrN model was not available in Mrbayes we used 142 Nst=mixed, which sampled across substitution models. Node robustness were estimated using 143 posterior probabilities (PP) in BI analyses. For BI, two Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analyses 144 were run independently for 20 000 000 generations. One tree was sampled every 500 generations. 145 The burn-in was graphically determined with Tracer v1.6 (Rambaut, Suchard, Xie, & Drummond, 146 2014). We also checked that the effective sample sizes (ESSs) were above 200 and that the average 147 SD of split frequencies remained <0.05 after the burn-in threshold. We discarded 10% of the trees 148 and visualized the resulting tree under Figtree v1.4 (Rambaut, 2012). 149 150 Mandible and molar outline analysis 151 Mandibles and tooth rows were pictured using a Leica MZ 9.5 binocular. The hemi-mandible was 152 placed flat on its lingual side. The upper molar row was manually oriented so that the occlusal 153 surface would match the horizontal plane. Based on these pictures, the shape of the mandible and 154 the first upper molar (UM1) were described using 64 points sampled at equal curvilinear distance

155 along their 2D outline (Fig. 1G), sampled using the Optimas software. This sampling is sufficient to 156 document even small structures such as the coronoid process. An outline-based method was chosen 157 because reliable landmarks are difficult to position on the murine molar. The top of the cusps is 158 abraded by wear and cannot be used for assessing the position of the cusps, and landmarks 159 bracketing the cusps on the outline are difficult to position given the smooth undulation delineating 160 the cusps along the outline (Fig. 1). Similarly, landmarks used to describe the murine mandible are all 161 placed along the outline (e.g. (Klingenberg, Mebus, & Auffray, 2003)) and some may be difficult to 162 position when the bone is of smooth shape. 163 For the mandible, the starting point was positioned at the junction between the incisor and the bone 164 at the upper edge of the mandible. For the first upper molar, the starting point was positioned at the 165 anteriormost part of the tooth, being aligned with the direction of the maximum elongation using the 166 best-fitting ellipse to the outline (Renaud, Auffray, & Michaux, 2006). 167 From the 64 points, 64 radii (i.e. distance of each point to the center of gravity of the outline) were 168 computed. Expressed as a function of the curvilinear distance along the outline, this set of radii 169 constituted a function that was analyzed using a Fourier analysis (Rohlf & Archie, 1984). By 170 comparing function(s) of a curve, and not the points collected on the outline, Fourier methods allow 171 investigating shapes deprived of landmarks with clear homology (Bonhomme, Picq, Gaucherel, & 172 Claude, 2014; Dujardin et al., 2014). According to Fourier method, the empirical function is 173 decomposed into a sum of trigonometric functions of decreasing wavelength (the harmonics). Each is 174 weighted by two Fourier coefficients (FCs), that constitute the shape variables to be compared 175 among individuals. The zero harmonic (A0) is proportional to the size of the outline. It was used as 176 size estimator, and to standardize all other FCs so that they represent shape only. 177 The higher the rank of the harmonics, the more details they represent on the outline (Crampton, 178 1995; Rohlf & Archie, 1984). This can be used to filter measurement error, by discarding high-order 179 harmonics (Renaud, Michaux, Jaeger, & Auffray, 1996). This together reduces the number of 180 variables required to describe the overall morphology of an object. The shape of a mouse mandible 181 or molar tooth has been shown to be adequately described by the first seven harmonics, i.e. by 14 182 variables (Renaud et al., 2013).

Statistical analyses of morphometric data

183

184

185

186

Differences between groups (sex, season, or location) were tested using analyses of variance (ANOVA) and associated two-by-two Tukey tests for univariate parameters.

187 Shape of mandible and molar were each described by a multivariate dataset (14 FCs). A reduction of 188 dimensionality (Sheets, Covino, Panasiewicz, & Morris, 2006) was performed prior to statistical tests 189 using a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on the variance-covariance matrix of the FCs. The axes 190 explaining more than 5% of the total variance were kept for subsequent statistical tests. Differences 191 between groups were tested by multivariate analyses of variance (MANOVA) and associated pairwise 192 Hotelling's tests. 193 Associated to PCA, between-group principal component analyses (bgPCA) were also performed. Such 194 analysis provides an estimate of the between- to total-variance ratio, and thus how much the 195 grouping considered explains of the total variance, also including within-group variance. The bgPCA 196 also provides a representation of the group means in a corresponding morphospace. The topology of 197 the group means in this morphospace is close to the topology obtained based on a classical PCA (Renaud, Dufour, et al., 2015). 198 199 Relationships between morphological variables and explanatory variables (body size, seasons, and 200 wear stage) were investigated using general linear models. 201 Euclidean distances in size (based on A0) and shape (based on the set of Fourier coefficients) were 202 calculated between groups (seasons in feral Frontignan and commensal Kerloyou; local differences 203 between Kerloyou and Kerc'hoaler; differences between Frontignan, Tourch, and the localities of 204 comparison). These observed distances were compared to the distribution of distances between 205 populations simulating random differentiation between mainland populations. For this purpose, 206 1000 populations of 20 and 15 specimens each were randomly sampled from the pool of mainland 207 localities (Renaud et al., 2013). 208 Statistics were performed using Past (Hammer, Harper, & Ryan, 2001) and R (R_core_team, 2015). 209 Multivariate analyses were performed using ade4 (Dray & Dufour, 2007). 210 211 **Results** 212 **Phylogenetics** 213 The phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2) shows that sequences from Tourch belong to the same clade whereas 214 sequences from Frontignan are in three different ones. The genetic composition of the feral 215 population was very different from that of the two farms from Tourch, Brittany. The feral population

of Frontignan was highly diversified, sampling the whole genetic diversity present in this area. It

included 11 haplotypes, distributed in 3 different clades of our house mouse phylogeography (Fig. 2).

216

218 Half of the haplotypes occurred only once. Haplotypes sampled several times often occurred at 219 different seasons (Table 1; Supplementary Table 1). 220 In contrast, commensal populations were extremely homogeneous. Only two haplotypes, differing by 221 one insertion, were present at Kerloyou. They occurred in the three seasons. One haplotype only was 222 documented at Kerc'hoaler. It belongs to the same clade as the Kerloyou haplotypes (Fig. 2). 223 224 Reduction of dimensionality 225 Principal component analyses were performed to summarize the shape information on few synthetic 226 axes. Separate PCA were performed for Tourch, Frontignan and Gardouch. Axes representing more 227 than 5% of variance were retained for subsequent statistical tests. 228 Regarding mandible shape, shape variation was summarized by four PC axes in the three populations 229 (Tourch: PC1 = 42.5%, PC2 = 25.9%, PC3 = 12.9%, PC4 = 7.8%; Frontignan: PC1 = 50.7%, PC2 = 18.0%, PC3 = 14.5%, PC4 = 7.6%; Gardouch: PC1 = 50.6%, PC2 = 22.0%, PC3 = 9.1%, PC4 = 6.9%). 230 231 For molar shape, five axes represented more than 5% of variance in Tourch (PC1 = 38.7%, PC2 = 232 22.3%, PC3 = 8.6%, PC4 = 6.9%, PC5 = 6.2%) and Gardouch (PC1 = 38.9%, PC2 = 19.9%, PC3 = 12.7%, 233 PC4 = 8.4%, PC5 = 6.0%). Four axes explained more than 5% of variance in Frontignan (PC1 = 31.7%, 234 PC2 = 20.3%, PC3 = 18.4%, PC4 = 9.7%). 235 236 Sexual dimorphism Sexual dimorphism was absent for most morphological parameters considered (Table 2). It was 237 238 weakly significant for mandible size in Tourch, molar size in Frontignan, and molar shape in 239 Gardouch. Because of the absence of a consistent signal of sexual dimorphism among the 240 populations, and because sexual dimorphism was repeatedly shown to be of minor importance in 241 house mice (Renaud et al., 2013; Valenzuela-Lamas, Baylac, Cucchi, & Vigne, 2011), males and 242 females were pooled in subsequent analyses. 243 244 Wear pattern 245 Wear pattern can be considered as a proxy for the age of the animals. Its distribution differed

between seasons in the feral population from Frontignan (P < 0.001). This corresponds to a

247	difference between the autumn population of October, dominated by relatively young animals, and
248	the spring populations composed of animals with teeth worn down, suggesting overwintered animals
249	(Fig. 3).
250	The difference between season was not significant in the commensal population from Kerloyou (P =
251	0.068). The summer and autumn populations were mostly composed of young animals, as in
252	Frontignan, including a juvenile without the third molar erupted in August 2011. The spring
253	population included young animals together with overwintered ones.
254	The autumn to spring population of the commensal population from Gardouch appeared as
255	dominated by young animals with relatively unworn teeth.
256	
257	Morphometric variations in the commensal populations from Tourch
258	Mice from the two neighboring farms of Kerloyou and Kerc'hoaler did not differ in body weight or
259	body length (Table 3; Fig. 4). In contrast, they differed in mandible and molar size and shape. This
260	corresponded to a between- to total-variance ratio of 9.0% for the mandible and 10.9% for the UM1 $$
261	(Table 4).
262	In Kerloyou, seasons had no impact on body weight, body length, mandible and molar size (Table 3;
263	Fig. 4). Shape differences were however significant, corresponding to differences between the two
264	well sampled populations from summer and autumn 2011 (Table 3). The difference in shape between
265	seasons was smaller, however, than the difference between Kerloyou and Kerc'hoaler: the between-
266	to total-variance ratio associated with seasons was 4.3% for mandible shape and 5.0% for molar
267	shape.
268	More than a direct effect of the season of trapping, differences between samples in Kerloyou were
269	mostly due to the size and age of the animals, as estimated by wear stage (Table 5). Body size was a
270	significant explanatory variable for body weight, mandible size and mandible shape. Wear stage was
271	significant in explaining body weight, mandible size, mandible shape, and molar shape. Season per
272	se was only marginally significant for mandible shape.
273	Body size was by far more important than wear stage in explaining mandible size; for other variables
274	however, the effect of both body size and wear stage appeared to be balanced.
275	

Morphometric variations in the feral population from Frontignan

Mice differed between seasons in the feral population from Frontignan for body length but not for body weight or mandible size (Table 3; Fig. 4). They further differed between seasons for molar size, mandible shape and molar shape. This corresponded to a significant difference between samples from autumn (October) and spring (April) 2009, except for molar size which differed between the two spring samples (April 2009 and March 2010).

This between-group shape variation represented 9.4% and 8.9% of the total variance for mandible and molar shape respectively (Table 4). This range of value is thus twice what was observed for the seasonal variations in Kerloyou.

When considering the respective role of body size, season and wear stage as explanatory variables (Table 5), wear stage appeared less important than in Kerloyou. It was significant only for body weight and for molar size. Body size had a significant effect only on body weight and mandible size, for which it was the most important explanatory variable. Season per se had a significant effect on body weight, on which it has the most important effect, mandible size, molar size, and mandible shape.

Allometric relationships in different localities

The allometric relationship between body length and other size-related variables (body weight, mandible and molar size) was further investigated, by comparing the relations observed in the commensal population from Tourch and the feral population from Frontignan to another commensal population, Gardouch.

Body weight and mandible size varied primarily with body length, whereas molar size was only differing according to the locality (Table 6). Regarding body weight, the significant interaction term between the factors body length and locality showed that the allometric relationship differed in the different populations. This is due to the fact that in Frontignan, some spring specimens tended to exhibit a body weight much less than expected based on their large body length (Fig. 5). This likely corresponded to overwintered animals, possibly in poor body conditions after winter. This did not impact notably their mandible size, though. Hence, for mandible and molar size, the relationship in the feral mice of Frontignan was similar to what is observed in commensal mice at Tourch and Gardouch (Fig. 5).

308 The shape variation related to seasonal differences in the commensal Tourch and feral Frontignan 309 populations was compared to variation occurring between several mainland localities (Fig. 6). By 310 including a larger geographic sampling, the between-group to total-variance ratio reached a higher 311 value (17.3% for mandible shape and 22.1% for molar shape) than considering seasonal variation in 312 Frontignan or local variation in Tourch, both twice as important as the seasonal variation in Tourch 313 (Table 4). 314 For the mandible (Fig. 6A), the different samples from Tourch were clustered on the first principal 315 plane defined by bgPC1 and bgPC2, and slightly divergent from the other mainland localities. The 316 autumn sample of Frontignan (October 2009) was close to the other mainland groups, and especially 317 from the neighboring population of Montpellier, whereas the two spring samples of Frontignan 318 diverged along mostly bgPC2. Considering the residuals of the shape variables vs. mandible size, thus 319 correcting for allometric variation, did not change this pattern and even increased the percentage of 320 bgPC2 along which the seasons of Frontignan are differentiated (Supp. Fig. 1A). 321 Regarding molar shape, seasonal differences did not emerge on the first principal plane (Fig. 6B). 322 Seasonal samples from Frontignan were clustered on one side of bgPC1 whereas seasonal samples 323 from Kerloyou were clustered together on the other side of bgPC1, close to one Northern Italian 324 locality (San Bernardino, IT-SBER). Molars from Kerc'hoaler were further divergent along bgPC1. 325 Other mainland localities represented a cloud of variation towards the center of the first principal 326 plane. Here again, correcting for molar size did not change the pattern of differentiation (Supp. Fig. 327 1B). 328 329 Seasonal and local differences compared to a random model of inter-locality variation 330 Euclidean distances in size and shape between seasons in Kerloyou and Frontignan, and between the 331 localities Kerloyou, Kerc'hoaler, Frontignan and Gardouch, were compared to random populations 332 generated from Western European populations (Renaud et al., 2013). 333 Seasonal variations in Frontignan overall produced higher morphological distances than seasonal 334 variations in Kerloyou (Fig. 7). The distances related to seasonal variations remained in the range of 335 distances expected between randomly simulated European localities. 336 Distances between localities reached higher values than those due to seasonality. For the four cases 337 considered (mandible size and shape, molar size and shape), some distances between the localities 338 of the present studies were in the upper range of the distances expected between random European

localities (Fig. 7). For molar size and shape, some observed distances outreached the simulated ones. The neighboring farms of Kerloyou and Kerc'hoaler were differentiated by morphological distances as high as observed between remote places such as Gardouch and Frontignan or Tourch, but except for molar size, they were within the range of the simulated distances, and hence congruent with a random differentiation.

Discussion

Contrasted genetic diversity in feral and commensal populations

The commensal populations from Tourch displayed a low genetic diversity, with two related haplotypes in Kerloyou and only one haplotype in Kerc'hoaler. The D-loop being maternally inherited, it would be compatible with a foundation by one or few related females, and persistence thereafter as close system. The food ad libitum in the Kerloyou pigsty may indeed sustain a large and flourishing population. The result is a bit more surprising in Kerc'hoaler, with more traditional agricultural practices providing irregular food resources to mice. The absence of immigration may be explained by current agricultural practices, in which exchanges between farms are scarce. Reinforcing this barrier, the resident population may be resilient to immigration, as has been shown for insular populations (Hardouin et al., 2010). Dispersal may also be biased towards males (Pocock et al., 2004), a signal that mitochondrial DNA is not able to trace. Possibly, genetic diversity may be anyway reduced in the Brittany peninsula. Additional samples in the area would be required to better assess these different possible causes of reduced genetic diversity.

In contrast, the feral population in Frontignan was diversified (11 haplotypes, haplotype diversity Hd = 0.90; nucleotide diversity Pi = 0.0078), sampling the variety of distant haplogroups present in the surrounding region. This suggests that this feral population may be a sink population regularly fueled by immigration of the surrounding areas. Such gene flow may be a factor counterbalancing local adaption to this peculiar environment (Lenormand, 2002).

Wear stage and body size as hint about the ecology of feral vs commensal populations

Tooth wear has been proposed as the most reliable morphological trait to estimate age in the house mouse (Lidicker 1966). It provided a rough proxy for the age structure of the populations. Seasonality in age structure was more pronounced in the feral population of Frontignan than in the commensal population of Kerloyou. This is in agreement with the fact that feral populations are known to display

seasonal breeding (Berry, 1981; Efford et al., 1988; Matthewson et al., 1994; Triggs, 1991) whereas mice breed all year round on commensal habitats (Berry, 1981; Pocock et al., 2004; Rowe et al., 1983).

Body length and body weight should tend to increase with age, the latter being also influenced by the condition of the animal. Neither varied with season in Kerloyou, in agreement with the absence of significant seasonal variation in age structure suggested by wear pattern distribution.

In the feral population from Frontignan, body length varied across seasons, in agreement with the variation in age structure suggested by wear pattern. Despite these variations in body length, body weight did not differ between seasons. As a consequence, mice in Frontignan displayed a different allometric relationship across seasons, differently from the commensal populations from Tourch and Gardouch. Another difference between the feral Frontignan population and the commensal population of Kerloyou was that season remained a significant explanatory variable of body weight together with body size and wear pattern in Frontignan. Altogether, this suggested that body weight in Frontignan mice was not only related to the age of the animal, but also to ecological conditions characteristic of the seasons. The spring populations in Frontignan were composed of specimens with heavily worn teeth, pointing to overwintered animals. Accordingly, they reached a high body length but they displayed relatively light weight (Fig. 4) compared to autumn animals. This explained the apparent paradox of season having a significant effect of season on the body length / body weight relationship, despite no difference in weight between seasons. This suggested that the animals were in poor conditions due to food shortage during winter (Efford et al., 1988). This is further supported by the low success of trapping for these spring populations, and previous observations of a winter decrease of house mouse populations in neighboring areas (Cassaing & Croset, 1985).

Impact of seasonal variations in size on morphometric characters

Variations in body size are likely the consequences of variations in the age structure of the populations, and possibly, their health status. Such factors may impact morphological characters as well. The first molar tooth, though, is patterned early during embryogenesis (Cho et al., 2007) and is erupted early in post-natal life. After weaning, it can only vary due to progressive wear. Accordingly, no clear variation of tooth size with either body size or seasonality was evidenced. A small effect could nevertheless be due to a more important frequency of old animals with worn teeth in spring than autumn, since advanced wear can affect the outline of the tooth (Renaud, 2005).

In contrast, mandible grows and remodels throughout the life of an animal. We evidenced a strong relationship between body size and mandible size, similar at all places (Fig. 4). This result apparently contradicts the observation that the growth of the mandible, and the associated changes in shape, are asymptotic. Mandible size has been shown to reach ~95% of its final size at an age close to weaning, and ~95% of its final shape at post-natal day 35 (Swiderski & Zelditch, 2013). Possibly, both body length and mandible grow at a similar pace, reaching at the same age the point when they slow down their growth.

The fact that the same relationship between body size and mandible size was observed in the feral and commensal populations suggests that the more severe conditions experienced by feral mice did not impact their investment in the growing bone. In contrast, Sub-Antarctic insular mice have been shown to display mandible smaller than expected based on the continental relationship, suggesting a decreased investment in the mandibular bone (Renaud, Gomes Rodrigues, et al., 2015). Explanations could be that the limitation in quality and/or quantity of the food is less dramatic in the Frontignan population, and/or that the food shortage occurred relatively late in life, when most of mandible growth was already achieved (Swiderski & Zelditch, 2013). This may be the case of immigrants from the surrounding areas, whose frequent occurrence is suggested by the high genetic diversity.

Seasonal shape variations

Molar shape varied with seasons in Frontignan and Kerloyou. Its relationship with wear stage in Kerloyou supports the facts that these variations are mostly due to an impact of wear on the shape of the outline. Even positioned relatively low down the crown, this outline is affected by heavy wear (Renaud, 2005), as mentioned for molar size. This contrasts with results found for arvicoline molars, for which seasonal variations were found as important, or even more important than large scale geographic variations (Guérécheau et al., 2010). This different sensitivity is related to differences in tooth shape and way to measure it: for arvicoline rodents, measurements of tooth shape are done on the occlusal plane, and thus at the top of the crown, a place heavily influenced by wear. In mice, the seasonal shape differences remained of small amount compared to observed and simulated differences between populations. Seasonal variations are thus unlikely to obscure geographic variation, in the case of biogeographic studies.

Seasonal variations also influenced mandible shape. This was the case in the feral population of Frontignan but also in the commensal population of Kerloyou. In Kerloyou, this difference was mostly related to body size and wear stage, suggesting that it may be related to aging in mandible shape. In

Frontignan, however, season was an important factor, and seasonal variations represented a higher proportion of the total variance than in Kerloyou. Possibly, in Frontignan, differences in mandible shape may trace a change in the resources exploited in the different season, leading to a differential plastic remodeling of the mandible (Anderson et al., 2014). These shape changes across season were of the same magnitude than observed difference between populations (Fig. 7). This corresponded to a clear shift between autumn and spring populations of Frontignan in the morphospace including the mainland localities of comparison (Fig. 6). This suggests that mandible shape may trace fine-scale ecological variations, and that such variations may interfere with evidences of geographic variations.

Population dynamics in feral vs commensal populations

Different and possibly conflicting effects may contribute to the dynamics of the populations and impact their morphometric differentiation. On the one hand, commensal populations have been shown to function as small demes at a very small geographic scale of few meters (Pocock et al., 2004). This should promote drift in highly sub-structured populations. In contrast, non-commensal mice have been reported to move further (Pocock et al., 2004). Dispersal being more important, this should lead to homogenization of the populations at a regional scale.

On the other hand, commensal mice always benefited from human activity (Cucchi, 2008) for traveling even over long distances, a key to their world-wide success in colonizing even remote environments. Exchanges between populations were thus expected to be favored by the commensal habits, fueling genetic diversity.

Our results are in agreement with the first view. The feral population of Frontignan displayed a high genetic diversity. It seemed to have sampled variation available in the surrounding commensal localities. It may function as a sink population persisting by the immigration of surrounding source populations. This would explain the little morphological divergence regarding its mandible shape, despite the ecological shift from commensal to feral way of life. The autumn population from Frontignan actually displays a mandible shape close to its closest relative from Montpellier. The divergence of the spring populations may be attributed to plastic remodeling in overwintered animals. In contrast, speaking for Frontignan being nowadays an isolated population, molar shape displayed a divergence from all other mainland populations, consistent throughout the different seasonal samples. Further genetic analyses would be required to better identify the dynamics of this feral population.

In contrast, the commensal populations of Tourch displayed a reduced genetic diversity, as reduced as the one observed on remote islands (Hardouin et al., 2010). Commensal populations of mice are known to function as small demes of few mice, but there are also known to be transient (Singleton, 1983). The commensal habitat is composed of patches of highly suitable habitats – for instance, farm buildings where livestock is housed – but these habitats are highly variable in space and time – for instance, during the clearance and sanitary emptying of poultries and pigsties. Our results suggest that despite this unpredictable environmental variability, mice managed to sustain an apparently homogeneous and stable population, at least at the timescale documented here, possibly because recolonization of the buildings after clearance occurred from related mice from the surrounding buildings.

Such a functioning may be prone to drift in a sub-structured population. This may be a trigger for morphological differentiation, as suggested by the divergence observed even between neighboring farms of Kerloyou and Kerc'hoaler. This differentiation is especially obvious for molar shape in the morphospace including mainland localities of comparison, with Kerc'hoaler mice displaying extremely elongated molar shape, opposed to short molars in Frontignan. This opposition between short and elongated molars is a recurrent pattern of variation in murine molars (Renaud, Dufour, et al., 2015) that may fuel rapid divergence due to drift in isolated populations, as happens on some islands (Renaud, Dufour, et al., 2015; Renaud, Pantalacci, & Auffray, 2011).

Conclusions

- This study evidenced how differences at an ecological scale, such as seasonal variations, or feral vs. commensal way of life, may impact even morphometric traits in house mice populations.
- Feral mice from Southern France appeared sensitive to seasonality, displaying evidences of seasonal reproduction and a winter decrease in the body conditions of the animals. Accordingly, mandible size and shape differed between autumn and spring populations, due to the more frequent occurrence of overwintered animals in spring but also possibly due to different conditions of growth.
 - The commensal population from Brittany also displayed seasonal variations in morphometric traits, although the amount of difference between seasonal samples was overall less than what was observed in the feral population of Frontignan. The high genetic homogeneity of the Brittany farm suggests a functioning as a close system, with resilience to immigration, at least on a short time scale. This may promote drift and trigger local divergence in morphology, as observed between the neighboring Kerloyou and Kerc'hoaler farms in Tourch, Brittany.

Over a longer timescale, diffuse gene flow may buffer such local variations, as suggested by the relatedness of the haplotypes encountered in the two farms of Tourch, distant of only a few km, and by the relative homogeneity in shape at the scale of continental Western European house mice (Ledevin et al., 2016).

These results suggest that morphometric characters can trace ecological processes occurring over a small scale in time and space. Plastic variations may be involved, as in aging in mandible size and shape in overwintered populations. Microevolution may also occur, as for the divergence in molar shape between neighboring farms. Thus, morphometric traits may have the potential to trace small-scale processes of differentiation. Further small-scale studies would be required to better assess the processes involved in seasonal variations, but also in differences in way of life, such as feral vs. commensal populations. Such studies would provide a precious background for interpreting morphometric differences related to invasive processes and response to changing environment, as recently evidenced in insular populations (Renaud et al. 2015). On the other hand, differentiation related to seasonality remained overall of smaller amount than biogeographic differences. This is reinsuring for biogeographic studies, supporting the assumption of seasonal variations to be negligible with regards to geographic variations, an assumption commonly done in part due to the pragmatic fact that a broad geographical coverage cannot be designed with repeated sampling over seasons.

Acknowledgements

We thank D. Cotten and J.-L. Youanc for authorization of trapping in their agricultural exploitation. P. Cotten further helped us during trapping campaigns. We also acknowledge Ronan Ledevin for useful discussion and help with R scripts, and Corinne Régis, Elisabeth Johnson, Alice Galligar, Amy Corton and Oxala Garcia Rodriguez for her technical help while acquiring sequences. This study was supported by the ANR Bigtooth (ANR-11-BSV7-008). Emilie A Hardouin benefited from an invited lecturer scholarship of the University Lyon 1 that eased the redaction of the manuscript.

References

- Akaike, H., 1973. Information theory as an extension of the maximum likelihood principle., in: Kiado, A. (Ed.), Second International Symposium on Information Theory, Budapest, Hungary, pp. 267-281.
- Anderson, P.S.L., Renaud, S., Rayfield, E.J., 2014. Adaptive plasticity in the mouse mandible. BMC Evolutionary Biology 14, 85.

- Avenant, N.L., Smith, V.R., 2004. Seasonal changes in age class structure and reproductive status of house mice on Marion Island (Sub-Antarctic). Polar Biology 27, 99-111.
- Berry, R.J., 1981. Town Mouse, Country Mouse: adaptation and adaptability in *Mus domesticus* (*M. musculus domesticus*). Mammal Review 11, 91-136.
- Bonhomme, V., Picq, S., Gaucherel, C., Claude, J., 2014. Momocs: Outline Analysis Using R. Journal of Statistical Software 56, 1-24.
- Cassaing, J., Croset, H., 1985. Organisation spatiale, compétition et dynamique des populations
 sauvages de Souris (*Mus spretus* Lataste et *Mus musculus domesticus* Rutty) du Midi de la
 France. . Zeitschrift für Saügetierkunde 50, 271-284.

543

544

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

- Cho, S.-W., Lee, H.-A., Cai, J., Lee, M.-J., Kim, J.-Y., Ohshima, H., Jung, H.-S., 2007. The primary enamel
 knot determines the position of the first buccal cusp in developing mice molars.
 Differentiation 75, 441-451.
 - Collyer, M.L., Stockwell, C.A., Adams, C.D., Reiser, M.H., 2007. Phenotypic plasticity and contemporary evolution in introduced populations: evidence from translocated populations of white sand pupfish (*Cyrpinodon tularosa*). Ecological Research 22, 902-910.
- 545 Crampton, J.S., 1995. Elliptic Fourier shape analysis of fossil bivalves: some practical considerations. 546 Lethaia 28, 179-186.
 - Cucchi, T., 2008. Uluburun shipwreck stowaway house mouse: molar shape analysis and indirect clues about the vessel's last journey. Journal of Archaeological Science 35, 2953-2959.
 - Darriba, D., Taboada, G.L., Doallo, R., Posada, D., 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nature Methods 9, 772.
 - Dray, S., Dufour, A.-B., 2007. The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for ecologists. Journal of Statistical Software 22, 1-20.
 - Dujardin, J.-P., Kaba, D., Solano, P., Dupraz, M., McCoy, K.D., Jaramillo-O, N., 2014. Outline-based morphometrics, an overlooked method in arthropod studies? Infection, Genetics and Evolution 28, 704-714.
 - Efford, M.G., Karl, B.J., Moller, H., 1988. Population ecology of Mus musculus on Mana Island, New Zealand. Journal of Zoology, London 216, 539-563.
 - Ghalambor, C.K., McKay, J.M., Carroll, S.P., Reznick, D.N., 2007. Adaptive versus non-adaptive phenotypic plasticity and the potential for contemporary adaptation in new environments. . Functional Ecology 21, 394-407.
 - Gouy, M., Guindon, S., Gascuel, O., Lyon, D., 2010. SeaView version 4: A multiplatform graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. Molecular Biology and Evolution 27, 221-224.
 - Guérécheau, A., Ledevin, R., Henttonen, H., Deffontaine, V., Michaux, J.R., Chevret, P., Renaud, S., 2010. Seasonal variation in molar outline of bank voles: an effect of wear? Mammalian Biology 75, 311-319.
 - Hammer, Ø., Harper, D.A.T., Ryan, P.D., 2001. PAST: Paleontological Statistics software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontological Electronica 4, 1-9.
 - Hardouin, E., Chapuis, J.-L., Stevens, M.I., van Vuuren, J.B., Quillfeldt, P., Scavetta, R.J., Teschke, M., Tautz, D., 2010. House mouse colonization patterns on the sub-Antarctic Kerguelen Archipelago suggest singular primary invasions and resilience against re-invasion. BMC Evolutionary Biology 10, 325.
 - Kinnison, M.T., Hairston, G.J., 2007. Eco-evolutionary conservation biology: contemporary evolution and the dynamics of persistence. Functional Ecology 21, 444-454.
 - Klingenberg, C.P., Mebus, K., Auffray, J.-C., 2003. Developmental integration in a complex morphological structure: how distinct are the modules in the mouse mandible? Evolution and Development 5, 522-531.
- Lambrinos, J.G., 2004. How interactions between ecology and evolution influence contemporary invasion dynamics. Ecology 85, 2061-2070.
- Ledevin, R., Chevret, P., Ganem, G., Britton-Davidian, J., Hardouin, E.A., Chapuis, J.-L., Pisanu, B., Mathias, M.d.L., Schlager, S., Auffray, J.-C., Renaud, S., 2016. Phylogeny and adaptation

- 582 shape the teeth of insular mice. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Biological 583 Sciences (serie B) 283, 20152820.
- Lenormand, T., 2002. Gene flow and the limits to natural selection. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 584 585 17, 183-189.
- 586 Lidicker, W.Z., 1966. Ecological observations on a feral house mouse population declining to 587 extinction. Ecological monographs 36, 27-50.
- 588 Matthewson, D.C., Van Aarde, R.J., Skinner, J.D., 1994. Population biology of house mice (Mus musculus L.) on sub-Antarctic Marion Island. South African Journal of Zoology 29, 99-106.
 - Pocock, M.J.O., Searle, J.B., White, P.C.L., 2004. Adaptations of animals to commensal habitats: population dynamics of house mice Mus musculus domesticus on farms. Journal of Animal Ecology 73, 878-888.
 - Pryor, S., Bronson, F.H., 1981. Relative and combined effects of low temperature, poor diet, and short daylength on the productivity of wild house mice. Biology of Reproduction 25, 734-743.
 - R core team, 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
 - Rambaut, A., 2012. Figtree v1.4., http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/.
 - Rambaut, A., Suchard, M.A., Xie, D., Drummond, A.J., 2014. Tracer v1.6, http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer.

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604 605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

618

619

623

624

625

626

627

628

- Renaud, S., 2005. First upper molar and mandible shape of wood mice (Apodemus sylvaticus) from northern Germany: ageing, habitat and insularity. Mammalian Biology 70, 157-170.
- Renaud, S., Auffray, J.-C., Michaux, J., 2006. Conserved phenotypic variation patterns, evolution along lines of least resistance, and departure due to selection in fossil rodents. Evolution 60, 1701-
- Renaud, S., Dufour, A.-B., Hardouin, E.A., Ledevin, R., Auffray, J.-C., 2015a. Once upon multivariate analyses: when they tell several stories about biological evolution. PLoS ONE 10, e0132801.
- Renaud, S., Gomes Rodrigues, H., Ledevin, R., Pisanu, B., Chapuis, J.-L., Hardouin, E.A., 2015b. Fast morphological response of house mice to anthropogenic disturbances on a Sub-Antarctic island. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 114, 513-526.
- Renaud, S., Hardouin, E.A., Pisanu, B., Chapuis, J.-L., 2013. Invasive house mice facing a changing environment on the Sub-Antarctic Guillou Island (Kerguelen Archipelago). Journal of Evolutionary Biology 26, 612-624.
- Renaud, S., Michaux, J., Jaeger, J.-J., Auffray, J.-C., 1996. Fourier analysis applied to Stephanomys (Rodentia, Muridae) molars: nonprogressive evolutionary pattern in a gradual lineage. Paleobiology 22, 255-265.
- 616 Renaud, S., Pantalacci, S., Auffray, J.-C., 2011. Differential evolvability along lines of least resistance 617 of upper and lower molars in island house mice. PLoS One 6, e18951.
 - Rohlf, F.J., Archie, J.W., 1984. A comparison of Fourier methods for the description of wing shape in Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). Systematic Zoology 33, 302-317.
- 620 Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., Mark, P.v.d., Ayres, D.L., Darling, A., Höhna, S., Larget, B., Liu, L., Suchard, 621 M.A., Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2012. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian Phylogenetic Inference and 622 Model Choice Across a Large Model Space. Systematic Biology 61, 539-542.
 - Rowe, F.P., Swinney, T., Quy, R.J., 1983. Reproduction of the house mouse (Mus musculus) in farm buildings. Journal of Zoology, London 199, 259-269.
 - Sheets, H.D., Covino, K.M., Panasiewicz, J.M., Morris, S.R., 2006. Comparison of geometric morphometric outline methods in the discrimination of age-related differences in feather shape. Frontiers in Zoology 3, 15.
 - Singleton, G.R., 1983. The social and genetic structure of a natural colony of house mice, Mus musculus, at Healesville Wildlife Sanctuary. Australian Journal of Zoology 31, 155-166.
- 630 Swiderski, D.L., Zelditch, M.L., 2013. The complex ontogenetic trajectory of mandibular shape in a 631 laboratory mouse. Journal of Anatomy 223, 568-580.
- 632 Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., Kumar, S., 2013. MEGA6: Molecular evolutionary 633 genetics analysis version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30, 2725-2729.

634 635 636 637 638	 Triggs, G.S., 1991. The population ecology of house mice (<i>Mus domesticus</i>) on the Isle of May, Scotland. Journal of Zoology, London 225, 449-468. Valenzuela-Lamas, S., Baylac, M., Cucchi, T., Vigne, JD., 2011. House mouse dispersal in Iron Age Spain: a geometric morphometrics appraisal. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 102, 483-497.
639	
640	
641	

642 Tables

Locality	Farm	Latitude	Longitude	Season	Code	Nb Mb	Nb UM1	N_{genet}	N_{haplo}
Tourch	Kerloyou	48° 00′ 41.22" N	3° 49′ 38.66" W	August 2011	TKY_Aug11	40	40	6	2
				November 2011	TKY_Nov11	28	27	6	2
				May 2012	TKY_May12	7	7	6	2
Tourch	Kerc'hoaler	47° 59′ 48.48" N	3° 50′ 02.30" W	June 2012	TKH_June12	13	12	8	1
Frontignan		43° 27′ 56.63" N	3° 49′ 27.30" E	April 2009	FRO_Apr09	19	19	5	5
				October 2009	FRO_Oct09	7	7	14	7
				March 2010	FRO_Mar10	4	4	2	2
				March 2013		-	-	1	1

Table 1. Sampling of the study: locality of trapping and eventually farm, with latitude and longitude, date of trapping, code, number of mandibles (Nb Md) and first upper molars (Nb UM1) measured, number of sequenced specimens (N_{genet}) and number of haplotypes documented (N_{haplo}).

	HBL	Weight	Md Size	UM1 Size	Md Shape	UM1 Shape
Tourch	0.168	0.120	0.017	0.120	0.258	0.095
Frontignan	0.301	0.975	0.566	0.035	0.306	0.542
Gardouch	0.111	0.077	0.076	0.336	0.981	0.012

Table 2. Sexual dimorphism in the populations of Tourch, Frontignan and Gardouch. Variables are head + body length (HBL), body weight, mandible (Md) size and shape, and first upper molar (UM1) size and shape. Probabilities of ANOVA for univariate size measurements and MANOVA for multivariate shape datasets are given (in italics P < 0.05, in bold P < 0.01). For shape, the tests were performed on the PCs representing more than 5% of variance.

	Kerloyou vs.	Kerloyou	erloyou			Frontignan			
	Kerc'hoaler	Seasons				Seasons			
	P	Р	P _{pairwise}	P _{pairwise}	P _{pairwise}	P	P _{pairwise}	P _{pairwise}	P _{pairwise}
			Aug11/	Aug11/	Nov11/		Oct09/	Oct09/	Apr09/
			Nov11	May12	May12		Apr09	Mar10	Mar10
HBL	0.388	0.399	0.931	0.297	0.493	< 0.001	0.002	0.305	0.076
Weight	0.518	0.477	0.967	0.368	0.510	0.758	0.941	0.762	0.926
Md size	0.025	0.581	0.955	0.637	0.458	0.260	0.443	0.559	0.979
UM1 size	< 0.001	0.923	0.964	0.999	0.961	0.019	0.785	0.030	0.006
Md shape	< 0.001	0.007	0.002	0.535	0.090	0.007	0.008	0.016	0.620
UM1 shape	0.002	0.020	0.007	0.482	0.197	0.029	0.009	0.556	0.256

Table 3. Size and shape differences in Tourch between the two farms (Kerloyou vs Kerc'hoaler), between the three seasons in Kerloyou, and between the three seasons in Frontignan. Variables investigated are body length (head + body length, HBL), body weight, mandible (Md) and first upper molar (UM1) size, and mandible and molar shape (summarized by the PC axes explaining more than 5% of variance). Differences were investigated using an ANOVA for univariate variables, and a MANOVA for multivariate data sets (tests performed on the PCs representing more than 5% of variance). Probabilities of pairwise differences are also given. In italics P < 0.05, in bold P < 0.01.

dataset	grouping	Mandible	UM1
Tourch	Kerloyou vs. Kerc'hoaler	9.0%	10.9%
Kerloyou	Seasons	4.3%	5.0%
Frontignan	Seasons	9.4%	8.9%
Geographic variation	Localities	17.3%	22.1%

Table 4. Percentage of between-group to total variance in between-group PCAs performed on the Fourier coefficients. The dataset is indicated together with the factor of grouping. Percentage is provided for the analysis of mandible and molar (UM1) shape.

		HBL		Season		Wear	
		%	Р	%	Р	%	Р
Kerloyou	Weight	11.4%	<0.001	0.9%	0.410	12.1%	<0.001
	Md size	31.1%	<0.001	0.5%	0.417	3.4%	0.001
	UM1 size	3.6%	0.111	0.0%	0.992	4.3%	0.082
	Md shape	7.9%	<0.001	4.1%	0.042	5.8%	<0.001
	UM1 shape	0.7%	0.640	4.5%	0.116	6.6%	<0.001
Frontignan	Weight	11.6%	0.003	35.0%	<0.001	22.5%	<0.001
	Md size	35.9%	<0.001	12.7%	0.007	1.8%	0.195
	UM1 size	2.7%	0.307	37.7%	0.003	12.8%	0.031
	Md shape	4.1%	0.217	11.1%	0.042	6.4%	0.095
	UM1 shape	2.3%	0.644	8.7%	0.156	4.4%	0.194

Table 5. Linear models investigating relationships between size and shape of mandible and molars, and body size, season and wear stage in Kerloyou and Frontignan. Variables investigated are body weight, mandible (Md) and first upper molar (UM1) size, and mandible and molar shape (summarized by the PC axes explaining more than 5% of variance). The model investigated included as explanatory variables head + body length (HBL), season, and wear stage. Probabilities of pairwise differences are also given. Probabilities are given (P) as well as percentage of variance in the linear model (%). In italics P < 0.05, in bold P < 0.01.

	HBL		Locality		HBL * Locality	
	%	Р	%	Р	%	Р
Weight	47.7%	< 0.0001	5.5%	< 0.0001	3.3%	0.0003
Md size	60.7%	< 0.0001	2.0%	0.004	0.4%	0.293
UM1 size	0.2%	0.561	3.5%	0.042	1.0%	0.397

Table 6. Allometric relationships between body length (head + body length, HBL) and weight, mandible (Md) and molar (UMA) size in the three populations of Tourch, Frontignan and Gardouch. A linear model including body length, locality and interaction was used to investigate differences in allometric relationships between the three populations. The percentage of variance (%) explained by body length, locality, and interaction is provided, together with the associated probability (P). In italics P < 0.05, in bold P < 0.01.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Examples of mandibles (to the left) and first upper molars (to the right) of the house mouse *Mus musculus domesticus* from the feral population of Frontignan, Southern France (A, B) and the commensal populations from Brittany (France) Tourch Kerloyou (C, D) and the neighboring farm Tourch Kerc'hoaler (E). F. Map of the localities, including the Western European localities used for the morphometric comparison. **G.** The sixty-four points of the outline, positioned on an example of mandible and a molar.

Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree based on D-loop sequences. Genetic sampling was design to represent the diversity of Western European mice. The genetic composition of the feral population (Frontignan) and the two commensal populations from Tourch in Brittany (Kerloyou and Kerc'hoaler farms) were inserted in this background. Values at the nodes are posterior probabilities obtained with MrBayes.

Figure 3. Frequency distribution of the wear classes (based on the upper tooth row) in the different populations: three seasons in the Kerloyou farm, and neighboring Kerc'hoaler farm in Tourch (Brittany), three seasons in feral population of Frontignan, and the population of Gardouch.

Figure 4. Size variations in the commensal Tourch populations (upper panels) and the feral population of Frontignan (lower panels). From left to right: body size (head + body length), body weight, mandible size, upper molar size. Each dot corresponds to a specimen.

Figure 5. Relationship between body size (head + body length) and weight, mandible size and molar size (from left to right) in the commensal populations of Gardouch and Tourch, and the feral population of Frontignan.

Figure 6. Mandible shape (A) and molar shape (B) on the first two principal axes of the between-group PCA on the Fourier coefficients of the mandible or the molar. The mean of each group is represented by its abbreviation. Groups were various commensal Western European populations (Gardouch; FR-MONTP: Montpellier; GER-CB: Cologne-Bonn; IT-SBER: San Bernardino; IT-LOMB: Lombardy), and seasons in the feral population of Frontignan (FRO), and the commensal population of Tourch with the two neighboring farms Kerloyou (TKY) and Kerc'hoaler (TKH). Reconstruction of

mean shape was visualized using inverse Fourier transform for Gardouch, IT-REG, Frontignan October 2009 and March 2010, Kerloyou November 2011 and Kerc'hoaler. Visualization is based on an inverse elliptic Fourier transform for the mandible, and on the radial Fourier transform for the molar. Figure 7. Morphological distances between seasonal samples in Frontignan (orange) and Tourch Kerloyou (dark blue), between the average values of Frontignan, Gardouch, Tourch Kerloyou and Tourch Kerc'hoaler (green; the arrow points to the distance between two neighboring farms Kerloyou and Kerc'hoaler), compared to the distribution (in grey) of morphological distances between simulated groups derived from a Western European pool. (A, C) Size distances. (B, D) Shape distances. (A, B) Mandible. (C, D) Molar. **Supplementary Figure 1.** Size-free pattern of shape variation for the mandible (A) and molar (B). Axes are the first two principal axes of the between-group PCA on the residuals of a multivariate regression of the shape variables (Fourier coefficients) vs. the size of the feature (A0 of the mandible or the molar). The mean of each group is represented by its abbreviation. Groups as in Figure 6.