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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove a conjecture of Wei Zhang on comparison of certain local spherical characters from which we draw some consequences for the Ichino-Ikeda conjecture for unitary groups.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $E / F$ be a quadratic extension of number fields. Let $V$ be a $(n+1)$-dimensional hermitian space over $E$ and let $W \subset V$ be a nondegenerate hyperplane. Set $G=U(W) \times U(V)$ and $H=U(W)$. We view $H$ as a subgroup of $G$ via the natural diagonal embedding. Let $\pi$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$. Define the $H$-period of $\pi$ to be the linear form $\mathcal{P}_{H}: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$
\mathcal{P}_{H}(\phi)=\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} \phi(h) d h, \quad \phi \in \pi
$$

where $d h$ stands for the Tamagawa Haar measure on $H(\mathbb{A})$ (the integral is absolutely convergent by cuspidality of $\pi$ ). Let $B C(\pi)$ be the base change of $\pi$ to $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right) \times G L_{n+1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ (known to exist thanks to the recent work of Mok [Mok] and Kaletha, Minguez, Shin and White [KMSW]). We may decompose $\pi=\pi_{n} \boxtimes \pi_{n+1}$ with $\pi_{n}, \pi_{n+1}$ cuspidal automorphic representations of $U(W)$ and $U(V)$ respectively. We have a similar decomposition $B C(\pi)=B C\left(\pi_{n}\right) \boxtimes B C\left(\pi_{n+1}\right)$ with $B C\left(\pi_{n}\right), B C\left(\pi_{n+1}\right)$ two automorphic representations of $G L_{n, E}$ and $G L_{n+1, E}$ respectively. Let $L(s, B C(\pi))$ denote the $L$-function of pair $L\left(s, B C\left(\pi_{n}\right) \times B C\left(\pi_{n+1}\right)\right)$ defined by Jacquet, Piatetskii-Shapiro and Shalika. If $\pi$ is tempered everywhere (meaning that for all place $v$ the local representation $\pi_{v}$ is tempered), a famous conjecture of Gan, Gross and Prasad links the nonvanishing of the period $\mathcal{P}_{H}$ to the nonvanishing of the central value $L(1 / 2, B C(\pi)$ ) (see [GGP,conjecture 24.1] for a precise statement). In the influential paper [II], Ichino and Ikeda have proposed a refinement of this conjecture for orthogonal groups in the form of an exact formula relating these two invariants. This conjecture has been suitably extended to unitary groups by N. Harris in his Ph.D. thesis ([Ha]). These formulas are modeled on the celebrated work of Waldspurger ([Wald3]) on toric periods for $G L_{2}$.
In two recent papers ([Zh1], [Zh2]), W.Zhang has proved both the Gan-Gross-Prasad and the Ichino-Ikeda conjectures for unitary groups under some local assumptions on $\pi$. More precisely, Zhang proves the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture under some mild local assumptions
(mainly that $\pi$ is supercuspidal at one place of $F$ which splits in $E$, see [Zh1,Theorem 1.1]) but he only gets the Ichino-Ikeda conjecture under far more stringent assumptions (see [Zh2,Theorem 1.2]). This discrepancy is due to some local difficulties that we shall discuss shortly. In [Zh2], Zhang makes a series of conjectures (one for every place of $F$ ) which if true would allow to considerably weaken the assumptions of [Zh2, Theorem 1.2]. The goal of this paper is to prove this conjecture at all nonarchimedean place of $F$. Thus, it will allow us to derive new cases of the Ichino-Ikeda conjecture.

Let us now formulate the Ichino-Ikeda conjecture in a form suitable to our purpose. We assume from now on that $\pi$ is everywhere tempered. Set

$$
\mathcal{L}(s, \pi):=\Delta_{n+1} \frac{L(s, B C(\pi))}{L\left(s+\frac{1}{2}, \pi, A d\right)}
$$

where $\Delta_{n+1}$ is the following product of special values of Hecke $L$-functions

$$
\Delta_{n+1}:=\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} L\left(i, \eta_{E / F}^{i}\right)
$$

$\eta_{E / F}$ being the idele class character associated to the extension $E / F$ and where the adjoint $L$-function of $\pi$ is defined by

$$
L(s, \pi, A d):=L\left(s, B C\left(\pi_{n}\right), A s^{(-1)^{n}}\right) L\left(s, B C\left(\pi_{n+1}\right), A s^{(-1)^{n+1}}\right)
$$

(see [GGP, §7] for the definition of the Asai L-functions). For all place $v$ of $F$, we will denote by $\mathcal{L}\left(s, \pi_{v}\right)$ the corresponding quotient of local $L$-functions. To the period $\mathcal{P}_{H}$ we associate a global spherical character $J_{\pi}$. It is a distribution on the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}))$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ given by

$$
J_{\pi}(f)=\sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi}} \mathcal{P}_{H}(\pi(f) \phi) \overline{\mathcal{P}_{H}(\phi)}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}))$ and where $\mathcal{B}_{\pi}$ is a (suitable) orthonormal basis of $\pi$ for the Petersson inner product

$$
\left(\phi, \phi^{\prime}\right)_{P e t}=\int_{G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} \phi(g) \overline{\phi(g)} d g
$$

(where $d g$ is the Tamagawa Haar measure on $G(\mathbb{A})$ ). We also define local spherical characters as follows. Fix factorizations $d g=\prod_{v} d g_{v}$ and $d h=\prod_{v} d h_{v}$ of the Tamagawa Haar measures on $G(\mathbb{A})$ and $H(\mathbb{A})$ respectively. For all place $v$ of $F$, we define a local spherical character $J_{\pi_{v}}: \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left(\right.$ where $\mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ denotes the Schwartz space of $\left.G\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ by

$$
J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right)=\int_{H\left(F_{v}\right)} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\pi_{v}(h) \pi_{v}\left(f_{v}\right)\right) d h_{v}, \quad f_{v} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right)\right)
$$

(the integral is absolutely convergent by temperedness of $\pi_{v}$ ). For almost all place $v$ of $F$, if $f_{v}$ is the characteristic function of $G\left(\mathcal{O}_{v}\right)$ we have

$$
J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right)=\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{v}\right) \operatorname{vol}\left(H\left(\mathcal{O}_{v}\right)\right) \operatorname{vol}\left(G\left(\mathcal{O}_{v}\right)\right)
$$

We define a normalized spherical character $J_{\pi_{v}}^{\natural}$ by

$$
J_{\pi_{v}}^{\natural}\left(f_{v}\right)=\frac{J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right)}{\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{v}\right)}
$$

Finally, we will write $S_{\pi}$ for the component group associated to the $L$-parameter of $\pi$. It is a 2-abelian group and if $B C(\pi)$ is cuspidal we have $S_{\pi} \simeq(\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z})^{2}$. We can now state the Ichino-Ikeda conjecture as follows:

Conjecture 1.0.1 (Ichino-Ikeda) Assume that $\pi$ is everywhere tempered. Then, for all factorizable test function $f=\prod_{v} f_{v} \in \mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}))$ we have

$$
J_{\pi}(f)=\left|S_{\pi}\right|^{-1} \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi\right) \prod_{v} J_{\pi_{v}}^{\natural}\left(f_{v}\right)
$$

Note that the Ichino-Ikeda conjecture is not usually stated this way but rather in a form involving directly the (square of the absolute value of the) period $\mathcal{P}_{H}$ and some local periods (see [II,conjecture 1.5] and [Ha,conjecture 1.2]), see however [Zh2, lemma 1.7] for the equivalence between the two formulations.
The main tool used by Zhang to attack conjecture 1.0.1 is a comparison of certain (simple) relative trace formulae that have been proposed by Jacquet and Rallis ([JR]). To carry this comparison, we need a fundamental lemma and the existence of smooth matching. The fundamental lemma for the case at hand has been proved by Yun ([Yu]) in positive characteristic and extended by J. Gordon to characteristic 0 in the appendix to [Yu]. The existence of smooth matching at nonarchimedean places is one of the main achievements of Zhang in [Zh1]. It has been recently extended in a weak form by Xue ([Xue]) to archimedean places. The comparison between the two trace formulae has been done by Zhang in [Zh1]. The output is an identity relating the spherical $J_{\pi}$ (under some mild local assumptions on $\pi$ ) to certain periods on the base-change of $\pi$. More precisely, there is a certain spherical character $I_{B C(\pi)}$ attached to these periods and we get an equality between $J_{\pi}(f)$ and $I_{B C(\pi)}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ up to an explicit factor for nice matching functions $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ (see [Zh2,Theorem 4.3] and Theorem 3.5.1 below). Thanks to the work of Jacquet, Piatetskii-Shapiro and Shalika on Rankin-Selberg convolutions we know an explicit factorization for $I_{B C(\pi)}$ in terms of local (normalized) spherical characters $I_{B C\left(\pi_{v}\right)}^{\natural}$ (see [Zh2,Proposition 3.6]). As a consequence, we also get an explicit factorization of $J_{\pi}$. However, this factorization is still in terms of the local spherical characters $I_{B C\left(\pi_{v}\right)}^{\natural}$ which are living on (products of) general linear groups. In order to get the Ichino-Ikeda conjecture we need to compare them with the our original local spherical characters $J_{\pi_{v}}^{\natural}$. It is precisely the content of the following conjecture of Zhang (see [Zh2, conjecture 4.4] and conjecture 3.5.5 for precise statements):

Conjecture 1.0.2 (Zhang) Let $v$ be a place of $F$. Then for all matching functions $f_{v} \in$ $\mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ and $f_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ we have

$$
I_{B C(\pi)_{v}}^{\natural}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right)=C\left(\pi_{v}\right) J_{\pi_{v}}^{\natural}\left(f_{v}\right)
$$

where $C\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ is some explicit constant.
Together with the above-mentioned comparison of relative trace formulae, this conjecture implies the Ichino-Ikeda conjecture under mild local assumptions (see [Zh2,Proposition 4.5]). Zhang was able to verify his conjecture in certain particular cases. More precisely, in [Zh2] the above conjecture is proved for split places or when the representation $\pi_{v}$ is unramified (and the residual characteristic is sufficiently large) or supercuspidal (see Theorem 4.6 of loc.cit). This explains the very strong conditions that are imposed on $\pi$ in [Zh2, Theorem 1.2]. The main purpose of this paper is to prove conjecture 1.0.2 at every nonarchimedean place. Our main result thus reads as follows (see Theorem 3.5.7):

Theorem 1.0.3 For every nonarchimedean place $v$ of $F$, conjecture 1.0.2 holds at $v$.
As a consequence of this theorem we obtain the following result towards conjecture 1.0.1 (see Theorem 3.5.8):

Theorem 1.0.4 Let $\pi$ be cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which is everywhere tempered. Assume that all the archimedean places of $F$ split in $E$ and that there exists a nonarchimedean place $v_{0}$ of $F$ such that $B C\left(\pi_{v_{0}}\right)$ is supercuspidal. Then conjecture 1.0.1 holds for $\pi$.

The main new ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.0.3 is a group analog of the local relative trace formula for Lie algebras developed by Zhang in [Zh1,§4.1]. Actually, this local trace formula can be derived directly from results contained in [Zh1] and [Beu] so that the proof of it is rather brief (see $\S 4.3$ ). We then deduce Theorem 1.0.3 from a combination of this local trace formula with certain results of Zhang on truncated local expansion of spherical characters (see $[\mathrm{Zh} 2, \S 8]$ and $\S 4.1$ ).
We now briefly describe the content of each section. In section 1 , we set up the notations, fix the measures and recall a number of results (in particular concerning global and local basechange for unitary groups and the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture) that will be needed in the sequel. In section 2 we mainly recall the work of Zhang on comparison of global relative trace formulae, we state precisely conjecture 1.0.2 as well as the main results (Theorem 3.5.7 and Theorem 3.5.8). Section 3 is devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1.0.3 and Theorem 1.0.4. In section 4 , we explain how we can remove the temperedness assumption in Theorem 3.5.8. Finally, we have included an appendix to prove that the simple Jacquet-Rallis trace formulae are still absolutely convergent for test functions which are not necessarily compactly supported (but nevertheless rapidly decreasing). For this, we define certain norms on the automorphic quotient $[G]:=G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ and establish their basic properties. This material
is certainly classical but the author hasn't be able to find a convenient reference, hence we provide complete proofs. It has however interesting consequences e.g. for $H$ a closed subgroup of $G$ we can give a criterion under which every cuspidal form on $[G]$ is integrable on [ $H$ ] (see Proposition A.1.1 (ix), the criterion simply being that the variety $H \backslash G$ is quasiaffine).
Aknowledgement: I thank Volker Heiermann, Wee-Teck Gan and Hang Xue for useful comments on an earlier draft of this paper. This work has been done while the author was a Senior Research Fellow at the National University of Singapore and the author would like to thank this institution fo its warm hospitality.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 General notations and conventions

In this paper $E / F$ will always be a quadratic extension of number fields or of local fields of characteristic zero. We will always denote by $T r_{E / F}$ the corresponding trace and by $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ the nontrivial $F$-automorphism of $E$. Moreover, we will fix a nonzero element $\tau \in E$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}_{E / F}(\tau)=0$. The notation $R_{E / F}$ will stand for the Weil restriction of scalars from $E$ to $F$. For every finite dimensional hermitian space $V$ over $E$ we will denote by $U(V)$ the corresponding unitary group and we will write $\mathfrak{u}(V)$ for its Lie algebra. The standard maximal unipotent subgroup of $G L_{n}$ will be denoted by $N_{n}$. For all connected reductive group $G$ over $F$ we will write $Z_{G}$ for the center of $G$. For all $n \geqslant 1$ we define a variety $S_{n}$ over $F$ by

$$
S_{n}:=\left\{s \in R_{E / F} G L_{n} ; s \bar{s}=1\right\}
$$

and its "Lie algebra" $\mathfrak{s}_{n}$ by

$$
\mathfrak{s}_{n}:=\left\{X \in R_{E / F} M_{n} ; X+\bar{X}=0\right\}
$$

We have a surjective map $\nu: R_{E / F} G L_{n} / G L_{n} \rightarrow S_{n}$ given by $\nu(g)=g \bar{g}^{-1}$ which, by Hilbert 90 , is surjective at the level of $k$-points for any field $k$. We will denote by $\mathfrak{c}$ the Cayley map $\mathfrak{c}: X \mapsto(X+1)(X-1)^{-1}$ which realizes a birational isomorphism between $\mathfrak{s}_{n}$ and $S_{n}$ and also between $\mathfrak{u}(V)$ and $U(V)$ for all finite dimensional hermitian space $V$ over $E$.

Assume that the fields $E$ and $F$ are local. We will then denote by $|\cdot|_{F}$ the normalized absolute value on $F$ (and similarly for $E$ ) and by $\eta_{E / F}$ the quadratic character of $F^{\times}$associated to the extension $E / F$. We will also fix an extension $\eta^{\prime}$ of $\eta_{E / F}$ to $E^{\times}$and a nontrivial additive character $\psi: F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. We will set $\psi_{E}(z)=\psi\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{E / F}(z)\right)$ for all $z \in E$. Let $G$ be a reductive connected group over $F$. By a representation of $G(F)$ we will always mean a smooth representation if $F$ is $p$-adic and an admissible smooth Fréchet representation of moderate growth if $F$ is archimedean (see [BK], [Ca] and [Wall, section 11]). We will denote by $\operatorname{Irr}(G), \operatorname{Irr}_{\text {unit }}(G)$ and $\operatorname{Temp}(G)$ the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible, irreducible unitary, irreducible tempered representations of $G(F)$ respectively. We will endow these sets
with the Fell topology (see [Tad]). For any parabolic subgroup $P=M U$ of $G$ ( $U$ denoting the unipotent radical of $P$ and $M$ a Levi factor) and for any irreducible representation $\sigma$ of $M(F)$ we will denote by $i_{P}^{G}(\sigma)$ the normalized parabolic induction of $\sigma$. The notation $\Psi_{\text {unit }}(G)$ will stand for the group of unitary unramified characters of $G(F)$. The space of Schwartz functions $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$ consists of locally constant compactly supported functions if $F$ is $p$-adic oand functions rapidly decreasing with all their derivatives if $F$ is archimedean (see [Beu, $\S 1.4]$ ). If $F$ is $p$-adic and $\Omega$ is a finite union of Bernstein components of $G(F)$ (see [BD]), we will denote by $\mathcal{S}(G(F))_{\Omega}$ the corresponding summand of $\mathcal{S}(G(F)$ ) (for the action by left translation). Finally, if $\pi$ is an irreducible generic representation of $G L_{n}(E)$ we will denote by $\mathcal{W}\left(\pi, \psi_{E}\right)$ the Whittaker model of $\pi$ with respect to $\psi_{E}$. It is a space of smooth functions $W: G(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the relation

$$
W(u g)=\psi_{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_{i, i+1}\right) W(g)
$$

for all $u \in N_{n}(E)$ and such that $\pi$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{W}\left(\pi, \psi_{E}\right)$ equipped with the $G(F)$-action by right translation.
In the number field case, we will denote by $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{A}_{E}$ the adele rings of $F$ and $E$ respectively and by $\eta_{E / F}$ the idele class character associated to the extension $E / F$. We will fix an extension $\eta^{\prime}$ of $\eta_{E / F}$ to $\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}$. For every place $v$ of $F$ we will denote by $F_{v}$ the corresponding completion, $\mathcal{O}_{v} \subset F_{v}$ the ring of integers (if $v$ is nonarchimdean) and we will set $E_{v}=E \otimes_{F} F_{v}$, $\mathcal{O}_{E, v}=\mathcal{O}_{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F}} \mathcal{O}_{v}$ where $\mathcal{O}_{F}, \mathcal{O}_{E}$ denote the ring of integers in $F$ and $E$ respectively. If $S$ is a finite set of places of $F$, we define $F_{S}=\prod_{v \in S} F_{v}$. If $\Sigma$ is a (usually infinite) set of places of $F$, we will write $\mathbb{A}_{\Sigma}$ for the restricted product of the $F_{v}$ for $v \in \Sigma$. We will also fix a nontrivial additive character $\psi: \mathbb{A} / F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$and we will set $\psi_{E}(z)=\psi\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{E / F}(z)\right)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{A}_{E}$. For all place $v$ of $F$, will denote by $\psi_{v}, \psi_{E, v}$ and $\eta_{v}^{\prime}$ the local components at $v$ of $\psi, \psi_{E}$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ respectively. Let $G$ be a connected reductive group over $F$. We will set $[G]=G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ and for all place $v$ of $F$ we will denote by $G_{v}$ the base-change of $G$ to $F_{v}$. The Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}))$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ is by definition the restricted tensor product of the local Schwartz spaces $\mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$. We will denote by $\mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}\right)$ the enveloping algebra of the complexification of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}$ of $\prod_{v \mid \infty} G\left(F_{v}\right)$ and by $C_{G} \in \mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}\right)$ the Casimir element. If a maximal compact subgroup $K=\prod_{v} K_{v}$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ has been fixed, we will also denote by $C_{K} \in \mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}\right)$ the Casimir element of $K_{\infty}:=\prod_{v \mid \infty} K_{v}$. Finally if $\eta: \mathbb{A}^{\times} / F^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is an idele class character and $g \in G L_{n}(\mathbb{A})$ we will usually abbreviate $\eta(\operatorname{det} g)$ by $\eta(g)$.

### 2.2 Analytic families of distributions

Assume that $F$ is a local field. Let $G$ be a connected reductive group over $F$ and let $\pi \mapsto L_{\pi}$ be a family of (continuous if $F$ is archimedean) linear forms on $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$ indexed by the set $\operatorname{Temp}(G(F))$ of all irreducible tempered representations of $G(F)$. Assume that the following condition is satisfied:

For all parabolic subgroup $P=M U$ of $G$ and for all square-integrable
representation $\sigma$ of $M(F)$ there is at most one irreducible subrepresentation $\pi$ of $i_{P}^{G}(\sigma)$ such that $L_{\pi} \neq 0$.

This condition is for example automatically satisfied if $G=G L_{n}$ (as in this case the representation $i_{P}^{G}(\sigma)$ is always irreducible). If this condition is satisfied, we may extend the family of distributions $\pi \mapsto L_{\pi}$ to any induced representation $i_{P}^{G}(\sigma)$ as above by setting $L_{i_{P}^{G}(\sigma)}=L_{\pi}$ if $\pi$ is the unique irreducible subrepresentation of $i_{P}^{G}(\sigma)$ such that $L_{\pi} \neq 0$ and $L_{i_{P}^{G}(\sigma)}=0$ if no such subrepresentation exists. We then say that this family is analytic if for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F)$ ), all parabolic subgroup $P=M U$ and all square-integrable representation $\sigma$ of $M(F)$ the function

$$
\chi \in \Psi_{u n i t}(M) \mapsto L_{i_{P}^{G}(\sigma \otimes \chi)}(f)
$$

is analytic (recall that $\Psi_{\text {unit }}(M)$ being a compact real torus has a natural structure of analytic variety).

### 2.3 Base Change for unitary groups

Let $E / F$ be a quadratic extension of local fields of characteristic zero (either archimedean or $p$-adic). Let $V$ be a $n$-dimensional hermitian space over $E$. Recall that the set of Langlands parameters for $U(V)$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of $(-1)^{n+1}$-conjugate dual continuous semisimple representations $\varphi$ of the Langlands group $\mathcal{L}_{E}$ of $E$ (see [GGP, §3] for a definition of $\epsilon$-conjugate dual representations). In what follows, by a Langlands parameter for $U(V)$ we shall mean a representation $\varphi$ of this sort. By the recent results of Mok [Mok] and Kaletha-Minguez-Shin-White [KMSW] on the local Langlands correspondence for unitary groups together with the work of Langlands [Lan] for real groups, we know that there exists a canonical decomposition

$$
\operatorname{Irr}(U(V))=\bigsqcup_{\varphi} \Pi^{U(V)}(\varphi)
$$

indexed by the set of all Langlands parameters for $U(V)$. The sets $\Pi^{U(V)}(\varphi)$ are finite (some of them may be empty) and called $L$-packets. By the Langlands classification, the above decomposition boils down to an analog decomposition of the tempered dual

$$
\operatorname{Temp}(U(V))=\bigsqcup_{\varphi} \Pi^{U(V)}(\varphi)
$$

where the union is over the set of tempered Langlands parameters for $U(V)$ i.e. the parameters $\varphi$ whose image is bounded. This last decomposition admits a characterization in terms of endoscopic relations (see [Mok, Theorem 3.2.1] and [KMSW, Theorem 1.6.1]) and of the (known) Langlands correspondence for $G L_{d}(E)$ ([He], [HT], [S]). By this Langlands correspondence, every parameter $\varphi$ of $U(V)$ determines an irreducible representation $\pi(\varphi)$ of $G L_{n}(E)$. If $\pi$ is in the $L$-packet corresponding to $\varphi$ we will write $B C(\pi):=\pi(\varphi)$. If $\pi$ is tempered then so is $B C(\pi)$ and conversely. However it might happen that $\pi$ is supercuspidal
or square-integrable but $B C(\pi)$ is not. Aubert, Moussaoui and Solleveld [AMS] have recently proposed a very general conjecture on how to detect supercuspidal representations in $L$-packets. Moreover, Moussaoui [Mou] has been able to verify this conjecture for orthogonal and symplectic groups. Most probably his work will soon cover unitary groups too. We will need the following particular case of the Aubert-Moussaoui-Solleveld conjecture for which however we can give a direct proof.

Lemma 2.3.1 Assume that $F$ is $p$-adic. Let $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(U(V))$ and assume that $B C(\pi)$ is supercuspidal. Then so is $\pi$.

Proof: We will use the following characterization of supercuspidal representations
(1) $\pi$ is supercuspidal if and only if the Harish-Chandra character $\Theta_{\pi}$ of $\pi$ is compactly supported modulo conjugation.

The necessity is an old result of Deligne ([De]). The sufficiency follows for example from Clozel's formula for the character ([Cl1, Proposition 1]).
Let $\varphi$ be the Langlands parameter of $\pi$. Then by our assumption the $L$-packet $\Pi^{U(V)}(\varphi)$ is a singleton. Introduce the twisted group $\widetilde{G L_{n}(E)}=G L_{n}(E) \theta_{n}$ where $\theta_{n}(g)={ }^{t} \bar{g}^{-1}$. It is the set of $F$-points of the nonneutral connected component of the non-connected group $G^{+}=R_{E / F} G L_{n} \rtimes\left\{1, \theta_{n}\right\}$. Since $\varphi$ is a conjugate-dual representation of $\mathcal{L}_{E}$, it follows that $B C(\pi)$ may be extended to a representation $B C(\pi)^{+}$of $G^{+}(F)$. Denote by $\widetilde{B C(\pi)}$ the restriction of $B C(\pi)^{+}$to $G L_{n}(E)$ and denote by $\Theta_{\overparen{B C(\pi)}}$ the Harish-Chandra character of $\widetilde{B C(\pi)}$ (the Harish-Chandra theory of characters has been extended to twisted groups by Clozel [Cl2]). Since $B C(\pi)$ is supercuspidal, the character $\Theta_{\widetilde{B C(\pi)}}$ is compactly supported modulo conjugation (this follows for example from the equality up to a factor between $\Theta_{\overparen{B C(\pi)}}$ and weighted orbital integrals of coefficients of $\widetilde{B C(\pi)}$ see [Wald2, théorème 7.1]). By the endoscopic characterization of the local Langlands correspondence for unitary groups, there is a relation between $\Theta_{\pi}$ and $\Theta_{\overparen{B C(\pi)}}$. More precisely there is a correspondence between (stable) regular conjugacy classes in $U(V)(F)$ and $\widetilde{G L_{n}(E)}$ (see [Beu2,§3.2], in this particular case the correspondence takes the form of an injective map $U(V)_{\text {reg }}(F) /$ stab $\hookrightarrow G L_{n}(E)_{\text {reg }} /$ stab $)$ and for all regular elements $y \in U(V)(F), \tilde{x} \in \widehat{G L_{n}(E)}$ that correspond to each other we have (see [Mok, Theorem 3.2.1] and [KMSW, Theorem 1.6.1])

$$
\Theta_{\overparen{B C(\pi)}}(\widetilde{x})=\Delta(y, \widetilde{x}) \Theta_{\pi}(y)
$$

where $\Delta(y, \widetilde{x})$ is (up to a sign) a certain transfer factor. From this relation we easily infer that $\Theta_{\pi}$ is compactly supported modulo conjugation and hence that $\pi$ is supercuspidal by (1).

We now move on to a global setting. Thus $E / F$ is a quadratic extension of number fields and $V$ is a $n$-dimensional hermitian space over $E$. If $v$ is a place of $F$ which splits in $E$ then
we have isomorphisms $U(V)\left(F_{v}\right) \simeq G L_{n}\left(F_{v}\right)$ and $\left(R_{E / F} G L_{n}\right)\left(F_{v}\right) \simeq G L_{n}\left(F_{v}\right) \times G L_{n}\left(F_{v}\right)$ and we define a base change map $B C: \operatorname{Irr}\left(U(V)_{v}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Irr}\left(\left(R_{E / F} G L_{n}\right)_{v}\right)$ by $\pi \mapsto \pi$ 囚 $\pi^{\vee}$. By Theorem 2.5.2 of [Mok] and Theorem 1.7.1/Corollary 3.3.2 of [KMSW] we may associate to any cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $U(V)$ an isobaric conjugate-dual automorphic representation $B C(\pi)$ of $G L_{n}(E)$, the base-change of $\pi$, satisfying the following properties:
(1) The Asai $L$-function

$$
L\left(s, B C(\pi), A s^{(-1)^{n+1}}\right)
$$

has a pole at $s=1$ and moreover if $B C(\pi)$ is cuspidal this pole is simple (see [GGP, §7] for the definition of the Asai L-functions);
(2) Let $v$ be a place of $F$. Then, if $B C(\pi)$ is generic or $v$ splits in $E$ we have $B C\left(\pi_{v}\right)=$ $B C(\pi)_{v} ;$
(3) If $B C(\pi)$ is generic then the multiplicity of $\pi$ in $L^{2}([U(V)])$ is one (see Theorem 2.5.2/Remark 2.5.3 of [Mok] and Theorem 5.0.5, Theorem 1.7.1 and the discussion thereafter of [KMSW]).

Let $v$ be a place of $F$ and $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(U(V)\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$. Assume first that $v$ is inert in $E$. By the Langlands classification there exist

- a parabolic subgroup $P=M N$ of $U(V)_{v}$ with

$$
M \simeq R_{E_{v} / F_{v}} G L_{n_{1}} \times \ldots \times R_{E_{v} / F_{v}} G L_{n_{r}} \times U\left(V^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $V^{\prime} \subset V_{v}$ is a nondegenerate subspace;

- tempered representations $\pi_{i} \in \operatorname{Temp}\left(G L_{n_{i}}\left(E_{v}\right)\right), 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, and $\pi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Temp}\left(U\left(V^{\prime}\right)\right)$;
- real numbers $\lambda_{1}>\ldots>\lambda_{r}>0$,
such that $\pi$ is the unique irreducible quotient of

$$
i_{P}^{U(V)_{v}}\left(|\operatorname{det}|_{E_{v}}^{\lambda_{1}} \pi_{1} \boxtimes \ldots \boxtimes|\operatorname{det}|_{E_{v}}^{\lambda_{r}} \pi_{r} \boxtimes \pi^{\prime}\right)
$$

The $r$-uple $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)$ only depends on $\pi$ and we will set $\mathfrak{c}(\pi)=\lambda_{1}$ if $r \geqslant 1, \mathfrak{c}(\pi)=0$ if $r=0$ (i.e. if $\pi$ is tempered). Assume now that $v$ splits in $E$. Then, we have an isomorphism $U(V)_{v} \simeq G L_{n, F_{v}}$ and there exists a $r$-uple $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ of positive integers such that $n_{1}+\ldots+n_{r}=n$, tempered representations $\pi_{i} \in \operatorname{Temp}\left(G L_{n_{1}}\left(F_{v}\right)\right) i=1, \ldots, r$ and real numbers $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>\ldots>\lambda_{r}$ such that $\pi$ is the unique irreducible quotient of

$$
i_{P}^{G L_{n}}\left(|\operatorname{det}|_{F_{v}}^{\lambda_{1}} \pi_{1} \boxtimes \ldots \boxtimes|\operatorname{det}|_{F_{v}}^{\lambda_{r}} \pi_{r}\right)
$$

where $P$ denotes the standard parabolic subgroup of $G L_{n}$ with Levi $G L_{n_{1}} \times \ldots \times G L_{n_{r}}$. In this case, we set $\mathfrak{c}(\pi)=\max \left(\left|\lambda_{1}\right|,\left|\lambda_{r}\right|\right)$. This depends only on $\pi$ and in particular not on the choice of the isomorphism $U(V)_{v} \simeq G L_{n, F_{v}}$ (which is only defined up to an automorphism of $G L_{n, F_{v}}$ since it involves the choice of a place of $E$ above $v$ ).

In any case, for $c>0$ we define $\operatorname{Ir} r_{\leqslant c}\left(U(V)_{v}\right)$ to be the set of irreducible representations $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(U(V)_{v}\right)$ such that $\mathfrak{c}(\pi) \leqslant c$. Combining the above global results of Mok and Kaletha-Minguez-Shin-White with the bounds toward the Ramanujan conjecture for $G L_{n}$ of Luo-Rudnick-Sarnak [LRS] suitably extended to ramified places independently by Müller-Speh and Bergeron-Clozel ([MS], [BC]), we get the following:

Lemma 2.3.2 Set $c=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n^{2}+1}$. Let $\pi$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $U(V)(\mathbb{A})$ such that $B C(\pi)$ is generic. Then, for all place $v$ of $F$ we have

$$
\pi_{v} \in \operatorname{Ir} r_{\leqslant c}\left(U(V)_{v}\right)
$$

### 2.4 The local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups

Let $E / F$ be a quadratic extension of local fields of characteristic zero (either archimedean or $p$-adic). Let $W$ be a $n$-dimensional hermitian space over $E$ and define the hermitian space $V$ by $V=W \oplus^{\perp} e$ where $(e, e)=1$. Set $H=U(W)$ and $G=U(W) \times U(V)$. We view $H$ as a subgroup of $G$ via the diagonal embedding. We will say than an irreducible representation $\pi$ of $G(F)$ is $H$-distinguished if the space $\operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ of $H(F)$-invariant (continuous in the archimedean case) linear forms on $\pi$ is nonzero. By multiplicity one results (see [AGRS], [JSZ]) we always have $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \leqslant 1$. We will denote by $\operatorname{Irr}_{H}(G)$ and $\operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G)$ the subsets of $H$-distinguished representations in $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$ and $\operatorname{Temp}(G)$ respectively. Let $\varphi$ be a generic Langlands parameter for $G$. We have the following conjecture of Gan, Gross and Prasad ([GGP,conjecture 17.1])

Conjecture 2.4.1 The L-packet $\Pi^{G}(\varphi)$ contains at most one $H$-distinguished representation.

By [Beu, Theorem 12.4.1] and [GI, Proposition 9.3], the following cases of this conjecture are known.

## Theorem 2.4.2 (Beuzart-Plessis, Gan-Ichino) .

(i) Let $\varphi$ be a tempered Langlands parameter for $G$. Then conjecture 2.4.1 holds for $\varphi$.
(ii) Assume that $F$ is p-adic. Then conjecture 2.4.1 holds for any generic Lamglands parameter $\varphi$ of $G$.

### 2.5 Measures

We will use the same normalization of measures as in [Zh2, §2]. Let us recall these choices. We actually define two sets of Haar measures: the normalized and the unnormalized. We will use the normalized Haar measures apart in section 4 where we will use the unnormalized one. From now on and until section 4 , where we will switch to a local setting, we fix a quadratic extension $E / F$ of number fields. We will denote by $\eta_{E / F}$ the idele class character corresponding to this extension. We will also fix a nonzero character $\psi: \mathbb{A} / F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$and a nonzero element $\tau \in E$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}_{E / F}(\tau)=0$. We will denote by $\psi_{E}$ the character of $\mathbb{A}_{E}$ given by $\psi_{E}(z)=\psi\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{E / F}(z)\right)$.
Let $v$ be a place of $F$. We endow $F_{v}$ with the self-dual Haar measure for $\psi_{v}$. Similarly, we endow $E_{v}$ with the self-dual Haar measure for $\psi_{E, v}$. On $F_{v}^{\times}$, we define a normalized measure

$$
d^{\times} x_{v}=\zeta_{F_{v}}(1) \frac{d x_{v}}{\left|x_{v}\right|_{F_{v}}}
$$

and an unnormalized one

$$
d^{*} x_{v}=\frac{d x_{v}}{\left|x_{v}\right|_{F_{v}}}
$$

More generally, for all $n \geqslant 1$, we equip $G L_{n}\left(F_{v}\right)$ with the following normalized Haar measure

$$
d g_{v}=\zeta_{F_{v}}(1) \frac{\prod_{i j} d g_{v, i j}}{\left|\operatorname{det} g_{v}\right|_{F_{v}}^{n}}
$$

as well as with the following unnormalized one

$$
d^{*} g_{v}=\frac{\prod_{i j} d g_{v, i j}}{\left|\operatorname{det} g_{v}\right|_{F_{v}}^{n}}
$$

and similarly for $G L_{n}\left(E_{v}\right)$. Recall that $N_{n}$ denotes the standard maximal unipotent subgroup of $G L_{n}$. We will give $N_{n}\left(F_{v}\right)$ and $N_{n}\left(E_{v}\right)$ the Haar measures

$$
d u_{v}=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n} d u_{v, i j}
$$

We equip $\mathbb{A}^{\times}, N_{n}(\mathbb{A}), N_{n}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right), G L_{n}(\mathbb{A})$ and $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ with the global Tamagawa Haar measures given by

$$
d^{\times} x=\prod_{v} d^{\times} x_{v}, \quad d u=\prod_{v} d u_{v}, \quad d g=\prod_{v} d g_{v}
$$

Recall that $S_{n}=\left\{s \in R_{E / F} G L_{n} ; s \bar{s}=1\right\}$ and its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}_{n}=\left\{X \in R_{E / F} M_{n} ; X+\bar{X}=0\right\}$. Let $V$ be a $n$-dimensional hermitian space over $E$ and denote by $\mathfrak{u}(V)$ the Lie algebra of $U(V)$. Choosing a basis of $V$ we get an embedding $\mathfrak{u}(V) \hookrightarrow R_{E / F} M_{n}$. Let us denote by $\langle.$, the $G L_{n}\left(E_{v}\right)$-invariant bilinear pairing on $M_{n}\left(E_{v}\right)$ given by

$$
\langle X, Y\rangle:=\operatorname{Trace}(X Y)
$$

Note that the restrictions of $\langle.$, . $\rangle$ to $\mathfrak{s}_{n}\left(F_{v}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{u}(V)\left(F_{v}\right)$ are $F_{v}$-valued and nondegenerate. We define a Haar measure $d X$ on $\mathfrak{u}(V)\left(F_{v}\right)$ such that the Fourier transform

$$
\hat{\varphi}(Y)=\int_{\mathfrak{u}(V)\left(F_{v}\right)} \varphi(X) \psi_{v}(\langle X, Y\rangle) d X
$$

and its dual

$$
\breve{\varphi}(X)=\int_{\mathfrak{u}(V)\left(F_{v}\right)} \varphi(Y) \psi_{v}(-\langle Y, X\rangle) d X
$$

are inverse of each other. We define similarly a Haar measure and Fourier transforms $\varphi \mapsto \hat{\varphi}$, $\varphi \mapsto \breve{\varphi}$ on $\mathfrak{s}_{n}\left(F_{v}\right)$.
The Cayley map $\mathfrak{c}: X \mapsto \mathfrak{c}(X)=(1+X)(1-X)^{-1}$ induces birational isomorphisms from $\mathfrak{s}_{n}$ to $S_{n}$ and from $\mathfrak{u}(V)$ to $U(V)$. We define the unnormalized Haar measure $d^{*} g_{v}$ on $U(V)\left(F_{v}\right)$ to be the unique Haar measure such that the Jacobian of $\mathfrak{c}$ at the origin is 1. The normalized Haar measure on $U(V)\left(F_{v}\right)$ is defined by

$$
d g_{v}=L\left(1, \eta_{E_{v} / F_{v}}\right) d^{*} g_{v}
$$

Similarly, we endow $S_{n}\left(F_{v}\right)$ with an unnormalized measure $d^{*} s_{v}$ which is the unique $G L_{n}\left(E_{v}\right)$ invariant measure for which the Jacobian of the Cayley map $\mathfrak{c}$ at the origin is 1 . The corresponding normalized measure is given by

$$
d s_{v}=L\left(1, \eta_{E_{v} / F_{v}}\right) d^{*} s_{v}
$$

Note that $d^{*} s_{v}$ (resp. $d s_{v}$ ) can also be identified with the quotient of the unnormalized (resp.normalized) Haar measures on $G L_{n}\left(E_{v}\right)$ and $G L_{n}\left(F_{v}\right)$ via the isomorphism
$\nu: G L_{n}\left(E_{v}\right) / G L_{n}\left(F_{v}\right) \simeq S_{n}\left(F_{v}\right), \nu(g)=g \bar{g}^{-1}$. Finally, we equip $U(V)(\mathbb{A})$ with the global Haar measure given by

$$
d g=\prod_{v} d g_{v}
$$

It is not the Tamagawa measure since there is a factor $L\left(1, \eta_{E / F}\right)^{-1}$ missing. Note that the local normalized Haar measure $d g_{v}$ can be identified with the quotient of the normalized Haar measures on $E_{v}^{\times}$and $F_{v}^{\times}$via the isomorphism $E_{v}^{\times} / F_{v}^{\times} \simeq U(1)\left(F_{v}\right), x \mapsto x / \bar{x}$. Hence, as the Tamagawa number of $U(1)$ is 2 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol}\left(E^{\times} \mathbb{A}^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}\right)=\operatorname{vol}([U(1)])=2 L\left(1, \eta_{E / F}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Spherical characters, the Ichino-Ikeda conjecture and Zhang's conjecture

In this section $E / F$ will be a quadratic extension of number fields and we will use normalized Haar measures (see $\S 2.5$ ). Let $W$ be an hermitian space of dimension $n$ over $E$. We will set $V=W \oplus^{\perp} E e$ where $(e, e)=1, G=U(W) \times U(V)$ and $H=U(W)$. We view $H$ as a subgroup of $G$ via the diagonal embedding. We will fix a maximal compact subgroup $K=\prod_{v} K_{v}$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$. We will say that an irreducible representation $\pi=\otimes_{v}^{\prime} \pi_{v}$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ is abstractly $H$-distinguished if for all place $v$ of $F$ the representation $\pi_{v}$ is $H_{v}$-distinguished i.e. if $\operatorname{Hom}_{H_{v}}\left(\pi_{v}, \mathbb{C}\right) \neq 0$. Set $G^{\prime}=R_{E / F}\left(G L_{n} \times G L_{n+1}\right)$. We define two subgroups $H_{1}^{\prime}=$ $R_{E / F} G L_{n}$ and $H_{2}^{\prime}=G L_{n} \times G L_{n+1}$ of $G^{\prime}$ ( $H_{1}^{\prime}$ is embedded diagonally). We also define a character $\eta$ of $H_{2}^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})$ by

$$
\eta\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=\eta_{E / F}\left(g_{1}\right)^{n+1} \eta_{E / F}\left(g_{2}\right)^{n}
$$

for all $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in H_{2}^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})=G L_{n}(\mathbb{A}) \times G L_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})$. We will also fix a maximal compact subgroup $K^{\prime}=\prod_{v} K_{v}^{\prime}$ of $G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})$ such that $K_{v}^{\prime}=G L_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{E, v}\right) \times G L_{n+1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{E, v}\right)$ for all nonarchimedean place $v$ of $F$. Finally, if $\pi$ and $\Pi$ are cuspidal automorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{A})$ and $G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})$ respectively then we endow them with the following Petersson inner products

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)_{P e t}:=\int_{[G]} \phi_{1}(g) \overline{\phi_{2}(g)} d g, \quad \phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \in \pi \\
\left(\phi_{1}^{\prime}, \phi_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{P e t}:=\int_{\left[Z_{G^{\prime}} \backslash G^{\prime}\right]} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) \overline{\phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right)} d g^{\prime}, \quad \phi_{1}^{\prime}, \phi_{2}^{\prime} \in \Pi
\end{gathered}
$$

### 3.1 Global spherical characters

For any cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ we define the $H$-period $\mathcal{P}_{H}: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\mathcal{P}_{H}(\phi)=\int_{[H]} \phi(h) d h, \quad \phi \in \pi
$$

The integral is absolutely convergent (see Proposition A.1.1(ix)). We will say that the cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ is globally $H$-distinguished if the period $\mathcal{P}_{H}$ is not identically zero on $\pi$. We may associate to this period a (global) spherical character $J_{\pi}$ : $\mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as follows. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}))$ and choose a compact-open subgroup $K_{f} \subset G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ by which $f$ is biinvariant. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\pi}^{K_{f}}$ be an orthonormal basis for the Petersson inner product of $\pi^{K_{f}}$ whose elements are $C_{G}$ and $C_{K}$ eigenvectors. Then we set

$$
J_{\pi}(f)=\sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi}^{K_{f}}} \mathcal{P}_{H}(\pi(f) \phi) \overline{\mathcal{P}_{H}(\phi)}
$$

The sum is absolutely convergent and does not depend on the choice of the basis $\mathcal{B}_{\pi}^{K_{f}}$ (see Proposition A.1.2).
Let $\Pi$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})$ whose central character is trivial on $Z_{H_{2}^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})=\mathbb{A}^{\times} \times \mathbb{A}^{\times}$. We define two periods $\lambda: \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\beta: \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda(\phi)=\int_{\left[H_{1}^{\prime}\right]} \phi\left(h_{1}\right) d h_{1} \\
\beta(\phi)=\int_{\left[Z_{H_{2}^{\prime}} \backslash H_{2}^{\prime}\right]} \phi\left(h_{2}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) d h_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $\phi \in \Pi$. The two above integrals are absolutely convergent (see Proposition A.1.1(ix)). We also define a (global) spherical character $I_{\Pi}: \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as follows. Let $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})\right)$ and choose a compact-open subgroup $K_{f^{\prime}} \subset G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ by which $f^{\prime}$ is biinvariant. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\Pi}^{K_{f}}$ be an orthonormal basis for the Petersson inner product of $\Pi^{K_{f^{\prime}}}$ whose elements are $C_{G^{\prime}}$ and $C_{K^{\prime}}$ eigenvectors. Then we set

$$
I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{B}_{\Pi}^{K_{f}^{\prime}}} \lambda\left(\Pi\left(f^{\prime}\right) \phi\right) \overline{\beta(\phi)}
$$

The sum is absolutely convergent and does not depend on the choice of the basis $\mathcal{B}_{\Pi}^{K_{f^{\prime}}}$ (see Proposition A.1.2).

### 3.2 Local spherical characters

Let $v$ be a place of $F$. Let $\pi_{v}$ be a tempered representation of $G\left(F_{v}\right)$. We define a distribution $J_{\pi_{v}}: \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left(\right.$ the local spherical character associated to $\left.\pi_{v}\right)$ by

$$
J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right)=\int_{H\left(F_{v}\right)} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\pi_{v}(h) \pi_{v}\left(f_{v}\right)\right) d h_{v}, \quad f_{v} \in \mathcal{C}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right)\right)
$$

By [Beu, §8.2], the above integral is absolutely convergent. Choosing models for $G$ and $H$ over $\mathcal{O}_{F}$, for almost all $v$ if $f_{v}=\mathbf{1}_{K_{v}}$, we have

$$
J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right)=\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{v}\right) \operatorname{vol}\left(H\left(\mathcal{O}_{v}\right)\right) \operatorname{vol}\left(G\left(\mathcal{O}_{v}\right)\right)
$$

(see the introduction for the definition of $\mathcal{L}\left(s, \pi_{v}\right)$ ). Hence, we define a normalized spherical character $J_{\pi_{v}}^{\natural}$ by

$$
J_{\pi_{v}}^{\natural}=\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{v}\right)} J_{\pi_{v}}
$$

By [Beu, Theorem 8.2.1] we have
(1) $\pi_{v}$ is $H_{v}$-distinguished if and only if $J_{\pi_{v}} \neq 0$.

Moreover, by [Beu, Corollary 8.6.1], for all parabolic subgroup $P=M U$ of $G_{v}$ and for all square-integrable representation $\sigma$ of $M$ there is at most one irreducible subrepresentation $\pi \subset i_{P}^{G}(\sigma)$ such that $J_{\pi} \neq 0$. Thus, we are in the situation of $\S 2.2$ and the family of distributions $\pi_{v} \in \operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{v}\right) \mapsto J_{\pi_{v}}$ is analytic.

Let $\Pi_{v}$ be a generic unitary representation of $G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)$. We may write $\Pi_{v}=\Pi_{n, v} \boxtimes \Pi_{n+1, v}$ where $\Pi_{n, v}$ and $\Pi_{n+1, v}$ are generic and unitary representations of $G L_{n}\left(E_{v}\right)$ and $G L_{n+1}\left(E_{v}\right)$ respectively. Let $\mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{n, v}, \bar{\psi}_{E}\right)$ and $\mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{n+1, v}, \psi_{E}\right)$ be the Whittaker models of $\Pi_{n, v}$ and $\Pi_{n+1, v}$ corresponding to the characters $\bar{\psi}_{E}$ and $\psi_{E}$ respectively. Set $\mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{v}\right)=\mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{n, v}, \bar{\psi}_{E}\right) \otimes$ $\mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{n+1, v}, \psi_{E}\right)$. We define linear forms (the local Flicker-Rallis periods)

$$
\beta_{n, v}: \mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{n, v}, \bar{\psi}_{E}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \beta_{n+1, v}: \mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{n+1, v}, \psi_{E}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

and scalar products

$$
\theta_{n, v}: \mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{n, v}, \bar{\psi}_{E}\right) \times \mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{n, v}, \bar{\psi}_{E}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \theta_{n+1, v}: \mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{n+1, v}, \psi_{E}\right) \times \mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{n+1, v}, \psi_{E}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta_{k, v}\left(W_{k}\right)=\int_{N_{k-1}\left(F_{v}\right) \backslash G L_{k-1}\left(F_{v}\right)} W_{k}\left(\epsilon_{k}(\tau) g_{k-1}\right) \eta_{E_{v} / F_{v}}\left(\operatorname{det} g_{k-1}\right)^{k-1} d g_{k-1} \\
\theta_{k, v}\left(W_{k}, W_{k}^{\prime}\right)=\int_{N_{k-1}\left(E_{v}\right) \backslash G L_{k-1}\left(E_{v}\right)} W_{k}\left(g_{k-1}\right) \overline{W_{k}^{\prime}\left(g_{k-1}\right)} d g_{k-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $k=n, n+1$, all $W_{n}, W_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{n, v}, \bar{\psi}_{E}\right)$ and all $W_{n+1}, W_{n+1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{n+1, v}, \psi_{E}\right)$ where $\epsilon_{k}(\tau)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\tau^{k-1}, \tau^{k-2}, \ldots, \tau, 1\right)$ (recall that $\tau$ is a fixed nonzero element of $E$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}_{E / F}(\tau)=0$ ). The above integrals are absolutely convergent (see [JS] Propositions 1.3 and 3.16 for the absolute convergence of $\theta_{k, v}$, the proof for $\beta_{k, v}$ is identical). Set $\beta_{v}=\beta_{n, v} \otimes \beta_{n+1, v}$ and $\theta_{v}=\theta_{n, v} \otimes \theta_{n+1, v}$. If $E_{v} / F_{v}, \Pi_{v}, \psi_{E, v}$ are unramified, $\tau$ is a unit in $E_{v}$ and $W_{v} \in \mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{v}\right)$ is the unique $K_{v}^{\prime}$-invariant vector such that $W_{v}(1)=1$, we have (see [JS, Proposition 2.3] and $[\mathrm{Zh} 2, \S 3.2]$ )

$$
\beta_{v}\left(W_{v}\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{v}^{\prime}\right) L\left(1, \Pi_{n, v}, A s^{(-1)^{n-1}}\right) L\left(1, \Pi_{n+1, v}, A s^{(-1)^{n}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\theta_{v}\left(W_{v}\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{v}^{\prime}\right) L\left(1, \Pi_{n, v} \times \Pi_{n, v}^{\vee}\right) L\left(1, \Pi_{n+1, v} \times \Pi_{n+1, v}^{\vee}\right)
$$

Hence, we define normalized versions $\beta_{v}^{\natural}$ and $\theta_{v}^{\natural}$ of $\beta_{v}$ and $\theta_{v}$ by

$$
\beta_{v}^{\natural}=\frac{\beta_{v}}{L\left(1, \Pi_{n, v}, A s^{(-1)^{n-1}}\right) L\left(1, \Pi_{n+1, v}, A s^{\left.(-1)^{n}\right)}\right.}, \quad \theta_{v}^{\natural}=\frac{\theta_{v}}{L\left(1, \Pi_{n, v} \times \Pi_{n, v}^{\vee}\right) L\left(1, \Pi_{n+1, v} \times \Pi_{n+1, v}^{\vee}\right)}
$$

For all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we also have the local Rankin-Selberg period $\lambda_{v}(s,):. \mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{v}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$
\lambda_{v}\left(s, W_{n} \otimes W_{n+1}\right)=\int_{N_{n}\left(E_{v}\right) \backslash G L_{n}\left(E_{v}\right)} W_{n}\left(g_{n}\right) W_{n+1}\left(g_{n}\right)\left|\operatorname{det} g_{n}\right|_{E_{v}}^{s} d g_{n}
$$

for all $\left(W_{n}, W_{n+1}\right) \in \mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{n, v}, \bar{\psi}_{E}\right) \times \mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{n+1, v}, \psi_{E}\right)$, and its normalization $\lambda_{v}^{\natural}(s,$.$) given by$

$$
\lambda_{v}^{\natural}(s, .)=\frac{\lambda_{v}(s, .)}{L\left(s+\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_{n, v} \times \Pi_{n+1, v}\right)}
$$

The integral defining $\lambda_{v}(s,$.$) is absolutely convergent for \operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$ and $\lambda_{v}^{\natural}(s,$.$) extends to an$ entire function on $\mathbb{C}$ (see [JPSS] and [Jac] for the archimedean case). We will set $\lambda_{v}^{\natural}=\lambda_{v}^{\natural}(0,$.$) .$ Obviously $\lambda_{v}^{\natural}$ defines a $H_{1}^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)$-invariant linear form on $\Pi_{v}$. Moreover by [JPSS] and [Jac], there exists $W \in \mathcal{W}\left(\Pi_{v}\right)$ such that $\lambda_{v}^{\natural}(W)=1$. Hence $\lambda_{v}^{\natural}$ defines a nonzero element in $\operatorname{Hom}_{H_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\Pi_{v}, \mathbb{C}\right)$. If $\Pi_{v}$ is tempered then $\lambda_{v}(s,$.$) is absolutely convergent for \operatorname{Re}(s)>-1 / 2$ and we will set $\lambda_{v}=\lambda_{v}(0,$.$) .$
We are now ready to define the (normalized) local spherical character $I_{\Pi_{v}}^{\natural}: \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ attached to $\Pi_{v}$. Let $f_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$. If $v$ is nonarchimedean then choose a compact-open subgroup $K_{f_{v}^{\prime}}$ of $G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)$ by which $f_{v}^{\prime}$ is biinvariant and let $\mathcal{B}_{\Pi_{v}}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\Pi_{v}^{K_{f_{v}^{\prime}}}$ for the scalar product $\theta_{v}^{\natural}$. If $v$ is archimedean, we let $\mathcal{B}_{\Pi_{v}}$ be any orthonormal basis of $\Pi_{v}$ for the scalar product $\theta_{v}^{\natural}$ consisting of $C_{K_{v}^{\prime}}$-eigenvectors. Then we set

$$
I_{\Pi_{v}}^{\natural}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{W \in \mathcal{B}_{\Pi_{v}}} \lambda_{v}^{\natural}\left(\Pi_{v}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right) W\right) \overline{\beta_{v}^{\natural}(W)}
$$

The sum is absolutely convergent and does not depend on the choice of $\mathcal{B}_{\Pi_{v}}$. If moreover $\Pi_{v}$ is tempered then we define an unnormalized local spherical character $I_{\Pi_{v}}: \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by using $\theta_{v}, \beta_{v}$ and $\lambda_{v}$ instead of $\theta_{v}^{\natural}, \beta_{v}^{\natural}$ and $\lambda_{v}^{\natural}$. Finally, the proofs of [JS, Proposition 1.3] and [JPSS, Theorem 2.7] easily show that the family of distributions $\Pi_{v} \in \operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}\right) \mapsto I_{\Pi_{v}}$ is analytic in the sense of $\S 2.2$.

### 3.3 Orbital integrals

Consider the action of $H \times H$ on $G$ by left and right translations. Then, an element $\delta \in G$ is said to be regular semisimple for this action if its orbit is closed and its stabilizer is trivial. Denote by $G_{r s}$ the open subset of regular semisimple element in $G$. Let $v$ be a place of $F$ and $\delta \in G_{r s}\left(F_{v}\right)$ be regular semisimple. We define the (relative) orbital integral associated to $\delta$ as the distribution given by

$$
O\left(\delta, f_{v}\right)=\int_{H\left(F_{v}\right) \times H\left(F_{v}\right)} f_{v}\left(h \delta h^{\prime}\right) d h d h^{\prime}, \quad f_{v} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right)\right)
$$

There is another way to see these orbital integrals. For all $f_{v} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$, we define a function $\tilde{f}_{v} \in \mathcal{S}\left(U(V)\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ by

$$
\tilde{f}_{v}(x)=\int_{H\left(F_{v}\right)} f_{v}(h(1, x)) d h, \quad x \in U(V)\left(F_{v}\right)
$$

This defines a surjective linear map $\mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\left(U(V)\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$. Let us say that an element $x \in U(V)$ is regular semisimple if it is so for the action of $U(W)$ by conjugation i.e. if the $U(W)$-conjugacy class of $x$ is closed and the stabilizer of $x$ in $U(W)$ is trivial. Denote by $U(V)_{r s}$ the open subset of regular semisimple element in $U(V)$. For all $x \in U(V)_{r s}\left(F_{v}\right)$ we define the orbital integral associated to $x$ as the distribution

$$
O(x, \varphi)=\int_{U(W)\left(F_{v}\right)} \varphi\left(h x h^{-1}\right) d h, \quad \varphi_{v} \in \mathcal{S}\left(U(V)\left(F_{v}\right)\right)
$$

For all $\delta=\left(\delta_{W}, \delta_{V}\right) \in G_{r s}$ the element $x=\delta_{W}^{-1} \delta_{V}$ is regular semisimple in $U(V)$ and this defines a surjection $G_{r s} \rightarrow U(V)_{r s}$. Moreover, for all $\delta \in G_{r s}\left(F_{v}\right)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$, we have the equality

$$
O(\delta, f)=O(x, \widetilde{f})
$$

where $x=\delta_{W}^{-1} \delta_{V}$.
We can also define orbital integrals on the space $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathfrak{u}(V)\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$. Call an element $X \in \mathfrak{u}(V)$ regular semisimple if it is so for the adjoint action of $U(W)$. Let us denote by $\mathfrak{u}(V)_{\text {rs }}$ the open subset of regular semisimple elements. Then, for all $X \in \mathfrak{u}(V)_{r s}\left(F_{v}\right)$ we can define an orbital integral by

$$
O(X, \varphi)=\int_{U(W)\left(F_{v}\right)} \varphi\left(h^{-1} X h\right) d h, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(U(V)\left(F_{v}\right)\right)
$$

The Cayley map $\mathfrak{c}: X \mapsto(1+X)(1-X)^{-1}$ realizes a $U(W)$-equivariant isomorphism between the open subsets $\mathfrak{u}(V)^{\circ}=\{X \in \mathfrak{u}(V) ; \operatorname{det}(1-X) \neq 0\}$ and $U(V)^{0}=\{x \in U(V) ; \operatorname{det}(1+x) \neq$ $0\}$. Assume that $v$ is nonarchimedean and let $\omega \subset \mathfrak{u}(V)^{\circ}\left(F_{v}\right)$ and $\Omega \subset U(V)^{\circ}\left(F_{v}\right)$ be open and closed $U(W)\left(F_{v}\right)$-invariant neighborhoods of 0 and 1 respectively such that the Cayley map restricts to an analytic isomorphism between $\omega$ and $\Omega$ preserving measures. For all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(U(V)\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$, we define a function $\varphi_{\sharp}$ by

$$
\varphi_{\natural}(X)= \begin{cases}\varphi(\mathfrak{c}(X)) & \text { if } X \in \omega \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then for all $X \in \omega_{r s}=\omega \cap \mathfrak{u}(V)_{r s}\left(F_{v}\right)$ and all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(U(V)\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ we have

$$
O(\mathfrak{c}(X), \varphi)=O\left(X, \varphi_{\natural}\right)
$$

Consider now the action of $H_{1}^{\prime} \times H_{2}^{\prime}$ on $G^{\prime}$ by left and right translations. As before, an element $\gamma \in G^{\prime}$ is said to be regular semisimple for this action if its orbit is closed and its stabilizer is trivial. Denote by $G_{r s}^{\prime}$ the open subset of regular semisimple element in $G^{\prime}$. Let $v$ be a place of $F$ and $\gamma \in G_{r s}^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)$ be regular semisimple. We define the (relative) orbital integral associated to $\gamma$ as the distribution given by

$$
O\left(\gamma, f_{v}^{\prime}\right)=\int_{H_{1}^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right) \times H_{2}^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)} f_{v}^{\prime}\left(h_{1}^{-1} \gamma h_{2}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) d h_{1} d h_{2}, \quad f_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)
$$

There is another way to see these orbital integrals. Recall that $S_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right)=\left\{s \in G L_{n+1}\left(E_{v}\right) ; s \bar{s}=\right.$ $1\}$ and that we have a surjective map $\nu: G L_{n+1}\left(E_{v}\right) \rightarrow S_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right), \nu(g)=g \bar{g}^{-1}$. For all $f_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$, we define a function $\widetilde{f_{v}^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{S}\left(S_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ by

$$
\tilde{f}_{v}^{\prime}(s)=\int_{H_{1}^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)} \int_{G L_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right)} f_{v}^{\prime}\left(h_{1}\left(1, g h_{2}\right)\right) d h_{2} d h_{1}, \quad g \in G L_{n+1}\left(E_{v}\right), s=\nu(g)
$$

if $n$ is even and

$$
\tilde{f}_{v}^{\prime}(s)=\int_{H_{1}^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)} \int_{G L_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right)} f_{v}^{\prime}\left(h_{1}\left(1, g h_{2}\right)\right) \eta_{v}^{\prime}\left(g h_{2}\right) d h_{2} d h_{1}, \quad g \in G L_{n+1}\left(E_{v}\right), s=\nu(g)
$$

if $n$ is odd. In any case, this defines a surjective linear map $\mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\left(S_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$. The group $G L_{n}$ acts on $S_{n+1}$ by conjugation and we shall say that an element $s \in S_{n+1}$ is regular semisimple if it is so for this action i.e. if the $G L_{n}$-conjugacy class of $s$ is closed and the stabilizer of $s$ in $G L_{n}$ is trivial. We will denote by $S_{n+1, r s}$ the open subset of regular semisimple elements in $S_{n+1}$. For all $s \in S_{n+1, r s}\left(F_{v}\right)$ we define the orbital integral associated to $s$ as the distribution

$$
O\left(s, \varphi^{\prime}\right)=\int_{G L_{n}\left(F_{v}\right)} \varphi^{\prime}\left(h^{-1} s h\right) \eta_{E_{v} / F_{v}}(h) d h, \quad \varphi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(S_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)
$$

For $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in G_{r s}^{\prime}$ the element $s=\nu\left(\gamma_{1}^{-1} \gamma_{2}\right) \in S_{n+1}$ is regular semisimple and this defines a surjection $G_{r s}^{\prime} \rightarrow S_{n+1, r s}$. Moreover, for all $\gamma \in G_{r s}^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)$ and all $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$, we have the equality

$$
O\left(\gamma, f^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}O\left(s, \tilde{f}^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\ \eta_{v}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{1}^{-1} \gamma_{2}\right) O\left(s, \tilde{f}^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

where $s=\nu\left(\gamma_{1}^{-1} \gamma_{2}\right)$.
We can also define orbital integrals on the space $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$. Call an element $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{n+1}$ regular semisimple if it is so for the adjoint action of $G L_{n}$. Let us denote by $\mathfrak{s}_{n+1, r s}$ the open subset of regular semisimple elements. Then, for all $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{n+1, r s}\left(F_{v}\right)$ we can define an orbital integral by

$$
O\left(X, \varphi^{\prime}\right)=\int_{G L_{n}\left(F_{v}\right)} \varphi^{\prime}\left(h^{-1} X h\right) \eta_{E_{v} / F_{v}}(h) d h, \quad \varphi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)
$$

The Cayley map $\mathfrak{c}=\mathfrak{c}_{n+1}: X \mapsto(1+X)(1-X)^{-1}$ realizes a $G L_{n}$-equivariant isomorphism between the open subsets $\mathfrak{s}_{n+1}^{\circ}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{s}_{n+1} ; \operatorname{det}(1-X) \neq 0\right\}$ and $S_{n+1}^{\circ}=\left\{s \in S_{n+1} ; \operatorname{det}(1+\right.$ $s) \neq 0\}$. Let $\omega^{\prime} \subset \mathfrak{s}_{n+1}^{\circ}\left(F_{v}\right)$ and $\Omega^{\prime} \subset S_{n+1}^{\circ}\left(F_{v}\right)$ be open and closed $G L_{n}\left(F_{v}\right)$-invariant neighborhoods of 0 and 1 respectively such that the Cayley map restricts to an analytic isomorphism between $\omega^{\prime}$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$ preserving measures. For all $\varphi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(S_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$, we define a function $\varphi_{\natural}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ by

$$
\varphi_{\mathrm{b}}^{\prime}(X)= \begin{cases}\varphi^{\prime}(\mathfrak{c}(X)) & \text { if } X \in \omega^{\prime} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then for all $X \in \omega_{r s}^{\prime}=\omega^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{s}_{n+1, r s}\left(F_{v}\right)$ and all $\varphi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(S_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ we have

$$
O\left(\mathfrak{c}(X), \varphi^{\prime}\right)=O\left(X, \varphi_{\mathrm{t}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

### 3.4 Correspondence of orbits and transfer

We now recall the correspondence between orbits following [Zh1, §2.4]. We will denote by $H_{1}^{\prime} \backslash G^{\prime} / H_{2}^{\prime}, H \backslash G / H, S_{n+1} / G L_{n}$ and $U(V) / U(W)$ the geometric quotients of $G^{\prime}, G, S_{n+1}$ and $U(V)$ by $H_{1}^{\prime} \times H_{2}^{\prime}, H \times H, G L_{n}$ and $U(W)$ respectively (the last two actions being given by conjugation). We will also write $\left(H_{1}^{\prime} \backslash G^{\prime} / H_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{r s},(H \backslash G / H)_{r s},\left(S_{n+1} / G L_{n}\right)_{r s}$ and $(U(V) / U(W))_{r s}$ for the regular semisimple loci in these geometric quotients. These are the image of $G_{r s}^{\prime}, G_{r s}, S_{n+1, r s}$ and $U(V)_{r s}$ by the natural projections. The maps $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in G^{\prime} \mapsto$ $\nu\left(\gamma_{1}^{-1} \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\delta_{W}, \delta_{V}\right) \in G \mapsto \delta_{W}^{-1} \delta_{V}$ induce isomorphisms

$$
H_{1}^{\prime} \backslash G^{\prime} / H_{2}^{\prime} \simeq S_{n+1} / G L_{n} \text { and } H \backslash G / H \simeq U(V) / U(W)
$$

and similarly for the regular semisimple loci. Moreover, there is a natural isomorphism (see [Zh1, §3.1])

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}^{\prime} \backslash G^{\prime} / H_{2}^{\prime} \simeq H \backslash G / H \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which preserves the regular semisimple loci. For all field extension $k$ of $F$ we have $\left(H_{1}^{\prime} \backslash G^{\prime} / H_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{r s}(k)=$ $H_{1}^{\prime}(k) \backslash G_{r s}^{\prime}(k) / H_{2}^{\prime}(k)$ and $H(k) \backslash G_{r s}(k) / H(k)$ is a subset of $(H \backslash G / H)_{r s}(k)$. The above isomorphism thus induces injections

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(k) \backslash G_{r s}(k) / H(k) \hookrightarrow H_{1}^{\prime}(k) \backslash G_{r s}^{\prime}(k) / H_{2}^{\prime}(k) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(V)_{r s}(k) / U(W)(k) \hookrightarrow S_{n+1, r s}(k) / G L_{n}(k) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This last map admits the following explicit description. Choosing a basis of $V$ whose last element is $e$ we get an embedding $U(V)(k) \hookrightarrow G L_{n+1}\left(k \otimes_{F} E\right)$. By [Zh3, lemma 2.3] any regular semisimple element $x \in U(V)_{r s}(k)$ is $G L_{n}\left(k \otimes_{F} E\right)$-conjugated to a regular semisimple element of $S_{n+1}(k)$ which is unique up to $G L_{n}(k)$-conjugation. The $G L_{n}(k)$-conjugacy class of this element is exactly the image of $x$ by the map (3).
We have a similar situation at the level of Lie algebras: we have a canonical isomorphism between geometric quotients

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{s}_{n+1} / G L_{n} \simeq \mathfrak{u}(V) / U(W) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which preserves the regular semisimple loci $\left(\mathfrak{s}_{n+1} / G L_{n}\right)_{r s}=\mathfrak{s}_{n+1, r s} / G L_{n}$ and $(\mathfrak{u}(V) / U(W))_{r s}=$ $\mathfrak{u}(V)_{r s} / U(W)$. For all field extension $k$ of $F$ this induces an injection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{u}(V)_{r s}(k) / U(W)(k) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{s}_{n+1, r s}(k) / G L_{n}(k) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now define, following [Zh2, §4.1], two families of transfer factors $\Omega_{v}: G_{r s}^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$and $\omega_{v}: \mathfrak{s}_{n+1, r s}\left(F_{v}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}, v$ a place of $F$, satisfying the following conditions:

- For all $v$ and all $\gamma \in G_{r s}^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)$ (resp. all $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{n+1, r s}\left(F_{v}\right)$ ) we have $\Omega_{v}\left(h_{1} \gamma h_{2}\right)=$ $\eta_{v}\left(h_{2}\right) \Omega_{v}(\gamma)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\omega_{v}\left(h^{-1} X h\right)=\eta_{E_{v} / F_{v}}(h) \omega_{v}(X)\right)$ for all $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \in H_{1}^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right) \times H_{2}^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)$ (resp. for all $h \in G L_{n}\left(F_{v}\right)$ );
- For all $\gamma \in G_{r s}^{\prime}(F)$ (resp. all $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{n+1, r s}(F)$ ), we have the product formula $\prod_{v} \Omega_{v}(\gamma)=$ 1 (resp. $\prod_{v} \omega_{v}(X)=1$ ) where almost all terms in the product are equal to 1 .

Let $v$ be a place of $F$. For all $s \in S_{n+1, r s}\left(F_{v}\right)$ and all $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{n+1, r s}\left(F_{v}\right)$, we set

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Omega_{v}(s)=\eta_{v}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{det}(s)^{-\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]} \operatorname{det}\left(e_{n+1}, e_{n+1} s, \ldots, e_{n+1} s^{n}\right)\right)  \tag{6}\\
\omega_{v}(X)=\eta_{v}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(e_{n+1}, e_{n+1} X, \ldots, e_{n+1} X^{n}\right)\right) \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $e_{n+1}=(0, \ldots, 0,1)$ and $\eta_{v}^{\prime}$ is the local component at $v$ of the character $\eta^{\prime}: \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ extending $\eta_{E / F}$ that we fixed at the beginning. Note that (see the proof of [Zh1, lemma 3.5])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{v}(\mathfrak{c}(X))=\eta_{v}(2)^{n(n+1) / 2} \omega_{v}(X) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{n+1, r s}\left(F_{v}\right)$ sufficiently close to 0 . Finally for all $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in G_{r s}^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)$, we set

$$
\Omega_{v}(\gamma)= \begin{cases}\Omega_{v}(s) & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\ \eta_{v}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{1}^{-1} \gamma_{2}\right) \Omega_{v}(s) & \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

where $s=\nu\left(\gamma_{1}^{-1} \gamma_{2}\right)$. For future reference, we record the following formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{v}(\gamma) O\left(\gamma, f^{\prime}\right)=\Omega_{v}(s) O\left(s, \tilde{f}^{\prime}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$, all $\gamma \in G_{r s}^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)$ and where we have set $s=\nu\left(\gamma_{1}^{-1} \gamma_{2}\right)$.

Using the transfer factors we can define the notion of matching functions as follows. Let $v$ be a place of $F$. We say that functions $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ match each other or that they are smooth transfer of each other if we have the equality

$$
O(\delta, f)=\Omega_{v}(\gamma) O\left(\gamma, f^{\prime}\right)
$$

for every $\delta \in G_{r s}\left(F_{v}\right)$ and $\gamma \in G_{r s}^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)$ whose orbits correspond to each other via the embedding (2). Similarly, we say that functions $\varphi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathfrak{u}(V)\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ match each other or that they are smooth transfer of each other if we have the equality

$$
O\left(X, \varphi_{W}\right)=\omega_{v}(Y) O\left(Y, \varphi^{\prime}\right)
$$

for every $X \in \mathfrak{u}(V)_{r s}\left(F_{v}\right)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{s}_{n+1, r s}\left(F_{v}\right)$ whose orbits correspond to each other via the embedding (5).
If the place $v$ splits in $E$ then the existence of smooth transfer is easy (see [Zh1, Proposition $2.5]$ ). One of the main achievement of [Zh1] was to prove the existence of smooth transfer for nonarchimedean places. In other words, we have the following (see [Zh1, Theorem 2.6]):

Theorem 3.4.1 (Zhang) Let $v$ be a nonarchimedean place of $F$.
(i) For every function $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$, matching $f^{\prime}$ and conversely for every function $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ there exists a function $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ which matches $f$.
(ii) For every function $\varphi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ there exists a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathfrak{u}(V)\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ matching $\varphi^{\prime}$ and conversely for every function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathfrak{u}(V)\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ there exists a function $\varphi^{\prime} \in$ $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ which matches $\varphi$.

One of the main ingredient in the proof of Zhang was the following (see [Zh1, Theorem 4.17])
Theorem 3.4.2 (Zhang) Let $v$ be any place of $F$. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathfrak{u}(V)\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ and $\varphi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ match then so do $\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \eta_{E_{v} / F_{v}}, \psi\right)^{n(n+1) / 2} \hat{\varphi}$ and $\widehat{\varphi^{\prime}}$.

In a recent paper Xue ([Xue]) was able to extend Zhang results to obtain a weak version of smooth transfer at archimedean places (which however is sufficient for many global applications). In order to state Xue's result in the generality that we need, we have to vary the hermitian space $W$. Let us denote momentarily the groups $G$ and $H$ by $G^{W}$ and $H^{W}$. To every hermitian space $W^{\prime}$ of rank $n$ over $E$ we can associate similar groups $G^{W^{\prime}}$ and $H^{W^{\prime}}$ and replacing $W$ by $W^{\prime}$ everywhere in the previous paragraphs we have a notion of matching between test functions in $\mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ and test functions in $\mathcal{S}\left(G^{W^{\prime}}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$, $v$ a place of $F$. Then, Xue's result reads as follows:

Theorem 3.4.3 (Xue) Let $v$ be an archimedean place of $F$. Then, the space of functions $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ admitting a smooth transfer to $\mathcal{S}\left(G^{W^{\prime}}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ for all hermitian space $W^{\prime}$ of rank $n$ over $E$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$. Similarly, the space of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{W}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ such that
there exists a function $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ matching $f$ and with the property that for all hermitian space $W^{\prime}$ of rank $n$ over $E$ for which $W_{v}^{\prime} \neq W_{v}$ the function $f^{\prime}$ match $0 \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{W^{\prime}}\left(F_{v}\right)\right.$ ), is dense in $\mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$.

Parallel to the existence of smooth transfer there is also a fundamental lemma for the case at hand. This fundamental lemma has been proved by Yun in (sufficiently large) positive characteristic $[\mathrm{Yu}]$ and extended to the characteristic zero case by J.Gordon in the appendix to [Yu]. It can be stated as follows:

Theorem 3.4.4 (Yun-Gordon) There exists a constant $c(n)$ depending only on $n$ such that for every place $v$ of $F$ of residual characteristic greater than $c(n)$ the following holds: If $W_{v}$ admits a self-dual lattice $L_{v}$ then the function $f_{v}^{\prime}=\mathbf{1}_{K_{v}^{\prime}}$ match the function $f_{v}=\mathbf{1}_{G\left(\mathcal{O}_{v}\right)}$ where we have defined a model of $G$ over $\mathcal{O}_{v}$ using the self-dual lattice $L_{v}$, otherwise the function $f_{v}^{\prime}=\mathbf{1}_{K_{v}^{\prime}}$ match the function $f_{v}=0$.

### 3.5 Transfer of spherical characters, Zhang's conjecture and IchinoIkeda conjecture

We shall say of a function $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}))$ that it is nice if it satisfies the following conditions:

- $f$ is factorizable: $f=\otimes_{v} f_{v}$;
- There exists a nonarchimedean place $v_{1}$ of $F$ and a finite union $\Omega_{1}$ of cuspidal Bernstein components of $G\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)$ such that $f_{v_{1}} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)\right)_{\Omega_{1}}$;

We define the notion of nice function on $G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})$ similarly. To state the next theorem, we will need to consider more than one pair of hermitian spaces $(W, V)$. Recall that we have an orthogonal decomposition $V=W \oplus^{\perp} E e$ where $(e, e)=1$. To any (isomorphism class of) $n$ dimensional hermitian space $W^{\prime}$ over $E$ we associate the pair ( $W^{\prime}, V^{\prime}$ ) where $V^{\prime}=W^{\prime} \oplus^{\perp} E e$. Using such a pair we may construct a new pair $\left(H^{W^{\prime}}, G^{W^{\prime}}\right)$ of reductive groups over $F$ where $H^{W^{\prime}}=U\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ and $G^{W^{\prime}}=U\left(W^{\prime}\right) \times U\left(V^{\prime}\right)$. Note that if $W^{\prime}=W$ then $\left(H^{W^{\prime}}, G^{W^{\prime}}\right)=(H, G)$. The discussions of the previous paragraphs of course apply verbatim to $\left(H^{W^{\prime}}, G^{W^{\prime}}\right)$. In particular we have a notion of matching between functions in $\mathcal{S}\left(G^{W^{\prime}}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ and $\mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$, v a place of $F$, and a notion of nice function on $G^{W^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})$. We shall say that a nice function $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})\right)$ match a tuple of nice functions $\left(f^{W^{\prime}}\right)_{W^{\prime}}, f^{W^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{W^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})\right)$ and $W^{\prime}$ running over all isomorphism classes of $n$-dimensional hermitian spaces over $E$, if for all $W^{\prime}$ and all place $v$ of $F$ the functions $f_{v}^{\prime}$ and $f_{v}^{W^{\prime}}$ match. Comparing two (simple) global relative trace formulae that have been proposed by Jacquet and Rallis ([JR]), Zhang proves the following (see [Zh1, Proposition 2.10] and [Zh2, Theorem 4.3]):

Theorem 3.5.1 (Zhang) Let $\pi$ be an abstractly $H$-distinguished cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $B C(\pi)$ is cuspidal and for all non-split archimedean place $v$ the representation $\pi_{v}$ is tempered. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}))$ and $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})\right)$ be nice functions and
assume that there exists a tuple $\left(f^{W^{\prime}}\right)_{W^{\prime}}, f^{W^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{W^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})\right)$, of nice functions matching $f^{\prime}$ such that $f^{W}=f$. Then, we have

$$
J_{\pi}(f)=2^{-2} L\left(1, \eta_{E / F}\right)^{-2} I_{B C(\pi)}\left(f^{\prime}\right)
$$

Remark 3.5.2 The above theorem differs slightly from [Zh1, Proposition 2.10] and [Zh2, Theorem 4.3] for the following reasons:

- First we are not assuming that our test functions are supported in the regular semisimple locus (this is one of the requirement that Zhang imposes on his 'nice' functions);
- We are using test functions that are not necessarily compactly supported (since they are only rapidly decreasing, i.e. in the Schwartz space, at the archimedean places). This is necessary if we want to apply this theorem in conjunction with Xue's result (Theorem 3.4.3) as the dense subspace of 'transferable' test functions that he constructs has no reason to consists of compactly supported functions;
- We have drop the condition that $\pi$ is supercuspidal at one split places but we add the assumption that $\pi$ must be tempered at all non-split archimedean places.

This last point is only minor: dropping the condition of $\pi$ being supercuspidal at one split place can be done by slightly modifying Zhang's original argument when he separates contributions in the spectral side of the simple trace formulas and using the recent extension by Ramakrishnan of his 'mild Tchebotarev theorem for $G L(n)$ ' [Ra]. Moreover, here we assume that $\pi$ is tempered at all non-split archimedean places in order to have a result independent of the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for generic L-packets at archimedean places (this conjecture is currently only known for tempered L-packets at these places, see §2.4), this conjecture was granted as a working hypothesis in [Zh2]. The first point is more serious and to get rid of this assumption on the support we have to use the recent works of Zydor $[Z y]$ on regularization of the geometric side of the Jacquet-Rallis trace formulae and Chaudouard-Zydor [CZ] on extending the transfer to singular orbital integrals. Finally, the extension to rapidly decreasing functions (at the archimedean places) is an easy matter using basic estimates on these functions. A convenient way to do this is to introduce some norms on the automorphic quotient $[G]=G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$. We give definitions and basic properties of these norms in the appendix. Also, for convenience of the reader, we provide in appendix $A$ a full proof of Theorem 3.5.1 using the aforementioned results of Zydor and Chaudouard-Zydor

Thanks to the theory of Rankin-Selberg convolution due to Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro and Shalika ([JPSS]), for every cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ whose base change is cuspidal we know a factorization of the global spherical character $I_{B C(\pi)}$. More precisely, if $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})\right)$ is completely factorizable, we have (see [Zh2, Proposition 3.6])

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{B C(\pi)}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=L\left(1, \eta_{E / F}\right)^{2} \frac{L(1 / 2, B C(\pi))}{L(1, \pi, A d)} \prod_{v} I_{B C(\pi)_{v}}^{\natural}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

An immediate consequence of this factorization of Theorem 3.5.1 and of the multiplicity one theorems of Aizenbud-Gourevitch-Rallis-Schiffmann [AGRS] of of Jiang-Sun-Zhu [JSZ] is the following:

Corollary 3.5.3 Let $\pi$ be a globally $H$-distinguished (i.e. such that $J_{\pi} \neq 0$ ) cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ satisfying the two following conditions:

- For all non-split archimedean place $v$ of $F$, the representation $\pi_{v}$ is tempered;
- There exists a split nonarchimedean place $v_{0}$ such that $\pi_{v_{0}}$ is supercuspidal.

Then for all place $v_{1}$ of $F$ different from $v_{0}$ where $\pi$ is tempered, there exists a constant $C\left(\pi_{v_{1}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for all pair $\left(f_{v_{1}}, f_{v_{1}}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)\right) \times \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)\right)$ of matching functions with the property that $f_{v_{1}}^{\prime}$ has a matching test function $f_{v_{1}}^{W^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{W^{\prime}}\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)\right.$ ) for all hermitian space $W^{\prime}$ of rank $n$, we have

$$
J_{\pi_{v_{1}}}\left(f_{v_{1}}\right)=C\left(\pi_{v_{1}}\right) I_{B C\left(\pi_{v_{1}}\right)}\left(f_{v_{1}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Remark 3.5.4 Note that the condition of matching of the function $f_{v_{1}}^{\prime}$ is empty if $v_{1}$ is nonarchimedean (by Theorem 3.4.1) or splits in E.
$\underline{\text { Proof: Let }} \mathbb{A}^{v_{1}}$ denote the adeles outside of $v_{1}$ and let $f^{v_{1}}=\prod_{v \neq v_{1}} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(\mathbb{A}^{v_{1}}\right)\right)$ be a factorizable test function. By the multiplicity one results of [AGRS] and [JSZ] and 3.2 (1), there exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
J_{\pi}\left(f^{v_{1}} \otimes f_{v_{1}}\right)=C J_{\pi_{v_{1}}}\left(f_{v_{1}}\right)
$$

for all $f_{v_{1}} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)\right)$. Since $J_{\pi} \neq 0$ we may choose the function $f^{v_{1}}$ so that $C \neq 0$. Moreover, up to replacing $f_{v_{0}}$ by its projection to $\mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v_{0}}\right)\right)_{\Omega_{0}}$, where $\Omega_{0}$ denotes the Bernstein component of $\pi_{v_{0}}$, we may assume that $f_{v_{0}} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v_{0}}\right)\right)_{\Omega_{0}}$. Then, for all $f_{v_{1}} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)\right)$ the function $f=f^{v_{1}} \otimes f_{v_{1}}$ is nice. By Theorem 3.4.3 of Xue we can also arrange $f^{v_{1}}$ such that for all non-split archimedean place $v$ the function $f_{v}$ admits a transfer $f_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ which itself admits a transfer to $\mathcal{S}\left(G^{W^{\prime}}\left(F_{v}\right)\right.$ ) for all rank $n$ hermitian space $W^{\prime}$ over $E$. By Theorem 3.4.1 of Zhang and our choice of $f^{v_{1}}$ at non-split archimedean places, we can find a factorizable test function $f^{\prime v_{1}}=\prod_{v \neq v_{1}} f_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{A}^{v_{1}}\right)\right)$ matching $f^{v_{1}}$ and moreover admitting a matching to $\mathcal{S}\left(G^{W^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{v_{1}}\right)\right)$ for all $W^{\prime}$. Since $v_{0}$ splits in $E$, there exists an isomorphism $G_{v_{0}}^{\prime} \simeq G_{v_{0}} \times G_{v_{0}}$ so that $B C\left(\pi_{v_{0}}\right)=\pi_{v_{0}} \boxtimes \pi_{v_{0}}^{\vee}$ and then every function $\varphi^{\prime}=\varphi_{1} \otimes \varphi_{2} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v_{0}}\right)\right) \simeq \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v_{0}}\right)\right) \otimes \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v_{0}}\right)\right)$ match the function $\varphi_{1} * \varphi_{2}^{\vee} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v_{0}}\right)\right)$
where $\varphi_{2}^{\vee}(g):=\varphi_{2}\left(g^{-1}\right)$ and $*$ denotes the convolution product. From this we easily infer that we can choose $f_{v_{0}}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v_{0}}\right)\right)_{\Omega_{0} \times \Omega_{0}^{\vee}}$, where $\Omega_{0}^{\vee}$ is the Bernstein component dual to $\Omega_{0}$. Then, for all $f_{v_{1}}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)\right)$ the function $f^{\prime}:=f^{\prime v_{1}} \otimes f_{v_{1}}^{\prime}$ is nice. Now the result follows from Theorem 3.5.1 applied to $f=f^{v_{1}} \otimes f_{v_{1}}$ and $f^{\prime}=f^{\prime v_{1}} \otimes f_{v_{1}}^{\prime}$ where $\left(f_{v_{1}}, f_{v_{1}}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)\right) \times \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)\right)$ is any pair of matching functions with $f_{v_{1}}^{\prime}$ satisfying the condition of the statement (Note that the assumption that $\pi_{v_{0}}$ is supercuspidal implies that $B C(\pi)$ is cuspidal).

In [Zh2, conjecture 4.4], Zhang makes the following conjecture
Conjecture 3.5.5 Let $v$ be a place of $F$ and let $\pi_{v}=\pi_{n, v} \boxtimes \pi_{n+1, v}$ be an irreducible tempered unitary $H_{v}$-distinguished representation of $G\left(F_{v}\right)$. Then, for all matching functions $f \in$ $\mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$ and $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right)\right)$, we have

$$
I_{B C\left(\pi_{v}\right)}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right)=\kappa_{v}\left(\pi_{v}\right) L\left(1, \eta_{E_{v} / F_{v}}\right)^{-1} J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right)
$$

the constant $\kappa_{v}\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ being given by

$$
\kappa_{v}\left(\pi_{v}\right)=|\tau|_{E_{v}}^{\left(d_{n}+d_{n+1}\right) / 2}\left(\frac{\epsilon\left(1 / 2, \eta_{E_{v} / F_{v}}, \psi_{v}\right)}{\eta_{v}^{\prime}(-2 \tau)}\right)^{n(n+1) / 2} \omega_{B C\left(\pi_{n, v}\right)}(\tau)
$$

where $\omega_{B C\left(\pi_{n, v}\right)}$ denotes the central character of $B C\left(\pi_{n, v}\right)$ and $d_{n}=\binom{n}{3}, d_{n+1}=\binom{n+1}{3}$.
Remark 3.5.6 The above conjecture actually differs slightly from [Zh2, conjecture 4.4]. Indeed, there is a discrepancy in the definition of the constant $\kappa_{v}\left(\pi_{v}\right)$. In loc. cit. there is an extra factor $\eta_{v}(\operatorname{disc}(W))$ and the factor $\eta_{v}^{\prime}(-2 \tau)$ is replaced by $\eta_{v}^{\prime}(\tau)$. This discrepancy seems to come from lemma 9.1 of [Zh2] on the compatibility between the transfer factors on the group and on the Lie algebra (see §3.4(8)). Of course, this difference has no impact for global applications since in any case if $\pi$ is an automorphic representation then $\prod_{v} \kappa_{v}\left(\pi_{v}\right)=1$.

Obviously, we may deduce from the conjunction of the above conjecture, of Theorems 3.5.1, 3.4.1 and 3.4.3 and of the factorization (1) some instances of the Ichino-Ikeda conjecture (as stated in the introduction). In [Zh2], Zhang was able to verify conjecture 3.5 .5 in certain particular cases (see [Zh2, Theorem 4.6]). More precisely, he proves the conjecture when either

- The place $v$ splits in $E$;
- $v$ is nonarchimedean, $\pi_{v}$ is unramified and the residue characteristic of $v$ is sufficiently large;
- $v$ is nonarchimedean and $\pi_{v}$ is supercuspidal.

The main goal of this paper is to prove conjecture 3.5.5 for all nonarchimedean places $v$. Namely, we prove

Theorem 3.5.7 Conjecture 3.5.5 holds for all nonarchimedean place $v$ of $F$.
As in [Zh2], this theorem has consequences for the Ichino-Ikeda conjecture. Namely, we will deduce from it the following

Theorem 3.5.8 Assume that all the archimedean places of $F$ split in $E$ and let $\pi$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which is everywhere tempered and such that there exists a nonarchimedean place $v$ of $F$ with $B C\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ is supercuspidal. Then conjecture 1.0.1 holds for $\pi$.

The proofs of Theorems 3.5.7 and 3.5.8 will be given in $\S 4.4$ and $\S 4.6$.

### 3.6 A globalization result

Until the end of this paragraph, we make the following assumption

$$
\text { the hermitian space } W \text { is anisotropic }
$$

This means that $H=U(W)$ is an anisotropic group over $F$.
Let $v_{1}$ be a nonarchimedean place of $F$ which is inert in $E$ and let $S$ be a finite set of nonarchimedean places of $F$ which split in $E$. Let $\sigma$ be a unitary supercuspidal representation of $G\left(F_{S}\right)$. Recall that $\operatorname{Temp}_{H}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$ denotes the set of (isomorphism classes of) tempered irreducible $H\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)$-distinguished representations $\pi_{1}$ of $G\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)$ (see $\S 2.4$ ). Let $\operatorname{Irr}_{v_{1}, \sigma, H}(G)$ be the set of irreducible representations $\pi_{1} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$ for which there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which is globally $H$-distinguished (i.e. such that $\left.J_{\pi} \neq 0\right)$ such that $\pi_{v_{1}} \simeq \pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{S} \simeq \sigma \otimes \chi$ for some unramified character $\chi \in \Psi_{\text {unit }}\left(G_{S}\right)$. The goal of this section is to prove the following result:

Proposition 3.6.1 The set $\operatorname{Irr}_{v_{1}, \sigma, H}(G) \cap \operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$ is dense in $\operatorname{Temp}_{H}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$.
The proof of this proposition follows closely that of Corollary A. 8 in [ILM, appendix A]. We will need a lemma which is the analog of lemma A. 2 of loc. cit. Before stating it we need to introduce some more notations.
Let $P=M N$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G_{v_{1}}$ and let $\sigma$ be a square-integrable representation of $M\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)$. We will say that the tempered representation $i_{P}^{G_{v_{1}}} \sigma$ of $G\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)$ is regular if for all $w \in W\left(G_{v_{1}}, M\right)$ we have $w \sigma \npreceq \sigma$. Recall that this implies that the representation $i_{M}^{G_{v_{1}}} \sigma$ is irreducible. We will denote by $\operatorname{Temp} p_{\text {reg }}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$ the set of all regular tempered representations of $G\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)$. It is an open subset of $\operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$. Recall that in $\S 2.3$ for all $c>0$ we have defined subsets $\operatorname{Irr}_{\leqslant c}\left(U(W)_{v_{1}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Irr} r_{\leqslant c}\left(U(V)_{v_{1}}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Irr}\left(U(W)_{v_{1}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Irr}\left(U(V)_{v_{1}}\right)$ respectively. In what follows, we set $\operatorname{Irr} r_{\leqslant c}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)=\operatorname{Irr}_{\leqslant c}\left(U(W)_{v_{1}}\right) \boxtimes \operatorname{Irr}_{\leqslant c}\left(U(V)_{v_{1}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Irr}_{u n i t, \leqslant c}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Irr} r_{u n i t}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right) \cap \operatorname{Ir} r_{\leqslant c}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$.

Lemma 3.6.2 Let $0<c<\frac{1}{2}$. Then, Temp ${ }_{\text {reg }}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$ is open in $\operatorname{Irr}_{\text {unit }, \leqslant c}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$ (for the Fell topology).
Proof: The proof is the same as in [ILM, lemma A.2] the key fact being that the real exponents of tempered representations of unitary groups are all half integers. In loc. cit. the authors use the work of Muic on generic square-integrable representations of classical groups ([Mui]) to deduce this fact for tempered generic representations. However, as already noted in [ILM, Remark A.3], the same result holds for all tempered representation thanks to the work of Mœglin and Mœglin-Tadic on classification of square-integrable representations of classical groups ([Moe1],[MT]). Note that the basic assumption made by Mœeglin and Tadic (see [MT, $\S 2]$ for a precise statement) to prove their classification is now known since it follows from the canonical normalization of intertwining operators for unitary groups due to Mok ([Mok,Proposition 3.3.1]) and Kaletha-Minguez-Shin-White ([KMSW, lemma 2.2.3]) together with the classical reducibility criterion of Silberger and Harish-Chandra ([Sil1, §5.4], [Sil2, lemma 1.2; lemma 1.3]). For quasi-split unitary groups, a different proof has been given by Mœeglin ([Moe2]) using twisted endoscopy. For a proof of the basic assumption for quasisplit symplectic and orthogonal groups using the normalization of intertwining operators see [Xu,Proposition 3.2].

Proof of Proposition 3.6.1: By [Beu1, Corollary 8.6.1], the closure of $\operatorname{Temp}_{H}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$ is an union of connected components of $\operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$. Hence $\operatorname{Temp}_{H, \text { reg }}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right):=\operatorname{Temp}_{H}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right) \cap$ $\operatorname{Temp}_{\text {reg }}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$ is dense in $\operatorname{Temp}_{H}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$. Let $\pi_{1} \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H, \text { reg }}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$. It is sufficient to show that $\pi_{1}$ belongs to the closure of $\operatorname{Irr}_{v_{1}, \sigma, H}(G) \cap \operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$. Since $\sigma$ is $H\left(F_{S}\right)$-distinguished (see $\S 3.2$ ), by [SV] Theorem 6.2.1 and Theorem 6.4.1 we know that $\pi_{1}$ and $\sigma$ belong to the support of the Plancherel measures for $L^{2}\left(H\left(F_{v_{1}}\right) \backslash G\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)\right)$ and $L^{2}\left(H\left(F_{S}\right) \backslash G\left(F_{S}\right)\right)$ respectively. From [SV, Theorem 16.3.2], it follows that there exists a sequence of globally $H$-distinguished automorphic representations $\pi_{n}$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\pi_{n, v_{1}} \rightarrow \pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{n, S} \rightarrow \sigma$ for the Fell topology. Since $\sigma \otimes \Psi_{\text {unit }}\left(G_{S}\right)$ is open in $\operatorname{Irr}_{u n i t}\left(G_{S}\right)$ we have $\pi_{n, S} \in \sigma \otimes \Psi_{u n i t}\left(G_{S}\right)$ for $n$ sufficiently large. This implies that $\pi_{n, v_{1}}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Irr}_{v_{1}, \sigma, H}(G)$ and $\pi_{n}, B C\left(\pi_{n}\right)$ are cuspidal for $n$ sufficiently large.
Set $c=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}+1}$. By Lemma 2.3.2, $\pi_{n, v_{1}}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Irr}_{u n i t, \leqslant c}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$ for $n$ sufficiently large. Hence, by Lemma 3.6.2, $\pi_{n, v_{1}} \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H, \text { reg }}\left(G_{v_{1}}\right)$ for $n$ sufficiently large and this ends the proof of the proposition.

## 4 Proof of Zhang's conjecture

In this section we will prove Theorem 3.5.7 and Theorem 3.5.8. As Theorem 3.5.7 has already been proved by Zhang at every split place $v$, we only need to prove it at every nonarchimedean place $v$ of $F$ which is inert in $E$. Fix such a place $v$. We will now drop all the index $v: E / F=E_{v} / F_{v}, G=G_{v}, H=H_{v}, G^{\prime}=G_{v}^{\prime}, H_{1}^{\prime}=H_{1, v}^{\prime}, H_{2}^{\prime}=H_{2, v}^{\prime}, \psi=\psi_{v}$, $\psi_{E}=\psi_{E, v}$ and so on. Also, to ease notation we will just write $\mathfrak{s}=\mathfrak{s}_{n+1}$. Finally, we will now use unnormalized Haar measures (see §2.5). In particular, Theorem 3.5.7 now takes the following form (see [Zh2, lemma 4.7]):

Theorem 4.0.1 Let $\pi=\pi_{n} \boxtimes \pi_{n+1}$ be a $H$-distinguished irreducible tempered representation of $G(F)$. Then, for all matching functions $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ and $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(F)\right)$, we have

$$
I_{B C(\pi)}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\kappa(\pi) J_{\pi}(f)
$$

where

$$
\kappa(\pi)=|\tau|_{E}^{\left(d_{n}+d_{n+1}\right) / 2}\left(\frac{\epsilon\left(1 / 2, \eta_{E / F}, \psi\right)}{\eta^{\prime}(-2 \tau)}\right)^{n(n+1) / 2} \omega_{B C\left(\pi_{n}\right)}(\tau)
$$

### 4.1 A result of Zhang on truncated local expansion of the spherical character $I_{\Pi}$

In this section we recall a result of Zhang [Zh2] on the existence of truncated local expansion for the spherical characters $I_{\Pi}$. This result is the main ingredient in the proof by Zhang of some particular cases of conjecture 3.5.5. It will also play a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 3.5.7.

Let us set

$$
\xi_{-}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
\tau & \ddots & & & \vdots \\
0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & \tau & 0
\end{array}\right) \in \mathfrak{s}(F)
$$

It is a regular nilpotent element for the $G L_{n}(F)$-action by conjugation (see [Zh2, lemma 6.1]). Zhang has defined a regularized orbital integral $\mu_{\xi_{-}}$over the orbit of $\xi_{-}$(see [Zh2, definition 6.10]). It is a $G L_{n}(F)$-invariant linear form $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}(F)) \mapsto \mu_{\xi_{-}}(\varphi)$ which coincide with the usual orbital integral when the support of $\varphi$ intersect the orbit of $\xi_{-}$in a compact set.
For all $X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & u \\ v & w\end{array}\right) \in \mathfrak{s}_{n+1}(F)$, we define

$$
\Delta_{-}(X):=\operatorname{det}\left(v, v A, \ldots, v A^{n-1}\right)
$$

Note that (see §3.4 (7))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(X)=\eta(-1)^{n} \eta^{\prime}\left(\Delta_{-}(X)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{r s}(F)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{-}\left(\xi_{-}\right)=(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2} \tau^{n(n+1) / 2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r>m^{\prime}>m>0$ be positive integers. In [Zh2, definition 8.1], Zhang defines a notion of $\left(m, m^{\prime}, r\right)$-admissible test functions on $G^{\prime}(F)$. They span a finite dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(F)\right)$. In what follows when we say that $\left(m, m^{\prime}, r\right)$ is sufficiently large, we shall mean that $m$ is sufficiently large, that $m^{\prime}$ is sufficiently large depending on $m$ and that $r$ is sufficiently large depending on $\left(m, m^{\prime}\right)$. Recall that in $\S 3.3$ we have, using a Cayley map, associated to any function $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(F)\right)$ a function $f_{\natural}^{\prime}$ on $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. Also, in $\S 2.5$ we have defined a certain Fourier transform $\varphi \mapsto \hat{\varphi}$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}(F))$. We extract from [Zh2] the two following results (see Lemma 8.8, Theorem 8.5 of [Zh2] and their proofs):

Proposition 4.1.1 (Zhang) Let $U$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ be relatively compact neighborhood of 1 and 0 in $G^{\prime}(F)$ and $\left(\mathfrak{s} / G L_{n}\right)(F)$ respectively. Then, if $\left(m, m^{\prime}, r\right)$ is sufficiently large, for every $\left(m, m^{\prime}, r\right)$-admissible function $f^{\prime}$ we have $\operatorname{Supp}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \subseteq U$ and the function $X \in \mathcal{Z}_{r s} \mapsto$ $\eta^{\prime}\left(\Delta_{-}(X)\right) O\left(X, \widehat{f}_{\natural}^{\prime}\right)$ is constant and equal to $\eta^{\prime}\left(\Delta_{-}\left(\xi_{-}\right)\right) \mu_{\xi_{-}}\left(\widehat{f_{घ}^{\prime}}\right)$. Moreover, we can find a $\left(m, m^{\prime}, r\right)$-admissible function $f^{\prime}$ such that $\mu_{\xi_{-}}\left(\hat{f}_{\natural}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$.

Theorem 4.1.2 (Zhang) Let $\Pi=\Pi_{n} \boxtimes \Pi_{n+1}$ be an irreducible tempered representation of $G^{\prime}(F)$. Then, if $\left(m, m^{\prime}, r\right)$ is sufficiently large (depending on $\Pi$ ) we have the equality

$$
I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=|\tau|_{E}^{\left(d_{n}+d_{n+1}\right) / 2} \omega_{\Pi_{n}}(\tau) \mu_{\xi_{-}}\left(\hat{f}_{\natural}^{\prime}\right)
$$

for all ( $\left.m, m^{\prime}, r\right)$-admissible function $f^{\prime}$, where $d_{n}=\binom{n}{3}$ and $\omega_{\Pi_{n}}$ denotes the central character of $\Pi_{n}$.

A direct consequence of Proposition 4.1.1 and Theorem 4.1.2 is the following:
Corollary 4.1.3 Let $C \subseteq \operatorname{Temp}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ be a compact subset and let $U$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ be relatively compact neighborhood of 1 and 0 in $G^{\prime}(F)$ and $\left(\mathfrak{s} / G L_{n}\right)(F)$ respectively.. Then, there exists a test function $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(F)\right)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $\operatorname{Supp}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \subseteq U$ and the function $X \in \mathcal{Z}_{r s} \mapsto \eta^{\prime}\left(\Delta_{-}(X)\right) O\left(X, \widehat{f}_{\natural}^{\prime}\right)$ is constant and equal to $\eta^{\prime}\left(\Delta_{-}\left(\xi_{-}\right)\right) \mu_{\xi_{-}}\left(\hat{f}_{\dot{4}}^{\prime}\right)$;
(ii) $\mu_{\xi_{-}}\left(\hat{f}_{\natural}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$;
(iii) For all $\Pi \in C$ we have the equality

$$
I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=|\tau|_{E}^{\left(d_{n}+d_{n+1}\right) / 2} \omega_{\Pi_{n}}(\tau) \mu_{\xi_{-}}\left(\hat{f}_{\underline{q}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof: For all $r>m^{\prime}>m>0$, let us denote by $C\left[m, m^{\prime}, r\right]$ the set of $\Pi \in C$ such that the equality

$$
I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=|\tau|_{E}^{\left(d_{n}+d_{n+1}\right) / 2} \omega_{\Pi_{n}}(\tau) \mu_{\xi_{-}}\left(\hat{f}_{\natural}^{\prime}\right)
$$

holds for all $\left(m, m^{\prime}, r\right)$-admissible function $f^{\prime}$. Note that $C\left[m, m^{\prime}, r\right]$ is a closed subset of $C$. Obviously, by Proposition 4.1.1, it suffices to show that if $\left(m, m^{\prime}, r\right)$ is sufficiently large then $C\left[m, m^{\prime}, r\right]=C$ and for that we may assume $C$ to be connected. By Theorem 4.1.2 we have

$$
\bigcup_{m_{0}>0} \bigcap_{m \geq m_{0}} \bigcup_{m_{0}>m} \bigcap_{m^{\prime} \geq m_{0}^{\prime}} \bigcup_{r \gg m^{\prime} r \geqslant r_{0}} C\left[m, m^{\prime}, r\right]=C
$$

Now, by Baire category theorem, this implies that for $\left(m, m^{\prime}, r\right)$ sufficiently large the set $C\left[m, m^{\prime}, r\right]$ is not meager i.e. it has nonempty interior (since it is closed). By connectedness of $C$ and analyticity of $\Pi \mapsto I_{\Pi}$ this implies $C\left[m, m^{\prime}, r\right]=C$ and this ends the proof.

### 4.2 Weak comparison of local spherical characters

Proposition 4.2.1 For all $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G)$ there exists a nonzero constant $C(\pi) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for all matching functions $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ and $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(F)\right)$ we have

$$
J_{\pi}(f)=C(\pi) I_{B C(\pi)}\left(f^{\prime}\right)
$$

Moreover, the function $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G) \mapsto C(\pi)$ is analytic.

Proof: Assume that we have proved the existence of a constant $C(\pi)$ as in the proposition for a dense set of $\pi$ in $\operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G)$. We claim that the proposition can be deduced from this. Indeed, for all $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G)$ we can define a constant $C(\pi)$ as follows: choose any set $\left(f, f^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{S}(G(F)) \times \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(F)\right)$ of matching functions such that $I_{B C(\pi)}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ (the existence of such a pair follows from Theorem 3.4.1 and Theorem 4.1.2) and set $C(\pi)=J_{\pi}(f) I_{B C(\pi)}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$. Of course this constant may a priori depend on the choice of $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ but it follows from the analyticity of $\pi \mapsto J_{\pi}$ and $\Pi \mapsto I_{\Pi}$ and our assumption that in fact it is independent of such a choice. Still by analyticity of the spherical characters the equality of the proposition is true for all $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G)$ and all pair of matching functions $\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)$ and the function $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G) \mapsto C(\pi)$ is analytic. Moreover it is nowhere zero since for all $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G)$ there exists $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ such that $J_{\pi}(f) \neq 0$ and there exists a $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(F)\right)$ matching $f$ (by Theorem 3.4.1).
We now prove the existence of a dense subset of $\pi$ satisfying the proposition. To this end we will use Proposition 3.6.1. We first need to globalize the situation at hand. Let

- $\mathbb{E} / \mathbb{F}$ be a quadratic extension of number fields such that all archimedean places of $\mathbb{F}$ are nonsplit in $\mathbb{E}$ and $v_{1}$ be a place of $\mathbb{F}$ such that $\mathbb{E}_{v_{1}} / \mathbb{F}_{v_{1}} \simeq E / F$;
- $\mathbb{W}$ a $n$-dimensional hermitian space over $\mathbb{E}$ such that
- for all archimedean place $v$ of $\mathbb{F}$ the group $U(\mathbb{W})_{v}$ is anisotropic (in particular $\mathbb{W}$ is anisotropic);
$-\mathbb{W}_{v_{0}} \simeq W$.

We will set $\mathbb{V}=\mathbb{W} \oplus^{\perp} \mathbb{E} e$ where $(e, e)=1$ (so that $\mathbb{V}_{v_{1}} \simeq V$ ), $\mathbb{H}=U(\mathbb{W})$ and $\mathbb{G}=U(\mathbb{V})$. Let $v_{0}$ be two nonarchimedean places of $\mathbb{F}$ which split in $\mathbb{E}$ and let $\sigma$ be a supercuspidal representations of $\mathbb{G}\left(\mathbb{F}_{v_{0}}\right)$. Applying Proposition 3.6.1 to $S=\left\{v_{0}\right\}$, we deduce the existence of a dense subset $D \subset \operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G)$ such that for all $\pi \in D$ there exists a globally $\mathbb{H}$-distinguished cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi$ of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\Pi_{v_{1}} \simeq \pi$ and $\Pi_{v_{0}}$ is supercuspidal. Applying Corollary 3.5.3 to such representations $\Pi$, which we remark are necessarily tempered at all archimedean places since $U(\mathbb{W})$ is anisotropic there, we deduce for all $\pi \in D$ there exists a constant $C(\pi)$ as in the proposition.

### 4.3 A local trace formula

Let $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$. Then
(1) The integral

$$
J\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\int_{H(F)} \int_{H(F)} \int_{G(F)} f_{1}\left(h_{1} g h_{2}\right) f_{2}(g) d g d h_{1} d h_{2}
$$

is absolutely convergent.
This follows from [Zh1, Lemma A.4].
By [Beu1, Proposition 8.2.1(v)], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\int_{\operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G)} J_{\pi}\left(f_{1}\right) J_{\pi^{\vee}}\left(f_{2}\right) d \mu_{G}(\pi) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \mu_{G}(\pi)$ denotes the Harish-Chandra-Plancherel measure of $G(F)$. We also have (see $\S 3.3$ for the definition of $\widetilde{f}_{i}$ )

$$
J\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\int_{U(W)(F)} \int_{U(V)(F)} \tilde{f}_{1}\left(h^{-1} x h\right) \tilde{f}_{2}(x) d x d h
$$

Let us fix open and closed $U(W)\left(F_{v}\right)$-invariant neighborhoods $\omega \subset \mathfrak{u}(V)\left(F_{v}\right)$ and $\Omega \subset$ $U(V)\left(F_{v}\right)$ of 0 and 1 as in $\S 3.3$. Assume that $\widetilde{f}_{2}$ is supported in $\Omega$. Then, we have (see $\S 3.3$ for the definition of $\left.f_{i, 4}\right)$

$$
J\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\int_{U(W)(F)} \int_{\mathfrak{u}(V)(F)} f_{1, \mathfrak{q}}\left(h^{-1} X h\right) f_{2, \mathfrak{\natural}}(X) d X d h
$$

By Fourier transform, we also have

$$
J\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\int_{U(W)(F)} \int_{\mathfrak{u}(V)(F)} \check{f}_{1, \mathfrak{q}}\left(h^{-1} X h\right) \widehat{f}_{2, \mathfrak{\natural}}(X) d X d h
$$

By [Zh1, Corollary 4.5] this expression is absolutely convergent so that we can switch the two integrals and we finally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\int_{\mathfrak{u}(V)(F)} \check{f}_{1, \mathfrak{\natural}}(X) O\left(X, \widehat{f}_{2, \mathfrak{\natural}}\right) d X \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing up, from (2) and (3) we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\text {Temp }_{H}(G)} J_{\pi}\left(f_{1}\right) J_{\pi^{\vee}}\left(f_{2}\right) d \mu_{G}(\pi)=\int_{\mathfrak{u}(V)(F)} \check{f}_{1, \mathfrak{\natural}}(X) O\left(X, \widehat{f}_{2, \mathfrak{\natural}}\right) d X \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all functions $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ with $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\widetilde{f}_{2}\right) \subseteq \Omega$.
We will also need the following formula (see [Beu1, Proposition 8.2.1(iv)]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}(1)=\int_{\operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G)} J_{\pi}(f) d \mu_{G}(\pi) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$.

### 4.4 Proof of Theorem 4.0.1

We keep the notations of the previous paragraph. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$. Denote by $C \subseteq$ $\operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G)$ the support of the function $\pi \mapsto J_{\pi}(f)$. It is a compact set and so is its dual $C^{\vee}$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{Y}$ the image of $\Omega$ in $(H \backslash G / H)(F)=(U(V) / U(W))(F)$ and by $\mathcal{Z}$ the image of the support of $\breve{f}_{\mathrm{\natural}}$ in $(\mathfrak{u}(V) / U(W))(F)$. We will denote by the same letters the corresponding subsets in $\left(H_{1}^{\prime} \backslash G^{\prime} / H_{2}^{\prime}\right)(F)$ and $\left(\mathfrak{s} / G L_{n}\right)(F)$ respectively (see $\S 3.4$ (1) and (4)). By Corollary 4.1.3, there exists a function $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(F)\right)$ such that

- $f^{\prime}$ is supported in the inverse image of $\mathcal{Y}$ in $G^{\prime}(F)$;
- The function $Y \in \mathcal{Z}_{r s} \mapsto \eta^{\prime}\left(\Delta_{-}(Y)\right) O\left(Y, \widehat{f_{\natural}^{\prime}}\right)$ is constant and equal $\eta^{\prime}\left(\Delta_{-}\left(\xi_{-}\right)\right) \mu_{\xi_{-}}\left(\hat{f}_{\natural}^{\prime}\right)$;
- $\mu_{\xi_{-}}\left(\widehat{f_{q}^{\prime}}\right) \neq 0$;
- For all $\Pi \in B C\left(C^{\vee}\right)$ we have

$$
I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=|\tau|_{E}^{\left(d_{n}+d_{n+1}\right) / 2} \omega_{\Pi_{n}}(\tau) \mu_{\xi_{-}}\left(\hat{f}_{\natural}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Let $f_{2} \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ be a function matching $f^{\prime}$ (whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 3.4.1). Up to multiplying $f_{2}$ by the characteristic function of $\mathcal{Y}$ we may assume that $\widetilde{f}_{2}$ is supported in $\Omega$. By $\S 3.4(8)$ the functions $\eta(2)^{n(n+1) / 2} f_{\natural}^{\prime}$ and $f_{2, \natural}$ match. Hence, by Theorem 3.4 .2 so do $\eta(2)^{n(n+1) / 2} \widehat{f}_{\natural}^{\prime}$ and $\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \eta_{E / F}, \psi\right)^{n(n+1) / 2} \hat{f}_{2,4}$. Thus, by $4.1(1)$ and $4.1(2)$, for all $X \in \Omega_{r s}$, denoting by $Y \in \mathcal{Z}_{r s}$ the corresponding element, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \eta_{E / F}, \psi\right)^{n(n+1) / 2} O\left(X, \widehat{f}_{2, \mathrm{4}}\right) & =\eta(2)^{n(n+1) / 2} \eta(-1)^{n} \eta^{\prime}\left(\Delta_{-}(Y)\right) O\left(Y, \hat{f}_{\natural}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\eta(2)^{n(n+1) / 2} \eta(-1)^{n} \eta^{\prime}\left(\Delta_{-}\left(\xi_{-}\right)\right) \mu_{\xi-}\left(\hat{f}_{\natural}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\eta^{\prime}(-2 \tau)^{n(n+1) / 2} \mu_{\xi_{-}}\left(\hat{f}_{\natural}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\text { (1) } \begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \eta_{E / F}, \psi\right)}{\eta^{\prime}(-2 \tau)}\right)^{n(n+1) / 2} \int_{\mathfrak{u}(V)(F)} \check{f}_{\mathfrak{\natural}}(X) O\left(X, \widehat{f}_{2, \mathfrak{\natural}}\right) d X & =\mu_{\xi_{-}}\left(\hat{f}_{\mathfrak{\natural}}^{\prime}\right) \int_{\mathfrak{u}(V)(F)} \check{f}_{\mathfrak{\natural}}(X) d X \\
& =\mu_{\xi_{-}}\left(\hat{f}_{\natural}^{\prime}\right) f_{\mathfrak{\natural}}(0)=\mu_{\xi_{-}}\left(\hat{f}_{\mathfrak{\natural}}^{\prime}\right) \widetilde{f}(1) \\
& =\mu_{\xi_{-}}\left(\hat{f}_{\mathfrak{\natural}}^{\prime}\right) \int_{\text {Temp }_{H}(G)} J_{\pi}(f) d \mu_{G}(\pi)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows from 4.3(5).
On the other hand, by Proposition 4.2.1, for all $\pi \in C$ we have

$$
J_{\pi^{\vee}}\left(f_{2}\right)=C\left(\pi^{\vee}\right) I_{B C\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=C\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)|\tau|_{E}^{\left(d_{n}+d_{n+1}\right) / 2} \omega_{B C\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)_{n}}(\tau) \mu_{\xi-}\left(\widehat{f}_{\natural}^{\prime}\right)
$$

It follows that
(2) $\int_{\text {Temp }_{H}(G)} J_{\pi}(f) J_{\pi^{\vee}}\left(f_{2}\right) d \mu_{G}(\pi)=$

$$
\mu_{\xi-}\left(\hat{f}_{\natural}^{\prime}\right)|\tau|_{E}^{\left(d_{n}+d_{n+1}\right) / 2} \int_{\text {Temp }_{H}(G)} J_{\pi}(f) C\left(\pi^{\vee}\right) \omega_{B C\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)_{n}}(\tau) d \mu_{G}(\pi)
$$

Since $\mu_{\xi_{-}}\left(\hat{f}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$, we deduce from (1), (2) and 4.3(4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\text {Temp }_{H}(G)} J_{\pi}(f)\left(\kappa\left(\pi^{\vee}\right) C\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)-1\right) d \mu_{G}(\pi)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ and where

$$
\kappa(\pi)=|\tau|_{E}^{\left(d_{n}+d_{n+1}\right) / 2}\left(\frac{\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \eta_{E / F}, \psi\right)}{\eta^{\prime}(-2 \tau)}\right)^{n(n+1) / 2} \omega_{B C(\pi)_{n}}(\tau)
$$

Let $\mathcal{Z}(G)$ denotes the Bernstein center of $G$ (see $[\mathrm{BD}]$ ). We may see $\mathcal{Z}(G)$ as a unital subalgebra of the space of continuous functions on $\operatorname{Temp}(G)$ which moreover acts on $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$ with the property that $J_{\pi}(z \star f)=z(\pi) J_{\pi}(f)$ for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$, all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ and all $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}(G)$. Thus by (3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\text {Temp }_{H}(G)} z(\pi) J_{\pi}(f)\left(\kappa\left(\pi^{\vee}\right) C\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)-1\right) d \mu_{G}(\pi)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ and all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$. For all $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}(G)$ let us denote by $\chi_{\pi}$ the 'infinitesimal character' of $\pi$, that is the algebra homomorphism $\chi_{\pi}: \mathcal{Z}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\chi_{\pi}(z):=z(\pi)$ for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$. Set $Y:=\operatorname{Specmax} \mathcal{Z}(G)$. Then, the map $\operatorname{Temp}(G) \rightarrow Y$, $\pi \mapsto \chi_{\pi}$ is continuous and proper. Let $Y_{t e m p} \subseteq Y$ be the image of this map and $\mu_{Y}$ be the push-forward of the Plancherel measure $\mu_{G}$ to $Y_{\text {temp }}$. Then, by the disintegration of measures there exists a measurable mapping $\chi \mapsto \mu_{\chi}$ from $Y_{\text {temp }}$ to the space of measures on $\operatorname{Temp}(G)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T e m p}(G)=\int_{Y_{t e m p}} \varphi(\pi) d \mu_{G}(\pi)=\int_{T e m p}(G)=(\pi) d \mu_{\chi}(\pi) d \mu_{Y}(\chi) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all continuous compactly-supported function $\varphi: \operatorname{Temp}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and such that for all $\chi \in Y_{\text {temp }}, \mu_{\chi}$ is supported on $\operatorname{Temp}_{\chi}(G):=\left\{\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}(G) \mid \chi_{\pi}=\chi\right\}$. By (4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Y_{\text {temp }}} z(\chi) \int_{\text {Temp }_{H, \chi}(G)} J_{\pi}(f)\left(\kappa\left(\pi^{\vee}\right) C\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)-1\right) d \mu_{\chi}(\pi) d \mu_{Y}(\chi)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ and all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$ where we have set $\operatorname{Temp}_{H, \chi}(G):=\operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G) \cap$ $T e m p_{\chi}(G)$. Since the restriction of $\mathcal{Z}(G)$ to $Y_{\text {temp }}$ is self-adjoint (i.e. for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$ there exists $z^{*} \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$ such that $z^{*}(\chi)=\overline{z(\chi)}$ for all $\left.\chi \in Y_{\text {temp }}\right)$, separates points and for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ the function $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}(G) \mapsto J_{\pi}(f)$ is compactly supported, by (6) and the Stone-Weierstrass theorem for $\mu_{Y}$-almost all $\chi \in Y_{\text {temp }}$, we get

$$
\int_{\operatorname{Temp}_{H, \chi}(G)} J_{\pi}(f)\left(\kappa\left(\pi^{\vee}\right) C\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)-1\right) d \mu_{\chi}(\pi)=0
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$. Since $T e m p_{\chi}(G)$ is finite, we have

$$
\int_{\text {Temp }_{H, \chi}(G)} J_{\pi}(f)\left(\kappa\left(\pi^{\vee}\right) C\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)-1\right) d \mu_{\chi}(\pi)=\sum_{\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H, \chi}(G)} J_{\pi}(f)\left(\kappa\left(\pi^{\vee}\right) C\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)-1\right) \mu_{\chi}(\pi)
$$

for $\mu_{Y}$-almost all $\chi \in Y_{\text {temp }}$ and all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$. Finally, as the spherical characters $J_{\pi}$ for $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H, \chi}(G)$ are linearly independent, we get that

$$
\left(\kappa\left(\pi^{\vee}\right) C\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)-1\right) \mu_{\chi}(\pi)=0
$$

for $\mu_{Y}$-almost all $\chi \in Y_{\text {temp }}$ and all $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H, \chi}(G)$ which by (5) means that $\kappa(\pi) C(\pi)=1$ for $\mu_{G}$-almost all $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G)$. Since $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G) \mapsto \kappa(\pi) C(\pi)$ is analytic and the support of $\mu_{G}$ is precisely $\operatorname{Temp}(G)$, it follows that $\kappa(\pi) C(\pi)=1$ for all $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G)$ which is what we wanted.

### 4.5 A first corollary

In this paragraph we prove the following corollary to Theorem 4.0.1. It will be needed for the proof of Theorem 3.5.8.

Corollary 4.5.1 Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ and $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(F)\right)$. Then $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ match if and only if we have

$$
I_{B C(\pi)}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\kappa(\pi) J_{\pi}(f)
$$

for all $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G)$ and where as before we have set

$$
\kappa(\pi)=|\tau|_{E}^{\left(d_{n}+d_{n+1}\right) / 2}\left(\frac{\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \eta_{E / F}, \psi\right)}{\eta^{\prime}(-2 \tau)}\right)^{n(n+1) / 2} \omega_{B C(\pi)_{n}}(\tau)
$$

Proof: The necessity follows from Theorem 4.0.1. Let us prove the sufficiency. Thus, we assume that

$$
I_{B C(\pi)}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\kappa(\pi) J_{\pi}(f)
$$

for all $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G)$ and we want to prove that $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ match. Let $f_{2} \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ be a function which matches $f^{\prime}$ (such a function exists by Theorem 3.4.1). Then, by Theorem 4.0.1 and the assumption, for all $\pi \in \operatorname{Temp}_{H}(G)$ we have $J_{\pi}(f)=J_{\pi}\left(f_{2}\right)$. Thus, by 4.3(2), for all $f_{1} \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(f_{1}, f\right)=J\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x_{0} \in U(V)_{r s}(F)$ and choose $f_{1}$ so that $\tilde{f}_{1}$ is supported in a small neighborhood of $x_{0}$ in $U(V)_{r s}(F)$. Then a formal manipulation, which is justified since everything is absolutely convergent here, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(f_{1}, f\right)=\int_{U(V)(F)} f_{1}(x) O(x, f) d x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\int_{U(V)(F)} f_{1}(x) O\left(x, f_{2}\right) d x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the functions $x \in U(V)_{r s}(F) \mapsto O(x, f)$ and $x \in U(V)_{r s}(F) \mapsto O\left(x, f_{2}\right)$ are locally constant (see [Zh1, Proposition 3.13]), we may choose $f_{1}$ such that $\int_{U(V)(F)} f_{1}(x) O(x, f) d x=$ $O\left(x_{0}, f\right)$ and $\int_{U(V)(F)} f_{1}(x) O\left(x, f_{2}\right) d x=O\left(x_{0}, f_{2}\right)$. For such a choice, it follows from (1), (2) and (3) that $O\left(x_{0}, f\right)=O\left(x_{0}, f_{2}\right)$. As $x_{0}$ was arbitrary we see that $f$ and $f_{2}$ have the same regular semisimple orbital integrals and hence $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ match.

### 4.6 Proof of Theorem 3.5.8

We may assume that $\pi$ is abstractly $H(\mathbb{A})$-distinguished (hence for all $v, \pi_{v}$ is $H_{v}$-distinguished). By the multiplicity one theorems of Aizenbud-Gourevitch-Rallis-Schiffmann [AGRS] of of Jiang-Sun-Zhu [JSZ], there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
J_{\pi}(f)=C \prod_{v} J_{\pi_{v}}^{\natural}\left(f_{v}\right)
$$

for all factorizable test function $f=\prod_{v} f_{v} \in \mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}))$ and we only need to show that $C=4^{-1} \mathcal{L}(\pi, 1 / 2)$. For this, it is sufficient to prove the existence of $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}))$ with

$$
J_{\pi}(f)=4^{-1} \mathcal{L}\left(\pi, \frac{1}{2}\right) \prod_{v} J_{\pi_{v}}^{\natural}\left(f_{v}\right)
$$

and $J_{\pi_{v}}^{\natural}\left(f_{v}\right) \neq 0$ for all place $v$. Let $v_{1}$ be a (nonarchimedean) place of $F$ such that $B C\left(\pi_{v_{1}}\right)$ is supercuspidal (such a place exists by assumption). This implies in particular that $B C(\pi)$ is cuspidal and $\pi_{v_{1}}$ supercuspidal (by Lemma 2.3.1). By Theorem 3.5.1, Theorem 3.5.7 and identity 3.5 (1), it suffices to show that there exist a nice function $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})\right)$ matching a tuple of nice functions $\left(f^{W^{\prime}}\right)_{W^{\prime}}, f^{W^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{W^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})\right)$, such that $I_{B C\left(\pi_{v}\right)}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ for all $v$. Let $\Omega_{1}$ be the Bernstein component of $B C\left(\pi_{v_{1}}\right)$ in $G^{\prime}\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)$. Then, we can find a function $f_{v_{1}}^{\prime \circ} \in$ $\mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)\right)_{\Omega_{1}}$ such that $I_{B C\left(\pi_{v_{1}}\right)}\left(f_{v_{1}}^{\prime \circ}\right) \neq 0$. Let $f^{\prime}=\prod_{v} f_{v}^{\prime}$ be a factorizable test function in $\mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})\right)$ such that $f_{v_{1}}^{\prime}=f_{v_{1}}^{\prime \circ}$ and $I_{B C\left(\pi_{v}\right)}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ for all other place $v$. By construction, the function $f^{\prime}$ is nice. Moreover, by the assumption on archimedean places, Theorem 3.4.1 and Theorem 3.4.4, we can find a tuple of functions $\left(f^{W^{\prime}}\right)_{W^{\prime}}, f^{W^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{W^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})\right)$, matching $f^{\prime}$. Of course, the functions $f^{W^{\prime}}$ have no reason of being nice. However, by Lemma 2.3.1 for all $W^{\prime}$ there exists a finite union $\Omega_{1}^{W^{\prime}}$ of cuspidal Bernstein components of $G^{W^{\prime}}\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)$ such that $\Omega_{1}^{W^{\prime}}$ contains all irreducible representation of $G^{W^{\prime}}\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)$ whose base change belongs to $\Omega_{1}$ and by Corollary 4.5 . 1 up to replacing $f_{v_{1}}^{W^{\prime}}$ by its projection $f_{v_{1}, \Omega_{1}^{W^{\prime}}}^{W^{\prime}}$ onto $\mathcal{S}\left(G^{W^{\prime}}\left(F_{v_{1}}\right)\right)_{\Omega_{1}^{W^{\prime}}}$ we may assume that $f_{v_{1}}^{W^{\prime}}=f_{v_{1}, \Omega_{1}^{W^{\prime}}}^{W^{\prime}}$. Then, for all $W^{\prime}$ the function $f^{W^{\prime}}$ is nice and we are done.

## A Compaison of relative trace formulae

The goal of this appendix is to provide a proof of Theorem 3.5.1. Inspired by [Kott, §18], we start by introducing a convenient notion of norms on the adelic points of a variety over $F$.

## A. 1 Norms on adelic varieties

We will use the following convenient although not very precise notations. If $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are positive valued functions on a set $X$ we will write

$$
f_{1}(x) \ll f_{2}(x), \quad \text { for all } x \in X
$$

to mean that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that $f_{1}(x) \leqslant C f_{2}(x)$ for all $x \in X$. We will also write

$$
f_{1}(x) \prec f_{2}(x), \quad \text { for all } x \in X
$$

or just $f_{1}<f_{2}$ if there exist constants $C, d>0$ such that $f_{1}(x) \leqslant C f_{2}(x)^{d}$ for all $x \in X$. Finally, we will write

$$
f_{1}(x) \sim f_{2}(x), \quad \text { for all } x \in X
$$

or simply $f_{1} \sim f_{2}$ if $f_{1} \prec f_{2}$ and $f_{2} \prec f_{1}$.
Let $X$ be a set. By an abstract norm on $X$ we will just mean a function $\|\|:. X \rightarrow[1,+\infty[$. Let $\|.\|_{1}$ and $\|.\|_{2}$ be two abstract norms on $X$. We will say that $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ dominates $\|.\|_{2}$ if $\|x\|_{2}<\|x\|_{1}$ for all $x \in X$ and we will say that $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ are equivalent if $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ dominates $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ and $\|.\|_{2}$ dominates $\|.\|_{1}$ i.e. if $\|.\|_{1} \sim\|.\|_{2}$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map between two sets and let $\|.\|_{Y}$ be an abstract norm on $Y$. Then, we define an abstract norm $f^{*}\|\cdot\|_{Y}$ on $X$ by

$$
f^{*}\|x\|_{Y}:=\|f(x)\|_{Y}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Let $F$ be a number field, $\mathbb{A}$ its ring of adeles and for every place $v$ of $F$ we will denote by $F_{v}$ the corresponding completion. For every finite extension $F^{\prime}$ of $F$, we will write $\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}=\mathbb{A} \otimes_{F} F^{\prime}$ for the adele ring of $F^{\prime}$. We fix algebraic closures $\bar{F}$ of $F$ and $\bar{F}_{v}$ of $F_{v}$. For every place $v$ of $F$, we will denote by $|\cdot|_{v}$ the normalized absolute value on $F_{v}$. This absolute value extends uniquely to an absolute value on $\bar{F}_{v}$ that we will also denote by $|\cdot|_{v}$. We define

$$
\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}=\bar{F} \otimes_{F} \mathbb{A}=\underset{F^{\prime}}{\lim } \mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}
$$

where the limits is taken over all finite subextension of $\bar{F} / F$. Let $X$ be an algebraic variety over $F$ (i.e. a reduced separated scheme of finite type over $F$ ). Since $X$ is of finite type we have $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}\right)=\underset{F^{\prime}}{\lim } X\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}\right)$. We are going to define certain (equivalence classes of) abstract norms on $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}\right)$ and $X\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right), v$ a place of $F$. The definition of these abstract norms in mainly inspired by [Kott, §18]. First assume that $X$ is affine and choose a set $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}\right\}$ of generators for the $F$-algebra $F[X]$. For every place $v$ of $F$ we define an abstract norm $\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}$ on $X\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)$ by

$$
\|x\|_{X_{v}}:=\max \left(1,\left|P_{1}(x)\right|_{v}, \ldots,\left|P_{k}(x)\right|_{v}\right)
$$

for all $x \in X\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)$. Choosing a different generating set $\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{\ell}\right\}$ would yield another family of abstract norms $\left(\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}^{\prime}\right)_{v}$ with the following properties:

- For all place $v,\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}^{\prime} \sim\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}$;
- There exists $d>0$ such that for almost all place $v$, we have

$$
\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}^{1 / d} \leqslant\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}^{\prime} \leqslant\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}^{d}
$$

In particular, for all $v$ the equivalence class of the abstract norm $\|.\|_{X_{v}}$ does not depend on the particular generating set chosen and by a norm on $X\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)$ we will mean any abstract norm in this equivalence class. Note that the norms $\left(\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}\right)_{v}$ constructed above are Galois invariant in the sense that $\left\|^{\sigma} x\right\|_{X_{v}}=\|x\|_{X_{v}}$ for all $x \in X\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)$ and all $\sigma \in G a l\left(\bar{F}_{v} / F_{v}\right)$. This allows us to extend the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}$ to $X(K)$ for any finite extension $K$ of $F_{v}$ : choosing any embedding $\iota: K \hookrightarrow \bar{F}_{v}$ we set

$$
\|x\|_{X_{v}}:=\|\iota(x)\|_{X_{v}}
$$

for any $x \in X(K)$.
We now define an abstract norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ on $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}\right)$ as follows. Let $x \in X\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}\right)$ and choose a finite extension $F^{\prime} / F$ such that $x \in X\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}\right)$. Then, we may write $x$ as a product $\prod_{w} x_{w}$, $x_{w} \in X\left(F_{w}^{\prime}\right)$, indexed by the set of places of $F^{\prime}$ and we set

$$
\|x\|_{X}:=\prod_{v}\left(\prod_{w \mid v}\left\|x_{w}\right\|_{X_{v}}^{\left[F_{w}^{\prime}: F_{v}\right]}\right)^{1 /\left[F^{\prime}: F\right]}
$$

where the first product is over the set of places $v$ of $F$ and the second product is over the set of places $w$ of $F^{\prime}$ above $v$. Note that this definition does not depend on the choice of the finite extension $F^{\prime} / F$ such that $x \in X\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}\right)$. Moreover, choosing a different generating set would give an equivalent abstract norm. By a norm on $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}\right)$ we will mean any abstract norm in this equivalence class. We will assume from now on that for any affine variety $X$ over $F$ we have fixed norms $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ on $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}\right)$ and norms $\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}$ on $X\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)$, for all place $v$ of $F$, as above (i.e. by choosing a finite generating set of $F[X]$ ). In the particular case $X=\mathbb{A}^{1}$ (the affine line) we will even take

$$
\|x\|_{\mathbb{A}_{v}^{1}}=\max \left(1,|x|_{v}\right)
$$

for all place $v$ of $F$ and for all $x \in X\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)=\bar{F}_{v}$. Note that by the product formula we then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{\mathbb{A}^{1}}=\left\|x^{-1}\right\|_{\mathbb{A}^{1}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in \bar{F}^{\times}$.
We continue to assume that $X$ is affine. Let $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be a finite covering of $X$ by affine open subsets. We can define another abstract norm $\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}, \mathcal{U}}$ on $X\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)$ by

$$
\|x\|_{X_{v}, \mathcal{U}}:=\min \left\{\|x\|_{U_{i, v}} ; i \in I \text { such that } x \in U_{i}\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)\right\}, \quad x \in X\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)
$$

Then we have (see [Kott, Proposition 18.1(6)])

- For all place $v,\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}, \mathcal{U}} \sim\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}$;
- There exists $d>0$ such that for almost all place $v$, we have

$$
\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}^{1 / d} \leqslant\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}, \mathcal{U}} \leqslant\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}^{d}
$$

- For all place $v,\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}, \mathcal{U}}$ is Galois invariant.

We can also define an abstract norm $\|\cdot\|_{X, \mathcal{U}}$ on $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}\right)$ by sending $x \in X\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}\right), F^{\prime} / F$ a finite extension, to

$$
\|x\|_{X, \mathcal{U}}:=\prod_{v}\left(\prod_{w \mid v}\left\|x_{w}\right\|_{X_{v}, \mathcal{U}}^{\left[F_{v}^{\prime}: F_{v}\right]}\right)^{1 /\left[F^{\prime}: F\right]}
$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_{X, \mathcal{U}}$ is a norm on $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}\right)$ (i.e. $\left.\|\cdot\|_{X, \mathcal{U}} \sim\|\cdot\|_{X}\right)$. This allows us to extend the definition of the abstract norms $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}$ to any algebraic variety $X$ over $F$ as follows. Let $X$ be such a variety and choose a finite covering $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of $X$ by affine open subsets. Then the definitions of the abstract norms $\|\cdot\|_{X, \mathcal{U}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}, \mathcal{U}}$ as above still make sense and we will set $\|\cdot\|_{X}:=\|\cdot\|_{X, \mathcal{U}},\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}:=\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}, \mathcal{U}}$. Choosing a different covering $\mathcal{V}$ of $X$ would give abstract norms $\left(\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}^{\prime}\right)_{v}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{X}^{\prime}$ satisfying the following

- For all $v,\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}^{\prime} \sim\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}$ and there exists $d>0$ such that for almost all $v$ we have

$$
\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}^{1 / d} \leqslant\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}^{\prime} \leqslant\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}^{d}
$$

- $\|\cdot\|_{X}^{\prime} \sim\|\cdot\|_{X}$.

In particular the equivalence class of $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ (resp. of $\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}$ for $v$ a place of $F$ ) doesn't depend on the particular choice of $\mathcal{U}$ and by a norm on $X\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}\right)$ (resp. on $X\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)$ ) we will mean any abstract norm in this equivalence class. From now on we assume that every algebraic variety over $F$ has been equipped with a family of norms as above (i.e. by choosing a finite covering $\mathcal{U}$ by affine open subsets). If $X$ is affine we also assume that these norms have been defined using the trivial covering $\mathcal{U}=\{X\}$ so that they coincide with the ones we already fixed. If $G$ is an affine algebraic group over $F$ we also define a norm $\|\cdot\|_{[G]}$ on $[G]=G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ by

$$
\|x\|_{[G]}:=\inf _{\gamma \in G(F)}\|\gamma x\|_{G}
$$

for all $x \in[G]$.
Proposition A.1.1 Let $X$ and $Y$ be algebraic varieties over $F$ and let $G$ be an affine algebraic group over $F$.
(i) The function $x \mapsto\|x\|_{X}$ is locally bounded on $X(\mathbb{A})$.
(ii) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of algebraic varieties. Then $f^{*}\|\cdot\|_{Y}<\|.\|_{X}$. In particular we have $\left\|g g^{\prime}\right\|_{G}<\|g\|_{G}\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{G}$ and $\left\|g^{-1}\right\|_{G} \sim\|g\|_{G}$ for all $g, g^{\prime} \in G\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}\right)$. If moreover $f$ is a finite morphism (in particular if it is a closed embedding) then $f^{*}\|.\|_{Y} \sim\|.\|_{X}$.
(iii) Let $f \in F[X]$ and let $X_{f}=D(f)$ be the principal open subset of $X$ defined by the nonvanishing of $f$. Then, we have

$$
\|x\|_{X_{f}} \sim\|x\|_{X}\left\|f(x)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathbb{A}^{1}}
$$

for all $x \in X_{f}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}\right)$.
(iv) Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset and assume that $X$ is quasi-affine. Then, we have

$$
\|x\|_{U} \sim\|x\|_{X}
$$

for all $x \in U(\bar{F})$. More generally if $p: X \rightarrow Y$ is a regular map and $Y$ is quasi-affine then for all open subset $V \subset Y$ we have

$$
\|x\|_{p^{-1}(V)} \sim\|x\|_{X}
$$

for all $x \in p^{-1}(V)\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}\right)$ such that $p(x) \in V(\bar{F})$.
(v) If $X$ is quasi-affine then there exists $d>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{x \in X(F)}\|x\|_{X}^{-d}
$$

converges.
(vi) Let $d_{r} g$ be a right Haar measure on $G(\mathbb{A})$. Then there exists $d>0$ such that the two integrals

$$
\int_{G(\mathbb{A})}\|g\|_{G}^{-d} d_{r} g, \quad \int_{[G]}\|x\|_{[G]}^{-d} d x
$$

converge.
(vii) Assume that $X$ carries a $G$-action and that we have a regular map $p: X \rightarrow Y$ making $X$ into a $G$-torsor over $Y$. Fix a right Haar measure $d_{r} g$ on $G(\mathbb{A})$. Then for all $d>0$ there exists $d^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\int_{G(\mathbb{A})}\|g x\|_{X}^{-d^{\prime}} d_{r} g \ll\|p(x)\|_{Y}^{-d}
$$

for all $x \in X(\mathbb{A})$.
(viii) Assume that $G$ is connected and reductive and let $\mathfrak{S} \subset G(\mathbb{A})$ be a Siegel domain (see [MW1, §I.2.1]). Then, we have

$$
\|g\|_{G} \sim\|g\|_{[G]}
$$

for all $g \in \mathfrak{S}$.
(ix) Let $H<G$ be a closed subgroup such that $G / H$ is quasi-affine (this is the case if for example $H$ is reductive or if there is no nontrivial morphism $H \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m}$ ). Then, we have

$$
\|x\|_{[H]} \sim\|x\|_{[G]}
$$

for all $x \in[H]$. In particular, by (vi) there exists $d>0$ such that the integral

$$
\int_{[H]}\|x\|_{[G]}^{-d} d x
$$

converges.
Proof:
(i) This follows from the fact that for all $v$ the function $x \in X\left(F_{v}\right) \mapsto\|x\|_{X_{v}}$ is locally bounded and the fact that for almost all $v$ we have $\left\|x_{v}\right\|_{X_{v}}=1$ for all $x_{v} \in X\left(\mathcal{O}_{v}\right)$.
(ii) It suffices to prove the following

- For all place $v$, we have $f^{*}\|\cdot\|_{Y_{v}}<\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}$ and if $f$ is finite $\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}<f^{*}\|\cdot\|_{Y_{v}}$;
- There exists $d>0$ such that for almost all place $v$, we have $f^{*}\|\cdot\|_{Y_{v}} \leqslant\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}^{d}$ and if $f$ is finite $\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}^{1 / d} \leqslant f^{*}\|\cdot\|_{Y_{v}}$.

Assume that the norms $\left(\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}\right)_{v}$ have been defined using the finite affine open covering $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of $X$ and that the norms $\left(\|\cdot\|_{Y_{v}}\right)_{v}$ have been defined using the finite affine open covering $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{j}\right)_{j \in J}$ of $Y$. Up to refining $\mathcal{U}$, we may assume that for all $j \in J$ there exists a subset $I(j) \subset I$ such that $f^{-1}\left(V_{j}\right)=\bigcup_{i \in I(j)} U_{i}$. If moreover $f$ is finite then for all $j \in J$, the open subset $f^{-1}\left(V_{j}\right)$ is affine so that we may assume that $\mathcal{U}=\left(f^{-1}\left(V_{j}\right)\right)_{j \in J}$. This allows us to reduce to the case where both $X$ and $Y$ are affine in which case the statement can be proved much the same way as [Kott, Proposition 18.1(1)].
(iii) Assume that the family of norms $\left(\|\cdot\|_{X_{v}}\right)_{v}$ has been defined using the finite affine open covering $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of $X$. Set $U_{i, f}=U_{i} \cap X_{f}$ for all $i \in I$. Obviously, we may assume that the family of norms $\left(\|\cdot\|_{X_{f, v}}\right)_{v}$ has been defined using the affine open covering $\mathcal{U}_{f}=\left(U_{i, f}\right)_{i \in I}$ of $X_{f}$ and that

$$
\|x\|_{U_{i, f, v}}=\max \left(\|x\|_{U_{i, v},},|f(x)|_{v}^{-1}\right)
$$

for all place $v$ of $F$ and all $x \in U_{i, f}\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\sqrt{\|x\|_{U_{i, v}} \max \left(1,|f(x)|_{v}^{-1}\right)} \leqslant\|x\|_{U_{i, f, v}} \leqslant\|x\|_{U_{i, v}} \max \left(1,|f(x)|_{v}^{-1}\right)
$$

for all place $v$ of $F$ and all $x \in U_{i, f}\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)$. It follows that

$$
\sqrt{\|x\|_{X_{v}} \max \left(1,|f(x)|_{v}^{-1}\right)} \leqslant\|x\|_{X_{f, v}} \leqslant\|x\|_{X_{v}} \max \left(1,|f(x)|_{v}^{-1}\right)
$$

for all place $v$ of $F$ and all $x \in X_{f}\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)$. Taking the product we get

$$
\sqrt{\|x\|_{X}\left\|f(x)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathbb{A}^{1}}} \leqslant\|x\|_{X_{f}} \leqslant\|x\|_{X}\left\|f(x)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathbb{A}^{1}}
$$

for all $x \in X_{f}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}\right)$.
(iv) We prove the second claim which is more general than the first. Let $p: X \rightarrow Y$ be a regular map, $V \subset Y$ an open subset and assume that $Y$ is quasi-affine. It already follows from (ii) that we have

$$
\|x\|_{X}<\|x\|_{p^{-1}(V)}
$$

for all $x \in X\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}\right)$. Hence, it suffices to prove the reverse inequality for all $x \in$ $p^{-1}(V)\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}\right)$ such that $p(x) \in V(\bar{F})$. As $Y$ is quasi-affine, up to replacing $V$ by a finite affine open cover we may assume that $V=Y_{f}$ for some $f \in F[Y]$. Still denoting by $f$ its image in $F[X]$ we then have $p^{-1}(V)=X_{f}$. Then by (ii), (iii) and (1) we have

$$
\|x\|_{X_{f}} \sim\|x\|_{X}\left\|f(x)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathbb{A}^{1}}=\|x\|_{X}\|f(x)\|_{\mathbb{A}^{1}}<\|x\|_{X}
$$

for all $x \in X_{f}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}\right)$ such that $f(x) \in \bar{F}^{\times}$. This implies the desired inequality.
(v) As there exists an open embedding of $X$ into an affine variety, by (iv) we immediately reduce to the case where $X$ itself is affine. Then, we can find a closed embedding $\iota: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n}$ for some integer $n>0$ and by (ii) we are reduced to prove the statement for $X=\mathbb{A}^{n}$ and then eventually for $X=\mathbb{A}^{1}$ in which case the statement is easily checked.
(vi) Note that

$$
\int_{[G]}\|x\|_{[G]}^{-d} d x \leqslant \int_{[G]} \sum_{\gamma \in G(F)}\|\gamma x\|_{G}^{-d} d x=\int_{G(\mathbb{A})}\|g\|_{G}^{-d} d_{r} g
$$

for all $d>0$. Hence it suffices to show that for $d$ sufficiently large the last integral above is convergent. Assume that $H$ is a closed distinguished subgroup of $G$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ or $\mathbb{G}_{a}$. We first show that if the statement is true for both $H$ and $G / H$ then it is true for $G$. For this we write

$$
\int_{G(\mathbb{A})}\|g\|_{G}^{-d} d_{r} g=\int_{(G / H)(\mathbb{A})} \int_{H(\mathbb{A})}\|\dot{g} h\|_{G}^{-d} d_{r} h d_{r} \dot{g}
$$

for all $d>0$ and where $d_{r} h, d_{r} \dot{g}$ are suitable right Haar measures on $H(\mathbb{A})$ and $(G / H)(\mathbb{A})$ respectively $(\operatorname{Note}$ that $(G / H)(\mathbb{A})=G(\mathbb{A}) / H(\mathbb{A}))$. Let $d_{0}, d_{1}>0$. Set$\operatorname{ting} d=d_{0}+d_{1}$, we get

$$
\int_{H(\mathbb{A})}\|g h\|_{G}^{-d} d_{r} h \leqslant\left(\inf _{h \in H(\mathbb{A})}\|g h\|_{G}\right)^{-d_{0}} \int_{H(\mathbb{A})}\|g h\|_{G}^{-d_{1}} d_{r} h
$$

for all $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$. By (ii), there exists $c>0$ such that $\|h\|_{H} \ll\|g h\|_{G}^{c}\|g\|_{G}^{c}$ for all $(h, g) \in H(\mathbb{A}) \times G(\mathbb{A})$. Hence,

$$
\int_{H(\mathbb{A})}\|g h\|_{G}^{-d} d_{r} h \ll\left(\inf _{h \in H(\mathbb{A})}\|g h\|_{G}\right)^{-d_{0}}\|g\|_{G}^{d_{1}} \int_{H(\mathbb{A})}\|h\|_{H}^{-d_{1} / c} d_{r} h
$$

for all $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$. As the left hand side above is, as a function of $g$, invariant by right translation by $H(\mathbb{A})$ we also get

$$
\int_{H(\mathbb{A})}\|g h\|_{G}^{-d} d_{r} h \ll\left(\inf _{h \in H(\mathbb{A})}\|g h\|_{G}\right)^{d_{1}-d_{0}} \int_{H(\mathbb{A})}\|h\|_{H}^{-d_{1} / c} d_{r} h
$$

for all $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$. By assumption for $d_{1}$ sufficiently large the last integral above is convergent. Thus, it only remains to show that for $d^{\prime}>0$ sufficiently large the integral

$$
\int_{(G / H)(\mathbb{A})}\left(\inf _{h \in H(\mathbb{A})}\|\dot{g} h\|_{G}\right)^{-d^{\prime}} d_{r} \dot{g}
$$

converges. By (ii), we have $\|\dot{g}\|_{G / H}<\inf _{h \in H(\mathbb{A})}\|\dot{g} h\|_{G}$ for all $\dot{g} \in G(\mathbb{A}) / H(\mathbb{A})$. Consequently, the convergence of the last integral above for $d^{\prime}$ sufficiently large follows from the assumption on $G / H$.

Let $P_{0}$ be a minimal parabolic subgroup of $G$ over $F$. Then, by the Iwasawa decomposition there exists a compact subgroup $K \subset G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $G(\mathbb{A})=P_{0}(\mathbb{A}) K$. As $K$ is compact, by (i) the norm $\|\cdot\|_{G}$ is bounded on $K$. Moreover, we have

$$
\int_{G(\mathbb{A})}\|g\|_{G}^{-d} d_{r} g=\int_{P_{0}(\mathbb{A})} \int_{K}\left\|k p_{0}\right\|_{G}^{-d} d k d_{r} p_{0}
$$

for suitable (right) Haar measures $d_{r} p_{0}$ and $d k$ on $P_{0}(\mathbb{A})$ and $K$ respectively. By (i) and (ii), it follows that we may assume $G=P_{0}$. Let $P_{0}=M_{0} N_{0}$ be a Levi decomposition. Then the Haar measure $d_{r} p_{0}$ decomposes as $d_{r} p_{0}=d n_{0} d m_{0}$ according to the decomposition $P_{0}(\mathbb{A})=N_{0}(\mathbb{A}) M_{0}(\mathbb{A})$. Moreover we have $\left\|n_{0} m_{0}\right\|_{P_{0}} \sim\left\|m_{0}\right\|_{M_{0}}\left\|n_{0}\right\|_{N_{0}}$ for all $\left(m_{0}, n_{0}\right) \in M_{0}(\mathbb{A}) \times N_{0}(\mathbb{A})$. This allows us to reduce to the case where $G=M_{0}$ or $G=N_{0}$. If $G=N_{0}$ then it admits a composition series whose successive quotients are isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_{a}$ and we are reduced to the case $G=\mathbb{G}_{a}$ where the statement can be checked directly. Assume now that $G=M_{0}$ and denote by $A_{0}$ the maximal split torus in the center of $G$. Then $A_{0}$ is isomorphic to a product of $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ and $M_{0} / A_{0}$ is anisotropic. Thus, we only need to treat the cases $G=\mathbb{G}_{m}$ and $G$ anisotropic. Once again if $G=\mathbb{G}_{m}$ the statement can be checked directly. Now if $G$ is anisotropic we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G(\mathbb{A})}\|g\|_{G}^{-d} d g=\int_{G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} \sum_{\gamma \in G(F)}\|\gamma g\|_{G}^{-d} d g \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (i), (ii) and (v) if $d$ is sufficiently large the function

$$
g \in G(\mathbb{A}) \mapsto \sum_{\gamma \in G(F)}\|\gamma g\|_{G}^{-d}
$$

is locally bounded. Moreover by $[\mathrm{BHC}]$ the quotient $G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ is compact. The result then follows from (2).
(vii) Let $d>0$. As $p$ is a $G$-torsor and $Y$ is separated, the action of $G$ on $X$ is free i.e. the regular map

$$
\begin{aligned}
G \times X & \rightarrow X \times X \\
(g, x) & \mapsto(g x, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

is a closed embedding. By (ii), it follows that there exists $c>0$ such that $\|g\|_{G} \ll$ $\|g x\|_{X}^{c}\|x\|_{X}^{c}$ for all $(g, x) \in G(\mathbb{A}) \times X(\mathbb{A})$. Let $d_{0}, d_{1}>0$. Using the same trick as in the first part of the proof of (vi), we show that for $d^{\prime}=d_{0}+d_{1}$ we have

$$
\int_{G(\mathbb{A})}\|g x\|_{X}^{-d^{\prime}} d_{r} g \ll\left(\inf _{g \in G(\mathbb{A})}\|g x\|_{X}\right)^{d_{1}-d_{0}} \int_{G(\mathbb{A})}\|g\|_{G}^{-d_{1} / c} d_{r} g
$$

for all $x \in X(\mathbb{A})$. By (vi), the last integral above is convergent for $d_{1}$ sufficiently large. Moreover, by (ii) we have $\|p(x)\|_{Y}<\inf _{g \in G(\mathbb{A})}\|g x\|_{X}$ for all $x \in X(\mathbb{A})$. Thus, the statement follows by choosing $d_{0}$ sufficiently large (depending on $d_{1}$ ).
(viii) Let $T_{0}$ be a maximal split torus in $R_{F / \mathbb{Q}} G$. Then, up to conjugating $\mathfrak{S}$ by an element of $G(F)$, there exists a compact subset $\Omega \subset G(\mathbb{A})$ such that

$$
\mathfrak{S} \subseteq T_{0}(\mathbb{R}) \Omega
$$

Hence, by (i) and (ii) it is sufficient to show that

$$
\|a\|_{G} \sim\|a\|_{[G]}
$$

for all $a \in T_{0}(\mathbb{R})$. The inequality $\|a\|_{[G]} \leqslant\|a\|_{G}$ is obvious so that we only need to show that $\|a\|_{G}<\|a\|_{[G]}$ for all $a \in T_{0}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n}$ be a basis of $X^{*}\left(T_{0}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\|a\|_{G} \sim \max \left(\left|\chi_{1}(a)\right|,\left|\chi_{1}(a)\right|^{-1}, \ldots,\left|\chi_{n}(a)\right|,\left|\chi_{n}(a)\right|^{-1}\right)
$$

for all $a \in T_{0}(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, it suffices to show that for all character $\chi \in X^{*}\left(T_{0}\right)$ we have $|\chi(a)|<\|a\|_{[G]}$ for all $a \in T_{0}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\chi$ be such a character and let $V$ be a rational representation of $R_{F / \mathbb{Q}} G$ containing a nonzero vector $v_{0}$ such that $a . v_{0}=\chi(a) v_{0}$ for all $a \in T_{0}$. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}$ be a basis of $V$. Set $V_{\mathbb{A}}=V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}$ and define a nonnegative function $|\cdot|_{V}$ on $V_{\mathbb{A}}$ by

$$
\left|\lambda_{1} v_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{r} v_{r}\right|_{V}=\prod_{v} \max \left(\left|\lambda_{1, v}\right|_{v}, \ldots,\left|\lambda_{r, v}\right|_{v}\right)
$$

for all $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r} \in \mathbb{A}$. Note that there exist nonzero vectors $v \in V_{\mathbb{A}}$ such that $|v|_{V}=0$ but that, however, if $v \in V_{F}=V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$ is nonzero then $|v|_{V} \geqslant 1$. We have $|v|_{V}<\|v\|_{V_{F}}$ for all $v \in V_{\mathbb{A}}$, where $V_{F}$ is considered as an algebraic variety over $F$. Note that $G$ acts on $V_{F}$ via the natural embedding $G \hookrightarrow\left(R_{F / \mathbb{Q}} G\right)_{F}$. Hence, by (ii) we have

$$
|\chi(a)|^{d} \leqslant|\chi(a)|^{d}\left|\gamma v_{0}\right|_{V}=\left|\gamma a v_{0}\right|_{V}<\left\|\gamma a v_{0}\right\|_{V_{F}}<\|\gamma a\|_{G}
$$

for all $a \in T_{0}(\mathbb{R}), \gamma \in G(F)$ and where we have set $d=[F: \mathbb{Q}]$. Taking the infimum over $\gamma$ yields the desired inequality.
(ix) By (ii), the inequality $\|x\|_{[G]}<\|x\|_{[H]}$ is obvious so that we only need to show that $\|x\|_{[H]}<\|x\|_{[G]}$ for all $x \in[H]$. We will need the following fact (which is where the assumption $G / H$ quasi-affine is crucial):
(3) There exists a (set-theoretic) section $s:(H \backslash G)(\bar{F}) \rightarrow G(\bar{F})$ such that $\|s(x)\|_{G} \prec\|x\|_{H \backslash G}$ for all $x \in(H \backslash G)(\bar{F})$.

Proof of (3): Let $p: G \rightarrow H \backslash G$ be the natural surjection. Since $H \backslash G$ is quasiaffine, by (iv), it suffices to find an open covering $\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of $H \backslash G$ and sections $s_{i}$ :
$U_{i}(\bar{F}) \rightarrow p^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)(\bar{F})$ such that $\left\|s_{i}(x)\right\|_{p^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)} \prec\|x\|_{U_{i}}$ for all $i \in I$ and all $x \in U_{i}(\bar{F})$. It is even sufficient to construct one non-empty open subset $U \subseteq H \backslash G$ and a section $s_{U}: U(\bar{F}) \rightarrow p^{-1}(U)(\bar{F})$ such that $\left\|s_{U}(x)\right\|_{p^{-1}(U)} \prec\|x\|_{U}$ for all $x \in U(\bar{F})$. Indeed, if such a pair $\left(U, s_{U}\right)$ exists, we can find a finite number of translates $U_{i}:=U \gamma_{i}$, $\gamma_{i} \in G(\bar{F}), i \in I$, covering $H \backslash G$ and then the sections $s_{i}: U_{i}(\bar{F}) \rightarrow p^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)(\bar{F})$ given by $s_{i}(x):=s\left(x \gamma_{i}^{-1}\right) \gamma_{i}$, for all $i \in I$ and $x \in U_{i}(\bar{F})$, satisfy the desired condition. As $p: G \rightarrow H \backslash G$ is a torsor for the étale topology, we can find a non-empty open subset $U \subseteq H \backslash G$ and a finite étale map $U^{\prime} \rightarrow U$ such that $U^{\prime} \times_{U} G$ is the trivial $G$-torsor over $U^{\prime}$. In particular there exists a regular section $s_{U^{\prime}}: U^{\prime} \rightarrow U^{\prime} \times{ }_{U} G$. Let $s_{0}: U(\bar{F}) \rightarrow U^{\prime}(\bar{F})$ be any set-theoretic section. Then, by (ii) and since $U^{\prime} \rightarrow U$ is finite, the section $s_{U}:=p r_{2} \circ s_{U^{\prime}} \circ s_{0}: U(\bar{F}) \rightarrow p^{-1}(U)(\bar{F})$, where $p r_{2}$ denotes the projection $U^{\prime} \times_{U} G \rightarrow G$, satisfies the desired condition.

Let $s:(G / H)(\bar{F}) \rightarrow G(\bar{F})$ be a section as in (3). We have $\|\gamma\|_{G / H}<\|\gamma h\|_{G}$, for all $(\gamma, h) \in G(F) \times H(\mathbb{A})($ by $(\mathrm{ii}))$ and thus

$$
\inf _{\gamma^{\prime} \in H(\bar{F})}\left\|\gamma^{\prime} h\right\|_{H} \leqslant\left\|s(\gamma)^{-1} \gamma h\right\|_{H}<\|s(\gamma)\|_{G}\|\gamma h\|_{G}<\|\gamma\|_{G / H}\|\gamma h\|_{G}<\|\gamma h\|_{G}
$$

for all $(\gamma, h) \in G(F) \times H(\mathbb{A})$. Taking the infimum over $\gamma$ it follows that

$$
\inf _{\gamma^{\prime} \in H(\bar{F})}\left\|\gamma^{\prime} h\right\|_{H} \prec \inf _{\gamma \in G(F)}\|\gamma h\|_{G}=\|h\|_{[G]}
$$

for all $h \in H(\mathbb{A})$. Hence, it suffices to show

$$
\text { (4) }\|h\|_{[H]} \prec \inf _{\gamma \in H(\bar{F})}\|\gamma h\|_{H}
$$

for all $h \in H(\mathbb{A})$. Denote by $N_{H}$ the unipotent radical of $H$ and let $L_{H}$ be a Levi component of $H$ (so that $H=L_{H} \ltimes N_{H}$ ). As $\left[N_{H}\right]$ is compact we are easily infer from (i) and (ii) that

$$
\|\ell n\|_{[H]} \sim\|\ell\|_{\left[L_{H}\right]} \text { and } \inf _{\gamma \in H(\bar{F})}\|\gamma \ell n\|_{H} \sim \inf _{\gamma_{L} \in L_{H}(\bar{F})}\left\|\gamma_{L} \ell\right\|_{L_{H}}
$$

for all $\ell \in L_{H}(\mathbb{A})$ and all $n \in N_{H}(\mathbb{A})$. We are thus reduced to prove (4) in the case where $H$ is reductive. Denote by $H^{0}$ the connected component of the identity in $H$. Since $H(F) / H^{0}(F), H(\bar{F}) / H^{0}(\bar{F})$ are finite and $H(\mathbb{A}) / H^{0}(\mathbb{A})$ is compact we may assume that $H=H^{0}$. Let $T_{0}$ be a maximal split torus of $R_{F / \mathbb{Q}} H$ and let $\chi \in X^{*}\left(T_{0}\right)$. By (viii), it is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\chi(a)|<\|\gamma a\|_{H} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a \in T_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ and all $\gamma \in H(\bar{F})$. Let $V$ be a rational representation of $R_{F / \mathbb{Q}} H$ containing a nonzero vector $v_{0}$ such that $a . v_{0}=\chi(a) v_{0}$ for all $a \in T_{0}$. Fix a basis $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ of $V$ and let $|.|_{V}$ be the nonnegative function on $V_{\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}}=V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}$ defined by

$$
\left|\lambda_{1} v_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{r} v_{r}\right|_{V}=\prod_{v}\left(\prod_{w \mid v} \max \left(\left|\lambda_{1, w}\right|_{v}, \ldots,\left|\lambda_{r, w}\right|_{v}\right)^{\left[F_{w}^{\prime}: F_{v}\right]}\right)^{1 /\left[F^{\prime}: F\right]}
$$

for all $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r} \in \mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}, F^{\prime} / F$ a finite extension. Note that $|v|_{V} \geqslant 1$ for all nonzero vector $v \in V_{\bar{F}}=V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{F}$ and $|v|_{V} \prec\|v\|_{V_{F}}$ for all $v \in V_{\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}}$. It follows that

$$
|\chi(a)|^{d} \leqslant|\chi(a)|^{d}\left|\gamma v_{0}\right|_{V}=\left|\gamma a v_{0}\right|_{V}<\left\|\gamma a v_{0}\right\|_{V_{F}}<\|\gamma a\|_{H}
$$

for all $(a, \gamma) \in T_{0}(\mathbb{R}) \times H(\bar{F})$. Taking the infimum over $\gamma$ we get (5) and this ends the proof of (ix).

Let $G$ be a connected reductive group over $F$. Fix a maximal compact subgroup $K_{\infty}$ of $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{\infty}\right)$ and a Haar measure $d g$ on $G(\mathbb{A})$. We will denote by $\mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}\right)$ the universal enveloping algebra of (the complexification of) the Lie algebra of $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{\infty}\right)$. For simplicity we will assume that the split center of $G$ is trivial. Denote by $\mathcal{A}([G])$ the space of automorphic functions on $[G]$ by which we mean functions $\phi:[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following conditions

- $\phi$ is smooth: there exists a compact-open subgroup $K$ of $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ such that $\phi$ is right $K$-invariant and for all $g_{f} \in G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ the function $g_{\infty} \in G\left(\mathbb{A}_{\infty}\right) \mapsto \phi\left(g_{\infty} g_{f}\right)$ is $C^{\infty}$;
- $\phi$ is uniformly of moderate growth: there exists $d>0$ such that for all $u \in \mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}\right)$ we have $|(R(u) \phi)(g)| \ll\|g\|_{[G]}^{d}$ for all $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$.

Note that we don't impose any condition of $K_{\infty}$-finiteness or $\mathfrak{z}_{\infty}$-finiteness (where $\mathfrak{z}_{\infty}$ denotes the center of $\mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}\right)$ ). The space $\mathcal{A}([G])$ is naturally equipped with a LF topology (see [Beu1, appendix A] for basic facts about LF vector spaces). As usual, we define $\mathcal{A}_{\text {cusp }}([G])$ to be the subspace of cuspidal functions in the following sense: $\phi \in \mathcal{A}([G])$ is cuspidal if for all proper parabolic subgroup $P=M N$ of $G$ we have

$$
\int_{[N]} \phi(n g) d n=0
$$

for all $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$. The space $\mathcal{A}_{\text {cusp }}([G])$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{A}([G])$ from which it inherits a LF topology and moreover every cuspidal function $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {cusp }}([G])$ is of rapid decay in the following sense: for all $u \in \mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}\right)$ and for all $d>0$ we have

$$
|(R(u) \phi)(g)| \ll\|g\|_{[G]}^{-d}
$$

for all $g \in[G]$ (see [MW1, Corollary I.2.12]). By the open mapping theorem, for all compactopen subgroup $K$ of $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ the topology on $\mathcal{A}_{\text {cusp }}([G])^{K}$ is also induced by the family of seminorms

$$
\|\phi\|_{d, u}=\sup _{g \in[G]} \mid(R(u) \phi)(g)\| \| g \|_{[G]}^{d}, \quad d>0, u \in \mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}\right)
$$

There is another natural family of seminorms inducing the given topology on $\mathcal{A}_{\text {cusp }}([G])^{K}$. Let $C_{G} \in \mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}\right)$ and $C_{K} \in \mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{\infty}\right)$ denote the Casimir elements of $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{\infty}\right)$ and $K_{\infty}$ respectively and set $\Delta=C_{G}^{2}+C_{K}^{2}$. Then the family of Sobolev seminorms

$$
\|\phi\|_{k}=\left\|R(1+\Delta)^{k} \phi\right\|_{L^{2}([G])}, \quad k \geqslant 0, \phi \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {cusp }}([G])
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}([G])}$ denotes the $L^{2}$-norm on $L^{2}([G])$, induce on $\mathcal{A}_{\text {cusp }}([G])^{K}$ its LF topology (this follows essentially from strong approximation together with the Sobolev lemma). We will denote $L_{\text {cusp }}^{2}([G])$ the completion of $\mathcal{A}_{\text {cusp }}([G])$ in $L^{2}([G])$. It is a unitary representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which decomposes discretely.

Let now $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}))$ be a Schwartz function on $G(\mathbb{A})$. We denote as usual by

$$
K_{f}(x, y)=\sum_{\gamma \in G(F)} f\left(x^{-1} \gamma y\right), \quad x, y \in[G]
$$

the automorphic kernel of $f$. Note that the sum is absolutely convergent by Proposition A.1.1. Let $\pi \subset \mathcal{A}_{\text {cusp }}([G])$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation and let $\mathcal{B}_{\pi}$ be an orthonormal basis of (the completion of) $\pi$ for the $L^{2}$ scalar product. We define

$$
K_{f, \pi}(x, y)=\sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi}}(R(f) \phi)(x) \overline{\phi(y)}, \quad x, y \in[G]
$$

Then $K_{f, \pi}$ is the orthogonal projection of $K_{f}$, seen as a function in $x$, onto $\pi$ or, what amounts to the same, the orthogonal projection of $K_{f}$, seen as a function of $y$, onto $\bar{\pi}$. Finally, letting $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\text {cusp }}([G])$ be an orthonormal basis of $L_{\text {cusp }}^{2}([G])$, we set

$$
K_{f, c u s p}(x, y)=\sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{B}}(R(f) \phi)(x) \overline{\phi(y)}, \quad x, y \in[G]
$$

Note that

$$
K_{f, c u s p}=\sum_{\pi} K_{f, \pi}
$$

where the sum is over a complete family of orthogonal cuspidal automorphic representations $\pi \subset \mathcal{A}_{\text {cusp }}([G])$ (all of them if there is multiplicity one).

Proposition A.1.2 Let $H_{1}, H_{2} \subset G$ be closed algebraic subgroups such that the quotients $G / H_{1}$ and $G / H_{2}$ are quasi-affine. Then the integral

$$
\int_{\left[H_{1}\right]} \int_{\left[H_{2}\right]} \sum_{\pi}\left|K_{f, \pi}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)\right| d h_{1} d h_{2}
$$

the sum running over a complete family of orthogonal cuspidal automorphic representations, converges. We even have the stronger following result: let $K_{0}$ be a compact-open subgroup of $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ such that $f$ is right $K_{0}$-invariant and let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\text {cusp }}([G])^{K_{0}}$ be an orthonormal basis of $L_{\text {cusp }}^{2}([G])^{K_{0}}$ consisting of functions which are $C_{K}$ and $C_{G}$ eigenvectors, then the integral

$$
\int_{\left[H_{1}\right]} \int_{\left[H_{2}\right]} \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{B}}\left|(R(f) \phi)\left(h_{1}\right)\right|\left|\phi\left(h_{2}\right)\right| d h_{1} d h_{2}
$$

converges.
Proof: The second statement is obviously stronger than the first since for every cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ we can find an orthonormal basis of $\pi^{K_{0}}$ consisting of $C_{K}-$ and $C_{G}$-eigenvectors. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an orthonormal basis of $L_{\text {cusp }}^{2}([G])^{K_{0}}$ as in the proposition. By Proposition A.1.1(ix) it suffices to prove that for all $d>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{B}}\left|(R(f) \phi)(x)\|\phi(y) \mid \ll\| x\left\|_{[G]}^{-d}\right\| y \|_{[G]}^{-d}\right. \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in[G]$. Let $d>0$. Since the family of norms $\left(\|.\|_{k}\right)_{k}$ generates the topology on $\mathcal{A}_{\text {cusp }}([G])^{K_{0}}$, there exists $k>0$ such that

$$
|\phi(x)| \ll\|\phi\|_{k}\|x\|_{[G]}^{-d}
$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {cusp }}([G])^{K_{0}}$ and all $x \in[G]$.
For all $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$, let us denote by $\lambda_{K}(\phi), \lambda_{G}(\phi) \in \mathbb{R}$ the eigenvalues of $C_{K}$ and $C_{G}$ acting on $\phi$. Let $N$ be a positive integer. For all $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$
R(f) \phi=\left(1+\lambda_{G}(\phi)^{2}+\lambda_{K}(\phi)^{2}\right)^{-N} R\left(f^{(N)}\right) \phi
$$

where $f^{(N)}=(1+\Delta)^{N} f$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{B}}|R(f) \phi(x) \| \phi(y)| & =\sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{B}}\left(1+\lambda_{G}(\phi)^{2}+\lambda_{K}(\phi)^{2}\right)^{-N}\left|R\left(f^{(N)}\right) \phi(x) \| \phi(y)\right| \\
& \ll\|x\|_{[G]}^{-d}\|y\|_{[G]}^{-d} \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{B}}\left(1+\lambda_{G}(\phi)^{2}+\lambda_{K}(\phi)^{2}\right)^{-N}\left\|R\left(f^{(N)}\right) \phi\right\|_{k}\|\phi\|_{k} \\
& =\|x\|_{[G]}^{-d}\|y\|_{[G]}^{-d} \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{B}}\left(1+\lambda_{G}(\phi)^{2}+\lambda_{K}(\phi)^{2}\right)^{k-N}\left\|R\left(f^{(N+k)}\right) \phi\right\|_{L^{2}}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leqslant\|x\|_{[G]}^{-d}\|y\|_{[G]}^{-d}\left\|f^{(N+k)}\right\|_{L^{1}} \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{B}}\left(1+\lambda_{G}(\phi)^{2}+\lambda_{K}(\phi)^{2}\right)^{k-N}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in[G]$ and where $\|\cdot\|_{L^{1}}$ denotes the $L^{1}$-norm on $L^{1}([G])$. By $[\mathrm{Mu}]$, for $N \gg 1$ the last sum above converges. This proves (7) and ends the proof of the proposition.

Remark A.1.3 • Fix $f^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)\right)$. Then, the proof of the proposition actually shows that for all $d>0$ there exists a continuous seminorm $\nu_{d}$ on $\mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{\infty}\right)\right)$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{f_{\infty} \otimes f^{\infty}, c u s p}(x, y)\right| \leqslant \nu_{d}\left(f_{\infty}\right)\|x\|_{[G]}^{-d}\|y\|_{[G]}^{-d} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f_{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G\left(F_{\infty}\right)\right)$ and all $x, y \in[G]$.

- We can prove the first part of the proposition directly by using the Selberg trick. Indeed, it suffices to show that the series

$$
\sum_{\pi} K_{f, \pi}
$$

converges absolutely in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {cusp }}([G \times G])$ or, what amounts to the same, that it converges absolutely in $\mathcal{A}([G \times G])$. To prove this, we only need to show that the sum

$$
\sum_{\pi}\left|K_{f, \pi}(x, y)\right|
$$

converges absolutely for all $x, y \in[G]$ and is bounded uniformly in $x, y$. By a theorem of Dixmier-Malliavin ([DM]), we may write $f$ as a finite sum of convolutions $f_{1, i} \star f_{2, i}$, $f_{1, i}, f_{2, i} \in \mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A})), i=1, \ldots, k$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\left|K_{f, \pi}(x, y)\right| \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{k} K_{h_{2, i}, \pi}(x, x)^{1 / 2} K_{h_{1, i}, \pi}(y, y)^{1 / 2}
$$

for all $\pi, \underline{\text {, all } x, y} \in[G]$ and where we have set $h_{j, i}=f_{j, i}^{*} \star f_{j, i}$ where by definition $f_{j, i}^{*}(g)=\overline{f_{j, i}\left(g^{-1}\right)}$. Thus, by another application of Cauchy-Schwarz, we get

$$
\sum_{\pi}\left|K_{f, \pi}(x, y)\right| \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{k} K_{h_{2, i}, \text { cusp }}(x, x)^{1 / 2} K_{h_{1, i}, \text { cusp }}(y, y)^{1 / 2}
$$

for all $x, y \in[G]$ and the right hand side is uniformly bounded (even of rapid decay).

## A. 2 Relative trace formulae

We now return to the situation considered in section 3 . We will use the same notations and normalization of measures as there (in particular our global Haar measures are Tamagawa measures). We define the following 'bases' (geometric quotients): $\mathcal{B}:=H \backslash G / H$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}:=$ $H_{1}^{\prime} \backslash G^{\prime} / H_{2}^{\prime}$. These are affine varieties (as $H, H_{1}^{\prime}$ and $H_{2}^{\prime}$ are reductive). We set $p: G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $p^{\prime}: G^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ for the natural projections and $p_{F}, p_{F}^{\prime}$ for the corresponding maps at the level of $F$-points. For all test function $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}))$, $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})\right), \delta \in \mathcal{B}(F), \gamma \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}(F)$, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{f, \delta}(x, y) & :=\sum_{\underline{\delta} \in p_{F}^{-1}(\delta)} f\left(x^{-1} \underline{\delta} y\right), \quad x, y \in G(\mathbb{A}) \\
K_{f^{\prime}, \gamma}(x, y) & :=\sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in\left(p_{F}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(\gamma)} f^{\prime}\left(x^{-1} \underline{\gamma} y\right), \quad x, y \in G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that these sums are absolutely convergent by Proposition A.1.1 (v) and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{f}(x, y) & =\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{B}(F)} K_{f, \delta}(x, y) \\
K_{f^{\prime}}(x, y) & =\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}(F)} K_{f^{\prime}, \gamma}(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Whenever convergent, we define the following 'global orbital integrals'

$$
\begin{gathered}
O(\delta, f):=\int_{[H]} \int_{[H]} K_{f, \delta}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) d h_{1} d h_{2} \\
O\left(\gamma, f^{\prime}\right):=\int_{\left[H_{1}^{\prime}\right]} \int_{\left[H_{2}^{\prime}\right]} K_{f^{\prime}, \gamma}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) d h_{2} d h_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

and the following two expressions

$$
\begin{gathered}
J(f)=\int_{[H]} \int_{[H]} K_{f}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) d h_{1} d h_{2} \\
I\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\int_{\left[H_{1}^{\prime}\right]} \int_{\left[H_{2}^{\prime}\right]} K_{f^{\prime}}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) d h_{2} d h_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proposition A.2.1 (i) Assume that $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}))$ is a nice function (see §3.5). Then the expressions defining $J(f)$ and $O(\delta, f), \delta \in \mathcal{B}(F)$, are absolutely convergent and we have the equalities

$$
\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{B}(F)} O(\delta, f)=J(f)=\sum_{\pi} J_{\pi}(f)
$$

where the left sum is absolutely convergent and the right sum is over the set of cuspidal automorphic representations $\pi$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$.
(ii) Assume that $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})\right)$ is a nice function (see $\left.\S 3.5\right)$. Then the expressions defining $I\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ and $O\left(\gamma, f^{\prime}\right), \gamma \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}(F)$, are absolutely convergent and we have the equalities

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}(F)} O\left(\gamma, f^{\prime}\right)=I\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\Pi} 2^{-2} L\left(1, \eta_{E / F}\right)^{-2} I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right)
$$

where the left sum is absolutely convergent and the right sum is over the set of cuspidal automorphic representations $\Pi$ of $G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})$ whose central character is trivial on $Z_{H_{2}^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})$.

Proof: We only prove (ii) the proof of (i) being similar.
Set $\widetilde{G}^{\prime}=G^{\prime} / Z_{H_{2}^{\prime}}$ and define $\widetilde{f}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\widetilde{G}^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})\right)$ by

$$
\tilde{f}^{\prime}(\widetilde{g})=\int_{Z_{H_{2}}^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})} f^{\prime}(z \widetilde{g}) d z
$$

Then we have, at least formally,

$$
I\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\int_{\left[H_{1}^{\prime}\right]} \int_{\left[H_{2}^{\prime} / Z_{H_{2}^{\prime}}\right]} K_{\tilde{f^{\prime}}}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) d h_{2} d h_{1}
$$

As $f^{\prime}$ is a nice function we have $K_{\tilde{f}^{\prime}}=K_{\tilde{f}^{\prime}, \text { cusp }}$. Thus, by Proposition A.1.2, it follows that the expression defining $I\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ is absolutely convergent and that

$$
I\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\Pi} \int_{\left[H_{1}^{\prime}\right]} \int_{\left[H_{2}^{\prime} / Z_{H_{2}^{\prime}}\right]} K_{\tilde{f}^{\prime}, \Pi}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) d h_{2} d h_{1}
$$

where the sum is over the set of all cuspidal automorphic representations $\Pi$ of $G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})$ with a central character trivial on $Z_{H_{2}^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})$. We would like to identify the term indexed by $\Pi$ above with the global spherical character $I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$. However, we don't have equality on the nose because the scalar products used to define $I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ and $K_{\tilde{f}^{\prime}, \Pi}$ are not the same. More precisely, $I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ is defined using the Petersson scalar product $(., .)_{\text {Pet }}$ of section 3 whereas in the definition of $K_{\tilde{f^{\prime}}, \Pi}$ we have used the scalar product

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\phi, \phi^{\prime}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\left[\tilde{G}^{\prime}\right]\right)} & =\int_{\left[\widetilde{G}^{\prime}\right]} \phi(\widetilde{g}) \overline{\phi^{\prime}(\widetilde{g})} d \widetilde{g} \\
& =\operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{G^{\prime}}(F) Z_{H_{2}^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A}) \backslash Z_{G^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})\right)\left(\phi, \phi^{\prime}\right)_{P e t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we get

$$
\int_{\left[H_{1}^{\prime}\right]} \int_{\left[H_{2}^{\prime} / Z_{H_{2}^{\prime}}\right]} K_{\tilde{f^{\prime}, \Pi}}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) d h_{2} d h_{1}=\operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{G^{\prime}}(F) Z_{H_{2}^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A}) \backslash Z_{G^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})\right)^{-1} I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right)
$$

By 2.5(1), we have

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{G^{\prime}}(F) Z_{H_{2}^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A}) \backslash Z_{G^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(E^{\times} \mathbb{A}^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}\right)^{2}=2^{2} L\left(1, \eta_{E / F}\right)^{2}
$$

and the second equality of (ii) follows.
The first equality follows from standard formal manipulations. To justify these manipulations, we need to establish that the following expression is absolutely convergent (as a triple integral)

$$
\int_{\left[H_{1}^{\prime}\right]} \int_{\left[H_{2}^{\prime}\right]} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}(F)} K_{f^{\prime}, \gamma}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) d h_{2} d h_{1}=\int_{\left[H_{1}^{\prime}\right]} \int_{\left[H_{2}^{\prime} / Z_{H_{2}^{\prime}}\right]} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}(F)} K_{\tilde{f^{\prime}}, \gamma}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) d h_{2} d h_{1}
$$

where for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}(F), K_{\tilde{f}^{\prime}, \gamma}$ is defined the same way as $K_{f^{\prime}, \gamma}$. For this, by Proposition A.1.1 (v) and (ix), it suffices to show that for all $d>0$ we have an inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{\tilde{f}^{\prime}, \gamma}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)\right| \ll\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime}}^{-d}\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{\left[\tilde{G}^{\prime}\right]}^{-d}\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{\left[\tilde{G}^{\prime}\right]}^{-d} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}(F)$ and all $h_{1} \in\left[H_{1}^{\prime}\right], h_{2} \in\left[H_{2}^{\prime} / Z_{H_{2}^{\prime}}\right]$. Since $f^{\prime}$ is factorizable, we may write $f^{\prime}=f_{\infty}^{\prime} \otimes f^{\prime \infty}$ with $f_{\infty}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)\right)$ and $f^{\prime 2 \infty} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{\infty}\right)\right)$. Let $\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow V=\mathbb{A}^{r}$ be a closed embedding of $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ into some affine space. For all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(V\left(F_{\infty}\right)\right)$, we define $f_{\varphi}^{\prime}:=\left(\varphi f_{\infty}^{\prime}\right) \otimes f^{\prime \infty}$ where we identify $\varphi$ with a function on $G^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)$ by composition with the projection $G^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)$ and the embedding $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right) \hookrightarrow V\left(F_{\infty}\right)$. Then, $f_{\varphi}^{\prime}$ is again a nice function so that $K_{\tilde{f}_{\varphi}^{\prime}}=K_{\tilde{f}_{\varphi}^{\prime}, \text { cusp }}$ and, by A.1(8), for all $d>0$ there exists a continuous semi-norm $\nu_{d}$ on $\mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{\tilde{f}_{\varphi}^{\prime}}(x, y)\right| \leqslant \nu_{d}\left(\varphi f_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)\|x\|_{\left[\tilde{G}^{\prime}\right]}^{-d}\|y\|_{\left[\tilde{G}^{\prime}\right]}^{-d} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(V\left(F_{\infty}\right)\right)$ and all $x, y \in[G]$. Let $\Gamma$ denote the intersection of $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}(F)$ with the projection of the support of $f^{\prime \infty}$ (a compact subset of $\left.\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{\infty}\right)\right)$. Note that for all $\varphi \in$ $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(V\left(F_{\infty}\right)\right)$, all $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}(F)$ and all $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \in H_{1}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right) \times H_{2}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)$, we have $K_{\tilde{f}_{\varphi}, \gamma}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)=$ $\varphi(\gamma) K_{\tilde{f}^{\prime}, \gamma}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$ and $K_{\tilde{f}^{\prime}, \gamma}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)=0$ if $\gamma \notin \Gamma$. Hence, by (2), to show (1) it suffices to construct a family $\left(\varphi_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of functions in $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(V\left(F_{\infty}\right)\right)$ satisfying the following two conditions

- For all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\varphi_{\gamma}\right) \cap \Gamma=\{\gamma\}$ and $\varphi_{\gamma}(\gamma)=1$;
- For all $d>0$, the function $\gamma \in \Gamma \mapsto\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime}}^{d} \nu_{d}\left(\varphi_{\gamma} f_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)$ is bounded.

There exists a lattice $L \subset V\left(F_{\infty}\right)$ containing $\Gamma$. Fix a function $\varphi_{0} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(V\left(F_{\infty}\right)\right)$ with the property that $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \cap L=\{0\}$ and $\varphi_{0}(0)=1$. For all $v \in L$, define $\varphi_{v} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(V\left(F_{\infty}\right)\right)$ by $\varphi_{v}(x)=\varphi_{0}(x-v)$. We claim that the family $\left(\varphi_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ satisfies the two conditions above.

Indeed, the first condition is clear and for all $d>0$, there exist $k>0$ and two finite families $\left(u_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ and $\left(v_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of elements of $\mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\nu_{d}\left(\varphi f_{\infty}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \sup _{i \in I} \sup _{g \in G^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)}\left|\left(R\left(u_{i}\right) \varphi\right)(g)\left(R\left(v_{i}\right) f_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)(g)\right|\|g\|_{G^{\prime}}^{k}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(V\left(F_{\infty}\right)\right)$. Then, for all $\ell>0$ we have

$$
\nu_{d}\left(\varphi f_{\infty}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant\left(\sup _{i \in I} \sup _{g \in G^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)}\left|\left(R\left(u_{i}\right) \varphi\right)(g)\right|\|g\|_{G^{\prime}}^{-\ell}\right)\left(\sup _{i \in I} \sup _{g \in G^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)}\left|\left(R\left(v_{i}\right) f_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)(g)\right|\|g\|_{G^{\prime}}^{k+\ell}\right)
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(V\left(F_{\infty}\right)\right)$. Thus, it suffices to show that for all $u \in \mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)$ there exists $\ell>0$ so that the function

$$
\gamma \in \Gamma \mapsto\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime}}^{d} \sup _{g \in G^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)}\left|\left(R(u) \varphi_{\gamma}\right)(g)\right|\|g\|_{G^{\prime}}^{-\ell}
$$

is bounded. Fix $u \in \mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)$. Then, we can find a finite family $\left(r_{j}\right)_{j \in J}$ of regular functions in $\mathbb{C}\left[R_{F / \mathbb{Q}} G^{\prime}\right]$ and a finite family $\left(X_{j}\right)_{j \in J}$ of elements of the symmetric algebra of $V\left(F_{\infty}\right)$ such that, denoting by $\partial\left(X_{j}\right)$ the corresponding constant coefficients differential operators on $V\left(F_{\infty}\right)$, we have

$$
R(u) \varphi=\sum_{j \in J} r_{j} \partial\left(X_{j}\right) \varphi
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(V\left(F_{\infty}\right)\right)$. Since for each $j \in J$ the absolute value of $r_{j}$ is bounded by a constant times a power of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{G^{\prime}}$, we are reduced to prove that for all $X$ in the symmetric algebra of $V\left(F_{\infty}\right)$ there exists $\ell>0$ such that

$$
\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime}}^{d} \sup _{g \in G^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)}\left|\partial(X) \varphi_{\gamma}(g)\right|\|g\|_{G^{\prime}}^{-\ell} \ll 1
$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By our choice of the functions $\left(\varphi_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ and Proposition A.1.1(ii) we have

$$
\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\prime}}<\|g\|_{G^{\prime}}
$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and all $g \in G^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)$ with $\varphi_{\gamma}(g) \neq 0$. Hence, we just need to show that for all $X$ in the symmetric algebra of $V\left(F_{\infty}\right)$ the function

$$
\gamma \in \Gamma \mapsto \sup _{g \in G^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)}\left|\partial(X) \varphi_{\gamma}(g)\right|=\sup _{v \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)}\left|\partial(X) \varphi_{\gamma}(v)\right|
$$

is bounded. But this is obvious by the way we have defined the functions $\left(\varphi_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$.

## A. 3 Proof of Theorem 3.5.1

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}))$ and $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})\right)$ be nice functions and assume that there exists a tuple $\left(f^{W^{\prime}}\right)_{W^{\prime}}, f^{W^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{W^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})\right)$, matching $f^{\prime}$ and such that $f^{W}=f$. By Theorem 3.4.4, we may assume that $f^{W^{\prime}}=0$ for almost all $W^{\prime}$. There are natural isomorphisms $H^{W^{\prime}} \backslash G^{W^{\prime}} / H^{W^{\prime}} \simeq$ $\mathcal{B} \simeq \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ for all $W^{\prime}$ (see $\left.\S 3.4\right)$. In order to compare the trace formulas of Proposition A.2.1, we need to know that for all $\delta \in \mathcal{B}(F)$ and all $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}(F)$ corresponding to each other via the previous bijection we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{W^{\prime}} O\left(\delta, f^{W^{\prime}}\right)=O\left(\gamma, f^{\prime}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for $\gamma$ regular semi-simple (i.e. such that the fiber over $\gamma$ in $G^{\prime}(F)$ consists of regular semi-simple elements), this is a direct consequence of the fact that $\left(f^{W^{\prime}}\right)_{W^{\prime}}$ matches $f^{\prime}$ (in this case there is at most one nonzero contribution in the left sum). To treat the general case, we need to use recent results of Zydor and Chaudouard-Zydor. More precisely, in $[\mathrm{Zy}]$ Zydor has defined, for $\delta \in \mathcal{B}(F)$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}(F)$, certain distributions

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{W^{\prime}} & \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{W^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})\right) \mapsto O^{Z}\left(\delta, g^{W^{\prime}}\right) \\
g^{\prime} & \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})\right) \mapsto O^{Z}\left(\gamma, g^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The definition of $O^{Z}(\gamma,$.$) is (roughly) as follows. let A$ be the standard maximal split torus in $G L_{n}$ and set $\mathfrak{a}:=X_{*}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Then for $T \in \mathfrak{a}, g^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})\right)$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}(F)$, Zydor defines a certain 'truncated' kernel $K_{g^{\prime}, \gamma}^{T}$ on $\left[H_{1}^{\prime}\right] \times\left[H_{2}^{\prime}\right]$ (see $[\mathrm{Zy}] \S 5.5$, note that the $\mathfrak{o}$ of loc. cit. corresponds to our $\gamma$ and that the function $f$ of loc. cit. corresponds not to $g^{\prime}$ but rather to its descent $\widetilde{g}^{\prime}$ to $S_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})$ as in $\S 3.3$ ) and he shows that for $T$ in a certain cone the integral

$$
O^{Z, T}\left(\gamma, g^{\prime}\right):=\int_{\left[H_{1}^{\prime}\right] \times\left[H_{2}^{\prime}\right]} K_{g^{\prime}, \gamma}^{T}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) d h_{2} d h_{1}
$$

converges absolutely (see [Zy,Theorem 5.9]). The definition of $K_{g^{\prime}, \gamma}^{T}$ is as a sum the main term being $K_{g^{\prime}, \gamma}$ and the remaining terms depending only on $g_{P}^{\prime}$ for certain proper parabolic subgroup $P=M U$ of $G^{\prime}$ where $g_{P}^{\prime}(x):=\int_{U(\mathbb{A})} g^{\prime}(x u) d u$. Since $f^{\prime}$ is a nice function, we have $f_{P}^{\prime}=0$ for all proper parabolic subgroup and thus $K_{f^{\prime}, \gamma}^{T}=K_{f^{\prime}, \gamma}$ and $O^{Z, T}\left(\gamma, f^{\prime}\right)=O\left(\gamma, f^{\prime}\right)$ for all $T$ (remark that this also reproves the absolute convergence of $O\left(\gamma, f^{\prime}\right)$ of Proposition A.2.1). Finally, still for $T$ in a certain cone, Zydor shows that the function $T \mapsto O^{Z, T}\left(\gamma, g^{\prime}\right)$ is an exponential-polynomial whose purely polynomial term is constant ([Zy, Theorem 5.9]) and he defines $O^{Z}\left(\gamma, g^{\prime}\right)$ to be this constant. Since $O^{Z, T}\left(\gamma, f^{\prime}\right)=O\left(\gamma, f^{\prime}\right)$ for all $T$, we also have $O^{Z}\left(\gamma, f^{\prime}\right)=O\left(\gamma, f^{\prime}\right)$. The definition of $O^{Z}(\delta,$.$) is similar and since the functions f^{W^{\prime}}$ are nice the same argument shows that $O^{Z}\left(\delta, f^{W^{\prime}}\right)=O\left(\delta, f^{W^{\prime}}\right)$ for all $W^{\prime}$ and all $\delta \in \mathcal{B}(F)$. Finally, the main result of $[\mathrm{CZ}]$ is that if $g^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})\right)$ match a tuple of functions $\left(g^{W^{\prime}}\right)_{W^{\prime}}$, $g^{W^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G^{W^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})\right)$, then we have

$$
\sum_{W^{\prime}} O^{Z}\left(\delta, g^{W^{\prime}}\right)=O^{Z}\left(\gamma, g^{\prime}\right)
$$

for all $\delta \in \mathcal{B}(F)$ and all $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}(F)$ corresponding to each other (strictly speaking [CZ] only considers compactly supported functions but the proofs applies verbatim to Schwartz functions). Together with the previous equalities this shows (1).

Now (1) together with Proposition A.2.1 leads to the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{W^{\prime}} \sum_{\pi_{W^{\prime}}} J_{\pi_{W^{\prime}}}\left(f^{W^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{\Pi} 2^{-2} L\left(1, \eta_{E / F}\right)^{-2} I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{W^{\prime}}$ runs over the set of all cuspidal automorphic representations of $G^{W^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})$ and $\Pi$ runs over the set of all cuspidal automorphic representations of $G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})$ whose central character is trivial on $Z_{H_{2}^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})$. Fix a maximal compact subgroup $K^{W^{\prime}}=\prod_{v} K_{v}^{W^{\prime}}$ of $G^{W^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})$ for all $W^{\prime}$ and let $\Sigma$ be the infinite set of places $v$ of $F$ which split in $E$ and where $\pi, f$ and $f^{\prime}$ are unramified. By Theorem 3.4.4, we may assume that for all $W^{\prime}$ and all $v \in \Sigma$ the function $f_{v}^{W^{\prime}}$ is unramified (i.e. it equals $\left.\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{v}^{W^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{K_{v}{ }^{\prime}}\right)$. Then, in the equality (2) only the $\pi_{W^{\prime}}$ and the $\Pi$ which are unramified at all places in $\Sigma$ contribute. Define the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{G, \Sigma}=C_{c}\left(G\left(\mathbb{A}_{\Sigma}\right) / / K_{\Sigma}\right)$ of compactly supported and $K_{\Sigma}$-biinvariant functions on $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{\Sigma}\right)$. This is the restricted tensor product over $v \in \Sigma$ of the local Hecke algebras $\mathcal{H}_{G, v}=C_{c}\left(G\left(F_{v}\right) / / K_{v}\right)$. We define similarly the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{G^{\prime}, \Sigma}=C_{c}\left(G^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\Sigma}\right) / / K_{\Sigma}^{\prime}\right)$ and the local Hecke algebras $\mathcal{H}_{G^{\prime}, v}=C_{c}\left(G^{\prime}\left(F_{v}\right) / / K_{v}^{\prime}\right)$. Note that for all $n$-dimensional hermitian space $W^{\prime}$ over $E$ we have an isomorphism $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{\Sigma}\right) \simeq G^{W^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\Sigma}\right)$ canonical up to conjugation which induces a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_{G, \Sigma} \simeq C_{c}\left(G^{W^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\Sigma}\right) / / K_{\Sigma}^{W^{\prime}}\right)$. There is a base change homomorphism $\mathcal{H}_{G^{\prime}, \Sigma} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{G, \Sigma}, h \mapsto h^{b c}$ and for all $v \in \Sigma$, all $W^{\prime}$ and all $h_{v} \in \mathcal{H}_{G^{\prime}, v}, h_{v} \star f_{v}^{\prime}$ and $h_{v}^{b c} \star f_{v}^{W^{\prime}}$ match each other (see [Zh1, Proposition 2.5]). For all irreducible unitary representation $\Pi$ of $G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})$ which is unramified at all places in $\Sigma$ let us denote by $h \mapsto \widehat{h}(\Pi)$ the corresponding character of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{G^{\prime}, \Sigma}$. Then, for all $W^{\prime}$, all cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi_{W^{\prime}}$ which is unramified at all places in $\Sigma$ and all $h \in \mathcal{H}_{G^{\prime}, \Sigma}$ the element $h^{b c} \in \mathcal{H}_{G, \Sigma}$ acts on $\pi_{W^{\prime}}^{K_{\Sigma}^{W^{\prime}}}$ by $\hat{h}\left(B C\left(\pi_{W^{\prime}}\right)\right)$. Let $h \in \mathcal{H}_{G^{\prime}, \Sigma}$. Since the functions $h \star f^{\prime}$ and $\left(h^{b c} \star f^{W^{\prime}}\right)_{W^{\prime}}$ are nice and match each other we can apply equality (2) to these functions to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{W^{\prime}} \sum_{\pi_{W^{\prime}}} \widehat{h}\left(B C\left(\pi_{W^{\prime}}\right)\right) J_{\pi_{W^{\prime}}}\left(f^{W^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{\Pi} 2^{-2} L\left(1, \eta_{E / F}\right)^{-2} \widehat{h}(\Pi) I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\operatorname{Irr}_{\text {unit }, \Sigma}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})\right)$ be the set of all irreducible unitary representations of $G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})$ which are unramified at all places in $\Sigma$. Then the functions $\Pi \in \operatorname{Irr}_{\text {unit, }}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})\right) \mapsto \widehat{h}(\Pi), h \in \mathcal{H}_{G^{\prime}, \Sigma}$, are bounded and we have $\widehat{h^{*}}=\overline{\hat{h}}$ where $h^{*}(g)=\overline{h\left(g^{-1}\right)}$. Hence by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, from (3) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{W^{\prime}} \sum_{\pi_{W^{\prime}}} J_{\pi_{W^{\prime}}}\left(f^{W^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{\Pi} 2^{-2} L\left(1, \eta_{E / F}\right)^{-2} I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where this time $\pi_{W^{\prime}}$ and $\Pi$ run over the sets of cuspidal automorphic representations of $G^{W^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})$ and $G^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})$ such that $B C\left(\pi_{W^{\prime}, v}\right)=\Pi_{v}=B C\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ for all $v \in \Sigma$. Recall the following automorphic-Cebotarev-density theorem due to Ramakrishnan ([Ra]):

Theorem A.3.1 (Ramakrishnan) Let $\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}$ be two isobaric automorphic representations of $G L_{d}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ such that $\Pi_{1, v} \simeq \Pi_{2, v}$ for almost all places $v$ of $F$ that are split in $E$. Then, $\Pi_{1}=\Pi_{2}$.

As $B C\left(\pi_{W^{\prime}}\right)$ is always isobaric it follows from this theorem that the right hand side of (4) reduces to $2^{-2} L\left(1, \eta_{E / F}\right)^{-2} I_{B C(\pi)}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ and that if $\pi_{W^{\prime}}$ contributes to the left hand side then $B C\left(\pi_{W^{\prime}}\right)=B C(\pi)$. In particular, $\pi_{W^{\prime}}$ and $\pi$ belong to the same (global) Vogan $L$-packet. By the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture (see $\S 2.4$ ), and since by assumption $\pi$ is tempered at all archimedean places, we know that there is at most one abstractly $H^{W^{\prime}}$-distinguished representation in this $L$-packet. By assumption, $\pi$ is such a representation. Hence, the left hand side of $(4)$ reduces to $J_{\pi}(f)$ and this ends the proof of Theorem 3.5.1.
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