THE INNOVATION OF THE HUMAN EXPOSURE FACTOR ESTIMATION FOR LCA

The 11th of October 2018

ARTS ET MÉTIERS

CONCEVOIR DEMAIN

PhD candidate: Alexandra BELYANOVSKAYA Co-advisor: : Professor Nataliya BARANOVSKAYA (TPU) Co-advisor : Professor Nicolas PERRY (ENSAM) Supervisor: Associate professor Bertrand LARATTE (ENSAM)



1 SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

The preparation of this work is managed by TPU Russia and ENSAM France









Contexte Health effects of pollution **Air pollution** Water pollution Headache Fatique Bacteria Respiratory Parasites CO illness - Chemicals Particulate matter Soil Nerve Cardiocontamination damage Ozone vascular Lead SO2 illness NO Volatile organic Gastroenteritis compounds T.T.T.T.T.T Cancer risk Pesticides AT. Nausea Skin irritation

Research questions



How can we analyze the chemical elements content in biological materials?



What are the main sources of negative influence on human health?




Which methods should we use to assess chemicals' impact on population?

Which methods should we use to assess chemicals' impact on population?

Life Cvcle Impact Assessment (LCIA) is vital phase of any LCA. Life cycle assessment (LCIA) impact aims at understanding and quantifying the magnitude and significance of the potential environmental impacts of a product or а service throughout its entire life cycle. models (e.g. LCIA the **USEtox**) is a sufficient tool to model the human health and ecosystems impact.

Limitations of the method: Lack of spatial differentiation



1. Standards, T. I. International Standard ISO 14040 1991, 1991.

2. The International Standards Organisation INTERNATIONAL STANDARD ISO 14044 assessment Requirements and guilelines. *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.* **2006**, *2006*, 652–668, doi:10.1007/s11367-011-0297-3.


3. Fantke, P.; Bijster, M.; Guignard, C.; Hauschild, M.; Huijbregts, M.; Jolliet, O.; Kounina, A.; Magaud, V.; Margni, M.; McKone, T.; Posthuma, L.; Rosenbaum, R. K.; van de Meent, D.; van Zelm, 2, R. *USEtox*® *2.0, Documentation version 1*; 2017; ISBN 978-87-998335-0-4.


1 - Kowalski, 1974; Glazovskaya, 1988; Saet et al., 1990; Alekseenko, 2006; Rikhvanov et al., 2006; Yazikov et al., 2010; Strakhovenko, 2011; Baranovskaya et al., 2015




Which methods should we use to assess chemicals' impact on population?

How can we assess the technological environmental impact and human health impact? According to the previous investigations we have a wide massive of analytical data of chemical elements content in biomaterials in the studied areas.










Sampling areas

Why those areas?

- High level of risks of water use;
- A large number of fuel cycle facilities (NFC "The Siberian Chemical Combine", hydroelectric power station, fossil fuel burning power station);
- Natural anomalies.



Clean

zone

Northern

industrial unit

Impact zone


Seversk

Tomsk


Methods of analysis of samples

The samples were analyzed by the method of inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (**ICP-MS**) in the analytical center of OOO "Chemical-Analytical Center" Plasma", 18 samples in total amount.






Subject of study biological material (BM) (organs and tissues) of Sus scrofa domesticus



Pork occupies 37% of the world's meat production

According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) classification, pork is one of the most indispensable foods.

Sampling map of pork meat according to own investigation and literature references




1. Korea; 2. USA; 3. Germany; 4. Austria; 5. Netherlands; 6. Belgium; 7. Russia; 8. China; 9. Serbia

7

Country	Cr mean, [mg/kg]	St. deviation	Number of samples		Geo zone in USEtox model	Reference
Korea	0,003	0,0001	227	2016	Japan and Korean peninsula	Kim, J. S.; Hwang, I. M.; Lee, G. H.; Park, Y. M.; Choi, J. Y.; Jamila, N.; Khan, N.; Kim, K. S. Geographical origin authentication of pork using multi-element and multivariate data analyses
USA	0,0009	0,0001	36	2016	USA and southern Canada	
Germany Austria Netherlands Belgium	0,00007	0,0001 0,00001 0,0001 0,00001	12 15 14 19	2016	Europe	
Serbia	0,08	0,01	192	2017		Nikolic, D.; Djinovic-Stojanovic, J.; Jankovic, S.; Stanisic, N.; Radovic, C.; Pezo, L.; Lausevic, M. Mineral composition and toxic element levels of muscle, liver and kidney of intensive (Swedish Landrace) and extensive (Mangulica) pigs from Serbia.
China	2,01	0,2	100	2016	Southern China	Zhao, Y.; Wang, D.; Yang, S. Effect of organic and conventional rearing system on the mineral content of pork





Default values given by the USEtox model


- Fate factor (FF) [kg_{in compartment} per kg_{emitted/}day] represents the persistence of a chemical in the environment (e.g. in days) as well as the relative distribution, and the exposure factor expresses the availability for human or ecosystem contact represented by the fraction of the chemical transferred to the receptor population in a specific time period such as a day.
- <u>Exposure factor</u> (XF) [kg_{intake}/day per kg in compartment] describes the effective human intake of a specific environmental medium – air, water, soil – through inhalation and ingestion.
- Effect factor (EF) [kg_{intake}/day] reflects the impact on human health and the state of ecosystems due to the arrival of a chemical element / substance in the living organism in various ways (through air, water, soil or food).

The framework of calculations inside the model

The clarke concentration (Clarke_{biosphere}) expresses the average concentration of metal in biosphere.

 $Clarke_{biosphere} = 7*10^{-5}$

Macroelements (<i>n</i> • 10 ⁻³ % <i>n</i> • 10 %) Microelements(< <i>n</i> • 10 ⁻³ %)				Microelements (<n·10<sup>-3)</n·10<sup>				
Elements	%, content	Elements	%, content	Elements	%, content	Elements	%, content	
Q	70	Mn	9,6·10 ⁻³	Pb	1.10-4	Be	4·10 ⁻⁶	
<u>C</u>	18	AI	5·10 ⁻³	Ni	8·10 ⁻⁵	Ga	2·10 ⁻⁶	
Н	10,5	Zn	2·10 ⁻³	Cr	7·10 ⁻⁵	Se	2.10-6	
N	3·10 ⁻¹	Sr	1,6·10 ⁻³	V	6·10 ⁻⁵	Ag	1,2.10-6	
Ca	5·10 ⁻¹	Ti	1,3·10 ⁻³	Li	6·10 ⁻⁵	w	1.10-6	
к	3.10-1	В	1.10 ⁻³	Co	4·10 ⁻⁵	U	8·10 ⁻⁷	
Si	2·10 ⁻¹	Ba	9.10-4	La	3·10 ⁻⁵	Hf	5.10-7	
Na	2·10 ⁻¹	Cu	3,2.10-4	Y	3·10 ⁻⁵	Sb	2.10-7	
Р	7·10 ⁻²	Zr	3.10-5	Mo	2·10 ⁻⁵	Cd	2.10-7	
S	5·10 ⁻²	Rb	2.10-4	I	1,2.10-5	Hg	<n.10<sup>-7</n.10<sup>	
Mg	4.10-2	Br	1,6.10-4	Sn	1.10-5	Au	n · 10 ⁻⁸	
CI	2·10 ⁻²	Br	1,6.10-4	As	6·10 ⁻⁶	Ra	<i>n</i> · 10 ⁻¹²	
Fe	1.10-2	F	1,4.10-4	Cs	6·10 ⁻⁶			



The framework of calculations inside the model



Where:

• C_{xp} is a concentration of Cr in the food substrate corresponding to exposure pathway xp – such as meat or milk

• C_i a specific compartment *i* such soil, air, water.

Where:

- C_{Chromium} is a concentration of Cr (C_{Cr}) in the pork meat (according to the chemical analysis)
- C _{Clarke in bioshepere} is a clarke concentration of Cr in biosphere


2 RESULTS

 Results of statistical analysis
Results of the modeling part






Results of data extrapolation



Sesults of data extrapolation



Conclusions

General conclusions:

- 1. Integration of experimental data into the USEtox model is prepared
- 2. The total Characterization factor is modified with Concentration coefficient of Chromium

Specific conclusions:

- 1. The significant difference between CF _{modified} and CF _{default} is find out. As in the level of s region, as in level of a country factor proposed be the USEtox model is lower then factor calculated with experimental results. Possibly the model underestimates results because it does not include the local data. The importance of the local data is proved by the fact, the CF is able to vary greatly within one administrative unit.
- 2. The variation of CF inside of the small administrative areas can be connected with ignorance of geographical and ecological specifications of each geo are presented in the model. Information provided by the USEtox model reflects transfer of metals just with specific influence as dust or coal pollution.
- 3. The analytical method can be complemented by the regional aspect to specify the anthropogenic influence.

THE INNOVATION OF THE HUMAN EXPOSURE FACTOR ESTIMATION FOR LCA

THANK YOU FOR ATTENTION!

The 11th of October 2018


ARTS ET MÉTIERS

CONCEVOIR DEMAIN


PhD candidate: Alexandra BELYANOVSKAYA Co-advisor: : Professor Nataliya BARANOVSKAYA (TPU) Co-advisor : Professor Nicolas PERRY (ENSAM) Supervisor: Associate professor Bertrand LARATTE (ENSAM)



I Results of statistical analysis



Concentration of Cr in the pork meat, in the different geo zones by method of ICP-MS [mg/kg]



Statistical analysis of results of ICP-MS (mg/kg) of pork meat, 18 samples in total

Serbia (n=192)	8E-0	02±0,01					
China (n=100)	2E+	00±0,2					
Russia (n=36)	1E-0	01±0,03					
Belgium (n=19)	5E-(04±0,00001]				
Netherlands (n=14)	5E-0	4±0,0001					
Austria (n=15)	7E-(05±0,00001]				
Germany (n=12)	6E-0	04±0,0001					
USA (n=36)	9E-0	04±0,0001					
Korea (n=227)	3E-0	03±0,001					
0E-	+00	2E-05	4E-05	6E-05	8E-05	1E-04	5E+00