

Development of a gaseous proton-recoil telescope for neutron flux measurements between 0.2 and 2 MeV neutron energy

Paola Marini, Ludovic Mathieu, Mourad Aïche, Serge Czajkowski, Beatriz Jurado, Igor Tsekhanovich

▶ To cite this version:

Paola Marini, Ludovic Mathieu, Mourad Aïche, Serge Czajkowski, Beatriz Jurado, et al.. Development of a gaseous proton-recoil telescope for neutron flux measurements between 0.2 and 2 MeV neutron energy. Radiat.Meas., 2019, 124, pp.9-12. 10.1016/j.radmeas.2019.02.013 . hal-01937452

HAL Id: hal-01937452 https://hal.science/hal-01937452

Submitted on 22 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1350448718301082 Manuscript_5212842362bcb887dfd033e7197f8ce8

Radiation Measurements 00 (2016) 000-000



Contents list available at ScienceDirect

Radiation Measurements



journal homepage: www.elseviercom/locate/radmeas

Development of a gaseous proton-recoil telescope for neutron flux measurements between 0.2 and 2 MeV neutron energy

Paola Marini^a, Ludovic Mathieu^a, Mourad Aïche^a, Serge Czajkowski^a, Beatriz Jurado^a, Igor Tsekhanovich^a

^aCENBG, CNRS/IN2P3-Université de Bordeaux 19, Chemin du Solarium, 33175 Gradignan, France

HIGHLIGHTS

A new recoil proton detector for neutron flux measurement below 1 MeV

Low sensitivity to other particles to operate in intense electron/ γ -rays fluxes

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received Click here to enter the received date Received in revised form Revised date Accepted Accepted date Available on line On-line date

Keywords:

neutron detector

proton-recoil detector

ABSTRACT

Absolute measurements of neutron fluence are an essential prerequisite of neutron-induced cross section measurements, neutron beam lines characterization and dosimetric investigations. The H(n,p) elastic scattering cross section is a very well known standard used to perform precise neutron flux measurements in high precision measurements. The use of this technique, with proton recoil detectors, is not straightforward below incident neutron energy of 1 MeV, due to a high background in the detected proton spectrum. Experiments have been carried out at the AIFIRA facility to investigate such background and to determine its origin and components. Based on these investigations, a gaseous proton-recoil telescope has been carried out at the AIFIRA facility, and first results will be presented.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved

1 1. Introduction

2 Five out of the six concepts of new generation nuclear reactor 3 studied in the framework of the Generation IV international forum are 4 based on fast neutron spectra. These concepts rely on simulations 5 based on high quality nuclear data (Aliberti et al., 2006). It is expected that the evolution of the GenIV system analysis, and the focusing on a 6 7 few preferred concepts, will motivate designers to define the relevant 8 target accuracy. Despite the experimental efforts, large discrepancies 9 and uncertainties still exist in the actinide region (Chadwick et al., 10 2011). Fission cross sections are of primary importance to determine reactor behavior and incineration capabilities. As fast neutron spectra 11

1350-4487 © Copyright Year, doi: 10.1016/... 12 are peaked between 100 keV and 2 MeV, new cross section 13 measurements have to be performed in this energy range (NEA, 2016).

14 For neutron-induced fission cross section measurements, the 15 accurate knowledge of the neutron flux impinging on the studied 16 sample is mandatory. This is usually obtained measuring the fission rate of isotopes such as ²³⁵U, ²³⁸U or ²³⁷Np whose reaction cross 17 section is well known (Paradela et al., 2010, Tsinganis et al., 2014, 18 19 Salvador et al., 2015, Matei et al., 2017). However, this introduces a 20 strong correlation between independent measurements based on the 21 same standard reactions. In addition, the accuracy of these standard 2.2 reactions is limited (0.5% at best, and up to 10%). An independent and 23 well known standard reaction is the H(n,p) elastic scattering reaction. 24 Indeed, the detection principle is completely different from the fission

detection, nullifying correlations between the measured cross section
and the standard one. Moreover, its accuracy is about 0.2% in the MeV
energy range, compared with typically few percents for the fission
cross section standards mentioned above. Different concepts of proton recoil detectors are available, depending on the type of measurements,
the facility environment, the neutron energy range and the target
accuracy of the measurement.

8 Plastic scintillators (Kovash et al., 2011) and proton-recoil proportional counters (Babut and Gressier, 2007, Nolte and Thomas, 9 10 2011) are suited to measure low energy neutron flux, down to few 10 keV. However, the scintillators require the use of time-of-flight 11 12 technique to infer the neutron energy (Beyer et al., 2007), and thus can be used only in specific facility. On the other side, proportional 13 14 counters provide a good accuracy of the neutron flux (2-3%), but they 15 totally rely on simulations of the detector response.

16

17 The use of proton-recoil telescope method is more straightforward: 18 the neutron flux is converted into a recoil-proton flux, by mean of a 19 hydrogenous foil called radiator. The charged protons can be easily 20 detected, using single or multiple staged Silicon detectors (Asai et al., 21 2006, Donzella et al., 2010, Naitou et al., 2011, Kessedjian et al., 22 2012), as well as diamond detectors (Hassard et al., 1998). 23 Nevertheless, such technique is challenging when dealing with low 24 energy neutrons, due to background and by the energy lost in the 25 radiator.

26 2. Proton-recoil detection with Si detector below 1 MeV

27 A cross section measurement was carried out by the ACEN group 28 of the CENBG institute between 1 and 2 MeV neutron energy, 29 produced via T(p,n) nuclear reaction at 4MV Van De Graaff facility at 30 Bruyères-Le-Châtel, CEA, France. The proton-recoil telescope consists of a collimated 4µm-thick polypropylene foil (PP foil) and a 31 32 collimated 50µm-thick Si detector placed 8 centimeters far away. The 33 protons detected in the silicon are emitted close to 0° with respect to 34 the incoming neutron direction, and have therefore a kinetic energy 35 close to the incident neutron energy. They produce a peak in the 36 proton-recoil spectrum, with a good signal-to-noise ratio. Therefore, 37 the number of counts in the proton peak is used to determine the incident neutron flux. A detailed description of the method and of the 38 39 detector itself can be found in Marini et al. (2016, 2017). Previous 40 studies at higher energies (using multiple staged Si detector) showed that a precision of the order of 1% could be achieved in the proton 41 42 peak integral (Kessedjian et al., 2012). Around 1 or 2 MeV, the 43 accuracy is only about 3% due to a high contribution in the low energy 44 part of the proton spectrum, as can be seen in Fig. 1. Below 1 MeV, it 45 becomes impossible to determine the neutron flux with acceptable 46 uncertainties. Dedicated experiments were performed on the AIFIRA 47 facility (Sorieul et al., 2014) to investigate the origin of such 48 background and to find solutions to reduce it. Simulations have also 49 been carried out with the MCNP5 code, in order to improve our 50 understanding of the observed phenomena. Results were presented in 51 Marini et al. (2016) and are briefly summarized here.

52 The results of the MCNP simulations show that low energy γ -rays 53 emitted at the neutron production source are responsible for the 54 observed background. Indeed, they generate a large amount of 55 electrons via Compton scattering or photoelectric effect when 56 interacting with surrounding materials in the setup (especially the 57 copper beam dump). Additional tests performed on the AIFIRA facility showed that this background is strongly reduced when no 58 59 neutrons are produced (by using a CaF target instead of a LiF target), 60 indicating that most of these γ -rays are generated by neutron radiative 61 capture or inelastic scattering reactions, especially in the beam dump



Fig. 1. Proton spectrum in the Si detector (standard and background measurements) for 2MeV incident neutron energy. The proton peak is visible on the right, as well as the intense background at low energy.

62 where the neutron flux is the highest. Electrons deposit much less 63 energy in matter than protons due to their lower stopping power. Nevertheless, an electron of few 100 keV deposits energy in the whole 64 65 thickness of the detector (here 50 µm), whereas the ranges of 700 keV 66 and 200 keV protons-recoil in silicon are around 10 µm and 2 µm 67 respectively. In addition, simulations show that many electrons reach 68 the Si detector with a high incident angles, further increasing the 69 effective thickness and thus the energy deposition.

70 3. Development of the Gaseous Proton-Recoil Detector (GPRD)

71 To perform accurate neutron flux measurement at energies below 1 72 MeV without relying on detector-response simulations, the ACEN 73 group has developed a new concept of proton recoil telescope with a 74 reduced sensitivity to γ -ray/electron flux. The target accuracy is 3% for 75 neutron energies between 200 keV and 2 MeV, taking into account 76 proton counting uncertainty, geometry uncertainty and radiator 77 thickness uncertainty. To adapt the thickness of the detector to the 78 proton energy, the choice was made to build a gaseous detector. The 79 pressure can be adjusted for the total proton range in the gas to fit the 80 detector geometry. The detector concept is similar to one of the 81 MIMAC detector (Mayet et al., 2012), however the neutron scattering 82 does not occur in the gas itself but in a radiator, to avoid issues specific to proportional counter detectors as presented in section 1. 83 84 The detector has the capability to discriminate direct neutrons from 85 scattered neutrons, as well as to reject contaminant events (protons 86 emitted by other sources than PP foil, cosmic rays...), thanks to a proton track analysis. To perform accurate neutron flux measurements, 87 88 the detector requires a well-defined efficiency. A scheme of the 89 detector is presented in Fig. 2.

90 The detector is constituted by a hydrogenous radiator made of 91 polypropylene or mylar foil and a segmented ΔE -E ionization chamber 92 (4x4x12cm³). The chamber is filled with CF₄ gas with a pressure 93 ranging from few tens of mbar to slightly above 1 bar. The gas has 94 been chosen free of hydrogen, to prevent additional recoil protons 95 emission from the gas itself. Structures and collimators of the Gaseous 96 Proton Recoil Telescope are made of Macor ceramic for the same 97 reason. A special care was taken to build the detector with a reduced 98 amount of material, in order to minimize the neutron scattering which 99 seriously complicates the cross section measurement upstream the 100 neutron flux measurement. The coincidence between the ΔE and E 101 sides of the ionization chamber enables a first discrimination between 102 recoil protons and background events (such as cosmic rays or recoil 103proton emitted by surround H-rich materials) to be made. The track 104 reconstruction permits the discrimination between direct and scattered

2

46

47

48

49

51

52

53

54

55

57

59

61

Fig. 2. Scheme of the GPRD. Objects, materials and actions are detailed. The sample disk is shown separately for clarity purpose.

1 neutrons based on the track length of the recoil proton. Indeed the 2 former impinge on the radiator with small angles and maximum 3 energy, whereas the latter lose energy in previous collisions and reach 4 the radiator with larger angles. Different radiators can be mounted on a 5 Macor rotating disk at the entrance of the detector. The rotation of the 6 disk step-by-step allows one to change and position the samples 7 without opening the chamber. An empty slot is available for 8 background measurements. The possibility to select the sample 9 thickness adapted to the neutron energy is crucial to perform 10 measurements on a wide energy range (from few 100 keV to few MeV). 11

12 The detection plane consists in a Micromegas detector (Giomataris 13 et al., 1996) segmented in 64 pads as shown in the upper part of Fig. 3. The Micromegas is able to multiply the primary electrons generated by 14 15 the recoiling proton inside the detection gas by a factor up to 10^6 . Indeed, the number of primary electrons can be as low as 1000 16 17 electrons per chamber side for a 200 keV proton. The segmentation of 18 the detection plane enables both the reduction of the electronic noise 19 associated to the pad capacitance, and a raw track analysis to be 20 performed, in order to reject accidental coincidences with abnormal 21 trajectories.

23 The detector prototype was delivered at mid 2016 and a first 24 experiment was carried out at the AIFIRA facility to evaluate its 25 response and performances. Measurements were performed with 26 quasi-monoenergetic neutron field, with energies from 0.3 to 1 MeV, 27 and with a 3α source mounted on the sample disk. The gas pressure 28 was varied from 40 to 100 mbar, depending on the neutron energy, and 29 the applied high voltage was adapted consequently. On the first hand, 30 this experiment validated several expected characteristics.

22

31 Proton track analysis: as shown in the middle part of Fig.3, proton 32 tracks were detected with sufficient precision to perform abnormal 33 tracks rejection. In particular, proton emitted by scattered neutrons 34 (which have lower energies) have a shorter range and can be rejected. 35 Cosmic rays can also be seen, and rejected via track analysis.

36 Low sensitivity to e or y-rays: tests carried out without the PP film 37 show no response of the pads. Indeed each pad is not sensitive enough 38 to detect the low energy deposited by electrons.

39 Low detection energy limit: neutrons with energy down to 300 keV 40 have been produced by the accelerator. At such low energy, as the gas 41 pressure could not be reduced below 40 mbar because of discharge 42 issues in the detection gas, the proton ranges are only of few 43 centimeters. As shown in the lower part of Fig.3, proton tracks are still 44 visible under these conditions. Improvements of the experimental 45 setup are necessary to lower this limit.

Fig. 3. (up) Picture of the segmented Micromegas detector; (middle) scheme of a proton track for a 1 MeV incident neutron energy; (down) scheme of a proton track for a 300 keV incident neutron energy

Fig. 4. Signal amplitude on pads in the ΔE region as a function of the time of irradiation

These different features validate the new principle of this detector. However, under the present experimental conditions, it was not possible to measure the proton detection efficiency of the detector, and in particular that every acceptable recoiling proton is actually detected. 50 It would have required a quantitative experiment, with the addition of a reference fissile target.

On the other hand, this experiment shed light on several issues, which prevent considering the GPRD to be operational in its current status

56 Static discharges: at few tens of mbar of gas pressure, the high voltage breakdown is strongly reduced due to the Paschen law (Škoro, 58 2012, Marić et al., 2014). This effect was under-estimated for the design of the detector, and discharges occurred at certain regimes 60 (pressure/voltage). Special care will be taken to modify the detector design according to this constraint.

62 Non-homogeneous electric field: The detected signal amplitude of 63 the side pads was quite lower when compared to the central pads.

68

71

77

78

80

84

87

95

99

101

102

103

104 105

107

108

109

110

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

121

Moreover, the positioning of the collimators (see Fig. 2) also affected 1 the signal amplitude of the neighboring pads. This is due to electric 2 field lines not strictly perpendicular to the Micromegas and the 3 4 cathode. Some of the primary electrons then drift along the field lines 5 out of the Micromegas detection plane. To solve this issue, a field cage 6 will be designed for the GPRD upgrade.

7 Signal weakening: Under neutron irradiation, the signal amplitude of many pads quickly decreases. As can be seen on Fig. 4, the signal is 8 9 nearly lost after few minutes of irradiation. As said in section 2, a large 10 number of low energy electrons are generated with the neutron production source. These electrons may be deposited on the surfaces 11 12 of the collimators or samples disk. This induces a strong local electric field distortion, and greatly reduces the collection of primary electrons 13 by the pads or affect their spatial distribution. Indeed, by electrically 14 15 grounding the entrance collimator, the signal loss was less severe (see Fig. 4) as part of the background electrons deposited on the collimator 16 17 surface are collected and removed. The addition of a field cage will 18 solve this issue, as it will help to collect the parasitic charges and 19 reduce the electric field lines distortion.

20 4. Conclusion

21 The use of the H(n,p) standard reaction to accurately determine the neutron flux below 1 MeV without relying on detector response 22 23 simulation is challenging, because the use of radiator with solid detectors is very sensitive to the high background contribution, mostly 24 25 due to γ -rays/electrons produced in the neutron production target. To 26 overcome this issue, a new double chamber gaseous proton-recoil 27 telescope was designed and tested at the CENBG, with the aim of 28 reaching an accuracy of 3% in the neutron flux determination. It has a 29 very low sensitivity to γ -rays/electrons, which enables to detect recoil 30 protons generated by incident neutron energies as low as 300 keV. Improvements have still to be made to meet the initial requirement of 31 32 200 keV neutron energy.

33 The ΔE -E coincidences, coupled to a proton track analysis, enables 34 the discrimination between direct neutrons impinging on the PP foil, 35 scattered neutrons impinging on the PP foil, and cosmic rays or recoil 36 proton from other H-rich surrounding materials.

37 However, the electric field is slightly distorted by surrounding 38 materials, and heavily distorted by the space charge accumulation on 39 ceramic pieces of the detector. New studies based on electrostatic 40 simulation code to add a field cage are undertaken in order to 41 overcome both issues. A new prototype is currently designed and will 42 be tested in the near future.

43 Acknowledgement

44 This work was supported by the European Commission within the 45 Seventh Framework Programme through Fission-2013-CHANDA (project no.605203). 46

47 References

48 G. Aliberti, G. Palmiotti, M. Salvatores, T. Kim, T. Taiwo, M. 49 Anitescu, I. Kodeli, E. Sartori, J. Bosq, J. Tommasi, Annals of Nuclear 50 Energy 33, 700 (2006)

51

M. Chadwick, M. Herman, P. Oblozinsky, M. Dunn, Y. Danon, A. 52 Kahler, D. Smith, B. Pritychenko, G. Arbanas, R. Arcilla et al., Nucl. 53 54 Data Sheets 112, 2887 (2011)

55

NEA, Nuclear Data High Priority 56 Request List (2016), 57 http://www.nea.fr/html/dbdata/hprl

58

59 C. Paradela and the n_TOF collaboration, Phys. Rev. C 82, 034601 60 (2010)61

62 A. Tsinganis and the n_TOF collaboration, Nucl. Data Sheets 119, 58 63 (2014)64

65 P. Salvador-Castiñeira, T. Brys, R. Eykens, F.-J. Hambsch, A. Göök, A. Moens, S. Oberstedt, G. Sibbens, D. Vanleeuw, M. Vidali, and C. 66 Pretel, Phys. Rev. C 92, 044606 (2015) 67

69 C. Matei, F. Belloni, J. Heyse, A. J. M. Plompen, and D. J. Thomas, 70 Phys. Rev. C 95, 024606 (2017)

72 M. Kovash, B. Daub, J. French, V. Henzl, K. Shoniyozov, J. 73 Matthews, Z. Miller, H. Yang, in Advancements in Nuclear Instrumentation Measurement Methods and their Applications 74 75 (ANIMMA), 2nd International Conference, 1 (2011) 76

R. Babut, V. Gressier, J. Instr. 2, P01005 (2007)

79 R. Nolte and D.J. Thomas, Metrologia, 48, 274 (2011)

81 R. Beyer, E. Grosse, K. Heidel, J. Hutsch, A. Junghans, J. Klug, D. Légrády, R. Nolte, S. Röttger, M. Sobiella et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 82 A 575, 449 (2007) 83

85 J. Hassard, J. Liu, R. Mongkolnavin, D. Colling, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 86 A 416, 539 (1998)

88 A. Donzella, M. Barbui, F. Bocci, G. Bonomi, M. Cinausero, D. 89 Fabris, A. Fontana, E. Giroletti, M. Lunardon, S. Moretto et al., Nucl. 90 Instr. and Meth. A 613, 58 (2010) 91

92 Y. Naitou, Y. Watanabe, S. Hirayama, M. Hayashi, A. Prokofiev, A. 93 Hjalmarsson, S. Pomp, P. Andersson, R. Bevilacqua, C. Gustavsson et 94 al., J. Korean Phys.Soc. 59, 1439 (2011)

96 G. Kessedjian, G. Barreau, M. Aïche, B. Jurado, A. Bidaud, S. 97 Czajkowski, D. Dassié, B. Haas, L. Mathieu, L. Tassan-Got et al., 98 Phys. Rev. C 85, 044613 (2012)

100 K. Asai, N. Naoi, T. Iguchi, K. Watanabe, J. Kawarabayashi, T. Nishitani, J. Nuc. Sci. and Tech. 43, 320 (2006)

P. Marini, L. Mathieu, L. Acosta, M. Aïche, S. Czajkowski, B. Jurado, I. Tsekhanovich et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 841, 56 (2016)

106 P. Marini, L. Mathieu, M. Aïche, G. Belier, S. Czajkowski, Q. Ducasse, B. Jurado, G. Kessedjian, J. Matarranz, A. Plompen, P. Salvador-Castiñeira, J. Taieb, and I. Tsekhanovich, Phys. Rev. C 96, 054604 (2017).

S. Sorieul, Ph. Alfaurt, L. Daudin, L. Serani and Ph. Moretto, Nucl. 111 Instr. and Meth. B, 332, 68 (2014) 112

F. Mayet, D. Santos, J. Billard, G. Bosson et al., European Astronomical Society Publications Series, 53, 25 (2012)

Y. Giomataris, Ph. Rebourgeard, J.P. Robert, G. Charpak, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A, 376, 29 (1996)

N. Škoro, J. Phys. Conf. Series 399, 012017 (2012) 120

D. Marić, M. Savić, J. Sivoš, N. Škoro, M. Radmilović-Radjenović, G. 122 123 Malović, Z. L. Petrović, Eur. Phys. J. D (2014)