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# SHARPLY 2-TRANSITIVE GROUPS OF FINITE MORLEY RANK 

TUNA ALTINEL, AYŞE BERKMAN AND FRANK O. WAGNER


#### Abstract

A near-field of finite Morley rank and characteristic $\neq 2$ is an algebraically closed field. It follows that sharply 2-transitive permutation group of finite Morley rank of permutation characteristic 3 is the group of affine transformations of an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3 .


## Introduction

A Frobenius group is a group $G$ together with a malnormal subgroup $B$, i.e. $B \cap$ $B^{g}=\{1\}$ for all $g \in G \backslash B$. These groups arise naturally from transitive nonregular permutation groups such that only 1 fixes two distinct points, taking as $B$ is the stabiliser of a single point. Borovik and Nesin have conjectured that a Frobenius group of finite Morley rank splits, i.e. that there is a normal subgroup $N$ wth $G=N \rtimes B$, and moreover that $N$ is nilpotent.

A particular case of Frobenius groups are sharply two-transitive permutation groups. It is easy to see that they contain an involution: any permutation in $G$ exchanging two points must have order 2 . We shall call such a group standard if it is the group of affine tansformations of some algebraically clsoed field $K$, i.e. of the form $K^{+} \rtimes K^{\times}$.

Conjecture 1. A sharply 2-transitive permutation group of finite Morley rank is standard.

The conjecture can be decomposed into two parts:
(1) A sharply 2-transitive permutation group of finite Morley rank splits.
(2) A sharply 2-transitive split permutation group of finite Morley rank is standard.
If $G$ is a sharply 2-transitive permutation group, then all involutions in $G$ are conjugate. If $B$ does not contain an involution, the permutation characteristic of $G$ is 2 . Otherwise, all product of two distinct involutions are conjugate, and the permutation

[^0]characteristic of $G$ is the order of $i j$, for any two distinct involutions $i$ and $j$. For details, the reader may for instance consult [3, Chapters 11.3 and 11.4].

We shall show (1) in permutation characteristic 2 and 3, and (2) in permutation characteristic $\neq 2$. In particular, the conjecture holds in permutation characteristic 3.

## 1. Permutation Characteristic 2

Let $G$ be connected sharply 2-transitive on $X$, of finite Morley rank, and $B$ the stabiliser of $x \in X$. We want to show that $G$ is split.

Suppose $G$ has is a non-trivial proper normal connected subgroup $N$. If $N \cap B=$ $\{1\}$ (in particular if $N$ is abelian) then $R M(N) \geq R M\left(n^{B}\right)=R M(B)$ for any $n \in N \backslash\{1\}$, and $2 R M(B) \leq R M(N B) \leq R M(G)=2 R M(B)$. Thus $G=N \rtimes B$, and $G$ is split. So we may assume $G$ semisimple, and $N \cap B$ non-trivial. We may assume that $N$ is minimal possible. Then $N$ is simple, $G=N B$, and $(N, N \cap B)$ is a Frobenius group.

If $G$ is of characteristic 2 and $i \notin N$ is an involution, then $G / N \cong B /(B \cap N)$ contains an involution, a contradiction. By [2] a 2-Sylow subgroup $S$ of $N$ is infinite, and $S^{0}=B * T$. If $T \neq 1$, then $C_{N}(T)$ is generous in $N$ and intersects $\bigcup_{n \in N}(B \cap N)^{n}$, a contradiction. Thus $N$ is algebraic by [1], but an algebraic Frobenius group splits. The same proof works if $G$ itself is simple of characteristic 2 .

## 2. Permutation Characteristic $\neq 2$

Definition 2. A near-field is a skew field, except that the left distributive law ( $y+$ z) $x=y x+z x$ need not hold.

Definition 3. A near-domain is a near-field, except that additively it only is a loop, i.e.

- $x+0=0+x=x$ for all $x$,
- $a+x=b$ has a unique solution for all $a, b$,
- $x+a=b$ has a unique solution for all $a, b$
which satisfies in addition
- $a+b=0$ implies $b+a=0$, and
- for all $a, b$ there is $d_{a, b}$ such that for all $x$ one has $a+(b+x)=(a+b)+d_{a, b} x$.

Fact 4. 5] A strictly 2-transitive permutation group is the group of affine transformations of a near-domain; it is split if and only if the near-domain is a near-field.

Definition 5. The kernel $\operatorname{ker}(K)$ of a near-domain $K$ is the set of elements with respect to which multiplication is left distributive:

$$
\operatorname{ker}(K)=\{x \in K: \forall y, z \in K(y+z) x=y x+z x\} .
$$

Remark 6. The prime field of a near-field is contained in the kernel.
Proof. Consider $n=1+\cdots+1$, and $y, z \in K$. Then by right distributivity

$$
(y+z) n=(y+z)+\cdots+(y+z)=(y+\cdots+y)+(z+\cdots+z)=y n+z n .
$$

In characteristic 0 we obtain for $m=1 \cdots+1 \neq 0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
(y+z) m^{-1} n & =\left(y m^{-1} m+z m^{-1} m\right) m^{-1} n=\left(y m^{-1}+z m^{-1}\right) m m^{-1} n \\
& =\left(y m^{-1}+z m^{-1}\right) n=y m^{-1} n+z m^{-1} n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that this does not imply that the prime field is in the centre of $K$, even if the kernel is finite (and $K$ connected), as conjugation is not an automorphism of $K$ and need not stabilize the kernel.
Theorem 7. A near-field $K$ of finite Morley rank in characteristic $\neq 2$ is an algebraically closed field.
Proof. If the kernel is infinite (in particular if $\operatorname{char}(K)=0$ or $Z(K)$ is infinite), this follows from 3 or 4].

In characteristic $p>0$, note first that $K$ is connected, as for any additive proper subgroup $H$ of finite index the intersection $\bigcap_{x \in K^{\times}} x H$ is trivial, but equals a finite subintersection, and hence is of finite index, a contradiction.

Let $A$ be an infinite abelian multiplicative subgroup; increasing $A$, we may assume that it is definable. Let $M_{0}$ be an $A$-minimal additive subgroup. Then $M_{0}$ is additively isomorphic to the additive group of an algebraically closed field $K_{0}$, and $A$ embeds multiplicatively into $K_{0}^{\times}$. In fact, for any $e_{0} \in M_{0} \backslash\{0\}$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$ such that $a_{1} e_{0}+\cdots+a_{n} e_{0}=0$, we have by right distributivity that $a_{1}^{e_{0}}+\cdots+a_{n}^{e_{0}}=0$, so the addition induced on $A$ by $K_{0}$ is the one inherited from $K$-addition on $A^{e_{0}}$. So we might replace $A$ by $A^{e_{0}}, M_{0}$ by $e_{0}^{-1} M_{0}$ and $e_{0}$ by 1 . Then $A \subseteq M_{0}$, field addition on $K_{0}$ is induced from $K$ and field multiplication on $K_{0}$ is induced from $K$ on $A \times K_{0}$, but does not necessarily agree with multiplication from $K$ if the left factor is in $K_{0} \backslash A$. In particular, $A^{0}$ is a good torus. But the next theorem implies that $Z\left(K^{*}\right)$ is infinite, which is the required contradiction.
Theorem 8. Let $G$ be a connected group of finite Morley rank whose connected definable abelian subgroups are good tori, of 2-Prüfer rank $\leq 1$. Then its 2 -Sylow subgroup is connected and central.

Proof. Recall that for a torus $A$ we have $N_{G}(A)^{0}=C_{G}(A)^{0}=C_{G}(A)$, and $A$ does not have an infinite family of definable subgroups. Moreover, $C_{G}(A)$ is generous in $G$.

We claim that a connected soluble multiplicative subgroup $S$ is abelian: If $A$ is the last non-trivial derived group, then $A$ is central in $S$. So if $N$ is the second last derived subgroup, $N$ is nilpotent, and is the central product of a divisible abelian group with a connected nilpotent group of bounded exponent. If the latter were
infinite, it would contain an infinite elementary abelian subgroup, a contradiction. Thus $N$ is abelian, and $N=A=S$. It follows that if $A$ is connected maximal abelian, then $A=C_{G}(A)$, and $A$ is almost self-normalizing and generous in $G$.

If $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are two non-conjugate maximal abelian connected subgroups of $G$ with maximal intersection $I$, then in $C_{G}(I)^{0}$ both $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are generous, and there is a conjugate $A^{\prime \prime}$ of $A^{\prime}$ intersecting $A$ in a generic point outside $I$. Contradiction, since $A^{\prime \prime} \cap A>I$. It follows that all maximal connected abelian subgroups are conjugate. We shall call a maximal connected abelian subgroup of $G$ a full torus; a connected subgroup $H$ of $G$ is full if it contains a full torus. Consider a nontrivial element $g \in G$. Then $C_{G}(g)$ is infinite, and contains a torus $T$, which we take maximal possible. So $C_{G}(T)$ is connected, and $g \in C_{G}(T)$. If $g \notin T$ then $g T$ has an infinite centralizer $C / T$ in $C_{G}(T) / T$, which contains an infinite connected abelian subgroup $A / T$. But then $A$ is again abelian connected, whence a torus, and $g \in C_{G}(A / T)=C_{G}^{0}(A / T) \leq N_{G}(A)^{0}=C_{G}(A)$. Thus $T<A \leq C_{G}(g)$, a contradiction. It follows that every element is contained in a torus $T$. But now $T$ can be extended to a maximal connected abelian subgroup $T^{\prime}$, which must be a full torus containing $g$.

If a 2-Sylow ${ }^{0}$-subgroup $S$ is central, then $G / \operatorname{dc}(S)$ has finite, whence trivial 2Sylow subgroups, so $S$ is connected. It hence suffices to show that $S$ is central. If not, as $C_{G}(S)^{0}=N_{G}(S)^{0}$, there is $a \in G$ with $S^{a} \neq S$. Choose two full tori $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ containing distinct 2 -Sylows ${ }^{0} S \neq S^{\prime}$ such that $A \cap A^{\prime}$ is maximal possible. Replacing $G$ by $C_{G}\left(A \cap A^{\prime}\right)^{0} /\left(A \cap A^{\prime}\right)$, we may assume that this intersection is trivial. In particular any two full tori with non-trivial intersection have the same 2-Sylow ${ }^{0}$. So for any $g \in G$, since any two full tori in $C_{G}(g)$ are conjugate and must contain $g$, there is a unique 2 -Sylow ${ }^{0}$ in $C_{G}(g)$.

Consider involutions $i \in S$ and $i^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$. Then $i$ and $i^{\prime}$ invert the element $m=i i^{\prime}$. Let $S^{\prime \prime}$ be the unique 2 -Sylow ${ }^{0}$ in $C_{G}(m)$. Then $i$ and $i^{\prime}$ normalize $C_{G}(m)$, whence also $S^{\prime \prime}$. Now either $S^{\prime \prime} \neq S$ or $S^{\prime \prime} \neq S^{\prime}$, and we may assume $S^{\prime \prime} \neq S$. Then $i \notin C_{G}\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right)$, as otherwise $C_{G}\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right) / \mathrm{dc}\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right)$ would contain an involution, whence a 2-torus, a contradiction.

Suppose $C_{C_{G}\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right)}(i)$ is infinite. Then it contains a torus $T$, and there is a full torus $A^{\prime \prime} \geq S^{\prime \prime} T$. Moreover, $i \in C_{G}(T)=C_{G}(T)^{0}$, so there is a torus $T^{\prime}$ containing $i$ and $T$. But then there is a full torus $A^{*}$ containing $T^{\prime}$. But $A^{\prime \prime} \cap A^{*} \geq T$ so $S^{\prime \prime}=S^{*}$, contradicting $i \notin C_{G}\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right)$. As $i$ normalizes $C_{G}\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and centralizes only finitely many points, it inverts $C_{G}\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right)^{0}=C_{G}\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right)$. It follows that $C_{G}\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is abelian, and equal to the full torus $A^{\prime \prime}$; moreover all elements of the coset $i A^{\prime \prime}$ are involutions. Note that $m \in C_{G}\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right)=A^{\prime \prime}$, and $i \in N_{G}\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right)=N_{G}\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)$. For any non-trivial $g \in G$ the centralizer $C_{G}(g)$ contains a unique 2-Sylow ${ }^{0}$, and hence a unique full torus, which must contain $g$. It follows that $C_{G}(g)^{0}$ is this full torus. So the full tori of $G$ are disjoint, and cover $G$.

Let $N$ be a minimal normal definable subgroup of $G$. Then $N$ cannot be abelian, so $N$ is simple by connectedness of $G$. If $N$ does not contain an involution, then any involution $i$ of $G$ gives rise to an involutive automorphism of $N$, whose set of fixed points is contained, up to finite index, in a torus of $N$, a contradiction to [Poizat]. It follows that $N$ contains involutions, and we may replace $G$ by $N$.

Any two involutions in $N_{G}\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right) \backslash A^{\prime \prime}$ invert $S$, so their product is in $C_{G}(S)=A^{\prime \prime}$ and they form a unique coset modulo $A^{\prime \prime}$. We obtain a geometry on $G$ whose points are the involutions, and whose lines are the cosets $i A$ for a full torus $A$ and involution $i \in N_{G}(A)$, i.e. precisely the involutions in $N_{G}(A(j))$, where $A=A(j)$ is the unique full torus containing $j$. Then $j$ is the unique involution commuting with all the involutions of the line $\ell(j)=N_{G}(A(j))[2]$. Any two distinct lines $\ell(i)$ and $\ell(j)$ have empty intersection, or intersect in a coset of $A(i) \cap A(j)=\{1\}$ (note that the corresponding 2-Sylows must be distinct), i.e. in a single point. Any two distinct points lie on at least one common line, and in fact a unique common line. There is a polarity which associates an involution $i$ to a line $\ell(i)$ and vice versa, and which preserves incidence. It follows that any two lines intersect in a unique point. Moreover, the are no isotropic points: $i \notin \ell(i)$ for all $i$. By Bachman's theorem, $G$ is a group of linear transformations of a 3-dimensional vector space over an interpretable field $K$ which preserve a symmetric bilinear form without isotropic vectors. But this contradicts stability.

Fact 9. [6] A near-domain of characteristic 3 is a near-field.
Corollary 10. A near-domain of characteristic 3 of finite Morley rank is an algebraically closed field.

Corollary 11. A strictly 2-transitive group of finite Morley rank and (permutation) characteristic 3 is the group of affine transformations of an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3 .
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