

Soil ozone deposition: Dependence of soil resistance to soil texture

Patrick Stella, Benjamin Loubet, Christophe de Berranger, Xavier Charrier, Eric Ceschia, Giacomo Gerosa, Angelo Finco, Eric Lamaud, Dominique Serça, Christian George, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Patrick Stella, Benjamin Loubet, Christophe de Berranger, Xavier Charrier, Eric Ceschia, et al.. Soil ozone deposition: Dependence of soil resistance to soil texture. Atmospheric Environment, 2019, 199, pp.202-209. 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.11.036 . hal-01933639

HAL Id: hal-01933639 https://hal.science/hal-01933639v1

Submitted on 9 Aug 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

1	Soil ozone deposition: dependence of soil resistance to soil texture
2	
3	P. Stella ^{1,*} , B. Loubet ² , C. de Berranger ³ , X. Charrier ³ , E. Ceschia ⁴ , G. Gerosa ⁵ , A.
4	Finco ⁵ , E. Lamaud ⁶ , D. Serça ⁷ , C. George ⁸ , R. Ciuraru ²
5	
6	[1] UMR SAD-APT, AgroParisTech, INRA, Université Paris-Saclay, 75005, Paris, France
7	[2] UMR1402 ECOSYS, INRA-AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, 78850 Thiverval-
8	Grignon, France
9	[3] INRA UE FERLUS, Les Verrines – CS 80006, 86600 Lusignan, France
10	[4] CESBIO, UMR 5126 - CNES-CNRS-UPS-IRD - 18 avenue Edouard Belin 31401
11	Toulouse cedex 9, France
12	[5] Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, via Musei 41,
13	25121 Brescia, Italia
14	[6] UMR 1391 ISPA, INRA-Bordeaux Sciences Agro, F-33140 Villenave d'Ornon, France
15	[7] Laboratoire d'Aérologie, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, France
16	[8] Université de Lyon 1 CNRS, UMR5256, IRCELYON, Institut de Recherches sur la
17	Catalyse et l'Environnement de Lyon, F-69626 Villeurbanne, France
18	[*] Correspondence to: P. Stella (patrick.stella@agroparistech.fr)
19	
20	Abstract
21	Soil deposition is an essential pathway for tropospheric ozone (O ₃) removal, but its
22	controlling factors remain unclear. Here, we explored the variability of soil O3 resistance in

2 23 response to soil texture. To this aim, data of O₃ deposition over bare soil obtained from 24 micrometeorological measurements under contrasted meteorological conditions for five sites 25 were used. The results obtained are twofold: (i) soil resistance (R_{soil}) increased with soil 26 surface relative humidity (RH_{surf}), but (ii) this relationship exhibited large site-by-site 27 variability. Further analysis showed that the minimum soil resistance (corresponding to 28 completely dry soil surface or $RH_{surf} = 0\%$) and the increase of R_{soil} with RH_{surf} are both 29 linked to soil clay content. These results can be explained by (i) the soil surface available for 30 O₃ deposition at a microscopic scale which is a function of the soil specific surface area, and 31 (ii) the capacity of a soil to adsorb water according to its clay content and therefore to reduce 32 the surface active for O₃ deposition. From these results, a new parameterization has been 33 established to estimate R_{soil} as a function of RH_{surf} and soil clay fraction.

Keywords: Ozone; soil resistance; clay content; relative humidity.

37 1 – INTRODUCTION

38 Since the pre-industrial era, concentrations of tropospheric ozone (O_3) have sharply increased 39 in the atmosphere. It is a well-known greenhouse gas responsible for a positive radiative forcing of 0.40 W m⁻² i.e., around 20% of the total radiative forcing attributed to human 40 41 activities, and the largest contributor to radiative forcing after long-lived trace gases (CO₂, 42 N₂O, CH₄, and halocarbons) (IPCC, 2013). Yet, due to its oxidative capacity, O₃ is also a key 43 compound in atmospheric chemistry (Monks, 2005) and a widespread secondary pollutant. It 44 is responsible for the oxidation of numerous compounds (e.g., Lee et al., 1996; Ahmad et al., 45 2000) and for negative impacts on human health (Ito et al., 2005; Hazucha and Lefohn, 2007; 46 Doherty et al., 2017). On terrestrial ecosystems, O₃ penetrates through plant stomata and 47 induces a range of metabolic changes such as a decrease of photosynthetic capacity, alteration 48 of plant biomass and structure, stomatal closure, and acceleration of senescence (e.g., 49 Karnosky et al., 2003; Paoletti, 2005; Felzer et al., 2007; Dizengremel et al., 2008; Booker et 50 al., 2009; Wittig et al., 2009; Ainsworth et al. 2012, Lombardozzi et al., 2013). All these 51 alterations lead to the decrease of ecosystem productivity and crop yield losses (e.g., 52 Ainsworth et al., 2012; Lombardozzi et al., 2015; Franz et al., 2017), which in turn could 53 contribute indirectly to global warming due to the alteration of global carbon cycle (Felzer et 54 al., 2007; Sitch et al., 2007).

55 Since O_3 is weakly soluble in water, it is mainly deposited through dry deposition on 56 terrestrial ecosystems (Fowler et al., 2009), which is the only net removal pathway of O₃ from 57 the atmosphere and therefore an important process governing the tropospheric O₃ budget 58 (Stevenson et al., 2006; Wild, 2007). Many studies have been carried out over natural 59 ecosystems and agroecosystems in order to (i) understand the processes governing O_3 dry deposition, (ii) establish parameterizations for O₃ deposition, and (iii) quantify the terrestrial 60 61 O₃ sink (e.g., Zhang et al., 2006; Coyle et al., 2009; Lamaud et al., 2009; Stella et al., 2011a, 2013; Fares et al., 2012; Launiainen et al., 2013; Clifton et al., 2017; Freire et al., 2017). 62 63 Deposition occurs through stomatal and non-stomatal (i.e., soil and cuticular) pathways. Due 64 to their dependence on leaf area index (LAI), O_3 deposition mainly occurs through stomatal and cuticular pathways on fully developed canopies, while transfer from the atmosphere 65 66 toward the ground is reduced when the canopy height and LAI increase (van Pul and Jacobs, 67 1994; Zhang et al., 2002; Massman, 2004; Tuovinen et al., 2004; Stella et al., 2011a, 2013). 68 Hence, strong efforts have been done to understand the processes governing stomatal (e.g., Emberson et al., 2000) and cuticular deposition (e.g., Zhang et al., 2002; Altimir et al., 2004, 69

2006; Coyle et al., 2009; Cape et al., 2009; Lamaud et al., 2009; Potier et al., 2015), although
these processes are still not well described and no consensual parameterization exists.

Over fully developed canopies, soil deposition is the smallest contributor to total deposition and processes governing this deposition pathway received little attention. Nevertheless, it cannot be neglected for short or sparse canopies, and of course during bare soil periods. Yet, Stella et al. (2013) reported that soil O_3 deposition was the main deposition pathway and represented 55% of the total O_3 deposition over an agricultural field for a 2 year period.

- Few studies have investigated the processes governing soil deposition. Some authors associated soil O_3 resistance (R_{soil}) to soil water content (e.g., Bassin et al., 2004; Massman, 2004; Meszaros et al., 2009), but the suggested parameterizations are not able to correctly estimate O_3 deposition during bare soil or growing season periods (Stella et al., 2011b, 2013). From measurements carried out over bare soil, Stella et al. (2011b) showed that R_{soil} was linked to soil surface relative humidity (RH_{surf}), but the relationships proposed seems to be site-dependent (Stella et al., 2011a).
- This study aims now to explore the relationships between R_{soil} and RH_{surf} and its dependence on soil texture, with data obtained only over bare soil are used. A new parameterization of R_{soil} accounting for soil texture is proposed.

87 2 – MATERIAL AND METHODS

88 2.1 – Site descriptions, datasets, and measurements

- Standard meteorological variables (i.e., global radiation (R_g), wind speed (u), air temperature (T_a), air relative humidity (RH_a) as well as turbulent fluxes (sensible (H) and latent (LE) heat fluxes, and momentum (τ) flux from which is deduced friction velocity (u_*)) and O₃ deposition velocities (V_d) measured by the eddy-covariance method were collected over five different sites during bare soil periods. Site, experimental set-up, and data processing were already described in previous studies for La Crau (Michou et al., 2005), Lamasquère (Béziat et al., 2009; Stella et al., 2011a), and La Cape Sud (Stella et al., 2009, 2011a).
- 96 The first dataset was collected between 20 April and 31 May 2001 in the semi-arid part of the 97 La Crau plain, France (43°34'N, 4°49'E). The site consisted of an almost bare soil with 98 mainly pebbles. Ozone fluxes were measured by eddy-covariance with a fast-response O_3 99 chemiluminescent analyzer (OS-G-2, Güsten, 1992). Its calibration was continuously checked 100 against a slow-response O_3 monitor (O_3 41M, Environnement SA, FR).

- 101 The second dataset corresponds to measurements performed during four bare soil periods (24 102 April 2008 to 26 May 2008, 20 November 2008 to 18 December 2008, 14 November 2009 to 103 12 May 2010, 29 September 2010 to 9 November 2010) over an agricultural field located at 104 Lamasquère, 20 km south-west of Toulouse, France (43°49'N, 1°23'E). Ozone deposition 105 was assessed by eddy-covariance using a fast-response O₃ chemiluminescent analyzer 106 (ATDD, NOAA, USA). Owing to the very small and constant offset of the O₃ analyzer, direct 107 measurement of V_d was provided following the ratio method described in Müller et al. (2010).
- 108 The third dataset concerns measurements carried out over an agricultural field during bare soil
- 109 period at La Cape Sud, 60 km south of Bordeaux, France (44°24'N, 0°38'W), from 19
- 110 October 2007 to 4 March 2008. As for Lamasquère, O_3 deposition velocity was measured by
- 111 eddy-covariance following the ratio method (Müller et al., 2010) by using a fast-response O_3
- 112 chemiluminescent analyzer (ATDD, NOAA, USA).
- 113 The fourth dataset was collected from 17 March to 5 May 2011 over an agricultural field 114 during bare soil period before maize sowing at Lusignan site, 30 km south of Poitier, France 115 (46°24'N, 0°07'E). Standard meteorological conditions were measured at 1.86 m above 116 ground level (a.g.l.) including net, incident and reflected shortwave, and incident and reflected 117 longwave radiations (CNR1, Kipp & Zonnen, NL), air temperature and relative humidity 118 (HMP45C, Vaisala, FI), wind speed (A100R, Campbell Scientific, USA), wind direction 119 (W200P, Campbell Scientific, USA), and rainfall (SBS500, Campbell Scientific, USA). Soil 120 temperatures were measured at 0.05, 0.10, 0.20, 0.30, 0.60, 0.80, and 1m depth using PT100 121 sensors (Mesurex, FR), as well as soil water content at 0.10, 0.20, 0.30, 0.60, 0.80 and 1m 122 depth with CS616 probes (Campbell Scientific, USA). Soil heat flux was measured with two 123 flux plates (HFP01, Hukseflux, NL). All microclimatic data were sampled every 30 s on data 124 logger (CR1000, Campbell Scientific, USA) and averaged every 30 min. Turbulent fluxes of 125 momentum, sensible heat, water vapor, CO_2 and O_3 were measured at 1.86 m a.g.l. by eddy-126 covariance (EC). The EC system consisted in a 3D sonic anemometer (R3-50, GILL 127 Instruments, UK) coupled with CO₂/H₂O Infrared Gas Analyzer (LI-7500, LICOR, USA), and 128 a fast-response O₃ chemiluminescent analyzer (ATDD, NOAA, USA). The coumarin dye of 129 the fast-response O₃ analyzer was changed once per week. Data were sampled and recorded at 130 20 Hz on a computer using Edisol software (University of Edinburgh, UK), and flux 131 integration was performed over 30 min time spans. Flux calculation was assessed following 132 the CarboEurope methodology (Aubinet et al., 2000). In the case of O_3 , the ratio method providing deposition velocity (Muller et al., 2010) was applied. 133

The last dataset corresponds to measurements performed between 24 March to 14 April 2014 134 at Turro (PC) Italy, (44°59'N, 9°42'E) (Scalvenzi, 2015). The site consisted in an agricultural 135 136 field with bare, ploughed and smoothed soil. Standard meteorological conditions were 137 measured 2.2 m a.g.l.: net radiation (NR-LITE, Kipp & Zonen, NL), incident solar radiation (LI 200 SZ, LI-COR, USA), and air temperature and humidity (HD9000, Deltaohm, I). Soil 138 139 heat fluxes (HFP01, Hukseflux, NL) were measured at 0.05 m depth while soil water content 140 (CS616, Campbell Scientific, USA) was measured with a reflectometer averaging the soil 141 water content in the first 30 cm of soil below ground. All the data from these probes were 142 averaged each half an hour and collected on a data logger (CR10x, Campbell Scientific, 143 USA). An additional mast was set up for wind speed, wind direction and eddy covariance flux 144 measurements at 2.2 m a.g.l., and included an ultrasonic anemometer (USA-1, Metek, D), a 145 Campbell Scientific, USA), krypton hygrometer (KH2O, and a fast-response chemiluminescent O₃ analyser (COFA, Ecometrics, I) based on the reaction between ozone 146 147 and coumarin for which the dye was changed typically every 5 days. An additional slow 148 response photometric O_3 analyser (1308, SIR, E) was used to calibrate the fast-response O_3 149 analyser. Eddy covariance data were recorded at 10 Hz and collected on a personal computer 150 and stored in half an hour files. Flux calculation was assessed following the CarboEurope 151 methodology (Aubinet et al., 2000) and included raw data despiking following the procedure 152 proposed by Vickers and Mahrt (1997), linearly gap-filling, and a double rotation of the 153 reference system of the wind components (Wilczak et al., 2001).

154 **2.2 – Calculation of ozone soil resistance and surface relative humidity**

Following the resistance analogy (Wesely and Hicks, 2000), V_d (in m s⁻¹) to bare soil is expressed as:

157
$$V_d = \frac{1}{R_a(z) + R_{bO3} + R_{soil}}$$
 (1)

where R_{soil} (s m⁻¹) is the soil resistance, and $R_{a}(z)$ and R_{bO3} (s m⁻¹) are the aerodynamic and the quasi-laminar boundary layer resistances, respectively, calculated following Garland (1977). From Eq. (1), R_{soil} is expressed as:

161
$$R_{soil} = V_d^{-1} - R_a(z) - R_{b03}$$
 (2)

162 According to Stella et al. (2011b), R_{soil} depends on surface air relative humidity (RH_{surf} in %) 163 at $z_{0'}$ (soil roughness height for scalar):

164
$$R_{soil} = R_{soil\min} \times exp^{(k \times RH_{surf})}$$
(3)

- 165 where $R_{\text{soil min}}$ (s m⁻¹) is the soil resistance without water adsorbed at the surface (i.e. at 166 RH_{surf} = 0%) and *k* is an empirical coefficient of the exponential function.
- Following Stella et al. (2011b), RH_{surf} is retrieved from *H* and LE by using the resistance analogy:

169
$$T_{surf} = \frac{H(R_a(z) + R_b)}{\rho \, C_p} + T_a$$
 (4)

170
$$\chi_{H2Osurf} = E(R_a(z) + R_{bH2O}) + \chi_{H2Oa}$$
 (5)

171
$$P_{vapsurf} = \frac{\chi_{H2Osurf} R (T_{surf} + 273.15)}{M_{H2O}}$$
 (6)

172
$$P_{sat}(T_{surf}) = p \exp\left[\frac{M_{H20} \, 10^{-3} \, L}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_0 + 273.15} - \frac{1}{T_{surf} + 273.15}\right)\right]$$
(7)

173
$$RH_{surf} = \frac{P_{vapsurf}}{P_{sat}(T_{surf})} \times 100$$
(8)

174 with T_{surf} the surface temperature (°C), ρ the air density (kg m⁻³), C_p the air specific heat 175 (J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹), χ_{H2Osurf} and χ_{H2Oa} the air concentration of water (g m⁻³) at z_0 , and reference height, 176 respectively, *E* the water vapor flux (kg m⁻² s⁻¹), P_{vapsurf} the water vapor pressure at z_0 , (Pa), *R* 177 the universal gas constant (J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹), M_{H2O} the molecular weight of water (g mol⁻¹), 178 $P_{\text{sat}}(T_{\text{surf}})$ the saturation vapor pressure at T_{surf} (Pa), *p* the atmospheric pressure (Pa), *L* the 179 latent heat of vaporization of water (J kg⁻¹), and T_0 the boiling temperature of water (°C).

180 **3 – RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

181 **3.1 – Weather, pedoclimate and ozone deposition**

182 Meteorological variables followed typical diurnal trends at each sites. Global radiation and u_* 183 increased in early morning to reach their maximum at around noon and then decreased to their minimum in late afternoon (Figures 1a and 1d). Air temperature and RH_a exhibited opposite 184 trends: while the former increased in early morning to reach its maximum in early afternoon 185 186 and then decreased (Figure 1b), the latter decreased until early afternoon to its minimum value 187 before increasing to its maximum occurring during nighttime (Figure 1c). Soil water content 188 did not show marked diurnal trend for the three sites where it was measured (i.e., Lamasquère, Lusignan, and Turro) (Figure 1e). 189

190 Although diurnal trends of meteorological variables were similar, pedoclimatic conditions 191 were contrasted at each site. La Crau exhibited the sunniest and warmest meteorological 192 conditions with mean $R_g=232$ W m⁻² over the whole experimental period (Table 1), and

maximum half hourly R_g around 730 W m⁻² (Figure 1a). Air temperature and relative 193 194 humidity were on average at 15.8°C and 72% (Table 1) with maximum and minimum half-195 hourly means around 19°C / 85% and 12°C / 54%, respectively (Figure 1b and 1c). The 196 highest u_* , due to windy conditions typical of Mistral in this region, was also recorded on this site: 0.30 m s⁻¹ on average (Table 1) and varied between 0.2 m s⁻¹ during nighttime and 197 0.43 m s⁻¹ during daytime (Figure 1d). The soil type was loam (22% clay, 36% silt, 38% sand) 198 but the soil surface was mainly composed of pebbles. Rainfall and SWC were not recorded on 199 this site. At Lamasquère, R_g averaged 281 W m⁻² for the whole dataset (Table 1) and its mean 200 half hourly value peaked at 415 W m⁻² (Figure 1a). Half hourly means of T_a and RH_a ranged 201 202 between 6°C and 90% during nighttime and 13°C and 65% during daytime (Figure 1b and 203 1c), and averaged 9.2°C and 79% over the whole dataset (Table 1), respectively. Mean u* was at 0.21 m s⁻¹ (Table 1) over the whole dataset and ranged from 0.16 m s⁻¹ during nighttime 204 and 0.29 m s⁻¹ during daytime (Figure 1d). During the 281 days of the dataset, 833 half hourly 205 206 rainfall events were recorded, representing 544 mm cumulated and on average 0.65 mm per 207 rainfall event. The soil type was clay (54% clay, 34% silt, 12% sand) with a mean SWC of 208 38% (Table 1). Weather at La Cape Sud was characterized by cloudy and cold conditions: R_g and $T_{\rm a}$ were the lowest of the five datasets, with averages over the whole dataset at 68 W m⁻² 209 and 6.2°C (Table 1), and half hourly means ranging from 0 to 273 W m⁻² and from 2.5 to 210 211 12°C (Figure 1a and 1b), respectively. Dry atmospheric conditions were measured: although RH_a averaged 73% (Table 1), it decreased to 50% during daytime and reached 85% during 212 nighttime (Figure 1c). Friction velocity ranged between 0.1 and 0.25 m s⁻¹ (Figure 1d), with 213 mean u_* of 0.15 m s⁻¹ (Table 1). Cumulated rainfall during the 137 days of the dataset was at 214 215 177 mm, and 365 half hourly events of 0.48 mm on average occurred. The soil type at this site 216 was loamy sand (6% clay, 9% silt, 77% sand) (Table 1). At Lusignan, half hourly mean R_{σ} peaked at 600 W m^{-2} (Figure 1a) and averaged 195 W m^{-2} over the whole dataset (Table 1). 217 Means of T_a and RH_a were at 12.6°C and 69% (Table 1) and their half hourly means ranged 218 219 from 7.5°C to 17.7°C (Figure 1b) and from 87% to 50% (Figure 1c), respectively. This dataset exhibited the weakest u_* and SWC: they were on average at 0.13 m s⁻¹ and 27%, 220 respectively (Table 1). Half hourly means of u_* only varied from 0.09 m s⁻¹ during nighttime 221 to 0.20 m s⁻¹ during daytime (Figure 1d), while half hourly means SWC did not show diurnal 222 223 variations (Figure 1e). Cumulated rainfall during the 49 days of the dataset was at 36 mm, and 224 63 half hourly events of 0.59 mm on average occurred. The soil type at this site was silt loam 225 (17% clay, 60% silt, 23% sand) (Table 1). The last site, Turro, presented intermediate R_{g} : it was on average over the whole dataset 155 W m^{-2} (Table 1) and its half hourly mean peaked 226

to 516 W m⁻² (Figure 1a). Half hourly means of T_a were similar to those recorded at Lusignan 227 228 during nighttime and La Crau during daytime, i.e., ranged from 7.5°C to 19°C (Figure 1b). 229 Over the whole dataset, T_a was on average at 13.3°C (Table 1). For RH_a, half hourly means 230 varied between 90% and 60% and was 77% over the whole dataset (Figure 1c and Table 1). The friction velocity exhibited quite large diurnal variation: its half hourly means ranged from 231 0.1 m s^{-1} during nighttime to 0.37 m s⁻¹ during daytime (Figure 1d) while it was on average at 232 0.21 m s⁻¹ during the whole measurement period (Table 1). This site exhibited the largest 233 234 SWC, 42% (Table 1). During the 21 days of the dataset, 55 half hourly rainfall events were 235 recorded, representing 35.6 mm cumulated and on average 0.65 mm per rainfall event. The 236 soil type was silty clay loam (30% clay, 52% silt, 18% sand) (Table 1).

Half hourly means of V_d are presented in Figure 1f. Excepted at Turro, V_d measured during 237 nighttime was similar at each site, between 0.15-0.25 cm s⁻¹. They then increased during early 238 morning to reach their maximum, around 0.30 cm s⁻¹ at La Crau, 0.40 cm s⁻¹ at Lamasquère 239 and La Cape Sud, and 0.60 cm s⁻¹ at Lusignan. At Turro, V_d did not follow typical diurnal 240 dynamics and exhibited important half-hourly variations, with half hourly V_d oscillating 241 around 0.5-0.6 cm s⁻¹ during both nighttime and daytime, and exhibiting two peaks (at around 242 1-1.2 cm s⁻¹) during early morning and late evening. As a consequence, with 243 0.61 cm s⁻¹, V_d was on average over the whole dataset the largest at this site. For the other 244 sites, mean V_d was 0.21 cm s⁻¹ for La Crau, 0.29 cm s⁻¹ for Lamasquère, 0.26 cm s⁻¹ for La 245 Cape Sud, and 0.36 cm s⁻¹ for Lusignan (Table 1). 246



247 248

Time (hh:mm)

Figure 1: Half hourly arithmetic means of (a) global radiation, (b) air temperature, (c) air relative humidity, (d) friction velocity, (e) soil water content, and (f) deposition velocity for La Crau (black line), Lamasquère (dashed black line), La Cape Sud (dotted black line), Lusignan (grey line), and Turro (dashed grey line) sites.

253

- **Table 1:** Arithmetic means (\pm standard deviations) of global radiation (R_g), air temperature (T_a), air relative humidity (RH_a), friction velocity (u_*), rainfall, soil water content (SWC), and deposition velocity (V_d) during the measurement periods for each site. Are also indicated the
- 257 dataset duration, cumulated rainfall, number of half hourly rainfall events (n), and soil texture.

	Dataset duration	R _g	Ta	RH _a	U*	Rai	nfall		SWC	Soil texture	V _d
	Days	W m ⁻²	°C	%	m s ⁻¹	mm		%	%Clay %Silt %Sand	cm s ⁻¹	
		Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Sum	n	Mean ± SD		Mean ± SD
La Crau	41	232±298	15.8±4.5	72±19	0.30±0.20	-	-	-	-	22* 36* 38*	0.21±0.21
Lamasquère	281	118±207	9.2±6.5	79±15	0.21±0.16	0.65±0.86	544	833	38±4	54 34 12	0.29±0.33
La Cape Sud	137	68±118	6.2±5.3	73±19	0.15±0.12	0.48±0.49	177	365	-	6* 9* 77*	0.26±0.20
Lusignan	49	195±261	12.6±4.9	69±20	0.13±0.09	0.59±0.74	36	63	27±1	17 60 23	0.36±0.28
Turro	21	155±209	13.3±4.5	77±13	0.21±0.11	0.65±0.83	35.6	55	42±3	30 52 18	0.61±0.48

258 *: Not measured on site, obtained from Geosol Database

259 (http://estrada.orleans.inra.fr/geosol/).

260 **3.2 – Relationships between** *R*_{soil} and RH_{surf}

Several authors related R_{soil} to the amount of water in soil, and showed that the drier the soil is, the weaker R_{soil} is (e.g., Bassin et al., 2004; Massman, 2004; Meszaros et al., 2009). More recently, Stella et al. (2011b) showed that (i) the estimate of R_{soil} as a function of soil water content does not provide an accurate estimate of V_d over bare soil, and (ii) R_{soil} depends on and increases exponentially with RH_{surf}. Therefore, the relationships between R_{soil} and RH_{surf} were determined for each site and are presented in Figure 2. From these relationships, the two parameters of the exponential function controlling R_{soil} i.e., $R_{soil min}$ and k (Equation 3), were

estimated from the exponential regression between R_{soil} and RH_{surf} (Figure 2), and are summarized in Table 2.

- Whatever the site considered, R_{soil} increases exponentially with RH_{surf}. These results confirm those previously obtained by Stella et al. (2011b) at an agricultural field during bare soil periods. Nevertheless, this relationship substantially differs quantitatively according to the site considered, as shown in Figure 2 and by the values obtained for $R_{soil min}$ and k (Table 2).
- 274 The minimum resistance i.e., R_{soil} at $\text{RH}_{\text{surf}} = 0\%$ corresponding to $R_{\text{soil min}}$, strongly varied 275 according to the site considered (Figure 2 and Table 2). The lowest resistance was observed at Lamasquère $(12 \pm 1.20 \text{ s.m}^{-1})$ and the largest at La Crau $(169 \pm 1.18 \text{ s} \text{ m}^{-1})$. For Lusignan, 276 Turro, and La Cape Sud, $R_{\text{soil min}}$ was 29 ± 1.01 s m⁻¹, 42 ± 1.22 s m⁻¹, and 161 ± 1.11 s m⁻¹, 277 278 respectively. As far we know, only two studies reported values of $R_{\rm soil min}$. The first concerns 279 the study of Stella et al. (2011b) for an agricultural field during bare soil periods who found $R_{\text{soil min}} = 21 \pm 1.01 \text{ sm}^{-1}$. The second originated from Güsten et al. (1996) who reported from 280 an experiment in Sahara desert an average daytime resistance of ozone to desert sand of 800 281 282 $s.m^{-1}$. In such conditions, it can be hypothesized that RH_{surf} is very close or equal to 0%, and hence the value reported by Güsten et al. (1996) corresponds to $R_{\text{soil min}}$. Therefore, in spite of 283 284 their large range of variation, the values found for our five sites remain in the range reported 285 by previous studies.
- Similarly to $R_{\text{soil min}}$, the increase of R_{soil} with RH_{surf}, corresponding to *k*, exhibited large siteby-site variations (Figure 2 and Table 2). The slowest increase was found at La Crau and La Cape Sud, with *k* equal to 0.017 ± 0.003 and 0.013 ± 0.002, respectively, while Lamasquère exhibited the fastest increase ($k = 0.055 \pm 0.003$). The values found at Lusignan and Turro were intermediate, 0.025 ± 0.001 and 0.021 ± 0.003, respectively, but are similar to the one reported by Stella et al. (2011b) who reported k = 0.024 ± 0.001.





Figure 2: Soil resistance as a function of surface relative humidity for (a) La Crau (black symbols) and Lusignan (grey symbols), (b) La Cape Sud (black symbols) and Turro (grey symbols), and (c) Lamasquère. Data are block averaged with a range of 1% surface relative humidity. Lines represent the regression (general form: $R_{soil} = R_{soil min} \times exp^{(k \times RH_{surf})}$). Only data for $u_* > 0.1$ m s⁻¹ were used.

298	Table 2: Minimum soil resistances ($R_{soil min}$), empirical coefficients of the exponential
299	functions (k) and clay contents obtained for the sites of this study. The values and their
300	standard errors were obtained by the regressions between R_{soil} and RH_{surf} . Are also indicated
301	the values reported by Stella et al. (2011b) and Gusten et al. (1996) (see text for details).

	R _{soil min}	k	Clay content
	$s.m^{-1}$	-	%
	Value ± SE	Value ± SE	
Lamasquère	12 ± 1.20	0.055 ± 0.003	54
Stella et al. (2011b) ¹	21 ± 1.01	0.024 ± 0.001	31
Lusignan	29 ± 1.01	0.025 ± 0.001	17
Turro	42 ± 1.22	0.021 ± 0.003	30
La Cape Sud	161 ± 1.11	0.013 ± 0.002	6
La Crau	169 ± 1.18	0.017 ± 0.003	22
Güsten et al. (1996) ²	800	[-]	0.8

¹Soil texture: 31% Clay, 62.5% Silt, 6.5% Sand

² Soil texture: 0.8% Clay, 1.3% Silt, 97.9% Sand

304 3.3 – Dependence of *R*_{soil} parameters to soil texture

As shown previously, the dependence of R_{soil} to RH_{surf} exhibits large site-by-site variations, as suggested by Stella et al. (2011a, 2011b). Yet, Stella et al. (2011b) hypothesized that the increase of R_{soil} with RH_{surf} is due to the presence of water adsorbed at the soil surface, decreasing the surface active for O₃ deposition since O₃ is hardly soluble in water i.e., R_{soil} depends on the available dry soil surface.

In our study, the main difference that could explain this variability between each site concerns the soil texture, which would be consistent with the hypothesis proposed by Stella et al. (2011). Indeed, the soil texture and more specifically the clay content determines the specific surface area i.e., the mass normalized surface area (in m² g⁻¹): at a microscopic scale the surface for the same amount of soil increases with clay content due to the size and structure of these elements. This issue has been proved both theoretically and experimentally in e.g., Petersen et al. (1996) and Pennel (2002). In other words, the greater the amount of clay is, the

317 larger the surface available at a microscopic scale for O_3 deposition is. To examine this issue, 318 the two parameters $R_{\text{soil min}}$ and *k* were plotted as a function of soil clay content.

319 The results are presented in Figure 3 and include those obtained from the sites of this study as 320 well as those from Güsten et al. (1996) and Stella et al. (2011b). The results from La Crau 321 were not included in fitting the relationships between $R_{\text{soil min}}$ and k and the soil clay content, 322 as discussed at the end of this section. On the one hand, $R_{\rm soil\ min}$ decreases when soil clay 323 content increases. This decrease is particularly marked for soil clay content lower that 10-324 15%. Above this percentage, $R_{\text{soil min}}$ decreases less rapidly. The best correlation coefficient was obtained for a power regression ($R_{soil min} = 702 \times (clay content)^{-0.98}$; $R^2 = 0.95$) 325 (Figure 3a). On the other hand, k increases with soil clay content and the best correlation 326 coefficient 327 was found for an exponential relationship $(k = 0.0118 \times exp^{-0.0266 \times (clay \ content)}; \mathbb{R}^2 = 0.85)$ (Figure 3b). 328

329 As expected from our working hypothesis, when there is no water adsorbed at the soil surface 330 the soil resistance (i.e., $R_{\text{soil min}}$) decreases with the increase in soil clay content. In other words, O₃ deposition to soil is favored when the soil specific surface increases, since more 331 332 surface is available for O₃ removal at a microscopic scale. As far we know, only the study of 333 Sorimachi and Sakamoto (2007) has already examined in this issue with similar results. From 334 laboratory measurements of O₃ deposition onto different soil samples, they reported that for soil moisture content lower than 10% (i.e., close to RH_{surf}) the surface resistance decreases 335 336 exponentially with increasing soil surface area. Nevertheless, it must be noted that from our 337 in-situ measurements it was found a power decrease instead of an exponential one. Concerning the increase of k with soil clay content, a possible explanation would be link to 338 339 the capacity of a soil to adsorb/desorb water, and therefore to contain water, according to its 340 amount of clay. According to Schneider and Goss (2012), for the same relative humidity, the 341 water content is larger for soil with a large amount of clay than for soil with low amount of 342 clay. In addition, its increase with relative humidity is faster when the amount of clay is large. 343 Since O_3 is hardly soluble in water, this statement could explain the faster increase of R_{soil} with RH_{surf} (i.e. larger k) for soils with larger clay content. 344

Results obtained at La Crau site exhibited discrepancies compared to the results obtained on the other sites, especially concerning $R_{\text{soil min}}$ (Figure 3a). For this latter, there are only two possibilities: (i) $R_{\text{soil min}}$ is underestimated or (ii) the soil clay content is overestimated. The former seems not to be plausible since the methodology to deduce $R_{\text{soil min}}$ is identical for all the sites. However, it is possible that the percentage of clay did not reflect reality. Since O₃ is

an highly reactive compound, it can be rapidly depleted. Therefore, properties retained must be representative of the soil surface, i.e., the first few millimeters. At this site, soil surface was mainly composed of pebbles for which specific surface is small. Thus the "real clay content" of the soil surface at this site, in regards with soil specific surface area, would be probably closer to a sandy soil as for La Cape Sud, for which both $R_{\text{soil min}}$ and k are similar with the La Crau ones (Table 2).





Figure 3: Relationships between (a) minimum soil resistance ($R_{\text{soil min}}$) and (b) coefficient of the exponential function (k) and soil clay content. Black lines correspond to the regressions. Values from La Crau site were not included in the regressions.

360 4 – CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

361 This study explored the variability of soil O_3 resistance according to soil texture. To this end, 362 O₃ deposition data over bare soil obtained from micrometeorological measurements under 363 contrasted meteorological conditions for five sites were used. The results obtained are twofold: (i) R_{soil} increases with RH_{surf} as found previously by Stella et al. (2011b), but (ii) the 364 365 relationships exhibited large site-to-site variability. From the data analysis, the minimum soil 366 resistance without water adsorbed at the surface (i.e., at $RH_{surf} = 0\%$) corresponding to R_{soil} 367 min, and the increase of R_{soil} with RH_{surf} corresponding to k are linked to soil clay content. 368 These patterns can be explained respectively by (i) the surface available for O_3 deposition at a 369 microscopic scale which is linked to the soil specific surface area, and (ii) the capacity of a 370 soil to adsorb water according to its clay content and therefore to reduce the surface active for 371 O₃ deposition. From our results (Figure 3) a new parameterization can be established to 372 estimate R_{soil} as a function of RH_{surf} (%) and soil clay content (%):

373
$$R_{soil} = 702 \times (clay \ content)^{-0.98} \times exp^{(0.0118 \times exp^{(-0.0266 \times (clay \ content) \times RH_{surf})}$$
(9)

374 This empirical parameterization could be included into surface-atmosphere exchanges models 375 to assess the O₃ dry deposition budget of continental surface since (i) the soil component can 376 represent an important fraction of total deposition especially for agroecosystems (Stella et al. 377 2013) and (ii) current parameterizations accounting for soil water content overestimate $R_{\rm soil}$, especially under dry conditions (Stella et al., 2011b). For instance, assuming a soil water 378 379 content equal to 0%, the parameterization used in Bassin et al. (2004) and Meszaros et al. (2009) gives $R_{soil} = 200 \text{ s.m}^{-1}$, values that are particularly high compared to the smallest ones 380 deduced at Lusignan, Lamasquère and Turro (Figure 2). 381

382 It must however be noted that our study is limited to only few sites. Ozone deposition is rarely measured over bare soil, and further efforts should be done to complete our study and assess 383 384 the relationships proposed. Yet, we limited our study to the soil texture which is the main 385 factor controlling the soil specific surface area, but other parameters also modify it, such as 386 soil compaction. In addition, we only focused our work on the hypothesis of a physical 387 underlying process i.e., the surface available for O_3 deposition at a microscopic scale. 388 Additional chemical processes that remove O_3 at the interface soil-atmosphere remain 389 possible. For instance, the study performed by Vuolo et al. (2017) indicated that soil O_3 390 deposition increased following slurry application, suggesting a chemical process linked with 391 surface reactivity changes due to the added organic matter or volatile organic compound 392 (VOC) emissions from the slurry. These chemical processes are primarily controlled by 393 temperature, in a purely reactive way (e.g., Cape et al., 2009). Finally, even if O₃ is weakly 394 soluble in water, possible dissolution, diffusion and chemical reaction inside the water films at 395 the soil surface cannot be discarded as suggested by Potier et al. (2015) for O_3 cuticular deposition on wet leaves, implying an impact of e.g., compound concentrations in water films 396 397 (Potier et al., 2015) or water pH (e.g., Flechard et al., 1999). Understanding these effects 398 could be of particular importance, especially for agroecosystems for which agricultural 399 practices such as ploughing, crushing or organic fertilization can change both soil 400 compaction, organic matter content, and soil surface reactivity.

401

402 Acknowledgements

403 This work supported by the European commission through CarboEurope-IP and NitroEurope-

404 IP projects, the French regional funding R2DS (région Ile-de-France), the French-German 405 project PHOTONA (CNRS/INSU/DFG), the French national project Vulnoz (ANR, VMC), 406 the French national project RAVISA (ADEME - French Environment and Energy

Management Agency), and partially supported by the program of dissemination and 407 408

- enhancement of the scientific research results of the Catholic University of the Sacred Heart.
- 409 The authors gratefully acknowledged all people involved in the measurement campaigns for
- 410 their assistance in maintenance of the experimental sites, data acquisition and proceeding.
- 411 Thanks to Bernard Defranssu, Dominique Tristan, Jean-Pierre de Saint Stéban, Michel Gay,
- and Benoit Cantaloube for giving access to their fields. Thanks to Michela Scalvenzi and to 412
- 413 the Turro's farm for their support.
- 414

415 References

- 416 Ahmad Z., Allam I.M., Abdul Aleem B.J., 2000. Effect of environmental factors on the 417 atmospheric corrosion of mild steel in aggressive coastal environment. Anti-Corrosion 418 Methods and Materials 47, 215–225.
- 419 Ainsworth E.A., Yendrek C.R., Sitch S., Collins W.J., Emberson L.D., 2012. Ozone on net 420 primary productivity and implications for climate change. Annual Review of Plant Biology 63, 637-661. 421
- 422 Altimir N., Kolari P., Tuovinen J.P., Vesala T., Bäck J., Suni T., Kulmala M., Hari P., 2006. 423 Foliage surface ozone deposition: a role for surface moisture? Biogeosciences 3, 209-424 228.
- 425 Altimir N., Tuovinen J.P., Vesala T., Kulmala M., Hari P., 2004. Measurements of ozone 426 removal by Scots pine shoots: calibration of a stomatal uptake model including the 427 non-stomatal component. Atmospheric Environment 38, 2387-2398.
- 428 Aubinet M., Grelle A., Ibrom A., Rannik U., Moncrieff J., Foken T., Kowalski A.S., Martin P.H., Berbigier P., Bernhofer C., Clement R., Elbers J., Granier A., Grunwald T., 429 430 Morgenstern K., Pilegaard K., Rebmann C., Snijders W., Valentini R., Vesala T., 431 2000. Estimates of the annual net carbon and water exchange of forests: the EUROFLUX methodology. Advances in Ecological Research 30, 113–175. 432
- Bassin S., Calanca P., Weidinger T., Gerosa G., Fuhrer J., 2004. Modeling seasonal ozone 433 434 fluxes to grassland and wheat: model improvement, testing, and application. 435 Atmospheric Environment 38, 2349-2359.
- 436 Béziat P., Ceschia E., Dedieu G., 2009. Carbon balance of a three crop succession over two cropland sites in South West France. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 149, 1628-437 438 1645.

- Booker F., Muntifering R., McGrath M., Burkey K., Decoteau D., Fiscus E., Manning W.,
 Krupa S., Chappelka A., Grantz D., 2009. The ozone component of global change:
 Potential effects on agricultural and horticultural plant yield, product quality and
 interactions with invasive species. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology 51, 337-351.
- Cape J.N., Hamilton R., Heal M.R., 2009. Reactive uptake of ozone at simulated leaf
 surfaces: Implications for 'non-stomatal' ozone flux. Atmospheric Environment 43,
 1116-1123.
- Clifton O.E., Fiore A.M., Munger J.W., Malyshev S., Horowitz L.W., Shevliakova E., Paulot
 F., Murray L.T., Griffin K.L., 2017. Interannual variability in ozone removal by a
 temperate deciduous forest. Geophysical Research Letters 44, 542-552.
- Coyle M., Nemitz E., Storeton-West R., Fowler D., Cape J.N., 2009. Measurements of ozone
 deposition to a potato canopy. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 149, 655-666.
- 451 Dizengremel P., Le Thiec D., Bagard M., Jolivet Y., 2008. Ozone risk assessment for plants:
 452 Central role of metabolism-dependent changes in reducing power. Environmental
 453 Pollution 156, 11-15.
- 454 Doherty R.M., Heal M.R., O'Connor F.M., 2017. Climate change impacts on human health
 455 over Europe through its effect on air quality. Environmental Healt 16, 33-44.
- Emberson L.D., Ashmore M.R., Cambridge H.M., Simpson D., Tuovinen J.P., 2000.
 Modelling stomatal ozone flux across Europe. Environmental Pollution 109, 403-413.
- Fares S., Weber R., Park J.H., Genter D., Karlik J., Goldstein A.H., 2012. Ozone deposition to
 an orange orchard: Partitioning between stomatal and non-stomatal sinks.
 Environmental Pollution 169, 258-266.
- Felzer B.S., Cronin T., Reilly J.M., Melillo J.M., Wang X., 2007. Impacts of ozone on trees
 and crops. Comptes Rendus Geoscience 339, 784-798.
- Flechard C.R., Fowler D., Sutton M.A., Cape J.N., 1999. A dynamic chemical model of bidirectional ammonia exchange between semi-natural vegetation and the atmosphere.
 Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 125, 2611-2641.
- 466 Fowler D., Pilegaard K., Sutton M.A., Ambus P., Raivonen M., Duyzer J., Simpson D., 467 Fagerli H., Fuzzi S., Schjoerring J.K., Granier C., Neftel A., Isaksen I.S.A, Laj P., 468 Maione M., Monks P.S., Burkhardt J., Daemmgen U., Neirynck J., Personne E., 469 Wichink-Kruit R., Butterbach-Bahl K., Flechard C., Tuovinen J.P., Coyle M., Gerosa 470 G., Loubet B., Altimir N., Gruenhage L., Ammann C., Cieslik S., Paoletti E., 471 Mikkelsen T.N., Ro-Poulsen H., Cellier P., Cape J.N., Horvath L., Loreto F., 472 Niinemets U., Palmer P.I., Rinne J., Misztal P., Nemitz E., Nilsson D., Pryor S., 473 Gallagher M.W., Vesala T., Skiba U., Brüggemann N., Zechmeister-Boltenstern S., 474 Williams J., O'Dowd C., Facchini M.C., de Leeuw G., Flossman A., Chaumerliac N., 475 Erisman J.W., 2009. Atmospheric composition change: Ecosystem-Atmosphere 476 interactions. Atmospheric Environment 43, 5193-5267.
- Franz M., Simpson D., Arneth A., Zaehle S., 2017. Development and evaluation of an ozone
 deposition scheme for coupling to a terrestrial biosphere model. Biogeosciences 14,
 479 45-71.
- Freire L.S., Gerken T., Ruiz-Plancarte J., Wei D., Fuentes J.D., Katul G.G., Dias N.L.,
 Acevedo O.C., Chamecki M., 2017. Turbulent mixing and removal of ozone within an
 Amazon rainforest canopy. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 122, 27912811.

- 484 Garland J.A., 1977. The dry deposition of sulphur dioxide to land and water surface.
 485 Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A 354, 245–268.
- 486 Güsten H., 1992. A novel ozone sensor for various environmental applications. In: Hudson,
 487 R.D. (Ed.), Ozone in the troposphere and stratosphere, Part 1, NASA Conference
 488 Publication, vol. 3266. Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA, pp. 127–
 489 129.
- Güsten H., Heinrich G., Mönnich E., Sprung D., Weppner J., Bakr Ramadan A., Ezz El-Din
 M.R.M., Ahmed D.M., Hassan G.K.Y., 1996. On-line measurements of ozone surface
 fluxes: Part II. Surface-level ozone fluxes onto the Sahara desert. Atmospheric
 Environment 30, 911-918.
- Hazucha M.J., Lefohn A.S., 2007. Nonlinearity in human health response to ozone:
 Experimental laboratory considerations. Atmospheric Environment 41, 4559-4570.
- 496 IPCC, 2013. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working
 497 Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
 498 Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A.
 499 Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press,
 500 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp.
- Ito K., De Leon S.F., Lippmann M., 2005. Associations between ozone and daily mortality:
 Analysis and meta-analysis. Epidemiology 16, 446-457.
- 503 Karnosky D.F., Zak D.R., Pregitzer K.S., Awmack C.S., Bockheim J.G., Dickson R.E., 504 Hendrey G.R., Host G.E., King J.S., Kopper B.J., Kruger E.L., Kubiske M.E., 505 Lindroth R.L., Mattson W.J., McDonald E.P., Noormets A., Oksanen E., Parsons 506 W.F.J., Percy K.E., Podila G.K., Riemenschneider D.E., Sharma P., Thakur R., Sôber 507 A., Sôber J., Jones W.S., Anttonen S., Vapaavuori E., Manlovska B., Heilman W., 508 Isebrands J.G., 2003. Tropospheric O₃ moderates responses of temperate hardwood 509 forests to elevated CO₂: a synthesis of molecular to ecosystem results from the 510 ASPEN face project. Functional Ecology 17, 289-304.
- Lamaud E., Loubet B., Irvine M., Stella P., Personne E., Cellier P., 2009. Partitioning of
 ozone deposition over a developed maize crop between stomatal and non-stomatal
 uptakes, using eddy-covariance flux measurements and modelling. Agricultural and
 Forest Meteorology 149, 1385-1396.
- Launiainen S., Katul G.G., Grönholm T., Vesala T., 2013. Partitioning ozone fluxes between
 canopy and forest floor by measurements and a multi-layer model. Agricultural and
 Forest Meteorology 173, 85-99.
- Lee D.S., Holland M.R., Falla N., 1996. The potential impact of ozone on materials in the
 U.K. Atmospheric Environment 30, 1053-1065.
- Lombardozzi D., Levis S., Bonan G., Hess P.G., Sparks J.P., 2015. The influence of chronic
 ozone exposure on global carbon and water cycles. Journal of Climate 28, 292-305.
- Lombardozzi D., Sparks J.P., Bonan G., 2013. Integrating O₃ influences on terrestrial
 processes: photosynthetic and stomatal response data available for regional and global
 modeling. Biogeosciences 10, 6815-6831.
- Massman W.J., 2004. Toward on ozone standard to protect vegetation based on effective
 dose: a review of deposition resistances and a possible metric. Atmospheric
 Environment 38, 2323-2337.

- Meszaros R., Horvath L., Weidinger T., Neftel A., Nemitz E., Dämmgen U., Cellier P.,
 Loubet B., 2009. Measurement and modelling ozone fluxes over a cut and fertilized
 grassland. Biogeosciences 6, 1987-1999.
- Michou M., Laville P., Serça D., Fotiadi A., Bouchou P., Peuch V.H., 2005. Measured and
 modeled dry deposition velocities over the ESCOMPTE area. Atmospheric Research
 74, 89-116.
- Monks P.S., 2005. Gas-phase radical chemistry in the troposphere. Chemical Society Reviews
 34, 376-395.
- Muller J.B.A., Percival C.J., Gallagher M.W., Fowler D., Coyle M., Nemitz E., 2010. Sources
 of uncertainty in eddy covariance ozone flux measurements made by dry
 chemiluminescence fast response analysers. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques 3,
 163–176.
- Paoletti E., 2005. Ozone slows stomatal response to light and leaf wounding in a
 Mediterranean evergreen broadleaf, Arbutus unedo. Environmental Pollution 134,
 439-445.
- Pennel K.D., 2002. Specific surface area. p. 308-313. In J.H. Dane and G.C. Topp (ed.)
 Methods of soil analysis. Part 4. SSSA Book Ser. 5. SSSA, Madison, WI.
- Petersen L.W., Moldrup P., Jacobsen O.H., Rolston D.E., 1996. Relations between specific
 surface area and soil physical and chemical properties. Soil Science 161, 9-21.
- Potier E., Ogée J., Jouanguy J., Lamaud E., Stella P., Personne E., Durand B., Mascher N.,
 Loubet B., 2015. Multilayer modelling of ozone fluxes on winter wheat reveals large
 deposition on wet senescing leaves. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 211, 58-71.
- Scalvenzi M., 2015. Resistenza del suolo nudo alla deposizione di ozono. Thesis of the
 Master Degree in Physiscs, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Brescia, Italia,
 Matricola N. 4109094, 44pp.
- Schneider M., Goss K.U., 2012. Prediction of the water sorption isotherm in air dry soils.
 Geoderma, 170, 64-69.
- Sitch S., Cox P.M., Collins W.J., Huntingford C., 2007. Indirect radiative forcing of climate
 change through ozone effects on the land-carbon sink. Nature 448, 791-795.
- Stella P., Lamaud E., Brunet Y., Bonnefond J.M., Loustau, D., Irvine M., 2009. Simultaneous
 measurements of CO2 and water exchanges over three agroecosystems in South-West
 France. Biogeosciences 6, 2957–2971.
- 560 Stella P., Loubet B., Lamaud E., Laville P., Cellier P., 2011b. Ozone deposition onto bare
 561 soil: A new parameterisation. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 151, 669-681.
- Stella P., Personne E., Lamaud E., Loubet B., Trebs I., Cellier P., 2013. Assessment of the
 total, stomatal, cuticular, and soil 2 year ozone budgets of an agricultural field with
 winter wheat and maize crops. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 118,
 1–13, doi:10.1002/jgrg.20094.
- Stella P., Personne E., Loubet B., Lamaud E., Ceschia E., Béziat P., Bonnefond J.M., Irvine
 M., Keravec P., Mascher N., Cellier P., 2011a. Predicting and partitioning ozone
 fluxes to maize crops from sowing to harvest: the Surfatm-O₃ model. Biogeosciences
 8, 2869-2886.
- 570 Stevenson D.S., Dentener F.J. Schultz M.G. Ellingsen K., van Noije T.P.C., Wild O., Zeng 571 G., Amann M., Atherton C.S., Bell N., Bergmann D.J., Bey I., Butler T., Cofala J.,

- 572 Collins W.J., Derwent R.G., Doherty R.M., Drevet J., Eskes H.J., Fiore A.M., Gauss 573 M., Hauglustaine D.A., Horowitz L.W., Isaksen I.S.A., Krol M.C., Lamarque J.F., Lawrence M.G., Montanaro V., Müller J.F., Pitari G., Prather M.J., Pyle J.A., Rast S., 574 575 Rodriguez J.M., Sanderson M.G., Savage N.H., Shindell D.T., Strahan S.E., Sudo K., 576 Szopa S., 2006. Multimodel ensemble simulations of present-day and near future 577 tropospheric ozone. Journal of Geophysical Research 111, D08301. 578 doi:10.1029/2005JD006338.
- Sorimachi A., Sakamoto K., 2007. Laboratory measurement of dry deposition of ozone onto
 Northern Chinese soil samples. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution: Focus 7, 181-186.
- Tuovinen J.P., Ashmore M.R., Emberson L.D., Simpson D., 2004. Testing and improving the
 EMEP ozone deposition module. Atmospheric Environment 38, 2373-2385.
- Van Pul W.A.J., Jacobs A.F.G., 1994. The conductance of a maize crop and the underlying
 soil to ozone under various environmental conditions. Boundary-Layer Meteorology
 69, 83-99.
- Vickers D., Mahrt L., 1997. Quality Control and Flux Sampling Problems for Tower and
 Aircraft Data. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology 14, 512–526.
- Vuolo R.M., Loubet B., Mascher N., Gueudet J.C., Durand B., Laville P., Zurfluh O., Ciuraru
 R., Stella P., Trebs I., 2017. Nitrogen oxides and ozone fluxes from an oilseed-rape
 management cycle: the influence of cattle slurry application. Biogeosciences 14, 22252244.
- Wesely M.L., Hicks B.B., 2000. A review of the current status of knowledge on dry
 deposition. Atmospheric Environment 34, 2261–2282.
- Wilczak J.M., Oncley S.P., Sage S.A., 2001. Sonic anemometer tilt correction algorithms.
 Boundary-Layer Meteorology 99, 127-150.
- Wild O., 2007. Modelling the global tropospheric ozone budget: exploring the variability in
 current models. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 7, 2643-2660.
- Wittig V.E., Ainsworth E.A., Naidu S.L., Karnosky D.F., Long S.P., 2009. Quantifying the
 impact of current and future tropospheric ozone on tree biomass, growth, physiology
 and biochemistry: a quantitative meta-analysis. Global Change Biology 15, 396-424.
- Kang L., Brook J.R., Vet R., 2002. On ozone dry deposition with emphasis on non stomatal uptake and wet canopies. Atmospheric Environment 36, 4787-4799.
- Zhang L., Vet R., Brook J.R., Legge A.H., 2006. Factors affecting stomatal uptake of ozone
 by different canopies and a comparison between dose and exposure. Science of the
 Total Environment 370, 117-132.

606