

Maternal HLA-G*01:01:01:04 protects from anti-HLA-class II immunization in pregnant women

Mohamed Slimane, Christophe Picard, Jacques Chiaroni, Anderson Loundou, Julie Paganini, Pierre Tiberghien, Jean-Michel Rebibou, Julie Di Cristofaro

▶ To cite this version:

Mohamed Slimane, Christophe Picard, Jacques Chiaroni, Anderson Loundou, Julie Paganini, et al.. Maternal HLA-G*01:01:04 protects from anti-HLA-class II immunization in pregnant women. Human Immunology, 2018, 10.1016/j.humimm.2018.11.003 . hal-01931074

HAL Id: hal-01931074 https://hal.science/hal-01931074

Submitted on 22 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0198885918301988 Manuscript 6435a36ff666553e70786958789f8e52

1 Maternal *HLA-G*01:01:01:04* protects from anti-HLA-class II immunization in pregnant 2 women

3 4

5 Mohamed Slimane¹, Christophe Picard^{2,3}, Jacques Chiaroni^{2,3}, Anderson Loundou⁴, Julien 6 Paganini⁵, Pierre Tiberghien^{1,6}, Jean-Michel Rebibou^{1,7}, Julie Di Cristofaro^{2,3}

7

1. UMR 1098, Inserm, Université de Franche-Comté, Etablissement Français du Sang BFC,
9 IFR 133, Besancon, France

- 2. Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, EFS, ADES, "Biologie des Groupes Sanguins", Marseille,
 France
- 12 3. Etablissement Français du Sang PACA Corse, Marseille, France
- 13 4. Department of Public Health -EA 3279 Research Unit, University Hospital Marseille, Aix-
- 14 Marseille University, Marseille, France
- 15 5. Xegen, Gemenos, France
- 16 6. Laboratoire d'Immunogénétique, Établissement Français du Sang BFC, Besançon, France
- 17 7. Service de Néphrologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, Dijon, France
- 18 19
- 20 Corresponding author: julie.dicristofaro@efs.sante.fr
- 21
- 22 Abbreviated Title: *HLA-G* haplotypes and anti-HLA immunization
- 24 Keywords: HLA-G, haplotypes, anti-HLA imunization, regulatory regions, pregnancy
- 25

- 26 Abbreviations: HLA: Human Leukocyte Antigen; CTL: Cytotoxic T-Lymphocyte; NK:
- 27 Natural Killer cells; ILT: Immunoglobulin-Like Transcript; KIR: Killer-cell Immunoglobulin-
- 28 like Receptor; sHLA-G: soluble HLA-G; UTR: UnTranslated Region; URR: Upstream
- 29 Regulatory Region; gDNA: genomic DNA

1 ABSTRACT

Factors determining anti-HLA immunization are poorly understood, although anti-HLA
 immunization following pregnancy is well described.

4 The HLA-G molecule has been extensively described for its implication in immunological

5 tolerance, especially during pregnancy. Transplant studies show an association between HLA-

6 *G* haplotypes and alloimmunization.

7 Our aim was to investigate the association of HLA-G haplotypes with anti-HLA class I and II

8 immunization in a cohort of women having experienced one or more pregnancies and with no9 transfusion history.

10 Maternal blood samples (n=270) collected at delivery and formerly screened for anti-HLA

11 antibodies, HLA-A and HLA-B antigens, were screened by NGS for *HLA-G* gene 12 polymorphism.

13 Univariate analysis further confirmed that the number of pregnancies was significantly 14 associated with anti-HLA class I immunization, whereas no other variable remained

15 significant after Bonferroni correction. Our results showed however that anti-HLA class II

16 immunization was associated with the number of children whereas the *HLA-G*01:01:01:04*

- 17 allele was protective against this immunization.
- 18

1 INTRODUCTION

2 The *HLA-G* molecule has been extensively described for its implication in immunological 3 tolerance, especially during pregnancy. Although it displays a restricted number of alleles, many studies associated HLA-G alleles with differential level of tolerance in achallenging 4 5 immune situations such as pregnancies and transplantation outcome. Indeed HLA-G displays 6 few haplotypes (including 5'URR, coding and 3'UTR regions) associated with differential 7 protein expression patterns [1] and differential clinical outcome of immunosensitive events. 8 HLA-G alleles were associated with pregnancy complications [1] and recurrent miscarriages 9 [1] as well as with immunization and poor prognosis in lung transplant patients [1].

10 Alloimmunization, especially against HLA molecules, is also responsible for adverse effects and acute rejection in blood transfusion and organ transplantation; including febrile non-11 12 haemolytic transfusion reactions, immunological platelet refractoriness or transfusion-related 13 acute lung injury. The main cause of naturally occurring anti-HLA immunization is 14 pregnancy, with an extensively confirmed increased HLA antibody prevalence with a greater 15 number of pregnancies [1]. Anti-HLA antibodies are the most studied antibodies, but little is 16 known about non-HLA antibodies mainly directed against endothelial cells, and although this 17 field has been intensively studied, their prevalence and implication in physio-pathological 18 process remain unclear [1].

19 Biological and genetic factors determining anti-HLA immunization remain poorly understood 20 with the exception of HLA-A, B or DR antigens or eplets [1]. HLA immunogenicity during 21 pregnancy was found to be lower in women who experienced a prior miscarriage compared to 22 women who had a prior successful pregnancy [2]. Masson et al. analyzed genetic 23 polymorphisms implicated in humoral immunization, and none of the following parameters 24 influenced immunization rate: HLA-typing, TLR4 gene polymorphisms D299G, T399I; IL-6 25 promoter polymorphism -174 C/G and BAFF intron G/T and promoter polymorphism -871 26 C/T [1]. In women having had only one successful pregnancy, there was a significant 27 association between *IL-6* promoter -174G/C and immunization [1]. HLA-G expression was 28 found to be lower in women with antibodies detectable both by CDC and Luminex than in 29 women with antibodies detected only by Luminex [1]. Such serological testing is however 30 poorly reproducible [3], whereas most HLA laboratories nowadays have DNA analysis 31 facilities making HLA-G studies a feasible option. Thus, HLA-G molecule may represent a 32 good complementary genetic predictive factor for anti-HLA immunization.

33 To get further insight into the association of *HLA-G* haplotypes with immunization, we 34 recently investigated HLA-G phylogeny with regards to inflammatory response [1]. Our 35 results split HLA-G haplotypes phylogenetically into four main clades respectively containing 36 (1) *HLA-G*01:01:02:01*, *G*01:01:02:02*, *G*01:05N* and *G*01:06* (associated with haplotype 37 H10); (2) G*01:04:01 and G*01:04:04 (H23); (3) G*01:03:01:02 (H21, H20 and H19) and 38 (4) G*01:01:01 and G*01:01:05 (H01, H02, H03, H04, H05, H46, H47, H49, H54). 39 Whereas HLA-G alleles associated with poor prognosis in transplantation, pregnancy or 40 inflammatory disease were grouped in clades 1, 2 and 3, some of the HLA-G alleles in the 41 fourth clade were associated with a protective effect against inflammation [1].

42 The main goal of this study was to confirm the association of *HLA-G* phylogeny with 43 differential immunological tolerance induction. We thus investigated *HLA-G* haplotype 44 association with the presence of anti-HLA antibodies in women who had experienced one or 45 more pregnancies but who had no transfusion history.

1 MATERIAL AND METHODS

2 **Population and sample collection**

Two hundred and seventy women having had one or more pregnancies were included in the 3 4 study. None of these women had any history of transfusion. Maternal blood samples and 5 umbilical cord samples were collected at delivery [1]. Patient data (age, number of children, pregnancies and miscarriages) are given in Table 1. The study was designed in collaboration 6 7 with the Besançon Cord Blood Bank (Etablissement Français du Sang) and carried out in 8 accordance with the recommendations of Besançon Hospital's Ethics Committee with written 9 informed consent from all subjects. All subjects gave written informed consent in accordance 10 with the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the Besancon Hospital's 11 Ethics Committee.

12 HLA-G analysis and HLA typing

13 Maternal DNA was sequenced for HLA-G gene from position -1983 to +3447 by Next 14 Generation Sequencing (NGS). PCR fragments were sequenced using an NGS platform 15 (MiSeq, Illumina, The Netherlands) [1].

16 HLA-G NGS data were analyzed using PolyPheMe (Xegen, France). HLA-G allelic 17 assignment at 8 digits was based on the HLA sequences listed in the official IMGT/HLA 18 database 3.28.0 [4]. All polymorphic variations from position -1983 to -1 in 5'URR and from 19 +2540 to +3447 in 3'UTR, *i.e.* 37 SNPs, 1 base deletion, 1 base insertion and a 14bp insertion 20 were used for haplotype estimation using PHASE. HLA-G haplotype identification numbers 21 were coded according to [1]. Allelic and haplotype frequencies were estimated using an EM 22 algorithm implemented in the Gene [Rate] computer tools [5].

- 23 Both maternal and child DNA were typed for HLA-A and HLA-B at a low resolution level by
- 24 LuminexTM technology (One Lambda LABType® SSO, InGen, France), complete procedure 25

is described in [1]. HLA-C, DR or DQ were not investigated.

26 Latest child/paternal HLA-A and HLA-B mismatch were deduced from mother and latest 27 child HLA-A and HLA-B typing results. Maternal IL-6 -174 C/G genotype results obtained 28 by Snap Shot were included in this study, complete procedure is described in [1].

29 HLA antibody testing

30 Each serum was screened for anti-HLA antibodies using two techniques: the standard CDC

31 method (for anti-class I antibodies) and the Luminex screening kits (for anti-class I and class 32 II antibodies), complete procedure is described in [1].

33 **Statistical analysis**

34 Missing data led to the exclusion of the concerned sample from further analyses. No multiple 35 imputation was used. Analysis were performed using GRAPH PAD Prism 5 software (CA,

36 www.graphpad.com), SPSS 24.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago) and SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute

37 Inc. Cary, USA).

38 Biological and genetic data frequencies between anti-HLA class I immunized women, anti-

- 39 HLA class II immunized women and non-immunized women were compared with Chi-square
- 40 tests. The presence of anti-HLA class I antibodies and anti-HLA class II antibodies were
- 41 independently tested in univariate analyses according to biological data (women's age,

1 number of pregnancies, number of children delivered, number of miscarriages, sex of the 2 latest child) and according to genetic data (HLA-G alleles and HLA-G haplotypes, IL-6 -174 3 C/G genotype, HLA-A and HLA-B antigen, latest child/paternal HLA-A and HLA-B antigen 4 mismatch). HLA-A and HLA-B antigens and latest child/paternal HLA-A and HLA-B 5 mismatches were included for their association with allo-immunization because of the 6 Linkage Disequilibrium between HLA-G and HLA-A loci and HLA-A and HLA-B [1]. IL-6 -7 174 C/G genotype was included in the study since it was described as being significantly 8 associated with immunization in women having had only one successful pregnancy [1]. 9 Bonferroni correction for multiplicity testing procedure was applied and variables with p-

values below 0.001 in univariate analyses were further investigated in multivariate regression analysis. The hazard ratios were estimated by using Firth's penalized partial likelihood approach. Association results are expressed as odds ratio (OR) and confidence intervals of 95% (CI 95%).

14 RESULTS

15 Anti-HLA class I and class II immunization data according to biological characteristics are

16 shown in Table 1. Univariate analyses showed no difference between anti-HLA-I immunized

and anti-HLA-I non-immunized women, or between anti-HLA-II immunized and anti-HLA-II

18 non-immunized women according to age or gender of latest child. Anti-HLA-I and anti-HLA-19 II immunization were associated both with the number of pregnancies and the number of

19 II immunization were associated both with the number of pregnancies and the number of 20 children (both p<0.0001) but not with miscarriages; the association of miscarriages with anti-

21 HLA-II immunization did not reach significance after Bonferroni correction (p=0.007).

22 HLA-G allelic frequency and characteristics of estimated HLA-G haplotypes with a frequency

above 1% are shown in Table 2. Most *HLA-G* haplotypes with a frequency above 1% display

an exclusive association with an *HLA-G* allele defined at high resolution, i.e. 8 digits.

The characteristics of anti-HLA class I and class II antibody groups according to HLA-G alleles and haplotypes are shown in Table 3.

27 Univariate analyses showed no significant difference between anti-HLA-I immunized and 28 anti-HLA-I non-immunized women according to HLA-A and HLA-B antigens (data not 29 shown), *IL-6* G/C genotype (data not shown), *HLA-G* allele or haplotype, and latest 30 child/paternal HLA-A and HLA-B mismatch (data not shown) after Bonferroni correction.

None of these variables displayed any significant differences either between women with anti-HLA-II antibodies and anti-HLA-II non-immunized women (data not shown), except the HLA-G allele G*01:01:01:04 and haplotype H03. This allele was never observed in anti-HLA-II immunized women whereas it were present in 11.5% of anti-HLA-II non-immunized women.

Of note, variables that did not reach significance after Bonferroni correction and were thus not included in multivariate analyses were the following for anti-HLA class I antibody presence: H03-G*01:01:01:04, B*13 and B38, and for anti-HLA class II presence: H19-G*01:03:01:02, A*29, A*31, B*18, B*57, B*60, paternal mismatch B*51 and paternal mismatch B*62 (Table 2). It should be noted that HLA-G*01:03:01:02 was the only allele observed for HLA-G*01:03.

42 Multivariate analysis was performed by logistic regression hazard modeling using Firth's 43 penalized partial likelihood approach with variables showing significant p-values after Bonferroni correction. One variable of those displaying high correlation was chosen (either number of pregnancies or number of children for biological variables, and either *H03* or G*01:01:01:04 for genetic variables). Anti-HLA class II immunization displayed significant association with number of children (p<0.0001, hazard ratio: 1.725; CI 95%: 1.1339-2.223) whereas G*01:01:01:04 allele was significantly protective against anti-HLA class II immunization (p=0.0422, hazard ratio: 0.0422; CI 95%: 0.003-0.0899) (Table 4).

7 DISCUSSION

8 Anti-HLA immunization is a main issue in blood transfusion and organ transplantation. 9 Pregnancy is the main source of HLA sensitizing events: half to two thirds of pregnant 10 women seem to develop anti-HLA antibodies [1].

11 HLA-G is extensively reported to play a pivotal role in fetomaternal tolerance and 12 transplantation outcome [3]. We previously showed that HLA-G haplotypes, i.e. SNPs 13 associated in Linkage Disequilibrium, (1) remain preserved between populations with 14 different geographical origins, (2) can reliably be used to predict sHLA-G levels, (3) are 15 associated with alloimmunization and inflammation in transplantation and inflammatory 16 disease and (4) are grouped into four main clades, three of which contain alleles associated 17 with lower immunotolerance whereas the fourth seems to be associated with higher 18 immunotolerance [1].

19 In this study, we aimed to confirm whether HLA-G haplotypes could be a predictive genetic

risk or protective factor for anti-HLA immunization and may help clinicians to estimate the risk of rejection in each single case, while considering the consequences of performing the

risk of rejection in each single case, while considering the consequences of performing the graft or not.

We thus investigated *HLA-G* haplotype association with anti-HLA immunization in women having experienced pregnancy but with no transfusion or transplant history.

We performed *HLA-G* genetic analysis on 270 maternal blood samples collected at delivery and tested for anti-HLA antibodies. Statistical analysis included variables reported to be associated with anti-HLA immunization such as the number of pregnancies, number of children, number of miscarriages, HLA-A and HLA-B antigen typing and paternal HLA-A and HLA-B mismatch.

30 We found that H03-HLA-G*01:01:01:04 was the only genetic variable to remain significantly 31 associated with anti-HLA class II immunization after Bonferroni correction (p>0.001). Its 32 protective value against anti-HLA class II immunization remained significant in multivariate 33 analysis including the number of pregnancies (p=0.0422). However, it should be noted that 34 since only 22 women presented HLA-G*01:01:01:04, an accidental better HLA class II 35 matching of mothers and children in this group is not excluded. Furthermore, when anti-HLA 36 class I immunization is considered, for which latest child/paternal mismatch displayed no 37 significant results, this same HLA-G allele was found to be protective (p=0.031) but it was 38 not included in multivariate analysis because of Bonferroni correction.

Although this study was not designed to decipher the biological mechanism leading to our results, it seems to support our previous work on phylogenetic analysis of *HLA-G* alleles defined at high resolution. Phylogenetic structure of *HLA-G* sequences consisted of four main clades which may reflect HLA-G tolerogenic properties; indeed each clade displayed specific transcription factor sites and coding sequence variations [1]. The conservation of *HLA-G* sequences worldwide suggests that those which lowered immunotolerance might provide an 1 advantage in specific contexts [6]. Tolerogenicity would come from HLA-G binding with the

2 ILT-2 receptor on B cells and thus limiting their proliferation, differentiation and

3 immunoglobulin secretion [1].

4 In pregnancy, reduced expression of HLA-G seemed to be associated with complications, 5 such as miscarriage or preeclampsia. Interestingly, women with preeclampsia harbor higher

6 concentrations of cellular fetal microchimerism and anti-paternal allo-antibodies [1].

7 Masson et al. showed, in the same cohort as this study, that anti-HLA immunization increased 8 with the number of pregnancies and of children delivered but they could not find any 9 association with HLA-A and HLA-B antigens. Only the -174 G/C polymorphism in the IL-6 10 promoter (located on chromosome 7) was associated with a lower risk of anti-HLA antibody 11 development among primiparous pregnant women with no history of miscarriage [1]. Our 12 analysis further confirmed their main results. We did not find any association with HLA-A, 13 HLA-B or their paternal mismatch, on the contrary to Piacasdia et al. who showed that HLA-B*14 and HLA-B*51 were associated with a lower risk of anti-HLA antibody development 14 15 after pregnancy, while having the A*11 allele seemed to represent a higher risk in a cohort of 16 161 pregnancy-only sensitizing events [1].

17 This preliminary study seems to confirm the role of HLA-G in inflammation and immune 18 control; it needs however to be completed by a larger number of patients to get a better insight 19 into the nature of the association with each individual HLA locus. We previously reported a 20 strong linkage disequilibrium of both HLA-A and HLA-F with HLA-G [1]; such extended 21 HLA class I haplotypes may represent even more pertinent predictive values, notably HLA-F 22 which is shown to play an important part in immune regulation [7]. This descriptive study 23 would also benefit from investigating into biological mechanisms leading the association of 24 HLA-G phylogeny with differential immunological tolerance induction.

- 1 CONFLICT OF INTEREST
- 2 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 3 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
- 4 Conception or design of the work: MS, CP, JC, PT, JMR, JDC
- 5 Acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data: MS, CP, JC, AD, JP, PT, JMR, JDC
- 6 Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content: MS, CP, JC, AD,
- 7 JP, PT, JMR, JDC
- 8 Final approval of the version to be published MS, CP, JC, AD, JP, PT, JMR, JDC
- 9 Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to
- 10 the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved:
- 11 MS, CP, JC, AD, JP, PT, JMR, JDC
- 12 FUNDING
- 13 This study was supported by *Etablissement Français du Sang*.
- 14 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
- 15 The authors would like to thank the donors.
- 16

Table 1. Women's data under study. Age, sex of latest child, number of pregnancies, children and miscarriages are given for all women, anti-HLA class I immunized, anti-HLA class I immunized, anti-HLA class II immunized and anti-HLA class II non-immunized women; p-values under 0.001 are in bold.

	All	Anti-HLA class I immunized	Anti-HLA class I non-immunized	p-value	Anti-HLA class II immunized	Anti-HLA class II non-immunized	p-value
Number of patients (%)	270	100 (37.1)	170 (62.9)		70 (26.0)	200 (74.0)	
Age (yrs) (median and range)	29.3 [18-42]	29.7 [19-40]	29.0 [18-42]	0.176	29.9 [19-41]	29.0 [18-42]	0.209
Sex of latest child sex (Male %)	51.5	55	49.4	0.375	59.4	48.8	0.126
Pregnancies (median and range)	2.1 [1-6]	2.4 [1-6]	1.9 [1-6]	> 0.001	2.6 [1-6]	1.9 [1-6]	> 0.001
1 (%)	35.2	20.0	44.1	> 0.001	14.5	42.3	> 0.001
2 (%)	36.3	45.0	31.2	0.022	39.1	35.3	0.570
3 (%)	15.2	16.0	14.7	0.774	23.2	12.4	0.032
4 (%)	10.0	14.0	7.6	0.092	17.4	7.5	0.018
5 (%)	2.6	4.0	1.8	0.264	4.3	2.0	0.288
6 (%)	0.7	1.0	0.6	0.703	1.4	0.5	0.426
Children (median and range)	1.6 [1-5]	1.96 [1-4]	1.5 [1-5]	> 0.001	2.0 [1-4]	1.58 [1-5]	> 0.001
1 (%)	48.1	31.0	58.2	> 0.001	26.1	55.7	> 0.001
2 (%)	38.1	49.0	31.8	0.005	50.7	33.8	0.013
3 (%)	10.0	13.0	8.2	0.208	17.4	7.5	0.018
4 (%)	3.3	7.0	1.2	0.010	5.8	2.5	0.186
5 (%)	0.4	0.0	0.6	0.442	0.0	0.5	0.557
Miscarriages (median and range)	0.41 [0-3]	0.44 [0-2]	0.39 [0-3]	0.437	0.59 [0-2]	0.35 [0-5]	0.007
0 (%)	67.0	64.0	68.8	0.416	55.1	71.1	0.014

1 (%	%)	25.6	28.0	24.1	0.480	30.4	23.9	0.281
2 (%	%)	6.7	8.0	5.9	0.501	14.5	4.0	0.003
3 (%	%)	0.7	0.0	1.2	0.276	0.0	1.0	0.406

1 Table 2. Characteristics of *HLA-G* haplotypes estimated with a frequency above 1% (ID: identification number according to [1], N: Number, Fq:

2 Frequency).

Haplotype ID	-1898 T>C -1746 C>A -1746 C>A -1179 A>G -1119 A>G -1115 G>A -11121 C>T -964 A>G -725 C>G -725 C>G -725 C>G -725 C>G -725 C>G -716 G>T -716 G>T -716 G>T -726 C>G -646 A>G -646 A>G -646 A>G -646 A>G -646 A>G -646 A>G -646 A>G -646 A>G -648 A>G -648 A>G -648 A>G -648 A>G -648 A>G -633 A>G -648 A>G -648 A>G -633 A>G -648 A>G -648 A>G -648 A>G -633 A>G -633 A>G -638 A -638 A -638 A -726 C>T -201 A -201	Associated allele	GenBank accession number	N	Fq
H01	TCTGAGAACGCCTAGAG * ACAACGGCGCGGCDTGCCCGCG	G*01:01:01:01	MG825364	145	26.9
	САСАGGTАСАТСGGTАА * АССАGGGААСАТGITСССGАGG	G*01:01:02:01	MG825359	112	20.7
H10		G*01:06	MG825360	28	5.2
		G*01:05N	MG825361	12	2.2
		G*01:01:02:02	MG825362	3	0.6
H04	TCTGAGAATGCGTAGAG * ACAACGGCGCGGCDCGCCCACG	G*01:01:01:05	MG825356	46	8.5
H02	TCTGAGAACGCGTAGAG * ACAACGGCGCGGCDCGCCCACG	0.01.01.01.05	MG825358	38	7.0
H23	TCCAGAAACATCGGTAA * ACCAGGGAACGTGDTCCCGACG	G*01:04:01	MG825349	37	6.9
1125		G*01:04:04	MG825348	7	1.3
H16	CACAGGTACATCGGTAA * ACCAGGGAACGTGITCATGACG	G*01:01:03:03	MG825347	22	4.1
H03	T C T G A G A A C G C C T A G A G * * C A A C G G C G C G C D T G C C C A C A	G*01:01:01:04	MG825363	22	4.1
H21	T C C G G G A G C G C T T A G G G * A G A A G A A G T G T G I T C C T G A C G		MG825350	8	1.5
H20	TCCGGGAGCGCTTAGAGGAGAAGAAGTGTGITCCTGACG	G*01:03:01:02	MG825354	8	1.5
H19	TCCGGGAGCGCTTAGAGGACAAGAAGTGTGITCCTGACG		MG825357	7	1.3

1 Table 3. Characteristics of immunized and non-immunized anti-HLA class I and anti-HLA class II groups for *HLA-G* allele and haplotype 2 frequencies; p-values under 0.001 are in bold.

	All	Anti-HLA class I non-immunized	Anti-HLA class I immunized	p-value	Anti-HLA class II non-immunized	Anti-HLA class II immunized	p-value
			HLA-G alle	ele carrier		1	
G*01:01:01:01	49.3	51.8	45.0	0.172	52.0	41.4	0.105
G*01:01:01:02	0.4	0.0	1.0	0.370	0.0	1.4	0.256
G*01:01:01:03	0.7	1.2	0.0	0.396	1.0	0.0	0.553
G*01:01:01:04	8.5	11.2	4.0	0.031	11.5	0.0	0.001
G*01:01:01:05	28.5	26.5	32.0	0.202	28.0	30.0	0.396
G*01:01:01:06	0.4	0.0	1.0	0.370	0.0	1.4	0.256
G*01:01:02:01	38.1	38.2	38.0	0.537	38.0	38.6	0.477
G*01:01:02:02	1.1	1.8	0.0	0.248	1.5	0.0	0.411
G*01:01:03:03	8.5	8.8	8.0	0.503	9.5	5.7	0.252
G*01:01:05	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
G*01:01:12	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
G*01:01:15	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
G*01:03:01:02	8.1	8.2	8.0	0.571	6.5	12.9	0.075
G*01:04:01	16.3	15.3	18.0	0.338	16.0	17.1	0.453
G*01:04:04	3.0	2.4	4.0	0.337	2.0	5.7	0.118
G*01:05N	4.4	5.9	2.0	0.114	5.5	1.4	0.142
G*01:06	10.7	8.8	14.0	0.131	11.0	10.0	0.528
G*01:10	0.7	1.2	0.0	0.396	1.0	0.0	0.553
			HLA-G haplo	type carrie	r		
H01	46.7	49.4	42.0	0.146	48.0	42.9	0.318
H02	14.1	12.4	17.0	0.189	13.0	17.1	0.233

H03	8.1	10.6	4.0	0.042	11.0	0.0	0.001
H04	16.7	15.9	18.0	0.386	16.5	17.1	0.492
H05	1.9	1.8	2.0	0.612	2.0	1.4	0.621
H06	0.4	0.0	1.0	0.370	0.0	1.4	0.256
H08	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
H09	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
H10	50.0	48.8	52.0	0.353	50.5	48.6	0.500
H11	0.4	0.0	1.0	0.370	0.0	1.4	0.256
H13	1.1	1.8	0.0	0.248	1.5	0.0	0.411
H16	8.1	8.2	8.0	0.571	9.0	5.7	0.293
H19	2.2	1.2	4.0	0.138	0.5	7.1	0.005
H20	3.3	4.7	1.0	0.094	3.5	2.9	0.585
H21	3.0	2.9	3.0	0.622	2.5	4.3	0.334
H23	16.3	15.3	18.0	0.338	15.5	18.6	0.312
H26	0.4	0.0	1.0	0.370	0.5	0.0	0.744
H28	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
H31	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
H32	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
H36	0.7	0.6	1.0	0.604	0.5	1.4	0.447
H38	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
H44	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
H46	1.1	0.6	2.0	0.309	1.5	0.0	0.411
H48	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
H49	0.4	0.0	1.0	0.370	0.0	1.4	0.256
H52	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
H53	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
H54	0.7	0.0	2.0	0.136	1.0	0.0	0.553

H56	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
H58	0.4	0.0	1.0	0.370	0.0	1.4	0.256
H61	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
H63	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
H71	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
H72	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.630	0.5	0.0	0.744
H73	0.4	0.0	1.0	0.370	0.0	1.4	0.256

1 Table 4. Associated factors for anti-HLA class II immunization according to logistic

2 regression on number of pregnancies and HLA-G*01:01:01:04 (HR, hazard ratio; CI,

3 confidence interval).

4

	Estimated HR (95% CI)	p-value
Pregnancies	1.725 (1.339-2.223)	0.0001
HLA-G*01:01:01:04	0.049 (0.003-0.899)	0.0422

1		
2		References
3		
4	1.	!!! INVALID CITATION !!!
5	2.	Geneugelijk, K., et al., A Previous Miscarriage and a Previous Successful Pregnancy
6		Have a Different Impact on HLA Antibody Formation during a Subsequent Successful
7		Pregnancy. Front Immunol, 2016. 7: p. 571.
8	3.	Rebmann, V., et al., HLA-G as a Tolerogenic Molecule in Transplantation and
9		<i>Pregnancy</i> . J Immunol Res, 2014. 2014 : p. 297073.
10	4.	Robinson, J., et al., The IPD and IMGT/HLA database: allele variant databases, in
11		Nucleic Acids Res. 2015, The Author(s) 2014. Published by Oxford University Press
12		on behalf of Nucleic Acids Research.: England. p. D423-31.
13	5.	Nunes, J.M., et al., Analysis of the HLA population data (AHPD) submitted to the 15th
14		International Histocompatibility/Immunogenetics Workshop by using the Gene[rate]
15		computer tools accommodating ambiguous data (AHPD project report). Tissue
16		Antigens, 2010. 76 (1): p. 18-30.
17	6.	Castelli, E.C., et al., A comprehensive study of polymorphic sites along the HLA-G
18		gene: implication for gene regulation and evolution. Mol Biol Evol, 2011. 28(11): p.
19		3069-86.
20	7.	Persson, G., et al., HLA class Ib in pregnancy and pregnancy-related disorders.
21		Immunogenetics, 2017.
22		