

# Some New Simple Inequalities Involving Exponential, Trigonometric and Hyperbolic Functions

Yogesh J. Bagul, Christophe Chesneau

#### ► To cite this version:

Yogesh J. Bagul, Christophe Chesneau. Some New Simple Inequalities Involving Exponential, Trigonometric and Hyperbolic Functions. 2018. hal-01930521v2

## HAL Id: hal-01930521 https://hal.science/hal-01930521v2

Preprint submitted on 22 Dec 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### Some New Simple Inequalities Involving Exponential, Trigonometric and Hyperbolic Functions

Yogesh J. Bagul<sup>1</sup>, Christophe Chesneau<sup>2</sup>, <sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, K. K. M. College Manwath, Dist : Parbhani(M.S.) - 431505, India. Email : yjbagul@gmail.com <sup>2</sup>LMNO, University of Caen Normandie, France Email : christophe.chesneau@unicaen.fr

Abstract. The prime goal of this paper is to establish sharp lower and upper bounds for useful functions such as the exponential functions, with a focus on  $exp(-x^2)$ , the trigonometric functions (cosine and sine) and the hyperbolic functions (cosine and sine). The bounds obtained for hyperbolic cosine are very sharp. New proofs, refinements as well as new results are offered. Some graphical and numerical results illustrate the findings.

**Keywords:** Exponential function; trigonometric function; hyperbolic function.

Mathematics Subject Classification(2010): 26D07, 33B10, 33B20.

#### 1 Introduction

Sharp bounds for useful functions play a central role in many areas of mathematics and theoretical physics. They aim to provide some properties of functions of interest, possibly complex, by dealing with more tractable functions (in the context). The literature on the bounds dealing with the special functions such as  $e^{-x^2}$ , cos(x), sin(x), sinc(x), cosh(x), sinh(x) and tanh(x), is very vast. Recent developments can be found in [1–14] - [16–19] and the references therein. In this paper, we offer new simple tight (lower and upper) bounds involving these functions, with a high potential of interest for many researchers in mathematics or theoretical physics. Some proofs of our results are based on the so-called l'Hospital's rule of monotonicity, the others used recent results with a new approach. The sharpness of our bounds are highlighted by some graphics and numerical studies using a global  $L_2$  error as benchmark.

The result below shows bounds for  $e^{-x^2}$  defined with the cosine function and well-chosen constants.

**Proposition 1.** For  $x \in (0, \pi/2)$ , the best possible constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in the following inequalities

$$\frac{\cos(x) - 1 + \alpha}{\alpha} \leqslant e^{-x^2} \leqslant \frac{\cos(x) - 1 + \beta}{\beta} \tag{1.1}$$

are 1/2 and  $\approx 1.092663$  respectively.

The interest of Proposition 1 is the simplicity of the bounds, with very tractable expressions. It can be useful to evaluate complex functions depending on  $e^{-x^2}$  (Gaussian probability density function, error function etc.). The bounds of Proposition 1 are illustrated in Figure 1. We see that the lower bound is sharp for small values for x.



Figure 1: Graphs of the functions of the bounds (1.1) for  $x \in (0, \pi/2)$ .

Note: Using exponential and cosine series, Proposition 1 can be expressed in terms of alternating series as follows. For  $x \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k x^{2k}}{(2k)!} \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k x^{2k}}{k!} \leqslant \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k x^{2k}}{(2k)!},$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are as defined above.

Now let us recall that the *sinc* function is defined by

$$sinc(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{sin(x)}{x} & x \neq 0, \\ 1 & x = 0. \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

It is of importance due to it's frequent occurrence in Fourier analysis. So the interest of finding the bounds of this type of functions is increasing. In the next proposition, we give new bounds to *sinc* function using hyperbolic tangent.

**Proposition 2.** For  $x \in (0, \pi/2)$ , we have

$$\left(\frac{tanh(x)}{x}\right)^{\delta} < \frac{sin(x)}{x} < \left(\frac{tanh(x)}{x}\right)^{\eta} \tag{1.3}$$

with the best possible constants  $\delta = 0.839273$  and  $\eta = 1/2$ .

In the following propositions, the inequalities presented are somewhat Cusa-Huygen's type [17, 18]. Proposition 3 below provides bounds for the *sinc* function using  $e^{-x^2}$  or hyperbolic cosine.

**Proposition 3.** For  $x \in (0, \pi/2)$ , the inequalities

$$\left(\frac{2+e^{-x^2}}{3}\right)^a < \frac{\sin(x)}{x} < \left(\frac{2+e^{-x^2}}{3}\right)^b \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{3}{2+\cosh(x)}\right)^c < \frac{\sin(x)}{x} < \left(\frac{3}{2+\cosh(x)}\right)^d \tag{1.5}$$

are true with the best possible constants  $a \approx 1.240827$ , b = 1/2,  $c \approx 1.108171$ and d = 1.

In view of Propositions 2 and 3, it is natural to address the following question: Which bounds for *sinc* are the best? We provide the answer by doing a numerical study. We investigate the global  $L_2$  error defined by

$$e(u) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \left(\frac{\sin x}{x} - u(x)\right)^2 dx,$$

where u(x) denotes bound (lower or upper) in (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5). The results are summarized in Table 1.

	Inequality (1.3)	
u(x)	lower	upper
e(u)	$\approx 0.001421437$	$\approx 0.003648618$
	Inequality (1.4)	
u(x)	lower	upper
e(u)	pprox 0.006242974	$\approx 0.008628254$
	Inequality (1.5)	
u(x)	lower	upper
e(u)	$\approx 6.53313 \times 10^{-5}$	$\approx 0.0001542441$

Table 1: Global  $L_2$  errors e(u) for sinc(x) and the functions u(x) in the bounds of (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5) for  $x \in (0, \pi/2)$ .

It follows from Table 1 that the bounds (1.5) are more sharp. This sharpness is illustrated in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Graphs of the functions of the bounds (1.5) for  $x \in (0, \pi/2)$ .

The next result provides bounds for  $x/\sinh(x)$  using cosine function.

**Proposition 4.** If  $x \in (0, \pi/2)$  then we have

$$\left(\frac{2+\cos(x)}{3}\right)^m < \frac{x}{\sinh(x)} < \left(\frac{2+\cos(x)}{3}\right)^n \tag{1.6}$$

with the constants  $m \approx 1.014227$  and  $n \approx 0.928648$ .

The obtained bounds are illustrated in Figure 3.



Figure 3: Graphs of the functions of the bounds (1.6) for  $x \in (0, \pi/2)$ .

**Note:** The inequality

$$\frac{2 + \cos(x)}{3} < \frac{x}{\sinh(x)}$$

is more sharp version of left inequality of (1.6). It is appeared in [19, Theorem 6].

Proposition 5 below presents sharp bounds for  $\sinh(x)/x$  using hyperbolic cosine.

**Proposition 5.** For  $x \in (0, \pi/2)$  one has

$$\left(\frac{2+\cosh(x)}{3}\right)^p < \frac{\sinh(x)}{x} < \left(\frac{2+\cosh(x)}{3}\right)^q \tag{1.7}$$

with the constants  $p \approx 0.928648$  and  $q \approx 1.009155$ .

The bounds are illustrated in Figure 4.



Figure 4: Graphs of the functions of the bounds (1.7) for  $x \in (0, \pi/2)$ .

Note: The hyperbolic Cusa-Huygen's inequality [12]

$$\frac{\sinh(x)}{x} < \frac{2 + \cosh(x)}{3}$$

is however more sharp than right inequality of (1.7).

The rest of the study is devoted to new bounds for cosh(x), with discussion. A well-known upper bound for cosh(x) is given by  $e^{x^2/2}$ . This result was recently completed by Yogesh Bagul [13, Theorem 2.1] who finds a sharp lower bound, i.e.

$$e^{ax^2} < \cosh(x) < e^{x^2/2}, \quad x \in (0,1),$$
 (1.8)

with the best possible constants  $a \approx 0.433781$  and 1/2. We now aim to refine the inequalities of (1.8) in Proposition 6 below.

**Proposition 6.** For  $x \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$exp\left(\frac{3}{2}\left(1-e^{-x^2/3}\right)\right) \leqslant \cosh(x) \leqslant exp\left(\frac{1}{2\theta}\left(1-e^{-\theta x^2}\right)\right) \tag{1.9}$$

with  $\theta \approx 0.272342$ .

Note: Using the well-known inequality  $e^y \ge 1 + y$  for  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , we obtain  $exp\left(\left(1 - e^{-\theta x^2}\right)/(2\theta)\right) \le e^{x^2/2}$ . This proves that the upper bound in (1.9) is sharper to the one in (1.8).

Alternative bounds are given in Proposition 7 below, with discussion.

**Proposition 7.** For  $x \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\left(1+\frac{x^2}{3}\right)^{3/2} \leqslant \cosh(x) \leqslant \left(1+\frac{x^2}{\xi}\right)^{\xi/2} \tag{1.10}$$

with  $\xi \approx 3.194528$ .

**Note:** Again, using the well-known inequality  $e^y \ge 1 + y$  for  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , we get  $(1 + x^2/\xi)^{\xi/2} \le e^{x^2/2}$ . This shows that the upper bound in (1.10) is sharper to the one in (1.8).

We now claim that the bounds obtained in (1.10) are better than those in (1.8) and (1.9). Numerical results support this claim. Indeed, by considering the global  $L_2$  error defined by

$$e_*(u) = \int_0^1 (\cosh(x) - u(x))^2 dx,$$

where u(x) denotes bound (lower or upper) in (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10), Table 2 indicates that (1.10) are the best.

Table 2: Global  $L_2$  errors  $e_*(u)$  for cosh(x) and the functions u(x) in the bounds of (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10) for  $x \in (0, 1)$ .

	Inequality (1.8)	
u(x)	lower	upper
$e_*(u)$	$\approx 0.0001352084$	$\approx 0.001139289$
	Inequality (1.9)	
u(x)	lower	upper
$e_*(u)$	$\approx 1.335929 \times 10^{-5}$	$\approx 7.004029 \times 10^{-6}$
	Inequality (1.10)	
u(x)	lower	upper
$e_*(u)$	$\approx 9.456552 \times 10^{-7}$	$\approx 6.895902 \times 10^{-7}$

The sharpness of the obtained bounds is illustrated in Figures 5 and 6 (for a zoom on the interval (0.95, 1) where the hierarchy of the bounds is more clear).



Figure 5: Graphs of the functions of the bounds (1.10) for  $x \in (0, 1)$ .



Figure 6: Graphs of the functions of the bounds (1.10) for  $x \in (0.95, 1)$ .

Note: To prove the inequalities (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7), we will simply use the results of [3,4]. We stress on the fact that it is not difficult to verify that all the results in [4] are also true in  $(0, \pi/2)$  with the respective best possible constants obtained accordingly (see [20]). Propositions 6 and 7 will be proved by the techniques of integration on some known results [8,10]. For proving Proposition 1, Proposition 2 and Proposition 3, we need the Lemmas presented in the next section.

#### 2 Lemmas

The following Lemma is known as l'Hospital's rule of monotonicity [15].

**Lemma 1.** ([15]) Let f, g be two real valued functions which are continuous on [a, b] and differentiable on (a, b), where  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$  and  $g'(x) \neq 0$ , for  $\forall x \in (a, b)$ . Let,

$$A(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{g(x) - g(a)}$$

and

$$B(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(b)}{g(x) - g(b)}$$

Then

I) A(x) and B(x) are increasing on (a, b) if f'/g' is increasing on (a, b) and II) A(x) and B(x) are decreasing on (a, b) if f'/g' is decreasing on (a, b). The strictness of the monotonicity of A(x) and B(x) depends on the strictness of monotonicity of f'/g'.

**Lemma 2.**  $H(x) = \frac{\sin(x) - x \cos(x)}{x^2 \sin(x)}$  is strictly positive increasing in  $(0, \pi/2)$ .

**Proof:** H(x) is positive as  $cos(x) < \frac{sin(x)}{x}$  on  $(0, \pi/2)$ . Consider,

$$H(x) = \frac{\sin(x) - x\cos(x)}{x^2\sin(x)} = \frac{H_1(x)}{H_2(x)}$$

where  $H_1(x) = sin(x) - x cos(x)$  and  $H_2(x) = x^2 sin(x)$  are such that  $H_1(0) = 0$  and  $H_2(0) = 0$ . By differentiating

$$\frac{H_1'(x)}{H_2'(x)} = \frac{\sin(x)}{x\cos(x) + 2\sin(x)} = \frac{H_3(x)}{H_4(x)}$$

where  $H_3(x) = sin(x)$  and  $H_4(x) = x cos(x) + 2 sin(x)$  with  $H_3(0) = 0$  and  $H_4(0) = 0$ . Again differentiating we get

$$\frac{H'_3(x)}{H'_4(x)} = \frac{\cos(x)}{-x\sin(x) + 3\cos(x)} = \frac{1}{-x\tan(x) + 3}.$$

Now it is well known that  $-x \tan(x)$  is decreasing in  $(0, \pi/2)$  and so is  $-x \tan(x) + 3$ . By Lemma 1, H(x) is strictly increasing function in  $(0, \pi/2)$ .

### 3 Proofs of the Main Results

This section is devoted to the proofs of our main results.

**Proof of Proposition 1:** Clearly equalities hold at x = 0. Consider

$$f(x) = \frac{\cos(x) - 1}{e^{-x^2} - 1} = \frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)},$$

where  $f_1(x) = cos(x) - 1$  and  $f_2(x) = e^{-x^2} - 1$  with  $f_1(0) = 0$  and  $f_2(0) = 0$ . By differentiation, we obtain

$$\frac{f_1'(x)}{f_2'(x)} = \frac{\sin(x)\,e^{x^2}}{2\,x} = \frac{f_3(x)}{f_4(x)},$$

where  $f_3(x) = sin(x) e^{x^2}$  and  $f_4(x) = 2x$  with  $f_3(0) = 0$  and  $f_4(0) = 0$ . Again differentiating we get

$$\frac{f'_3(x)}{f'_4(x)} = \frac{e^{x^2}}{2} [\cos(x) + 2x\sin(x)]$$
$$= \frac{e^{x^2}}{2} F(x),$$

where F(x) = cos(x) + 2x sin(x). Differentiation gives

$$F'(x) = 2x\cos(x) + \sin(x) > 0$$

in  $(0, \pi/2)$ , which implies that F(x) is increasing. Thus  $\frac{f'_3(x)}{f'_4(x)}$  being a product of two positive increasing functions is a positive increasing. By Lemma 1, f(x) is also increasing in  $(0, \pi/2)$ . So  $\alpha = f(0+) = 1/2$  and  $\beta = f(\pi/2-) = -1/[e^{-(\pi/2)^2} - 1] \approx 1.092663$ .  $\Box$ 

Proof of Proposition 2: Let us set

$$h(x) = \frac{\log(\sin(x)/x)}{\log(\tanh(x)/x)} = \frac{h_1(x)}{h_2(x)}$$

where  $h_1(x) = log(sin(x)/x)$  and  $h_2(x) = log(tanh(x)/x)$  with  $h_1(0+) = 0$ and  $h_2(0+) = 0$ . Differentiating we get

$$\frac{h_1'(x)}{h_2'(x)} = \frac{\sin(x) - x\cos(x)}{x^2\sin(x)} \frac{x^2 \tanh(x)}{\tanh(x) - x \operatorname{sech}^2(x)} = H(x) J(x),$$

where  $H(x) = \frac{\sin(x) - x\cos(x)}{x^2\sin(x)}$  and  $J(x) = \frac{x^2 \tanh(x)}{\tanh(x) - x \operatorname{sech}^2(x)}$ . Now set  $J(x) = \frac{J_1(x)}{J_2(x)}$ 

where  $J_1(x) = x^2 tanh(x)$  and  $J_2(x) = tanh(x) - x sech^2(x)$  with  $J_1(0) = 0$ and  $J_2(0) = 0$ . Differentiation gives

$$\frac{J'_1(x)}{J'_2(x)} = \frac{x \operatorname{sech}^2(x) + 2 \operatorname{tanh}(x)}{2 \operatorname{sech}^2(x) \operatorname{tanh}(x)} \\ = \frac{1}{2} \frac{x}{\operatorname{tanh}(x)} + \cosh^2(x),$$

which is clearly increasing as both x/tanh(x) and  $cosh^2(x)$  are increasing. By Lemma 1, J(x) is also increasing in  $(0, \pi/2)$ . Moreover, J(x) is positive as x/sinh(x) < cosh(x). By Lemma 2, H(x) is strictly positive increasing in  $(0, \pi/2)$ .  $h'_1(x)/h'_2(x)$ , being product of two positive increasing functions is positive increasing. Again by Lemma 1, h(x) is strictly increasing in  $(0, \pi/2)$ . So  $\delta = log(2/\pi)/log(2tanh(\pi/2)/\pi) \approx 0.839273$  and  $\eta = f(0+) = 1/2$ , by l'Hospital's rule. This completes the assertion.  $\Box$ 

#### **Proof of Proposition 3:**

• Proof of (1.4). Let

$$f(x) = \frac{\log(\sin(x)/x)}{\log(2 + e^{-x^2}) - \log 3} = \frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)},$$

where  $f_1(x) = \log (\sin(x)/x)$  and  $f_2(x) = \log (2 + e^{-x^2}) - \log 3$  such that  $f_1(0+) = 0$  and  $f_2(0) = 0$ . Differentiation gives

$$\frac{f_1'(x)}{f_2'(x)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\sin(x) - x\cos(x))}{x^2\sin(x)} (2e^{x^2} + 1)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} H(x) G(x),$$

where  $H(x) = \frac{\sin(x) - x \cos(x)}{x^2 \sin(x)}$  is strictly positive increasing in  $(0, \pi/2)$  by Lemma 2 and  $G(x) = 2e^{x^2} + 1$  is also clearly positive increasing. Therefore H(x) G(x) is strictly increasing. By making use of Lemma 1, we conclude that f(x) is strictly increasing in  $(0, \pi/2)$ . So

$$f(0+) < f(x) < f(\pi/2); x \in (0, \pi/2).$$

Hence,  $a = f(\pi/2) = \log(2/\pi)/[\log(2 + e^{-(\pi/2)^2}) - \log 3] \approx 1.240827$ and b = f(0+) = 1/2 by l'Hospital's rule. • Proof of (1.5). Utilizing [4, Theorem 2], [20, Proposition 3] we have

$$e^{-kx^2} < \frac{\sin(x)}{x} < e^{-x^2/6},$$

where  $k = \frac{-log(2/\pi)}{(\pi/2)^2}$ . After rearrangement, it can be written as

$$\left(\frac{\sin(x)}{x}\right)^6 < e^{-x^2} < \left(\frac{\sin(x)}{x}\right)^{1/k}.$$
(3.1)

By virtue of [3, Theorem 2] we write

$$\left(\frac{3}{2+\cosh(x)}\right)^{\gamma} < e^{-x^2} < \left(\frac{3}{2+\cosh(x)}\right)^6,$$
 (3.2)

where  $\gamma = \frac{(\pi/2)^2}{\log[(2 + \cosh(\pi/2))/3]}$ . Combining (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$\left(\frac{3}{2+\cosh(x)}\right)^c < \frac{\sin(x)}{x} < \left(\frac{3}{2+\cosh(x)}\right),$$

where  $c = k\gamma = \frac{-\log(2/\pi)}{\log[(2 + \cosh(\pi/2))/3]} \approx 1.108171.$ 

Proof of Proposition 4: According to [4, Theorem 3] and [20] we have

$$e^{-x^2/6} < \frac{x}{\sinh(x)} < e^{-tx^2}, x \in (0, \pi/2)$$

where  $t = \frac{-log[\pi/(2sinh(\pi/2))]}{(\pi/2)^2}$ . It is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{x}{\sinh(x)}\right)^{1/t} < e^{-x^2} < \left(\frac{x}{\sinh(x)}\right)^6.$$
(3.3)

Similarly, using [3, Theorem 1] we have

$$\left(\frac{2+\cos(x)}{3}\right)^{\lambda} < e^{-x^2} < \left(\frac{2+\cos(x)}{3}\right)^6,$$
 (3.4)

where  $\lambda = \frac{-(\pi/2)^2}{\log(2/3)}$ . Combining (3.3) and (3.4) we get

$$\left(\frac{2+\cos(x)}{3}\right)^m < \frac{x}{\sinh(x)} < \left(\frac{2+\cos(x)}{3}\right)^n,$$

where  $m = \frac{\lambda}{6} = \frac{-(\pi/2)^2}{6\log(2/3)} \approx 1.014227$  and  $n = 6t = \frac{-6\log[\pi/(2sinh(\pi/2))]}{(\pi/2)^2} \approx 0.928648$ .

**Proof of Proposition 5:** The proof follows easily by combining inequalities (3.2) and (3.3) to get

$$p = \frac{-6log[\pi/2sinh(\pi/2)]}{(\pi/2)^2} \approx 0.928648 \text{ and } q = \frac{(\pi/2)^2}{6log[(2+cosh(\pi/2))/3]} \approx 1.009155.$$

**Proof of Proposition 6:** For x = 0 equalities hold obviously. Rearranging [8, Theorem 5], for any  $t \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$t e^{-t^2/3} < tanh(t) < t e^{-\theta t^2}$$

with  $\theta \approx 0.272342$ . Therefore by integration, for  $x \in (0, 1)$ , we get

$$\int_0^x t \, e^{-t^2/3} \, dt < \int_0^x \tanh(t) \, dt < \int_0^x t \, e^{-\theta t^2} \, dt,$$

which yields

$$\frac{3}{2}\left(1 - e^{-x^2/3}\right) < \log(\cosh(x)) < \frac{1}{2\theta}\left(1 - e^{-\theta x^2}\right).$$

By composing with the exponential function, we get the required result.  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Proposition 7:** Clearly, equalities hold at x = 0. Rearranging [10, Theorem 4], for any  $t \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\frac{3t}{3+t^2} < tanh(t) < \frac{\xi t}{\xi+t^2}$$

with  $\xi \approx 3.194528$ . On integration, for  $x \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\int_0^x \frac{3t}{3+t^2} \, dt < \int_0^x \tanh(t) \, dt < \int_0^x \frac{\xi t}{\xi+t^2} \, dt$$

which implies that

$$\frac{3}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{x^2}{3}\right) < \log(\cosh(x)) < \frac{\xi}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{x^2}{\xi}\right).$$

The desired result follows by composing with the exponential function.  $\Box$ 

### References

- C. Chesneau, Some tight polynomial-exponential lower bounds for an exponential function, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics (JJMS), Volume 11, Number 3, pp. 273-294, 2018.
- [2] C. Chesneau, On two simple and sharp lower bounds for  $exp(x^2)$ , preprint. hal-01593840. [Online]. Available: http://hal.archivesouvertes.fr/hal-01593840
- [3] Y. J. Bagul and C. Chesneau, Some sharp circular and hyperbolic bounds of  $exp(x^2)$  with Applications, preprint. hal-01915086. [Online]. Available: https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01915086
- [4] Y. J. Bagul, Inequalities involving circular, hyperbolic and exponential functions, J. Math. Inequal, Volume 11, Number 3, pp. 695-699, 2017, doi: 10.7153/jmi-2017-11-55. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.7153/jmi-2017-11-55
- [5] H. Alzer and M. K. Kwong, On Jordan's inequality, Period Math Hung, Volume 77, Number 2, pp. 191-200, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10998-017-0230z. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10998-017-0230z
- Z.-H. Yang and Y.-M. Chu, Jordan type inequalities for hyperbolic functions and their applications, Journal of Function Spaces, Volume 2015, Article ID 370979, 4 pages, 2015, doi: 10.1155/2015/370979. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/370979
- [7] F. Qi, D.-W. Niu and B.-N. Guo, Refinements, generalizations and applications of Jordan's inequality and related problems, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Volume 2009, Article ID 271923, 52 pages, 2009, doi: 10.1155/2009/271923. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/271923
- [8] Y. J. Bagul, New inequalities involving circular, inverse circular, hyperbolic, inverse hyperbolic and exponential functions, Advances in Inequalities and Applications, Volume 2018, Article ID 5, 8 pages, 2018, doi: 10.28919/aia/3556. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.28919/aia/3556
- [9] B. Malesevic, Τ. Lutovac В. Banjac, Onemethod and for proving some classes of exponential analytic inequalarXiv:1811.00748v1. [Online]. ities, preprint. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.00748

- Y. J. Bagul, On Simple Jordan type inequalities, 2018. hal-01756320v2, preprint. [Online]. Available: https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01756320
- [11] L. Zhu, A source of inequalities for circular functions, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, Volume 58, Number 10, pp. 1998-2004, 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.camwa.2009.07.076. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2009.07.076
- [12] E. Neuman and J. Sándor, On some inequalities involving trigonometric and hyperbolic functions with emphasis on the Cusa-Huygens, Wilker and Huygens inequalities, Math. Inequal. Appl., volume 13 Number 4, pp. 715723, 2010, doi: 10.7153/mia-13-50. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.7153/mia-13-50
- [13] Y. J. Bagul, On exponential bounds of hyperbolic cosine, Bulletin Of The International Mathematical Virtual Institute, Volume 8, Number 2, pp. 365-367, 2018.
- B. A. Bhayo, R. Klén and J. Sándor, New trigonometric and hyperbolic inequalities, Miskolc Mathematical Notes, Volume 18, Number 1, pp. 125-137, 2017, doi: 10.18514/MMN.2017.1560. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.18514/MMN.2017.1560
- [15] G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen, Conformal Invarients, Inequalities and Quasiconformal maps, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1997.
- [16] Y. Lv, G. Wang and Y. Chu, A note on Jordan type inequalities for hyperbolic functions, Appl. Math. Lett., Volume 25, Number 3, pp. 505-508, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.aml.2011.09.046. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2011.09.046
- [17] C. Huygens, *Oeuvres completes*, Société Hollondaise des Sciences, Haga, 1888-1940.
- [18] J. Sándor, Sharp Cusa-Huygens and related inequalities, Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics, volume 19, Number 1, pp. 50-54, 2013.
- [19] J. Sándor and R. Oláh-Gál, On Cusa-Huygens type trigonometric and hyperbolic inequalities, Acta Univ. Sapientiae, Mathematica, Volume 4, Number 2, pp. 145-153, 2012.

[20] C. Chesneau, Y. J. Bagul, A note on some new bounds for trigonometric functions using infinite products, 2018, hal-01934571.