

Metabolomics assessment of the effects of diclofenac exposure on Mytilus galloprovincialis: Potential effects on osmoregulation and reproduction

Benilde Bonnefille, Elena Gomez, Mellis Alali, David Rosain, Hélène Fenet,

Frédérique Courant

▶ To cite this version:

Benilde Bonnefille, Elena Gomez, Mellis Alali, David Rosain, Hélène Fenet, et al.. Metabolomics assessment of the effects of diclofenac exposure on Mytilus galloprovincialis: Potential effects on osmoregulation and reproduction. Science of the Total Environment, 2018, 613-614, pp.611 - 618. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.146. hal-01928495

HAL Id: hal-01928495 https://hal.science/hal-01928495v1

Submitted on 22 May 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Metabolomics assessment of the effects of diclofenac exposure on *Mytilus galloprovincialis*: potential effects on osmoregulation and reproduction

Bénilde Bonnefille, Elena Gomez, Mellis Alali, David Rosain, Hélène Fenet, Frédérique Courant*

UMR HydroSciences Montpellier, Université Montpellier, Montpellier, France

* Corresponding author at: Département Sciences de l'Environnement et Santé Publique - Faculté de Pharmacie, UMR HydroSciences - Université de Montpellier, 15, Av Charles Flahault, 34093
Montpellier Cedex 05, France

E-mail address: frederique.courant @umontpellier.fr

Highlights

- A non-targeted approach was applied to gather information on DCF effects on mussels
- Metabolomic study highlighted DCF effects on two main metabolic pathways
- DCF effects on neurotransmitters may be of concern
- DCF exposure could lead to mussel osmoregulation and reproduction damage

Graphical Abstract



Abstract

The presence of pharmaceutically active compounds in aquatic environments has become a major concern over the past 20 years. Elucidation of their mode of action and effects in non-target organisms is thus now a major ecotoxicological challenge. Diclofenac (DCF) is among the pharmaceutical compounds of interest based on its inclusion in the European Union Water Framework Directive Watch List. In this study, our goal was to investigate the potential of a metabolomic approach to acquire information without any a priori hypothesis about diclofenac effects on marine mussels. For this purpose, mussel's profiles were generated by liquid chromatography combined with high resolution mass spectrometry. Two main metabolic pathways were found to be impacted by diclofenac exposure. The tyrosine metabolism was mostly down-modulated and the tryptophan metabolism was mostly up-modulated following exposure. To our knowledge, such DCF effects on mussels have never been described despite being of concern for these organisms: catecholamines and serotonin may be involved in osmoregulation, and in gamete release in mollusks. Our results suggest potential impairment of mussel osmoregulation and reproduction following a DCF exposure.

Keywords: NSAID, non-targeted approach, dopaminergic pathway, serotonin

1 Introduction

Pharmaceuticals have become major environmental contaminants of concern over the last 20 years (Boxall et al., 2012; Heberer, 2002). The presence of pharmaceuticals in waters is mainly due to inputs from wastewater treatment plants (Petrie et al., 2015; Verlicchi et al., 2012). Marine waters are also affected by these inputs due to the development of sea outfall wastewater treatment plants and watershed contributions (Fenet et al., 2014).

There is growing interest in pharmaceuticals and consequently their potential effects on non-target organisms, including marine organisms, is a current research challenge (Segner, 2011). Recent studies have documented the presence of pharmaceuticals in marine organisms (Alvarez et al., 2014; Arpin-Pont et al., 2016; Moreno-González et al., 2016). However, few studies have focused on the potential impact of pharmaceuticals on coastal organisms (Huerta et al., 2012). Among pharmaceuticals found

in seawater, diclofenac (DCF) concentrations from a few ng/L to 1 μ g/L have been reported (Gaw et al., 2014). DCF has recently been added to the first European Union Water Framework Directive Watch List but there is insufficient monitoring data available (Commission Implementing Decision 2015/495, European Commission, 2015). DCF is one of the most widely prescribed non-selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) with the highest environmental concentration and detection rates (along with ibuprofen) (He et al., 2017). Its presence in aquatic ecosystems has led to its detection in wild aquatic organisms, such as mussels, at concentrations of around 10 ng/g along European coasts (Capolupo et al., 2017; Cunha et al., 2017; Mezzelani et al., 2016).

Some effects of DCF on mussels have been documented in the literature. Laboratory exposure of *Mytilus edulis* to high DCF concentrations (1.000 and 10.000 µg/L) led to impaired scope for growth and byssus thread strength (Ericson et al., 2010). Furthermore, different periods of exposure to 250 ng/L of DCF led to oxidative stress in marine mussels (Gonzalez-Rey and Bebianno, 2014). Finally recent study conducted in Mediterranean mussels exposed to 1 and 100 µg/L of DCF during 3 days revealed a prostaglandin E2 synthesis reduction which may be in accordance with both cyclooxygenase COX-1 and COX-2 inhibition, as DCF known mode of action in human (Courant et al., 2017). These studies, conducted by targeted approaches on various endpoints, revealed that DCF can have impacts while also highlighting the necessity of developing one-shot analysis methods capable of detecting diverse effects. Indeed, DCF may have other modes of action beyond inhibition of cyclooxygenase COX-1 and COX-2 activity.

To assess potential undocumented DCF effects on mussels, we investigated the efficiency of a nontargeted approach in order to work without any *a priori* hypothesis. A non-targeted metabolomic approach involves generation and comparison of metabolic profiles acquired from different groups of samples by a suitable analytical technique, such as nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) or mass spectrometry (Courant et al., 2014). Metabolic profiles provide an overview of all metabolites accessible for analysis and their comparison between different sample groups can provide molecular signatures in certain disease states, physiological conditions or effects of xenobiotic exposure, for example (Courant et al., 2014). This approach has been conclusively applied to assess the effects of various pollutants on fish or crustaceans using liquid chromatography hyphenated to mass spectrometry. A metabolomic study has been conducted following exposure to fenitrothion, an organophosphate pesticide, on roaches and the results highlighted steroid metabolism disruption and hepatic phosphagen system perturbations (Southam et al., 2011). Other metabolomic studies have been conducted to assess the effects of sewage treatment plant effluents on fish, and the findings revealed diverse effects such as prostaglandin or lipid metabolism modulation (David et al., 2017; Samuelsson et al., 2011). However, few metabolomic studies have been conducted to evaluate the impacts of pharmaceuticals exposure on non-target organisms. A metabolomic study of daphnia exposed to carbamazepine and ibuprofen amino acid modulation revealed potential effects of carbamazepine on energy metabolism (Kovacevic et al., 2016). An experiment conducted by Gómez-Canela et al. (2016) on gammarids highlighted the effects of nimesulide and propranolol on protein synthesis, oxidative stress and signaling cascades. These experiments demonstrated that the metabolomic approach is valuable for studying xenobiotics effects on non-target organisms. Metabolomic studies on mussels have mainly been carried out using the NMR approach while being focused on metals, pesticides and other xenobiotics, but no studies have focused on pharmaceuticals to date.

The present study was carried out to assess the potential of metabolomics for highlighting undocumented effects of DCF exposure on Mediterranean mussels. We deliberately exposed mussels to a high DCF concentration for this proof-of-concept. Analyses were performed with liquid chromatography (LC) hyphenated to high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS). This approach allowed us to highlight two metabolic pathways impacted mainly by DCF exposure. This is the first time that a LC-HRMS metabolomic study has been conducted to assess DCF effects on a non-target organism.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Chemicals

DCF (≥98%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Stock standard solution was prepared at 1000 mg/L concentration in methanol, as well as subsequent stock standard dilutions. All

standard solutions were stored at -20 °C. Ultrapure water was generated by a Simplicity UV system from Millipore (Bedford, MA, USA) with a specific resistance of 18.2M Ω cm at 25 °C. Pesticide analysis-grade solvents (methanol, dichloromethane) and LC/MS-grade solvents (water, acetonitrile, formic acid 99%) were from Carlo Erba (Val de Reuil, France).

2.2 Animals and experimental design

The experimental design was described elsewhere (Bonnefille et al., 2017). Briefly, *Mytilus galloprovincialis* mussels (6–8 cm shell length) purchased in November from Mediterranean mussel suppliers (Bouzigues, France) were acclimated for 7 days before the experiment. Groups of mussels randomly distributed in glass aquaria were constituted. Among these groups, one was exposed to solvent (ethanol), the vehicle used to expose mussels to DCF (SC group, 3 mussels per aquaria, 6 aquaria), and another one was exposed to a concentration of 122.6 μ g/L (±16.3) of DCF (nominal exposure concentration of 100 μ g/L; 3mussels per aquaria, 6 aquaria). Daily seawater renewal (static renewal) was carried out for each acclimation and exposure day. During the exposure period, the DCF concentrations were reestablished every day and monitored by LC-HRMS analysis. No mortality was observed during the exposure period. The monitored water parameters were: pH (7.8), salinity (55 mg/L), dissolved oxygen (9 mg/L) and temperature (18 °C). These parameters did not differ among the two exposure groups.

After 7 days of exposure, all mussels were dissected: digestive glands were frozen at -80 °C before freeze drying (Heto Power dry LL 3000, Thermo) and analysis. Mature mussels were histologically identified. For each exposure group, only male mussels were studied (n = 8 in each group) due to the insufficient number of female mussels in each group.

2.3 Samples analysis

2.3.1 Tissue sample preparation

Forty-five milligram dry weight (± 1 mg) of digestive gland were extracted with a biphasic mixture of water/methanol/dichloromethane (Liebeke and Bundy, 2012; Masson et al., 2010). Briefly, tissues were homogenized with 360 µL of cold methanol and 115 µL of cold water by vortex for 1 min. Secondly, 360 µL of cold dichloromethane and 180 µL of cold water were added and the samples were

vortexed a second time for 1 min, left on ice for 15 min, and centrifuged at 2000g for 15 min at 4 °C. The methanol/water layer containing the polar metabolites was collected and filtered on modified PES centrifugal devices (VWR, cut off at 10 kDa) at 12000g for 30 min in order to remove high molecular weight proteins. 250 μ L of the filtered aqueous phase was evaporated to dryness under a nitrogen stream and reconstituted in 200 μ L acetonitrile/water (5/95; v/v). A quality control (QC) sample corresponding to a pool consisting of 50 μ L of each sample extract was injected at the beginning of the injection sequence to equilibrate the column. QC injections were repeated throughout the injection sequence to monitor the analytical repeatability and sensitivity during analysis. To assess the injections repeatability, relative standard deviation (RSD) was calculated for each ion detected in the QC injections replicated. Only ions with an RSD lower than 30% were kept for data processing and annotation (Want et al., 2010).

2.3.2 LC-MS analysis

A reverse phase pentafluorophenyl propyl analytical column was used for LC separation (PFPP, 100 mm × 2.1 mm; 3 µm particle size; Sigma Aldrich). The LC mobile phases were 99% acetonitrile and 1% water (A), 99% water and 1% acetonitrile (B), both containing 0.1% formic acid. Optimal separation was achieved using a 200 µL/min flow rate and the following gradient (A:B, v/v): 5:95 during 3 min, 40:60 at 8 min, 50:50 at 9 min, 70:30 at 13 min, and 95:5 from 15 min to 18 min. The injection volume was 5 µL using full loop injection. An Exactive LC-HRMS (Thermo Fischer Scientific) equipped with a heated electrospray ionization probe (HESI) source was used for analysis. The HESI parameters were the following in positive/negative mode: sheath gas, 55 arbitrary units (AU); auxiliary gas, 10 AU; capillary temperature, 350 °C; heater temperature 300 °C and the electrospray voltage was set at |3.5|/|3| kV. The tube lens was set at 90/–90 V, skimmer at 26/–25 V and capillary voltage at 45/–20 V. Full scan mode with a mass range of m/z 50–1000 at a mass resolving power of 50,000 (FWHM, m/z 200) was used in both ionization modes.

2.4 Post-acquisition metabolic fingerprint treatment

2.4.1 Data processing and quality control

Chemical profiles from 8 SC samples and 8 samples exposed to 100 μ g/L of DCF were generated by LC-HRMS. The *.raw data obtained were converted into *.mzxml data with the freeware MS Convert (ProteoWizard 3.0, Chambers et al., 2012). The data were processed by open-source XCMS data processing software (Smith et al., 2006). Every step processed by XCMS software has been previously described by Courant et al. (2009). The XCMS parameters were as follows: the m/z interval for peak picking was set at 0.01, the signal to noise ratio threshold was set at 6, the group band-width was set at 8, and the minimum fraction was set at 0.6. After data processing, peaks were sorted to generate a two-dimensional data table on which rows represent the different ions and columns report characteristics associated with the detected ions (Courant et al., 2014).

2.4.2 Statistical analysis

Univariate statistical analysis results were obtained from the XCMS treatment using the Welsh test. Multivariate data analyses were performed with SIMCA software (version 13.0, Umetrics, Sweden). Datasets were log transformed and Pareto-scaled, and principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to assess clustering between samples.

2.4.3 Metabolite annotation and identification

The annotation process was conducted on signals with a p < 0.1. The rationale for choosing such a threshold is based on i) the low number of mussels per group which may lead to a low statistical power and ii) the opportunity to reveal a biological modulation trend due to the exposure. Indeed, a trend (p < 0.1) toward the down or up-modulation of several metabolites from the same pathway (highlighting a disruption in the biochemical cascade) may be more biologically relevant than a single marker metabolite (p < 0.05). Even if the latter is statistically more powerful, a single metabolite is however not strong enough to reveal a biochemical pathway disruption accurately. Before annotation of those signals, visual inspection of all extracted ion chromatograms was performed to ensure that each selected signals at a defined m/z and retention time corresponded to a metabolite, presenting a Gaussian chromatographic peak (i.e. not a baseline drift or background noise signal). The putative

monoisotopic masses were used for queries in the Human Metabolome Database (HMDB) (http://www.hmdb.ca/) with a monoisotopic mass tolerance of 0.002 Da to obtain corresponding possible chemical structures (raw formulas). Among these annotations, only those with a deviation lower than 5 ppm between the observed mass and the theoretical mass were kept. The identity of annotated compounds was confirmed whenever possible with the injection of the corresponding commercially available standard compound on the same analytical system under the same conditions (validation based on both retention time and monoisotopic mass). For compounds for which we lacked analytical standards, when possible a comparison was performed between our data and information available in the literature on the analysis of standard compounds conducted with the same analytical column (Boudah et al., 2014). Levels of annotation are reported in the Table 1 on the basis of the work conducted by Sumner et al. (2007). The level 1 annotated peaks are characterized by a minimum of two independent and orthogonal data relative to an authentic analytical standard analysed under the same analytical conditions (in this study retention time and accurate mass). The level 2 annotated peaks are corresponding to putatively annotated compounds on the basis of the use of literature (public databases) and/or external laboratory results. When several putative annotations were possible for the same signal, they were kept and are reported in Table 1 and Fig. 1. The metabolic pathways presented in this study were drawn up on the basis of human metabolome (KEGG – Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; http://www.kegg.jp) as no information was available on the mussel metabolome.

3 Results

3.1 Analytical performance and statistical treatment

Extracts of digestive glands from control mussels (SC) and those exposed to DCF were profiled by LC-HRMS in both negative and positive electrospray ionization modes. Analysis in negative ionization mode led to the detection of 8823 signals, and 2372 signals in positive ionization mode. PCA modelling indicated that the profiling methods were repeatable with little analytical variability in both ionization modes. Indeed, the QC samples injected repeatedly during the LC-HRMS analysis were grouped on the score plot (Supporting information, Fig. S1). The percentages of ions with an RSD lower than 30% in negative and positive ionization mode were 80.7% (7120 signals) and 84.4%

(2002 signals), respectively, thus validating the analytical repeatability quality during injections: N70% of the signals should have an RSD of b30% (Want et al., 2010).

PCA modelling of both datasets did not show a clear separation between SC and DCF-exposed mussels, revealing a discrete DCF exposure signature. Univariate statistical results revealed 591 signals (differing between SC and exposed groups) with p b 0.1 in ESI-, and 161 signals in ESI+. Signals with p b 0.1 revealed an up- or down-modulation trend due to exposure. Such signals may be meaningful, especially if connected in the same metabolic pathway, and may highlight DCF effects that could be biologically relevant despite a discrete signature.

3.2 Modulated metabolite analysis

Among the signals that could be annotated (other differential signals are presented in the Supporting information Table S1), many of them were involved in the tyrosine and tryptophan metabolic pathways (Table 1). We highlighted 10 signals for the tyrosine metabolism: catecholamines (dopamine, epinephrine and norepinephrine) and/or their precursors and metabolites were particularly represented (6 annotations). This pathway was mainly down-modulated by DCF exposure (Fig. 1). The down-modulation of these compounds ranged from -48 to -25%. Two signals were upmodulated, i.e. norepinephrine-sulfate (+27%), and normetanephrine (+54%). Other tyrosine metabolism signals were gentisate aldehyde (-19%), gentisic acid (-39%), 5,6-dihydroxyindole (-34%), and fumaric acid (+12%). For tryptophan metabolism, 8 signals were highlighted as modulated by DCF exposure. Among them, 5-hydroxy-L-tryptophan (oxitriptan) and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA, a serotonin metabolite) were the compounds most impacted by DCF exposure, with modulation of +98 and +275%, respectively (Table 1). Finally, we highlighted 2 signals of the steroid hormone biosynthesis pathway positively modulated by DCF exposure (+46 and +50%). Among these two signals, 17α -hydroxypregnenolone was formally identified by standard injection.



Fig. 1 – Partial maps of the two most impacted pathways following DCF exposure: A/tyrosine metabolism and B/tryptophan metabolism. The detected and annotated metabolites are highlighted in bold on the pathways. The relative modulation of differential metabolites is indicated with arrows. The green arrow corresponds to up-modulation (exposed compared to solvent control) and red arrow to down-modulation (exposed compared to solvent control). Indirect connections between metabolites are indicated with dashed arrows. Metabolites underlined with the same color correspond to two possible annotations for the same signal.

Metabolism pathway	Metabolite annotation	Retention time (min)	Molecular ion species detected	Calculated mass	Observed mass	Mass difference (ppm)	Trend	Fold change (%)	p-value	Annotation level ‡
	5,6-dihydroxyindole	6.82	$[M+NH_4]^+$	167.0815	167.0809	-3.75	\downarrow	-34	0.002	2
	Vanillactic acid	11.93	[M-H ₂ O-H] ⁻	193.0501	193.0501	+0.81	\downarrow	-48	0.073	2
	3,4-dihydroxybenzeneacetic acid (3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid) or 3,4-dihydroxymandaldehyde	8.56	[M-H] ⁻	167.0350	167.0344	-3.56	Ļ	-41	0.083	2
	Norepinephrine sulfate	1.65	[M-H] ⁻	248.0234	248.0239	+1.74	\uparrow	+27	0.072	2
Tyrosine metabolism	Normetanephrine * or Tyramine	9.34	[M-H] ⁻ or [M+FA-H] ⁻	182.0823	182.0818	-2.58	↑	+54	0.052	2
	Metanephrine *	8.37	$[M+NH_4]^+$	215.1390	215.1384	-2.96	\downarrow	-39	0.078	2
	3,4-dihydroxymandelic acid	8.32	[M-H ₂ O-H] ⁻	165.0188	165.0187	-0.41	\downarrow	-25	0.052	2
	Gentisate aldehyde	8.18	[M-H] ⁻	137.0244	137.0236	-5.69	\downarrow	-19	0.040	2
	Gentisic acid *	7.18	[M-H] ⁻	153.0193	153.0186	-4.76	\downarrow	-39	0.017	2
	5-hydroxy-L-tryptophan (Oxitriptan)	7.66	[M-H] ⁻	219.0775	219.0776	0.18	\uparrow	+98	0.100	1
	Serotonin *	11.37	[M+FA-H] ⁻	221.0932	221.0931	-0.46	1	+53	0.072	2
	5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA)	9.68	$[M+H]^+$	192.0655	192.0650	-2.80	\uparrow	+275	0.092	2
Tryptophan metabolism	N-methyltryptamine	10.97	[2M+FA-H] ⁻	393.2296	393.2293	-0.73	\uparrow	+44	0.077	2
	3-hydroxyanthranilic acid	4.76	[M+FA-H] ⁻	198.0408	198.0404	-2.13	\downarrow	-27	0.059	2
	2-oxoadipic acid	6.75	$[M-H_2O-H]^-$	141.0188	141.0186	-1.35	\downarrow	-62	0.092	2
	3-methyldioxyindole	7.37	$[M+H]^{+}$	164.0706	164.0700	-3.58	\downarrow	-26	0.070	2
Other compounds of	17α-Hydroxypregnenolone	11.18	[M+FA-H] ⁻	377.2333	377.2343	+2.49	1	+46	0.100	1
interest annotated - Steroid hormone biosynthesis	18-hydroxycorticosterone or Cortisol	10.68	[M+FA-H] ⁻	407.2075	407.2087	+3.00	ſ	+50	0.096	2

Table 1 - Summary of the main metabolic pathways modulated by exposure to 100 µg/L of diclofenac in mussel digestive glands

[‡] Based on the work of Sumner et al. (2007)

Annotation level 1: Metabolite identity confirmed by injection of the corresponding analytical standard in the same analytical conditions

Annotation level 2: putative annotation, based on the use of public databases

* In accordance with the retention time observed for the corresponding analytical standard in Boudah et al. (2014) on the same chromatographic column

4 Discussion

The main goal of our study was to determine the metabolomics potential to highlight effects of pharmaceuticals in non-target organisms, especially DCF effects on Mediterranean mussels. This approach allowed us to highlight two main metabolic pathways impacted: tyrosine metabolism, particularly compounds in connection with the dopaminergic pathway, and tryptophan metabolism, especially oxitriptan and compounds resulting from its biotransformation (Fig. 1). Signals of the dopaminergic pathway were mostly down-modulated, while those associated with oxitriptan biotransformation were mostly up-modulated (Fig. 1).

Despite the non-detection of dopamine and its metabolite DOPAC (3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid), commonly measured to determine dopaminergic activity, we highlighted the modulation of other compounds connected to catecholamines (e.g. metanephrine, norepinephrine sulfate, Fig. 1). A concentration decrease in dopamine and its metabolite DOPAC were already reported for 21 day exposure at 0.2; 2; and 20 µg/L DCF in fish (Guiloski et al., 2017), in line with the potential down-modulation of the dopaminergic pathway highlighted in our study. Catecholamines are known to be involved in byssus formation (Bandara et al., 2013; Price, 1983). Ericson et al. (2010) highlighted a decrease in byssus thread strength following DCF exposure, which may be due to catecholamine modulation, as supported by our results. This modulation may involve mussel detachment from their support, therefore potentially increasing mortality.

In the tryptophan metabolism, we reported up-modulation of serotonin and 5-HIAA (Table 1). The higher up-modulation of 5-HIAA (+275%) compared to serotonin (+53%) is in line with an increase in serotoninergic activity. To our knowledge, this kind of modulation has never been described following a DCF exposure in invertebrates. In vertebrates, Guiloski et al. (2017) studied serotoninergic activity modulation following DCF exposure and did not observe any alteration in serotonin and 5-HIAA synthesis, as well as no change in 5-HIAA/serotonin ratio.

Regarding the modulation of both catecholamines and serotonin reported in our study, it may involve an osmoregulation disruption. Indeed, these metabolites are modulated by water salt concentration variations in marine green crab (Zatta, 1987) and freshwater mussels (Dietz et al., 1992), highlighting their potential role in this function. The DCF potential to affect osmoregulation has already been reported for various aquatic organisms at different observation scales. The osmoregulatory capacity was disrupted in green shore crabs exposed to 10 and 100 ng/L DCF concentrations for 7 days (Eades and Waring, 2010). Other studies conducted in fishes following DCF exposure reported i) structural alterations in gill pillar and chlorine cells and ii) modulation in electrolytes (Na+, K+, Cl–) concentration, each being involved in osmoregulation processes (Saravanan and Ramesh, 2013; Triebskorn et al., 2004). The hypothesis of osmoregulation disruption is also supported by the involvement of PGE2 in osmoregulatory processes in bivalves (Freas and Grollman, 1980; Saintsing et al., 1983), since PGE2 is modulated by DCF exposure (Courant et al., 2017). In mussels, osmoregulation disruption may potentially lead to variations in the hemolymph ionic composition and subsequently to cellular homeostasis disruption, while also disrupting nitrogenous compound excretion rates along with disruption of free amino acids involved in cell volume regulation (Gosling, 2003). The loss of free amino acids represents an energy loss for organisms and is suggested to be responsible for stress and even mortality in marine mussels (Hawkins and Hilbish, 1992).

In addition to their involvement in mussel osmoregulation, catecholamines and serotonin are known to be involved in reproduction. They have been described as playing important roles in mussel gamete multiplication and release and in sexual maturation (Lafont and Mathieu, 2007; Mathieu et al., 1991). Serotonin is described as being a gamete release inducer in bivalves, and dopamine as an inhibitor of serotonin activity on gamete release (Fong et al., 1993a, 1993b; Gibbons and Castagna, 1984; Osada et al., 1998). Dopaminergic and serotoninergic related compounds modulation, as observed in the present study, may therefore lead to gamete production and release impairment in mussels. Furthermore, PGs, especially PGE2 and PGF2 α , are involved in gamete proliferation and release in mollusks, while promoting sensitization to serotonin (Deridovich and Reunova, 1993; Martínez et al., 2000, 1999; Matsutani and Nomura, 1987). Since PGE2 synthesis is reduced following DCF exposure, this may reinforce the previous hypothesis of gamete production and release impairment (Courant et al., 2017). Our hypothesis that DCF may be involved in reproductive function impairment is in accordance with recent studies. A recent study conducted on marine invertebrates (echinoderms and polychaete worms) indicated negative impacts on reproductive success due to sperm swimming velocity and motility reduction, negatively affecting fertilization success (Mohd Zanuri et al., 2017). Other studies conducted on vertebrates' aquatic organisms reported imbalances in sex steroids and alteration of gonadotropin and vitellogenin gene expression patterns (Efosa et al., 2017; Gröner et al., 2017).

Despite the low number of steroid hormones detected in our study, 17α -hydroxypregnenolone was found to be up-modulated following DCF exposure. The role of steroid hormones is poorly understood in mussels (Fernandes et al., 2011; Lafont and Mathieu, 2007). They have been described as promoters of sexual differentiation in mollusks and involved in modulation of PGs and the activity of neurotransmitters, such as catecholamines and serotonin, in bivalves (Cubero-Leon et al., 2010; Deridovich and Reunova, 1993; Dimastrogiovanni et al., 2015; Wang and Croll, 2006).. Hence, steroid hormone modulation in mussels may be related to the modulation of dopaminergic and serotoninergic compounds observed and discussed above. From these results, we hypothesize complex modulation of gamete release by steroids hormones and PGs in bivalves, which may be disrupted following DCF exposure and could result in alteration of mussel reproduction success.

In summary, our results suggest another DCF mode of action beyond COX inhibition. Indeed, in human, monoamine oxidase (MAO) is described as being involved in various metabolic pathways, including tyrosine and tryptophan metabolism (Fig. 2) (Glover et al., 1977; Sjoerdsma et al., 1955). MAO is the enzyme involved in dopamine and serotonin oxidation processes. This enzyme has been documented in mussel tissues (Gagné and Blaise, 2003). Our results suggest that MAO activity in mussels may be impacted by DCF exposure and may explain the tyrosine and tryptophan metabolisms modulation observed. This hypothesis of MAO disruption due to DCF exposure has to be assessed and may allow a better understanding of DCF adverse outcome pathway in Mediterranean mussels. From our results and existing knowledge from the literature, we can suggest an adverse outcome pathway of DCF in mussel leading to potential impairment of byssus formation, osmoregulation and reproduction function (Fig. 3).



Fig. 2 – Partial map of tyrosine metabolism with the involvement of monoamine oxidase (MAO). The detected and annotated metabolites are highlighted in bold on the pathways. The relative modulation of differential metabolites is indicated with arrows. The green arrow corresponds to up-modulation (exposed compared to solvent control) and red arrow to down-modulation (exposed compared to solvent control). Indirect connections between metabolites are indicated with dashed arrows. Metabolites underlined with the same color correspond to two possible annotations for the same signal.

Pathways modulated due to DCF exposure in mussel	Molecular/cellular observation in mussels	Molecular/cellular observation of previous studies	Effects on biological functions at the individual scale	Literature results in accordance with the DCF effects on biological functions at the individual scale	Effects for mussel population
Tyrosine metabolism	Most of the catecholamines related compounds are down-modulated Disruption of monoamine oxidase activity? Of other enzymes?	<i>Rhamdia quelen</i> (fish) decrease in dopamine activity (Guiloski et al., 2017)		Byssus formation: • Mytilus edulis trossulus (mussel) lower byssus strength + lower abundances of byssus threads (Ericson et al., 2010) <u>Osmoregulation</u> : • Carcinus maenas (green shore crab) Osmolarity capacity and hemolymph osmolality modulation (Fades & Waring, 2010)	
Tryptophan metabolism	Serotonin and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid are up-modulated Disruption of monoamine oxidase activity? Of other enzymes?	_	Byssus formation? Osmoregulation? Reproduction (gamete multiplication and release)?	 Onchorhynchus mykiss (rainbow trout) Structural alteration in gill pillar and chlorine cells (Triebskorn et al., 2004) Cirrhinus mrigala (Indian major carp) Modulation of electrolytes concentrations (Saravanan and Ramesh, 2013) <u>Reproduction:</u> Asterias rubens, Psammechinus miliaris and Arenicola marina (Echinoderms & polychaete worm) Reduction of sperm swimming velocity and 	?
Prostaglandins biosynthesis	PGE ₂ synthesis inhibition (Courant et al., 2017) Disruption of cyclooxygenase COX-1 and COX-2 activity? Of other enzymes?	Salmo trutta fario (brown trout) PGE2 synthesis inhibition (Hoeger et al., 2005)		 motility -> fertilization success negatively affected (Mohd Zanuri et al., 2017) Oreochromis niloticus (Nile tilapia) Alteration of gonadotropin and vitellogenin genes expression patterns (Gröner et al., 2017) Male Xenopus Iaevis (toad) Imbalances in sex steroid levels and ratios + increase in vitellogenin gene expression (Efosa et al., 2017) 	

Fig. 3 – Schematic representation of DCF adverse outcome pathway in mussels (with integration of knowledge from other aquatic organisms)

In conclusion, the metabolomic approach was powerfully applied in this study to highlight the potential disruption of important biological functions in mussels following DCF exposure. Our study confirmed the efficiency of metabolomics in highlighting pharmaceuticals effects on non-target organisms. We have reported the effects of DCF on dopaminergic and serotoninergic pathways, along with the modulation of prostaglandins already reported for DCF, which may result in impairment of the reproductive function and osmoregulation disruption. Our results, in connection with existing knowledge from the literature, thus demonstrated the existence of key molecular events, while providing new information to identify potential adverse outcome pathway in non-target organisms. Metabolomics may thus be a promising tool to help define adverse outcome pathway for pharmaceuticals (Brockmeier et al., 2017). Further research is needed to confirm the assumptions proposed, as well as to study whether DCF modes of action for exposure to 100 µg/L are similar at lower exposure concentrations.

Acknowledgments

Funding support was obtained for Environmental and Occupational Health of *Agence Nationale de Sécurité Sanitaire de l'Alimentation, de l'Environnement et du Travail* (AMeCE 2015/1/091) and the *Agence Nationale de la Recherche* (IMAP ANR-16-CE34-0006-01). The doctoral fellowship of Bénilde Bonnefille was financially supported by a grant from the *Université de Montpellier* and Sanofi. The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest. The authors gratefully acknowledge Bénédicte Marion and Céline Roques (*Institut des Biomolécules Max Mousseron*, Montpellier, France) for their technical support. We also thank David Manley for reading the manuscript and for his useful suggestions.

Bibliography

- Alvarez, D.A., Maruya, K.A., Dodder, N.G., Lao, W., Furlong, E.T., Smalling, K.L., 2014. Occurrence of contaminants of emerging concern along the California coast (2009–10) using passive sampling devices. Marine Pollution Bulletin, U.S. Coastal Monitoring: NOAA's Mussel Watch investigates Contaminants of Emerging Concern 81, 347–354. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.04.022
- Arpin-Pont, L., Bueno, M.J.M., Gomez, E., Fenet, H., 2016. Occurrence of PPCPs in the marine environment: a review. Environ Sci Pollut Res 23, 4978–4991. doi:10.1007/s11356-014-3617x
- Bandara, N., Zeng, H., Wu, J., 2013. Marine mussel adhesion: biochemistry, mechanisms, and biomimetics. Journal of Adhesion Science and Technology 27, 2139–2162. doi:10.1080/01694243.2012.697703
- Bonnefille, B., Arpin-Pont, L., Gomez, E., Fenet, H., Courant, F., 2017. Metabolic profiling identification of metabolites formed in Mediterranean mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis) after diclofenac exposure. Science of The Total Environment 583, 257–268. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.063
- Boudah, S., Olivier, M.-F., Aros-Calt, S., Oliveira, L., Fenaille, F., Tabet, J.-C., Junot, C., 2014. Annotation of the human serum metabolome by coupling three liquid chromatography methods to high-resolution mass spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography B, Metabolomics II 966, 34–47. doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2014.04.025
- Boxall, A.B.A., Rudd, M.A., Brooks, B.W., Caldwell, D.J., Choi, K., Hickmann, S., Innes, E., Ostapyk, K., Staveley, J.P., Verslycke, T., Ankley, G.T., Beazley, K.F., Belanger, S.E., Berninger, J.P., Carriquiriborde, P., Coors, A., Deleo, P.C., Dryer, S.D., Ericson, J.F., Gagne, F., Giesy, J.P., Gouin, T., Hallstrom, L., Karlsson, M.V., Joakim Larsson, D.G., Lazorchak, J.M., Mastrocco, F., Mclaughlin, A., Mcmaster, M.E., Meyerhoff, R.D., Moore, R., Parrott, J.L., Snape, J.R., Murray-Smith, R., Servos, M.R., Sibley, P.K., Oliver Straub, J., Szabo, N.D., Topp, E., Tetreault, G.R., Trudeau, V.L., Der Kraak, G.V., 2012. Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products in the Environment: What Are the Big Questions? Environmental health perspectives 120, 1221–1229.
- Brockmeier, E.K., Hodges, G., Hutchinson, T.H., Butler, E., Hecker, M., Tollefsen, K.E., Garcia-Reyero, N., Kille, P., Becker, D., Chipman, K., Colbourne, J., Collette, T.W., Cossins, A., Cronin, M., Graystock, P., Gutsell, S., Knapen, D., Katsiadaki, I., Lange, A., Marshall, S., Owen, S.F., Perkins, E.J., Plaistow, S., Schroeder, A., Taylor, D., Viant, M., Ankley, G., Falciani, F., 2017. The Role of Omics in the Application of Adverse Outcome Pathways for Chemical Risk Assessment. Toxicol. Sci. doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfx097
- Capolupo, M., Franzellitti, S., Kiwan, A., Valbonesi, P., Dinelli, E., Pignotti, E., Birke, M., Fabbri, E., 2017. A comprehensive evaluation of the environmental quality of a coastal lagoon (Ravenna, Italy): Integrating chemical and physiological analyses in mussels as a biomonitoring strategy. Science of The Total Environment 598, 146–159. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.119
- Chambers, M.C., Maclean, B., Burke, R., Amodei, D., Ruderman, D.L., Neumann, S., Gatto, L., Fischer, B., Pratt, B., Egertson, J., Hoff, K., Kessner, D., Tasman, N., Shulman, N., Frewen, B., Baker, T.A., Brusniak, M.-Y., Paulse, C., Creasy, D., Flashner, L., Kani, K., Moulding, C., Seymour, S.L., Nuwaysir, L.M., Lefebvre, B., Kuhlmann, F., Roark, J., Rainer, P., Detlev, S., Hemenway, T., Huhmer, A., Langridge, J., Connolly, B., Chadick, T., Holly, K., Eckels, J., Deutsch, E.W., Moritz, R.L., Katz, J.E., Agus, D.B., MacCoss, M., Tabb, D.L., Mallick, P., 2012. A cross-platform toolkit for mass spectrometry and proteomics. Nat Biotech 30, 918–920. doi:10.1038/nbt.2377

- Courant, F., Antignac, J.-P., Dervilly-Pinel, G., Le Bizec, B., 2014. Basics of mass spectrometry based metabolomics. Proteomics 14, 2369–2388. doi:10.1002/pmic.201400255
- Courant, F., Arpin-Pont, L., Bonnefille, B., Vacher, S., Picot-Groz, M., Gomez, E., Fenet, H., 2017. Exposure of marine mussels to diclofenac: modulation of prostaglandin biosynthesis. Environ Sci Pollut Res 1–8. doi:10.1007/s11356-017-9228-6
- Courant, F., Pinel, G., Bichon, E., Monteau, F., Antignac, J.-P., Le Bizec, B., 2009. Development of a metabolomic approach based on liquid chromatography-high resolution mass spectrometry to screen for clenbuterol abuse in calves. Analyst 134, 1637–1646. doi:10.1039/B901813A
- Cubero-Leon, E., Ciocan, C.M., Hill, E.M., Osada, M., Kishida, M., Itoh, N., Kondo, R., Minier, C., Rotchell, J.M., 2010. Estrogens disrupt serotonin receptor and cyclooxygenase mRNA expression in the gonads of mussels (Mytilus edulis). Aquatic Toxicology 98, 178–187. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2010.02.007
- Cunha, S.C., Pena, A., Fernandes, J.O., 2017. Mussels as bioindicators of diclofenac contamination in coastal environments. Environmental Pollution. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2017.02.061
- David, A., Lange, A., Abdul-Sada, A., Tyler, C.R., Hill, E.M., 2017. Disruption of the Prostaglandin Metabolome and Characterization of the Pharmaceutical Exposome in Fish Exposed to Wastewater Treatment Works Effluent As Revealed by Nanoflow-Nanospray Mass Spectrometry-Based Metabolomics. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51, 616–624. doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b04365
- Deridovich, I.I., Reunova, O.V., 1993. Prostaglandins: Reproduction control in bivalve molluscs. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Physiology 104, 23–27. doi:10.1016/0300-9629(93)90003-M
- Dietz, T.H., Wilson, J.M., Silverman, H., 1992. Changes in monoamine transmitter concentration in freshwater mussel tissues. J. Exp. Zool. 261, 355–358. doi:10.1002/jez.1402610315
- Dimastrogiovanni, G., Fernandes, D., Bonastre, M., Porte, C., 2015. Progesterone is actively metabolized to 5α-pregnane-3,20-dione and 3β-hydroxy-5α-pregnan-20-one by the marine mussel Mytilus galloprovincialis. Aquatic Toxicology 165, 93–100. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2015.05.018
- Eades, C., Waring, C.P., 2010. The effects of diclofenac on the physiology of the green shore crab Carcinus maenas. Marine Environmental Research, PRIMO 15Fifteenth International Symposium on Pollutant Responses in Marine Organisms (PRIMO15) 69, Supplement 1, S46–S48. doi:10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.11.001
- Efosa, N.J., Kleiner, W., Kloas, W., Hoffmann, F., 2017. Diclofenac can exhibit estrogenic modes of action in male Xenopus laevis, and affects the hypothalamus-pituitary-gonad axis and mating vocalizations. Chemosphere 173, 69–77. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.01.030
- Ericson, H., Thorsén, G., Kumblad, L., 2010. Physiological effects of diclofenac, ibuprofen and propranolol on Baltic Sea blue mussels. Aquatic Toxicology 99, 223–231. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2010.04.017
- European Commission, 2015. Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2015/495 of 20 March 2015 establishing a watch list of substances for Union-wide monitoring in the field of water policy pursuant to Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (notified under document C(2015) 1756).
- Fenet, H., Arpin-Pont, L., Vanhoutte-Brunier, A., Munaron, D., Fiandrino, A., Martínez Bueno, M.-J., Boillot, C., Casellas, C., Mathieu, O., Gomez, E., 2014. Reducing PEC uncertainty in coastal zones: A case study on carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine and their metabolites. Environment International 68, 177–184. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2014.03.025

- Fernandes, D., Loi, B., Porte, C., 2011. Biosynthesis and metabolism of steroids in molluscs. The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Special Issue on Steroid Metabolism in Marine Organisms 127, 189–195. doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2010.12.009
- Fong, P.P., Noordhuis, R., Ram, J.L., 1993a. Dopamine reduces intensity of serotonin-induced spawning in the zebram mussel Dreissena polymorpha (pallas). The Journal of experimental zoology 266, 79–83.
- Fong, P.P., Wall, D.M., Ram, J.L., 1993b. Characterization of serotonin receptors in the regulation of spawning in the zebra mussel Dreissena polymorpha (Pallas). J. Exp. Zool. 267, 475–482. doi:10.1002/jez.1402670502
- Freas, W., Grollman, S., 1980. Ionic and osmotic influence on prostaglandin release from the gill tissue of a marine bivalve, Modiolus demissus. Journal of Experimental Biology 84, 169–185.
- Gagné, F., Blaise, C., 2003. Effects of municipal effluents on serotonin and dopamine levels in the freshwater mussel Elliptio complanata. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology 136, 117–125. doi:10.1016/S1532-0456(03)00171-6
- Gaw, S., Thomas, K.V., Hutchinson, T.H., 2014. Sources, impacts and trends of pharmaceuticals in the marine and coastal environment. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 369, 20130572. doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0572
- Gibbons, M.C., Castagna, M., 1984. Serotonin as an inducer of spawning in six bivalve species. Aquaculture 40, 189–191. doi:10.1016/0044-8486(84)90356-9
- Glover, V., Sandler, M., Owen, F., Riley, G.J., 1977. Dopamine is a monoamine oxidase B substrate in man. Nature 265, 80–81. doi:10.1038/265080a0
- Gómez-Canela, C., Miller, T.H., Bury, N.R., Tauler, R., Barron, L.P., 2016. Targeted metabolomics of Gammarus pulex following controlled exposures to selected pharmaceuticals in water. Science of The Total Environment 562, 777–788. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.03.181
- Gonzalez-Rey, M., Bebianno, M.J., 2014. Effects of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) diclofenac exposure in mussel Mytilus galloprovincialis. Aquatic Toxicology 148, 221–230. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2014.01.011
- Gosling, E. (Ed.), 2003. Circulation, Respiration, Excretion and Osmoregulation, in: Bivalve Molluscs. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, pp. 201–224. doi:10.1002/9780470995532.ch7
- Gröner, F., Höhne, C., Kleiner, W., Kloas, W., 2017. Chronic diclofenac exposure affects gill integrity and pituitary gene expression and displays estrogenic activity in nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). Chemosphere 166, 473–481. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.09.116
- Guiloski, I.C., Stein Piancini, L.D., Dagostim, A.C., de Morais Calado, S.L., Fávaro, L.F., Boschen, S.L., Cestari, M.M., da Cunha, C., Silva de Assis, H.C., 2017. Effects of environmentally relevant concentrations of the anti-inflammatory drug diclofenac in freshwater fish Rhamdia quelen. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 139, 291–300. doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.01.053
- Hawkins, A.J.S., Hilbish, T.J., 1992. The costs of cell volume regulation: protein metabolism during hyperosmotic adjustment. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 72, 569–578. doi:10.1017/S002531540005935X
- He, B., Wang, J., Liu, J., Hu, X., 2017. Eco-pharmacovigilance of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: Necessity and opportunities. Chemosphere 181, 178–189. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.04.084
- Heberer, T., 2002. Occurrence, fate, and removal of pharmaceutical residues in the aquatic environment: a review of recent research data. Toxicology Letters 131, 5–17. doi:10.1016/S0378-4274(02)00041-3

- Huerta, B., Rodríguez-Mozaz, S., Barceló, D., 2012. Pharmaceuticals in biota in the aquatic environment: analytical methods and environmental implications. Anal Bioanal Chem 404, 2611–2624. doi:10.1007/s00216-012-6144-y
- Kovacevic, V., Simpson, A.J., Simpson, M.J., 2016. 1H NMR-based metabolomics of Daphnia magna responses after sub-lethal exposure to triclosan, carbamazepine and ibuprofen. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part D: Genomics and Proteomics 19, 199–210. doi:10.1016/j.cbd.2016.01.004
- Lafont, R., Mathieu, M., 2007. Steroids in aquatic invertebrates. Ecotoxicology 16, 109–130. doi:10.1007/s10646-006-0113-1
- Liebeke, M., Bundy, J.G., 2012. Tissue disruption and extraction methods for metabolic profiling of an invertebrate sentinel species. Metabolomics 8, 819–830. doi:10.1007/s11306-011-0377-1
- Martínez, G., Mettifogo, L., Lenoir, R., Campos, E.O., 1999. Prostaglandins and reproduction of the scallop Argopecten purpuratus: I. relationship with gamete development. J. Exp. Zool. 284, 225–231. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-010X(19990701)284:2<225::AID-JEZ12>3.0.CO;2-1
- Martínez, G., Mettifogo, L., Lenoir, R., Olivares, A., 2000. Prostaglandins and reproduction of the scallop Argopecten purpuratus: II. relationship with gamete release. J. Exp. Zool. 287, 86–91. doi:10.1002/1097-010X(20000615)287:1<86::AID-JEZ11>3.0.CO;2-W
- Masson, P., Alves, A.C., Ebbels, T.M.D., Nicholson, J.K., Want, E.J., 2010. Optimization and Evaluation of Metabolite Extraction Protocols for Untargeted Metabolic Profiling of Liver Samples by UPLC-MS. Anal. Chem. 82, 7779–7786. doi:10.1021/ac101722e
- Mathieu, M., Robbins, I., Lubet, P., 1991. The neuroendocrinology of Mytilus edulis. Aquaculture, The Biology and Cultivation of Mussels 94, 213–223. doi:10.1016/0044-8486(91)90119-R
- Matsutani, T., Nomura, T., 1987. In vitro effects of serotonin and prostaglandins on release of eggs from the ovary of the scallop, Patinopecten yessoensis. General and Comparative Endocrinology 67, 111–118. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(87)90210-3
- Mezzelani, M., Gorbi, S., Da Ros, Z., Fattorini, D., d'Errico, G., Milan, M., Bargelloni, L., Regoli, F., 2016. Ecotoxicological potential of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) in marine organisms: Bioavailability, biomarkers and natural occurrence in Mytilus galloprovincialis. Marine Environmental Research, 18th International Symposium on Pollutant Responses in Marine Organisms (PRIMO18) 121, 31–39. doi:10.1016/j.marenvres.2016.03.005
- Mohd Zanuri, N.B., Bentley, M.G., Caldwell, G.S., 2017. Assessing the impact of diclofenac, ibuprofen and sildenafil citrate (Viagra®) on the fertilisation biology of broadcast spawning marine invertebrates. Marine Environmental Research 127, 126–136. doi:10.1016/j.marenvres.2017.04.005
- Moreno-González, R., Rodríguez-Mozaz, S., Huerta, B., Barceló, D., León, V.M., 2016. Do pharmaceuticals bioaccumulate in marine molluscs and fish from a coastal lagoon? Environmental Research 146, 282–298. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2016.01.001
- Osada, M., Nakata, A., Matsumoto, T., Mori, K., 1998. Pharmacological characterization of serotonin receptor in the oocyte membrane of bivalve molluscs and its formation during oogenesis. J. Exp. Zool. 281, 124–131. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-010X(19980601)281:2<124::AID-JEZ6>3.0.CO;2-Q
- Petrie, B., Barden, R., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., 2015. A review on emerging contaminants in wastewaters and the environment: Current knowledge, understudied areas and recommendations for future monitoring. Water Research, Occurrence, fate, removal and assessment of emerging contaminants in water in the water cycle (from wastewater to drinking water) 72, 3–27. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2014.08.053

- Price, H.A., 1983. Structure and formation of the byssus complex in Mytilus (Mollusca, Bivalvia). J Molluscan Stud 49, 9–17. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.mollus.a065695
- Saintsing, D.G., Hwang, D.H., Dietz, T.H., 1983. Production of prostaglandins E2 and F2 alpha in the freshwater mussel Ligumia subrostrata: relation to sodium transport. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 226, 455–461.
- Samuelsson, L.M., Björlenius, B., Förlin, L., Larsson, D.G.J., 2011. Reproducible 1H NMR-Based Metabolomic Responses in Fish Exposed to Different Sewage Effluents in Two Separate Studies. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 1703–1710. doi:10.1021/es104111x
- Saravanan, M., Ramesh, M., 2013. Short and long-term effects of clofibric acid and diclofenac on certain biochemical and ionoregulatory responses in an Indian major carp, Cirrhinus mrigala. Chemosphere 93, 388–396. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.05.015
- Segner, H., 2011. Moving beyond a descriptive aquatic toxicology: The value of biological process and trait information. Aquatic Toxicology, Jubileum 105, 50–55. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2011.06.016
- Sjoerdsma, A., Smith, T.E., Stevenson, T.D., Udenfriend, S., 1955. Metabolism of 5-Hydroxytryptamine (Serotonin) by Monoamine Oxidase. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine 89, 36–38. doi:10.3181/00379727-89-21707
- Smith, C.A., Want, E.J., O'Maille, G., Abagyan, R., Siuzdak, G., 2006. XCMS: processing mass spectrometry data for metabolite profiling using nonlinear peak alignment, matching, and identification. Anal. Chem. 78, 779–787. doi:10.1021/ac051437y
- Southam, A.D., Lange, A., Hines, A., Hill, E.M., Katsu, Y., Iguchi, T., Tyler, C.R., Viant, M.R., 2011. Metabolomics Reveals Target and Off-Target Toxicities of a Model Organophosphate Pesticide to Roach (Rutilus rutilus): Implications for Biomonitoring. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 3759–3767. doi:10.1021/es103814d
- Sumner, L.W., Amberg, A., Barrett, D., Beale, M.H., Beger, R., Daykin, C.A., Fan, T.W.-M., Fiehn, O., Goodacre, R., Griffin, J.L., Hankemeier, T., Hardy, N., Harnly, J., Higashi, R., Kopka, J., Lane, A.N., Lindon, J.C., Marriott, P., Nicholls, A.W., Reily, M.D., Thaden, J.J., Viant, M.R., 2007. Proposed minimum reporting standards for chemical analysis. Metabolomics 3, 211– 221. doi:10.1007/s11306-007-0082-2
- Triebskorn, R., Casper, H., Heyd, A., Eikemper, R., Köhler, H.-R., Schwaiger, J., 2004. Toxic effects of the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug diclofenac: Part II. Cytological effects in liver, kidney, gills and intestine of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Aquatic Toxicology 68, 151–166. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2004.03.015
- Verlicchi, P., Al Aukidy, M., Zambello, E., 2012. Occurrence of pharmaceutical compounds in urban wastewater: Removal, mass load and environmental risk after a secondary treatment—A review. Science of The Total Environment, Special Section - Arsenic in Latin America, An Unrevealed Continent: Occurence, Health Effects and Mitigation 429, 123–155. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.04.028
- Wang, C., Croll, R.P., 2006. Effects of sex steroids on spawning in the sea scallop, Placopecten magellanicus. Aquaculture 256, 423–432. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.01.017
- Want, E.J., Wilson, I.D., Gika, H., Theodoridis, G., Plumb, R.S., Shockcor, J., Holmes, E., Nicholson, J.K., 2010. Global metabolic profiling procedures for urine using UPLC-MS. Nat Protoc 5, 1005–1018. doi:10.1038/nprot.2010.50
- Zatta, P., 1987. Dopamine, noradrenaline and serotonin during hypo-osmotic stress of Carcinus maenas. Mar. Biol. 96, 479–481. doi:10.1007/BF00397965

Supporting information



Fig. S1 – Principal component analysis score plots of chemical profiles in male mussels digestive glands exposed to DCF. Extracts were profiled in A/ESI- and B/ESI+ ionization mode. Quality control (QC) samples were used to monitor the analytical performance of the MS platform. The outlier exposed sample highlighted is the same in both ionization modes. This sample was removed before data treatment. QC: quality control – SC: solvent control samples – E: samples exposed to DCF

		Detention				Putative annotation							
Ionization mode	Observed mass	time (min)	Trend	Fold change	p-value	Annotation	HMDB code	Molecular ion species detected	Calculated mass	Mass difference (ppm)	Metabolic pathway		
+	249.1478	11.27	↓	-47	0.005	Gamma-CEHC	HMDB01931	$[M+H]^+$	249.1485	-2.96	Metabolites of Vitamin E		
-	121.0285	8.30	\downarrow	-30	0.006	Benzoic acid	HMDB01870	[M-H] ⁻	121.0295	-8.15	Phenylalanine metabolism		
-	200.0924	3.88	Ť	45	0.017	Pantothenic acid	HMDB00210	[M-H20-H] ⁻	200.0923	0.78	Beta-Alanine metabolism Pantothenate and CoA biosynthesis Vitamin digestion and absorption		
+	340.3564	17.73	↓	-23	0.019	Docosanamide	HMDB00583	$[M+H]^+$	340.3574	-2.94	Primary fatty acid		
-	157.1226	11.95	↓	-55	0.020				157.1234	-5.00	Lipids		
+	160.0963	8.15	↓	-30	0.024	5-acetamidovalerate	HMDB12175	$[M+H]^+$	160.0968	-3.04	Lysine degradation		
-	158.0816	8.17	↓	-24	0.029	5-acetamidovalerate	HMDB12175	[M-H] ⁻	158.0823	-4.02	Lysine degradation		
+	162.1118	2.95	1	44	0.036	L-carnitine	HMDB00062	$[M+H]^+$	162.1125	-3.95	Non-essential amino acid		
-	127.0391	8.10	Ļ	-29	0.042	(s)-2-aceto-2- hydroxybutanoic acid	HMDB06900	[M-H20-H] ⁻	127.0395	-2.97	Valine, leucine and isoleucine biosynthesis 2-Oxocarboxylic acid metabolism		
-	450.2635	12.72	1	113	0.043	LysoPE (0:0/16:1(9z))	HMDB11474	[M-H] ⁻	450.2626	2.07	Glycerophosphoethanolamines		
-	574.3162	12.85	¢	63	0.046	LysoPE (0:0/22:4(7z,10z,13z,16z))	HMDB11493	[M+FA-H] ⁻	574.315	1.98	Glycerophosphoethanolamines		
-	324.2187	12.00	↑	60	0.049	10-nitrolinoleic acid	HMDB05049	[M-H] ⁻	324.218	2.05	Lipids		
-	256.0864	1.68	1	105	0.001								
-	189.1280	9.82	↑	325	0.003								
-	282.0099	12.38	↑	356	0.003								
+	250.0498	1.67	1	99	0.003								
-	196.0644	1.67	1	85	0.005								
+	197.1167	9.22	↓	-46	0.005								
+	234.0760	1.67	1	93	0.005								
-	165.0914	8.33	↓	-38	0.007								
-	179.0668	1.63	↑	94	0.010								

Table S1 – Summary of the differential signals with p<0.05 modulated by exposure to 100 μ g/L of diclofenac in mussel digestive glands. When a putative annotation based on the accurate measured monoisotopic masses is possible, it is reported in the "putative annotation" part of the table.

-	218.1033	8.47	1	97	0.011			
-	197.0817	7.12	↓	-42	0.014			
+	265.2111	2.85	↑	84	0.016			
-	229.1809	13.98	\downarrow	-19	0.016			
+	173.0289	3.23	\downarrow	-54	0.017			
-	418.1917	10.70	↑	43	0.017			
-	153.0186	7.18	↓	-39	0.017			
-	159.0656	3.45	↓	-45	0.019			
-	223.1338	12.52	↑	45	0.019			
-	180.0604	1.63	↑	76	0.021			
-	271.2283	15.07	↓	-27	0.024			
-	265.0699	7.58	↓	-25	0.024			
-	263.1656	13.57	1	25	0.024			
-	178.0634	1.65	↑	76	0.025			
-	329.0807	9.58	↓	-59	0.025			
-	210.1133	10.75	↑	82	0.026			
-	163.1122	9.40	↓	-46	0.026			
-	303.1820	10.45	1	74	0.027			
+	196.0448	3.23	↓	-56	0.028			
+	130.0648	10.27	1	85	0.028			
-	240.1244	9.77	↑	67	0.029			
-	166.0221	8.30	↓	-29	0.029			
-	243.1234	6.68	1	585	0.029			
+	182.1252	12.07	↓	-46	0.029			
-	238.1450	11.60	↑	58	0.031			
-	680.3204	13.25	1	102	0.031			
-	305.1379	11.33	\downarrow	-54	0.033			
-	195.1023	9.13	\downarrow	-32	0.033			
-	276.0995	9.55	↑	262	0.034			

271.0652	3.22	↓	-74	0.034						
240.0854	8.67	↑	147	0.036						
457.0740	12.97	↑	2851	0.036						
116.5499	3.23	↓	-49	0.036						
353.0224	3.32	↓	-61	0.037						
282.0196	12.45	↑	59	0.037						
263.1061	12.22	↓	-53	0.037						
383.3539	18.25	\downarrow	-10	0.037						
217.0910	6.65	↓	-62	0.038						
326.1638	10.97	↑	103	0.039						
125.0962	11.85	↓	-20	0.039						
298.1126	6.87	↓	-41	0.040						
171.1020	11.03	↓	-27	0.041						
263.0602	7.22	↓	-39	0.041						
359.0637	1.70	↑	67	0.041						
494.2136	3.72	↑	130	0.042						
198.1132	10.15	↑	69	0.042						
226.1541	6.47	Ļ	-31	0.042						
135.0496	3.42	↑	51	0.042						
119.0393	3.23	↓	-54	0.043						
254.1401	10.70	↑	35	0.045						
309.1772	1.63	↑	57	0.045						
250.1565	9.02	↑	435	0.046						
223.0556	9.05	Ļ	-67	0.047						
197.0302	5.25	↓	-54	0.047						
170.0817	8.02	↑	42	0.048						
101.0597	7.15	↓	-49	0.048						
88.0394	11.08	↑	38	0.049						
	271.0652 240.0854 457.0740 116.5499 353.0224 282.0196 263.1061 383.3539 217.0910 326.1638 125.0962 298.1126 171.1020 263.0602 359.0637 494.2136 198.1132 226.1541 135.0496 119.0393 254.1401 309.1772 250.1565 223.0556 197.0302 170.0817 101.0597 88.0394	271.06523.22240.08548.67457.074012.97116.54993.23353.02243.32282.019612.45263.106112.22383.353918.25217.09106.65326.163810.97125.096211.85298.11266.87171.102011.03263.06027.22359.06371.70494.21363.72198.113210.15226.15416.47135.04963.42119.03933.23254.140110.70309.17721.63250.15659.02223.05569.05197.03025.25170.08178.02101.05977.1588.039411.08	271.0652 3.22 \downarrow 240.0854 8.67 \uparrow 457.0740 12.97 \uparrow 116.5499 3.23 \downarrow 353.0224 3.32 \downarrow 282.0196 12.45 \uparrow 263.1061 12.22 \downarrow 383.3539 18.25 \downarrow 217.0910 6.65 \downarrow 326.1638 10.97 \uparrow 125.0962 11.85 \downarrow 298.1126 6.87 \downarrow 359.0637 1.70 \uparrow 198.1132 10.15 \uparrow 198.1132 10.15 \uparrow 198.1132 10.15 \uparrow 198.1132 10.15 \uparrow 190.0393 3.23 \downarrow 254.1401 10.70 \uparrow 309.1772 1.63 \uparrow 197.0302 5.25 \downarrow 197.0302 5.25 \downarrow 101.0597 7.15 \downarrow 88.0394 11.08 \uparrow <td>$271.0652$$3.22$$\downarrow$$-74$$240.0854$$8.67$$\uparrow$$147$$457.0740$$12.97$$\uparrow$$2851$$116.5499$$3.23$$\downarrow$$-49$$353.0224$$3.32$$\downarrow$$-61$$282.0196$$12.45$$\uparrow$$59$$263.1061$$12.22$$\downarrow$$-53$$383.3539$$18.25$$\downarrow$$-10$$217.0910$$6.65$$\downarrow$$-62$$326.1638$$10.97$$\uparrow$$103$$125.0962$$11.85$$\downarrow$$-20$$298.1126$$6.87$$\downarrow$$-41$$171.1020$$11.03$$\downarrow$$-27$$263.0602$$7.22$$\downarrow$$-39$$359.0637$$1.70$$\uparrow$$67$$494.2136$$3.72$$\uparrow$$130$$198.1132$$10.15$$\uparrow$$69$$226.1541$$6.47$$\downarrow$$-31$$135.0496$$3.42$$\uparrow$$51$$119.0393$$3.23$$\downarrow$$-54$$254.1401$$10.70$$\uparrow$$35$$309.1772$$1.63$$\uparrow$$57$$250.1565$$9.02$$\uparrow$$435$$223.0556$$9.05$$\downarrow$$-67$$197.0302$$5.25$$\downarrow$$-49$$88.0394$$11.08$$\uparrow$$38$</td> <td>$271.0652$$3.22$$\downarrow$$74$$0.034$$240.0854$$8.67$$\uparrow$$147$$0.036$$457.0740$$12.97$$\uparrow$$2851$$0.036$$116.5499$$3.23$$\downarrow$$-49$$0.036$$353.0224$$3.32$$\downarrow$$-61$$0.037$$282.0196$$12.45$$\uparrow$$59$$0.037$$263.1061$$12.22$$\downarrow$$-53$$0.037$$263.1061$$12.22$$\downarrow$$-10$$0.037$$217.0910$$6.65$$\downarrow$$-62$$0.038$$326.1638$$10.97$$\uparrow$$103$$0.039$$125.0962$$11.85$$\downarrow$$-20$$0.039$$298.1126$$6.87$$\downarrow$$-41$$0.040$$171.1020$$11.03$$\downarrow$$-27$$0.041$$263.0602$$7.22$$\downarrow$$-39$$0.041$$359.0637$$1.70$$\uparrow$$67$$0.041$$494.2136$$3.72$$\uparrow$$130$$0.042$$198.1132$$10.15$$\uparrow$$69$$0.042$$198.1132$$10.15$$\uparrow$$69$$0.042$$198.0393$$3.23$$\downarrow$$-54$$0.043$$254.1401$$10.70$$\uparrow$$35$$0.045$$309.1772$$1.63$$\uparrow$$57$$0.045$$309.1772$$1.63$$\uparrow$$57$$0.047$$197.0302$$5.25$$\downarrow$$-54$$0.047$$170.0817$$8.02$$\uparrow$$42$$0.048$<td>271.0652$3.22$$\downarrow$$-74$$0.034$240.0854$8.67$$\uparrow$$147$$0.036$457.0740$12.97$$\uparrow$$2851$$0.036$116.5499$3.23$$\downarrow$$-49$$0.036$353.0224$3.32$$\downarrow$$-61$$0.037$282.0196$12.45$$\uparrow$$59$$0.037$263.1061$12.22$$\downarrow$$-53$$0.037$263.1061$12.22$$\downarrow$$-53$$0.037$217.0910$6.65$$\downarrow$$-62$$0.038$326.1638$10.97$$\uparrow$$103$$0.039$298.1126$6.87$$\downarrow$$-41$$0.040$171.1020$11.03$$\downarrow$$-27$$0.041$263.0602$7.22$$\downarrow$$-39$$0.041$359.0637$1.70$$\uparrow$$67$$0.041$494.2136$3.72$$\uparrow$$130$$0.042$198.1132$10.15$$\uparrow$$69$$0.042$226.1541$6.47$$\downarrow$$51$$0.045$199.033$3.23$$\downarrow$$-54$$0.043$254.1401$10.70$$\uparrow$$35$$0.045$250.1565$9.02$$\uparrow$$435$$0.046$223.0556$9.05$$\downarrow$$-67$$0.047$197.0302$5.25$$\downarrow$$-54$$0.048$101.0597$7.15$$\downarrow$$-49$$0.048$</td><td>271.0652 3.22 \downarrow -74 0.034 240.0854 8.67 \uparrow 147 0.036 457.0740 12.97 \uparrow 2851 0.036 116.5499 3.23 \downarrow -49 0.036 353.0224 3.32 \downarrow -61 0.037 282.0196 12.45 \uparrow 59 0.037 283.1061 12.22 \downarrow -53 0.037 283.3539 18.25 \downarrow -10 0.037 217.0910 6.65 \downarrow -62 0.038 326.1638 10.97 \uparrow 103 0.039 298.1126 6.87 \downarrow -41 0.040 263.0602 7.22 \downarrow -39 0.041 263.0602 7.22 \downarrow -39 0.041 359.0637 1.70 \uparrow 67 0.042 226.1541 6.47 \downarrow $.31$ 0.042 198.1132 10.15 \uparrow 69 0.0</td><td>271.0652 3.22 ↓ -74 0.034 240.0854 8.67 ↑ 147 0.036 457.0740 12.97 ↑ 2851 0.036 116.5499 3.23 ↓ -49 0.036 353.0224 3.32 ↓ -61 0.037</td><td>271.0652 3.22 ↓ -74 0.034 240.0854 8.67 ↑ 147 0.036 4457.0740 12.97 ↑ 2851 0.036 116.5499 3.23 ↓ -49 0.036 353.0224 3.32 ↓ -61 0.037 283.0196 12.45 ↑ 59 0.037 283.039 18.25 ↓ -10 0.037</td><td>271.06523.22↓-740.034<!--</td--></td></td>	271.0652 3.22 \downarrow -74 240.0854 8.67 \uparrow 147 457.0740 12.97 \uparrow 2851 116.5499 3.23 \downarrow -49 353.0224 3.32 \downarrow -61 282.0196 12.45 \uparrow 59 263.1061 12.22 \downarrow -53 383.3539 18.25 \downarrow -10 217.0910 6.65 \downarrow -62 326.1638 10.97 \uparrow 103 125.0962 11.85 \downarrow -20 298.1126 6.87 \downarrow -41 171.1020 11.03 \downarrow -27 263.0602 7.22 \downarrow -39 359.0637 1.70 \uparrow 67 494.2136 3.72 \uparrow 130 198.1132 10.15 \uparrow 69 226.1541 6.47 \downarrow -31 135.0496 3.42 \uparrow 51 119.0393 3.23 \downarrow -54 254.1401 10.70 \uparrow 35 309.1772 1.63 \uparrow 57 250.1565 9.02 \uparrow 435 223.0556 9.05 \downarrow -67 197.0302 5.25 \downarrow -49 88.0394 11.08 \uparrow 38	271.0652 3.22 \downarrow 74 0.034 240.0854 8.67 \uparrow 147 0.036 457.0740 12.97 \uparrow 2851 0.036 116.5499 3.23 \downarrow -49 0.036 353.0224 3.32 \downarrow -61 0.037 282.0196 12.45 \uparrow 59 0.037 263.1061 12.22 \downarrow -53 0.037 263.1061 12.22 \downarrow -10 0.037 217.0910 6.65 \downarrow -62 0.038 326.1638 10.97 \uparrow 103 0.039 125.0962 11.85 \downarrow -20 0.039 298.1126 6.87 \downarrow -41 0.040 171.1020 11.03 \downarrow -27 0.041 263.0602 7.22 \downarrow -39 0.041 359.0637 1.70 \uparrow 67 0.041 494.2136 3.72 \uparrow 130 0.042 198.1132 10.15 \uparrow 69 0.042 198.1132 10.15 \uparrow 69 0.042 198.0393 3.23 \downarrow -54 0.043 254.1401 10.70 \uparrow 35 0.045 309.1772 1.63 \uparrow 57 0.045 309.1772 1.63 \uparrow 57 0.047 197.0302 5.25 \downarrow -54 0.047 170.0817 8.02 \uparrow 42 0.048 <td>271.0652$3.22$$\downarrow$$-74$$0.034$240.0854$8.67$$\uparrow$$147$$0.036$457.0740$12.97$$\uparrow$$2851$$0.036$116.5499$3.23$$\downarrow$$-49$$0.036$353.0224$3.32$$\downarrow$$-61$$0.037$282.0196$12.45$$\uparrow$$59$$0.037$263.1061$12.22$$\downarrow$$-53$$0.037$263.1061$12.22$$\downarrow$$-53$$0.037$217.0910$6.65$$\downarrow$$-62$$0.038$326.1638$10.97$$\uparrow$$103$$0.039$298.1126$6.87$$\downarrow$$-41$$0.040$171.1020$11.03$$\downarrow$$-27$$0.041$263.0602$7.22$$\downarrow$$-39$$0.041$359.0637$1.70$$\uparrow$$67$$0.041$494.2136$3.72$$\uparrow$$130$$0.042$198.1132$10.15$$\uparrow$$69$$0.042$226.1541$6.47$$\downarrow$$51$$0.045$199.033$3.23$$\downarrow$$-54$$0.043$254.1401$10.70$$\uparrow$$35$$0.045$250.1565$9.02$$\uparrow$$435$$0.046$223.0556$9.05$$\downarrow$$-67$$0.047$197.0302$5.25$$\downarrow$$-54$$0.048$101.0597$7.15$$\downarrow$$-49$$0.048$</td> <td>271.0652 3.22 \downarrow -74 0.034 240.0854 8.67 \uparrow 147 0.036 457.0740 12.97 \uparrow 2851 0.036 116.5499 3.23 \downarrow -49 0.036 353.0224 3.32 \downarrow -61 0.037 282.0196 12.45 \uparrow 59 0.037 283.1061 12.22 \downarrow -53 0.037 283.3539 18.25 \downarrow -10 0.037 217.0910 6.65 \downarrow -62 0.038 326.1638 10.97 \uparrow 103 0.039 298.1126 6.87 \downarrow -41 0.040 263.0602 7.22 \downarrow -39 0.041 263.0602 7.22 \downarrow -39 0.041 359.0637 1.70 \uparrow 67 0.042 226.1541 6.47 \downarrow $.31$ 0.042 198.1132 10.15 \uparrow 69 0.0</td> <td>271.0652 3.22 ↓ -74 0.034 240.0854 8.67 ↑ 147 0.036 457.0740 12.97 ↑ 2851 0.036 116.5499 3.23 ↓ -49 0.036 353.0224 3.32 ↓ -61 0.037</td> <td>271.0652 3.22 ↓ -74 0.034 240.0854 8.67 ↑ 147 0.036 4457.0740 12.97 ↑ 2851 0.036 116.5499 3.23 ↓ -49 0.036 353.0224 3.32 ↓ -61 0.037 283.0196 12.45 ↑ 59 0.037 283.039 18.25 ↓ -10 0.037</td> <td>271.06523.22↓-740.034<!--</td--></td>	271.0652 3.22 \downarrow -74 0.034 240.0854 8.67 \uparrow 147 0.036 457.0740 12.97 \uparrow 2851 0.036 116.5499 3.23 \downarrow -49 0.036 353.0224 3.32 \downarrow -61 0.037 282.0196 12.45 \uparrow 59 0.037 263.1061 12.22 \downarrow -53 0.037 263.1061 12.22 \downarrow -53 0.037 217.0910 6.65 \downarrow -62 0.038 326.1638 10.97 \uparrow 103 0.039 298.1126 6.87 \downarrow -41 0.040 171.1020 11.03 \downarrow -27 0.041 263.0602 7.22 \downarrow -39 0.041 359.0637 1.70 \uparrow 67 0.041 494.2136 3.72 \uparrow 130 0.042 198.1132 10.15 \uparrow 69 0.042 226.1541 6.47 \downarrow 51 0.045 199.033 3.23 \downarrow -54 0.043 254.1401 10.70 \uparrow 35 0.045 250.1565 9.02 \uparrow 435 0.046 223.0556 9.05 \downarrow -67 0.047 197.0302 5.25 \downarrow -54 0.048 101.0597 7.15 \downarrow -49 0.048	271.0652 3.22 \downarrow -74 0.034 240.0854 8.67 \uparrow 147 0.036 457.0740 12.97 \uparrow 2851 0.036 116.5499 3.23 \downarrow -49 0.036 353.0224 3.32 \downarrow -61 0.037 282.0196 12.45 \uparrow 59 0.037 283.1061 12.22 \downarrow -53 0.037 283.3539 18.25 \downarrow -10 0.037 217.0910 6.65 \downarrow -62 0.038 326.1638 10.97 \uparrow 103 0.039 298.1126 6.87 \downarrow -41 0.040 263.0602 7.22 \downarrow -39 0.041 263.0602 7.22 \downarrow -39 0.041 359.0637 1.70 \uparrow 67 0.042 226.1541 6.47 \downarrow $.31$ 0.042 198.1132 10.15 \uparrow 69 0.0	271.0652 3.22 ↓ -74 0.034 240.0854 8.67 ↑ 147 0.036 457.0740 12.97 ↑ 2851 0.036 116.5499 3.23 ↓ -49 0.036 353.0224 3.32 ↓ -61 0.037	271.0652 3.22 ↓ -74 0.034 240.0854 8.67 ↑ 147 0.036 4457.0740 12.97 ↑ 2851 0.036 116.5499 3.23 ↓ -49 0.036 353.0224 3.32 ↓ -61 0.037 283.0196 12.45 ↑ 59 0.037 283.039 18.25 ↓ -10 0.037	271.06523.22↓-740.034 </td