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# Demonstration of Riemann's Hypothesis 

Yao Maxime KAYI

## Abstract-.

Index Terms- complex, Riemann Hypothesis, zeta

## 1 Introduction

THe Riemann hypothesis is one of the most important Hilbert mathematical problems. It concerns the non-trivial zeros of zeta function in a complex plane. He announced that the real part of non-trivial zeros of zeta function is exactly equal to 0.5.

We will prove that his hypothesis is true using complex numbers properties.

## 2 Functional Equation

We won't demonstrate the functional equation but use his result. With Euler's function $\Gamma$ we get:

$$
\pi^{\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)=\pi^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s)
$$

Through the functional, if s is a non-trivial zero of zeta then 1 -s is also a non-trivial zero.

## 3 Demonstration

A simple demonstration of Riemann hypothesis. It is based on the fact that is a complex number $a+i b$ is equal to null then $a-i b$, is also equal to null.

### 3.1 Generalization

Let $S=x+i y$ be a zero of the zeta function then we have:

$$
\zeta(s)=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x}(\cos (y \log (n))-i \cdot \sin (y \log (n)))=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { We have: } \\
& \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \sum _ { n \geq 1 } ( \frac { 1 } { n } ) ^ { x } ( \operatorname { c o s } ( y \operatorname { l o g } ( n ) ) ) = 0 } \\
{ \sum _ { n \geq 1 } ( \frac { 1 } { n } ) ^ { x } ( - i \cdot \operatorname { s i n } ( y \operatorname { l o g } ( n ) ) ) = i . 0 }
\end{array} \Rightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x}(\cos (y \log (n)))=0 \\
-\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x}(i . \sin (y \log (n)))=i
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \sum _ { n \geq 1 } ( \frac { 1 } { n } ) ^ { x } ( \operatorname { c o s } ( y \operatorname { l o g } ( n ) ) ) = 0 } \\
{ - \sum _ { n \geq 1 } ( \frac { 1 } { n } ) ^ { x } ( i \cdot \operatorname { s i n } ( y \operatorname { l o g } ( n ) ) ) = i . 0 }
\end{array} \Rightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x}(\cos (y \log (n)))=0 \\
\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x}(i . \sin (y \log (n)))=i .0
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left\{\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x}(\cos (y \log (n)))=0 \Rightarrow\left\{\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x}(\cos (y \log (n)))=0 \quad \sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1-x}(\sin (y \log (n)))=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x}(\sin (y \log (n)))\right.\right.
$$

Now we sum lines obtained after simplification on both sides of equalities. Then we have:

$$
\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x}(\cos (y \log (n))+i \cdot \sin (y \log (n)))=0
$$

And:
$\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x} e^{i y \log (n)}=0$
$\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x} e^{-(-i y \log (n))}=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x}\left(e^{-i y \log (n)}\right)^{-1}=0$
$\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x}\left(\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{i y}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-i y}=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x-i y}=0$
Then we get $\zeta(\bar{s})=0$. We derive the following propositions.
Proposition 1. If s is a non-trivial (resp. trivial) zeta function zero then $S$ is also a zero (resp. trivial).
Proposition 2. If $\bar{s}$ is a non-trivial (resp. trivial) zeta function zero then s is also a zero (resp. trivial).
Proposition 3. Let $s$ beq complex number not equal to 1 . Then $\zeta(s)=0 \Leftrightarrow \zeta(s)=0$
Proof. This a consequence of the first and the second proposition.

### 3.2 Non Trivial Zero

Let $s=x+i y$ be a non-trivial zero of the zeta function then we have:

$$
\zeta(s)=\zeta(1-s)=\zeta(\bar{s})=0(\mathrm{R})
$$

Let us find conditions that satisfies s from (R).
Then $\zeta(1-s)=\zeta(s)$ if only:
i. $\oint \sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1-x}(\cos (y \log (n)))=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x}(\cos (y \log (n)))$

Which gives us:
$\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1-x}=\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x}, \forall n \geq 1$
Then $x=1 / 2$. The relation (R) established is verified (i.e. we get the three equalization) if only the real part of $s$ is equal to $1 / 2$.

We get the following theorem.
Theorem 1. Let $s$ be a complex number not equal to 1. Then ifs is a non-trivial zero of zeta function then his real part is exactly equal to $1 / 2$.

With Theorem 1 we show that, Riemann hypothesis is true.

## 4 Conclusion

.A great step has been done. The demonstration is simple but not evident also for me.

